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Abstract

Pretrained language models have significantly improved the performance of downstream language understanding tasks, including extractive question answering, by providing high-quality contextualized word embeddings. However, training question answering models still requires large amounts of annotated data for specific domains. In this work, we propose a cooperative, self-play learning framework, REGEX, for automatically generating more non-trivial question-answer pairs to improve model performance. REGEX is built upon a masked answer extraction task with an interactive learning environment containing an answer entity REcognizer, a question Generator, and an answer EXtractor. Given a passage with a masked entity, the generator generates a question around the entity, and the extractor is trained to extract the masked entity with the generated question and raw texts. The framework allows the training of question generation and answering models on any text corpora without annotation. We further leverage a reinforcement learning technique to reward generating high-quality questions and to improve the answer extraction model’s performance. Experiment results show that REGEX outperforms the state-of-the-art (SOTA) pre-trained language models and transfer learning approaches on standard question-answering benchmarks, and yields the new SOTA performance under given model size and transfer learning settings.

1 Introduction

Recent studies have shown that language model pretraining provides high-quality text representations and significantly improves neural networks’ performance on a variety of natural language processing (NLP) tasks (Peters et al., 2018). Based on the popular Transformer architecture (Vaswani et al., 2017), various language models have been proposed (Devlin et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019; Clark et al., 2020). These models are pretrained to predict a masked word in a given context from large corpora, and generate a contextual representation that encodes semantic and syntactic information. After finetuning, these representations significantly improve performance on downstream NLP tasks. Although masked language modeling is a powerful self-supervised training technique, annotation on large-scaled data is still necessary for finetuning on difficult downstream tasks, including extractive question answering (QA)\footnote{Also referred to as machine reading comprehension. The two terms are used interchangeably in this paper.} where a large number of labeled question-answer pairs are required as a training corpora.

To build a QA model achieving performance comparable to state-of-the-art (SOTA) approaches with limited data annotation efforts, we explore semi-supervised transfer learning techniques to generate high-quality question-answer pairs for training. We propose a method consisting of two subtasks, answer entity recognition and question

![Figure 1: The pipeline of semi-supervised question answering (machine reading comprehension) by REGEX. AER (answer entity REcognition) agent recognizes answer entity from a given passage; QG (question Generation) generates a question based on the passage and recognized entity; QAE (question-answering EXtractor) extracts answer from the question and passage.](image-url)
generation, to generate the pairs automatically. Both the entity recognizer and the question generator are pretrained on a limited number of labeled passage-answer-question triples from a seed QA corpus, and then adapted to other unlabeled corpora to generate more pairs. The recognizer aims to identify possible answer spans, including key-phrases and named entities, from input passages. We replace the spans with ‘[MASK]’ tokens and feed the resulting passages into the generator. The generator is built to generate a fluent question that the passage and the masked entity can answer. With the entity recognizer and the question generator, we can automatically obtain labeled question-answer pairs from a text corpus in any target domain and enable the learning of high-performing QA models.

However, there is always a gap between the pre-training (i.e., seed) and the target corpus. We thus propose a reinforced training algorithm to better adapt the question generator and answer entity recognizer to the target domain and improve the learning of the QA models. In the algorithm, we construct a cooperative environment where a question generator and an answer extractor work together to solve a masked entity prediction problem. The question generator first outputs a question based on the passage masked by the recognizer described above. With the generated question and the original, unmasked passage, we train the answer extractor to select the correct answer spans, which are the masked entity. The extractor is also the final model used for extractive QA. To extract the spans accurately, the generator has to provide a good question, and the extractor should select the most likely tokens. We design the reward functions to favor the questions leading to correct answers. We also gradually increase the difficulty of generated questions (Karpukhin et al., 2020) by rewarding the questions that are not answered correctly but with low extraction losses via a stochastic expectation-maximization technique. The technique allows us to train the extractor with challenging examples incrementally. We call our algorithm REGEX since it incorporates an entity REcognizer, a question Generator, and an answer EXtractor.

With REGEX, we can train a QA model for any unlabeled target domain given the corresponding text corpora and a labeled QA corpus in a seed domain (either the same or different from the target). We show that REGEX outperforms SOTA approaches in QA benchmark datasets under the setting of finetuning without domain specific human labels. The pipeline of REGEX is illustrated in Figure 1. In this work, we make the following contributions:

1. We propose a cooperative transfer learning solution, REGEX, which contains an answer entity recognition, question generation, and answer span extraction to to automatically generate non-trivial question-answer pairs on unlabeled corpora.
2. We introduce a cooperative self-playing framework that adapts the models pretrained in a seed domain to the target domain and improves the joint training of the question generator and answer extractor empirically.
3. We design a stochastic expectation-maximization algorithm that identifies difficult but answerable questions without supervision to incrementally train the QA model with challenging examples.

