CRAFTIUM: A FRAMEWORK FOR CREATING SIN-GLE AND MULTI-AGENT ENVIRONMENTS FOR OPEN-ENDED AND EMBODIED AI

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Advancements in open-ended and embodied AI require highly adaptable and computationally efficient environments. Yet, existing platforms often lack the flexibility, efficiency, or richness necessary to drive progress in these areas. Research in fields related to open-endedness, such as unsupervised environment design and continual reinforcement learning, usually defaults to simplistic 2D environments, as alternatives are either too rigid or computationally expensive. Conversely, in embodied AI, the field relies on fully featured video games like Minecraft, which are rich in content but computationally inefficient, single-agent only, and hinder the creation of new tasks. This paper introduces Craftium, a framework based on the open-source Minetest game engine, providing a highly customizable, easy-touse, and efficient platform for building rich single and multi-agent Minecraft-like 3D environments. We showcase environments of different complexity and nature: from single and multi-agent reinforcement learning tasks to vast worlds with many creatures and biomes and customizable procedural task generators. Conducted benchmarks show that Craftium substantially improves the computational cost of Minecraft-based frameworks, achieving +2K steps per second more.



Figure 1: Examples of the diverse single and multi-agent environments that can be created in Craftium. From simple reach-the-goal tasks to battling hostile creatures, surviving in procedurally generated dungeons, and exploring vast open worlds filled with animals, monsters, and varied biomes.

1 INTRODUCTION

043 Progress in open-ended (Hughes et al., 2024) and embodied AI (Paolo et al., 2024), as well as in 044 Reinforcement Learning (RL) (Sutton & Barto, 2018) is inherently tied to the environments where agents are trained, evaluated, and analyzed. Each new insight or advancement in the field is supported by an environment that enables its emergence and study. A well-known example is the Atari 046 Learning Environments (ALE) (Bellemare et al., 2013), which undoubtedly contributed to the ad-047 vancement of the RL field marking many of its most important milestones. To name a few: the 048 introduction of the Deep Q-Networks (Mnih et al., 2013), the "infamously difficult Montezuma's Revenge" (Bellemare et al., 2016) that inspired many exploration strategies (Ostrovski et al., 2017; Burda et al., 2019; Badia et al., 2020b), and the first time an agent outperformed humans in all Atari 051 benchmarks (Badia et al., 2020a). 052

However, the research in these areas is bound to challenges introduced by the employed environments, as largely observed throughout the literature. The researcher often faces a dilemma between

031

033 034

037

040 041

042

006

008 009 010

011

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

054 computationally efficient but simplistic, or substantially slower but rich environments. For instance, 055 Continual Reinforcement Learning (CRL) (Abel et al., 2023), Unsupervised Environment Design (UED) (Garcin et al., 2024), and Multi-Agent RL (MARL) (Ying et al., 2023), are greatly affected 057 by the efficiency of the employed environments as require learning from many tasks or agents. Thus, 058 in these works, experiments are often limited to simple environments as a consequence of the computational cost of employing more complex alternatives (Rigter et al., 2024; Malagon et al., 2024; Beukman et al., 2024; Rutherford et al., 2024). For example, Craftax relies on 2D grids (Matthews 060 et al., 2024), while OMNI-EPIC (Faldor et al., 2024) employs 3D environments of substantially lim-061 ited diversity compared to alternatives like MineDojo (Fan et al., 2022) or Habitat 3.0 (Puig et al., 062 2024). 063

064 Conversely, works on rich and complex environments (Grbic et al., 2021; Earle et al., 2024; Prasanna et al., 2024; Raad et al., 2024) rely on fully featured video games that have a high computational 065 cost and are close-source. The best-known of such platforms is Minecraft, which has inspired sev-066 eral single-agent environments and benchmarks over the years (Johnson et al., 2016; Guss et al., 067 2019; Fan et al., 2022). However, Minecraft is a fully featured and complex 3D game, which makes 068 it substantially more inefficient than simpler alternatives (Wydmuch et al., 2019; Matthews et al., 069 2024). Furthermore, its closed source greatly limits its flexibility, hindering its application to problems beyond classic RL, like UED, CRL, and MARL scenarios. 071

Another important issue that specially affects research in these areas is the lack of flexibility of 072 the environments. Commonly used environments offer no customization or limited possibilities 073 often restricted to a set of predefined parameters, such as difficulty level or the number of ene-074 mies. Among others, these environments include: ALE (Machado et al., 2018), MineRL (Guss 075 et al., 2019), ProcGen (Cobbe et al., 2020), MineDojo (Fan et al., 2022), Crafter (Hafner, 2022), 076 and Craftax (Matthews et al., 2024). The lack of flexibility hinders the ability to analyze specific 077 behavior of agents, obstructing algorithmic comparison beyond pure performance benchmarking, which has been shown insufficient for RL (Jordan et al., 2024). Although flexible platforms that 079 allow the creation of new and diverse environments exist, these fall into 2D worlds (Bamford et al., 2020; Chevalier-Boisvert et al., 2023; Matthews et al., 2024) or depend on complex Domain Spe-081 cific Languages (DSL) that difficult their implementation, while still not being 3D, as it is the case of VizDoom (Wydmuch et al., 2019) and MiniHack (Samvelyan et al., 2021). 082

