LANGUAGE-DRIVEN 3D HUMAN POSE ESTIMATION: GROUNDING MOTION FROM TEXT DESCRIPTIONS

Anonymous authors

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

025

026

027 028 029

031

Paper under double-blind review

Abstract

In an NBA game scenario, consider the challenge of locating and analyzing the 3D poses of players performing a user-specified action, such as attempting a shot. Traditional 3D human pose estimation (3DHPE) methods often fall short in such complex, multi-person scenes due to their lack of semantic integration and reliance on isolated pose data. To address these limitations, we introduce Language-Driven 3D Human Pose Estimation (L3DHPE), a novel approach that extends 3DHPE to general multi-person contexts by incorporating detailed language descriptions. We present Panoptic-L3D, the first dataset designed for L3DHPE, featuring 3,838 linguistic annotations for 1,476 individuals across 588 videos, with 6,035 masks and 91k frame-level 3D skeleton annotations. Additionally, we propose Cascaded Pose Perception (CPP), a benchmarking method that simultaneously performs language-driven mask segmentation and 3D pose estimation within a unified model. CPP first learns 2D pose information, utilizes a body fusion module to aid in mask segmentation, and employs a mask fusion module to mitigate mask noise before outputting 3D poses. Our extensive evaluation of CPP and existing benchmarks on the Panoptic-L3D dataset demonstrates the necessity of this novel task and dataset for advancing 3DHPE. Our dataset can be accessed at https://languagedriven3dposeestimation.github.io/.

1 INTRODUCTION

3D human pose estimation (3DHPE) Pavlakos et al. (2017); Pavllo et al. (2019); Sun et al. (2017); 033 Zheng et al. (2021); Sun et al. (2022); Su et al. (2022) seeks to accurately localize joints and 034 reconstruct the body's representation within a 3D coordinate system based on input images or videos. This capability to derive detailed motion and geometric information about the human body 035 underpins a plethora of applications, including video understanding Wang et al. (2021), sports analytics Bridgeman et al. (2019), and human-robot interaction Xu et al. (2020). However, traditional 037 approaches have primarily concentrated on identifying poses without integrating high-level semantic knowledge, which is crucial for meaningful human communication. Despite recent efforts Zhang et al. (2020); Mazzia et al. (2022); Chi et al. (2022); Delmas et al. (2024); Lin et al. (2024); Feng 040 et al. (2024) to link human pose with semantic information, these methods still face significant 041 limitations. The actions they consider are typically simplistic, which results in ordinary and limited 042 semantic descriptions. Moreover, the input videos are usually restricted to scenarios involving a 043 single individual engaged in sports activities, thereby limiting the practical applicability of 3DHPE. In 044 reality, human motion is characterized by diverse and detailed descriptions, highlighting an emerging need for 3D human pose estimation to address more complex, real-world, and multi-person scenarios. This necessitates a shift towards incorporating motion grounding, a concept that connects dynamic 046 human movements with rich semantic context, enabling a more comprehensive understanding of 047 human activities in natural and multifaceted environments. 048

In response to these challenges, we introduce a novel task: Language-Driven 3D Human Pose
 Estimation (L3DHPE), which can also be referred to as 3D Motion Grounding. It extends the
 original 3DHPE problem to encompass more general multi-person scenes and explores the semantic
 interplay between human poses and language expressions. L3DHPE addresses a more demanding
 yet practical problem, aiming to reconstruct 3D pose sequences for individuals based on detailed
 language descriptions that capture aspects such as appearance, behavior, and body movements.

054

056

060 061

062

063

068

069



Figure 1: Example applications of the L3DHPE task: Sports coaching and officiating.

We present two notable real-world examples of L3DHPE applications. In the first example (Fig. 1 (a)), a coach can focus on specific players and view them from multiple angles using language descriptions. By integrating language understanding with 3D pose reconstruction, L3DHPE enables real-time analysis of player movements, significantly enhancing feedback and performance. The second example (Fig. 1 (b)) involves sports officiating. A referee can use language input to quickly isolate the relevant players' skeletons, simplifying decisions like offside calls by filtering out irrelevant players.

076 Given the scarcity of pose estimation datasets with precise and richly contextual linguistic annotations, 077 we developed Panoptic-L3D, the first L3DHPE dataset, to propel advancements in this field. Panoptic-078 L3D includes 588 videos featuring 1,476 targeted individuals, 3,838 language descriptions, 6035 079 mask images, and corresponding 91k frame-level 3D skeleton annotations for all individuals. Each video is meticulously selected to ensure the presence of at least two individuals, with clearly visible 081 upper bodies and significant movements by at least one individual. Annotators provide detailed, longform descriptions of individuals based on their appearance, behavior, and body movements, ensuring 082 specificity to eliminate ambiguity. In addition to 3D skeleton annotations for pose information, 083 we provide individual object masks using the pretrained SAM model Kirillov et al. (2023) for 084 coarse-level pose guidance. Fig. 2 showcases examples from our Panoptic-L3D dataset, showing its 085 comprehensive and detailed annotations.

087 Building upon the proposed Panoptic-L3D dataset, a naive solution for our task is to apply referring 880 video object segmentation methods to videos. This approach takes both language and video information as input to generate masks of the described objects, which are then used in single-person 3D 089 human pose estimation methods. However, this method has several significant drawbacks: Firstly, 090 referring video object segmentation methods do not leverage pose information, leading to a poor 091 understanding of poses and incorrect segmentation of individuals, thus reducing the accuracy of 3D 092 human pose estimation. Secondly, in multi-person interactions, occlusions between individuals often occur, and masks may be incomplete, introducing noise that is amplified during 3D pose estimation, 094 further degrading accuracy. Finally, the workflows of both the reference video object segmentation and single-person 3D human pose estimation methods are complex. Simply combining them results 096 in an excessively cumbersome processing flow, limiting their practicality in real-life applications.

