#### **000 001 002 003** BIC-OCC: BI-DIRECTIONAL CIRCULATED 3D OCCU-PANCY PREDICTION FOR AUTONOMOUS DRIVING

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

# ABSTRACT

Vision-based 3D occupancy prediction is the cornerstone in autonomous driving systems to provide comprehensive scene perception for subsequent decisions, which requires assessing voxelized 3D scenes with multi-view 2D images. Existing methods mainly adopt unidirectional pipelines projecting image features to BEV representations for following supervision, whose performances are limited by the sparsity and ambiguity of voxel labels. To address this issue, we propose a Bi-directional Circulated 3D Occupancy Prediction (BiC-Occ) framework for more accurate voxel predictions and supervisions. Specifically, we design a Bidirectional View Transformer module that approximates invertible transition matrices of the view transformation process, promoting the self-consistency between 2D image features and 3D BEV representations. Furthermore, we propose a Circulated Interpolation Predictor module that exploits local geometric structures to align multi-scale BEV representations, correcting local ambiguity with consistent occupancy predictions across different resolutions. With the synergy of these two modules, the self-consistency within different perception views and occupancy resolutions compensates for the sparsity and ambiguity of voxel labels, leading to more accurate 3D occupancy predictions. Extensive experiments and analyses demonstrate the effectiveness of our BiC-Occ framework.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

**031 032 033 034 035 036 037** Perceiving the 3D geometry of the surrounding scene accurately serves as a fundamental ability for autonomous driving systems. Although the LIDAR sensor can directly capture geometry-aware data with precise depth information, it suffers from high implementation costs and sparse scanned points, which restricts its further development. Recently, vision-based 3D scene perception has been emerging as a promising alternative to LIDAR-based one due to its cost-effectiveness. Taking multicamera images as input, the main challenge of vision-based 3D scene perception is to transform 2D images into 3D scenes.

**038 039 040 041 042 043 044 045 046 047** To compensate for the lack of depth information in the input images, conventional voxel-based methods [Zhou & Tuzel](#page-11-0) [\(2018\)](#page-11-0); [Zhu et al.](#page-11-1) [\(2021\)](#page-11-1) divide the 3D space into discrete voxels and assign a feature vector to each voxel as its representation. Voxel-based methods have achieved great performance in LIDAR-based 3D scene perception tasks such as lidar segmentation [Liong et al.](#page-10-0) [\(2020\)](#page-10-0); [Cheng et al.](#page-9-0) [\(2021\)](#page-9-0); [Ye et al.](#page-11-2) [\(2023\)](#page-11-2) and 3D scene completion [Cao & de Charette](#page-9-1) [\(2022\)](#page-9-1); [Chen](#page-9-2) [et al.](#page-9-2) [\(2020\)](#page-9-2); [Yan et al.](#page-11-3) [\(2021\)](#page-11-3); [Li et al.](#page-10-1) [\(2023b\)](#page-10-1). Recently, Monoscene [Cao & de Charette](#page-9-1) [\(2022\)](#page-9-1) first generalizes voxel-based methods to 3D scene reconstruction with only RGB inputs, and TPV-Former [Huang et al.](#page-9-3) [\(2023\)](#page-9-3) further extends to the 3D occupancy prediction task with multi-camera inputs. However, voxel-based methods need to take each single voxel into consideration, which leads to a high computation burden, limiting its performance in larger scenes.

**048 049 050 051 052 053** Towards a more computationally efficient pipeline for 3D scene perception, the BEV-based methods have attracted more attention from researchers. Considering that the height dimension contains less information than the other two dimensions in 3D scene representations, BEV-based methods compress height dimension into each BEV grid to generate more compact representations capturing height information implicitly [Lang et al.](#page-9-4) [\(2019\)](#page-9-4). To complete 2D input images with depth-wise information, recent research on BEV-based methods can be mainly classified into two kinds, regarding whether the depth information is computed implicitly or explicitly. BEVFormer [Li et al.](#page-10-2) [\(2022\)](#page-10-2)

**054 055 056 057 058 059** is a representative work that learns depth information implicitly with pre-defined grid-shaped BEV queries. The other line of works mainly follows the Lift-Splat-Shoot (LSS) [Philion & Fidler](#page-10-3) [\(2020\)](#page-10-3) paradigm to explicitly generate depth estimation for input images [Huang et al.](#page-9-5) [\(2021\)](#page-9-5); [Reading et al.](#page-10-4) [\(2021\)](#page-10-4); [Zhang et al.](#page-11-4) [\(2022\)](#page-11-4); [Liu et al.](#page-10-5) [\(2023\)](#page-10-5). Efforts have been made to improve depth estimation with direct depth loss supervision [Li et al.](#page-10-6) [\(2023d\)](#page-10-6) and dynamic temporal stereo information [Li et al.](#page-10-7) [\(2023c\)](#page-10-7).