2 Related Work

Reinforcement learning and self-training have emerged recently for learning language generation in addition to maximum likelihood training. To optimize text generation models directly with non-differentiable objective functions, Rennie et al. (2017) proposed self-critical sequence training (SCST) using a policy gradient (Kakade, 2001; Silver et al., 2014). On the other hand, self-training has been shown to be effective in many tasks, such as machine translation (He et al., 2019), image classification (Xie et al., 2020), and structured database-grounded question answering (Xu et al., 2020).

In contrast to self-training methods that usually adopt a teacher-student learning strategy, cooperative learning pipelines contain several agents working together to learn as much knowledge as possible. A typical cooperative learning framework is generative adversarial networks (GAN) (Goodfellow, 2016; Goodfellow et al., 2014), where a generator is optimized to confuse a discriminator, and a discriminator is trained to distinguish real examples from generated ones. Sequence GAN is further designed for learning diverse text generation (Yu et al., 2017). Unlike the adversarial learning method where two networks work for opposite goals, other studies proposed learning environments in which different agents learn the same objective functions for language emergence.
We first train an answer entity recognition (AER) model on a seed QA corpus. We build the entity recognizer this way because we found that using an off-the-shelf named entity recognition (NER) model pretrained on the CONLL 2003 shared task (Bender et al., 2003) performs poorly (as will be seen in our experiments). To learn the recognizer, given a passage \( p \) and an annotated answer entity \( e \), we randomly select a sentence \( s \) containing \( e \) from \( p \) and train a BERT-based BIO sequence tagging model that takes \( s \) as input to recognize the target answer entity \( e \). We use this method as a baseline (denoted as AER-Tag).

We also investigated an extraction-based AER model, which is similar to an extractive question answering model, for easier decoding. Given a randomly selected sentence \( s \), we train a model that predicts the start and end position of the answer entity \( e \). With this method, we get potential answer entities by sorting the sum of start and end scores of all candidate spans. We further re-rank the entities by selecting those leading to low question generation perplexities (denoted as AER-LM).

3.1 Pretrained Transfer Learning

A fully supervised question answering learning task requires a large number of annotated question-answer pairs. However, the annotation process is usually both expensive and biased. To address the issue, we explore the possibility of reducing the data annotation efforts by training QA models on synthetic data generated by pretrained answer entity recognition, question generation, and question answering models.

3.1.1 Answer Entity Recognition

We first train an answer entity recognition (AER) model on a seed QA corpus. We build the entity recognizer this way because we found that using an off-the-shelf named entity recognition (NER) model pretrained on the CONLL 2003 shared task (Bender et al., 2003) performs poorly (as will be seen in our experiments). To learn the recognizer, given a passage \( p \) and an annotated answer entity \( e \), we randomly select a sentence \( s \) containing \( e \) from \( p \) and train a BERT-based BIO sequence tagging model that takes \( s \) as input to recognize the target answer entity \( e \). We use this method as a baseline (denoted as AER-Tag).

We also investigated an extraction-based AER model, which is similar to an extractive question answering model, for easier decoding. Given a randomly selected sentence \( s \), we train a model that predicts the start and end position of the answer entity \( e \). With this method, we get potential answer entities by sorting the sum of start and end scores of all candidate spans. We further re-rank the entities by selecting those leading to low question generation perplexities (denoted as AER-LM).

3.1.2 Masked Question Generation

With AER, we replace the answer entity \( e \) in the passage \( p \) with a [MASK] token and obtain the masked passage \( p^* \). We then build a question generator \( Q \) (denoted as QG interchangeably) that outputs answerable questions \( q \) in natural language with the concatenation of \( p^* \) and \( e \) as input, i.e., \( q = Q([p^*, e]) \). We adopt the BART sequence-to-sequence model (Lewis et al., 2019a) as the architecture of \( Q \) in our implementation, and we train \( Q \) on the question-answer pairs of the Natural Questions corpus (Kwiatkowski et al., 2019) by maximizing the likelihood of annotated questions.