To address these issues, we propose Cascaded Pose Perception (CPP), a benchmarking method for the task we introduce. CPP jointly performs language-driven mask segmentation and 3D pose output within a single model. Initially, the model is trained to learn 2D pose information. We then design a body fusion module that utilizes pose information to assist the network in segmenting masks for specified individuals. Unlike traditional 3DHPE methods, we do not rely solely on the predicted human root nodes to output 3D poses. Instead, a mask fusion module is incorporated to help the model identify individuals from human masks while minimizing the impact of mask noise.

- 104 105 Our contributions are summarized below:
- We introduce Language-Driven 3D Human Pose Estimation, a novel yet practical 3D human pose estimation setting that incorporates language guidance. This setting emphasizes the text-motion interaction, which holds great potential in several real-life applications.



Figure 2: Examples of videos, descriptions, masks, and 3D skeleton of our Panoptic-L3D dataset.

- We construct Panoptic-L3D, the first dataset for L3DHPE, containing 3,838 linguistic annotations for 1,476 individuals across 588 videos, with 6,035 masks and 91k frame-level 3D skeleton annotations. We also propose a baseline, CPP, providing potential solutions for L3DHPE task.
- We extensively evaluate CPP and four RVOS benchmarks along with 3DHPE benchmarks on Panoptic-L3D. Experimental results demonstrate the drawbacks of previous methods and underscore the necessity of the L3DHPE task and the Panoptic-L3D dataset.

2 **RELATED WORKS**

133 134

125

126

127

128

129

130

131 132

135 **3D Human Pose Estimation Datasets.** We summarize various public 3D Human Pose Estimation 136 datasets in Tab. 1. HumanEva-I Sigal et al. (2010) contains 7 calibrated video sequences synchronized with 3D body poses obtained from a motion capture system. Human3.6M Ionescu et al. (2013) 137 includes 3.6 million human poses with corresponding frames, offering precise 3D human joint 138 positions and high-resolution videos captured at 50 Hz by a motion capture system. CMU Panoptic Joo 139 et al. (2015) features extensive social interactions with up to eight characters per video, making it a 140 significant choice for our annotations. 3DPW (3D Poses in the Wild) Von Marcard et al. (2018) is the 141 first dataset with accurate 3D poses for evaluation in the wild, using the Video Inertial Poser (VIP) 142 method to combine images and IMU readings. EgoBody Zhang et al. (2022) and EgoPW Wang et al. 143 (2022) focus on egocentric human pose estimation from a first-person perspective. As discussed in 144 Sec. 3.2, these datasets focus on 3D human gestures without mask assistance or language guidance. 145 Our Panoptic-L3D dataset provides expressive linguistic descriptions and segmentation masks, 146 promoting close interactions between dynamic human poses and rich semantic context.

147 Monocular 3D Human Pose Estimation Methods. Monocular 3D Human Pose Estimation (3DHPE) 148 aims to reconstruct the 3D coordinates of individuals from a single camera view. One challenging 149 aspect is depth estimation from a single view. Generally, multiple camera views are required to infer 150 the exact depth of individuals. However, the size of individuals and camera parameters can be used to 151 approximate their depth, as explored in studies like Lee & Kim (2019); Li et al. (2019); Li & Snavely 152 (2018). There are two approaches to monocular 3D pose estimation: single-stage and two-stage approaches. Single-stage methods Pavlakos et al. (2017); Mehta et al. (2017); Sun et al. (2017); 153 Kanazawa et al. (2018); Sun et al. (2018) directly localize 3D human joints from input images or 154 videos. Two-stage methods Akhter & Black (2015); Park et al. (2016); Moreno-Noguer (2017); Yang 155 et al. (2018); Su et al. (2022); Park et al. (2023) first estimate 2D poses or utilize pre-trained 2D pose 156 estimators, then lift them to 3D space. Since estimating 2D poses is easier than 3D poses, models can 157 benefit from the reliable results of 2D pose estimation. Due to its good performance, we also utilize a 158 two-stage approach in our model. However, traditional methods lack the guidance of language, which 159 our approach incorporates to enhance 3D pose estimation and interaction. 160

Referring Video Segmentation. Referring Video Object Segmentation (RVOS) aims to segment 161 target objects described by given text throughout an entire video clip. A2D Gavrilyuk et al. (2018) first



Figure 3: The pipeline for dataset collection and annotation involves several steps. First, we obtain 171 candidate videos from the CMU Panoptic dataset. These videos are then cut and filtered into 172 informative clips, which are used for subsequent annotation. We implement a multi-round check and 173 re-annotation process to ensure the accuracy and validity of both the text descriptions and masks. 174

175 proposed the RVOS task. Advanced RVOS methods Liu et al. (2021); McIntosh et al. (2020); Wang 176 et al. (2020); Botach et al. (2022) explore various pipelines to effectively aggregate and align visual, 177 temporal, and linguistic information in videos and text. URVOS Seo et al. (2020) introduced a largescale RVOS benchmark utilizing attention mechanisms and mask propagation. Liang et al. Liang 178 et al. (2021) presented a top-down approach, first detecting all target trajectories and then selecting 179 target objects through matching between language and trajectory features. Recently, MTTR Botach 180 et al. (2022) and ReferFormer Wu et al. (2022) utilized query-based end-to-end frameworks to decode 181 objects from multi-modal features, achieving outstanding performance. However, these methods 182 segment prominently appearing objects without focusing on humans, lacking incorporation of human 183 body pose information. Our approach combines human body pose information, leading to superior performance in understanding human actions.

