# CRAFTIUM: A FRAMEWORK FOR CREATING SIN-GLE AND MULTI-AGENT ENVIRONMENTS FOR OPEN-ENDED AND EMBODIED AI

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

### ABSTRACT

Advancements in open-ended and embodied AI require highly adaptable and computationally efficient environments. Yet, existing platforms often lack the flexibility, efficiency, or richness necessary to drive progress in these areas. Research in fields related to open-endedness, such as unsupervised environment design and continual reinforcement learning, usually defaults to simplistic 2D environments, as alternatives are either too rigid or computationally expensive. Conversely, in embodied AI, the field relies on fully featured video games like Minecraft, which are rich in content but computationally inefficient, single-agent only, and hinder the creation of new tasks. This paper introduces Craftium, a framework based on the open-source Minetest game engine, providing a highly customizable, easy-touse, and efficient platform for building rich single and multi-agent Minecraft-like 3D environments. We showcase environments of different complexity and nature: from single and multi-agent reinforcement learning tasks to vast worlds with many creatures and biomes and customizable procedural task generators. Conducted benchmarks show that Craftium substantially improves the computational cost of Minecraft-based frameworks, achieving +2K steps per second more.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

Figure 1: Examples of the diverse single and multi-agent environments that can be created in Craftium. From simple reach-the-goal tasks to battling hostile creatures, surviving in procedurally generated dungeons, and exploring vast open worlds filled with animals, monsters, and varied biomes.

### <span id="page-0-1"></span>1 INTRODUCTION

**043 044 045 046 047 048 049 050 051 052** Progress in open-ended [\(Hughes et al., 2024\)](#page-11-0) and embodied AI [\(Paolo et al., 2024\)](#page-12-0), as well as in Reinforcement Learning (RL) [\(Sutton & Barto, 2018\)](#page-13-0) is inherently tied to the environments where agents are trained, evaluated, and analyzed. Each new insight or advancement in the field is supported by an environment that enables its emergence and study. A well-known example is the Atari Learning Environments (ALE) [\(Bellemare et al., 2013\)](#page-10-0), which undoubtedly contributed to the advancement of the RL field marking many of its most important milestones. To name a few: the introduction of the Deep Q-Networks [\(Mnih et al., 2013\)](#page-12-1), the "infamously difficult Montezuma's Revenge" [\(Bellemare et al., 2016\)](#page-10-1) that inspired many exploration strategies [\(Ostrovski et al., 2017;](#page-12-2) [Burda et al., 2019;](#page-10-2) [Badia et al., 2020b\)](#page-10-3), and the first time an agent outperformed humans in all Atari benchmarks [\(Badia et al., 2020a\)](#page-10-4).

**053** However, the research in these areas is bound to challenges introduced by the employed environments, as largely observed throughout the literature. The researcher often faces a dilemma between

**054 055 056 057 058 059 060 061 062 063** computationally efficient but simplistic, or substantially slower but rich environments. For instance, Continual Reinforcement Learning (CRL) [\(Abel et al., 2023\)](#page-10-5), Unsupervised Environment Design (UED) [\(Garcin et al., 2024\)](#page-11-1), and Multi-Agent RL (MARL) [\(Ying et al., 2023\)](#page-14-0), are greatly affected by the efficiency of the employed environments as require learning from many tasks or agents. Thus, in these works, experiments are often limited to simple environments as a consequence of the computational cost of employing more complex alternatives [\(Rigter et al., 2024;](#page-13-1) [Malagon et al., 2024;](#page-12-3) [Beukman et al., 2024;](#page-10-6) [Rutherford et al., 2024\)](#page-13-2). For example, Craftax relies on 2D grids [\(Matthews](#page-12-4) [et al., 2024\)](#page-12-4), while OMNI-EPIC [\(Faldor et al., 2024\)](#page-11-2) employs 3D environments of substantially limited diversity compared to alternatives like MineDojo [\(Fan et al., 2022\)](#page-11-3) or Habitat 3.0 [\(Puig et al.,](#page-12-5) [2024\)](#page-12-5).

**064 065 066 067 068 069 070 071** Conversely, works on rich and complex environments [\(Grbic et al., 2021;](#page-11-4) [Earle et al., 2024;](#page-10-7) [Prasanna](#page-12-6) [et al., 2024;](#page-12-6) [Raad et al., 2024\)](#page-13-3) rely on fully featured video games that have a high computational cost and are close-source. The best-known of such platforms is Minecraft, which has inspired several single-agent environments and benchmarks over the years [\(Johnson et al., 2016;](#page-11-5) [Guss et al.,](#page-11-6) [2019;](#page-11-6) [Fan et al., 2022\)](#page-11-3). However, Minecraft is a fully featured and complex 3D game, which makes it substantially more inefficient than simpler alternatives [\(Wydmuch et al., 2019;](#page-14-1) [Matthews et al.,](#page-12-4) [2024\)](#page-12-4). Furthermore, its closed source greatly limits its flexibility, hindering its application to problems beyond *classic* RL, like UED, CRL, and MARL scenarios.

**072 073 074 075 076 077 078 079 080 081 082** Another important issue that specially affects research in these areas is the lack of flexibility of the environments. Commonly used environments offer no customization or limited possibilities often restricted to a set of predefined parameters, such as difficulty level or the number of enemies. Among others, these environments include: ALE [\(Machado et al., 2018\)](#page-12-7), MineRL [\(Guss](#page-11-6) [et al., 2019\)](#page-11-6), ProcGen [\(Cobbe et al., 2020\)](#page-10-8), MineDojo [\(Fan et al., 2022\)](#page-11-3), Crafter [\(Hafner, 2022\)](#page-11-7), and Craftax [\(Matthews et al., 2024\)](#page-12-4). The lack of flexibility hinders the ability to analyze specific behavior of agents, obstructing algorithmic comparison beyond pure performance benchmarking, which has been shown insufficient for RL [\(Jordan et al., 2024\)](#page-11-8). Although flexible platforms that allow the creation of new and diverse environments exist, these fall into 2D worlds [\(Bamford et al.,](#page-10-9) [2020;](#page-10-9) [Chevalier-Boisvert et al., 2023;](#page-10-10) [Matthews et al., 2024\)](#page-12-4) or depend on complex Domain Specific Languages (DSL) that difficult their implementation, while still not being 3D, as it is the case of VizDoom [\(Wydmuch et al., 2019\)](#page-14-1) and MiniHack [\(Samvelyan et al., 2021\)](#page-13-4).

**083 084 085 086 087 088 089 090 091 092 093 094 095 096 097 098 099 100 101 102 103 104 105** In this paper, we present Craftium, a platform for easily creating rich 3D environments for single and multi-agent embodied and open-ended AI research, thought to be highly customizable and efficient. Unlike most complex environment platforms, that are based on video games (e.g., VizDoom is based on ZDoom and MiniHack in NetHack), Craftium is based on a game engine: Minetest [\(Minetest Team, 2024b\)](#page-12-8). This allows easy creation of rich single and multi-agent voxel environ-ments<sup>[1](#page-1-0)</sup> using a powerful and greatly documented Lua API [\(Minetest Team, 2024a\)](#page-12-9), instead of much less popular DSLs. Lua [\(Ierusalimschy, 2006\)](#page-11-9) is a Python-like, easy-to-use and understand, mature, and efficient programming language used in many popular tools and projects (e.g., Roblox, World of Warcraft, and Neovim). In Craftium, Lua is used to expose a complete game engine (i.e., Minetest) to develop environments. Moreover, Minetest is open-source and has a vibrant community that has created many games and assets that can be used in Craftium environments [\(Ward, 2023a\)](#page-14-2), significantly reducing the development cost of complex scenarios. For instance, all the environments shown in Figure [1](#page-0-0) have been implemented in less than 160 lines of code, comments and whitespace included. These environments, later described in Section [14,](#page-18-0) showcase the versatility of the presented framework, from RL and MARL tasks of various levels of complexity and different nature, customizable procedural environment generators for UED, CRL, and meta-RL [\(Yu et al., 2020;](#page-14-3) [Rimon et al., 2024\)](#page-13-5) to gigantic procedurally generated open worlds ( $64K \times 64K \times 64K$  blocks) for research on open-ended [\(Hughes et al., 2024\)](#page-11-0) and embodied AI [\(Paolo et al., 2024\)](#page-12-0). Beyond being flexible, feature-rich, and developer-friendly, we show that Craftium environments run  $38\times$  faster than alternatives based on the original Minecraft game, the only platforms that offer a similar level of complexity and richness. Furthermore, Craftium implements the popular Gymnasium [\(Towers](#page-13-6) [et al., 2024\)](#page-13-6) and PettingZoo [\(Terry et al., 2021\)](#page-13-7) interfaces the modern standard for RL and MARL research<sup>[2](#page-1-1)</sup> respectively, making it compatible with many other libraries and projects [\(Raffin et al.,](#page-13-8) [2021;](#page-13-8) [Huang et al., 2022b;](#page-11-10) Serrano-Muñoz et al., 2023). Finally, Craftium is fully open source and

**<sup>106</sup>**

**<sup>107</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Voxel games use 3D blocks (voxels) to construct and represent the game world, allowing players to modify the environment by adding or removing blocks. Figure [1](#page-0-0) shows examples of these types of games.

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Although can be used for learning paradigms beyond RL (e.g., evolutionary algorithms).

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**108**  $N \times$ **Craftium 109 Agent 110** Minetest & Python library observation **111 Environment interface** mod mod.conf @ **112** world $\mathbb Q$ API 52 Wrappers name = craftium\_mon<br>description = Craft<br>denends = default **113** Observation  $\odot$ env.reset()<br>env.step()<br>env.close() reward init.lua **114** Action  $\mathbb{C}^*$ **Server 115 116**  $N \times$ action **Additional wrappers 117** DiscreteActionWrappe<br>BinaryActionWrapper **Client TCP 118 119**

Figure 2: Overview of Craftium's internal architecture. Components denoted with  $\times N$  are repeated according to the number of agents (one or more).

includes extensive online documentation with many guides, usage examples, tutorials, a detailed reference, and ready-to-use scripts.<sup>[3](#page-2-0)</sup>

# 2 BACKGROUND: MINETEST AND MINECRAFT

**129 130 131 132 133 134** Minecraft is a very popular [\(Gerken, 2023\)](#page-11-11) sandbox game<sup>[4](#page-2-1)</sup> where players explore a voxel-based, procedurally generated 3D world, gather resources, craft tools, and build structures. Although Minecraft supports partially extending the original game (user extensions are referred to as mods), this is limited by its close source nature and restrictions to access its underlying logic. Additionally, the game and its mods are implemented in Java, a programming language not tailored for highperformance applications and usually not commonly accessible in HPC clusters.

**135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143** In contrast, Minetest is a voxel-based game engine inspired by Minecraft, serving as a platform for creating games rather than being a game itself. Unlike Minecraft, Minetest supports modding at its core, allowing fine-grained real-time access and modification of the internal state of the game engine. This enables extensive customization of its behavior, facilitating the creation, modification, and extension of existing games using its powerful Lua API [\(Minetest Team, 2024a;](#page-12-9) [Ward, 2023b\)](#page-14-4). In turn, Minetest is implemented in C++, a widely adopted programming language and known for its high efficiency. Moreover, Minetest is open source and is supported by an active community that has created hundreds of open free-to-use games and mods [\(Ward, 2023a\)](#page-14-2), that are seamlessly loaded in Craftium (as employed in all environments from Section [3.5\)](#page-5-0).

