LEVERAGING COLOR CHANNEL INDEPENDENCE FOR IMPROVED UNSUPERVISED OBJECT DETECTION

Anonymous authors

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

025

026

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Object-centric architectures can learn to extract distinct object representations from visual scenes, enabling downstream applications on the object level. Similarly to autoencoder-based image models, object-centric approaches have been trained on the unsupervised reconstruction loss of images encoded by RGB color spaces. In our work, we challenge the common assumption that RGB images are the optimal color space for unsupervised learning in computer vision. We discuss conceptually and empirically that other color spaces, such as HSV, bear essential characteristics for object-centric representation learning, like robustness to lighting conditions. We further show that models improve when requiring them to predict additional color channels. Specifically, we propose to transform the predicted targets to the RGB-S space, which extends RGB with HSV's saturation component and leads to markedly better reconstruction and disentanglement for five common evaluation datasets. The use of composite color spaces can be implemented with basically no computational overhead, is agnostic of the models' architecture, and is universally applicable across a wide range of visual computing tasks and training types. The findings of our approach encourage additional investigations in computer vision tasks beyond object-centric learning.

028 1 INTRODUCTION

The ability to form abstract, structured representations of the physical world is a cornerstone of 031 human intelligence (Lake et al., 2017; Fodor & Pylyshyn, 1988). It enables us to excel in dealing with out-of-distribution situations, reasoning, analogy-making, and causal inference. Similarly, ma-033 chine learning models that adapt the structural knowledge of an environment are more efficient and 034 generalizable (Schölkopf et al., 2021). Modeling language as a sequence of structured tokens was a significant step toward the applicability and generalization of LLMs (Sennrich et al., 2016; Devlin 035 et al., 2019). Similarly, many computer vision applications might also profit from segmenting visual 036 scenes into semantic tokens, but inducing those is less self-evident. Thus, state-of-the-art models 037 primarily represent images as a whole or as fixed-sized grids, consequently failing at simple reasoning that requires structural knowledge of physical entities such as counting objects (Radford et al., 2021). 040

Addressing this issue, *object-centric representation learning* (OCRL) aims to infer a set of slots, 041 each representing a distinct object - allowing for causal inference at the object level (Ding et al., 042 2021). Although binding an object to a slot from raw perceptual input is challenging (Greff et al., 043 2020a), recent advancements in OCRL, such as the Slot Attention (SA) module (Locatello et al., 044 2020), enabled unsupervised learning for simple synthetic datasets. However, scaling these methods to complex datasets proves challenging - slots attend to features considered irrelevant (Kipf et al., 046 2020) such as static backgrounds, represent spatial areas instead of objects (Seitzer et al., 2023), and 047 are highly entangled (Singh et al., 2023). Subsequently, researchers investigated modified architec-048 tures utilizing the compositional nature of objects (Biza et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2023), optimization methods respecting the generative process of visual scenes (Brady et al., 2023; Wiedemer et al., 2023), and (semi-)supervised objectives (Elsayed et al., 2022b; Seitzer et al., 2023). Substantial 051 performance increases of the latter highlighted that a pure RGB reconstruction loss may be a signal that is too weak for slots to segment scenes (Seitzer et al., 2023). Our work follows this assumption 052 - However, we provide additional unsupervised signals by augmenting the RGB color space with color channels from other color spaces. We reason about our research in the following.

054 As in many vision-based approaches, the training data for unsupervised OCRL are color images, 055 represented as red, green, and blue components, i.e., the classical RGB color space. To the best 056 of our knowledge, all previous work for OCRL trains models on such RGB scenes. The RGB 057 representation can be a sensible choice for images, primarily due to convenience in vector format 058 mapping, its byte size, and its similarity to the human eye apparatus (Podpora et al., 2014). However, we argue that the RGB space is insufficient for unsupervised OCRL due to its color channels' high correlation, sensitivity to lightness, and non-uniformity. Other color spaces (which are non-linear 060 and non-continuous transformations from RGB), such as HSV, do not suffer from these problems. 061 Furthermore, they include highly discriminative features for object detection (see Figure 1) However, 062 they introduce other drawbacks detailed in section 2. Thus, the question arises of how OCRL models 063 can profit from the strengths of various color spaces while not inheriting their weaknesses - we 064 propose to solve this problem by developing novel composite color spaces that combine expressive 065 color channels from multiple spaces. We argue and show empirically that those color channels 066 that are robust to some effects, such as lighting or change in saturation, significantly improve the 067 performance of OCRL models. 068



Figure 1: Exemplary scene from the Clevrtex and Movi-C dataset. We plot the original image and object masks with individual color channels on a heatmap. We show RGB's Red, Green, and Blue channels and HSV's Hue, Saturation, and Value. The objects are distinguishable from the background and other objects in all heatmaps. Contrary to the RGB channels, the HSV channels are uncorrelated.

087

090

092

093

094

095

096

098

079

081

Our main contributions are:

- We claim that RGB image representations are suboptimal for unsupervised OCRL as their color channels are *highly correlated, sensitive to light effects, and non-uniform* for natural images.
- We show that the color space representation of the *target* significantly influences the performance of Slot Attention models and discuss the strengths and drawbacks of color channels of multiple well-known color spaces. Consequently, we create novel, composite color spaces by combining complementary color channels. Previous work only focuses on the color space representation of the *input*.
- We demonstrate that the augmented color spaces are *model-agnostic, generalizable, and efficient while significantly outperforming* the established RGB color space for object detection and property disentanglement for the five multi-object datasets Clevr (Johnson et al., 2016), Multishapenet 4, Multishapenet 24 (Stelzner et al., 2021), Clevrtex (Karazija et al., 2021), and Movi-C (Greff et al., 2022).
- 099 100 101

102

2 BACKGROUND: SLOT ATTENTION

In the following, we introduce the technical background for Slot Attention (SA) (Locatello et al., 2020). We use SA for all our experiments, but our composite color spaces are transferable to other OCRL implementations.

Traditional encoder-decoder architectures for computer vision generate a single, fixed-size (high-dimensional) latent vector z for an observation x. The latent space should accurately represent the underlying data distribution and capture the generative factors (Bengio et al., 2013; Locatello et al.,

2019). In OCRL, we assume data consists of individual objects. Thus, object-centric architectures aim to induce a latent space decomposed into distinct objects.

For example, SA is an iterative algorithm employed on the learned features of an encoder. Each iteration starts with $K \text{ slots} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times D_{slots}}$ and $\text{inputs} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D_{enc}}$, the position-embedded outputs of an encoder. K must be user-defined or estimated. First, attention values $attn_{i,j}$ are computed between each slot and the inputs using dot-product attention with learnable linear queries and keys (Vaswani et al., 2017). Crucially, SA introduces competition by softmax normalizing the attention coefficients over the slots, distributing inputs information among the slots.

After introducing competition, attention weights attn and a learnable function v embed the values to update the slots. Finally, a Gated Recurrent Unit and another MLP merge the updates into the slots. For the mathematical details and formulas, we refer to Locatello et al. (2020).

The SA algorithm delivers slots that distributedly store the *input* information. Depending on the task and data, learned slot representations can be used in different downstream architectures. For unsupervised object discovery, which we examine in our work, slots are spatially broadcasted and decoded separately with a weight-shared decoder - the decoder usually predicts a *four-dimensional output, capturing the RGB representations and masks*. Masks are softmax normalized to merge individual reconstructions into a combined output (Greff et al., 2020b; Locatello et al., 2020).

Because RGB images strongly correlate with the lightness (see "value" in Table 7) and the models are optimized with a simple pixel-wise reconstruction loss, models predominantly focus on predicting the lightness accurately. Consequently, the binding mechanism discriminates spatial areas primarily by a single dimension. Other perceptual variables, such as the hue and saturation (Smith, 1978; Schwarz et al., 1987) that may be important to discriminate between objects are thus underrepresented. We argue that requiring models to additionally decode complementary color channels, such as hue and saturation, provides a stronger unsupervised signal for segmenting semantic objects.

132 133 134

135

136

140 141

142 143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

154

157

3 COLOR SPACES FOR UNSUPERVISED OBJECT CENTRIC REPRESENTATION LEARNING

In this section, we highlight the impact of color choices on unsupervised OCRL. Outgoing from the
 common RGB color space, we discuss requirements for sensible color representations and arrive at
 composite color spaces that combine channels with complementary information of multiple spaces.

3.1 WHY IS RGB A SUBOPTIMAL CHOICE FOR NATURAL SCENES IN OCRL?

We argue that RGB is a suboptimal color space for unsupervised OCRL, as RGB is

- strongly correlated: The RGB color space consists of the additive "Red," "Green," and "Blue" color channels. Those are all strongly correlated in natural images. We provide empirical evidence for that in Table 7, where we calculated the Pearson Correlation Coefficient between each color channel for 1000 images, respectively sampled from *ClevrTex* (Karazija et al., 2021), *MS Coco* (Lin et al., 2014), and *ImageNet* (ILSVRC2012) (Deng et al., 2009). The correlation not only introduces redundancy into machine learning models but clashes with the perceptual variables of humans (Smith, 1978; Schwarz et al., 1987): As the RGB space is optimized with a reconstruction loss, models primarily focus on predicting the value (or lightness). Contrarily, humans' color vision assimilates incoming light sources differently while RGB cones produce the first physical stimulus, the stimuli are transformed into the *sensations* of hue, colorfulness ("saturation"), and brightness ("value") (Müller & , Lipsk; Kim et al., 2009). Our brain finally uses those sensations to discriminate between objects. Consequently, objects that are similar in the perception of humans (but differ in some properties, e.g., their hue) are scattered by the RGB space.
- sensitive to lighting: The RGB channels are not only strongly correlated with each other but also with the value/lightness (see Table 7). In natural scenes, the same objects might be represented by entirely different RGB color histograms due to rapid changes in lighting. We elaborate on this effect in subsection D.4 and even show that the slot representations in the HSV space are less dependent on the lighting conditions.

• **non-uniform in the perceived color space**: Distances in uniform color spaces reflect the perceived distance of humans (Paschos, 2001). The RGB space is non-uniform, leading to distorted representations of visually similar features. As slots attend to similar features (based on color representations), the binding mechanism might be negatively influenced.