185

PANOPTIC-L3D DATASET 3

187 188

189 Our objective is to enable diverse and fine-grained 3D human pose estimation for in-the-wild scenes. To achieve this, we introduce Panoptic-L3D, the first publicly available benchmark designed for 190 language-driven 3D human pose estimation. Panoptic-L3D incorporates multi-modal information, 191 including videos, language descriptions, and segmentation masks. The annotation pipeline of the 192 Panoptic-L3D dataset is summarized in Fig. 3. 193

194 195

3.1 DATASET CONSTRUCTION

196 Video and 3D Skeleton Collection. To construct the language-driven 3D human pose estimation 197 dataset, we utilize videos from the Panoptic Joo et al. (2015) dataset, a large-scale collection of indoor human videos that provides 1.5 million 3D skeleton annotations for individuals engaged in 199 social activities. To simulate complex multi-person interactions found in real life, we select fourteen 200 activity entries that feature more than one person and span five social interaction categories: Haggling, 201 Ultimatum, Toddler, Musical Instruments, and Special Events. For each activity entry, we obtain two 202 variants from different camera viewpoints (cameras No.16 and No.30) to ensure the robustness of 3D 203 human pose estimation.

- 204 Subsequently, these videos are separated into clips and filtered according to the following rules: 205
- **R1**: The length of the video clips is standardized to ensure an average length of 5 seconds. This 206 duration allows individuals to perform a series of actions without being overly complex, making it 207 suitable for describing the behavior of characters using sentences. 208
- R2: To ensure the accuracy of language descriptions referring to the target individuals, each 209 video clip must include at least two participants with fully exposed upper bodies, and at least one 210 individual must be undergoing significant movements. This requirement focuses on perceptible 211 limb movements, providing necessary motion information that matches the descriptions in 3D pose 212 recognition and estimation. 213
- Fig. 4 shows some invalid and valid samples. By rigorously separating and filtering the videos based 214 on the above rules, we obtained 588 candidate videos with their corresponding 3D skeletons from the 215 original Panoptic dataset, with an average duration of 5.17 seconds.

216 Annotation and Validation for Linguis-217 tic Expression. We employ over 10 an-218 notators to label individuals in the videos 219 according to our developed linguistic ex-220 pression annotation system and guidelines. Specifically, each annotator is required to provide three sentences describing the at-222 tributes (i.e., appearance, behavior, and 223 body movements) of each person visible 224 from the waist up. 225



226 To ensure concise language descriptions, we establish the following guidelines for 227 annotators: 228

Figure 4: Examples of invalid and valid data.

with evident motion

- 229 • Shared Rules: 1) Only individuals visible from the waist up should be considered valid subjects for description. 2) Each description must uniquely refer to only one person in the given video. 3) Unobtrusive attributes should be omitted to avoid ambiguity.
- 232 • **Rules for Describing Appearance:** Annotators describe the appearance based on the first frame 233 of the video, focusing on four specified elements: clothes, posture, gender, and initial pose. To simulate variability in individual perception, two of these four elements are uniformly sampled for 234 each individual, and annotators are required to base their descriptions on the selected attribute pair. 235 This procedure enhances the diversity and informativeness of appearance descriptions. 236
- Rules for Describing Behaviors & Body Movements: Linguistic expressions can describe behav-237 iors across multiple frames, encompassing both fleeting and prolonged actions. Annotators must 238 describe an individual's behavior and body movements after watching the entire video, ensuring 239 comprehensive coverage. Descriptions of behaviors must exclude appearance information, while 240 descriptions of body movements should focus solely on the motion itself, excluding interactions 241 with environmental elements (e.g., cups, food, pens).

242 For validation, we follow an interactive game-like approach inspired by ReferIt Kazemzadeh et al. 243 (2014), involving two participants in an alternating validation process. Initially, the original video 244 and its corresponding descriptions are presented to the first validator, who must identify the target 245 person referred to by the statements. If the chosen target matches the annotator's target, the annotated 246 video is retained. If there is a discrepancy, the sample is forwarded to a second validator for further 247 review. If the second validator finds any ambiguity, the description is revised. If ambiguity persists, 248 the sample is discarded to maintain the accuracy of descriptions.

- 249 By adhering to these validation criteria, we ensure the unique referential integrity and high quality of 250 language statements in our dataset, thereby enabling more robust evaluations and comparisons of 251 different methods. 252
- Annotation and Validation for Individual Masks. The mask annotations for each referred individual 253 in our video data are generated using the advanced 2D segmentation model SAM Kirillov et al. (2023). 254 We utilize the projected joint points of the upper body (i.e., neck and head top) from the 3D skeleton 255 provided by the original Panoptic dataset as coarse point prompts for SAM. For each video, we 256 generate a coarse mask every 15 consecutive frames. 257
- However, some misprojected 3D joints may occur during mask generation due to overlapping between 258 individuals. Additionally, SAM may focus only on the upper part of the referred person since the 259 joints are mostly located in the upper body. To validate and correct the mask annotations, we perform 260 a multi-round check and re-annotation process, ensuring completeness and consistency between the 261 masked individual and the corresponding description. 262
- **Dataset Split.** The dataset is divided into training, validation, and test sets, consisting of 3,005, 312, 263 and 521 sentences, respectively, along with their corresponding videos, masks, and 3D skeletons. 264
- 265 266

268

230

231

- 3.2 DATASET ANALYSIS 267
- Comparison with Existing Datasets. The Panoptic-L3D dataset consists of 588 videos, 1,476 target 269 individuals, 3,838 linguistic descriptions, 6,035 mask images, and 91k per-frame 3D skeletons of all

Dataset	No. of frames/videos	No. of person	Language	Masks
HumanEva-I Sigal et al. (2010)	12 sequences	1	X	X
Human3.6M Ionescu et al. (2013)	3.6M frames	1	X	X
MARCOnI Elhayek et al. (2016)	12 sequences	1 or 2	×	X
CMU Panoptic Joo et al. (2015)	1.5M frames	1 to 8	×	X
Total Capture Trumble et al. (2017)	1.892M frames	1	X	X
3DPW Von Marcard et al. (2018)	60 sequences	1 or 2	×	X
EgoBody Zhang et al. (2022)	219k frames	1 or 2	X	X
EgoPW Wang et al. (2022)	318k frames	1 or 2	X	X
Our Panoptic-L3D	588 sequences / 91k frames	2 to 7	1	1

Table 1: Statistics of representative 3d human pose estimation datasets. Our proposed dataset

Panoptic-L3D has larger number of person in one video. More importantly, Panoptic-L3D has

282 283 284

285

270

271

individuals. Statistical comparisons are presented in Tab. 1. Compared to existing 3D human pose estimation datasets, our Panoptic-L3D dataset offers several notable advantages.