**144 145**

# 3 CRAFTIUM

**146 147**

**148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158** Craftium follows the architecture illustrated in Figure [2.](#page-2-2) It consists of two main components: the Minetest game engine and the Python environment interface. This interface is the bridge between the environment and agents. Internally, it handles a communication channel per agent, which connects to Minetest, sending and receiving data such as observations, actions, or rewards. On the other hand, the Minetest server executes the logic of the environment, specified by a file characterizing the 3D world and a script (i.e., mod) that defines its behavior. The Minetest server also synchronizes the Minetest clients (one per agent), which handle rendering and communication tasks with the Python library. Finally, note that the original Minetest game engine does not support these features, but its open-source nature allowed modifying its code to support this architecture (see Appendix [A](#page-14-5) for details).

<span id="page-2-3"></span>

Figure 3: Example of a 64x64 pixel RGB image observation.

**159 160**

<span id="page-2-1"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span> $3A$  link to the online documentation will be provided upon acceptance.

<sup>4</sup> Sandbox games allow players extensive creative freedom to explore, build, and manipulate the game environment with few constraints or predetermined goals.

```
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
      1 name = craftium_mod
      2 description = My env.
      3 depends = default
       Figure 4: Example configura-
       tion file of a mod implement-
       ing a Craftium environment.
       This example depends on the
       default mod that provides
```
several basic functionalities.

```
1 minetest.register_on_dignode(function(ps, block)
2 if string.find(block["name"], "tree") then
3 set_reward_once(1.0, 0.0)
   4 end
5 end)
6
7 minetest.register_on_dieplayer(function(obj, rn)
8 set_termination()
9 end)
```

```
Figure 5: Lua script (i.e., mod) implementing basic environment
mechanics.
```
In the following, Sections [3.1,](#page-3-0) [3.2,](#page-3-1) and [3.3](#page-4-0) describe Craftium environments, the creation process, and the interface to use them. Respectively, Section [3.4](#page-5-1) compares the performance of Craftium with other frameworks. Finally, Section [3.5](#page-5-0) showcases the presented framework as a general-purpose environment creation tool across a variety of use cases and fields concerning autonomous agents.

**179 180 181**

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**162**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>3.1 OBSERVATIONS, ACTIONS, AND REWARDS

**182 183 184 185 186** Observations. In Craftium, observations are images from the agent's point of view. An example observation is provided in Figure [3.](#page-2-3) Observations are highly customizable (e.g., size, number of channels, etc.) and can vary between environments. Moreover, Craftium supports many popular techniques such as, frame skipping and frame stacking that are commonly used throughout the literature [\(Huang et al., 2022a\)](#page-11-12).

**187**

**188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195** Actions. By default, actions are composed of a combination of 21 keyboard actions and a tuple that defines the movement of the mouse, which is mainly used to control the camera. Keyboardrelated actions are binary variables with a value of 1 if the key is pressed, and 0 otherwise. The movement of the mouse is defined with the tuple  $(\Delta_x, \Delta_y) \in [-1, 1]^2$ , where  $\Delta_x < 0$  moves the mouse to the left in the horizontal axis and  $\Delta_x > 0$  to the right, similarly,  $\Delta_y < 0$  moves the mouse downwards in the vertical axis and  $\Delta_y > 0$  moves it upwards. Thus, if  $\Delta_x = \Delta_y = 0$ , the mouse is not moved. See Appendix [B.1](#page-15-0) for a detailed description of all the possible actions supported in Craftium.

**196 197 198 199 200 201** The default action space is designed to be versatile, covering as many use cases as possible: from tasks with complex action sequences (e.g., manual inventory control) to simple navigation environments with a couple of actions (e.g., forward and lateral movement). However, the default action space is overly complex for most tasks: the number of possible keyboard action combinations in the default space is  $2^{21}$ . Therefore, Craftium allows reducing the action space to the minimal subset required to solve the task at hand substantially simplifying the learning process of the agent (see Appendix [B.2\)](#page-15-1).

**202**

**203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210** Rewards. In Craftium, reward functions are defined using Lua scripts (mods are discussed in the next section). The framework provides a comprehensive set of tools for this purpose, including an extended version of the Minetest Lua API. This functionality is implemented in a modified version of the game engine developed specifically for this work, which incorporates additional functions for setting and retrieving reward values and episode termination flags. An example of these functions is presented in Section [3.2.](#page-3-1) A complete list of modifications to the original Minetest game engine can be found in Appendix [A,](#page-14-5) while additional functions for defining RL environments are detailed in Appendix [C.](#page-16-0)

**211**

#### <span id="page-3-1"></span>**212 213** 3.2 CREATING CUSTOM ENVIRONMENTS

**214 215** Creating a Craftium environment implies two steps: ① generating a *world*: a database with all the information about the virtual environment where the agent will be placed and will interact with (Figure [1](#page-0-0) shows images of a variety of worlds); and 2 defining the behavior of the environment,

**216 217 218** such as the reward function and conditions for episode termination. The following lines describe these steps in detail.

**219 220 221 222 223** 1 Minetest offers practically unlimited possibilities for generating worlds. However, creating a world can be as simple as a few clicks when using one of the many predefined map generators.<sup>[5](#page-4-1)</sup> If finer control over the map generation process is needed, maps can be created using custom scripts. The procedural environment generator presented in Section [3.5.4](#page-7-0) is an example of a complex custom map generation process.

**224 225 226 227** 2 The next step is to define the behavior of the environment. This is done via mods: user-defined scripts that modify and extend the game engine's behavior, allowing for the creation of custom environments, mechanics, and interactions within the 3D world. A mod has a minimum of two files: a configuration file, and a Lua script.

**228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236** The **configuration file** contains the mod's metadata. It commonly includes the mod's name, a de-scription, and the list of dependencies (see Figure [4\)](#page-3-2). The **Lua script** is where the environment's mechanics are implemented. Figure [5](#page-3-2) illustrates an example script that defines the task of chopping as many trees as possible (presented in Section [3.5.1\)](#page-5-2). Line 1 registers a *callback* function that is called every time the player (i.e., agent) digs a block. In line 2, this function checks if the dug block is part of a tree; if the condition is met, line 3 sets the reward to 1 for that timestep (set reward once and other RL related functions are described in Appendix [C\)](#page-16-0). Line 7 registers another callback function. In this case, the function is run every time the player dies and calls another function that terminates the episode, in line 8.

**237 238 239 240 241 242** Even basic mods, such as the presented example, can be used to generate a wide range of environments. Furthermore, advanced community-made extensions and games can be easily integrated into Craftium, significantly expanding its potential. Section [3.5](#page-5-0) highlights some of these possibilities. For detailed instructions on creating Craftium environments please refer to the online documentation (see Section [1\)](#page-0-1). Finally, note that the creation of Minetest mods is outside the scope of this paper, as comprehensive resources are already available [\(Minetest Team, 2024a;](#page-12-9) [Ward, 2023b\)](#page-14-4).

**243**

**244**

<span id="page-4-0"></span>3.3 INTERFACE

**245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260** Once created, Craftium environments are used via the Gymnasium [\(Towers et al.,](#page-13-6) [2024\)](#page-13-6) (single-agent) or PettingZoo [\(Terry](#page-13-7) [et al., 2021\)](#page-13-7) (multi-agent) interfaces. Both interfaces are open-source and have become the standard interface for RL and MARL environments, providing a unified abstraction over environments that enables interoperability between environments and methods. Just by implementing these interfaces, Craftium is already compatible with many existing tools and projects to train, test, develop, and analyze many algorithms, including but not limited to stable-baselines3 [\(Raffin](#page-13-8) [et al., 2021\)](#page-13-8), Ray RLlib [\(Moritz et al., 2018\)](#page-12-10), CleanRL [\(Huang et al., 2022b\)](#page-11-10), and skrl (Serrano-Muñoz et al., 2023).

**261 262** Figure [6](#page-4-2) illustrates an example using the Gymnasium (single-agent) interface. Pet-

```
1 import gymnasium as gym
2 import craftium
3
4 env = gym.make("Craftium/Room-v0")
 5
6 obs, inf = env.reset()
7 for t in range(5000):
8 a = agent(obs)
9 obs, r, tm, tc, inf = env.step(a)
10
11 if tm or tc:
12 obs, inf = env.reset()
13
14 env.close()
```
Figure 6: Python code illustrating the typical interaction loop between an agent and a Craftium environment using the Gymnasium interface.

**263 264 265 266 267 268** tingZoo employs a very similar interface described in Appendix [D.](#page-16-1) Line 4 loads an example Craftium environment by name (see Section [3.5\)](#page-5-0). Line 6 initiates an episode, obtaining the first observation and a Python dictionary with additional information (e.g., elapsed time). Lines 7-12 implement the agent-environment interaction loop. In line 8, the agent selects an action based on the current observation. The line 9 executes the action specified by the agent, resulting in an observation, a reward, a truncation flag, a termination flag, and a new information dictionary, respectively.

**<sup>269</sup>**

<span id="page-4-1"></span><sup>5</sup>Map generators are documented at: [https://wiki.minetest.net/Map\\_generator](https://wiki.minetest.net/Map_generator).

**270 271 272 273** The truncation flag indicates if the maximum number of timesteps allowed by the environment is reached, while the termination flag determines if the episode has reached a terminal state (e.g., the player dies). Both flags are checked in line 11, and if one or both of them are true, the episode is restarted in line 12. Finally, the last line closes the environment after the main loop ends.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**275** 3.4 PERFORMANCE

**277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293** As stated in the introduction, computationally efficient environments are key for research on autonomous agents in general. Therefore, this matter has been a focal point of Craftium's development. Figure [7](#page-5-3) compares the steps (i.e. interactions) per second obtained by Craftium to VizDoom and MineDojo, well-known environment creation platforms from the literature. Results show the average of 5 runs in 3 different environments per framework, in a machine with a single NVIDIA A5000 GPU and an Intel Xeon Silver 4309Y CPU. Craftium achieves very competitive results compared to Viz-Doom, even though VizDoom is based on ZDoom, which is not 3D *per se*. [6](#page-5-4) Comparing Craftium's performance to Mine-Dojo's, we observe that the presented framework achieves +2670 steps per second more. One of the main reasons behind this significant difference is that MineDojo relies on Minecraft, which is implemented in Java, while, Craftium is based on Minetest, implemented in C++ with low-resource machines in mind. Moreover, Minetest is open source, al-

<span id="page-5-3"></span>

Figure 7: Average steps per second obtained with MineDojo, VizDoom, and Craftium (the greater the better).

**294 295 296** lowing us to modify it to efficiently integrate it into our framework (see Appendix [A\)](#page-14-5). Contrarily, MineDojo, which internally uses MineRL [\(Guss et al., 2019\)](#page-11-6), adds more layers of complexity to convert the original Minecraft game into an RL environment. Refer to Appendix [E](#page-17-0) for more details on this analysis.