**060 061 062 063 064 065 066 067 068 069 070 071 072 073 074 075 076 077 078 079** However, the aforementioned methods mostly adopt unidirectional pipelines supervised by annotated ground truth, which suffers from the sparsity and ambiguity of voxel labels. (1) The sparsity of voxel labels stems from the characteristic that a large portion of voxels are empty in real-world scenarios, which fails to provide comprehensive supervision for the view transformation process. (2) The ambiguity of voxel labels roots in the inevitable errors from manual annotations and resolution downsampling, which limits the final occupancy prediction performance. To address the above issues, we propose a *Bi-directional Circulated 3D Occupancy Prediction* (BiC-Occ) framework, which aims at promoting the self-consistency within different perception views and occupancy resolutions to alleviate the sparsity and ambiguity of voxel labels. First, we introduce the *Bi-directional View Transformer* (Bi-VT) to address the sparsity of voxel labels through constructing reversible and self-consistent view transformations. The procedure begins with a Forward Mapping block and a Backward Sampling block modeling the 2D-to-3D mapping and 3D-to-2D sampling distributions respectively. Then, the Invertible Refinement block further approximates invertible transition matrices through tensor decomposition and recovery, leading to reversible view transformations with self-consistency. Second, we present the *Circulated Interpolation Predictor* (CIP) to address the ambiguity of voxel labels by promoting the alignment among multi-scale BEV representations. Specifically, the module starts with a Geometric Interpolation block aligning multi-scale voxel representations concerning local geometric structures. Then, we design a Circulated Loss to promote the consistency among multi-scale voxel representations, thereby generating more accurate 3D occupancy predictions of different voxel grid resolutions and mitigating the ambiguity of voxel labels. Extensive experiments and analyses validate the effectiveness of our proposed BiC-Occ framework.

- The main contributions are summarized as follows:
	- We identify the inherent sparsity and ambiguity challenges of voxel labels in 3D occupancy prediction, and propose the BiC-Occ approach to address them.
	- The Bi-directional View Transformer module addresses the sparsity of voxel labels through learning invertible transition matrices via tensor decomposition and recovery for reversible view transformations with self-consistency.
		- The Circulated Interpolation Predictor module addresses the ambiguity of voxel labels through alignment among multi-scale voxel representations, coupling with a Circulated Loss for more accurate 3D occupancy predictions of different occupancy resolutions.
- 2 PROBLEM FORMULATION

**094** The objective of 3D occupancy prediction is to assess the voxelized 3D occupancy O of surrounding scenes given multiple surround-view image inputs  $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^{N_c}$ , where  $N_c$  denotes the number of cameras. Existing occupancy prediction frameworks mainly consist of three components: Image Encoder, View Transformer, and Occupancy Predictor. We formulate their functions as follows:

2.1 IMAGE ENCODER

**100 101 102 103** The Image Encoder usually consists of a pretrained image backbone (*e.g.*, ResNet-50 [He et al.](#page-9-6) [\(2016\)](#page-9-6)) and a feature pyramid network for extracting the surround-view 2D image features  $F_{\text{img}} \in$  $\mathbb{R}^{N_c \times C \times H \times W}$ , where C denotes the embedding dimensions of the feature space, and  $(H, W)$  represents the scale of 2D feature maps.

**104 105**

**106**

- 2.2 VIEW TRANSFORMER
- **107** The View Transformer is a fundamental module in occupancy frameworks that transforms 2D image features  $F_{\text{img}}$  to 3D BEV representations  $F_{\text{BEV}} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times X \times Y \times Z}$ , where  $(X, Y, Z)$  denotes the target

**108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115** resolution of 3D volumes. There are two main patterns: explicit view transformation (EVT) and implicit view transformation (IVT). EVT methods [Philion & Fidler](#page-10-3) [\(2020\)](#page-10-3); [Huang et al.](#page-9-5) [\(2021\)](#page-9-5) first calculate explicit depth distribution maps  $D_{\text{img}}$  of 2D image features, then conduct voxel pooling on the outer product  $F_{\text{img}} \otimes D_{\text{img}}$  to generate 3D BEV representations. On the other hand, IVT methods [Li et al.](#page-10-2) [\(2022\)](#page-10-2); [Wang et al.](#page-10-8) [\(2022\)](#page-10-8) directly learn implicit mapping relationships between the 2D feature maps and 3D voxel grids with BEV queries and corresponding sampling offsets. To promote reversible view transformations, we propose the following assumption and proposition for general formulations and theoretical insights.

**116 117 118 119 120 Assumption 1.** Let  $A_{VT} \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times XYZ}$  denote the general transition matrix for view transfor*mation, i.e.,*  $F_{\text{BEV}} = F_{\text{img}} \cdot A_{\text{VT}}$ , for both EVT and IVT methods, we can factorize the transition *matrix as the Kronecker product of two transition score matrices and formulate the view transformation process as follows:*

$$
F_{\text{BEV}} = F_{\text{img}} \cdot A_{\text{VT}} = F_{\text{img}} \cdot (A_{\text{img}} \otimes A_{\text{BEV}}) \tag{1}
$$

**122 123** where  $A_{\text{img}} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W}$ ,  $A_{\text{BEV}} \in \mathbb{R}^{X \times Y \times Z}$  denote the 2D and 3D transition score matrices respec*tively, and* ⊗ *represent the Kronecker product operation.*

**124 125 126 127 128 129** The insight behind the assumption is that the essence of view transformation is to learn the correspondence among 2D pixels and 3D voxels, which can be considered as calculating the similarity score regarding each 2D pixel and 3D voxel. Therefore, we further decompose the procedure as first generating score matrices of 2D image features and 3D BEV representations respectively, then calculating the transition matrix with Kronecker product for pixel-voxel similarity scores.

**130 131 132** Proposition 1. *Under previous Assumption 1, a reversible view transformation requires an invertible transition matrix, which is equivalent to invertible 2D and 3D transition score matrices. The reverse view transformation can be formulated as follows:*

$$
F_{\rm img} = F_{\rm BEV} \cdot A_{\rm VT}^{-1} = F_{\rm BEV} \cdot (A_{\rm img}^{-1} \otimes A_{\rm BEV}^{-1})
$$
 (2)

**Proof.** This follows directly from the property of Kronecker product, that  $A \otimes B$  is invertible if and only if A and B are invertible, and the inverse is given by  $(A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}$  $\Box$ 

#### **138** 2.3 OCCUPANCY PREDICTOR

**140 141 142 143** The Occupancy Predictor takes the BEV representations  $F_{\text{BEV}}$  as input and generates the 3D occupancy prediction results  $O \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{cls}} \times X \times Y \times Z}$ , where  $N_{\text{cls}}$  denotes the number of candidate classes, the value of  $N_{\text{cls}}$  is set to 2 for the scene completion (SC) task and 17 for the semantic scene completion (SSC) task.

