Investigating Dialogue Act Classification through Cross-Corpora Fine-Tuning of Pretrained Language Models

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

 Fine-tuning pre-trained language models (PLMs) has achieved significant performance improvements in natural language understand- ing tasks such as dialogue act classification. However, most of these models are evaluated and benchmarked on standard datasets, and of- ten do not perform well in practical, real-world scenarios such as our scenario of interest: di- alogues of collaborative human learning, in which two learners work together to solve a problem in a classroom. To address this chal- lenging scenario, we fine-tuned variants of the RoBERTa and LLaMA-2 models for dialogue act classification within using cross-corpora model fine-tuning approaches on two corpora of collaborative learning dialogues. Our experi- ments show that fine-tuning PLMs using cross- corpora approaches has the potential to improve classification performance, especially when a corpus has limited representation of certain dia- logue acts. This work highlights the potential of using this approach for future domain-specific dialogue act classification tasks.

024 1 Introduction

 In recent years, pretrained language models (PLMs) have revolutionized the field of natural language processing, largely driven by their improved per- formance when fine-tuned for downstream tasks [\(Devlin et al.,](#page-4-0) [2019;](#page-4-0) [Yang et al.,](#page-6-0) [2019;](#page-6-0) [Radford](#page-5-0) [et al.,](#page-5-0) [2019;](#page-5-0) [Raffel et al.,](#page-5-1) [2020;](#page-5-1) [Zhuang et al.,](#page-6-1) [2021;](#page-6-1) [Touvron et al.,](#page-5-2) [2023b\)](#page-5-2). PLMs are now serving as an effective starting point for many NLP tasks, including dialogue act classification [\(Saha et al.,](#page-5-3) [2019b;](#page-5-3) [Li et al.,](#page-5-4) [2020\)](#page-5-4). However, most of these models are evaluated and benchmarked on stan- dard dialogue datasets, and they may not perform well in real-world scenarios. Our scenario of inter- est is dialogue act classification in the context of collaborative learning, in which two learners work together to solve a problem within a classroom.

041 In the context of collaborative learning, dialogue

acts serve important modeling goals: they repre- **042** sent the pragmatics of utterances, offer many cues **043** for assessing the effectiveness of collaboration, and **044** provide insight into the kinds of dialogue behaviors **045** that impact learning, performance, and problem- **046** solving abilities [\(Chi and Wylie,](#page-4-1) [2014;](#page-4-1) [Borge et al.,](#page-4-2) **047** [2019;](#page-4-2) [Snyder et al.,](#page-5-5) [2019\)](#page-5-5). There is a great need **048** to leverage the robust capabilities of PLMs for dia- **049** logue acts classification on collaborative learning **050** dialogue corpora. 051

With that in mind, our work investigates the 052 results of fine-tuning RoBERTa [\(Zhuang et al.,](#page-6-1) **053** [2021\)](#page-6-1), known for state-of-the-art performance in **054** classification tasks, and the recently released open- **055** sourced LLaMA-2 [\(Touvron et al.,](#page-5-2) [2023b\)](#page-5-2), which **056** to the best of our knowledge has not been used for **057** dialogue act classification. However, fine-tuning **058** these PLMs for dialogue act classification within **059** the context of collaborative learning is challenging **060** for several reasons: the availability of annotated **061** collaborative learning dialogue datasets is highly **062** limited, and there is high domain-specificity of the **063** language present in these corpora. **064**

To address these challenges, we apply a cross- **065** corpora approach, which involves leveraging the **066** properties of one dataset to improve the perfor- **067** [m](#page-6-2)ance of another related dataset [\(Webb and Fer-](#page-6-2) **068** [guson,](#page-6-2) [2010\)](#page-6-2). We conducted experiments using **069** the cross-corpora fine-tuning approaches on both **070** RoBERTA and LLaMA-2 models to investigate **071** the following research questions: *RQ1) How does* **072** *fine-tuning PLMs using cross-corpora fine-tuning* **073** *impact the performance of dialogue act classifi-* **074** *cation in the context of collaborative learning?*, **075** and *RQ2) What dialogue acts can be learned from* **076** *one domain to another during cross-corpora fine-* **077** *tuning?* **078**

The novel contributions of this work are as fol- **079** lows: (1) We provide evidence for the application **080** of cross-corpora fine-tuning approaches for dia- **081** logue act classification; and (2) We show results **082**

083 of cross-corpora fine-tuned models outperforming **084** baselines in scenarios with limited dialogue act **085** representation.