**297 298**

**299**

**274**

**276**

### <span id="page-5-0"></span>3.5 ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES

**300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310** Much like game engines are tools for creating new games, Craftium is a general-purpose platform for developing environments. Therefore, this section highlights the potential of Craftium across various use cases: from single and multiagent RL tasks (Sections [3.5.1](#page-5-2) and [3.5.2](#page-6-0) respectively) to open-world environments for large multimodal model-based agents (Section [3.5.3\)](#page-6-1), and environment generators for CRL (Section [3.5.4\)](#page-7-0). These examples are purely illustrative and are not presented as benchmarks. The aim is to demonstrate the framework's capabilities and provide accessible, welldocumented foundations for building custom environments tailored to specific research needs.

**311 312**

**313**

**323**

<span id="page-5-2"></span>3.5.1 EXAMPLE 1: CLASSIC SINGLE-AGENT RL

**314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322** This section provides examples of using Craftium to create single-agent environments for RL. We implement five tasks of diverse nature: simple environments for testing RL algorithms, sparse reward and exploration scenarios, and a challenging survival task. For simplicity, all tasks share the same  $64 \times 64$  pixel RGB image observation space. Moreover, the default action space described in Section [3.1](#page-3-0) is simplified to only use the necessary actions to solve each task, see Appendix [B.2.](#page-15-1) Refer to Appendix [F.1](#page-18-1) for figures and extended descriptions of the environments.

<span id="page-5-5"></span>ChopTree 10 8 Return Episodic Return 6 Episodic 4 2 0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0  $\times10^{7}$ Step SpidersAttack 0.5 Episodic Return 0.4 0.3 Episodic  $0.2$ 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 ×10<sup>7</sup> Step

Figure 8: Episodic return curves of PPO in the *ChopTree* and *SpidersAttack* tasks. Results aggregate 5 different runs per task: average is denoted with lines and the standard error with the contour.

<span id="page-5-4"></span><sup>6</sup> See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doom\\_\(1993\\_video\\_game\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doom_(1993_video_game)).

<span id="page-6-3"></span>

**334 335 336 338 339** Figure 10: The leftmost picture shows an overview of the map for the open-world environment example. Each pixel in the map's picture corresponds to a single block (voxel). The map shows an area of  $1.6K \times 1.6K$  blocks from the vast  $64K \times 64K \times 64K$  blocks area that agents can explore. The color of each pixel is used to denote different biomes, some of which are visualized in the rightmost figures. The rightmost images provide a closer visualization of the included forests, cliffs, deserts, villages, underwater wreckage, monsters, etc.

**341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351** To complement this example, Figure [8](#page-5-5) demonstrates how environments of varying levels of difficulty can be designed within Craftium. The figure shows the results obtained by the Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) algorithm [\(Schulman et al., 2017\)](#page-13-10) in two of the presented tasks. In the *ChopTree* task, the high episodic return values indicate that PPO successfully solves the task, chopping more than 6 trees on average (a reward of +1 is given for every chopped tree). In the *SpidersAttack* scenario the agent has to survive hostile spiders. In this case, a reward value of 1 is given for every defeated spider, and 0 otherwise. As can be seen in the figure, although the episodic return value increases over time, the final average value is below 0.5. This indicates that the trained agent does not survive a single spider in half of the episodes, showing that it is a considerably more challenging task compared to the previous one. See Appendix [F.1](#page-18-1) for further details and experimental results in the rest of the tasks.

### **352 353**

**354**

**337**

**340**

### <span id="page-6-0"></span>3.5.2 EXAMPLE 2: MULTI-AGENT REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

**355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362** The previous section focuses on single-agent scenarios for RL, now, we focus on MARL, showcasing Craftium's multiagent features. For this purpose, we develop a one vs one multi-agent combat environment in Craftium. Likewise the single-agent tasks from the previous section, the environment employs a  $64 \times 64$  RGB image observation space and a simplified discrete action space. In this case, agents are rewarded (+1) when punching other agents and penalized on damage (-0.1).

**363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371** To illustrate a use case, we train the agents using self-play [\(Crandall & Goodrich, 2005\)](#page-10-11), a popular method for this type of competitive scenarios [\(Silver et al., 2017;](#page-13-11) [2018;](#page-13-12) [Jiang](#page-11-13) [et al., 2024\)](#page-11-13). Results are presented in Figure [9,](#page-6-2) where the policy has been trained to play against itself using PPO. The increasing episodic return curve in the figure shows how the policy learns to fulfill the task. Refer to Appendix [F.2](#page-19-0) for figures and more details on the environment and the learning method.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 Step  $\times10^6$ 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 Episodic Return Total - Agent 1 ---- Agent 2

Figure 9: Average and standard error episodic return curves across 5 runs in the multi-agent combat environment. The blue line indicates the total episodic return of both agents, while the gray lines show the values separately.

**372 373**

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

**375 376 377** This section introduces an open-world environment as an example of a complex scenario for embodied AI similar to MineDojo. The environment employs the open-source VoxeLibre game for Minetest, which is greatly inspired by Minecraft, sharing many similarities [\(Fleckenstein et al.,](#page-11-14) [2024\)](#page-11-14). VoxeLibre provides a rich and vast environment with many complex interactions, differ-

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

Figure 11: The leftmost diagram depicts the skills tree of the open-world environment example described in Section [3.5.3.](#page-6-1) The rightmost plot shows the results of PPO+LSTM and LLava-Agent (zero-shot) obtained in terms of average and best maximum episodic return values obtained in 10 repetitions per method. Refer to Appendix [F.3](#page-20-0) for further details.

<span id="page-7-2"></span>

Figure 12: Episodic return curves of a baseline trained from scratch and FT-L2 over a series of 10 different environments created using the procedural generator from Section [3.5.4.](#page-7-0) Environments are illustrated above their corresponding curves (simplified 2D top-views), with the robot indicating the initial position of the agent, the diamond (the objective), and different enemies. See Appendix [F.5](#page-22-0) for details and larger visualizations of the environments.

ent biomes, animals, plants, or hostile creatures. This section also serves as an example of how

community-made Minetest games can be integrated into Craftium.

**410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418** The leftmost picture of Figure [10](#page-6-3) shows an overview of the specific world map generated for this environment, where colors indicate different biomes: dark green for forest biomes, light brown for sand desert, white for artic biomes, etc. The rightmost images showcase the complexity and richness of this environment. Figure [11](#page-7-1) presents the skills tree developed for this environment, showing the hierarchical sequence of skills that the agent can develop to reach more complex goals. Every time the agent unlocks a skill of the tool branch (e.g., collect two wood blocks) it receives a reward and new tools (e.g., wood pickaxe and sword), while the objective switches to the next skill (e.g. collect two stone blocks). Regarding the hunt and defend branches, the agent receives a reward according to the difficulty of hunting the animal or defeating the monster (refer to Appendix [F.3](#page-20-0) for details).

**419 420 421 422 423 424 425** To complete this example, Figure [11](#page-7-1) compares the achievements obtained by PPO (using LSTMbased memory) and an agent based on the open-source large multimodal model LLaVa [\(Liu et al.,](#page-12-11) [2024a\)](#page-12-11) version 1.6 [\(Liu et al., 2024b\)](#page-12-12) (zero-shot: with no finetuning to this specific task). Results show that the LLaVa-Agent unlocks the collect wood and stone stages, while PPO only completes the first stage. Both methods successfully hunt animals and fight some monsters, indicated by the smaller increases in the best episodic return values in the figure. This example demonstrates Craftium's usage beyond RL, using it to analyze and evaluate the ability of large multimodal modelbased agents to leverage world knowledge to approach complex open-world tasks.

**426 427 428**

**389 390 391**

<span id="page-7-0"></span>3.5.4 EXAMPLE 4: PROCEDURAL ENVIRONMENT GENERATION FOR CRL

**429 430 431** In CRL, agents face a sequence of environments, interacting with one at a time and limited by a timestep budget, where methods are expected to leverage prior knowledge to solve incoming tasks efficiently. Commonly employed settings rely on hand-crafted sequences, with a small number of environments, or use repetition for generating larger sequences, e.g., [Wołczyk et al.](#page-14-6) [\(2021\)](#page-14-6) and

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**432 433 434 435 436** Table 1: Popular environment frameworks compared by: number of playable dimensions, procedural generation capabilities, environment creation, whether environments can be programmatically implemented (and not through predefined configuration options), Gymnasium support, multi-agent, and open-world capabilities. We specify the language if a framework allows programmatic implementation of environments, and a red cross otherwise.



**448**

**437**

**449 450 451 452 453 454 455** [Tomilin et al.](#page-13-13) [\(2023\)](#page-13-13). Consequently, this section leverages Craftium's versatility to implement a procedural environment generator that automatically produces a sequence of increasingly difficult environments for CRL (see Figure [12\)](#page-7-2). The generator, given some input parameters, randomly generates labyrinthic 3D dungeons populated with hostile enemies. In these environments, the agent has to survive and reach its objective: a diamond. Every time the agent reaches the objective, a reward value of 100 is provided, of 1 when defeating an enemy, and of 0 otherwise. Further information on the generator and the environments is provided in Appendix [F.4.](#page-21-0)

**456 457 458 459 460 461 462** To complement this example, Figure [12](#page-7-2) shows the results of an agent trained from scratch in each environment (referred to as the baseline) and an agent that finetunes the model learned in the previous task, referred to as FT-L2 (fine-tuning with L2 regularization) [\(Gaya et al., 2023;](#page-11-15) [Wołczyk](#page-14-7) [et al., 2024\)](#page-14-7). As can be observed, FT-L2 greatly outperforms the baseline in some of the environments. This demonstrates how procedural processes can be implemented in Craftium to generate environment sequences for CRL that show knowledge transferability. Note that this generator can be extended beyond CRL to other scenarios such as meta-learning, open-endedness, and UED [\(Dennis](#page-10-13) [et al., 2020;](#page-10-13) [Team et al., 2021;](#page-13-14) [Bauer et al., 2023;](#page-10-14) [Rigter et al., 2024\)](#page-13-1)

**463 464 465**

**466**

### 4 RELATED WORK

**467 468** Table [1](#page-8-1) includes a comparative overview of popular environment frameworks of the RL, open-ended, and embodied AI literature. The following lines provide a more extensive discussion of this analysis.

**469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476** As stated in the introduction, a wide range of environments have been proposed for developing and evaluating autonomous agents. However, many of these environments are adaptations of video games [\(Bellemare et al., 2013;](#page-10-0) [Wydmuch et al., 2019;](#page-14-1) [Guss et al., 2019;](#page-11-6) Küttler et al., 2020) not originally designed for research. As a result, they offer limited customization, often restricted to predefined parameters (e.g., number of enemies). Examples include ALE [\(Machado et al., 2018\)](#page-12-7), MineRL [\(Guss et al., 2019\)](#page-11-6), and NLE (Küttler et al., 2020). The lack of flexibility hinders their use in various research scenarios, such as designing custom environments to study catastrophic forgetting or analyzing specific behaviors of open-ended learning systems.