## 3 APPROACH

**147 148 149 150 151 152 153** Figure [1](#page-3-0) illustrates the proposed Bi-directional Circulated 3D Occupancy Prediction (BiC-Occ) framework, which consists of three key components: (1) an Image Encoder for extracting 2D image features, (2) a Bi-directional View Transformer (Bi-VT) module that addresses the sparsity of voxel labels by approximating an invertible transition matrix through tensor factorization and recovery for reversible view transformation with self-consistency, (3) a Circulated Interpolation Predictor (CIP) module that addresses the ambiguity of voxel labels via leveraging local geometric structures to align different occupancy resolutions.

**154 155**

**121**

**139**

**144 145 146**

## 3.1 BI-DIRECTIONAL VIEW TRANSFORMER

**156 157 158 159 160 161** The Bi-directional View Transformer (Bi-VT) module consists of three blocks to approximate an invertible transition matrix for addressing the sparsity of voxel labels. The Forward Projection and Backward Projection blocks first generate bi-directional 2D-to-3D mapping and 3D-to-2D sampling distributions respectively, extracting transition score matrices for the following tensor factorization and recover. Then the Invertible Refinement block adopts vector-matrix decomposition and truncated singular value decomposition to factorize and recovery the principal parts of the forward and backward projection to approximate reversible view transformation.

**187**

**191 192**

**195**

**201 202**

**212 213 214**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 1: The overall architecture of our BiC-Occ framework. The Bi-directional View Transformer (Bi-VT) module approximates the invertible transition matrix through tensor factorization and recovery. The Circulated Interpolation Predictor (CIP) module leverages local geometric structures to align different occupancy resolutions for alleviating ambiguity in occupancy prediction results.

**181 182 183 184 185 186** Forward Projection. To model the forward 2D-to-3D mapping process, we follow the explicit view transformation pipelines [Philion & Fidler](#page-10-3) [\(2020\)](#page-10-3); [Huang et al.](#page-9-5) [\(2021\)](#page-9-5), where the 2D pixels take the initiative in view transformation and the 3D voxels passively accept features from the images. Specifically, given the extracted image features  $F_{\text{img}}$  and depth distribution maps  $D_{\text{img}}$ , we utilize fully connected (FC) layers to distill the feature and depth vectors at each coordinate into a single score value:

$$
S_{\text{feat}} = \text{FC}(F_{\text{img}}), \quad S_{\text{depth}} = \text{FC}(D_{\text{img}})
$$
(3)

**188 189 190** where  $S_{\text{feat}}$ ,  $S_{\text{depth}}$  denote the feature and depth score maps respectively, indicating the significance of each coordinate with respect to the feature space and depth dimension. Then, we compute the 2D and 3D transition score matrices as follows:

$$
A_{\text{img}}^{\text{fore}} = S_{\text{feat}} \cdot S_{\text{depth}}, \quad A_{\text{BEV}}^{\text{fore}} = \text{GAP}(F_{\text{BEV}})
$$
(4)

**193 194** where  $GAP(\cdot)$  denotes the global average pooling layer for distilling the transition scores at each voxel grid.

**196 197 198 199 200** Backward Projection. To calculate the backward 3D-to-2D sampling functions, we adopt the implicit view transformation frameworks [Li et al.](#page-10-2) [\(2022\)](#page-10-2); [Wang et al.](#page-10-8) [\(2022\)](#page-10-8), where the 3D voxels are filled with initial query values and then project 3D points back onto the images with sampling offsets. Specifically, the 2D and 3D transition matrices are computed with 2D and 3D global average pooling layers as follows:

$$
A_{\text{img}}^{\text{back}} = \text{GAP}(F_{\text{img}}), \quad A_{\text{BEV}}^{\text{back}} = \text{GAP}(F_{\text{BEV}})
$$
 (5)

**203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211** Invertible Refinement. An ideal view transformation pipeline is to generate a reversible projection from 2D image features to 3D voxel representations. However, the high rank of the transition matrix and the sparsity of voxel labels hinders efficient optimization and accurate supervision for learning reversible view transformations. To approximate reversible view transformations and invertible transition matrices and improve the efficiency and accuracy of supervisions, we first adopt vector-matrix (VM) decomposition to lower the dimension of 3D transition score matrices, then we utilize the truncated singular value decomposition (T-SVD) further approaching invertible matrices. Specifically, considering that the height dimension provides less information compared to the other two dimensions, we decompose the 3D voxel space along the vertical axis and horizontal plane:

$$
A_{\text{BEV}}^{\text{VT}} = \sum_{i} A_{Zi}^{\text{VT}} \circ A_{XYi}^{\text{VT}} \tag{6}
$$

**215** where  $VT \in \{\text{fore, back}\}\$  denotes the type of 3D transition score matrices,  $A_{Z_i}^{VT}$  represents the vertical factor, and  $A_{XYi}^{VT}$  is the horizontal factor. Then we conduct the truncated singular value **216 217 218** decomposition on the horizontal factor, where the top- $k$  singular values and corresponding eigenvectors are selected for the recovery of matrices:

$$
U_i^{\text{VT}}, \Sigma_i^{\text{VT}}, V_i^{\text{VT}} = \mathbf{T} - \text{SVD}(A_{XYi}^{\text{VT}} | k)
$$
\n<sup>(7)</sup>

where k is the truncated thresholds,  $\Sigma_i^{\text{VT}}$  denotes the diagonal matrix of the top-k singular values, and  $U_i^{\text{VT}}, V_i^{\text{VT}}$  represent the matrices of left and right eigenvectors respectively. Finally, our approximation of the invertible transition matrix is recovered as follows:

$$
A_{inv} = \sum_{\mathbf{VT}} A_{\text{img}}^{\mathbf{VT}} \otimes \sum_{i} A_{Zi}^{\mathbf{VT}} \circ (U_i^{\mathbf{VT}} \Sigma_i^{\mathbf{VT}} V_i^{\mathbf{VT}}) \tag{8}
$$