3.1.3 Question Answering

After pretraining the answer entity recognition and question generation models, we apply them to the target, unlabeled text corpora by recognizing an-
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swear entities and generating corresponding questions for training the answer extraction model. The answer extraction model \( A \) (denoted as QAE, question answering extractor) takes generated question \( q \) and the original passage \( p \) as inputs. Following the standard extractive QA method, we predict the answers by

\[
I_{st}, I_{ed} = A([q, p]) \tag{1}
\]

where \( I_{st} \) and \( I_{ed} \) stand for the start and end positions of \( e \) in \( p \), respectively. We train the QAE model to predict \( I_{st} \) and \( I_{ed} \) separately with cross-entropy losses.

### 3.2 Cooperative Transfer Learning

Although the pretrained models can generate synthetic QA pairs from corpora in different target domains, there is always a domain shift from the seed QA corpus for pretraining to the target. To efficiently adapt the pretrained models to the new domains, we propose a cooperative learning algorithm that allows finetuning on the target corpora without additional annotations. The finetuning is based on a three-agent cooperative environment, REGEX. The three agents, namely the answer entity recognizer (AER), question generator (QG), and answer extractor (QAE), work together to predict a target answer entity. The pipeline is illustrated in Figure 2 and comprises the following steps:

1. Produce a masked passage by replacing an answer entity selected by AER with the ‘[MASK]’ token.
2. Generate a question asking about the masked entity.
3. Feed the generated question and the original passage into the QAE to predict an answer span.
4. Optimize the QAE with selected QA pairs.
5. Optimize the QG with self-critical sequence training.

In the proposed pipeline, all the AER, QG, and QAE models need pretraining to provide a reasonable start point for the cooperative self-training. However, the domain gap between the pretraining and the target corpus causes performance degradation. To mitigate the gap, we propose to measure the quality of generated questions and incorporate the measurement in loss functions. The quality is defined in two folds, correctness and difficulty. Firstly, the question should be fluent and answerable, and secondly, it should not be too trivial. To automatically select high-quality generated QA pairs, we introduce a stochastic expectation-maximization (SEM) method that learns the question quality without supervision.

#### 3.2.1 Stochastic Expectation-Maximization

To improve cooperative self-training, we first divide the generated questions based on the QAE loss for each question into three groups: low-, medium-, and high-loss questions. We can interpret questions with low loss as simple ones that the QAE model can easily answer. Medium-loss questions are challenging for the QAE, while those with high loss usually contain noise (e.g., containing grammatical errors or asking about incorrect answers). If we train the answering model with all questions, the training signal would be very noisy due to the high-loss questions. If we only reward questions that are correctly answered, the generator will converge to a trivial local optima. Thus, we train the QAE model with the low- and medium-loss questions, and we reward the QG model with both simple and challenging questions. For the entire pipeline to be fully-automatic, we classify a given question into one of the three types described above by setting thresholds on the loss. Note that simply setting the thresholds as hyper-parameters does not work since the loss decreases as the QAE model is being trained. In order to find the thresholds adaptively, we propose the Stochastic Expectation-Maximization (SEM) algorithm.

Like standard EM, the SEM algorithm starts with a set of initial parameters \( \mu_i^0, \sigma_i^0 \), where \( i \in [0, 2] \) stands for one of the three types of questions. \( \mu_i \) is the mean value of the extraction loss led by the questions of type \( i \), and \( \sigma_i \) stands for the standard deviation of the losses of type-\( i \) questions. We update the values of \( \mu_i \) and \( \sigma_i \) in each training step. Assume the QAE model’s extraction loss is \( l_{jt} \), where \( j \) stands for the \( j^{th} \) sample in the current training batch, and \( t \) is the current training step. We decide the type of question \( j \) by

\[
c_j = \arg\min_i \frac{|l_{jt} - \mu_i|}{\sigma_i} \tag{2}
\]

where \( c_j \) is the predicted question type of training example \( j \). We then calculate the mean \( \hat{\mu}_i \) and standard variance \( \hat{\sigma}_i \) of the extraction losses for each question type over all the QA pairs in the current training batch, and update the global mean and standard variance of each type with

\[
x_{i+1} = x_i + \alpha \cdot \hat{\mu}_i \tag{3}
\]

where \( x \in \{\mu, \sigma\} \). \( \mu_{i+1} \) and \( \sigma_{i+1} \) stands for the new mean and standard variance of extraction losses for each class. The questions from the
next training batch will be classified with the updated parameters. We call this method stochastic EM because similar to stochastic gradient descent, the method updates trainable parameters batch-by-batch instead of observing all training data. In our implementation, we define $i = 0, 1, 2$ as low-, medium-, and high-loss questions, respectively.