Firstly, Panoptic-L3D provides expressive linguistic descriptions and segmentation masks for individuals, which markedly differ from previous datasets that only offer 3D skeletons and action categories. The inclusion of linguistic descriptions is crucial for facilitating language-guided 3D pose estimation. Additionally, the videos in the Panoptic-L3D dataset are more practical and provide a better simulation of real-life scenarios.

291 As shown in Fig. 5, the video samples fea-292 ture more characters and complex inter-293 actions among multiple individuals. The carefully clipped and filtered videos also 294 ensure better alignment with the provided 295 linguistic expressions, benefiting the com-296 prehensive evaluation under our proposed 297 language-guided 3D pose estimation set-298 ting. 299



Linguistic Expression. We introduce a
 novel set of linguistic expressions that de scribe human motion in terms of appear-



ance, behavior, and body movements, facilitating multi-modal interaction in 3D human pose estimation tasks. The linguistic descriptions are categorized into three distinct statements, each focusing on one of the three aspects, enabling systematic evaluation of the model's comprehension of varied language descriptions.

Fig. 6(a) shows the distribution of these categories, highlighting the balanced representation of diverse data types. While behavioral descriptions encompass a wide range of activities, body movement descriptions specifically address joint movements, imposing significant demands on model accuracy. The lengths of these linguistic expressions are depicted in Fig. 6(b), ranging from 6 to 39 words, demonstrating the diversity of linguistic expressions and presenting additional challenges for models.

We utilize word clouds to visualize the differences between descriptions in the behavioral and body movement aspects. As shown in Fig. 6(c) and 6(d), behavioral descriptions emphasize semantic actions such as "put", "talk", and "listen", while body movement descriptions focus on specific joints and movements, e.g., "right", "hands", and "arms".

316 317

318

4 CASCADED POSE PERCEPTION

Problem Definition. Given a video $V = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^{L}$, the corresponding camera parameters P, and the referring text description T, the goal of L3DHPE is to estimate the 3D skeleton $S \in \mathbb{R}^{15 \times 3}$ for the individual referred to by T.

Overview. The most relevant tasks are 3D human pose estimation (3DHPE) and referential video object segmentation (RVOS). Recent progresses in 3DHPE focus on accurately determining the spatial

324 1481 (38.6%) 1316 (34.2%) 326 327 Total behavio 3838 328 G body movemer 1041 (27.2%) 330 appeard appearance 331 (a) (b) 332 unicate pen^{hola} 333 left he chest ^{toy}back 334 them move tableleft 335 oint 336 hold step on 337 smile 338 **P**turn shake keep lift 339 lift look play pick 340 ICIC to W OUIDO (c) (d) 341

Figure 6: Statistics of annotations: (a) The number of description annotations and their distribution
 across various categories, i.e., Clothes, Posture, Gender, and Initial pose. (b) Length distribution of
 descriptions, highlighting the diversity of Panoptic-L3D. Word clouds of the words in the Panoptic L3D dataset are presented in (c) for behavioral descriptions and (d) for body movement descriptions.

positions of joints in 3D space, while less effort has been made to explore multi-modal interactions
 incorporating linguistic information. Conversely, RVOS models primarily emphasize the integration
 of language and appearance-level information but often overlook behavior-level information, leading
 to a diminished capacity to capture text-motion interaction for accurate 3D pose estimation.

351 To address these limitations, we propose a baseline, Cascaded Pose Perception (CPP), for languagedriven 3D human pose estimation. CPP integrates pose features with video features in a two-stage 352 manner. It first learns the 2D pose information and generates multiple levels of information, including 353 root depth maps, 2D joints maps, and box detection maps, to support 3D human pose estimation. We 354 then design a body fusion module to utilize this pose information to generate masks for specified 355 individuals. Based on the generated masks, we further incorporate a 3D root estimator and a mask 356 fusion block to improve the precision of 3D human pose estimation and reduce the impact of mask 357 noise. The overall architecture of CPP is illustrated in Fig. 7. 358

Method. Initially, following Voxelpose Tu et al. (2020), we employ a backbone of 2D pose estimation to extract 2D pose information from the relevant frame of the input video. This procedure yields box detection maps $M_b \in \mathbb{R}^{W \times H \times 4}$, root (waist) depth maps $M_r \in \mathbb{R}^{W \times H}$, and 2D joints maps $M_j \in \mathbb{R}^{W \times H \times N_j}$ for all individuals in the frame. Here, N_j indicates the number of human joints, and W, H are the width and height of the output maps.

Next, we extract both video and textual features and design a *body fusion block* to integrate them with the 2D pose information, specifically the 2D joints maps M_j . The body fusion block blends the video features with pose information, enhancing the understanding of pose and the precision of individual segmentation.