**477 478 479 480 481 482 483** These limitations have long been recognized, and several frameworks have been proposed that allow the creation of completely new environments. For example, VizDoom [\(Wydmuch et al., 2019\)](#page-14-1) allows defining environments using ZScript, and MiniHack [\(Samvelyan et al., 2021\)](#page-13-4) employs the des-file format for the same purpose. Both, ZScript and the des-file format are *Domain Specific Langauges* (DSL) tailored to the games they originate from (ZDoom and NetHack respectively). However, DSLs are often purpose-specific and lack the flexibility and functionality of general-purpose programming languages. For instance, the des-file format is not a program-

<span id="page-8-0"></span><sup>7</sup>Although the original project is unmaintained, the table considers the community rewrite available at <https://github.com/Farama-Foundation/Procgen2>.

**486 487 488** ming language *per se*, just a language to define NetHack levels. Additionally, DSLs often differ significantly from mainstream programming languages, which limits their usability and adoption.

**489 490 491 492 493 494 495** Some frameworks offer customization through the programming languages they are implemented in, avoiding the limitations of DSLs. For example, Griddly [\(Bamford et al., 2020\)](#page-10-9) and MiniGrid [\(Chevalier-Boisvert et al., 2023\)](#page-10-10) offer Python APIs for creating 2D grid-like environment. While grid environments are fast to simulate, they lack the complexity and diversity of more advanced environments like MineRL and VizDoom. Although more complex tasks could be implemented in these frameworks, it would require significant development effort for researchers. Regarding 3D environments, MiniWorld [\(Chevalier-Boisvert et al., 2023\)](#page-10-10) offers a similar API to MiniGrid, but suffers from the same issues regarding the implementation of richer environments.

**496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508** The field of embodied AI for robotics has long recognized the importance of visually complex scenarios, which include popular frameworks such as AI2-THOR [\(Kolve et al., 2017\)](#page-12-13) and Habitat 3.0 [\(Puig et al., 2024\)](#page-12-5). However, these works focus on accurate physical modeling and photorealism while having limited diversity (mostly including indoor household scenarios) and a lack of openworld environments. For higher-level cognitive tasks that do not require accurate physics modeling or photorealism, the field has popularly adopted Minecraft: an extremely popular game, with rich content, diverse open worlds, and complex game mechanics. Some examples are the Malmo [\(John](#page-11-5)[son et al., 2016\)](#page-11-5) project and MineRL [\(Guss et al., 2019\)](#page-11-6) that wraps Minecraft in a Python interface. However, they lack support for task customization or the creation of new environments. More recently, MineDojo [\(Fan et al., 2022\)](#page-11-3) has greatly improved customization within Minecraft-based environments. Nevertheless, environment creation is constrained by predefined parameters, making scenarios like those in Section [3.5](#page-5-0) infeasible to implement (see Appendix [G](#page-25-0) for details) and lacking multi-agent support, which hinders its adoption in this growing field.

- **509**
- **510 511**

### 5 CONCLUSION

**512 513**

**514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522** Designing new environments and modifying existing ones is crucial for advancing research in openended and embodied AI, RL, MARL, and autonomous agents in general. However, many established environments provide limited or no options for customization [\(Bellemare et al., 2013;](#page-10-0) [Johnson et al.,](#page-11-5) [2016;](#page-11-5) [Guss et al., 2019;](#page-11-6) [Kuttler et al., 2020;](#page-12-14) [Matthews et al., 2024\)](#page-12-4). Although some works offer tools ¨ for developing environments, they rely on restrictive DSLs [\(Wydmuch et al., 2019;](#page-14-1) [Samvelyan et al.,](#page-13-4) [2021\)](#page-13-4) or simplistic 2D worlds [\(Bamford et al., 2020;](#page-10-9) [Chevalier-Boisvert et al., 2023\)](#page-10-10). Conversely, rich and complex 3D environments like MineDojo [\(Fan et al., 2022\)](#page-11-3) allow limited customization, have no multi-agent support, and are built on closed-source and computationally expensive games like Minecraft.

**523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539** This work presents Craftium, an easy-to-use and flexible framework for creating rich 3D environments. Craftium's versatility is showcased in Section [3.5,](#page-5-0) which shows its application to train and analyze single and multi-agent RL algorithms, implement open-world environments for complex embodied agent tasks, and used to procedurally generate environments for CRL. Unlike many alternatives built on top of existing video games, Craftium is based on Minetest, a fully-featured open-source game engine. This analogy is also translated to the presented framework, as it is not a benchmark but a general-purpose tool for creating environments. By leveraging the extensive and well-documented Minetest Lua API [\(Minetest Team, 2024a;](#page-12-9) [Ward, 2023b\)](#page-14-4), Craftium enables nearly limitless possibilities for the development of custom single and multi-agent environments. Additionally, Minetest has a vibrant community that has produced numerous games and extensions [\(Ward, 2023a\)](#page-14-2), which can be easily integrated into Craftium environments (see Section [3.5.3\)](#page-6-1). Moreover, its efficient implementation significantly reduces the computational cost of other alternatives. As shown in Section [3.4,](#page-5-1) Craftium achieves over 2K timesteps per second more than MineDojo, and performs competitively with VizDoom, even though VizDoom is not fully 3D. Craftium also implements the widely-adopted Gymnasium [\(Towers et al., 2024\)](#page-13-6) and Petting Zoo [\(Terry et al.,](#page-13-7) [2021\)](#page-13-7) interfaces, making it compatible with numerous existing tools and projects, such as, [Moritz](#page-12-10) [et al.](#page-12-10) [\(2018\)](#page-12-10); [Huang et al.](#page-11-10) [\(2022b\)](#page-11-10); Serrano-Muñoz et al. [\(2023\)](#page-13-9) and [Raffin et al.](#page-13-8) [\(2021\)](#page-13-8). Finally, Craftium is open source and provides extensive documentation, including many practical examples from which users can build environments for their particular research needs.

#### **540 541 REFERENCES**

**552**

<span id="page-10-12"></span>**559**

<span id="page-10-5"></span>

- <span id="page-10-4"></span>**545 546 547** Adrià Puigdomènech Badia, Bilal Piot, Steven Kapturowski, Pablo Sprechmann, Alex Vitvitskyi, Zhaohan Daniel Guo, and Charles Blundell. Agent57: Outperforming the atari human benchmark. In *Proceedings of the 2020 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2020a.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>**548 549 550 551** Adrià Puigdomènech Badia, Pablo Sprechmann, Alex Vitvitskyi, Daniel Guo, Bilal Piot, Steven Kapturowski, Olivier Tieleman, Martin Arjovsky, Alexander Pritzel, Andrew Bolt, et al. Never give up: Learning directed exploration strategies. In *Proceedings of the 2020 International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2020b.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>**553 554** Chris Bamford, Shengyi Huang, and Simon Lucas. Griddly: A platform for ai research in games. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.06363*, 2020.
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>**555 556 557 558** Jakob Bauer, Kate Baumli, Feryal Behbahani, Avishkar Bhoopchand, Nathalie Bradley-Schmieg, Michael Chang, Natalie Clay, Adrian Collister, Vibhavari Dasagi, Lucy Gonzalez, et al. Humantimescale adaptation in an open-ended task space. In *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML) of 2023*, volume 202, pp. 1887–1935. PMLR, 2023.
- **560 561 562** Charles Beattie, Joel Z Leibo, Denis Teplyashin, Tom Ward, Marcus Wainwright, Heinrich Kuttler, ¨ Andrew Lefrancq, Simon Green, Víctor Valdés, Amir Sadik, et al. Deepmind Lab. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.03801*, 2016.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>**563 564 565** Marc Bellemare, Sriram Srinivasan, Georg Ostrovski, Tom Schaul, David Saxton, and Remi Munos. Unifying count-based exploration and intrinsic motivation. In *Proceedings of the 20216 Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2016.
	- Marc G Bellemare, Yavar Naddaf, Joel Veness, and Michael Bowling. The Arcade Learning Environment: An evaluation platform for general agents. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 47:253–279, 2013.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>**570 571 572 573** Michael Beukman, Samuel Coward, Michael Matthews, Mattie Fellows, Minqi Jiang, Michael D Dennis, and Jakob Nicolaus Foerster. Refining minimax regret for unsupervised environment design. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2024.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>**574 575 576** Yuri Burda, Harrison Edwards, Amos Storkey, and Oleg Klimov. Exploration by random network distillation. In *Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2019.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>**577 578 579 580** Maxime Chevalier-Boisvert, Bolun Dai, Mark Towers, Rodrigo de Lazcano, Lucas Willems, Salem Lahlou, Suman Pal, Pablo Samuel Castro, and Jordan Terry. Minigrid & miniworld: Modular & customizable reinforcement learning environments for goal-oriented tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.13831*, 2023.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>**582 583** Karl Cobbe, Christopher Hesse, Jacob Hilton, and John Schulman. Leveraging procedural generation to benchmark reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.01588*, 2020.
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>**584 585 586** Jacob W Crandall and Michael A Goodrich. Learning to compete, compromise, and cooperate in repeated general-sum games. In *Proceedings of the 2005 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, pp. 161–168, 2005.
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>**587 588 589 590 591** Michael Dennis, Natasha Jaques, Eugene Vinitsky, Alexandre Bayen, Stuart Russell, Andrew Critch, and Sergey Levine. Emergent complexity and zero-shot transfer via unsupervised environment design. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2020.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>**592 593** Sam Earle, Filippos Kokkinos, Yuhe Nie, Julian Togelius, and Roberta Raileanu. Dreamcraft: Textguided generation of functional 3d environments in minecraft. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games (FDG)*, 2024.