### 3.2.2 Reinforced Question Generation

To improve the QG model on the target domain, we train the model using a policy gradient with rewards obtained from the SEM algorithm. We reward QG when generating questions that yield low or medium QAE losses. For a $q$ that should be rewarded, we calculate the rewards by

$$ r_q = \max(1 - \alpha \cdot D_{KL}(P(q) || P^*(q)), 0) \quad (4) $$

where $D_{KL}$ stands for the KL divergence, $P$ stands for the likelihood of $q$ based on the current generation model, $P^*$ stands for the pretrained (initial) generation model, and $\alpha$ is a positive coefficient tuned as a hyperparameter. We do not reward any question yielding high perplexity measured by $p^*$ since the question may contain noises. With the rewards, we train the QG model by maximizing the product of each generated question’s likelihood and the corresponding reward

$$ l_q = r_q \cdot \log P(q) \quad (5) $$

Rewarding questions with both low- and medium-losses makes QAE training more robust. Rewarding QG only with the low-loss ones likely leads to a trivial convergence, while training QAE only with the medium-loss QAs slows down the convergence.

### 3.2.3 Cooperative Answer Entity Recognition

In addition to the AER-Tag and AER-LM strategies introduced in Section 3.1.1, we propose a cooperative AER model (AER-Coop) for the self-training pipeline. We first enumerate top $N$ answer entities predicted by the pretrained extractive AER model. Instead of only sorting the answer entities by comparing the perplexities of questions they yield in QG, we also score the entities with the pretrained QAE model. If the QAE model successfully predicts the entity based on the generated question, we add a positive score to the entity for re-ranking. With this method, we sample top $k$ answer entities for self-training. We provide additional details of our AER methods in Appendix D.

### 4 Experiments

#### 4.1 Modules

In this work, we train three modules for building the cooperative self-training environment REGEX, i.e., the answer entity REcognizer (AER), the question Generator (QG), and the question-answering EXtractor (QAE). We used a BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) model for AER, a BART (Lewis et al., 2019a) model for QG, and an ELECTRA (Clark et al., 2020) model for QAE.

#### 4.2 Data

In our experiment work, we leveraged Natural Questions (Kwiatkowski et al., 2019) and SQuAD v1.1 (Rajpurkar et al., 2016) as the seed corpora for pretraining all modules introduced above. To evaluate the performance of the proposed REGEX on question answering tasks with different difficulty levels, we conduct experiments on both SQuAD v1.1 (Rajpurkar et al., 2016) and MRQA (Fisch et al., 2019) out-of-domains (BioASQ, TextbookQA, RACE, RelationExtraction, DuoRC, and DROP). More details about the data are provided in Appendix C. In the following sections, we use the term SQuAD to represent the SQuAD v1.1 corpus.

#### 4.3 Implementation Details

##### 4.3.1 Training

We pretrain the AER, QG, and QAE models on NaturalQuestions and SQuAD (i.e., the seed) corpora. For NaturalQuestions, we only use the data points containing a short answer. For Cooperative training, we follow the steps described in Section 3.2 for the cooperative training phase. Since we pretrain the AER model at the sentence level, we first split input passages into sentences and then run the AER model on each sentence to predict the answer entities. We introduce training details in Appendix B.

##### 4.3.2 Finetuning

For finetuning, we apply a similar method to preprocess the target corpus as we used in cooperative learning. We first recognize all possible answer entities in each passage in the target corpus’ training set and then generate questions with all the recognized entities to construct the initial question-answer pairs. The training corpus is constructed by selecting high-quality QA pairs using different filtering methods. AER and QG models are fixed here. With the training pairs, we finetune the QA...
model using the official Huggingface (Wolf et al., 2019) training scripts for question answering. We will open-source the REGEX framework if the submission is accepted. The hyperparameters we use are shown in Appendix B.

4.4 Experiment Results

We assess the performance of REGEX with both semi-annotated and zero-annotated evaluation on unseen domains. In our semi-annotated setting, we use the annotated answer entities in the target corpora but utilize QG to generate questions for obtaining the training question-answer pairs. The labeled questions are not used. We employ no annotation from the target corpora for the out-of-domain task but automatically construct the question-answer training pairs with entities and questions inferred by AER and QG on the corpora.