Thus, we are able to conduct approximately reversible view transformations as follows:

$$
F_{\rm BEV} = F_{\rm img} \cdot A_{inv} \tag{9}
$$

which addresses the sparsity of voxel labels with VM decomposition reducing the matrix rank and T-SVD improving information density, enabling more efficient and accurate supervision.

### 3.2 CIRCULATED INTERPOLATION PREDICTOR

**236 237 238 239 240 241** The Circulated Interpolation Predictor (CIP) module is proposed to address the ambiguity of voxel labels by aligning multi-scale BEV representations. The Geometric Interpolation block is first adopted to align multi-scale BEV representations regarding local geometric structures in a circulated manner. Then we design the Circulated Loss as supervision of both geometric similarity and prediction accuracy among different occupancy resolutions, correcting the ambiguous voxels with consistency across different occupancy resolutions.

**243 244 245 246** Geometric Interpolation. The Geometric Interpolation block aims to address the ambiguity of voxel labels by leveraging local geometric structures. For instance, within a  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  voxel cube, if all 26 surrounding voxels are classified as "vegetation", it is highly probable that the central voxel also belongs to the "vegetation" class, irrespective of its initial predicted occupancy.

**247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255** Specifically, suppose we are given two BEV representations with different resolutions, termed as  $F_{\text{BEV}}^h \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times X^h \times Y^h \times Z^h}$  with higher resolution and  $F_{\text{BEV}}^l \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times X^l \times Y^l \times Z^l}$  with lower resolution. The geometric interpolation block works in a circulated manner, conducting both down-scale and upscale alignment. (1) *Down-scale alignment* gathers high-resolution voxels in a cubic area as a single low-resolution voxel. To generate more accurate low-resolution voxel semantics, we first adopt the 3D convolution layer to compute the geometric gathering score (Geo-Gather Score)  $G_{\text{gather}}$  as abstract representations of geometric structures within each cubic area of high-resolution voxels. Then, we apply the downsample layer with average pooling to get initial downsample result, whose product with  $G_{\text{gather}}$  is computed as the result of down-scale alignment. The above process can be formulated as follows:

**256 257 258**

**242**

$$
G_{\text{gather}} = 3\text{DConv}(F_{\text{BEV}}^h), \quad F_{\text{BEV}}^{\text{down}} = F_{\text{BEV}}^l + \alpha \cdot G_{\text{gather}} \cdot \text{Down}(F_{\text{BEV}}^h) \tag{10}
$$

**259 260 261 262 263 264 265** where  $3DConv(\cdot)$  denotes the 3D convolution layer,  $Down(\cdot)$  represents the downsample layer, and  $F_{\text{BEV}}^{\text{dwon}}$  is the down-scale alignment output. (2) *Up-scale alignment* scatters a single low-resolution voxel into a cubic area of high resolution voxels. To generate more reasonable local geometric structures within scattered cubics, we first utilize the transpose 3D convolution layer to calculate the geometric scattering score (Geo-Scatter Score)  $G_{\text{scatter}}$ , modeling the correlations among the source voxel and scattered cubic voxels. Then, we adopt the upsample layer with trilinear interpolations to generate initial upsample representations, whose product with  $G_{\text{scatter}}$  is computed as the up-scale alignment output. The above procedure is formulated as follows:

- **266**
- **267**

$$
G_{\text{scatter}} = \text{T} - 3\text{DConv}(F_{\text{BEV}}^l), \quad F_{\text{BEV}}^{\text{up}} = F_{\text{BEV}}^h + \alpha \cdot G_{\text{scatter}} \cdot \text{Up}(F_{\text{BEV}}^l)
$$
(11)

**268 269**

where  $T - 3DConv(\cdot)$  denotes the transpose 3D convolution layer, Up( $\cdot$ ) represents the upsample layer, and  $F_{\text{BEV}}^{\text{up}}$  is the up-scale alignment output,  $\alpha$  is the shared weight hyper-parameter.

**270 271 272 273** Circulated Loss. To cope with the Circulated Interpolation block, we further design the Circulated Loss as the supervision of both prediction accuracy and geometric similarity among different occupancy resolutions:

$$
L_{\text{Circ}} = L_{\text{CE}}(F_{\text{BEV}}^{up}, V^h) + L_{\text{CE}}(F_{\text{BEV}}^{down}, V^l) + \beta \cdot L \text{sim}(F_{\text{BEV}}^{up}, F_{\text{BEV}}^{down})
$$
(12)

**275 276 277 278 279 280** where  $L_{\text{sim}}(\cdot, \cdot)$  represents the similarity loss function,  $L_{\text{CE}}(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the cross entropy loss function, and  $V^h$ ,  $V^l$  is the stand for the voxel labels of higher and lower resolutions respectively,  $\beta$  is the weight hyper-parameter. The cross-entropy loss provides direct prediction accuracy supervision for general optimization on occupancy predictions with different resolutions. On the other hand, similarity loss is adopted to correct local ambiguity by promoting self-consistency among the local geometric structures of different resolutions.