- <span id="page-11-2"></span>**594 595 596** Maxence Faldor, Jenny Zhang, Antoine Cully, and Jeff Clune. OMNI-EPIC: open-endedness via models of human notions of interestingness with environments programmed in code. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15568*, 2024.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>**598 599 600 601** Linxi Fan, Guanzhi Wang, Yunfan Jiang, Ajay Mandlekar, Yuncong Yang, Haoyi Zhu, Andrew Tang, De-An Huang, Yuke Zhu, and Anima Anandkumar. MineDojo: Building open-ended embodied agents with internet-scale knowledge. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) of 2022*, 35:18343–18362, 2022.
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>**602 603 604** Lizzy Fleckenstein, Wuzzy, davedevils, and contributors. Voxelibre, a voxel-based sandbox game for minetest. <https://git.minetest.land/VoxeLibre/VoxeLibre>, 2024. Accessed: 2024-10-01.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>**605 606 607 608** Samuel Garcin, James Doran, Shangmin Guo, Christopher G Lucas, and Stefano V Albrecht. DRED: Zero-shot transfer in reinforcement learning via data-regularised environment design. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2024.
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>**609 610 611** Jean-Baptiste Gaya, Thang Doan, Lucas Caccia, Laure Soulier, Ludovic Denoyer, and Roberta Raileanu. Building a subspace of policies for scalable continual learning. In *Proceedings of the 2023 International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2023.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>**612 613** Tom Gerken. Minecraft becomes first video game to hit 300M sales. [https://www.bbc.com/](https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-67105983) [news/technology-67105983](https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-67105983), 2023. Accessed: 2024-10-01.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>**614 615 616 617 618** Djordje Grbic, Rasmus Berg Palm, Elias Najarro, Claire Glanois, and Sebastian Risi. Evocraft: A new challenge for open-endedness. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Applications of Evolutionary Computation: 24th International Conference, EvoApplications 2021*, pp. 325–340. Springer, 2021.
	- William H Guss, Brandon Houghton, Nicholay Topin, Phillip Wang, Cayden Codel, Manuela Veloso, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. MineRL: A large-scale dataset of minecraft demonstrations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.13440*, 2019.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span><span id="page-11-6"></span>**622 623 624** Danijar Hafner. Benchmarking the spectrum of agent capabilities. In *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2022.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>**625 626 627 628 629** Shengyi Huang, Rousslan Fernand Julien Dossa, Antonin Raffin, Anssi Kanervisto, and Weixun Wang. The 37 implementation details of proximal policy optimization. In *ICLR Blog Track*, 2022a. URL [https://iclr-blog-track.github.io/2022/03/](https://iclr-blog-track.github.io/2022/03/25/ppo-implementation-details/) [25/ppo-implementation-details/](https://iclr-blog-track.github.io/2022/03/25/ppo-implementation-details/). https://iclr-blog-track.github.io/2022/03/25/ppoimplementation-details/.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>**630 631 632** Shengyi Huang, Rousslan Fernand Julien Dossa, Chang Ye, Jeff Braga, Dipam Chakraborty, Kinal Mehta, and João G.M. Araújo. CleanRL: High-quality single-file implementations of deep reinforcement learning algorithms. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 23(274):1–18, 2022b.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>**633 634 635 636** Edward Hughes, Michael D Dennis, Jack Parker-Holder, Feryal Behbahani, Aditi Mavalankar, Yuge Shi, Tom Schaul, and Tim Rocktäschel. Position: Open-endedness is essential for artificial superhuman intelligence. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2024.
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>**637 638** Roberto Ierusalimschy. *Programming in Lua*. Roberto Ierusalimschy, 2006.
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>**639 640 641** Yuhua Jiang, Qihan Liu, Xiaoteng Ma, Chenghao Li, Yiqin Yang, Jun Yang, Bin Liang, and Qianchuan Zhao. Learning diverse risk preferences in population-based self-play. In *Proceedings of the 2024 AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2024.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>**642 643 644 645** Matthew Johnson, Katja Hofmann, Tim Hutton, and David Bignell. The Malmo platform for artificial intelligence experimentation. In *Proceedings of the 2016 International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, volume 16, pp. 4246–4247, 2016.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>**646 647** Scott M. Jordan, Adam White, Bruno Castro Da Silva, Martha White, and Philip S. Thomas. Position: Benchmarking is limited in reinforcement learning research. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2024.

- <span id="page-12-14"></span><span id="page-12-13"></span><span id="page-12-12"></span><span id="page-12-11"></span><span id="page-12-9"></span><span id="page-12-7"></span><span id="page-12-4"></span><span id="page-12-3"></span>**649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700** Eric Kolve, Roozbeh Mottaghi, Winson Han, Eli VanderBilt, Luca Weihs, Alvaro Herrasti, Matt Deitke, Kiana Ehsani, Daniel Gordon, Yuke Zhu, et al. AI2-THOR: An interactive 3D environment for visual AI. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.05474*, 2017. Heinrich Kuttler, Nantas Nardelli, Alexander Miller, Roberta Raileanu, Marco Selvatici, Edward ¨ Grefenstette, and Tim Rocktäschel. The NetHack Learning Environment. Advances in Neural *Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) of 2020*, 33:7671–7684, 2020. Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning. In *Proceedings of the 2024 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2024a. Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae Lee. Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge, January 2024b. URL [https://](https://llava-vl.github.io/blog/2024-01-30-llava-next/) [llava-vl.github.io/blog/2024-01-30-llava-next/](https://llava-vl.github.io/blog/2024-01-30-llava-next/). Marlos C Machado, Marc G Bellemare, Erik Talvitie, Joel Veness, Matthew Hausknecht, and Michael Bowling. Revisiting the Arcade Learning Environment: Evaluation protocols and open problems for general agents. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 61:523–562, 2018. Mikel Malagon, Josu Ceberio, and Jose A Lozano. Self-composing policies for scalable continual reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2024. Michael Matthews, Michael Beukman, Benjamin Ellis, Mikayel Samvelyan, Matthew Thomas Jackson, Samuel Coward, and Jakob Nicolaus Foerster. Craftax: A lightning-fast benchmark for openended reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2024. Minetest Team. Minetest Lua modding API reference. <https://api.minetest.net/>, 2024a. Accessed: 2024-10-01. Minetest Team. Minetest's main page. <https://www.minetest.net/>, 2024b. Accessed: 2024-10-01. Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Alex Graves, Ioannis Antonoglou, Daan Wierstra, and Martin Riedmiller. Playing atari with deep reinforcement learning. In *NIPS Deep Learning Workshop 2013*, 2013. Philipp Moritz, Robert Nishihara, Stephanie Wang, Alexey Tumanov, Richard Liaw, Eric Liang, Melih Elibol, Zongheng Yang, William Paul, Michael I Jordan, et al. Ray: A distributed framework for emerging AI applications. In *USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI) of 2018*, pp. 561–577, 2018. Georg Ostrovski, Marc G Bellemare, Aäron Oord, and Rémi Munos. Count-based exploration with neural density models. In *Proceedings of the 2017 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, pp. 2721–2730. PMLR, 2017. Giuseppe Paolo, Jonas Gonzalez-Billandon, and Balázs Kégl. Position: A call for embodied AI. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2024. Sai Prasanna, Karim Farid, Raghu Rajan, and Andre Biedenkapp. Dreaming of many worlds: Learn- ´ ing contextual world models aids zero-shot generalization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.10967*, 2024. Xavier Puig, Eric Undersander, Andrew Szot, Mikael Dallaire Cote, Tsung-Yen Yang, Ruslan Partsey, Ruta Desai, Alexander Clegg, Michal Hlavac, So Yeon Min, Vladimír Vondruš, Theophile Gervet, Vincent-Pierre Berges, John M Turner, Oleksandr Maksymets, Zsolt Kira, Mrinal Kalakrishnan, Jitendra Malik, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Unnat Jain, Dhruv Batra, Akshara Rai, and
- <span id="page-12-10"></span><span id="page-12-8"></span><span id="page-12-6"></span><span id="page-12-5"></span><span id="page-12-2"></span><span id="page-12-1"></span><span id="page-12-0"></span>**701** Roozbeh Mottaghi. Habitat 3.0: A co-habitat for humans, avatars, and robots. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2024.

<span id="page-13-14"></span><span id="page-13-13"></span><span id="page-13-12"></span><span id="page-13-11"></span><span id="page-13-10"></span><span id="page-13-9"></span><span id="page-13-8"></span><span id="page-13-7"></span><span id="page-13-6"></span><span id="page-13-5"></span><span id="page-13-4"></span><span id="page-13-3"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span><span id="page-13-1"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>

<span id="page-14-2"></span>Andrew Ward. Contentdb: A content database for minetest mods, games, and more. [https:](https://content.minetest.net/) [//content.minetest.net/](https://content.minetest.net/), 2023a. Accessed: 2024-10-01.

<span id="page-14-4"></span>Andrew Ward. Minetest modding book. [https://rubenwardy.com/minetest\\_](https://rubenwardy.com/minetest_modding_book/en/index.html) [modding\\_book/en/index.html](https://rubenwardy.com/minetest_modding_book/en/index.html), 2023b. Accessed: 2024-10-01.

- <span id="page-14-7"></span><span id="page-14-6"></span>**762 763 764** Maciej Wołczyk, Michał Zajac, Razvan Pascanu, Łukasz Kuciński, and Piotr Miłoś. Continual world: A robotic benchmark for continual reinforcement learning. *Proceedings of the 2021 Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 34:28496–28510, 2021.
	- Maciej Wołczyk, Bartłomiej Cupiał, Mateusz Ostaszewski, Michał Bortkiewicz, Michał Zajkac, Razvan Pascanu, Łukasz Kuciński, and Piotr Miłoś. Fine-tuning reinforcement learning models is secretly a forgetting mitigation problem. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2024.
	- Marek Wydmuch, Michał Kempka, and Wojciech Jaskowski. ViZDoom Competitions: Playing ´ Doom from Pixels. *IEEE Transactions on Games*, 11(3):248–259, 2019.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span><span id="page-14-1"></span><span id="page-14-0"></span>**774 775 776** Donghao Ying, Yunkai Zhang, Yuhao Ding, Alec Koppel, and Javad Lavaei. Scalable primal-dual actor-critic method for safe multi-agent rl with general utilities. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2023.
	- Tianhe Yu, Deirdre Quillen, Zhanpeng He, Ryan Julian, Karol Hausman, Chelsea Finn, and Sergey Levine. Meta-World: A benchmark and evaluation for multi-task and meta reinforcement learning. In *proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Robot Learning (CoRL)*, 2020.

# <span id="page-14-5"></span>A MODIFICATIONS TO MINETEST

**785 786 787 788 789 790 791** Although Minetest is an extremely flexible game engine with extensibility built into its core, adapting it to be a platform for RL environment creation required modifying the game engine's source code. As Minetest is a large C++ project with thousands of files, modifications have been thoughtfully limited to as few changes as possible to ensure seamless updates to new versions of Minetest and improve maintainability. Most of the introduced code is limited to a single  $c$ raftium.h and a few modifications to the client.cpp file. These modifications have allowed the implementation of features required for training RL agents in Minetest:

- Implementation of a client that connects to the Python process with the agent's implementation. This is the communication channel from which Minetest sends RGB frames and other timestep data to Python, and Python sends the next actions to be executed.
- Executing agent's actions as keyboard and mouse commands in Minetest. All actions are translated as virtual keyboard keypresses or mouse movements (for moving the camera and controlling the inventory).
- Extensions to the Minetest Lua API to incorporate vital functionalities for RL environments. Extensions include 5 new Lua functions that implement functions such as setting the episode termination flag or sending reward values.
- **802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809** • Minetest has a client/server architecture, where the server runs the world's logic and the client interfaces with the player (e.g., game control and rendering). However, the asynchronous nature of this architecture introduced many problems to most RL agent training scenarios, as the server could update the world many times while the client was waiting for the agent to return an action. This causes many reproducibility issues and behaviors such as monsters attacking the player while the client is waiting for the agent's response. For this purpose, Craftium introduces synchronous client/server updates. This ensures that the server waits for the client to be updated before continuing with the next update, preventing the mentioned issues.

#### **810 811** B ACTION SPACE DETAILS

#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>**812 813** B.1 DEFAULT ACTION SPACE

**814 815 816 817 818 819 820** The default action space of Craftium environments is composed of combinations of 21 keyboard actions and mouse movements on the horizontal and vertical axes. Keyboard actions are binary values, where 1 translates to a key press and 0 if not used. Available keyboard commands are listed and described in Table [2.](#page-15-2) Note that these actions are a subset of the default keyboard controls that Minetest offers<sup>[8](#page-15-3)</sup> and its selection is inspired by the action space of MineRL [\(Guss et al., 2019\)](#page-11-6). Mouse movements are defined by a tuple (horizontal and vertical movements) of real values in the  $[-1, 1]$  interval (see Section [3.1\)](#page-3-0).

<span id="page-15-2"></span>Table 2: List of available keyboard actions in Craftium environments, their corresponding key in the default Minetest controls, and their description.