4.4.1 Semi-annotated Evaluation

The model performance with the pretrained QA model, REGEX, and SOTA trained with full-supervision is shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source domain: NQ, Target domain: SQuAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretrained NQ</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>80.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masked QG</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGEX</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Supervised Electra-large</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>94.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The performance of the question answering models in the semi-annotated setting. Pretrained NQ stands for the QA model trained on NaturalQuestions. Masked QG stands for training QA model with questions generated by a pretrained question generator. REGEX stands for our cooperative training approach. The Masked QG method here is different from the method in (Shakeri et al., 2020), since their model cannot generate questions according to given answers.

Table 1 shows that REGEX yields improvement over the pretrained model, approaching the SOTA performance of the fully trained ELECTRA-large-discriminator model. The experiment result suggests that the cooperative learning strategy improves the question generation model.

4.4.2 Out-of-domain Evaluation

We also evaluate the models in unseen domains, where we do not use any annotated questions and answer entities for finetuning. We train the QAE models based on the synthetic training data and evaluate the models on the target domains. We first compare the performance of different AER models and strategies by setting NQ as the source domain and SQuAD 1.1 as the target domain in Table 2. The results showed that the choice of AER model and strategy significantly influences the final QA performance. The low performance of the NER model trained on CONLL shared task suggests the importance of our AER module. We notice that the improvement from the cooperative learning over the pretrained models is higher in the zero-annotation setting than the semi-annotated task. The observation indicates that the model trained with REGEX is more robust against the automatically recognized answer entities.

The full evaluation results on MRQA out-of-domains are shown in Table 3. Besides the regular extractive training baseline, we also conduct experiments with the prompt tuning method (Lester et al., 2021) on the T5-large model (Raffel et al., 2020), which is shown to have better adaptation ability than regular model tuning. In the Prompt + REGEX experiments in Table 3, we only tune the prompt embeddings on generated QA pairs. The results show that the models trained with the REGEX framework achieve significantly higher EM and F1 scores on most domains, comparing to both pretrained and pretrained transfer models. The performance on the DROP benchmark drops since DROP requires multi-step reasoning, but the synthetic generation model tends to generate safe
Table 3: The QA performance evaluation on the out-of-domains of the MRQA benchmark.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>BioASQ</th>
<th>TextbookQA</th>
<th>RACE</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>DuoRC</th>
<th>DROP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>EM</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>EM</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>EM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRA-large</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretrained Trans.</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGEX w/o SEM</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGEX</td>
<td><strong>49.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>68.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>50.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>61.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>39.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>51.3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Evaluation of the answer hit rates and question BLEU scores of the synthetic data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Pretrained Trans.</th>
<th>REGEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hit</td>
<td>BLEU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioASQ</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TextbookQA</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACE</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DuoRC</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DROP</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5 Analysis

4.5.1 Case Study

An example of a SQuAD passage is shown in Table 5. We list the annotated and generated question-answer pairs by different models. The table shows that the models can recognize reasonable answer entities other than the annotated ones, and the REGEX model generates more readable questions.

4.5.2 Quality of Synthetic QA

We also evaluate the quality of the generated QA pairs without a downstream task by assessing the answer entity hit rate and the BLEU scores of generated questions using the evaluation sets of each domain. The results are shown in Table 4, indicating that REGEX finds more human-annotated answer entities, and the generated questions have higher BLEU scores on all domains. The evaluation results show that the synthetic QA pairs generated by REGEX cover more human annotated answer entities, and the generated questions are more similar to human annotations than the pretrained question generation model.

4.5.3 Diversity

Question Generation. We further analyze the quality of generated questions on SQuAD. We compare the lengths and vocabulary sizes of the questions and summarize the statistics in Table 6, which shows that the ground-truth questions are longer and more diverse in vocabulary than the generated ones. We observe a correlation between the vocabulary size and the QA performance reported in Table 1 and 2, presumably because the QAE model requires diverse knowledge for training. Thus, we believe generating more diverse QA pairs with good quality will be a critical next step to improve REGEX.
Architecturally, the school has a Catholic character. Atop the Main Building’s gold dome is a golden statue of the Virgin Mary. Immediately in front of the Main Building and facing it, is a copper statue of Christ with arms upraised with the legend “Venite Ad Me Omnes”. Next to the Main Building is the Basilica of the Sacred Heart. Immediately behind the basilica is the Grotto, a Marian place of prayer and reflection. It is a replica of the grotto at Lourdes, France where the Virgin Mary reputedly appeared to Saint Bernadette Soubirous in 1858. At the end of the main drive (and in a direct line that connects through 3 statues and the Gold Dome), is a simple, modern stone statue of Mary.