086 2 Experimental Settings

087 2.1 Annotated Corpora

088 We evaluate the cross-corpora approach on two **089** collaborative dialogue corpora.

Corpus I: A transcribed spoken corpus compris- ing 6205 utterances from 18 video recordings of 36 paired middle school learners engaged in collabo- rative coding activities. Its 15 dialogue acts were manually annotated with a Cohen's kappa of 0.83.

Corpus II: A textual corpus comprising 3401 utterances from the textual chats of 68 sessions of 136 paired high school learners during collabora- tive computational music remixing. It features 16 fine-grained dialogue acts manually annotated with a Cohen's kappa 0.76.

 The original labeling of each corpus produced sparsity issues for some dialogue acts, so we mapped the original labeling onto six main classes (Table [1\)](#page-1-0).

DA class	# of samples	# of samples
	(Corpus I)	(Corpus II)
OUESTION	1107	83
RESPONSE	331	580
STATEMENT	2555	937
FEEDBACK	203	258
SUGGESTION	809	1023
OTHER	865	520

Table 1: Distribution of the DA classes Across the Two Datasets

 For cross-corpora training and testing, we split the datasets into approximately 80/20 splits strati- fying by pairs and labels to ensure a proportional distribution of the dialogue acts and that no indi- vidual paired session's utterances were present in both training and test set.

111 For our experiments, we fine-tuned two PLMs, 112 RoBERTa_{base} and the LLaMA-2 7B model.

 RoBERTa [\(Zhuang et al.,](#page-6-1) [2021\)](#page-6-1), Robustly Op- timized BERT approach, builds on the original **BERT** and modifies the pretraining strategies, such as using byte-pair encoding [\(Kudo and Richardson,](#page-5-6) [2018;](#page-5-6) [Wang et al.,](#page-6-3) [2020\)](#page-6-3), modifying BERT's static MLM objective to a dynamic MLP, removing the next-sentence pretraining objectives and modifying key training parameters. Recently, RoBERTa has

been found to outperform other traditional deep **121** learning and BERT models for DA classification **122** tasks [\(Duran et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3) **123**

LLaMA-2 [\(Touvron et al.,](#page-5-2) [2023b\)](#page-5-2) is a collec- **124** tion of newly released open-source LLMs based **125** on the LLaMA [\(Touvron et al.,](#page-5-7) [2023a\)](#page-5-7) by Meta **126** GenAI. The release of these open-source LLaMA- **127** 2 models creates opportunities for the research **128** community to fine-tune the actual weights and bi- **129** ases of the models with transparency and visibility **130** to the model architecture and pretraining process. **131** However, like most recent LLMs, LLaMA-2 is a **132** decoder-only transformer model developed mainly **133** for generative tasks. As such, we used a crossen- **134** tropy loss function (Equation [1\)](#page-1-1) between neural **135** model's output logits and target labels, averaged **136** over the mini-batch size N for the classification **137** task where $log(p_{n,c})$ is the natural logarithm of the 138 predicted probability that observation *n* belongs to **139** class $c, y_{n,c}$ is the binary indicator for the true class 140 label for each sample n and class c . **141**

$$
L = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{n,c} \log(p_{n,c})
$$
 (1) 142

4-bit Quantization of LLaMA-2: Despite the **143** open access to LLaMA-2 models, the high com- **144** putational demands pose significant challenges. **145** For instance, fine-tuning a LLaMA-2 7B model 146 requires approximately 112GB of GPU memory, **147** exceeding the capacity of consumer GPUs. To mit- **148** igate this, there has been a growing interest in pa- **149** rameter efficient fine-tuning (PEFT) [\(Houlsby et al.,](#page-4-4) **150** [2019\)](#page-4-4) quantization approaches. Recently, 4-bit **151** quantization has shown optimal performance result- **152** [i](#page-4-5)ng in reduced latency and memory use [\(Dettmers](#page-4-5) **153** [and Zettlemoyer,](#page-4-5) [2023\)](#page-4-5). Equation [2](#page-1-2) shows the for- **154** mula for quantizing a 32-bit Floating Point (FP32) **155** tensor into a Int4 tensor with magnitude of [-7,7]. **156**

$$
X_{Int4} = round\left(\frac{7}{absmax(X_{FP32})} \times X_{FP32}\right) \quad (2)
$$