**839 840 841**

**842**

### <span id="page-15-1"></span>B.2 ACTION WRAPPERS

**843 844 845 846 847 848** By default, Craftium environments have a large action space with discrete (binary) and continuous values (see Section [3\)](#page-2-3). However, many tasks do not require the complete default action space and can be greatly simplified by considering only the relevant actions to solve the specific task that the environment defines. Consequently, Craftium provides tools for customizing the action space of environments by using Gymnasium Wrappers.<sup>[9](#page-15-4)</sup> Specifically, Craftium implements two wrappers:BinaryActionWrapperand DiscreteActionWrapper.

**849 850 851 852 853 854** BinaryActionWrapper allows selecting the subset of keyboard actions (see Table [2](#page-15-2) for the complete list) to use in the new action space. This wrapper also simplifies the continuous mouse movement actions by discretizing them into four binary actions: move the mouse left, right, up, and down. The magnitude of these movements can be chosen by the developer. For example, this wrapper allows simplifying the default  $\{0, 1\}^{21} \cup [0, 1]^2$  action space into a  $\{0, 1\}^3$  space where binary values correspond to: move forward, move mouse right, and move mouse left.

**855 856 857 858 859** DiscreteActionWrapper allows selecting the subset of keyboard actions and discretizes the mouse movement similarly to the previous wrapper. However, in this case, actions are not binary vectors but a single discrete value. Thus, actions can not be combined as in the case of the previous wrapper. Following the previous example, instead of simplifying the default action space into  $\{0,1\}^3$  this wrapper defines the new space as  $\{0,1,2\}$ , where 0 corresponds to move forward, 1 moves the mouse to the right, and 2 moves it to the left.

**<sup>860</sup> 861**

**<sup>862</sup>** <sup>8</sup> Some controls like pausing the game or opening the chat have been excluded. For additional information visit: <https://wiki.minetest.net/Controls>.

<span id="page-15-4"></span><span id="page-15-3"></span><sup>9</sup>Refer to Gymnaium's documentation for more information: [https://gymnasium.farama.org/](https://gymnasium.farama.org/api/wrappers/action_wrappers/) [api/wrappers/action\\_wrappers/](https://gymnasium.farama.org/api/wrappers/action_wrappers/).

#### C EXTENSIONS TO THE MINETEST LUA API

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Minetest counts with an extensive and powerful Lua API [\(Minetest Team, 2024a\)](#page-12-9) that can be used to modify the behavior of the game engine and create mods or entire games [\(Ward, 2023a\)](#page-14-2). However, Minetest lacks of functionalities to define RL environments by itself. Therefore, Craftium distributes a modified version of the game engine (see Appendix [A\)](#page-14-5) that includes additional functionalities in the Lua API to make it possible to implement RL environments from Minetest mods. Table [3](#page-16-2) lists and describes the new functions added to the Lua API.

<span id="page-16-2"></span>Table 3: List of the new functions added to the Minetest Lua API. The "—" character is used to indicate that a function takes no arguments.



# <span id="page-16-1"></span>D USING CRAFTIUM THROUGH THE PETTINGZOO (MULTI-AGENT) INTERFACE

```
890
892
894
895
896
898
899
902
903
     1 from craftium import pettingzoo_env
     2
     3 env = pettingzoo_env.env(
     4 env_name="Craftium/MultiAgentCombat-v0"
     5 )
     6
     7 env.reset()
     8
     9 for agent_id in env.agent_iter():
    10 observation, reward, termination, truncation, info = env.last()
    11
    12 if termination or truncation:
    13 break
     14
    15 action = agents[agent_id](observation)
    16 env.step(action)
    17
    18 env.close()
```
 

 Figure 13: Python code illustrating an example multi-agent scenario using the PettingZoo interface in Craftium.

 Figure [13](#page-16-3) shows an example use case of the PettingZoo<sup>[10](#page-16-4)</sup> API in Craftium for multi-agent environments. Note that PettingZoo is greatly inspired by Gymnasium and shares many similarities and  $design choices.<sup>11</sup>$  $design choices.<sup>11</sup>$  $design choices.<sup>11</sup>$ 

 Likewise the Gymnasium example from Figure [6,](#page-4-2) the first lines (1-5) instantiate a Craftium environment by name. In this case, *Craftium/MultiAgentCombat-v0* is loaded, corresponding to the multiagent environment example showcased in Section [3.5.2.](#page-6-0) Then, line 7 resets the environment to the initial state, initializing Minetest for the first time internally. Next, lines 9-16 define the main agentenvironment interaction loop. As defined in line 9 the loop cycles through the agents (two agents

<span id="page-16-4"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>More information at: <https://pettingzoo.farama.org/api/aec/>.

<span id="page-16-5"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>In fact, both projects are developed under the same Farama foundation, see <https://farama.org/>.

**918 919 920 921 922** for this specific environment). Line 10 obtains the observation, reward, termination/truncation flags, and the information dictionary (similarly to the Gymnasium example). Next, lines 12-13 check if the episode should terminate. If the episode continues, line 15 selects the action for the current agent, and line 16 executes it, running a single environment step for the current agent. Finally, 18 closes the environment, shutting down Minetest and removing any temporal files.

**923 924 925**

**926**

## <span id="page-17-0"></span>E DETAILS ON THE PERFORMANCE BENCHMARK

**927 928** Due to the page limit constraint of the paper, this section provides additional details on the environment performance comparison presented in Section [3.4.](#page-5-1)

**929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939** To complement the results illustrated in Figure [7,](#page-5-3) Table [4](#page-18-2) provides the exact average and standard deviation values. The measurements aggregate the results of 5 different runs of 1K steps in 3 environments per framework. Note that all environments considered for this experiment were single-agent, as MineDojo does not support multi-agent scenarios<sup>[12](#page-17-1)</sup> and VizDoom does not provide multi-agent environments (although technically supports this setting).<sup>[13](#page-17-2)</sup> The environments were: speleo, room, and spiders attack for Craftium (see Appendix [F.1\)](#page-18-1); *VizdoomHealthGathering-v0*, *VizdoomCorridor-v0*, and *VizdoomDefendCenter-v0* for VizDoom; and *harvest milk*, *creative:255*, and *Harvest* for MineDojo. In all cases, observations were RGB images, without frameskip, and actions were selected uniformly at random. In the case of MineDojo and Craftium environments observation size was set to  $64 \times 64$  pixels, and to  $320 \times 240$ , as the latter resolution is not available for VizDoom environments.

- **940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957** As can be observed in Table [4,](#page-18-2) Craftium achieves substantially higher, +38%, steps per second than the Minecraft alternative, MineDojo. The reasons for such a significant performance gap are many, as both frameworks are complicated systems with many interacting components. One of the most significant differences is the choice of implementation language: MineDojo is based on Minecraft, which is implemented in Java 8, while Craftium relies on Minetest, implemented in C++ and known to perform significantly higher than Java.<sup>[14](#page-17-3)</sup> Another relevant aspect is that Minecraft is a *complete* game, that has grown in complexity over the years, and this complexity directly affects to the environments implemented on top of it. As it is a close source game, the developer is not allowed to modify its source code to remove irrelevant parts of the game for the environment at hand for the sake of computational efficiency. Contrarily, Minetest is open source and exposes a highly flexible Lua API to modify its behavior. This allows building environments with only the relevenat components for the task at hand. Along the same line, the open-source nature of Minetest allowed its modification to tightly integrate it with the proposed framework. For example, to incorporate a system to execute the actions sent from the Python interface as keyboard and mouse commands. Conversely, Minecraft does not allow modifications to its source code, which requires MineRL and MineDojo<sup>[15](#page-17-4)</sup> to include many layers of complexity to adapt the Minecraft game to the RL setting. Most notably, Minecraft is a game and is not intended to run on a server without a monitor. Therefore, MineRL and MineDojo use an external tool,  $Xvfb^{16}$  $Xvfb^{16}$  $Xvfb^{16}$ . to emulate a monitor without showing any screen output, which causes significant performance drawbacks. This also implies that the  $X11$  windowing system<sup>[17](#page-17-6)</sup> is installed, which is not often the case in HPC clusters.
- **958 959 960**

### F DETAILS ON THE ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES

Due to the size limitations of the main paper, this section includes additional information on the illustrative examples shown in Section [3.5.](#page-5-0)

<span id="page-17-2"></span><sup>13</sup>For more details on the multi-agent capabilities of VizDoom (accessed November 2024): [https://](https://github.com/Farama-Foundation/ViZDoom/issues/546) [github.com/Farama-Foundation/ViZDoom/issues/546](https://github.com/Farama-Foundation/ViZDoom/issues/546).

<span id="page-17-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Revelant discussion at (accessed November 2024): [https://github.com/MineDojo/](https://github.com/MineDojo/MineDojo/issues/15) [MineDojo/issues/15](https://github.com/MineDojo/MineDojo/issues/15).

<span id="page-17-3"></span> $14$ For example, see the performance comparison at [https://benchmarksgame-team.pages.](https://benchmarksgame-team.pages.debian.net/benchmarksgame/fastest/gpp-java.html) [debian.net/benchmarksgame/fastest/gpp-java.html](https://benchmarksgame-team.pages.debian.net/benchmarksgame/fastest/gpp-java.html).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Note that MineDojo is based on MineRL. Refer to the work by [Fan et al.](#page-11-3) [\(2022\)](#page-11-3) for details.

<sup>16</sup>See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Xvfb>.

<span id="page-17-6"></span><span id="page-17-5"></span><span id="page-17-4"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/X\\_Window\\_System\\_core\\_protocol](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/X_Window_System_core_protocol).

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

<span id="page-18-2"></span>**972 973** Table 4: Average and standard deviation values obtained in the environment framework performance comparison conducted in Section [3.4.](#page-5-1)

Figure 14: Visualizations of the example environments for classic single-agent RL.

### <span id="page-18-1"></span>F.1 ENVIRONMENTS FOR CLASSIC SINGLE-AGENT RL

All tasks share the same observation space of  $64 \times 64$  pixel RGB images. In all cases, the action space has been simplified into a discrete space  $a \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$  as described in Section [3.1](#page-3-0) (see Appendix [B.1](#page-15-0) for details). The simplified action space also introduces a nop action (do nothing) to all tasks. The following lines describe the five tasks introduced in this section.

**1000 1001 1002 1003** Chop tree. The agent is placed in a dense forest, equipped with a steel axe (see Figure [14a\)](#page-18-0). Every time the agent chops a tree, a positive reward of +1 is given, 0 otherwise. Therefore, the task is to chop as many trees as possible until episode termination. Available actions are nop, move forward, jump, dig (used to chop), and move the mouse left, right, up, and down. Episodes terminate when 2K timesteps are reached.

**1004**

**974**

**1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1010 1011 1012** Room and small room. These tasks present the same objective in different scenarios. In both cases, the agent is placed in one half of a closed room with a red block in the other half of the room. The objective is to reach this block as fast as possible. The difference between both tasks is the size of the room (see Figures [14c](#page-18-0) and [14b\)](#page-18-0). The reward is constant, all timesteps have a reward value of -1, and the episode terminates when the agent reaches the block. To avoid solving the task by memorization, the initial position of the agent and the red block are randomized in every new episode. Available actions are: move forward, move mouse left, and move mouse right. The timestep budget is 1K in *SmallRoom*, and 2K for the variant with the larger room. Four actions are available: nop, move forward, and move the mouse right and left.