368 The integrated features are then processed by a transformer-based auto-encoder to capture comprehensive information about all individuals present. Specifically, the human box detection maps 369 M_b are utilized to create object queries $O^q \in \mathbb{R}^{N_q \times D}$ that encapsulate each person's information, 370 where N_q is the number of object queries and D is the dimension of object queries. By providing 371 precise locational data, our method efficiently eliminates ambiguity in masks, achieving more precise 372 identification and extraction of specified human features. These object queries are further transformed 373 into bounding boxes, masks, and categories for all individuals, unified for outputting the candidate 374 masks and corresponding confidence scores. The mask with the highest confidence is then selected 375 as the final mask $M \in \mathbb{R}^{W \times H}$ for the referred person, which is also a side output of our CPP. 376

After deriving the referring mask M, the human box detection maps M_b , root depth maps M_r , and camera parameters P are transmitted to the 3D root estimator, which determines the 3D positions of

385

386

387

388

389

390 391

392

393

394

397

399

401

378

379



Figure 7: The overview of the proposed baseline approach, Cascaded Pose Perception (CPP). Given a video and corresponding textual description, an image encoder first generates spatial intermediate 396 representations (e.g., 2D joints, bounding boxes, and depth maps). These features are fused with text features using a Body Fusion Block. The fused features and bounding boxes generate object 398 queries, which are transformed into masks and confidence scores with the video features. Finally, a Mask Fusion Block and a 3D Root Estimator predict skeleton features and root position, which are 400 processed by the 3D Skeleton Block for the final 3D skeleton estimation.

402 the human root nodes. Instead of relying solely on the 3D human root nodes for 3D pose estimation, we incorporate a *mask fusion block* for integrating the referring masks and human joint maps for all 403 individuals, providing additional spatial features for understanding the human pose. The use of masks 404 for identifying the referred person and selecting the individual's joints enables the model to pinpoint 405 the person's nodes with enhanced accuracy and clarity. Finally, a 3D skeleton block is adopted to 406 estimate a complete 3D human skeleton $S \in \mathbb{R}^{15 \times 3}$. 407

408 409

410

5 EXPERIMENTS

411 Implementation Details. The architectures of text encoder, video encoder, and pose encoder in our 412 framework are pretrained RoBERTa Liu et al. (2019), Tiny Swin-Transformer Liu et al. (2022), and 413 ResNet-152 He et al. (2016), respectively. The number of object queries is set to 10 by default. During 414 training and testing, we feed the model windows of w = 6 frames. The models are trained using a 415 24GB NVIDIA 4090 GPU. Both stage 1 and stage 2 are trained for 10 epochs with a learning rate of 416 1e-4; each epoch for stage 1 and stage 2 takes approximately 1.5 hours and 2 hours, respectively. The 417 input frames are resized to have a minimum size of 512 pixels on the shorter side and a maximum length of 960 pixels on the longer side to ensure efficient memory usage on the GPU. 418

419 Evaluation Metrics. The metrics employed in our proposed L3DHPE task are twofold: the Percent-420 age of Individuals Correctly Identified (PICI) and the Mean Per Joint Position Error (MPJPE-L) in 421 millimeters. PICI calculates the proportion of correct cases in referring to individual identification. 422 MPJPE-L measures the accuracy of 3D skeleton estimation by calculating the mean Euclidean 423 distance between the predicted and ground truth joint positions across all joints. The calculation approach for MPJPE-L is the same as that for MPJPE (usually used in 3DHPE task). The added 424 'L' indicates that this evaluation protocol simultaneously considers the tasks of text-referred person 425 identification and minimizing the predicted pose's deviation. Note that when the identified person is 426 not the actual person referred to in the text description, the predicted joints exhibit significantly larger 427 variations compared to the ground truth, leading to a larger value of MPJPE-L than the normal MPJPE. 428 We believe MPJPE-L is a more straightforward and comprehensive metric for evaluating methods in 429 our L3DHPE task. A detailed discussion of these metrics is provided in the supplementary. 430

Quantitative Results. We conduct a quantitative comparison between CPP and existing four 431 RVOS+3DHPE baselines for language-driven 3D human pose estimation on the Panoptic-L3D

Table 2: Quantitative evaluations for L3DHPE on the Panoptic-L3D dataset. The best results are
highlighted in **bold**. App., Beh. and Mov. are the abbreviation of appearance, behavior and body
movement, respectively, denoting three kinds of attributes of our language annotations.

405								
435	Methods	Pub.	PICI			MPJPE-L		
436			App.	Beh.	Mov.	App.	Beh.	Mov.
437	URVOS Seo et al. (2020)+VP	ECCV20	35.5%	35.1%	34.9%	1298.0	1323.2	1325.9
438	MTTR Botach et al. (2022)+VP	CVPR22	36.1%	35.7%	35.6%	1223.5	1256.7	1261.3
420	Referformer Wu et al. (2022)+VP	CVPR22	37.0%	36.6%	36.5%	1104.6	1133.6	1139.4
439	SOC Luo et al. (2024)+VP	NeurIPS24	38.7%	37.6%	37.5%	965.1	992.8	1004.3
440	CPP (Ours)	-	42.6%	41.3%	41.2%	853.7	885.4	886.3
441								

442 dataset. Since RVOS methods only produce segmented masks for the referred object, we equip them 443 with the state-of-the-art 3D human pose estimation (3DHPE) method Virtualpose Su et al. (2022) 444 (VP) to estimate 3D skeletons. As shown in Tab. 2, our proposed CPP captures more interaction 445 between the given text description and the pose of the referring individuals. Naively combining 446 RVOS models with a 3D human pose estimation backbone does not produce satisfactory performance 447 in the language-driven 3D human pose estimation task, demonstrating the necessity and potential 448 contribution of our Panoptic-L3D dataset in promoting the development of a more coherent and 449 language-perceptible 3D pose analysis system.