The Basilica of the Sacred heart at Notre Dame is beside to which structure? What is the grotto at Notre Dame? What is in front of the Notre Dame Main Building? The Main Building

Table 5: An example of a passage in the training set of the SQuAD corpus. We list the annotated question-answer pairs, and the question-answer pairs generated by the models pretrained on NQ and finetuned by REGEX. The bold texts are annotated or recognized answer entities. Adapting from NQ is difficult since the questions in NQ do not strictly coherent with a given context. More generation examples are shown in Appendix E.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Mean Len.</th>
<th>Std Len.</th>
<th>Vocab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground-truth</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>988703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-anno. PT</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>919105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-anno. REGEX</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>923191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero-anno. PT</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>789924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero-anno. REGEX</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>873300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: The vocabulary sizes and lengths of Annotated and generated questions on SQuAD under both semi- and zero-annotated settings in unseen domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Mean Len.</th>
<th>Ans./Psg.</th>
<th>Vocab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground-truth</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>295653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AER</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>208994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Statistics of annotated and recognized answers on SQuAD. Ans./Psg is the average number of labeled or selected answers in a passage.

Answer Entity Recognition. We summarize the number of answers in each passage, average answer lengths, and the vocabulary sizes of answers for both recognized and annotated ones in Table 7. Like the generated questions, the vocabulary size of the recognized answers is significantly smaller in the synthetic data, leading to less diverse question generation. Given that the diversity and quality of recognized answers decide the quality of generated questions and the final QAE performance, we believe an improved AER model allows us to better leverage the potential of REGEX.

5 Conclusion

We propose a cooperative semi-supervised learning framework, REGEX, for question generation and answering in this work. REGEX contains an answer entity REcognizer, a question Generator, and an answer EXtractor. We also introduce in the framework a novel stochastic expectation-maximization method that measures the quality of generated questions for reinforced finetuning of the question generation models. Experiments show that REGEX significantly outperforms the pretrained model baselines in both semi- and zero-annotated settings while adapted to unseen domains. Experiments and analyses suggest that REGEX, which leveraging cooperative semi-supervised learning, is a promising framework for making machine learning methods more scalable and less dependent on human annotation.
References


A More Related Work
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Different variants of masked language models have been investigated to improve performance in downstream tasks. Joshi et al. (2020) leveraged a masked span generation task instead of word prediction. Fei et al. (2020) and Shen et al. (2020) proposed models that learns better syntax knowledge with syntactic distances (Shen et al., 2018) and heights (Luo et al., 2019). Henderson et al. (2019) and Humeau et al. (2019) showed that pretraining language models on dialog corpora perform better on dialog-related downstream tasks, as compared to pretraining on Wikipedia. A span selection pretraining objective is proposed in Glass et al. (2019) to reduce the gap between the pretraining and downstream finetuning stages and to improve the performance on the QA task. Some applications of generated questions are shown in (Lewis et al., 2021; Jia et al., 2021).

B Hyper-parameters

There are three phases of model training in this work: pretraining on the Natural Question corpus, cooperative adaptation with reinforcement learning on the target corpora, and final finetuning on the target corpora. We adopt most of the hyper-parameters reported in the original BERT (Devlin et al., 2018), BART (Lewis et al., 2019a), and ELECTRA (Clark et al., 2020) papers. However, we noticed that the ELECTRA model is not stable with our generated question-answer pairs at the final finetuning. As a result, we select the final finetuning learning rates from \{3e−5, 4e−5, 5e−5\} and report the highest performance. All the other hyper-parameters are the same as reported in the corresponding papers. For all the phases, we fix $\epsilon_p = 1e−6$ and $s_w = 2000$, where $s_w$ is the number of warm-up steps, and we apply no weight decays. In the following sections, we describe the details of each training phase.

C Data

The SQuAD v1.1 is the easiest QA corpus used in this paper. The dataset contains 107, 785 question-answer pairs on 536 articles, which are split into passages. Each question is labeled with an answer that can be extracted from the given passage.