165 166

162

163

164

167 168

169

3.2 ARE OTHER COLOR SPACES BETTER SUITED FOR UNSUPERVISED OBJECT CENTRIC REPRESENTATION LEARNING?

Other color spaces, such as HSV and CIE-LAB solve some of the problems discussed for the RGB 170 space. HSV is a cylindrical-coordinate representation of RGB, modeling the "Hue," "Saturation," 171 and "Value." The value strongly correlates with the RGB channels (see Table 7). Contrarily, the hue 172 and saturation are not correlated to the RGB channels and are only slightly influenced by lighting. 173 Similarly, it aligns with the perceptual variables of humans (Smith, 1978; Schwarz et al., 1987). 174 Furthermore, it is almost perceptually uniform. CIELAB models the luminosity along with the 175 two color dimensions. Due to the explicit modeling of luminosity, it is perceptually uniform, non-176 correlated, and robust to light influences. HSV and CIE-LAB can be losslessly transformed from 177 the RGB space. Although those transformations are non-continuous, they can be approximated by 178 machine learning algorithms. However, we argue and empirically verify that transformations in 179 the *target* representations significantly influence OCRL models' performance. As the models are 180 trained on the pixel-wise reconstruction error, models may use their predictive capacity differently depending on the color space. For example, if we use RGB space, we rely on the OCRL model 181 to implicitly learn objects' invariances due to lightness effects while we model them in HSV with 182 the hue and saturation component. We verify in subsection D.4 that the robustness of the HSV 183 channels to different lighting conditions transfers to the slot representations - objects that share the same underlying factors remain more similar when confronted with different lighting. 185

On the contrary, HSV and CIE-LAB introduce other problems. For example, HSV's hue is discontinuous and thus not well modeled by differentiable machine learning algorithms, and transformations 187 from CIE-LAB to RGB are not lossless. Thus, our work doesn't rely on a single color space but 188 later creates composite color spaces. To identify which color channels are suitable for composite 189 spaces, we first assess which color spaces impact OCRL's performance. Therefore, we trained SA 190 models with the same architecture and hyperparameters predicting RGB, CIE-LAB, or HSV scenes 191 on the Clevr and Clevrtex datasets. The input scenes are always represented as RGB scenes, and 192 we trained on six random seeds. Machine learning algorithms can approximate transformations of 193 the inputs and thus have less impact on the performance (Mishkin et al., 2017). We further discuss 194 input transformations in subsection D.3. We report the Foreground Adjusted Rand Index in Table 1, 195 measuring object discovery in scenes. 196

Table 1: Object Discovery FG-ARI ([↑]) for various color spaces (RGB2X)

Dataset	RGB-RGB	RGB-LAB	RGB-HSV	RGB-GRAY	RGB-R
Clevr ClevrTex	94.1 ± 1.1 71.7 ± 1.4	95.0 ± 1.0 73.1 ± 8.5	$\begin{array}{c} 43.8 \pm 9.3 \\ 86.7 \pm 1.7 \end{array}$	$87.6 \pm 1.3 \\ 63.6 \pm 5.5$	92.6 ± 1.5 61.8 ± 4.0

197

199 200

RGB and CIELAB perform similarly. They achieve a close-to-perfect segmentation on the sim-205 ple Clevr dataset but perform worse when including photorealistic textures in Clevrtex. Although 206 we argued that RGB is highly correlated, it still performs significantly better than grayscale values. 207 However, even the single gray dimension suffices to segment most scenes for the Clevr dataset. Sim-208 ilarly, training only on the R dimension suffices to segment scenes on Clevr but lacks performance on Clevrtex. We make an interesting observation for the HSV space: On the one hand, models 209 degenerate to bind *areas* instead of objects for Clevr. We suspect that the discontinuity in the hue 210 channel (see Figure 2, "Hue" and "Masks") distorts the binding mechanism. On the other hand, 211 models trained on HSV show a significantly improved performance for Clevrtex. We suspect that 212 the fine-grained differences between objects and backgrounds are more explicitly modeled in HSV 213 (see Figure 1) - as the RGB space strongly correlates with the value dimension of HSV, the hue 214 and saturation are underrepresented. Compared to RGB, slots are thus provided with more infor-215 mation to discriminate between objects. The discontinuity in the hue channels is less influential as

SA models do not group object based on their hue alone due to complex textures and illumination effects.

 Image
 Masks
 Hue
 Saturation
 Value
 Image
 Masks
 Hue
 Saturation
 Value

 Ground
 Image
 Image
 Image
 Image
 Masks
 Hue
 Saturation
 Value

 Recon
 Image
 Image
 Image
 Image
 Image
 Image
 Masks
 Hue
 Saturation
 Value

Figure 2: Two qualitative samples for SA with a RGB-HSV reconstruction on Clevr. We observe that the reconstruction and slot assignment are degenerated, with scenes split into many different stripe-like slots. For models trained on RGB, RGB-S, RGB-SV, the mask reconstruction almost perfectly matches the ground-truth mask (for more details, see Figure 9)

3.3 COMBINING COLOR SPACES

233 While we previously gauged between individual color spaces, we will now argue about combining 234 complementary color channels into a composite target space. Combined color spaces have been 235 initially considered in the literature but have yet to be focused on OCRL (Du et al., 2024). Models 236 trained on composite color spaces are less dependent on inherent biases of the color spaces. For 237 example, differences in hue and saturation are subtle in the RGB space (due to the channels high 238 correlation with the lightness) but explicitly incorporated in the HSV space. For the following pa-239 per, we mainly use the stability and reliability of the RGB space and enrich it with complementary 240 channels of the HSV space - especially the saturation bears interesting characteristics for unsuper-241 vised OCRL due to its non-correlation to the RGB channels, its continuity, and robustness against 242 lightness. We show that this single dimension already significantly improves the performance of object detection and intra-object disentanglement (see Table 5.1.1 and Figure 4). Moreover, we tested 243 further combinations of RGB and HSV, but their improvements were less significant or unstable. 244

245 The SA model requires minimal change in architecture for the enhanced color spaces. We solely 246 alter the spatial broadcast decoder to predict a five-dimensional output for the RGB-S space (instead 247 of four) consisting of the RGB channels, the saturation, and the alpha masks. The parity of the 248 alpha masks among the four color channels reflects the consistency of the color channels for these objects: Slots are required to model two independent but semantically relevant targets that are 249 spatially compact. Similar to the minor adjustments in the architecture, the loss function remains 250 an unsupervised reconstruction loss but includes saturation (or any other channel) as a target. For 251 our experiments, the input to the networks remains RGB. Although we argue that complementary 252 color channels are helpful as signals in the target, we estimate that their influence is less substantial 253 for inputs: The RGB color space already contains all information, and the encoder can learn the 254 transformations. However, we consider the exploration of the input space an interesting future work, 255 although we report mixed initial results (see Table 8).

256 257 258

219 220

221

222

224 225 226

227

228

229

230 231 232

4 RELATED WORK

259 To our knowledge, combined color spaces have not yet been explored for object-centric representa-260 tion, although they have shown promising success in computer vision tasks (Shin et al., 2002; Gowda 261 & Yuan, 2019; Li et al., 2011). However, they focus on transformations on the *input* instead of the 262 targets. In particular, using different color spaces such as HSV or YCbCr were investigated for chal-263 lenging segmentation and detection tasks (Shin et al., 2002; Vandenbroucke et al., 2003; Taipalmaa 264 et al., 2020). The choice of input color space might have been a typical approach in feature engi-265 neering. However, with the advent of deep learning-based methods, such feature engineering has 266 lost its importance in favor of simple and general methods (Mishkin et al., 2017). Existing work has 267 focused on general color transformations Miao & Wang (2023), the usage of a single alternate color space (Lim et al., 2020), or colorspace conversion within autoencoders (Akbarinia & Gil-Rodríguez, 268 2021). All have shown mixed results, primarily due to the usage of whole color spaces. Only very 269 recently, the use of composite color spaces (Tan et al., 2023) has been investigated in deep learning,

albeit for image colorization (Du et al., 2024). We revisit color space transformations for *unsuper-vised* OCRL. The challenging nature of this task and the transformation of the output (instead of the input) makes our work conceptually different from all presented work.

273 The observation that the RGB pixel-wise reconstruction loss does not suffice to bind semantic ob-274 jects in natural scenes is discussed in the literature (Seitzer et al., 2023; Kipf et al., 2022). Conse-275 quently, Elsayed et al. (2022a) enhance the RGB output space with a supervised depth signal for 276 object discovery in videos. They build their work on Kipf et al. (2022) which find that SA for videos 277 strongly improves when conditioning slots on objects in the first frame. Similarly, Kim et al. (2023) 278 utilize point-based descriptors to prohibit slots to focus on backgrounds. While all of the above 279 works utilize pixel-wise reconstruction losses, Seitzer et al. (2023); Qian et al. (2023); Wen et al. 280 (2024); Aydemir et al. (2023) abstain from training slots on a reconstruction error and instead predict semantic semi-supervised signals. Similarly, Xu et al. (2022) leverage embedded text descriptions 281 as supervision for semantic segmentation of images. Another unsupervised alternative is discussed 282 by Brady et al. (2023) and Wiedemer et al. (2023) - they leverage derivatives in the loss function 283 to explicitly model the compositionality of OCRL scenes. In our evaluation, we also test whether 284 the additional color channels influence the representation of underlying generating factors in slots. 285 Biza et al. (2023) explicitly disentangle position, size, and pose information by invariant position 286 embeddings. Majellaro et al. (2024) extend this framework to disentangle the shape and texture of 287 objects. Singh et al. (2023) introduce the concept of blocks that bind different factors of objects, and 288 Stammer et al. (2024) discretize those blocks. Combined color spaces can be used complementary 289 to those approaches.

290 291

292

5 EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

For our experiments, we investigate the impact of five color spaces on unsupervised Slot Attention. Our baselines are RGB and HSV, and we utilize RGB-S, RGB-SV, and RGB-HSV as combined color spaces. For comparability, all models use the same architecture; only the final output layer is adjusted to match the dimension of the color spaces. As motivated in subsection 3.2, we only transform the *targets*, and the input remains RGB.

298 We mainly evaluate object discovery, measuring whether slots segment scenes into distinct objects. 299 In line with previous work, we report the Foreground-Adjusted Rand Index (FG-ARI) and the mean 300 Intersection over Union (mIoU). Additionally, we report the RGB-MSE for scene reconstruction 301 quality. Note that if a network predicts additional color channels, they are *not* considered for the 302 MSE measurement, and HSV is algorithmically transformed to RGB for this to work. Furthermore, 303 we evaluate how well the slot representations generalize to the underlying generating factors of 304 objects. We utilize the DCI framework (Eastwood & Williams, 2018) in line with Singh et al. 305 (2022). Previous work investigated that unsupervised SA trained on RGB mainly focuses on low-306 level features such as color statistics (Seitzer et al., 2023) - we show that one additional, uncorrelated color channel already suffices to achieve a significantly higher generalization of slots. We evaluate 307 the color spaces on five multi-object datasets, namely Clevr, MultiShapeNet (4 and 24), Clevrtex, 308 and Movi-C (Johnson et al., 2016; Stelzner et al., 2021; Karazija et al., 2021; Greff et al., 2022). We 309 treat Movi-C as an image dataset. Model and optimization parameters are detailed in Appendix A. 310 Furthermore, we provide qualitative results in Appendix D. 311

- 312 313
- 5.1 RESULTS ON OBJECT DISCOVERY

We measure object discovery for the five datasets Clevr, MultiShapeNet (4 and 24), Clevrtex, and Movi-C (Johnson et al., 2016; Stelzner et al., 2021; Karazija et al., 2021; Greff et al., 2022). An overview of the results is given in Table 5.1.1, and we detail the results in the following sections.