450 To investigate the advantages of 451 selecting the referred subject first, 452 rather than performing 3DHPE 453 for all subjects, we implemented 454 a variant of our method called 3DHPE+Refer. This approach 455 performed 3DHPE for all subjects 456 and then selected the referred in-457

Table 3: Quantitative evaluations for 3DHPE+Refer and CPP on the Panoptic-L3D dataset. The best results are highlighted in **bold**.

methods	PICI			MPJPE-L			EDC
methous	App.	Beh.	Mov.	App.	Beh.	Mov.	115
3DHPE+Refer	37.1%	37.6%	40.1%	1091.4	1003.9	901.3	2.0
CPP (Ours)	42.6%	41.3%	41.2%	853.7	885.4	886.3	3.5

dividual. Specifically, we removed the text branch and estimated the 3D skeletons of every individual 458 in each video frame. Next, we applied the Hungarian Algorithm to associate individuals across 459 multiple frames. After detecting all individuals, we stacked several transformer blocks to fuse the text 460 information with the skeleton features. The fused features were then used to generate probabilities 461 for each individual, ultimately selecting the subject with the highest probability. The results are 462 shown in Tab. 3. Our pipeline outperforms the 3DHPE+Refer approach in our L3DHPE task, because 463 estimating poses for all individuals before grounding introduces cumulative pose estimation errors. 464 Additionally, 3DHPE+Refer requires explicit aggregation of individuals across the entire video, 465 which introduces another potential source of error in subject detection. In contrast, CPP maintains 466 better temporal continuity with the video backbone, reducing this risk. Furthermore, CPP is more efficient than 3DHPE+Refer, as it avoids the time-consuming process of estimating poses for all 467 individuals in the video. 468

469 We also evaluate the performance 470 of CPP compared to existing state-471 of-the-art methods on the traditional 3DHPE task. Since there are no text 472 descriptions provided, we design a 473 variant of CPP by discarding the text 474 encoder. We adopt Virtualpose Su 475 et al. (2022) as the backbone 3D esti-476 mation network and equip it with ad-477 ditional mask interaction. Previous 478 state-of-the-art models are trained 479 on multiple datasets simultaneously.

Table 4: Comparison of state-of-the-art methods on the CMU Panoptic dataset for the 3D human pose estimation task, using MPJPE (mm) as the metric. The best and second-best results are highlighted in **bold** and <u>underline</u>, respectively.

Method	Hagglin	g Mafia	Ultimatuı	n Pizza Mean
MubyNet Zanfir et al. (2018)	72.4	78.8	66.8	94.3 78.1
SMAP Zhen et al. (2020)	63.1	60.3	56.6	67.1 61.8
BEV Sun et al. (2022)	90.7	103.7	113.1	125.2108.2
VirtualPose Su et al. (2022)	54.1	61.6	54.6	65.4 <u>58.9</u>
POTR-3D Park et al. (2023)	60.0	57.0	55.5	58.9 57.8
CPP (Ours)	54.0	<u>60.4</u>	54.6	<u>62.1</u> 57.8

For a fair comparison, we employ a selective parameter-tuning strategy to fine-tune our model, training only the blocks associated with mask processing. Specifically, we first fine-tune Detectron2 Wu et al. (2019) to generate human masks. Next, we use and freeze the parameters of the Image Encoder and 3D Skeleton Block from Virtualpose. Finally, we train the 3D Root Estimation and Mask Fusion Block to estimate the 3D skeletons. As presented in Tab. 4, CPP achieves competitive performance with specifically designed 3DHPE models, demonstrating the effectiveness of incorporating spatial information in 3D pose understanding.



Table 5: Ablation study of CPP.

Figure 8: Visualization of successful (left) and failed (right) cases of CPP. The output mask and 3D skeleton of CPP are shown in orange, while the ground truth 3D skeletons are shown in red.

Ablation Study of CPP. In Tab. 5, we present an ablation study for the proposed CPP. Specifically, we design two variants of CPP to evaluate the functions of the feature interaction in the Body Fusion Block and the Mask Fusion Block. Compared to the vanilla baseline, which uses only the input language queries for 3D human pose estimation, equipping the Body Fusion Block with additional pose information from the 2D joint features enables more accurate spatial localization for the referred individual, thereby improving the PICI by 2% (i vs. ii). Furthermore, utilizing the Mask Fusion Block introduces spatial locational features from the referring mask, facilitating more precise 3D human pose estimation with an average improvement of 34.7 in MPJPE-L (ii vs. iii).

Visualizations. We provide visualizations of both successful and failed cases of CPP. As shown in
Fig. 8 (a) and (b), CPP effectively generates clear boundary masks and accurate 3D poses based on
the given expressions. However, CPP may fail to detect the referred individual in complex scenarios
with multiple individuals, substantial occlusion, and swift body movements. The results in Fig. 8
(c) and (d) demonstrate the challenging nature of Panoptic-L3D, emphasizing the importance of
capturing the semantic interaction between poses and language expressions under severe occlusion.

6 CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

Imagine a future where machines understand human actions and intentions through language. This
 paper introduces Language-Driven 3D Human Pose Estimation and the Panoptic-L3D dataset, blend ing video data with linguistic annotations. The Cascaded Pose Perception baseline demonstrates the
 power of integrating textual descriptions with visual data. While acknowledging the manual nature
 of our annotation process as a challenge for future work, we see L3DHPE enhancing fields from
 sports analytics to advanced robotics. By releasing Panoptic-L3D and CPP, we invite the research
 community to build on our foundation, exploring and improving language-guided 3D pose estimation.

Limitations and Future Work. There are many interesting research directions and remaining
 challenges to be addressed with the Panoptic-L3D dataset and L3DHPE task. These include but are
 not limited to: (i) designing more efficient models to increase running speed, (ii) designing more
 accurate and elegant models to recognize and understand complex motions, (iii). designing robust
 cross-modal fusion methods to better leverage motion information and language information.