(2) **157**

2.2 Baseline models **158**

We considered six baselines for our experiments, **159** where each baseline involved fine-tuning a PLM 160 on a specific corpus and evaluating it using the **161** same corpus's test set. We trained and evaluated RoBERTa base and LLaMA-2 7B models on **163** each corpus. Additionally, to evaluate the perfo- **164** mance associated with data balancing, we trained 165 and evaluated additional RoBERTa models with **166**

167 datasets augumented by *upsampling* using the Ran-**168** [d](#page-5-8)om Oversampling (ROS) approach [\(Mohammed](#page-5-8) **169** [et al.,](#page-5-8) [2020\)](#page-5-8).

170 2.3 Cross-corpora fine-tuned models

171 For our cross-corpora fine-tuning, we conducted ex-**172** periments based on three cross-corpora conditions **173** as follows:

- **174** Roberta_{base}/ LLaMA-7B_{_}(C1+C2) : We fine-**175** tuned using a combination of Corpus I and Cor-**176** pus II training set.
- **177** (Roberta_{base}/ LLaMA-7B_(C1) \rightarrow C2 : We fine-**178** tuned using Corpus I training set, then fine-tuned **179** the resulting model on Corpus II training set.
- 180 **Roberta**_{base}/ **LLaMA-7B**_{(C2)→C1 : We fine-} **181** tuned using Corpus II training set, then fine-tuned **182** the resulting model on Corpus I training set.

 [A](#page-5-9)ll implementation is done in PyTorch [\(Paszke](#page-5-9) [et al.,](#page-5-9) [2019\)](#page-5-9). For each fine-tuning experiment with both variants of RoBERTa, we set the following hy- perparameters: we used a batch size of 16 with an AdamW Optimizer with a learning rate of 1 e-5 and weight decay of 0.01. We trained for 20 epochs, with early stopping set at 10. For LLaMA-2 7B model, we use the *bitandbytes* [\(Dettmers et al.,](#page-4-6) [2022\)](#page-4-6) library for the model quantization config- [u](#page-4-7)ration. We attempted to use QLoRA [\(Dettmers](#page-4-7) [et al.,](#page-4-7) [2023\)](#page-4-7) with LoRA [\(Hu et al.,](#page-4-8) [2021\)](#page-4-8), which enabled us to fine-tune only about 1% of the param- eters, but we faced the challenge of fine-tuning the LoRA and the 4bit model with the second corpus for our cross-corpora fine-tuning approaches, so we used the QLoRA configuration without LoRA, fine- tuning about 3.9% of the parameters. We trained the quantized model for 10 epochs using a batch size of 4 with a learning rate of 2 e-4 and a max- imum sequence length of 512. To save memory, [w](#page-5-10)e use a paged 32-bit AdamW optimizer[\(Kingma](#page-5-10) [and Ba,](#page-5-10) [2014\)](#page-5-10) and weight decay of 0.05 and mixed precision [\(Micikevicius et al.,](#page-5-11) [2017\)](#page-5-11). All training was done using single NVIDIA A100 GPU.

²⁰⁷ 3 Results and Discussion

 To evaluate the performance of the fine-tuned mod- els, we report the overall Accuracy and F1 (macro) score, which is the arithmetic mean of individual class F1 scores giving equal weight to all classes (Table [2\)](#page-2-0). We also report macro-averaged recall and F1 scores for each class (Table [3\)](#page-3-0).