318 5.1.1 CLEVR

We start our evaluation with the Clevr dataset (Johnson et al., 2016), containing at most ten simple
geometric objects per scene on a gray background. For our evaluation, we use the model architecture
introduced by Locatello et al. (2020), achieving near-perfect segmentations. We already discussed
in section 3 that models trained on the HSV degenerate. We argued that the discontinuity of the hue
channel prohibits slots from binding objects. Thus, as an initial proof of concept, we first verify

that the enhanced RGB color spaces, without non-continuous hue, do not degenerate. We report
segmentation and reconstruction quality for all datasets in Table 5.1.1. Results of models trained on
RGB values are consistent with the literature (Locatello et al., 2020). While models trained with the
Hue component degenerate (visible in the segmentation and reconstruction quality), models trained
on the RGB-S and RGB-SV space do not degenerate and even improve the segmentation quality
slightly. However, the combined color spaces do not help segment objects from the background.
Thus, the mIoU score is low for all models.

Table 2: Evaluation results on five datasets for the different predicted output color spaces. Best values are bold (multiple if in same error band). Best predictions indicated in bold if consistent lead for both FG-ARI and mIoU. It can be seen that, overall, enhancing RGB with other color dimensions leads to strong performance improvements. Primarily RGB-S and RGB-SV lead to a *consistent* performance improvement.

	V	Pred.	FG-ARI↑	mIoU ↑	RGB-MSE* \downarrow
Clevr	CNN	RGB RGB-S RGB-SV RGB-HSV HSV	$\begin{array}{r} 94.1 \pm \ 1.1 \\ \textbf{94.9} \pm \textbf{1.2} \\ \textbf{95.4} \pm \textbf{0.5} \\ 88.3 \pm 13.2 \\ 44.9 \pm \ 8.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 26.9 \pm 0.5} \\ {\bf 27.0 \pm 2.0} \\ {\bf 26.7 \pm 0.7} \\ {\bf 25.6 \pm 1.8} \\ {\bf 17.3 \pm 2.4} \end{array}$	$54.0 \pm 5.1 \\ 46.5 \pm 3.9 \\ 46.0 \pm 1.4 \\ 51.4 \pm 5.5 \\ 99.8 \pm 9.5 \\ \end{array}$
MultiShapeNet-4	CNN	RGB RGB-S RGB-SV RGB-HSV HSV	$73.7 \pm 11.8 \\ 82.1 \pm 2.5 \\ 81.9 \pm 7.5 \\ 62.7 \pm 20.2 \\ 61.1 \pm 9.7 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.9 \pm 17.5 \\ \textbf{55.0} \pm \textbf{16.8} \\ \textbf{56.2} \pm \textbf{14.3} \\ 21.6 \pm 9.5 \\ 15.6 \pm 3.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 76.8 \pm 13.2 \\ 73.5 \pm 6.5 \\ \textbf{60.3} \pm \textbf{5.4} \\ 87.1 \pm 5.6 \\ 116.9 \pm 6.3 \end{array}$
MultiShapeNet-24	CNN	RGB RGB-S RGB-SV RGB-HSV HSV	$\begin{array}{c} 61.6 \pm 13.2 \\ \textbf{70.3} \pm \textbf{4.7} \\ \textbf{71.7} \pm \textbf{6.3} \\ 62.0 \pm 12.6 \\ 57.7 \pm 12.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{33.6} \pm \textbf{21.5} \\ \textbf{46.6} \pm \textbf{19.4} \\ \textbf{43.5} \pm \textbf{19.8} \\ \textbf{22.1} \pm \textbf{11.6} \\ \textbf{22.8} \pm \textbf{11.8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{45.6} \pm \textbf{5.0} \\ \textbf{44.8} \pm \textbf{5.2} \\ \textbf{44.5} \pm \textbf{4.3} \\ 53.4 \pm 6.0 \\ 74.1 \pm 8.1 \end{array}$
vrtex	CNN	RGB RGB-S RGB-SV RGB-HSV HSV	$\begin{array}{c} 66.3 \pm 16.3 \\ \textbf{84.2} \pm \textbf{3.6} \\ 81.7 \pm \ 3.8 \\ \textbf{85.8} \pm \textbf{1.9} \\ \textbf{86.4} \pm \textbf{1.7} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41.5\pm13.0\\ 61.5\pm3.5\\ 53.3\pm7.8\\ 64.2\pm4.4\\ \textbf{70.0}\pm\textbf{2.0} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{293.4 \pm 13.1} \\ 320.1 \pm 8.1 \\ 308.6 \pm 8.8 \\ 318.2 \pm 9.4 \\ 371.9 \pm 10.2 \end{array}$
Cle	ResNet	RGB RGB-S RGB-SV RGB-HSV HSV	$\begin{array}{c} 75.6 \pm 12.0 \\ \textbf{92.7} \pm \textbf{2.4} \\ 88.7 \pm 10.3 \\ 88.6 \pm 9.8 \\ \textbf{94.8} \pm \textbf{0.8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 48.6 \pm 14.8 \\ 73.6 \pm 3.2 \\ 67.2 \pm 14.2 \\ 66.7 \pm 13.8 \\ \textbf{81.1} \pm \textbf{1.1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 179.9 \pm 5.4} \\ 192.1 \pm 11.0 \\ 211.5 \pm 17.5 \\ 195.9 \pm 5.2 \\ 244.3 \pm 4.3 \end{array}$
Movi-C	ResNet	RGB RGB-S RGB-SV RGB-HSV HSV	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 42.9 \pm & 3.3 \\ \textbf{45.7} \pm \textbf{2.4} \\ \textbf{43.2} \pm \textbf{4.9} \\ 40.7 \pm & 3.0 \\ 38.1 \pm & 6.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.3 \pm 1.8 \\ \textbf{27.2} \pm \textbf{2.7} \\ 22.3 \pm 4.3 \\ \textbf{29.0} \pm \textbf{3.5} \\ 24.8 \pm 6.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{192.4} \pm \textbf{139.5} \\ \textbf{211.2} \pm \textbf{96.1} \\ \textbf{251.5} \pm \textbf{173.8} \\ \textbf{425.3} \pm \textbf{124.7} \\ \textbf{626.7} \pm \textbf{71.9} \end{array}$

* MSE caveat: A tendency for higher values for the enriched color spaces and HSV is expected as the MSE involves a conversion to RGB.

370 5.1.2 MULTISHAPENET

We consider two variants of Multishapenet (Stelzner et al., 2021), which we call "4" and "24". In
the 4 version, we filtered for images containing *exactly* four objects, while we allowed 2 to 4 objects
in the 24 version. We follow the translation and scaling invariant architecture by Biza et al. (2023).
Similarly to Clevr, incorporating the hue channel leads to model degeneration. Conversely, adding
Saturation to the RGB color space significantly improves segmentation quality for both dataset variants. For MSN 4, FG-ARI is improved from 73.7 to 82.1, and for MSN 24, from 61.6 to 70.3,
representing a relative improvement of 10%. The differences are even more substantial for the mIoU score: Although the RGB models often succeed in distributing different objects to different



Figure 3: We show reconstructions and masks of models trained on Multishapenet, Clevrtex, and Movi-C compared to their ground truth. While the RGB space does not confidently segment objects from each other and from the background, RGB-S achieves close-to-perfect scene segmentations. On Movi-C, RGB models degenerate to represent spatial areas instead of objects. The RGB-S slots mostly attend to semantic objects, but objects are often split into multiple slots.

393

394

395

398 slots, they do not segment them clearly from the background. We visualize that behavior in Figure 3 399 (top row). We made similar observations for Clevrtex and Movi-C. The value channel has little to no 400 impact, which is expected as it highly correlates with the RGB channels Table 7. The main reason 401 for the worse segmentation quality of the RGB baseline is that models degenerated for some seeds 402 (2 for 4, 5 for 24). The FG-ARI score for the best-performing seed of RGB models is 84.1 for the 403 4 variant and 76.6 for the 24, competing with the enhanced color spaces. Degenerated models also lead to a higher MSE. Contrarily, only one model of the RGB-S color space degenerated for the 24 404 version. 405

406 407

5.1.3 CLEVRTEX

408 Clevrtex (Karazija et al., 2021), conceptually similar to Clevr but having more shapes, sizes, and, 409 most importantly, a manifold of photo-realistic textures (see Figure 3), is the most challenging 410 dataset we considered. We use the invariant SA architecture by Biza et al. (2023) and similarly 411 show two variants of model complexities: We use a standard five-level CNN autoencoder (Figure 3 412 for details), labeled CNN in the following, and also a Resnet34 architecture which promised sig-413 nificant performance gains (labeled ResNet). The RGB baselines can mostly segment scenes, but small objects are often encoded with other slots or multiple slots encode one object. Adding the sat-414 uration (and value) channels significantly improves the segmentation quality - The composite color 415 spaces improve the baselines for 10% in absolute FG-ARI score, independent of the model's com-416 plexity. The CNN models on the enhanced color spaces achieve segmentation results comparable to 417 the ResNet model trained on RGB while the encoder has approximately 20 times fewer parameters. 418 Surprisingly, models trained on the HSV color space work best for both model architectures. We 419 conclude that the hue channel, although unsteady, can be a valuable objective for more complex 420 scenes - it is uncorrelated to the other dimensions, and the objects are not determined by a *single* 421 color but can be composed of multiple colors - the discontinuity in the "Hue" channel might thus not 422 be as influential. Further research on more complex datasets is necessary to confirm out hypothe-423 sis. We also evaluated the models trained on the standard Clevrtex dataset on an out-of-distribution 424 test set containing novel shapes and materials. All models are robust and maintain almost the same segmentation quality (see subsection D.1). The reconstruction error increases significantly for all 425 model variants. 426

427 428

5.1.4 Movi-C

Originally, Movi-C (Greff et al., 2022) is a collection of short video clips. For this work, we consider its snapshots as an image dataset, similar to Seitzer et al. (2023); Fan et al. (2024). Investigating the effect of combined color spaces for videos is an interesting future work. Movi-C consists of 3 to 10

432 photorealistic objects on a background and is yet another significant step up in complexity. While 433 SA trained on RGB was previously reported to fail on it (Seitzer et al., 2023), self-supervised signals 434 provided a significant performance step-up. Our experiments utilize the same ResNet architecture as 435 used for Clevrtex. Our object discovery measures for RGB are consistent with the related literature 436 (Seitzer et al., 2023) - although the FG-ARI scores are similar across color spaces, we observed a qualitative difference in segmentation: The low segmentation scores for RGB originate from slots 437 that segment scenes into areas. Contrarily, RGB-S and RGB-HSV attend to objects but often split 438 the object into multiple slots (Figure 3). This observation is quantitatively captured by the mIoU 439 score, which is significantly higher for the enhanced color spaces. While the enhanced color spaces 440 improve object discovery, they do not suffice to match the performance of self-supervised signals 441 for Movi-C (Seitzer et al., 2023) (see subsection D.6). However, we also note that the RGB-HSV 442 models provide the best mIoU scores but lack proper reconstruction quality. More powerful de-443 coders (in combination with additional color channels) might thus help to enhance the segmentation 444 performance further.

