FemoraLyze: A Modular Framework for Proximal Femur Analysis

Marten J. Finck^{*1} MAFI@INFORMATIK.UNI-KIEL.DE Visual Computing and Artificial Intelligence, Kiel University, Kiel Niklas C. Koser^{*2} Niklas C. Koser^{*2} Jan-Bernd Hövener² Spelligen State Spellingen State SpellingenS

Editors: Accepted for publication at MIDL 2025

Abstract

The proximal femur is exposed to an increased risk of fracture, particularly in the context of osteoporosis. As the prevalence increases with age, it is expected that the incidence of osteoporotic fractures will likely continue to rise in terms of demographic trends. Early, guideline-based therapy offers strong prospects of success, but requires precise and reliable diagnostic and prognostic procedures. Automated bone metrics are suitable for this purpose and could also be used for other applications such as preoperative planning of total hip arthroplasty in patients affected by arthritis. In this paper we present FemoraLyze, which is a modular deep-learning-based Python framework that combines the automated and differentiated calculation of segmentation masks, bone structure and geometry parameters of the proximal femur based on a computed tomography (CT) image. The code is available at https://github.com/martenfi/FemoraLyze.

Keywords: Femur Assessment, Deep Learning, Bone Microstructure, Bone Geometry

1. Introduction

The proximal femur is subject to continuous biomechanical stress over the course of life, which leads to structural changes in the bone tissue (Ito et al., 2011). Thus, with increasing age, the incidence of osteoporosis and arthritis increases significantly: while osteoporosis is primarily associated with increased fragility and an increased risk of fracture, arthritis primarily leads to chronic joint pain (Deng et al., 2022; Anderson and Loeser, 2010). Given demographic trends and an aging population, a growing number of individuals are expected to experience reduced quality of life due to the aforementioned diseases, resulting in a substantial burden on the healthcare systems (Kanis et al., 2021). To address this, clinically relevant metrics of the proximal femur are essential for effective prognosis (Herbst et al., 2021; Deng et al., 2022; Huppke et al., 2024), diagnosis (Poole et al., 2012), and therapy (Wei et al., 2020; Macdonald et al., 2011). For example, promising preventive measures can be initiated (Deng et al., 2022; Herbst et al., 2021; Neeteson et al., 2023), pathologies can be quantified in terms of their severity and progression (Macdonald et al., 2011), and

^{*} Contributed equally

^{© 2025} CC-BY 4.0, M.J. Finck, N.C. Koser, J.-B. Hövener, C.-C. Glüer & S. Pirk.



Figure 1: Structure and data flows of the modular framework FemoraLyze.

precise preoperative planning (Li et al., 2022) can be enabled. In this paper, we present FemoraLyze, a modular framework for the fully-automated analysis of the proximal femur. It consists of several processing modules which can be flexibly adapted or extended. Based on CT data of the femur, FemoraLyze uses deep learning (DL) models to extract various segmentations. These are used for the generation of point clouds, the identification of characteristic regions of interest (ROIs), the calculation of geometric bone properties and the extraction of bone structure metrics.

2. Methods and Results

Dataset. This study primarily uses 50 HR-pQCT images (XtremeCT, SCANCO Medical AG) of the left proximal femur acquired ex situ with an isotropic spacing of 82 µm. The data set includes male and female individuals of different ages, heights and weights. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Hamburg chamber of physicians (WF-057/21). **Framework.** Figure 1 illustrates the structure and data flow of FemoraLyze. Three nnU-Net V 2 models were trained as a basis for the following analyses (Isensee et al., 2021): (1) A model for the extraction of the cortical bone, trained on 11 (masked) CTs with cortical masks created manually using nnInteractive (Isensee et al., 2025); (2) A model for segmentation of all bone structures, based on 11 (masked) CTs with masks generated by thresholding; (3) Another model trained on 12 downsampled CTs with binary bone masks generated by a nnU-Net pre-trained on 142 femora of the TotalSegmentator dataset (Wasserthal et al., 2023). A suitable template is selected based on the bone volume of the bone mask. After generating a surface point cloud, registration is performed in three steps: center-point alignment, RANSAC and ICP with scaling. The labels of the template are then transferred to the point cloud. Center points for four anatomical regions are calculated from the labeled point cloud, which are used to determine the neck-shaft angle (NSA) and the femoral offset (FO) (Yang et al., 2023). They also enable the extraction of ROIs from the bone structure mask for the calculation of trabecular parameters (BV/TV, Tb.Th, Tb.Sp, Tb.N) using the Hildebrand algorithm (Hildebrand and Rügsgegger, 1997). A bone region mask is generated by filling the point cloud regions and applying a closest point algorithm. This is

FemoraLyze

used for volumetric analysis and regionally differentiated extraction from the cortical mask to determine the cortical thickness (Ct.Th) analogous to Tb.Th.