3.1 Comparison to baseline models **214**

To answer RQ1, we compared the results of the **215** cross-corpora fine-tuned models to the baselines, **216** displayed in Table [2.](#page-2-0) As hypothesized, having **217** more than one domain-related dataset incorporated **218** in the model fine-tuning improves the model perfor- **219** mance, especially in the cross-corpora fine-tuning 220 where we learning from a model based on dataset **221** from a similar domain fine-tuned on a PLM. Our **222** cross-corpora approach using RoBERTa had the **223** best performance on the both test sets. Our best **224** performing models achieved an F1 score of 0.683 **225** on the corpus I test set, and an F1 score of 0.645 **226** on the corpus II test set. **227**

3.2 Comparison across DA classes **228**

To answer RQ2, we compared the results of the **229** cross-corpora fine-tuned models for each individ- **230** ual DA class to examine which of the DAs were **231** learned across corpora. The main motivation of **232** our approach is that cross-corpora learning helps to **233** improve the recall of dialogue acts that are scarce **234** in a given corpus. Table [3](#page-3-0) shows the impact of **235** our cross-corpora approach in improving the recall **236** especially in cases with limited DA available in the **237** corpus. In particular, when we trained the models **238** on a dataset with a larger amount of a give DA, it **239** significantly improves the performance when eval- **240** uated on a dataset with a smaller amount of the **241** given DA. Our results show that all models that **242** were fine-tuned with Corpus I, which have a signif- **243** icant amount of *QUE* tags compared to Corpus II, **244** when evaluated on the Corpus 2 data, showed an 245 improvement in detecting the *QUE* tag. Similarly, **246**

	Dialogue Acts												
		OUE	RES		STMT			SU		FDBK		OTH	
Model	R	F ₁	R	F ₁	R	F1	R	F ₁	R	F1	R	F1	
Corpus 1													
RoBERTa c1	0.927	0.882	0.697	0.775	0.878	0.790	0.546	0.611	0.529	0.439	0.443	0.570	
LLaMA-2 c1	0.873	0.859	0.708	0.663	0.794	0.747	0.596	0.598	0.353	0.316	0.371	0.469	
RoBERTa_c1+c2	0.902	0.871	0.831	0.715	0.781	0.769	0.794	0.667	0.235	0.242	0.351	0.493	
$LLaMA-2 c1+c2$	0.824	0.837	0.742	0.695	0.785	0.736	0.546	0.535	0.294	0.312	0.356	0.438	
RoBERTa_c2->c1	0.951	0.884	0.685	0.753	0.846	0.795	0.681	0.671	0.529	0.429	0.438	0.567	
LLaMA_c2->c1	0.883	0.860	0.719	0.656	0.819	0.767	0.610	0.637	0.353	0.343	0.397	0.503	
Corpus ₂													
RoBERTa _{c2}	0.167	0.242	0.767	0.671	0.697	0.670	0.824	0.784	0.561	0.547	0.468	0.608	
LLaMA-2 c2	0.333	0.421	0.677	0.684	0.693	0.643	0.709	0.722	0.421	0.440	0.654	0.667	
RoBERTa c1+c2	0.500	0.245	0.744	0.669	0.550	0.584	0.745	0.746	0.561	0.587	0.487	0.578	
$LLaMA-2 c1+c2$	0.500	0.250	0.677	0.682	0.573	0.547	0.626	0.686	0.544	0.544	0.545	0.578	
RoBERTa_c1->c2	0.417	0.476	0.759	0.692	0.638	0.653	0.856	0.795	0.544	0.530	0.545	0.664	
LLaMA-2_c1->c2	0.333	0.432	0.692	0.702	0.693	0.629	0.687	0.692	0.439	0.439	0.596	0.648	

Table 3: Evaluation results for individual DA classes on the two collaborative learning corpora for the RoBERTA base and 4-bit quantized LLaMA-2 7B model using cross corpora fine-tuning approach. Recall scores are reported to show the model's ability to correctly identify the actual DAs.

 since Corpus II has more *SU* tag, when it is used in cross-corpora fine-tuning and evaluated on Corpus I, the significantly improves the correct detection of the *SU* tag.