## 4 EXPERIMENTS

**284 285 286 287** In accordance with existing 3D occupancy prediction methods, extensive experiments and analyses are conducted to validate the BiC-Occ framework on the Occ3d-nuScenes dataset [Tian et al.](#page-10-9) [\(2024\)](#page-10-9). The subsequent sections provide details on the experimental setup, result comparisons, and corresponding analyses.

**288 289**

**298**

**274**

**281 282 283**

4.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

**290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297** Dataset. Occ3d-nuScenes [Tian et al.](#page-10-9) [\(2024\)](#page-10-9) is a large-scale autonomous dataset, which provides validation occupancy ground truth labels as a supplement to the popular nuScenes dataset [Caesar](#page-9-7) [et al.](#page-9-7) [\(2020\)](#page-9-7). The dataset includes 700 scenes for training and 150 scenes for validation, where each frame contains six surround-view RGB images with voxel-wise semantic occupancy labels. The occupancy supervision scope ranges in  $[-40m, 40m]$  for the X, Y axis and  $[-1m, 5.4m]$  for the Z axis. The original surround-view images are with size  $900 \times 1600$ , which we resized to the size of  $254 \times 704$  as input. The output occupancy predictions are in  $200 \times 200 \times 16$  shape with a voxel size of 0.4m.

**299 300 301** Evaluation Metrics. Following the evaluation metric in [Tian et al.](#page-10-9) [\(2024\)](#page-10-9), we adopt the standard IoU metric, ignoring the semantic classes of occupied voxels, for the scene completion (SC) task and the mIoU metric over all semantic classes for the semantic scene completion (SSC) task.

$$
IoU = \frac{TP}{TP + FP + FN}, \quad \text{mIoU} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{c=1}^{C} \frac{TP_c}{TP_c + FP_c + FN_c}
$$
(13)

where  $TP, FP, FN$  represent the number of true positive, false positive, and false negative occupancy predictions, and C stands for the total number of classes.

**308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315** Implementation Details. For all experimental settings, our BiC-Occ framework is trained with a batch size of 8 on 4 NVIDIA A6000 GPUs, and adopts AdamW [Loshchilov & Hutter](#page-10-10) [\(2017\)](#page-10-10) optimizer with a learning rate of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  and a weight decay of 0.01. To be consistent with existing methods [Tian et al.](#page-10-9) [\(2024\)](#page-10-9); [Huang & Huang](#page-9-8) [\(2022\)](#page-9-8), we adopt ResNet-50 [He et al.](#page-9-6) [\(2016\)](#page-9-6) as image backbones, where the input images are resized to  $256 \times 704$ . Following [Huang et al.](#page-9-5) [\(2021\)](#page-9-5), we adopt image augmentations as well as BEV data augmentations including random scaling, random cropping, random rotation, and random flipping. We train our models for 24 epochs before evaluating them for the 3D occupancy prediction task.

#### **316 317** 4.2 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

**318 319 320 321 322 323** Table [1](#page-6-0) presents the 3D occupancy prediction results on the Occ3d-nuScenes validation dataset, where our BiC-Occ approach achieves the state-of-the-art performance with 0.5% improvement in Intersection over Union (IoU) for the scene completion (SC) task and  $0.1\%$  increase in mean Intersection over Union (mIoU) for the semantic scene completion (SSC) task. The performance improvements of our BiC-Occ approach are attributed to the mitigation of sparsity and ambiguity of voxel labels. Specifically, the Bi-VT module addresses the sparsity of voxel labels with tensor factorization and recovery for reversible view transformation with self-consistency between 2D



**324 325** Table 1: 3D occupancy prediction results on the Occ3d-nuScenes validation dataset. Best results are highlighted in bold, and the second-best results are underlined.

image features and 3D BEV representations. Additionally, the CIP module resolves the ambiguity of occupancy predictions with a circulated alignment across multi-scale BEV representations, promoting consistency across different occupancy resolutions for the correction of local ambiguity. Together, these complementary modules address the sparsity and ambiguity of voxel labels for more accurate 3D occupancy prediction. COTR [Ma et al.](#page-10-12) [\(2024\)](#page-10-12) integrates the above two patterns into a Geometry-aware Occupancy Encoder, generating compact occupancy representations for better performance.

Table 2: Ablation study on the Occ3d-nuScenes dataset of different components of our BiC-Occ.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

Method	SC. IoU	<b>SSC</b> mIoU		bus		mot			$\mathbb{H}$	sider		egetati
<b>Baseline</b>		[71.21 39.58   46.38 26.74 44.86 51.72 26.02 27.09 27.6 29.04 31.92 38.47 80.69 40.46 51.2 54.11 45.66 39.96										
Bi-VT		74.75 43.24	50.2 31.39 45.99 54.29 30.37 31.57 29.74 33.8 35.34 41.05 83.66 45.58 55.29 58.74 50.59 45.0									
<b>CIP</b>		74.38 43.51 51.03 31.25 45.32 54.91 29.71 32.28 29.98 34.13 36.61 42.04 83.74 46.35 55.9 58.18 50.35 44.98										
BiC-Occ			44.6 52.23 32.73 46.38 55.72 30.6 32.98 30.7 35.76 37.6 43.12 84.21 47.12 56.63 59.76 52.23 46.45									

### 4.3 ABLATION STUDY

**358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367** To validate the contributions of different components of our proposed BiC-Occ approach, we conduct ablation experiments on the Occ3d-nuScenes validation dataset. We gradually integrate the Bi-directional View Transformer (Bi-VT) module and the Circulated Interpolation Predictor (CIP) module into the baseline method [Huang & Huang](#page-9-8) [\(2022\)](#page-9-8), and the results are illustrated in Table [2.](#page-6-1) It can be observed that adding Bi-VT enhances the 3D occupancy prediction performance by 3.54% in IoU and 3.66% in mIoU. Incorporating CIP further yields performance improvements of 3.17% IoU and 3.93% mIoU over the baseline. These results demonstrate the effectiveness of promoting selfconsistency within different perception views and occupancy resolutions for addressing the sparsity and ambiguity of voxel labels. Furthermore, the Bi-VT module and CIP module show synergistic effects, together leading to superior performance with 4.29% IoU and 5.02% mIoU improvement over the baseline method.