Experiments. Since all quantitative evaluations are based on the segmentation masks generated by the nnU-Nets and only these modules enable ground truth generation without medical expertise, the validation is limited to the bone mask, the bone structure mask and the cortical bone mask. Three independent reference masks that are not used in the training process are used for objective evaluation (generated with nnInteractive (Isensee et al., 2025) and 3D Slicer (Fedorov et al., 2012)).

Results. The nnU-Net for cortical bone segmentation achieved a mean Dice score of 0.94 and an mean IoU of 0.88 on three test bones. The model for segmenting the bone structure achieved a Dice score of 0.93 and an IoU of 0.86, while the model for predicting the bone mask achieved a Dice score of 0.95 and an IoU of 0.91. These results indicate that the models are particularly effective for complex anatomical structures and enable significant time savings compared to manual segmentation. The three test bones have a neck Ct.Th between 2.21 and $2.55 \,\mathrm{mm}$, which is consistent with literature data (Carpenter et al., 2011; Treece et al., 2010). The volume of the femoral head is between 40.11 and 62.3 cm³ and also corresponds to published values (Bugeja et al., 2022). No comparable literature data could be identified for the neck $(27.56 - 32.85 \text{ cm}^3)$ and trochanter $(81.15 - 100.64 \text{ cm}^3)$. The NSA is 119.94° to 121.94°, the FO is 51.33 to 56.09 mm. Due to differing definitions of the shaft axis, the NSA deviates slightly downwards and the FO upwards from literature values (Boese et al., 2016; Han et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2023; Li et al., 2024). The bone metrics were averaged over the ROIs of the head, neck and trochanter. BV/TV (0.23-0.34) and Tb.Th $(0.30 - 0.37 \,\mathrm{mm})$ both tend to be above the literature values. Tb.Sp $(0.53 - 0.85 \,\mathrm{mm})$ is slightly reduced, while Tb.N $(0.90 - 1.16 \,\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$ is within the expected range (Fazzalari and Parkinson, 1996).

3. Conclusion, Limitations and Future Work

FemoraLyze is a modular framework for the automatic extraction of key bone metrics from the proximal femur, combining DL models with standardized analysis of multiple parameters. Its flexible architecture allows customizable processing units and selective module use, enabling adaptation to various clinical contexts such as prognosis, diagnosis, and therapy. The framework ensures time-efficient, reproducible evaluations and supports differentiated analyses through various segmentation masks. Despite the promising results, the present study is subject to some limitations. Comprehensive validation of the individual modules from both a technical and medical perspective is required for clinical application. In this context, the masks and characteristic reference points/regions are of particular importance, as all results depend significantly on them. In addition, the framework has so far only been tested on the proximal femur. This results in the following perspectives for future research: The results should be validated quantitatively and qualitatively by medical and technical experts. In addition, alternative methods could be implemented for individual modules and systematically compared in terms of effectiveness and efficiency. Finally, the system could be expanded to include additional modules and adapted to other bone structures.

Acknowledgments. Data was provided by Dr. med. Dr. rer. nat. F. von Brackel and Prof. Dr. med. B. Ondruschka from the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf (UKE).

References

- A. Shane Anderson and Richard F Loeser. Why is osteoarthritis an age-related disease? Best practice & research Clinical rheumatology, 24(1):15–26, 2010.
- Christoph Kolja Boese, Janine Jostmeier, Johannes Oppermann, Jens Dargel, De-Hua Chang, Peer Eysel, and Philipp Lechler. The neck shaft angle: Ct reference values of 800 adult hips. *Skeletal radiology*, 45:455–463, 2016.
- Jessica M Bugeja, Ying Xia, Shekhar S Chandra, Nicholas J Murphy, Jillian Eyles, Libby Spiers, Stuart Crozier, David J Hunter, Jurgen Fripp, and Craig Engstrom. Automated volumetric and statistical shape assessment of cam-type morphology of the femoral headneck region from clinical 3d magnetic resonance images. *Quantitative Imaging in Medicine* and Surgery, 12(10):4924, 2022.
- RD Carpenter, S Sigurdsson, S Zhao, Y Lu, G Eiriksdottir, G Sigurdsson, BY Jonsson, S Prevrhal, TB Harris, K Siggeirsdottir, et al. Effects of age and sex on the strength and cortical thickness of the femoral neck. *Bone*, 48(4):741–747, 2011.
- Yu Deng, Ling Wang, Chen Zhao, Shaojie Tang, Xiaoguang Cheng, Hong-Wen Deng, and Weihua Zhou. A deep learning-based approach to automatic proximal femur segmentation in quantitative ct images. *Medical & biological engineering & computing*, 60(5):1417–1429, 2022.
- NL Fazzalari and IH Parkinson. Fractal dimension and architecture of trabecular bone. *The Journal of pathology*, 178(1):100–105, 1996.
- Andriy Fedorov, Reinhard Beichel, Jayashree Kalpathy-Cramer, Julien Finet, Jean-Christophe Fillion-Robin, Sonia Pujol, Christian Bauer, Dominique Jennings, Fiona Fennessy, Milan Sonka, et al. 3d slicer as an image computing platform for the quantitative imaging network. *Magnetic resonance imaging*, 30(9):1323–1341, 2012.
- Ming Han, Yongkui Zhang, and Tao Shan. Femoral offset and its relationship to femoral neck-shaft angle and torsion angle. *Int J Morphol*, 32:1194–1198, 2014.
- Eva C Herbst, Alessandro A Felder, Lucinda AE Evans, Sara Ajami, Behzad Javaheri, and Andrew A Pitsillides. A new straightforward method for semi-automated segmentation of trabecular bone from cortical bone in diverse and challenging morphologies. *Royal Society Open Science*, 8(8):210408, 2021.
- Tor Hildebrand and Peter Rüegsegger. A new method for the model-independent assessment of thickness in three-dimensional images. *Journal of microscopy*, 185(1):67–75, 1997.
- Calvin M Huppke, Hendrik Fahlbusch, Karl-Heinz Frosch, Matthias Krause, and Fidelius von Rehlingen-Prinz. Cortical bone thickness on preoperative ct scans as predictor of bone quality in distal femur fractures: a retrospective study in caucasians. Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, 144(2):731–740, 2024.