445 446 447

5.2 Results on Underlying Generating Factors

448 We tested how well the slots represent the objects they binded. We, therefore, run two tests to 449 predict their underlying generating factors (Locatello et al., 2019). The first test is to train a linear and shallow non-linear predictor that should map from the slot representations to the factors of 450 variation of the Clevrtex objects: Those are uniformly sampled from four shapes, three sizes, and 59 451 materials. We matched objects to slots based on the maximal mask overlap to construct the dataset to 452 train and evaluate the predictor. Average precision is shown in Figure 4 (left and middle). Although 453 the quality of slot representations was shown to correlate with the FG-ARI (Wenzel et al., 2022), the 454 quality of slot representations differs strongly, especially for the materials: The HSV and RGB-S 455 model at least double the precision of the RGB model. While the additional color dimensions do 456 not directly influence the size and shape, the material is mainly determined by hue, saturation, and 457 value. We suspect that the explicit representation helps slots generalize to underlying factors.



Figure 4: We report Slot Learning and Disentanglement results for the CNN and ResNet Variants 471 of the Clevrtex dataset. The left figure shows the average precision of a linear predictor trained to 472 map from slot representations to underlying object properties. The middle figure shows results for 473 a shallow non-linear predictor. The right figure shows the Informativeness, Disentanglement, and 474 Completeness of slot representations (Eastwood & Williams, 2018). The composite color spaces 475 consistently outperform the RGB space for all considered metrics. The HSV space even outper-476 forms the composite color spaces. Although the composite color spaces significantly improve the 477 representative power of underlying object factors, they still show low performance in disentangle-478 ment and completeness.

479 480

Secondly, we employed the DCI metrics (Eastwood & Williams, 2018; Li et al., 2020) (see subsection A.3) to assess slot representations further. We follow a similar procedure as Singh et al. (2023) but employ a decision tree classifier instead of gradient-boosted trees for efficiency. Similar to our first test, all models improve over the ones trained on RGB. However, the plain HSV models, trained on the fully decorrelated color space, perform significantly better than all other models. Similarly, all ResNet models improve over their CNN counterpart. Although Seitzer et al. (2023) have shown

488

489

490

491

that scale alone is not enough to learn object representations, it seems that the simple CNN lacks the complexity to generalize to underlying factors. Overall, all models show low performance in disentanglement and completeness. An interesting future work would be to combine the color spaces with models explicitly disentangling factor representations (Singh et al., 2023; Stammer et al., 2024). We extend our analysis on slot representations in subsection D.4 and subsection D.5. We observed that slots obtained from composite targets tend to be more robust against lightning changes, and slots obtained from RGB targets tend to learn spatial areas instead of object representations.

492 493 494

495

6 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

496 By only requiring a different output representation, our approach is generally applicable to all visual 497 scenes; nonetheless, there are several limitations in our approach and evaluation: We only consid-498 ered preexisting color channels of the most common color spaces, and there may be better com-499 posite channels suited for object detection. Analyzing whether a non-correlated RGB space, e.g., by applying PCA, already improves the scene segmentation is a promising starting point. Further-500 more, we base our argument on the non-correlation to detect suitable complementary color channels. 501 However, more elaborate techniques considering the data distribution of pixels may be needed to 502 filter for complementary dimensions efficiently. Furthermore, we only evaluated our enhanced color 503 spaces for OCRL tasks, but they might generally apply to a broader field of computer vision. At the 504 same time, we based our discussion only on *natural images*. Finally, we only tested and compared 505 the enhanced color spaces on SA - however, recent approaches based on self-supervised learning 506 and pre-trained encoders have shown promising results - using composite color spaces (e.g., in the 507 pretraining method) might lead to further performance improvement, but is impossible to assess a 508 priori. An interesting direction for future research is if the performance leaps are achieved by using 509 composite color space transfer to other unsupervised networks for visual computing. We started the 510 elaboration of this paper by investigating the influence of the visual scene data representation on the performance of unsupervised OCRL. Our work is a *starting point*, showing promising performance 511 without negative effects in OCRL, bearing the potential for numerous research directions: A first 512 step is to evaluate the *design space of combined color spaces* - other channels than saturation may 513 bear similar potentials, providing a consistent target to discriminate between objects. Furthermore, 514 we designed the color space for OCRL, but combining color spaces got less attention in *computer* 515 vision in general. However, a combination of color spaces still needs to be explored. 516

517 518

519

7 CONCLUSION

We challenge the common assumption that RGB images are the optimal color space for unsuper-520 vised learning in computer vision (Caron et al., 2021; Radford et al., 2021; Locatello et al., 2020) 521 and demonstrate this for OCRL. We highlighted that the RGB space is not only highly correlated, 522 effectively reducing the learned target to one dimension, but that composite color spaces offer non-523 correlated dimensions while upholding expressive features for OCRL. In a evaluation, we tested 524 the LAB and the HSV color space as complimentary targets and found that HSV shows interesting 525 properties due to its non-correlatedness and similarity to human perception. However, while HSV 526 improves models on some datasets, it leads to degeneration for other datasets due to the disconti-527 nuity in the Hue channel. We thus combined the stability of the RGB space with the uncorrelated, 528 expressive channels of HSV, constructing combined color spaces. Training models on the combined 529 color spaces leaves the main architecture unchanged, is broadly applicable, virtually cost-free, and significantly improves performance on object detection. Especially the RGB-S space, combining 530 the RGB space with the Saturation channel (significantly) enhances performance on object discov-531 ery and scene segmentation across all five datasets. Most significantly, on the challenging Clevrtex 532 dataset, we increase the FG-ARI from 75.6 to 92.7. We further show evidence that the combined 533 color spaces also improve performance on photo-realistic datasets such as Movi-C and improve the 534 *mIoU* from 21.3 to 27.2. Similarly, we highlight that training on the pure HSV color space can 535 sometimes improve the FG-ARI further but lacks stability on other datasets. Moreover, we eval-536 uated the extracted slots' capability to bind object property and noticed significant improvements 537 from 27.1 % to 57.8 % average precision. To summarize, the composite RGB-S and RGB-SV color 538 space (significantly) outperforms RGB in any experiment without negative side effects or additional cost.

540	References
542 543	Clevrtex Generation Code. https://github.com/karazijal/ clevrtex-generation. Accessed: 2024-11-22.
544 545 546	DINO Code. https://github.com/facebookresearch/dino/tree/main. Accessed: 2024-11-25.
547 548	Disentanglement Library. https://github.com/google-research/ disentanglement_lib.git. Accessed: 2024-05-22.
549 550 551	ClevrTex Download Page. https://www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~vgg/data/clevrtex/. Accessed: 2024-09-29.
552 553	Cloud Storage-Buckets multi-object-datasets (clevr). https://console.cloud.google. com/storage/browser/multi-object-datasets. Accessed: 2024-09-29.
554 555 556	Cloud Storage-Buckets kubric public, movi-c. https://console.cloud.google.com/ storage/browser/kubric-public/tfds/movi_c/. Accessed: 2024-09-29.
557 558 559	Cloud Storage-Buckets kubric public (multishapenet). https://console.cloud.google. com/storage/browser/kubric-public/tfds/msn_easy/msn_easy_frames. Accessed: 2024-09-29.
560 561 562	Tensorflow color space conversions. https://www.tensorflow.org/io/tutorials/ colorspace7. Accessed: 2024-09-29.
563 564 565 566	A. Akbarinia and R. Gil-Rodríguez. Color conversion in deep autoencoders. Journal of Perceptual Imaging, 4(2):020401-1-020401-10, March 2021. ISSN 2575-8144. doi: 10.2352/j.percept.imaging.2021.4.2.020401. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2352/J.Percept.Imaging.2021.4.2.020401.
567 568 569 570 571	 G. Aydemir, W. Xie, and F. Guney. Self-supervised object-centric learning for videos. In A. Oh, T. Naumann, A. Globerson, K. Saenko, M. Hardt, and S. Levine (eds.), Advances in Neu- ral Information Processing Systems, volume 36, pp. 32879–32899. Curran Associates, Inc., 2023. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2023/ file/67b0e7c7c2a5780aeefe3b79caac106e-Paper-Conference.pdf.
572 573 574	Y. Bengio, Aaron Courville, and Pascal Vincent. Representation learning: A review and new per- spectives. <i>IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence</i> , 35:1798–1828, 08 2013. doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2013.50.
575 576 577 578	O. Biza, S. Van Steenkiste, M. S. M. Sajjadi, G. F. Elsayed, A. Mahendran, and T. Kipf. Invariant slot attention: object discovery with slot-centric reference frames. In <i>Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning</i> , ICML'23. JMLR.org, 2023.
579 580 581	J. Brady, R. S. Zimmermann, Y. Sharma, B. Schölkopf, J. Von Kügelgen, and W. Brendel. Provably learning object-centric representations. In <i>Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning</i> , ICML'23. JMLR.org, 2023.
582 583 584 585 586	Mathilde Caron, Hugo Touvron, Ishan Misra, Hervé Jegou, Julien Mairal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers. In 2021 <i>IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)</i> , pp. 9630–9640, 2021. doi: 10.1109/ICCV48922.2021.00951.
587 588 589 590	A. X. Chang, T. A. Funkhouser, L. J. Guibas, P. Hanrahan, QX. Huang, Z. Li, S. Savarese, M. Savva, S. Song, H. Su, J. Xiao, L. Yi, and F. Yu. Shapenet: An information-rich 3d model repository. ArXiv, abs/1512.03012, 2015. URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/ CorpusID:2554264.
591 592 593	J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, LJ. Li, Kai L, and Li FF. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In 2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 248–255, 2009. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2009.5206848.