²⁵¹ 4 Related Work

 In recent years, the introduction of the Trans- [f](#page-6-4)ormers architecture by Vaswani et al. [\(Vaswani](#page-6-4) [et al.,](#page-6-4) [2017\)](#page-6-4) has paved the way for high-performing transformer-based language models, such as BERT [\(Devlin et al.,](#page-4-9) [2018\)](#page-4-9) and GPT [\(Floridi and Chiri-](#page-4-10) [atti,](#page-4-10) [2020\)](#page-4-10), which have demonstrated remarkable performance in various NLP tasks. These models have been used on dialogue datasets such as SWBD [\(Jurafsky,](#page-5-12) [1997\)](#page-5-12) and MRDA [\(Shriberg et al.,](#page-5-13) [2004\)](#page-5-13) to establish benchmarks for DA classification mod- els. Due to the improved performance by BERT on DA classification, researchers have also exper- imented with BERT-based models and compared their performance to the original BERT [\(Saha et al.,](#page-5-14) [2020a;](#page-5-14) [Qin et al.,](#page-5-15) [2021;](#page-5-15) [Li et al.,](#page-5-16) [2022\)](#page-5-16). As a result of the improved performance of BERT-based mod- els compared to earlier deep learning models like RNN and LSTM, more researchers have applied these models to several real-world datasets such as, speech acts classification with the Twitter corpus [\(Saha et al.,](#page-5-17) [2020b\)](#page-5-17), achieving SOTA performance [o](#page-5-18)f 75.97% on the Twitter dataset collected by [\(Saha](#page-5-18) [et al.,](#page-5-18) [2019a\)](#page-5-18). More recently, researchers have also explored the robustness of BERT-based models on social media data [\(Vielsted et al.,](#page-6-5) [2022\)](#page-6-5).

277 In contrast to encoder-based models like BERT, **278** researchers have also explored decoder-only, like **279** GPT-2, and their potential to perform DA classifica[t](#page-6-6)ion, showcasing their extended capabilities [\(Weng](#page-6-6) **280** [et al.,](#page-6-6) [2020\)](#page-6-6). Recently, researchers have used Di- **281** aloGPT [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-6-7) [2019\)](#page-6-7), a dialogue PLM built **282** upon GPT-2, for classifying dialogue acts in K-12 **283** classroom data [\(Kumaran et al.,](#page-5-19) [2023\)](#page-5-19). With more **284** [p](#page-4-10)owerful variants of GPT, such as GPT-3.5 [\(Floridi](#page-4-10) **285** [and Chiriatti,](#page-4-10) [2020\)](#page-4-10), GPT-4 [\(OpenAI,](#page-5-20) [2023\)](#page-5-20) and **286** LLaMA-2 [\(Touvron et al.,](#page-5-2) [2023b\)](#page-5-2), there is an in- **287** creasing opportunity to further the work on DA **288** classification and train better models. **289**

5 Conclusion and Future Work **²⁹⁰**

DA classification is an important task in dialogue **291** analysis especially in the context of collaborative **292** learning. However due to insufficient available **293** labeled datasets, it is challenging to train high per- **294** forming DA classification models. Our work aims **295** to address these challenges by investigating cross- **296** corpora fine-tuning to improve the performance of **297** the models and and to evaluate the ability to better **298** detect DAs in cases where a domain-related corpus **299** has less of a give tag. Further, we explored the **300** newly released LLaMA-2 model for DA classifica- **301** tion tasks. We applied quantization to reduce the **302** size of model and fine-tuned a subset of the model 303 parameters, and still achieved on-par results. **304**

In the future, we would like to do perform addi- **305** tional experiments with a third dataset within the **306** same domain, that is not included in the training. 307 We would also like to explore more fine-tuning **308** techniques for DA classification using PLMs. Also, **309** we would consider multi-modal inputs for predict- **310** ing DAs such as audio and video with the textual **311 inputs.** 312

³¹³ 6 Limitations

 Data variability and imbalance: Our experiments used very similar datasets, however one was speech recordings transcribed to text, making it more ro- bust than the textual interaction data. Students tends to talk more than type. Furthermore, there are some slight difference in the type of dialogue in- teractions between middle school and high school learners, which can be also reflected in the dia- logue. Although these resulted in significant data imbalance, our metaclasses groupings and strati- fied splitting of the train/test data helped reduce the data imbalance.

 Closed-source data: Our data is primarily col- lected from K-12 participants, some of whom are minors, resulting in challenges to sharing our data due to data restrictions and privacy concerns.

 Computational resource limitations: due to computing limitations, we were unable to inves- tigate the scaling behavior of the LLMs, such in- vestigating with different precision and with larger models like LLaMA-13B. Further experiments and studies are need in the future to investigate the im-pact of fine-tuning significantly larger PLMs.