**1013**

**1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019** Speleo. The agent is located in a closed cave illuminated with torches (see Figure [14d\)](#page-18-0). The task is to reach the bottom of the cave as fast as possible. For this purpose, the reward at each timestep is the negative altitude (Y-axis position) of the agent. Therefore, the reward increases as the agent goes deeper into the cave. Actions are nop, move forward, jump, and move the mouse left, right, up, and down. Episodes terminate if the agent dies (falling from a great height) or if 3K timesteps are reached.

**1020**

**1021 1022 1023 1024 1025** Spiders attack. The agent is placed in a large cage together with hostile spiders (see Figure [14e\)](#page-18-0), it is equipped with a steel sword and the objective is to survive. In the beginning, there is a single spider in the cage, but every time all spiders are defeated, a new round starts with one more spider than in the previous one (until 5 spiders). The reward of defeating a siper is +1. Actions are: nop, move forward, move left, move right, jump, attack, and move mouse left, right, up, and down. Finally, episodes terminate if the agent dies or if the 4K timestep limit is reached.



<span id="page-19-2"></span>**1026 1027** Table 5: Episodic return values obtained by PPO compared to a random agent across the environments. Results show the average and standard deviation values of 5 random seeds.

**1043 1044 1045** Figure 15: Episodic return curves obtained by PPO in all of the tasks from Section [3.5.](#page-5-0) Lines aggregate the average values of 5 different seeds per task, while the contour denotes the standard error of the results.

**1046**

<span id="page-19-1"></span>**1042**

**1047 1048 1049 1050 1051 1052** Complementing the examples from Section [3.5.1,](#page-5-2) Figure [15](#page-19-1) provides the episodic return curves of PPO in all of the presented tasks, while Table [5](#page-19-2) compares these results with a randomly acting agent. In both cases, results aggregate 5 runs per task, where PPO was trained for 10M timesteps in each. These experiments are mere examples to complement Section [3.5.1,](#page-5-2) and thus, no hyperparameter tuning was performed to improve the obtained results. Moreover, the performance in some of the tasks might be substantially improved if more training timesteps are considered.

**1053 1054 1055 1056 1057 1058 1059** Regarding the PPO algorithm, we employed the high-quality implementations from CleanRL [Huang](#page-11-10) [et al.](#page-11-10) [\(2022b\)](#page-11-10). Specifically, the PPO implementation for Atari environments was adapted to Craftium environments, as both observation spaces consist of RGB images and action spaces are discrete (in the case of the environments presented in Section [3.5.1\)](#page-5-2). Moreover, this implementation already considers many details shown to benefit PPO [\(Huang et al., 2022a\)](#page-11-12). The hyperparameters and CNN network architecture were set according to their default values in the original PPO implementation from CleanRL.<sup>[18](#page-19-3)</sup>

<span id="page-19-0"></span>**1060**

**1062**

#### **1061** F.2 MULTI-AGENT COMBAT

**1063 1064 1065 1066 1067 1068 1069 1070 1071** This section describes the multi-agent environment example from Section [3.5.2](#page-6-0) in detail. As can be seen in Figure [16,](#page-20-1) the scenario consists of a completely flat world, where two agents are placed in a closed jail. Both agents have no items or tools available, and cannot escape the jail. Similarly to the classic single-agent RL task (see Section [3.5.1](#page-5-2) and Appendix [F.1\)](#page-18-1), observations are  $64 \times 64$  RGB images, and the action space consists of a simplified discrete space using the DiscreteActionWrapper from Appendix [B.2.](#page-15-1) Specifically, the discrete action space consists of the following actions: nop, forward, left, right, jump, attack, and move the mouse right or left. An agent gets a positive reward  $(+1)$  when punching other agents and  $(-0.1)$  on damage (i.e., losing one health point). Finally, episodes terminate if the number of health points (initialized to 20) of any of the agents is zero, or the maximum number of timesteps (2K by default) is reached.

**1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077** Regarding the self-play method employed in Figure [9,](#page-6-2) we employ exactly the same CNN architecture and PPO algorithm implementation as in the single-agent environment examples from Appendix [F.1](#page-18-1) (refer to the last part of this appendix for details). In this case, as we employ self-play [\(Silver et al., 2017\)](#page-13-11), both agents share the same internal NN-based policy, which is updated every 128 steps. Finally, the agents were trained for 1M timesteps using grayscale versions of the observations and frame staking of 4 frames, resulting in a  $4 \times 64 \times 64$  pixel observation space.

<span id="page-19-3"></span><sup>18</sup>Souce code of the original PPO implementation: [https://github.com/vwxyzjn/cleanrl/](https://github.com/vwxyzjn/cleanrl/blob/38c313f8326b5049fe941a873e798485bccf18e5/cleanrl/ppo_atari.py) [blob/38c313f8326b5049fe941a873e798485bccf18e5/cleanrl/ppo\\_atari.py](https://github.com/vwxyzjn/cleanrl/blob/38c313f8326b5049fe941a873e798485bccf18e5/cleanrl/ppo_atari.py).

<span id="page-20-1"></span>

Figure 16: Screenshot of the illustrative multi-agent environment from Section [3.5.2.](#page-6-0)

#### <span id="page-20-0"></span>**1099** F.3 OPEN WORLD

**1100**

**1101 1102 1103 1104** In Section [3.5.3](#page-6-1) we introduce an open-world environment. In this environment, the agent has to survive and gather resources in an open world based on the open-source VoxeLibre [\(Fleckenstein](#page-11-14) [et al., 2024\)](#page-11-14) game for Minetest. The environment is designed to have three different tracks: tools, hunt, and defend.

**1105 1106 1107 1108 1109 1110 1111 1112 1113** The first, the *Tools* track, consists of 4 different milestones: collect two wood blocks, three stone blocks, three iron blocks, and finally, a diamond block. When the agent unlocks one of the stages (i.e. tasks) it receives a reward and a new set of tools to employ to solve the next task. The reward for completing each of the stages is 128, 256, 1024, and 2048 respectively. Moreover, when the agent unlocks a new stage, it receives a sword and a pickaxe of the material of the completed stage. For example, if the agent unlocks the *wood* stage (collect two wood blocks), a wood sword and pickaxe are automatically added to its inventory. To simplify solving the first stage of this track, the initial inventory of the agent is composed of a stone axe and 256 torches. The stone axe allows the agent to more easily chop trees to collect wood, while it also serves to defend from enemies (i.e. monsters) and hunt animals.

**1114 1115 1116 1117 1118 1119 1120 1121 1122** Conversely, the *Hunt* and *Defend* tracks are non-sequential. The agent is expected to develop skills to handle increasingly complex scenarios rather than progressing linearly (although this could also be the case). In these tracks, a reward is provided to the agent every time it *puches* an enemy or an animal. In the case of enemies, the reward value is equal to the damage caused by the tool, while in the case of the animals, this value is reduced to half. The motivation behind this particular reward function is the following. If the agent defeats an enemy or hunts an animal, the episodic return obtained by the agent is linear to the life of the enemy or animal. Moreover, the agent is also encouraged to use the correct tool for these tasks. For example, using a sword to fight a monster will provide more reward than using a torch or pickaxe for the same task.

**1123 1124 1125 1126 1127 1128** In Minetest, the time of day of the game is linked to the real clock time, where the day/night cycle lasts for 20 minutes by default.<sup>[19](#page-20-2)</sup> In consequence, in this environment the time of day is set according to the global timestep to maintain consistency and avoid relaying in real clock time while training agents. If the latter is not considered, the time of day experienced by the agents could vary depending on the time required by the agent to select an action, which greatly varies depending on its implementation and architecture.

**1129 1130 1131 1132** The following lines provide details on the methods used in the experiment from Section [3.5.3.](#page-6-1) Note that in both cases, the action space of the agents was composed of 18 discrete actions, defined using DiscreteActionWrapper from Appendix [B.2.](#page-15-1) The actions are: nop, move forward, backward, left, and right, jump, sneak, dig, place, slot 1, slot 2, slot 3, slot 4, slot 5, move the mouse

<span id="page-20-2"></span> $^{19}$ Additional information at [https://wiki.minetest.net/Time\\_of\\_day](https://wiki.minetest.net/Time_of_day).

**1134 1135 1136** right, left, up, and down. Slot  $[1, \ldots, 5]$  corresponds to the actions of selecting the tool or object in that position of the inventory (i.e. often referred to as the *hotbar*).

**1137 1138 1139 1140 1141 1142 1143** PPO+LSTM. This method is based on the popular PPO algorithm while employing a convolutional neural network to encode observations and an LSTM module providing memory capabilities to the agent. As the experiments described in Appendix [F.1,](#page-18-1) this agent is based on CleanRL's PPO implementations, in this case in PPO+LSTM for Atari games.<sup>[20](#page-21-1)</sup> Similarly, hyperparameters were kept fixed (not optimized), as the purpose of this experiment is to serve as example. Finally, the observation space for this agent was set to  $84\times84$  of greyscale images using 4 observations for frame stacking.

**1144**

**1145 1146 1147 1148 1149 1150 1151 1152 1153** LLaVa-Agent. This agent is based on the open-source large multimodal model (LMM) LLaVa by [Liu et al.](#page-12-11) [\(2024a\)](#page-12-11), specifically version 1.6 [\(Liu et al., 2024b\)](#page-12-12). This agent is not intended as a new proposal for LMM for embodied AI, but just as an example of how LMMs can be employed within Craftium environments to solve general tasks by leveraging their world knowledge. For this purpose, LLaVa has been directly employed with no fine-tuning for the open-world environment. Specifically, at each timestep, LLaVa is provided with the current observation  $(512 \times 512)$  pixel RGB image) and a short prompt describing the current task. The prompt also includes a list of all available actions, where LLaVa is asked to choose one. Then, the action that the agent is going to take is selected by parsing the result of the model. A random action is chosen if a parsing error occurs, although we observed that this barely happens. The employed prompt is:

**1154 1155**



**1165 1166**

**1167 1168 1169 1170** Note the  $\langle$ objective> placeholder, this is replaced with the text corresponding to the current objective: "is to chop a tree", "is to collect stone", "is to collect iron", or "is to find diamond blocks". This text is automatically placed every time the agent unlocks a stage of the *Tools* branch of the skills tree.

**1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177** Details of Figure [11.](#page-7-1) The figure aggregate results from 10 different random seeds for the PPO+LSTM method, and from 10 runs LLaVa-Agent, where each of the latter runs was constrained by a 1-hour limit ( $\approx$  7000 prompting iterations per run). Consequently, the X-axis has been set to the training time percentage to accommodate both cases and for the sake of proper visualization. Finally, the Y-axis shows the best and average maximum episodic return value obtained for each method in each (normalized) training step. This choice is motivated to properly visualize when a method unlocks one of the milestones from the skills tree.

<span id="page-21-0"></span>**1178**

#### **1179** F.4 PROCEDURAL ENVIRONMENT GENERATION

**1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185** The procedural environment generation example employs a random dungeon generator implemented for this work. Although the generator can randomly create a vast number of different environments, their ultimate goal is the same. In these environments, the agent is randomly placed (equipped with a sword) in a room and has to navigate a labyrinthic dungeon full of hostile enemies (monsters) to reach the diamond. This process is divided into two steps:  $(1)$  randomly generate the dungeon's map, represented in ASCII (defined in Appendix [F.4.1\)](#page-22-1), and 2 build the 3D environment from the map.