607

608

613

614

615

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

632

633

634

635

636

642

643

644

- 594 J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional trans-595 formers for language understanding. In Jill Burstein, Christy Doran, and Thamar Solorio (eds.), 596 Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Com-597 putational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pp. 598 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota, June 2019. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/N19-1423. URL https://aclanthology.org/N19-1423.
- 600 D. Ding, F. Hill, A. Santoro, M. Reynolds, and M. Botvinick. Attention over learned 601 object embeddings enables complex visual reasoning. In M. Ranzato, A. Beygelz-602 imer, Y. Dauphin, P.S. Liang, and J. Wortman Vaughan (eds.), Advances in Neural 603 Information Processing Systems, volume 34, pp. 9112–9124. Curran Associates, Inc., 604 2021. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2021/ 605 file/4c26774d852f62440fc746ea4cdd57f6-Paper.pdf.
- X. Du, Z. Zhou, X. Wu, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, y. Zheng, and C. Jin. Multicolor: Image colorization by learning from multiple color spaces. In ACM Multimedia 2024, 2024. URL https:// openreview.net/forum?id=Zo4P2F7xLY. 609
- 610 C. Eastwood and C. K. I. Williams. A framework for the quantitative evaluation of disentangled 611 representations. In International Conference on Learning Representations, 2018. URL https: //api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:19571619. 612
- G. F. Elsayed, A. Mahendran, S. van Steenkiste, K. Greff, M. C. Mozer, and T. Kipf. SAVi++: Towards end-to-end object-centric learning from real-world videos. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2022a. 616
- G. F. Elsayed, A. Mahendran, S. van Steenkiste, K. Greff, M. C. Mozer, and T. Kipf. SAVi++: 617 Towards End-to-End Object-Centric Learning from Real-World Videos, December 2022b. URL 618 http://arxiv.org/abs/2206.07764. arXiv:2206.07764 [cs]. 619
 - K. Fan, Z. Bai, T. Xiao, T. He, M. Horn, Y. Fu, F. Locatello, and Z. Zhang. Adaptive slot attention: Object discovery with dynamic slot number. ArXiv, abs/2406.09196, 2024. URL https:// api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:270440447.
 - J. A. Fodor and Z. W. Pylyshyn. Connectionism and cognitive architecture: A criti-Cognition, 28(1):3-71, 1988. ISSN 0010-0277. doi: https://doi.org/10. cal analysis. 1016/0010-0277(88)90031-5. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/0010027788900315.
- S. N Gowda and C. Yuan. Colornet: Investigating the importance of color spaces for image clas-628 sification. In Computer Vision-ACCV 2018: 14th Asian Conference on Computer Vision, Perth, 629 Australia, December 2-6, 2018, Revised Selected Papers, Part IV 14, pp. 581-596. Springer, 630 2019. 631
 - K. Greff, S. v. Steenkiste, and J. Schmidhuber. On the binding problem in artificial neural networks. CoRR, abs/2012.05208, 2020a. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.05208.
 - K. Greff, S. van Steenkiste, and J. Schmidhuber. On the binding problem in artificial neural networks. CoRR, abs/2012.05208, 2020b. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.05208.
- 637 K. Greff, F. Belletti, L. Beyer, C. Doersch, Y. Du, D. Duckworth, D. J. Fleet, D. Gnanapragasam, 638 F. Golemo, C. Herrmann, T. Kipf, A. Kundu, D. Lagun, I. Laradji, H.-T. Liu, H. Meyer, Y. Miao, 639 D. Nowrouzezahrai, C. Oztireli, E. Pot, N. Radwan, D. Rebain, S. Sabour, M. S. M. Sajjadi, M. Sela, V. Sitzmann, A. Stone, D. Sun, S. Vora, Z. Wang, T. Wu, K. M. Yi, F. Zhong, and 640 A. Tagliasacchi. Kubric: a scalable dataset generator. 2022. 641
 - J. Johnson, B. Hariharan, L. van der Maaten, L. F.-F., C. L. Zitnick, and R. Girshick. Clevr: A diagnostic dataset for compositional language and elementary visual reasoning, 2016. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.06890.
- L. Karazija, I. Laina, and C. Rupprecht. Clevrtex: A texture-rich benchmark for unsuper-646 vised multi-object segmentation. ArXiv, abs/2111.10265, 2021. URL https://api. 647 semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:244463087.

658

659 660

661

662

663

664

665

671

674

687

- 648 J. Kim, J. Choi, H.-J. Choi, and S. Kim. Shepherding slots to objects: Towards stable and robust 649 object-centric learning. pp. 19198–19207, 06 2023. doi: 10.1109/CVPR52729.2023.01840. 650
- M. H. Kim, T Weyrich, and J. Kautz. Modeling human color perception under extended luminance 651 levels. 28(3), 2009. ISSN 0730-0301. doi: 10.1145/1531326.1531333. URL https://doi. 652 org/10.1145/1531326.1531333. 653
- 654 T. Kipf, E. v. d. Pol, and M. Welling. Contrastive learning of structured world models, 2020. URL 655 https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.12247. 656
 - T. Kipf, G. F. Elsayed, A. Mahendran, A. Stone, S. Sabour, G. Heigold, R. Jonschkowski, A. Dosovitskiy, and K. Greff. Conditional Object-Centric Learning from Video, March 2022. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.12594. arXiv:2111.12594 [cs, stat].
 - B. M. Lake, T. D. Ullman, J. B. Tenenbaum, and S. J. Gershman. Building machines that learn and think like people. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 40, 2017. doi: 10.1017/s0140525x16001837.
 - B. Li, S. An, W. Liu, and A. Krishna. The mcf model: Utilizing multiple colors for face recognition. In 2011 Sixth International Conference on Image and Graphics, pp. 1029–1034, 2011. doi: 10. 1109/ICIG.2011.109.
- 666 N Li, C. Eastwood, and R. Fisher. Learning object-centric representations of multi-object scenes 667 from multiple views. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M.F. Balcan, and H. Lin (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 33, pp. 5656–5666. Cur-668 ran Associates, Inc., 2020. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/ 669 paper/2020/file/3d9dabe52805a1ea21864b09f3397593-Paper.pdf. 670
- H. Lim, S. Yoon, and S. Sull. Transfer learning using transformation: Is large unlabeled data helpful 672 at segmentation? In 2020 International Conference on Information and Communication Technol-673 ogy Convergence (ICTC), pp. 387–390, 2020. doi: 10.1109/ICTC49870.2020.9289267.
- T.-Y. Lin, M. Maire, S. Belongie, J. Hays, P. Perona, D. Ramanan, P. Dollár, and C L. Zitnick. 675 Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In Computer Vision-ECCV 2014: 13th European 676 Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13, pp. 740–755. 677 Springer, 2014. 678
- 679 F. Locatello, G. Abbati, T. Rainforth, S. Bauer, B. Schölkopf, and O. Bachem. On the fairness of disentangled representations. Curran Associates Inc., Red Hook, NY, USA, 2019. 680
- 681 Francesco Locatello, Dirk Weissenborn, Thomas Unterthiner, Aravindh Mahendran, Georg Heigold, 682 Jakob Uszkoreit, Alexey Dosovitskiy, and Thomas Kipf. Object-centric learning with slot 683 attention. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M.F. Balcan, and H. Lin (eds.), Ad-684 vances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 33, pp. 11525–11538. Curran 685 Associates, Inc., 2020. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper files/ 686 paper/2020/file/8511df98c02ab60aea1b2356c013bc0f-Paper.pdf.
- R. Majellaro, J. Collu, A. Plaat, and T. M. Moerland. Explicitly disentangled representations in 688 object-centric learning, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.10148. 689
- 690 M. Miao and S. Wang. Pa-colornet: progressive attention network based on rgb and hsv color spaces 691 to improve the visual quality of underwater images. Signal, Image and Video Processing, 17(7): 692 3405-3413, April 2023. ISSN 1863-1711. doi: 10.1007/s11760-023-02562-7. URL http: 693 //dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11760-023-02562-7.
- D. Mishkin, N. Sergievskiy, and J. Matas. Systematic evaluation of convolution neural network 695 advances on the imagenet. Computer Vision and Image Understanding, 161:11-19, 2017. 696 ISSN 1077-3142. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cviu.2017.05.007. URL https://www. 697 sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1077314217300814.
- G.E. Müller and J. A. Barth (Lipsk). Über die Farbenempfindungen: psychophysische Untersuchun-699 gen. Number Bd. 1 in Zeitschrift für Psychologie und Physiologie der Sinnesorgane: Zeitschrift 700 für Psychologie. Verlag von Johann Ambrosius Barth, 1930. URL https://books.google. 701 de/books?id=nEvR0AEACAAJ.

- G. Paschos. Perceptually uniform color spaces for color texture analysis: an empirical evaluation. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 10(6):932–937, 2001. doi: 10.1109/83.923289.
 - M. Podpora, G. Pawel Korbas, and A. Kawala-Janik. Yuv vs rgb-choosing a color space for humanmachine interaction. In *FedCSIS (Position Papers)*, pp. 29–34. Citeseer, 2014.
- R. Qian, S. Ding, X. Liu, and D. Lin. Semantics meets temporal correspondence: Self-supervised object-centric learning in videos. In 2023 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), pp. 16629–16641, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, oct 2023. IEEE Computer Society. doi: 10.1109/ICCV51070.2023.01529. URL https://doi.ieeecomputersociety.org/ 10.1109/ICCV51070.2023.01529.
- A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark, G. Krueger, and I. Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision, 2021. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.00020.
- B. Schölkopf, F. Locatello, S. Bauer, N. R. Ke, N. Kalchbrenner, A. Goyal, and Y. Bengio. Toward causal representation learning. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 109(5):612–634, 2021. doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2021.3058954. URL https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp? arnumber=9363924. *equal contribution.
- M. W. Schwarz, W. B. Cowan, and J. C. Beatty. An experimental comparison of rgb, yiq, lab, hsv, and opponent color models. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 6(2):123–158, April 1987. ISSN 0730-0301. doi: 10.1145/31336.31338. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/31336.31338.
- M. Seitzer, M. Horn, A. Zadaianchuk, D. Zietlow, T. Xiao, C.-J. Simon-Gabriel, T. He, Z. Zhang,
 B. Schölkopf, T. Brox, and F. Locatello. Bridging the Gap to Real-World Object-Centric Learning,
 March 2023. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/2209.14860. arXiv:2209.14860 [cs].
- R. Sennrich, B. Haddow, and A. Birch. Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. In Katrin Erk and Noah A. Smith (eds.), *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pp. 1715–1725, Berlin, Germany, August 2016. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/P16-1162.
 URL https://aclanthology.org/P16-1162.
- M.C. Shin, K.I. Chang, and L.V. Tsap. Does colorspace transformation make any difference on skin detection? In *Sixth IEEE Workshop on Applications of Computer Vision, 2002. (WACV 2002). Proceedings.*, pp. 275–279, 2002. doi: 10.1109/ACV.2002.1182194.
- G. Singh, Y.-F. Wu, and S. Ahn. Simple unsupervised object-centric learning for complex and naturalistic videos. ArXiv, abs/2205.14065, 2022. URL https://api.semanticscholar. org/CorpusID:249151816.
 - G. Singh, Y. Kim, and S. Ahn. Neural systematic binder. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=ZPHE4fht19t.
 - A. R. Smith. Color gamut transform pairs. In Proceedings of the 5th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, SIGGRAPH '78, pp. 12–19, New York, NY, USA, 1978. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450379083. doi: 10.1145/800248. 807361. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/800248.807361.
- W. Stammer, A. Wüst, D. Steinmann, and K. Kersting. Neural concept binder, 2024. URL https: //arxiv.org/abs/2406.09949.
- K. Stelzner, K. Kersting, and A. R. Kosiorek. Decomposing 3d scenes into objects via unsupervised volume segmentation, 2021. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.01148.
- J. Taipalmaa, N. Passalis, and J. Raitoharju. Different color spaces in deep learning-based water
 segmentation for autonomous marine operations. In 2020 IEEE international conference on image
 processing (ICIP), pp. 3169–3173. IEEE, 2020.