³³⁷ 7 Ethics Statement

 Our research work focuses on analyzing dialogue data collected during collaborative learning activ- ities in K-12 settings. For these reasons, the ethi- cal implications of our work include ensuring the privacy of our participants and protection of data collected. Our research studies were conducted with relevant Institutional Review Board (IRB) ap- proval that included written parental consent and student assent. All the researchers involved in the study are trained and certifies on human subject data research, and all the data are stored in dedi- cated secure machines with restricted access. Our data analysis included the development of DA tax- onomy and the annotation of corpora. All annota- tors were Ph.D. students trained in dialogue act an- notation following well-known steps for dialogue act annotation, including the iterative refinement of DA labels and establishing inter-rate agreement [\(Landis and Koch,](#page-5-21) [1977\)](#page-5-21). In addition, we recognize that pretrained language models can perpetuate and amplify biases present in training data, and we are cautious of some potential biases during the fine- tuning. We are aware of the environmental impact associated with training large language models. We minimized this impact by efficiently using computational resources and by choosing to fine-tune the **363** larger models using PEFT approaches. **364**

References **³⁶⁵**

- Marcela Borge, Tugce Aldemir, and Yu Xia. 2019. Un- **366** packing socio-metacognitive sense-making patterns **367** to support collaborative discourse. **368**
- Michelene TH Chi and Ruth Wylie. 2014. The icap **369** framework: Linking cognitive engagement to ac- **370** tive learning outcomes. *Educational psychologist*, **371** 49(4):219–243. **372**
- Tim Dettmers, Mike Lewis, Younes Belkada, and Luke **373** Zettlemoyer. 2022. Llm.int8(): 8-bit matrix multi- **374** plication for transformers at scale. *arXiv preprint* **375** *arXiv:2208.07339*. **376**
- Tim Dettmers, Artidoro Pagnoni, Ari Holtzman, and **377** Luke Zettlemoyer. 2023. Qlora: Efficient finetuning **378** of quantized llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14314*. **379**
- Tim Dettmers and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2023. The case for **380** 4-bit precision: k-bit inference scaling laws. In *In-* **381** *ternational Conference on Machine Learning*, pages **382** 7750–7774. PMLR. **383**
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and **384** Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep **385** bidirectional transformers for language understand- **386** ing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*. **387**
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and **388** Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1423) **389** [deep bidirectional transformers for language under-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1423) **390** [standing.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1423) In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of* **391** *the North American Chapter of the Association for* **392** *Computational Linguistics: Human Language Tech-* **393** *nologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages **394** 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for **395** Computational Linguistics. **396**
- Nathan Duran, Steve Battle, and Jim Smith. 2023. Sen- **397** tence encoding for dialogue act classification. *Natu-* **398** *ral Language Engineering*, 29(3):794–823. **399**
- Luciano Floridi and Massimo Chiriatti. 2020. Gpt-3: **400** Its nature, scope, limits, and consequences. *Minds* **401** *and Machines*, 30:681–694. **402**
- Neil Houlsby, Andrei Giurgiu, Stanislaw Jastrzebski, **403** Bruna Morrone, Quentin De Laroussilhe, Andrea **404** Gesmundo, Mona Attariyan, and Sylvain Gelly. 2019. **405** Parameter-efficient transfer learning for nlp. In *In-* 406 *ternational Conference on Machine Learning*, pages **407** 2790–2799. PMLR. **408**
- Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan **409** Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, **410** and Weizhu Chen. 2021. Lora: Low-rank adap- **411** tation of large language models. *arXiv preprint* **412** *arXiv:2106.09685*. **413**
-
-
-
-