**368 369 370**

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**326**

**331**

**334**

### 4.4 PARAMETER ANALYSES

**371 372 373 374 375 376 377** To further investigate the effectiveness of our BiC-Occ approach, we conduct parameter analyses of the weight hyper-parameter alpha and beta for the Geometric Interpolation block and Circulated Loss respectively. Table [3](#page-7-0) presents the experimental results with various values of  $\alpha$ . Setting  $\alpha$ to 0 equals the traditional interpolations without geometric structure information, suffering from local ambiguity. However, with positive  $\alpha$  values, local geometric structures are incorporated for better alignment across different occupancy resolutions, correcting local ambiguity for improved performance. We evaluate the impact of  $\beta$  for the Circulated Loss in table [4.](#page-7-0) It can be observed that the similarity loss term improves the occupancy performance by constraining the geometric

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

consistency within different occupancy resolutions. For optimal performance, we set  $\alpha = 1.0$  and  $\beta = 0.5$  in our BiC-Occ framework.

### 4.5 VISUALIZATIONS

Figure [2](#page-7-1) demonstrates the visualization results from the Occ3d-nuScenes validation dataset. The surround-view input images are illustrated in the first and third lines. In the first row, the occupancy ground truth is outlined with blue boxes. The second row presents the occupancy predictions generated by the baseline method, where false predictions are indicated with black boxes. While the third row displays the results of our BiC-Occ approach, and orange boxes highlight our refinement for more accurate occupancy predictions. The above qualitative analyses validate the effectiveness of our BiC-Occ framework for improving 3D occupancy prediction performance.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

 Figure 2: Visualization results on the Occ3d-nuScenes validation dataset. The occupancy ground truth is outlined with blue boxes. While black boxes indicate erroneous occupancy predictions of the baseline method, and orange boxes highlight more accurate predictions by our BiC-Occ. Better viewed when zoomed in.

#### **432 433** 5 RELATED WORK

**434 435 436 437** In this section, we briefly review the literature on two aspects related to this paper: voxel-based scene representation and BEV-based scene representation. Voxel-based methods are popular in LIDARbased scene perception, while BEV-based methods have attracted more attention in vision-based scene perception due to their computation efficiency.

**439 440** 5.1 VOXEL-BASED SCENE REPRESENTATION

**441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455** Obtaining an effective representation of a 3D scene is a pivotal procedure in the field of autonomous driving. One prominent pattern is voxel-based scene representation, which discretizes the 3D space into voxels and assigns a feature vector to represent each voxel [Zhou & Tuzel](#page-11-0) [\(2018\)](#page-11-0); [Zhu et al.](#page-11-1) [\(2021\)](#page-11-1). This technique excels in constructing fine-grained 3D scene structures, and has empowered the success of several tasks such as lidar segmentation [Liong et al.](#page-10-0) [\(2020\)](#page-10-0); [Tang et al.](#page-10-13) [\(2020\)](#page-10-13); [Cheng et al.](#page-9-0)  $(2021)$ ; [Ye et al.](#page-11-7)  $(2021; 2023)$  $(2021; 2023)$  and 3D scene completion [Cao & de Charette](#page-9-1)  $(2022)$ ; [Roldao et al.](#page-10-14) [\(2020\)](#page-10-14); [Chen et al.](#page-9-2) [\(2020\)](#page-9-2); [Li et al.](#page-9-9) [\(2020\)](#page-9-9); [Yan et al.](#page-11-3) [\(2021\)](#page-11-3); [Li et al.](#page-10-1) [\(2023b;](#page-10-1)[a\)](#page-9-10). Although voxel-based scene representation has made significant progress in LIDAR-based scene perception, its application in vision-based scene understanding has remained relatively unexplored. MonoScene [Cao & de Charette](#page-9-1) [\(2022\)](#page-9-1) is one pioneering work to reconstruct 3D scene with only RGB inputs, which projects image features to all possible positions in the 3D space along optical rays, initially obtaining a voxel representation and processing it with a 3D Unet afterward. TPV-Former [Huang et al.](#page-9-3) [\(2023\)](#page-9-3) further extends it to multi-camera 3D occupancy prediction through a tri-perspective view representation, which lifts and projects image features to three perpendicular planes. However, voxel-based scene representation methods still suffer from high computation complexity due to the large amount of voxels, which limits their application to larger scenes.