705

706

712

723

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

756 L. Tan, J. Li, L. Niu, and L. Zhang. Deep image harmonization in dual color spaces. In Proceedings 757 of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia, MM '23, pp. 2159–2167, New York, 758 NY, USA, 2023. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9798400701085. doi: 10.1145/ 759 3581783.3612404. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3581783.3612404. 760 N. Vandenbroucke, L. Macaire, and J.-G. Postaire. Color image segmentation by pixel classification 761 in an adapted hybrid color space. application to soccer image analysis. Computer Vision and 762 Image Understanding, 90(2):190–216, 2003. 763 764 A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N Gomez, L. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin. 765 Attention is all you need. In I. Guyon, U. Von Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 30. 766 Curran Associates, Inc., 2017. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_ 767 files/paper/2017/file/3f5ee243547dee91fbd053c1c4a845aa-Paper.pdf. 768 769 X. Wen, B. Zhao, A. Zheng, X. Zhang, and X. Qi. Self-supervised visual representation learning 770 with semantic grouping. In Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Neural Infor-771 mation Processing Systems, NIPS '22, Red Hook, NY, USA, 2024. Curran Associates Inc. ISBN 772 9781713871088. 773 F. Wenzel, A. Dittadi, P. Gehler, C.-J. Simon-Gabriel, M. Horn, D. Zietlow, D. Kern-774 ert, C. Russell, T. Brox, B. Schiele, B. Schölkopf, and F. Locatello. Assay-775 ing out-of-distribution generalization in transfer learning. In S. Koyejo, S. Mo-776 hamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh (eds.), Advances in Neural 777 Information Processing Systems, volume 35, pp. 7181-7198. Curran Associates, Inc., 778 2022. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/ 779 file/2f5acc925919209370a3af4eac5cad4a-Paper-Conference.pdf. 780 T. Wiedemer, J. Brady, A. Panfilov, A. Juhos, M. Bethge, and W. Brendel. Provable compositional 781 generalization for object-centric learning, 2023. 782 783 J. Xu, S. De Mello, S. Liu, W. Byeon, T. Breuel, J. Kautz, and X. Wang. Groupvit: Semantic seg-784 mentation emerges from text supervision. In 2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and 785 Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 18113–18123, 2022. doi: 10.1109/CVPR52688.2022.01760. 786 Rongzhen Zhao, Vivienne Wang, Juho Kannala, and Joni Pajarinen. Grouped discrete representation 787 for object-centric learning, 11 2024. 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 804 805 806 808

A REPRODUCIBILITY OF EXPERIMENTS

 Our work contains a wide range of empirical evaluations. The following section serves as documentation to reproduce our experiments. We provide model architectures, optimization hyperparameters, and further details.

816 A.1 MODEL ARCHITECTURE

815

824

825

We consider a wide range of complexities in our datasets. While Clevr contains only simple geometric objects on a gray background, Movi-C depicts photorealistic textures and backgrounds. We adjust the scale of model architectures accordingly. All models consist of an encoder, the Slot Attention module, and the spatial broadcast decoder. We report details of the Table A.1.1, Table A.1.2, Table A.1.3. For the Clevr dataset, we apply vanilla Slot Attention, for all other datasets we apply position and scale invariant slot attention (Biza et al., 2023).

A.1.1 ENCODER DETAILS

	Layer	Kernel Dimension	Kernel Size	Stride	Padding	Activation
	1	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
νr	2	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
Cle	3	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
	4	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
net	1	64	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
ape	2	64	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
tish	3	64	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
Mul	4	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
	1	64	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
rtex	2	64	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
llev	3	64	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
0	4	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu

Table 3: Architecture Details of CNN encoder.

A.1.2 SLOT ATTENTION MODULE DETAILS

We use Slot Attention with three iterations and a residual connection as proposed by Locatello et al. (2020). For all datasets except Clevr, we utilize the position-invariant variant of Biza et al. (2023). The number of slots is set to the maximum number of objects in the dataset plus the background, resulting in eleven slots for all datasets except Multishapenet, where we use five slots. The hyperparameters of the Slot attention module are depicted in Table A.1.2.

844 845

846

847

848

A.1.3 DECODER DETAILS

- The hyperparameters of the spatial decoder are detailed in Table A.1.3.
- 854 855 856

857

853

A.2 Optimization Parameters

Similar to Locatello et al. (2020); Biza et al. (2023), we apply the Adam optimizer. We use a batch size of 32 with a learning rate of $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for 250k steps. We use a learning rate warm-up from 0 to 50k steps, and afterwards a cosine learning rate decay for 100k steps. Due to the large variance for object segmentation, we train all CNN models on 10 random seeds, and all ResNet models on 6 random seeds.

	Name	Dimension	Activation
	Position Encoding	64	ReLu
	Key	64	None
W	Query	64	None
Cle	Value	64	None
	GRU	64	ReLu
	Residual MLP	$128 \rightarrow 64$	$ReLu \rightarrow Relu$
	Position Encoding	64	ReLu
net	Key	64	None
ape	Query	64	None
tish	Value	64	None
Mul	GRU	64	ReLu
	Residual MLP	128 ightarrow 64	$ReLu \rightarrow Relu$
	Position Encoding	64	ReLu
NN.	Key	64	None
C X	Query	64	None
vrte	Value	64	None
Cle	GRU	64	ReLu
	Residual MLP	$128 \rightarrow 64$	$ReLu \rightarrow Relu$
t	Position Encoding	$256 \rightarrow 128$	ReLu
sne	Key	128	None
c Re	Query	128	None
nte	Value	128	None
Clev	GRU	128	ReLu
0	Residual MLP	$256 \rightarrow 128$	$ReLu \rightarrow Relu$
	Position Encoding	$256 \rightarrow 128$	ReLu
	Key	128	None
<i>i</i> -C	Query	128	None
NО	Value	128	None
	GRU	128	ReLu
	Residual MLP	$256 \rightarrow 128$	$ReLu \rightarrow Relu$

Table 4: Architecture Details of Slot Attention Module

A.3 EVALUATION METRICS

While the metrics for object discovery are well established for OCRL (Locatello et al., 2020; Biza et al., 2023; Seitzer et al., 2023), we provide more details about our experiments of underlying generating factors. There we use the DCI metrics of Eastwood & Williams (2018). According to Eastwood & Williams (2018), those metrics measure:

- **Disentanglement**: "The degree to which a representation factorizes or disentangles the underlying factors of variation, with each variable (or dimension) capturing at most one generative factor"
- **Completeness**: "The degree to which a single code variable captures each underlying factor"
- **Informativeness**: "The amount of information that a representation captures about the underlying factors of variation"

The classifier and DCI metrics require slots to be matched to a ground truth object. We match pairs by the largest overlap of the ground truth mask with the decoded alpha mask of slots. For the property prediction experiments, we trained two classifiers. The linear one directly maps from the slot representations to one-hot encoded property predictions, while the non-linear one additionally includes a ReLu-activated intermediate layer with 128 dimensions. We utilize an external library available at Loc for the DCI experiments. We use a decision tree classifier with a batch size of 32.

	Layer	Kernel Dimension	Kernel Size	Stride	Padding	Activation
	1	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
	2	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
w	3	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
Cle	4	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
	5	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
	6	Color Channels + 1	3x3	1x1	Same	None
	1	64	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
net	2	64	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
ape	3	64	5x5	2x3	Same	ReLu
trish	4	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
Mul	5	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
	6	Color Channels + 1	3x3	1x1	Same	None
	1	64	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
NN	2	64	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
C X	3	64	5x5	2x3	Same	ReLu
vrte	4	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
Cle	5	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
	6	Color Channels + 1	3x3	1x1	Same	None
t	1	128	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
sne	2	128	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
c Re	3	128	5x5	2x3	Same	ReLu
nte	4	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
Cler	5	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
Ŭ	6	Color Channels + 1	3x3	1x1	Same	None
	1	128	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
	2	128	5x5	2x2	Same	ReLu
vi-C	3	128	5x5	2x3	Same	ReLu
NΟ	4	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
	5	64	5x5	1x1	Same	ReLu
	6	Color Channels + 1	3x3	1x1	Same	None

A.4 IMPLEMENTATION OF COLOR SPACES

In the main text, we regularly discuss RGB, CIELAB, and HSV as color spaces. However, while the term "color spaces" is regularly used, it is imprecise: For example, RGB comprises a collection of *additive* color spaces, and arguably its most important representative is the standard RGB (sRGB) space - images in the web are usually represented by the sRGB space if not tagged otherwise. For our paper, we do not discriminate between the different implementations, and our reasoning is not based on a specific implementation. Contrarily, we argue that adding additional color channels leads to output representations that are less statistics-based but lead to more semantic segmentations. We utilize the Tensorflow implementation (tfi) to convert images to other color spaces.