- **414** Dan Jurafsky. 1997. Switchboard swbd-damsl shallow-**415** discourse-function annotation coders manual. *Insti-***416** *tute of Cognitive Science Technical Report*.
- **417** Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A **418** method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint* **419** *arXiv:1412.6980*.
- **420** [T](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D18-2012)aku Kudo and John Richardson. 2018. [SentencePiece:](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D18-2012) **421** [A simple and language independent subword tok-](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D18-2012)**422** [enizer and detokenizer for neural text processing.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D18-2012) In **423** *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical* **424** *Methods in Natural Language Processing: System* **425** *Demonstrations*, pages 66–71, Brussels, Belgium. **426** Association for Computational Linguistics.
- **427** Vikram Kumaran, Jonathan Rowe, Bradford Mott, **428** Snigdha Chaturvedi, and James Lester. 2023. Im-**429** proving classroom dialogue act recognition from **430** limited labeled data with self-supervised contrastive **431** learning classifiers. In *Findings of the Association* **432** *for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages **433** 10978–10992.
- **434** J Richard Landis and Gary G Koch. 1977. The mea-**435** surement of observer agreement for categorical data. **436** *biometrics*, pages 159–174.
- **437** Qian Li, Hao Peng, Jianxin Li, Congying Xia, Renyu **438** Yang, Lichao Sun, Philip S Yu, and Lifang He. 2020. **439** A survey on text classification: From shallow to deep **440** learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.00364*.
- **441** Qian Li, Hao Peng, Jianxin Li, Congying Xia, Renyu **442** Yang, Lichao Sun, Philip S Yu, and Lifang He. 2022. **443** A survey on text classification: From traditional to **444** deep learning. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Sys-***445** *tems and Technology (TIST)*, 13(2):1–41.
- **446** Paulius Micikevicius, Sharan Narang, Jonah Alben, Gre-**447** gory Diamos, Erich Elsen, David Garcia, Boris Gins-**448** burg, Michael Houston, Oleksii Kuchaiev, Ganesh **449** Venkatesh, et al. 2017. Mixed precision training. **450** *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.03740*.
- **451** Roweida Mohammed, Jumanah Rawashdeh, and Malak **452** Abdullah. 2020. Machine learning with oversam-**453** pling and undersampling techniques: overview study **454** and experimental results. In *2020 11th international* **455** *conference on information and communication sys-***456** *tems (ICICS)*, pages 243–248. IEEE.
- **457** OpenAI. 2023. [Gpt-4 technical report.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.08774)
- **458** Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam **459** Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor **460** Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca **461** Antiga, et al. 2019. Pytorch: An imperative style, **462** high-performance deep learning library. *Advances in* **463** *neural information processing systems*, 32.
- **464** Libo Qin, Zhouyang Li, Wanxiang Che, Minheng Ni, **465** and Ting Liu. 2021. Co-gat: A co-interactive graph **466** attention network for joint dialog act recognition **467** and sentiment classification. In *Proceedings of the* **468** *AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 35, **469** pages 13709–13717.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, **470** Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. 2019. Language **471** models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI* **472** *blog*, 1(8):9. **473**
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine **474** Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, **475** Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. 2020. Exploring the limits **476** of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text trans- **477** former. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, **478** 21(1):5485–5551. **479**
- Tulika Saha, Srivatsa Ramesh Jayashree, Sriparna Saha, **480** and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. 2020a. Bert-caps: A **481** transformer-based capsule network for tweet act clas- **482** sification. *IEEE Transactions on Computational So-* **483** *cial Systems*, 7(5):1168–1179. **484**
- Tulika Saha, Aditya Prakash Patra, Sriparna Saha, and **485** Pushpak Bhattacharyya. 2020b. A transformer based **486** approach for identification of tweet acts. In *2020* **487** *International Joint Conference on Neural Networks* **488** *(IJCNN)*, pages 1–8. IEEE. **489**