540 REFERENCES

552

559

565

- Ijaz Akhter and Michael J Black. Pose-conditioned joint angle limits for 3d human pose reconstruction.
 In *CVPR*, pp. 1446–1455, 2015.
- Adam Botach, Evgenii Zheltonozhskii, and Chaim Baskin. End-to-end referring video object segmentation with multimodal transformers. In *CVPR*, pp. 4985–4995, 2022.
- Lewis Bridgeman, Marco Volino, Jean-Yves Guillemaut, and Adrian Hilton. Multi-person 3d pose
 estimation and tracking in sports. In *CVPR Workshop*, 2019.
- Hyung-gun Chi, Myoung Hoon Ha, Seunggeun Chi, Sang Wan Lee, Qixing Huang, and Karthik
 Ramani. Infogen: Representation learning for human skeleton-based action recognition. In *CVPR*, pp. 20186–20196, 2022.
- Ginger Delmas, Philippe Weinzaepfel, Thomas Lucas, Francesc Moreno-Noguer, and Grégory Rogez.
 Posescript: Linking 3d human poses and natural language. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis* and Machine Intelligence, 2024.
 - Ahmed Elhayek, Edilson de Aguiar, Arjun Jain, Jonathan Thompson, Leonid Pishchulin, Mykhaylo
 Andriluka, Christoph Bregler, Bernt Schiele, and Christian Theobalt. Marconi—convnet-based
 marker-less motion capture in outdoor and indoor scenes. *IEEE TPAMI*, 39(3):501–514, 2016.
- Yao Feng, Jing Lin, Sai Kumar Dwivedi, Yu Sun, Priyanka Patel, and Michael J Black. Chatpose:
 Chatting about 3d human pose. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 2093–2103, 2024.
- Kirill Gavrilyuk, Amir Ghodrati, Zhenyang Li, and Cees GM Snoek. Actor and action video
 segmentation from a sentence. In *CVPR*, pp. 5958–5966, 2018.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *CVPR*, pp. 770–778, 2016.
- Catalin Ionescu, Dragos Papava, Vlad Olaru, and Cristian Sminchisescu. Human3. 6m: Large scale
 datasets and predictive methods for 3d human sensing in natural environments. *IEEE TPAMI*, 36
 (7):1325–1339, 2013.
- Hanbyul Joo, Hao Liu, Lei Tan, Lin Gui, Bart Nabbe, Iain Matthews, Takeo Kanade, Shohei Nobuhara, and Yaser Sheikh. Panoptic studio: A massively multiview system for social motion capture. In *ICCV*, pp. 3334–3342, 2015.
- Angjoo Kanazawa, Michael J Black, David W Jacobs, and Jitendra Malik. End-to-end recovery of
 human shape and pose. In *CVPR*, pp. 7122–7131, 2018.
- Sahar Kazemzadeh, Vicente Ordonez, Mark Matten, and Tamara Berg. Referitgame: Referring to objects in photographs of natural scenes. In *EMNLP*, pp. 787–798, 2014.
- Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete
 Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, et al. Segment anything. In *ICCV*, pp. 4015–4026, 2023.
- Jae-Han Lee and Chang-Su Kim. Monocular depth estimation using relative depth maps. In *CVPR*, pp. 9729–9738, 2019.
- Zhengqi Li and Noah Snavely. Megadepth: Learning single-view depth prediction from internet
 photos. In *CVPR*, pp. 2041–2050, 2018.
- Zhengqi Li, Tali Dekel, Forrester Cole, Richard Tucker, Noah Snavely, Ce Liu, and William T
 Freeman. Learning the depths of moving people by watching frozen people. In *CVPR*, pp. 4521–4530, 2019.
- 592 Chen Liang, Yu Wu, Tianfei Zhou, Wenguan Wang, Zongxin Yang, Yunchao Wei, and Yi Yang.
 593 Rethinking cross-modal interaction from a top-down perspective for referring video object segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.01061, 2021.