B DATASETS

B.1 CLEVR

Clevr (Johnson et al., 2016) is arguably the least complex dataset we consider. Three to ten simple geometric objects are randomly placed on a gray background. The number of objects is uniformly sampled, containing four factors of variations: Objects differ between three shapes, two sizes, two materials, and eight colors. The camera and light source are randomly jittered. We trained our models on the whole train set, consisting of 70000 images, and evaluated on 5000 images randomly sampled from the test set. We preprocess the images by taking a 192x192 center crop of the images and then bilinearly rescale them to 128×128 . We do the same for the ground truth masks but rescale them with nearest-neighbor interpolation. The dataset with ground truth masks is available at kub.

972 B.2 MULTISHAPENET 973

974 Multishapenet (Stelzner et al., 2021) is a significant step up in complexity compared to Clevr. Al-975 though the background is also gray, the objects are visually more complex: Each of the objects falls into one of the categories of chairs, tables, and cabinets (uniformly sampled), which are then used to 976 sample photorealistic models from the ShapeNetV2 set (Chang et al., 2015). Multishapenet contains 977 11733 unique shapes. Camera and light are randomly jittered, as in Clevr. We preprocess the im-978 ages by taking a 192x192 center crop of the images and then bilinearly rescale them to 128x128. We 979 do the same for the ground truth masks but rescale them with nearest-neighbor interpolation. The 980 training set contains 70000 images, while the test set contains 15000. We evaluate 5000 of them. 981 We filter for images containing exactly four objects for the Multishapenet 4 version. The dataset is 982 available at (msn).

983 984

985

994

995

B.3 CLEVRTEX

986 Clevrtex (Karazija et al., 2021) is conceptually similar to Clevr. Each scene includes 3 to 10 random 987 objects. However, it contains 60 photorealistic backgrounds with complex textures. Similarly, the objects are sampled from four shapes, three sizes, and 60 complex textures. Scenes are often dark 988 due to the backgrounds and objects. The camera is chosen as in Clevr, but Clevrtex includes complex 989 lighting effects through three light sources. We apply the same cropping and resizing strategy as for 990 Clevr. Clevrtex includes 40000 images for training and 5000 images for training. We also evaluate 991 our models on an out-of-distribution set containing four unseen shapes and 25 additional textures. 992 Clevrtex (v2) can be downloaded at cle. 993

B.4 Movi-C

996 Movi-C is a video dataset consisting of 24 frames showing objects falling to the ground with realistic 997 physics. Each scene consists of 3 to 10 objects with a complex background. Contrarily to Clevrtex, 998 the objects are not simple geometric shapes but are sampled from 11 realistic categories, such as 999 "Toys" or "Car Seat." The lighting effects originate from the sampled background and thus differ 1000 strongly in their lightness. Similar to related works (Seitzer et al., 2023), we treat the dataset as an image dataset, taking all 24 snapshots. That yields 234000 images for training and 6000 for testing. 1001 We ensure no overlap between the train and test set; all images originate from different videos. 1002 Movi-C can be downloaded at mov. 1003

1004

1005 C COLOR SPACES AND THE BIOLOGICAL VISUAL SYSTEM

We predominantly used the RGB and HSV color space in the main text for our experimental evaluation. We motivate their usage in subsection 3.2 with analogies to the human visual system. This section serves as a basic introduction to the color perception of humans. We refer to Müller & (Lipsk) and Kim et al. (2009) for details.

1011 Almost all theories of human color vision are based on the zone model of Müller & (Lipsk); Kim 1012 et al. (2009). Physical light waves stimulate three types of cones (short-, middle-, and long-wave) 1013 and rods in the pupil. Neurons combine those physical signals, yielding achromatic brightness, hue, 1014 and colorfulness sensations. Those are finally propagated with nerve fibers to the visual cortex. While the RGB color space is designed in analogy to the rudimentary physical activations of the 1015 cones, the HSV space is closely related to the sensations propagated to our visual cortex (Smith, 1016 1978; Schwarz et al., 1987). In our work, we report that using additional color channels closely 1017 related to how humans perceive the world significantly improves the object detection capabilities of 1018 unsupervised OCRL networks. 1019

1020

1022

1024

1021 D EXTENDED EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

- 1023 D.1 CLEVRTEX OUT OF DISTRIBUTION
- The Clevrtex dataset includes an out-of-distribution dataset containing novel objects and textures different from those in the train set. We also evaluated the models on this test set for object discov-

ery. As models trained on the augmented color spaces better generalize to underlying generating factors, we hypothesized that they are more sensitive to out-of-distribution data with newly introduced factors of variation. However, all models perform similarly in terms of object discovery, as seen in Table D.1.

Table 6: Evaluation results on the out-of-distribution test set of Clevrtex for the different predicted output color spaces.

MSE*↓

 429.7 ± 49.5

 521.4 ± 17.4

 488.6 ± 33.8

 533.9 ± 22.8

 591.5 ± 19.4

 391.2 ± 66.6

 509.1 ± 37.6

 480.2 ± 77.9

 $\begin{array}{c} 446.1 \pm 81.2 \\ 636.1 \pm 19.7 \end{array}$

1	0
4	0
	υ

1035

1036

1037

1039

1040

1041

1043

1044

	V	Pred.	FG-ARI↑	mIoU ↑
x 00D	CNN	RGB RGB-S RGB-SV RGB-HSV HSV	$\begin{array}{c} 65.6 \pm 16.0 \\ \textbf{82.5} \pm \textbf{3.2} \\ 79.9 \pm \ 3.5 \\ \textbf{83.3} \pm \textbf{1.9} \\ \textbf{83.6} \pm \textbf{1.5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39.5 \pm 12.1 \\ 57.8 \pm 3.6 \\ 50.9 \pm 7.3 \\ 59.8 \pm 4.2 \\ \mathbf{64.9 \pm 2.0} \end{array}$
Clevrte	ResNet	RGB RGB-S RGB-SV RGB-HSV HSV	$74.6 \pm 11.5 \\90.4 \pm 2.8 \\87.3 \pm 10.2 \\83.1 \pm 11.4 \\\textbf{92.6} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45.3 \pm 14.2 \\ 69.4 \pm \ 3.7 \\ 65.9 \pm 14.9 \\ 56.7 \pm 14.8 \\ \textbf{77.3} \pm \textbf{1.6} \end{array}$

* MSE caveat: A tendency for higher values for the enriched color spaces and HSV is expected as the MSE involves a conversion to RGB.

1045 1046

1061 1062

1064

1047 1048 D.2 Correlations of color channels

1049 In the main text, we argued that the RGB channels are highly correlated in natural images. We em-1050 pirically verified that by measuring the Pearson correlation coefficient for three well-known datasets 1051 with natural lighting, namely ClevrTex (Karazija et al., 2021), MS Coco (Lin et al., 2014), and Ima-1052 geNet (ILSVRC2012). We sampled 1000 images per dataset and measured the pixel-wise correlation 1053 between the color channels. We report the results in Table 7. Additionally, we also measured the 1054 correlation for the HSV channel. All channels of RGB strongly correlate with the value that captures the lightness (see subsection D.8). The hue and saturation channels are mostly non-correlated. We 1055 show in the main text that those channels provide complementary information useful for unsuper-1056 vised OCRL. 1057

1058
1059Table 7: Correlation of Color channel pixel values for the three datasets *ClevrTex* (Karazija et al.,
2021), *MS Coco* (Lin et al., 2014), and *ImageNet* (ILSVRC2012) (Deng et al., 2009).

	Channel	R	G	В	Н	S	V
	R		+.91	+.78	16	19	+.98
Tex	G		•	+.94	$\pm .00$	44	+.94
vr	В			•	+.17	+.63	+.82
Cle	Н				•	33	08
Ŭ	S					•	19
	R	•	+.91	+.77	12	36	+.94
000	G		•	+.91	01	47	+.94
ŭ	В			•	+.19	56	+.87
SИ	Н				•	05	+.01
Ι	S					•	28
<u> </u>	R	•	+.89	+.77	24	57	+.85
Neı	G			+.89	12	43	+.94
gei	В				+.10	34	+.93
та	Н				•	+.20	05
η	S					•	25

1077 1078 1079

1074 1075

1080 D.3 INPUT TRANSFORMATIONS

In our main text, we mainly argued for color space transformations in the *targets*. Color space transformations in the inputs were already investigated in the literature (see section 4) - depending on the task and data, other color spaces than RGB have shown performance leaps. However, we argued that any machine learning model can closely approximate the color space transformations, which makes color space transformations in the inputs less convincing for OCRL. The situation is different for transformations in the output, as the slots must represent those features. To test our hypothesis, we run experiments with transformations in the input and output. Therefore, we used the CNN Clevrtex model on three random seeds each. We report the FG-ARI in Table 8.

1090 1091

1093 1094 1095

1099

Table 8: Object Discovery FG-ARI ([↑]) for input/output transformations.

Input/Output	RGB	HSV	LAB
RGB	71.7 ± 1.4	86.7 ± 1.7	71.0 ± 10.0
HSV	68.3 ± 7.0	75.8 ± 15.4	74.7 ± 15.6
LAB	74.5 ± 9.5	86.2 ± 1.5	78.8 ± 7.4

1100 D.4 ROBUSTNESS UNDER LIGHTING CONDITIONS

We extend our experiments from the main text by testing how training on composite color spaces influences the robustness of models to distribution shifts. In the following, we explore how models behave when exposed to differing *lighting*. In section 3, we argued about the significant impact of lighting on the RGB channels. In contrast, most HSV channels are only slightly perturbed. We will explore whether those unperturbed channels transfer to more robust slot representations under lighting conditions.

We generate a novel test set similar to Clevrtex scenes for our experiments, utilizing the same codebase (Cle). However, for each generated scene, we generate three additional scenes where only the lighting conditions are varied. Specifically, we push the light source apart for 5, 10, and 20 units, respectively, resulting in gradually darker scenes. Qualitative samples for differing lighting conditions are shown in Figure 8. The effects on the color channels may be observed in Figure 5: While the R, G, B, and V channels are highly dependent on the lighting conditions, the hue and saturation show only moderate changes. We create a total of 1024 scenes.

Table 9: Object Discovery FG-ARI ([†]) and mIoU ([†]) for various color spaces (RGB2X) and differing lighting conditions.