- Tulika Saha, Sriparna Saha, and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. **490** 2019a. Tweet act classification: A deep learning **491** based classifier for recognizing speech acts in twitter. **492** In *2019 International Joint Conference on Neural* **493** *Networks (IJCNN)*, pages 1–8. IEEE. **494**
- Tulika Saha, Saurabh Srivastava, Mauajama Firdaus, **495** Sriparna Saha, Asif Ekbal, and Pushpak Bhat- **496** tacharyya. 2019b. Exploring machine learning and **497** deep learning frameworks for task-oriented dialogue **498** act classification. In *2019 International Joint Confer-* **499** *ence on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pages 1–8. IEEE. **500**
- Elizabeth Shriberg, Raj Dhillon, Sonali Bhagat, Jeremy **501** Ang, and Hannah Carvey. 2004. The icsi meeting **502** recorder dialog act (mrda) corpus. In *Proceedings of* **503** *the 5th SIGdial Workshop on Discourse and Dialogue* **504** *at HLT-NAACL 2004*, pages 97–100. **505**
- Caitlin Snyder, G Biswas, M Emara, S Grover, and **506** L Conlin. 2019. Analyzing students' synergistic **507** learning processes in physics and ct by collaborative **508** discourse analysis. In *Computer-supported collabo-* **509** *rative learning*. **510**
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier **511** Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, **512** Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal **513** Azhar, et al. 2023a. Llama: Open and effi- **514** cient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint* **515** *arXiv:2302.13971*. **516**
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Al- **517** bert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay **518** Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti **519** Bhosale, Dan Bikel, Lukas Blecher, Cristian Canton **520** Ferrer, Moya Chen, Guillem Cucurull, David Esiobu, **521** Jude Fernandes, Jeremy Fu, Wenyin Fu, Brian Fuller, **522** Cynthia Gao, Vedanuj Goswami, Naman Goyal, An- **523** thony Hartshorn, Saghar Hosseini, Rui Hou, Hakan **524** Inan, Marcin Kardas, Viktor Kerkez, Madian Khabsa, **525**
- Isabel Kloumann, Artem Korenev, Punit Singh Koura, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Thibaut Lavril, Jenya Lee, Di- ana Liskovich, Yinghai Lu, Yuning Mao, Xavier Mar- tinet, Todor Mihaylov, Pushkar Mishra, Igor Moly- bog, Yixin Nie, Andrew Poulton, Jeremy Reizen- stein, Rashi Rungta, Kalyan Saladi, Alan Schelten, Ruan Silva, Eric Michael Smith, Ranjan Subrama- nian, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Binh Tang, Ross Tay- lor, Adina Williams, Jian Xiang Kuan, Puxin Xu, Zheng Yan, Iliyan Zarov, Yuchen Zhang, Angela Fan, Melanie Kambadur, Sharan Narang, Aurelien Ro- driguez, Robert Stojnic, Sergey Edunov, and Thomas Scialom. 2023b. [Llama 2: Open foundation and](http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.09288) [fine-tuned chat models.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.09288)
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.
- Marcus Vielsted, Nikolaj Wallenius, and Rob van der Goot. 2022. Increasing robustness for cross-domain dialogue act classification on social media data. In *Proceedings of the Eighth Workshop on Noisy User-generated Text (W-NUT 2022)*, pages 180–193.
- Changhan Wang, Kyunghyun Cho, and Jiatao Gu. 2020. Neural machine translation with byte-level subwords. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 34, pages 9154–9160.
- Nick Webb and Michael Ferguson. 2010. Automatic extraction of cue phrases for cross-corpus dialogue act classification. In *Coling 2010: Posters*, pages 1310–1317.
- Yue Weng, Sai Sumanth Miryala, Chandra Khatri, Runze Wang, Huaixiu Zheng, Piero Molino, Mahdi Namazifar, Alexandros Papangelis, Hugh Williams, Franziska Bell, et al. 2020. Joint contextual model- ing for asr correction and language understanding. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Confer- ence on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 6349–6353. IEEE.
- Zhilin Yang, Zihang Dai, Yiming Yang, Jaime Car- bonell, Russ R Salakhutdinov, and Quoc V Le. 2019. Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for lan- guage understanding. *Advances in neural informa-tion processing systems*, 32.
- Yizhe Zhang, Siqi Sun, Michel Galley, Yen-Chun Chen, Chris Brockett, Xiang Gao, Jianfeng Gao, Jingjing Liu, and Bill Dolan. 2019. Dialogpt: Large-scale generative pre-training for conversational response generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.00536*.
- [L](https://aclanthology.org/2021.ccl-1.108)iu Zhuang, Lin Wayne, Shi Ya, and Zhao Jun. 2021. [A](https://aclanthology.org/2021.ccl-1.108) [robustly optimized BERT pre-training approach with](https://aclanthology.org/2021.ccl-1.108) [post-training.](https://aclanthology.org/2021.ccl-1.108) In *Proceedings of the 20th Chinese National Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 1218–1227, Huhhot, China. Chinese Informa-tion Processing Society of China.