594 595 596	Jing Lin, Yao Feng, Weiyang Liu, and Michael J Black. Chathuman: Language-driven 3d human understanding with retrieval-augmented tool reasoning. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.04533</i> , 2024.
597 598	Si Liu, Tianrui Hui, Shaofei Huang, Yunchao Wei, Bo Li, and Guanbin Li. Cross-modal progressive comprehension for referring segmentation. <i>IEEE TPAMI</i> , 44(9):4761–4775, 2021.
599 600 601	Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692, 2019.
602 603 604	Ze Liu, Jia Ning, Yue Cao, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Han Hu. Video swin transformer. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 3202–3211, 2022.
605 606 607	Zhuoyan Luo, Yicheng Xiao, Yong Liu, Shuyan Li, Yitong Wang, Yansong Tang, Xiu Li, and Yujiu Yang. Soc: Semantic-assisted object cluster for referring video object segmentation. <i>NeurIPS</i> , 36, 2024.
608 609 610 611	Vittorio Mazzia, Simone Angarano, Francesco Salvetti, Federico Angelini, and Marcello Chiaberge. Action transformer: A self-attention model for short-time pose-based human action recognition. <i>Pattern Recognition</i> , 124:108487, 2022.
612 613	Bruce McIntosh, Kevin Duarte, Yogesh S Rawat, and Mubarak Shah. Visual-textual capsule routing for text-based video segmentation. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 9942–9951, 2020.
615 616	Dushyant Mehta, Helge Rhodin, Dan Casas, Pascal Fua, Oleksandr Sotnychenko, Weipeng Xu, and Christian Theobalt. Monocular 3d human pose estimation in the wild using improved cnn supervision. In <i>3DV</i> , pp. 506–516, 2017.
618 619	Francesc Moreno-Noguer. 3d human pose estimation from a single image via distance matrix regression. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 2823–2832, 2017.
621 622	Sungchan Park, Eunyi You, Inhoe Lee, and Joonseok Lee. Towards robust and smooth 3d multi-person pose estimation from monocular videos in the wild. In <i>ICCV</i> , pp. 14772–14782, 2023.
623 624 625	Sungheon Park, Jihye Hwang, and Nojun Kwak. 3d human pose estimation using convolutional neural networks with 2d pose information. In <i>ECCV</i> , pp. 156–169, 2016.
626 627	Georgios Pavlakos, Xiaowei Zhou, Konstantinos G Derpanis, and Kostas Daniilidis. Coarse-to-fine volumetric prediction for single-image 3d human pose. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 7025–7034, 2017.
628 629 630	Dario Pavllo, Christoph Feichtenhofer, David Grangier, and Michael Auli. 3d human pose estimation in video with temporal convolutions and semi-supervised training. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 7753–7762, 2019.
631 632	Seonguk Seo, Joon-Young Lee, and Bohyung Han. Urvos: Unified referring video object segmentation network with a large-scale benchmark. In <i>ECCV</i> , pp. 208–223. Springer, 2020.
633 634 635	Leonid Sigal, Alexandru O Balan, and Michael J Black. Humaneva: Synchronized video and motion capture dataset and baseline algorithm for evaluation of articulated human motion. <i>ICCV</i> , 87(1): 4–27, 2010.
637 638	Jiajun Su, Chunyu Wang, Xiaoxuan Ma, Wenjun Zeng, and Yizhou Wang. Virtualpose: Learning generalizable 3d human pose models from virtual data. In <i>ECCV</i> , pp. 55–71. Springer, 2022.
639 640	Xiao Sun, Jiaxiang Shang, Shuang Liang, and Yichen Wei. Compositional human pose regression. In <i>ICCV</i> , pp. 2602–2611, 2017.
642 643	Xiao Sun, Bin Xiao, Fangyin Wei, Shuang Liang, and Yichen Wei. Integral human pose regression. In <i>ECCV</i> , pp. 529–545, 2018.
644 645	Yu Sun, Wu Liu, Qian Bao, Yili Fu, Tao Mei, and Michael J Black. Putting people in their place: Monocular regression of 3d people in depth. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 13243–13252, 2022.
647	Matthew Trumble, Andrew Gilbert, Charles Malleson, Adrian Hilton, and John Collomosse. Total capture: 3d human pose estimation fusing video and inertial sensors. In <i>BMVC</i> , pp. 1–13, 2017.

648 649	Hanyue Tu, Chunyu Wang, and Wenjun Zeng. Voxelpose: Towards multi-camera 3d human pose estimation in wild environment. In <i>ECCV</i> , pp. 197–212, 2020.
651 652 653	Timo Von Marcard, Roberto Henschel, Michael J Black, Bodo Rosenhahn, and Gerard Pons-Moll. Recovering accurate 3d human pose in the wild using imus and a moving camera. In <i>ECCV</i> , pp. 601–617, 2018.
654 655	Hao Wang, Cheng Deng, Fan Ma, and Yi Yang. Context modulated dynamic networks for actor and action video segmentation with language queries. In <i>AAAI</i> , pp. 12152–12159, 2020.
657 658	Jian Wang, Lingjie Liu, Weipeng Xu, Kripasindhu Sarkar, Diogo Luvizon, and Christian Theobalt. Estimating egocentric 3d human pose in the wild with external weak supervision. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2022.
659 660	Ting-Chun Wang, Arun Mallya, and Ming-Yu Liu. One-shot free-view neural talking-head synthesis for video conferencing. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 10039–10049, 2021.
662 663	Jiannan Wu, Yi Jiang, Peize Sun, Zehuan Yuan, and Ping Luo. Language as queries for referring video object segmentation. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 4974–4984, 2022.
664	Yuxin Wu, Alexander Kirillov, Francisco Massa, Wan-Yen Lo, and Ross Girshick. Detectron2, 2019.
665 666 667 668	Chengjun Xu, Xinyi Yu, Zhengan Wang, and Linlin Ou. Multi-view human pose estimation in human-robot interaction. In <i>Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society</i> , pp. 4769–4775, 2020.
669 670	Wei Yang, Wanli Ouyang, Xiaolong Wang, Jimmy Ren, Hongsheng Li, and Xiaogang Wang. 3d human pose estimation in the wild by adversarial learning. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 5255–5264, 2018.
671 672 673	Andrei Zanfir, Elisabeta Marinoiu, Mihai Zanfir, Alin-Ionut Popa, and Cristian Sminchisescu. Deep network for the integrated 3d sensing of multiple people in natural images. <i>NeurIPS</i> , 31, 2018.
674 675 676	Pengfei Zhang, Cuiling Lan, Wenjun Zeng, Junliang Xing, Jianru Xue, and Nanning Zheng. Semantics-guided neural networks for efficient skeleton-based human action recognition. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 1112–1121, 2020.
677 678 679 680	Siwei Zhang, Qianli Ma, Yan Zhang, Zhiyin Qian, Taein Kwon, Marc Pollefeys, Federica Bogo, and Siyu Tang. Egobody: Human body shape and motion of interacting people from head-mounted devices. In <i>ECCV</i> , volume 13666, pp. 180–200, 2022.
681 682	Jianan Zhen, Qi Fang, Jiaming Sun, Wentao Liu, Wei Jiang, Hujun Bao, and Xiaowei Zhou. Smap: Single-shot multi-person absolute 3d pose estimation. In <i>ECCV</i> , pp. 550–566. Springer, 2020.
683 684 685 686	Ce Zheng, Sijie Zhu, Matias Mendieta, Taojiannan Yang, Chen Chen, and Zhengming Ding. 3d human pose estimation with spatial and temporal transformers. In <i>ICCV</i> , pp. 11656–11665, 2021.
687	
688	
689	
690	
691	
692	
601	
695	
696	
697	
698	
699	
700	
701	