The Natural Questions dataset is a large-scale corpus designed for open-domain question answering. The dataset is more challenging than SQuAD. All questions are collected from human search queries and are annotated with long and abstractive answers. Some of the questions are also labeled with a short answer for learning answer-span extraction or reading comprehension. Focusing on the machine reading comprehension task, we select 106, 926 questions labeled with both long and short answers from the dataset for experiments.

D Answer Entity Recognition Details

In this section, we describe details of the AER methods, which are not covered in detail in previous sections. All AER models are pretrained on the Natural Questions corpus. To solve the sparsity problem, in other words, the passages are long but not all potential question-answer pairs are annotated, we train all following AER models by using the sentence containing the annotated answer entities as inputs, instead of the whole passage. If a sentence in the passage does not contain an annotated answer entity, we do not use it for training.

In this work, we introduce two types of AER methods, tagging based AER (AER-tag) and extraction based AER (AER-Search and AER-Coop). We describe their training and how we use the trained model to recognize answer entities in our experiments.

D.1 AER-Tag

D.1.1 Training

We apply a BIO tagging model for answer entity recognition in the AER-Tag method. We train the model to classify all tokens in the input sentence into three classes:

- B(egin) - the first token of the annotated answer entity
In an input passage, we run the trained BIO tagging model on each of its sentences and greedily predict answer entities. There might be more than one answer entities predicted in each sentence, and we only use the answer entities start with a predicted B tag.

D.2 AER-LM

D.2.1 Training

For AER-LM method, we need to pretrain an extraction-based AER model. We also take a sentence of \( L \) tokens containing an annotated answer entity as an example. Using an extraction model, which is similar as our question answering model, we train the model to predict the start and end location of the annotated answer entity. The model outputs a start score and an end score for each token, and predicts the start/end locations by selecting the tokens that are assigned with highest scores. The model is trained with cross-entropy loss, by regarding the extraction task as two \( L \)-class classification tasks.

D.2.2 Evaluation

In evaluation, we first run the model on each sentence of the input passages and calculate the start and end scores for each token. For each span \((i, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j)\) that is not longer than \( L_{span} \) tokens, we calculate the span score with

\[
s_{ij} = s_{st}^i + s_{ed}^j
\]  

where \( s_{st}^i \) is the start score of the first token of span \((i, j)\), and \( s_{ed}^j \) is the end score of the last token of the span. In practice, we set \( L_{span} = 10 \).

To re-rank all possible answer entities, we select top \( N_0 = 40 \) spans according to \( s_{ij} \) for each passage. For all selected answer entities, we generated questions with a pretrained question generator and collect the generation perplexity of the questions. We select \( N_{search} = 5 \) question-answer pairs with lowest perplexities for the final question-answering finetuning.

D.3 AER-Coop

In AER-Coop, we use the same extraction training method applied in AER-Search, and we also use the \( s_{ij} \) scores to select the top \( N_0 = 40 \) preliminary answer entities for further search. The difference is that we search for final answer entities cooperatively with the pretrained question generator and question answering extractor.

With the question generator and question answering extractor, we re-rank the recognized answer entities with the following score

\[
s_{ij}^c = \gamma \cdot I_c - p \tag{7}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is a large, positive coefficient, \( p \) is the perplexity of generated question based on span \((i, j)\), and \( I_c = 1 \) if the generated question is correctly answered, and otherwise \( I_c = 0 \).

D.4 Answer Entity Overlapping

We found the extraction-based AER model leads to overlapping problems, since a large start or end score assigned to a token leads to many candidate answer entities start or end at the token. In practice, if an answer entity is selected by the AER-Search and AER-Coop method, we no longer consider any other answer entities that overlap with the selected ones.

E REGEX Examples

In this section, we show some examples of our full model. The examples are contained in Table 8.
The National Postal Museum of Montevideo is located in the historical residence of General Fructuoso Rivera. It exhibits artifacts related to the history of Uruguay. In a process begun in 1998, the National Museum of Natural History (1837) and the National Museum of Anthropology (1981), merged in 2001, becoming the National Museum of Natural History and Anthropology. In July 2009, the two institutions again became independent. The Historical Museum has annexed eight historical houses in the city, five of which are located in the Ciudad Vieja. One of them, on the same block with the main building, is the historic residence of Antonio Montero, which houses the Museo Romantico.