**456 457**

**438**

## 5.2 BEV-BASED SCENE REPRESENTATION

**458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476** In recognition of the fact that the height dimension entails less information compared to the other two dimensions, BEV-based scene representation methods implicitly encapsulate height information within each BEV grid to form more compact and efficient scene representations [Lang et al.](#page-9-4) [\(2019\)](#page-9-4). Recent studies in BEV-based scene representation have focused on refining BEV representations with reliable depth estimation, which can be divided into two main streams. One stream of works adopts BEV queries to implicitly integrate depth information from image features [Jiang et al.](#page-9-11) [\(2023\)](#page-9-11); [Li et al.](#page-10-2) [\(2022\)](#page-10-2). Another stream of works explicitly generates a depth map for each input image, and then projects 2D features into 3D space followed by BEV pooling operations [Philion & Fidler](#page-10-3) [\(2020\)](#page-10-3); [Huang et al.](#page-9-5) [\(2021\)](#page-9-5); [Reading et al.](#page-10-4) [\(2021\)](#page-10-4); [Liang et al.](#page-10-15) [\(2022\)](#page-10-15); [Zhang et al.](#page-11-4) [\(2022\)](#page-11-4); [Li et al.](#page-10-6) [\(2023d\)](#page-10-6); [Liu et al.](#page-10-5) [\(2023\)](#page-10-5). Among them, the pioneering and fundamental work is the Lift-Splat-Shot (LSS) [Philion & Fidler](#page-10-3) [\(2020\)](#page-10-3) paradigm, which proposes an end-to-end pipeline to "lift" each image individually into a frustum of features, "splat" all frustums into a rasterized BEV grid, and then "shoot" template trajectories into a BEV cost map. Inspired by the LSS paradigm, BEVDet [Huang](#page-9-5) [et al.](#page-9-5) [\(2021\)](#page-9-5) proposes a general BEV-based pipeline for scene understanding, which consists of four parts: Image-view Encoder, View Transfromer, BEV Encoder, and Task-specific Head. Efforts have been made upon view transformation to obtain better BEV features with precise depth estimation. BEVDepth [Li et al.](#page-10-6) [\(2023d\)](#page-10-6) introduces a camera-aware depth estimation module together with a depth refinement module to facilitate more accurate depth learning. BEVStereo [Li et al.](#page-10-7) [\(2023c\)](#page-10-7) further enhances depth estimation with dynamic temporal stereo information, tackling ill-posed issues and improving computational efficiency as well.

**477 478**

**479**

# 6 CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

**480 481 482 483 484 485** We have identified the challenges of sparsity and ambiguity rooted in voxel labels for the 3D occupancy prediction task, which limits the view transformation accuracy and occupancy prediction performance. To address these challenges, this paper introduces the Bi-directional Circulated 3D Occupancy Prediction (BiC-Occ) framework, consisting of two key modules to alleviate the sparsity and ambiguity of voxel labels respectively. The Bi-directional View Transformer module is proposed to approximate a reversible view transformation, alleviating the sparse supervision with self-consistency between 2D image features and 3D BEV representations. In addition, the Circulated

**486 487 488 489** Interpolation Predictor module exploits local geometric structures to align multi-scale BEV representations in a circulated manner, correcting local ambiguity for more accurate 3D occupancy prediction results. These modules together mitigate the sparsity and ambiguity challenges and achieve state-of-the-art performance on the Occ3D-nuScenes [Tian et al.](#page-10-9) [\(2024\)](#page-10-9) dataset.

**490**

**496 497 498**

**510**

<span id="page-9-10"></span>**537**

**491 492 493 494 495 Limitations.** In this work, we have demonstrated that it is possible to compensate for the sparsity and ambiguity of voxel labels with self-consistency regarding 2D-3D representations and multi-scale predictions. We view this as a starting attempt to reduce the dependency on annotated voxel labels, and future work will focus on self-supervised self-consistent occupancy prediction frameworks for efficient and practical applications.

# **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-9-7"></span>**499 500 501 502** Holger Caesar, Varun Bankiti, Alex H Lang, Sourabh Vora, Venice Erin Liong, Qiang Xu, Anush Krishnan, Yu Pan, Giancarlo Baldan, and Oscar Beijbom. nuscenes: A multimodal dataset for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 11621–11631, 2020.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>**503 504 505 506** Anh-Quan Cao and Raoul de Charette. Monoscene: Monocular 3d semantic scene completion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 3991–4001, 2022.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>**507 508 509** Xiaokang Chen, Kwan-Yee Lin, Chen Qian, Gang Zeng, and Hongsheng Li. 3d sketch-aware semantic scene completion via semi-supervised structure prior. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 4193–4202, 2020.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>**511 512 513** Ran Cheng, Ryan Razani, Ehsan Taghavi, Enxu Li, and Bingbing Liu. 2-s3net: Attentive feature fusion with adaptive feature selection for sparse semantic segmentation network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 12547–12556, 2021.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>**514 515 516 517** Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 770–778, 2016.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span><span id="page-9-5"></span>**518 519** Junjie Huang and Guan Huang. Bevdet4d: Exploit temporal cues in multi-camera 3d object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.17054*, 2022.
	- Junjie Huang, Guan Huang, Zheng Zhu, Yun Ye, and Dalong Du. Bevdet: High-performance multicamera 3d object detection in bird-eye-view. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.11790*, 2021.
	- Yuanhui Huang, Wenzhao Zheng, Yunpeng Zhang, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Tri-perspective view for vision-based 3d semantic occupancy prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 9223–9232, 2023.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span><span id="page-9-3"></span>**527 528 529** Yanqin Jiang, Li Zhang, Zhenwei Miao, Xiatian Zhu, Jin Gao, Weiming Hu, and Yu-Gang Jiang. Polarformer: Multi-camera 3d object detection with polar transformer. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 37, pp. 1042–1050, 2023.
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>**530 531 532 533** Alex H Lang, Sourabh Vora, Holger Caesar, Lubing Zhou, Jiong Yang, and Oscar Beijbom. Pointpillars: Fast encoders for object detection from point clouds. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 12697–12705, 2019.
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>**534 535 536** Jie Li, Kai Han, Peng Wang, Yu Liu, and Xia Yuan. Anisotropic convolutional networks for 3d semantic scene completion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 3351–3359, 2020.
- **538 539** Yiming Li, Sihang Li, Xinhao Liu, Moonjun Gong, Kenan Li, Nuo Chen, Zijun Wang, Zhiheng Li, Tao Jiang, Fisher Yu, et al. Sscbench: A large-scale 3d semantic scene completion benchmark for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.09001*, 2023a.