	Metric	Pred.	L = 0	L = 5	L = 10	L = 20
Lighting	FG-ARI↑	RGB RGB-S HSV	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 73.9 \pm & 7.4 \\ 85.9 \pm & 4.3 \\ 87.9 \pm & 1.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 73.5 \pm & 7.5 \\ 85.7 \pm & 3.7 \\ 86.9 \pm & 1.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 70.9 \pm & 6.9 \\ 83.0 \pm & 3.3 \\ 84.6 \pm & 0.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 68.2 \pm & 6.0 \\ 79.1 \pm & 3.0 \\ 81.4 \pm & 0.9 \end{array}$
Clevrtex	mloU↑	RGB RGB-S HSV	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 44.4 \pm & 9.6 \\ 62.6 \pm & 3.7 \\ 72.1 \pm & 1.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 40.3 \pm & 8.2 \\ 59.1 \pm & 3.6 \\ 69.1 \pm & 1.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 35.8 \pm & 6.5 \\ 52.8 \pm & 3.6 \\ 62.8 \pm & 2.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 33.6 \pm & 5.7 \\ 48.5 \pm & 3.3 \\ 57.6 \pm & 2.2 \end{array}$

We first test whether models trained on the RGB, RGB-S, and HSV space remain robust in discovering objects (see Table D.4). While the distribution shift decreases the segmentation of all models, independent of the target color space, they remain remarkably robust. Interestingly, even in the darkest scenes (distance L = 20), the RGB-S and HSV models still achieve a better performance than the RGB models without distribution shift. However, the RGB models are also only marginally influenced by darker scenes. We suspect that the Clevrtex training set (containing 40k images) contains enough scenes with dark materials to create models that are robust toward lighting effects inherently.

1133 Secondly, we test whether the induced slot representations remain similar under different lighting conditions. Therefore, we first match slots to ground-truth objects based on their predicted mask.

21

Table 10: Slot representation distance for various color spaces (RGB2X) and differing lighting conditions. We report the Cosine and Euclidean distances for slots binding the same object under differing lighting conditions. " $0 \rightarrow L$ " measures the (euclidean/cosine) distance between the slot obtained under normal lighting conditions and the lighting conditions observed as distance L.

	Metric	Pred.	$0 \rightarrow 5$	$0 \rightarrow 10$	$0 \rightarrow 20$
Clevrtex Lighting	Cosine \downarrow	RGB RGB-S HSV	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 37.4 \pm & 3.1 \\ 29.8 \pm & 2.2 \\ 23.3 \pm & 1.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 59.8 \pm & 5.7 \\ 46.1 \pm & 3.6 \\ 35.2 \pm & 2.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 76.8 \pm & 8.2 \\ 59.2 \pm & 4.1 \\ 45.9 \pm & 3.5 \end{array}$
	Euclid. \downarrow	RGB RGB-S HSV	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 58.1 \pm & 3.0 \\ 49.2 \pm & 2.1 \\ 42.4 \pm & 2.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 76.4 \pm & 4.3 \\ 64.7 \pm & 3.5 \\ 55.6 \pm & 3.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 87.8 \pm & 5.3 \\ 75.0 \pm & 3.4 \\ 65.0 \pm & 3.3 \end{array}$

1145 1146

Then, we compare the slot representations of darkened scenes to the slot representation without the darkening effect. We compare them based on the Euclidean and Cosine distances. For comparison, we normalize each model's Euclidean and Cosine distance based on the respective average distance of all slots.

We report the results in Table D.4. While the lighting conditions strongly influence slot representation trained on the RGB space, the slot representation trained on RGB-S and HSV remains more robust. We attribute this to the complementary hue and saturation channels robust to lighting conditions. The underlying slot representations learn those invariances, transferring to more stable slot representations.

1157

1158 D.5 SLOT ATTENTION MAPS

1159 We extend our analysis of learned slot parameters from subsection 5.2 by inspecting the attention 1160 maps for each slot. To get an overview of the whole model, we aim to visualize not only single 1161 scenes but the whole Clevrtex test set. For each scene, we compute the α mask for every slot and 1162 derive the weighted (x,y)-means of the mask per slot. The mean (x,y)-values (per slot) are presented 1163 in Figure 6 for six models trained on RGB, RGB-S, and HSV.

1164 While all slots, independent of the color space, occupy a spatial area, the distributions of the center 1165 points of the attention maps vary: For four models of the RGB space, the attention maps centers 1166 differ only slightly - those represent degenerated slots, binding fixed-size grids instead of objects. 1167 In our experiments, this behavior appears regularly for models trained on RGB and is also observed 1168 in the related literature(Seitzer et al., 2023; Locatello et al., 2020). This behavior does not happen as frequently with composite color spaces. We suppose that the complementary information of 1169 the additional color channels provides targets that pressure models to discriminate between objects 1170 and backgrounds - those might be similar in the RGB space due to their strong correlation with 1171 lighting effects but strongly differ in the uncorrelated HSV space. Those features (e.g., in color and 1172 saturation) must be represented by the underlying slot representations. 1173

1174 1175 D.6 Comparison to Self-Supervised Methods

1176 Although self-supervised targets are not the main focus of our research, recent related work (Seitzer 1177 et al., 2023) has highlighted their remarkable performance on challenging real-world datasets. Thus, 1178 we compare our composite color spaces to the recent Dinosaur (Seitzer et al., 2023) architecture. 1179 We run experiments on the Clevrtex and Movi-C datasets using the same hyperparameter setting 1180 as described in (Seitzer et al., 2023) for Movi-C. However, we exchange the Vit-s8 backbone for a 1181 ResNet34 variant for comparability to our networks. We utilize training signals from a DINO (Caron et al., 2021) Vit-b16. The Dinosaur models with the ResNet variant show superior performance over 1182 the composite color spaces on Movi-C (FG-ARI 53.1 ± 1.3 , mIoU 22.7 ± 0.6) but lack performance 1183 on Clevrtex (FG-ARI 22.1 \pm 10.7, mIoU 11.2 \pm 4.8). Related work Zhao et al. (2024) optimized a 1184 Dinosaur model for Clevrtex that does not degenerate, but its performance (FG-ARI ≈ 80.0) is still 1185 far behind the composite color spaces. 1186



Figure 5: We show color statistics of our newly generated Clevrtex test set under different lighting conditions. The distances of the lights are denoted with the variable *L*, distancing all light sources. While the distribution of Hue and Saturation mostly remains consistent, all other color channels show immense discrepancies.



Figure 6: Detailed analysis for the Clevrtex test set: We plot the attention maps for models with a CNN backbone trained on different color spaces. Every dot in the scatter plot represents the spatial broadcast decoder's weighted mean (x,y)- α mask of a slot in a scene. The x- and y-axis represent the spatial layout of a Clevrtex scene with size (128,128). Slots are discriminated by color, and we only plot slots that match an object based on the mIoU. While all slots bind to specific areas, most attention maps of slots in the HSV and RGB-S model show high variance, attending to objects close to that area. Most slots in the RGB model degenerate to fixed areas, not binding to specific objects. One can observe that the variance of the attention maps is higher (indicating a better binding) for HSV than RGB-S, in contrast to all other test sets.



Figure 7: We visualize the self-attention maps of the [CLS] token of different heads of the last layer of a pre-trained DINO Vit-s8 (Caron et al., 2021) on a Clevrtex sample. For more information on 1272 the attention heads, see Din. Each of the attention heads focuses on a group of foreground objects, 1273 segmenting them from the background. 1274

1276 The extracted DINO features do not trivially segment the Clevrtex scenes into objects. Although 1277 the objects are segmented from the background (see the attention maps in Figure 7), the features do 1278 not naturally segment between objects. This can be observed by applying the trivial k-means clustering schemes on the DINO features. This strategy already outperforms SA networks trained on 1279 RGB losses in Seitzer et al. (2023) for the Movi and Coco datasets, but it shows poor performance 1280 on Clevrtex (see Table 11). Self-supervised signals might not be useful for those specific data dis-1281 tributions. Furthermore, while self-supervised signals show a massive improvement for real-world 1282 datasets, their performance is limited by the self-supervised signals. If information is not represented 1283 in these signals, the OCLR network can not recover these signals. Contrarily, composite color rep-1284 resentations retain all information - thus, color representations might be used as complementary 1285 targets next to self-supervised signals or as sole targets with more elaborate networks. 1286

Table 11: Object Discovery FG-ARI and mIoU for k-means clustering on DINO features

Metric	Vit-s8	Vit-s16	Vit-b8	Vit-b16
FG-ARI (†)	50.1 ± 13.3	30.3 ± 9.9	21.3 ± 7.1	30.0 ± 10.0
mIoU (†)	37.2 ± 10.1	19.4 ± 5.9	16.2 ± 5.0	19.6 ± 5.8

1293

1287

1288

1290 1291

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1271

1275

1294



Figure 8: We show four scenes that are generated with the Clevrtex generator. All scenes contain the same objects and background; only the source of light is moved farther away. We plot the image together with heatmaps of the RGB and HSV channels.

1319 D.7 ABLATIONS ON THE NUMBER OF SLOTS

It was shown that the number of slots largely influences the performance of OCLR networks (Fan et al., 2024). Often, a lower number of slots than objects in the scene even helps to improve object detection. We thus run an ablation and test whether the composite color spaces improve OCLR networks. Table D.7 shows scene segmentation quality of CNN networks on the Clevrtex test set, trained with an ablated number of slots. We trained on three random seeds. Although the RGB models do not degenerate as often with a reduced number of slots, the RGB-S models outperform them consistently - the only exception is for a very low number of slots.

	Metric	Pred.	5 Slots	7 Slots	9 Slots
Clevrtex	FG-ARI ↑	RGB RGB-S	$\begin{array}{rrr} 65.1 \pm & 5.1 \\ 60.7 \pm 10.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 80.4 \pm & 1.5 \\ 83.1 \pm & 4.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74.3 \pm 11.1 \\ 86.8 \pm \ 1.8 \end{array}$
	mIoU ↑	RGB RGB-S	$\begin{array}{rrr} 37.6 \pm & 4.6 \\ 22.2 \pm 12.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 50.0 \pm & 2.2 \\ 59.2 \pm & 2.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 44.3 \pm 12.8 \\ 65.4 \pm \ 0.7 \end{array}$

Table 12: Object Discovery FG-ARI and mIoU for an ablated number of slots on Clevrtex

1338

1328

1315

1316

1317 1318

1320

D.8 QUALITATIVE LIGHTING EFFECTS ON CLEVRTEX

We qualitatively show how light effects influence color channels in Figure 8. Therefore, we created visual scenes with the same distribution as the Clevrtex dataset; however, we manipulated the light source, gradually moving it farther away. The objects are clearly discriminable in all channels at the start (d = 0). However, while the hue and saturation channels uphold discriminative features, all other channels lose them. Most objects blend into the background in the RGB and saturation channels for the darkest scene (d = 0). As the value of HSV captures the lightness, the hue, and saturation are less affected by light effects.

1346 D.9 QUALITATIVE SCENE SEGMENTATION

We show qualitative samples for all evaluation datasets in Figure 9, Figure 10, Figure 11, and Figure 12.



Figure 9: Exemplary scenes from the Clevr dataset.



Figure 10: Exemplary scenes from the Multishapenet dataset.



Figure 12: Exemplary scenes from the Movi-C dataset.