**When was the national history museum of montevideo founded?**

In the 2010s, Maynard Keynes prompted a division between microeconomics and macroeconomics. Under Keynesian economics macroeconomic trends can overwhelm economic choices made by individuals. Governments should promote aggregate demand for goods as a means to encourage economic expansion. Following World War II, Milton Friedman created the concept of monetarism. Monetarism focuses on using the supply and demand of money as a method for controlling economic activity. In the 1970s, monetarism has adapted into supply-side economics which advocates reducing taxes as a means to increase the amount of money available for economic expansion.

**Monetarism focuses on the relationship between the?**

Starting in 2006, Apple's industrial design shifted to favor aluminum, which was used in the construction of the first MacBook Pro. Glass was added in 2008 with the introduction of the unibody MacBook Pro. These materials are billed as environmentally friendly. The iMac, MacBook Pro, MacBook Air, and Mac Mini lines currently all use aluminum enclosures, and are now made of a single unibody. Chief designer Jonathan Ive continues to guide products towards a minimalist and simple feel, including eliminating of replaceable batteries in notebooks. Multi-touch gestures from the iPhone’s interface have been applied to the Mac line in the form of touch pads on notebooks and the Magic Mouse and Magic Trackpad for desktops.

**Who is the designer of the macbook pro?**

In 1922, the number of supporters had surpassed 20,000 and by lending money to the club, Barça was able to build the larger Camp de Les Corts, which had an initial capacity of 20,000 spectators. After the Spanish Civil War the club started attracting more members and a larger number of spectators at matches. This led to several expansion projects: the grandstand in 1944, the southern stand in 1946, and finally the northern stand in 1950. After the last expansion, Les Corts could hold 60,000 spectators.

**What is the capacity of barcelonas’s stadium?**

On 1 November 2013, international postal services for Somalia officially resumed. The Universal Postal Union is now assisting the Somali Postal Service to develop its capacity, including providing technical assistance and basic mail processing equipment.

**Who is responsible for supporting the somali postal service?**

In addition to membership, as of 2010[update] there are 1,335 officially registered fan clubs, called penyes, among the world. The fan clubs promote Barcelona in their locality and receive beneficial offers when visiting Barcelona. Among the best supported teams globally, Barcelona has the highest social media following in the world among sports teams, with over 90 million Facebook fans as of February 2016. The club has had many prominent people among its supporters, including Pope John Paul II, who was an honorary member, and former prime minister of Spain José Luis Rodríguez Zapatero. FC Barcelona has the second highest average attendance of European football clubs only behind Borussia Dortmund.

**Who was an honorary member of barcelonas football club?**

In April 1758, the British concluded the Anglo-Prussian Convention with Frederick in which they committed to pay him an annual subsidy of £670,000. Britain also dispatched 9,000 troops to reinforce Ferdinand’s Hanoverian army, the first British troop commitment on the continent and a reversal in the policy of Pitt. Ferdinand had succeeded in driving the French from Hanover and Westphalia and re-captured the port of Emden in March 1758 before crossing the Rhine with his own forces, which caused alarm in France. Despite Ferdinand’s victory over the French at the Battle of Krefeld and the brief occupation of Düsseldorf, he was compelled by the successful manoeuvring of larger French forces to withdraw across the Rhine.

**What did france pay to the prussian monarchy?**

Executives at Trump Entertainment Resorts, whose sole remaining property will be the Trump Taj Mahal, said in 2013 that they were considering the option of selling the Taj and winding down and exiting the gaming and hotel business.

**What is the future of the trump taj mahal?**

Vehicles typically include headlamps and tail lights. Headlamps are white or selective yellow lights placed in the front of the vehicle, designed to illuminate the upcoming road and to make the vehicle more visible. Many manufacturers are turning to LED headlights as an energy-efficient alternative to traditional headlamps. Tail and brake lights are red and emit light to the rear so as to reveal the vehicle’s direction of travel to following drivers. White rear-facing reversing lamps indicate that the vehicle’s transmission has been placed in the reverse gear, warning anyone behind the vehicle that it is moving backwards, or about to do so. Flashing turn signals on the front, side, and rear of the vehicle indicate an intended change of position or direction. In the late 1950s, some automakers began to use electroluminescent technology to backlight their cars’ speedometers and other gauges or to draw attention to logos or other decorative elements.

**When did they start putting back up lights in cars?**

Table 8: Examples of recognized answer entities and generated questions with the full REGEX model