<span id="page-10-7"></span>**544**

**581**

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

- **545 546 547** Yinhao Li, Han Bao, Zheng Ge, Jinrong Yang, Jianjian Sun, and Zeming Li. Bevstereo: Enhancing depth estimation in multi-view 3d object detection with temporal stereo. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 37, pp. 1486–1494, 2023c.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>**548 549 550** Yinhao Li, Zheng Ge, Guanyi Yu, Jinrong Yang, Zengran Wang, Yukang Shi, Jianjian Sun, and Zeming Li. Bevdepth: Acquisition of reliable depth for multi-view 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 37, pp. 1477–1485, 2023d.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>**551 552 553 554** Zhiqi Li, Wenhai Wang, Hongyang Li, Enze Xie, Chonghao Sima, Tong Lu, Yu Qiao, and Jifeng Dai. Bevformer: Learning bird's-eye-view representation from multi-camera images via spatiotemporal transformers. In *European conference on computer vision*, pp. 1–18. Springer, 2022.
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>**555 556 557** Zhiqi Li, Zhiding Yu, Wenhai Wang, Anima Anandkumar, Tong Lu, and Jose M Alvarez. Fbbev: Bev representation from forward-backward view transformations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 6919–6928, 2023e.
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>**558 559 560** Tingting Liang, Hongwei Xie, Kaicheng Yu, Zhongyu Xia, Zhiwei Lin, Yongtao Wang, Tao Tang, Bing Wang, and Zhi Tang. Bevfusion: A simple and robust lidar-camera fusion framework. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:10421–10434, 2022.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>**561 562 563 564** Venice Erin Liong, Thi Ngoc Tho Nguyen, Sergi Widjaja, Dhananjai Sharma, and Zhuang Jie Chong. Amvnet: Assertion-based multi-view fusion network for lidar semantic segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.04934*, 2020.
	- Zhijian Liu, Haotian Tang, Alexander Amini, Xinyu Yang, Huizi Mao, Daniela L Rus, and Song Han. Bevfusion: Multi-task multi-sensor fusion with unified bird's-eye view representation. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pp. 2774–2781. IEEE, 2023.
	- Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017.
- <span id="page-10-12"></span><span id="page-10-10"></span>**572 573 574** Qihang Ma, Xin Tan, Yanyun Qu, Lizhuang Ma, Zhizhong Zhang, and Yuan Xie. Cotr: Compact occupancy transformer for vision-based 3d occupancy prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 19936–19945, 2024.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>**575 576 577** Jonah Philion and Sanja Fidler. Lift, splat, shoot: Encoding images from arbitrary camera rigs by implicitly unprojecting to 3d. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XIV 16*, pp. 194–210. Springer, 2020.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>**578 579 580** Cody Reading, Ali Harakeh, Julia Chae, and Steven L Waslander. Categorical depth distribution network for monocular 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 8555–8564, 2021.
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>**582 583 584** Luis Roldao, Raoul de Charette, and Anne Verroust-Blondet. Lmscnet: Lightweight multiscale 3d semantic completion. In *2020 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*, pp. 111–119. IEEE, 2020.
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>**585 586 587** Haotian Tang, Zhijian Liu, Shengyu Zhao, Yujun Lin, Ji Lin, Hanrui Wang, and Song Han. Searching efficient 3d architectures with sparse point-voxel convolution. In *European conference on computer vision*, pp. 685–702. Springer, 2020.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>**588 589 590 591** Xiaoyu Tian, Tao Jiang, Longfei Yun, Yucheng Mao, Huitong Yang, Yue Wang, Yilun Wang, and Hang Zhao. Occ3d: A large-scale 3d occupancy prediction benchmark for autonomous driving. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>**592 593** Yue Wang, Vitor Campagnolo Guizilini, Tianyuan Zhang, Yilun Wang, Hang Zhao, and Justin Solomon. Detr3d: 3d object detection from multi-view images via 3d-to-2d queries. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pp. 180–191. PMLR, 2022.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span> Yi Wei, Linqing Zhao, Wenzhao Zheng, Zheng Zhu, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Surroundocc: Multicamera 3d occupancy prediction for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 21729–21740, 2023.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span> Xu Yan, Jiantao Gao, Jie Li, Ruimao Zhang, Zhen Li, Rui Huang, and Shuguang Cui. Sparse single sweep lidar point cloud segmentation via learning contextual shape priors from scene completion. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pp. 3101–3109, 2021.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span> Dongqiangzi Ye, Zixiang Zhou, Weijia Chen, Yufei Xie, Yu Wang, Panqu Wang, and Hassan Foroosh. Lidarmultinet: Towards a unified multi-task network for lidar perception. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 37, pp. 3231–3240, 2023.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span> Maosheng Ye, Rui Wan, Shuangjie Xu, Tongyi Cao, and Qifeng Chen. Drinet++: Efficient voxelas-point point cloud segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.08318*, 2021.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span> Yunpeng Zhang, Zheng Zhu, Wenzhao Zheng, Junjie Huang, Guan Huang, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Beverse: Unified perception and prediction in birds-eye-view for vision-centric autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.09743*, 2022.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span> Yunpeng Zhang, Zheng Zhu, and Dalong Du. Occformer: Dual-path transformer for vision-based 3d semantic occupancy prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 9433–9443, 2023.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span> Yin Zhou and Oncel Tuzel. Voxelnet: End-to-end learning for point cloud based 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 4490– 4499, 2018.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span> Xinge Zhu, Hui Zhou, Tai Wang, Fangzhou Hong, Yuexin Ma, Wei Li, Hongsheng Li, and Dahua Lin. Cylindrical and asymmetrical 3d convolution networks for lidar segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 9939–9948, 2021.

 

- 
-