- Fabian Isensee, Paul F Jaeger, Simon AA Kohl, Jens Petersen, and Klaus H Maier-Hein. nnu-net: a self-configuring method for deep learning-based biomedical image segmentation. *Nature methods*, 18(2):203–211, 2021.
- Fabian Isensee, Maximilian Rokuss, Lars Krämer, Stefan Dinkelacker, Ashis Ravindran, Florian Stritzke, Benjamin Hamm, Tassilo Wald, Moritz Langenberg, Constantin Ulrich, et al. nninteractive: Redefining 3d promptable segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.08373, 2025.
- Masako Ito, Tomoko Nakata, Akifumi Nishida, and Masataka Uetani. Age-related changes in bone density, geometry and biomechanical properties of the proximal femur: Ct-based 3d hip structure analysis in normal postmenopausal women. *Bone*, 48(3):627–630, 2011.
- John A Kanis, Nicholas Norton, Nicholas C Harvey, Trolle Jacobson, Helena Johansson, Mattias Lorentzon, Eugene V McCloskey, Carl Willers, and Fredrik Borgström. Scope 2021: a new scorecard for osteoporosis in europe. *Archives of osteoporosis*, 16(1):82, 2021.
- Li Li, Qiyao Ye, Tan Hu, Dongshen Fang, Runyu Wan, and Yu Shi. Fully automated measurement of femoral neck axis line in anatomical coordinate system using ct images. *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing*, 62(6):1837–1849, 2024.
- Zhe Li, Jiayu Yang, Xinghua Li, Kunzheng Wang, Jungang Han, and Pei Yang. Comparing two different automatic methods to measure femoral neck-shaft angle based on pointnet++ network. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1):12437, 2022.
- HM Macdonald, KK Nishiyama, DA Hanley, and SK Boyd. Changes in trabecular and cortical bone microarchitecture at peripheral sites associated with 18 months of teriparatide therapy in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. Osteoporosis International, 22: 357–362, 2011.
- Nathan J Neeteson, Bryce A Besler, Danielle E Whittier, and Steven K Boyd. Automatic segmentation of trabecular and cortical compartments in hr-pqct images using an embedding-predicting u-net and morphological post-processing. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1): 252, 2023.
- Kenneth ES Poole, Graham M Treece, Paul M Mayhew, Jan Vaculík, Pavel Dungl, Martin Horák, Jan J Štěpán, and Andrew H Gee. Cortical thickness mapping to identify focal osteoporosis in patients with hip fracture. *PloS one*, 7(6):e38466, 2012.
- Graham M Treece, Andrew H Gee, PM Mayhew, and Kenneth ES Poole. High resolution cortical bone thickness measurement from clinical ct data. *Medical image analysis*, 14(3): 276–290, 2010.
- Jakob Wasserthal, Hanns-Christian Breit, Manfred T Meyer, Maurice Pradella, Daniel Hinck, Alexander W Sauter, Tobias Heye, Daniel T Boll, Joshy Cyriac, Shan Yang, et al. Totalsegmentator: robust segmentation of 104 anatomic structures in ct images. *Radiology: Artificial Intelligence*, 5(5):e230024, 2023.

- Qiang Wei, Jungang Han, Yang Jia, Liyang Zhu, Shuai Zhang, Yufeng Lu, Bin Yang, and Shaojie Tang. An approach for fully automatic femoral neck-shaft angle evaluation on radiographs. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 91(1), 2020.
- Jiayu Yang, Zhe Li, Pengyu Zhan, Xinghua Li, Kunzheng Wang, Jungang Han, and Pei Yang. Proximal femur parameter measurement via improved pointnet++. The International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery, 19(3):e2494, 2023.