<span id="page-21-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>The original implementation can be found at: [https://github.com/vwxyzjn/cleanrl/blob/](https://github.com/vwxyzjn/cleanrl/blob/38c313f8326b5049fe941a873e798485bccf18e5/cleanrl/ppo_atari_lstm.py) [38c313f8326b5049fe941a873e798485bccf18e5/cleanrl/ppo\\_atari\\_lstm.py](https://github.com/vwxyzjn/cleanrl/blob/38c313f8326b5049fe941a873e798485bccf18e5/cleanrl/ppo_atari_lstm.py).

**1188 1189 1190 1191 1192 1193 1194 1195 1196 1197 1198 1199 1200 1201 1202 1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229 1230 1231 1232 1233 1234** 1 This first step is accomplished by the RandomMapGen Python class, which implements the dungeon generation algorithm. Given some input parameters, RandomMapGen returns an ASCII representation of the generated map. Internally, RandomMapGen first creates the rooms, places the enemies, and locates the objective and the agent's initial position (the agent and the objective are never located in the same room). Then, an iterative algorithm based on repelling forces is used to place the rooms such that none of them intersects with any other. Secondly, it computes the minimum number of corridors needed to create a map where all rooms are reachable. Finally, it rasterizes the map into its ASCII representation using Bresenham's line algorithm.<sup>[21](#page-22-2)</sup> The complete list of parameters that RandomMapGen accepts is the following: • Number of rooms of the dungeon. • Minimum and maximum sizes of the rooms. The final size is randomly selected between this range. • A dispersion parameter in the  $[0, 1]$  range that controls the distance between the rooms. • Minimum and maximum number of monsters per room. If the minimum is set equal to the maximum, the number of monsters per room is fixed. • The probability of each monster type of being located in one room. RandomMapGen considers up to 4 types of different monsters. Monster types are denoted as: a, b, c, or d. The specific monster that will be considered for each type is defined by the user in step  $(2)$ . • A boolean flag indicating whether monsters can appear in the room selected for the agent's initial position. 2 Once the ASCII map is created, a mod is used to generate the final 3D dungeon inside Minetest. This mod iterates over the characters that compose the map and places the blocks and enemies (referred to as *mobs* in Minetest and gaming terminology, not to be confused with mods) accordingly. The configuration parameters of the mod are the following: • The ASCII map generated in step  $\mathbb{O}$  (or via another process). • Names of the monsters for types a, b, c, or d. Available monsters are described in the documentation of the mobs monsters project.<sup>[22](#page-22-3)</sup> • The material used for the construction of the dungeons.<sup>[23](#page-22-4)</sup> • The name of the object to use as the objective (a diamond by default).<sup>[24](#page-22-5)</sup> • The reward of reaching the objective (100 by default). • The reward of defeating a single monster (1 by default). F.4.1 THE ASCII MAP FORMAT The ASCII map format has been intentionally designed to be human-readable and to facilitate the implementation of custom procedures to create them (or even specified by hand). The format consists of 9 possible characters, listed and described in Table [6.](#page-23-0) As can be seen in Figure [17a,](#page-23-1) maps are divided into layers, divided by the "-" (dash) character. The first layer is commonly employed to define the floor of the dungeons, while the second defines the walls and the positions of all characters and the objective, the rest of the layers are used for determining the height of the walls. F.5 ENVIRONMENT SEQUENCE FOR CONTINUAL RL In Section [3.5.4,](#page-7-0) the procedural environment generation is applied to CRL by defining a sequence

<span id="page-22-1"></span><span id="page-22-0"></span>**1235 1236** of related and increasingly difficult scenarios. Similarly to the examples from Section [3.5.1,](#page-5-2) the baseline and FT-L2 methods are based on the PPO implementations from CleanRL, specifically the

**<sup>1237</sup> 1238** <sup>21</sup>See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bresenham%27s\\_line\\_algorithm](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bresenham%27s_line_algorithm).

<span id="page-22-4"></span><span id="page-22-3"></span><span id="page-22-2"></span><sup>22</sup>Accesible at: [https://codeberg.org/tenplus1/mobs\\_monster](https://codeberg.org/tenplus1/mobs_monster).

**<sup>1239</sup> 1240** <sup>23</sup>List of some available materials: [https://wiki.minetest.net/Games/Minetest\\_Game/](https://wiki.minetest.net/Games/Minetest_Game/Nodes) [Nodes](https://wiki.minetest.net/Games/Minetest_Game/Nodes).

<span id="page-22-5"></span>**<sup>1241</sup>** <sup>24</sup>List of some available items: [https://wiki.minetest.net/Games/Minetest\\_Game/](https://wiki.minetest.net/Games/Minetest_Game/Items) [Items](https://wiki.minetest.net/Games/Minetest_Game/Items).

<span id="page-23-1"></span><span id="page-23-0"></span>

**1294 1295** Regarding the observation and action spaces, they have been kept constant across the sequence. The observation space is set to  $64\times64$  pixel greyscale images, with 4 frames for frame stacking, and the same quantity for frame skipping [\(Huang et al., 2022a\)](#page-11-12). The action space consists of a set of 10

<span id="page-24-0"></span>

 Figure 18: Overview of all the maps generated for the CRL environment sequence in Section [3.5.4.](#page-7-0) Note that these are 2D representations of the environments and that the actual environments are 3D (as can be seen in Figure [17b\)](#page-23-1). The robot indicates the initial position of the agent, while the yellow characters indicate sand monsters, and the black characters denote spiders. Maps have been enumerated with their corresponding position in the CRL sequence.

 discrete actions: nop, move forward, left, and right, jump, attack, move the mouse right, left, and down. Finally, episodes terminate if the health of the agent is exhausted or 5K timesteps are reached.

 

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

#### <span id="page-25-0"></span> G DETAILS ON THE ENVIRONMENT CREATION CAPABILITIES OF CRAFTIUM AND MINECRAFT-BASED FRAMEWORKS

 Craftium not only significantly outperforms the computational efficiency of Minecraft-based frameworks (as demonstrated in Section [3.4\)](#page-5-1), but also provides an extremely flexible interface for creating new environments via the Minetest Lua API. The flexibility and versatility of this API is demonstrated by the rich and complex environments that can be created with it, see Figure [11,](#page-7-1) thanks to the wide range of mods created by the community (see [Ward](#page-14-2) [\(2023a\)](#page-14-2) for examples). This section focuses on showcasing some code examples that directly compare the flexibility of Craftium's Minetest Lua API with the MineDojo API to create new environments. Note that we only compare Craftium to MineDojo as it is, currently, the only Minecraft-based framework that allows the creating of custom environments.

 One major limitation of the MineDojo's API is that although it allows for spawning different Minecraft entities (mobs and items) in a given location, the behavior, aspect, and other properties of the entities are those of Minecraft (the default ones), and cannot be changed. Figure [19](#page-25-1) shows how MineDojo allows spawning entities. On the other hand, Craftium leverages the Minetest Lua API, which allows access to the internal state of the game engine, allowing to change any aspect of it in real time. This is illustrated with an example code in Figure [21](#page-25-2) and Figure [22](#page-26-0) that show how many properties and behaviors of entities can be modified in Craftium.

 Another crucial difference between Craftium's and MineDojo's APIs is the map generation capabilities. MineDojo limits map generation to some predefined scenarios (only 5) and biomes. Figure [20](#page-25-3) shows the map customization capabilities of MineDojo. On the other hand, Craftium, via the Minetest Lua API, allows the user to define any type of custom biome, and combine them in any way.<sup>[25](#page-25-4)</sup> In Figure [23](#page-26-1) we showcase a simple example of defining a custom desert biome in Craftium using the Minetest Lua API. Note that Craftium users can employ any of the vast number of biomes already implemented by the community (some of them illustrated in Figure [11\)](#page-7-1).<sup>[26](#page-25-5)</sup>

<span id="page-25-1"></span>

 

<span id="page-25-2"></span>

 env**.**spawn\_mobs**(**"spider"**, [5, 0, 5])**

 Figure 19: MineDojo. Although MineDojo allows for spawning entities in some position, lacks the capability for modifying the behavior of entities in any way.

```
1 env = minedojo.make("open-ended", specified_biome="desert")
```
 Figure 20: **MineDojo.** MineDojo only allows defining worlds from a set of predefined biomes and scenarios.

```
1389
1390
1391
1392
     1 local mob_def = minetest.registered_entities["mobs_monster:zombie"]
     2 mob_def.on_punch = function(self, hitter)
     3 hitter:set_hp(hitter:get_hp() + 5)
     4 end
```
 Figure 21: **Craftium.** Example code demonstrating how the behavior of entities can be modified in Craftium. In this case, the definition of zombies is changed to increase the health of the agent by 5 when successfully attacking a zombie.

 

 <sup>25</sup>More information and tutorials at [https://rubenwardy.com/minetest\\_modding\\_book/en/](https://rubenwardy.com/minetest_modding_book/en/advmap/biomesdeco.html) [advmap/biomesdeco.html](https://rubenwardy.com/minetest_modding_book/en/advmap/biomesdeco.html).

<span id="page-25-5"></span><span id="page-25-4"></span>Examples at <https://content.luanti.org/packages/?tag=mapgen>.

```
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
24 })
1427
25
1428
26 local monster = mobs:add_mob(pos, {
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
2 minetest.register_biome({
1444
3 name = "custom_desert",
1445
1446
1447
1448
8
1449
9 -- Generate a random landscape with different biomes
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
     1 mobs:register_mob("craftium:my_spider", {
     2 docile_by_day = false,
     3 group_attack = true,
     4 type = "monster",
     5 passive = false,
     6 attack_type = "dogfight",
          7 reach = 2,
           8 damage = 3,
    9 hp_min = 25,
    10 hp_max = 25,
    11 armor = 200,
          12 walk_velocity = 3,
         13 run_velocity = 6,
          14 jump = false,
         15 on_die = function(self, pos)
              -- Set reward to 1.0 for a single timestep then reset to 0.0
    17 set_reward_once(1.0, 0.0)
              -- Spawn more spiders
             19 num_spiders = num_spiders + 1
              20 for i=1,num_spiders do
               21 spawn_monster({ x = 3.7 - i, y = 4.5, z = 0.0 })
    22 end
    23 end
           27 name = "craftium:my_spider",
    28 ignore_count = true,
    29 })
      Figure 22: Craftium. Example of a completely custom spider type. Note that we only show a few
      options of those available: group attack capabilities, health, reach, attach type, armor, velocity, etc.
      Moreover a custom behavior is defined to set the reward and spawn more spiders when the spider
      dies.
     1 -- Register a custom biome (e.g., desert)
     4 node_top = "default:sand",
     5 depth_top = 1,
     6 node_filler = "default:stone",
    7 })
    10 minetest.register_on_generated(function(minp, maxp, blockseed)
    11 if math.random() > 0.5 then
    12 minetest.set_biome_area(minp, maxp, "custom_desert")
           end
    14 end)
       Figure 23: Craftium. Example showing how custom biomes can be created and used in Craftium.
```