083 In this paper, we present Craftium, a platform for easily creating rich 3D environments for single 084 and multi-agent embodied and open-ended AI research, thought to be highly customizable and effi-085 cient. Unlike most complex environment platforms, that are based on video games (e.g., VizDoom is based on ZDoom and MiniHack in NetHack), Craftium is based on a game engine: Minetest 087 (Minetest Team, 2024b). This allows easy creation of rich single and multi-agent voxel environ-880 ments¹ using a powerful and greatly documented Lua API (Minetest Team, 2024a), instead of much less popular DSLs. Lua (Ierusalimschy, 2006) is a Python-like, easy-to-use and understand, mature, 089 and efficient programming language used in many popular tools and projects (e.g., Roblox, World of 090 Warcraft, and Neovim). In Craftium, Lua is used to expose a complete game engine (i.e., Minetest) 091 to develop environments. Moreover, Minetest is open-source and has a vibrant community that has 092 created many games and assets that can be used in Craftium environments (Ward, 2023a), significantly reducing the development cost of complex scenarios. For instance, all the environments 094 shown in Figure 1 have been implemented in less than 160 lines of code, comments and whites-095 pace included. These environments, later described in Section 14, showcase the versatility of the 096 presented framework, from RL and MARL tasks of various levels of complexity and different na-097 ture, customizable procedural environment generators for UED, CRL, and meta-RL (Yu et al., 2020; 098 Rimon et al., 2024) to gigantic procedurally generated open worlds (64K×64K×64K blocks) for research on open-ended (Hughes et al., 2024) and embodied AI (Paolo et al., 2024). Beyond being 099 flexible, feature-rich, and developer-friendly, we show that Craftium environments run $38 \times$ faster 100 than alternatives based on the original Minecraft game, the only platforms that offer a similar level 101 of complexity and richness. Furthermore, Craftium implements the popular Gymnasium (Towers 102 et al., 2024) and PettingZoo (Terry et al., 2021) interfaces the modern standard for RL and MARL 103 research² respectively, making it compatible with many other libraries and projects (Raffin et al., 104 2021; Huang et al., 2022b; Serrano-Muñoz et al., 2023). Finally, Craftium is fully open source and 105

¹Voxel games use 3D blocks (voxels) to construct and represent the game world, allowing players to modify the environment by adding or removing blocks. Figure 1 shows examples of these types of games. 107

¹⁰⁶

²Although can be used for learning paradigms beyond RL (e.g., evolutionary algorithms).

108 N× Craftium 109 Agent 110 Minetest 🗞 Python library observation 111 **Environment interface** mod mod.conf 112 world 🔇 Wrappers API name = craftium_mon description = Craft depends = default 113 **Observation** env.reset()
env.step()
env.close() reward 114 init.lua Action Server 115 116 N× action Additional wrappers 117 DiscreteActionWrapp BinaryActionWrapper Client TCP 118 119

Figure 2: Overview of Craftium's internal architecture. Components denoted with $\times N$ are repeated according to the number of agents (one or more).

includes extensive online documentation with many guides, usage examples, tutorials, a detailed reference, and ready-to-use scripts.³

120

121

122 123

124

2 BACKGROUND: MINETEST AND MINECRAFT

Minecraft is a very popular (Gerken, 2023) sandbox game⁴ where players explore a voxel-based, procedurally generated 3D world, gather resources, craft tools, and build structures. Although Minecraft supports partially extending the original game (user extensions are referred to as mods), this is limited by its close source nature and restrictions to access its underlying logic. Additionally, the game and its mods are implemented in Java, a programming language not tailored for high-performance applications and usually not commonly accessible in HPC clusters.

135 In contrast, Minetest is a voxel-based game engine inspired by Minecraft, serving as a platform for 136 creating games rather than being a game itself. Unlike Minecraft, Minetest supports modding at 137 its core, allowing fine-grained real-time access and modification of the internal state of the game 138 engine. This enables extensive customization of its behavior, facilitating the creation, modification, 139 and extension of existing games using its powerful Lua API (Minetest Team, 2024a; Ward, 2023b). 140 In turn, Minetest is implemented in C++, a widely adopted programming language and known for its high efficiency. Moreover, Minetest is open source and is supported by an active community that 141 has created hundreds of open free-to-use games and mods (Ward, 2023a), that are seamlessly loaded 142 in Craftium (as employed in all environments from Section 3.5). 143

144 145

3 CRAFTIUM

146

147 Craftium follows the architecture illustrated in Figure 2. It consists of 148 two main components: the Minetest game engine and the Python envi-149 ronment interface. This interface is the bridge between the environment 150 and agents. Internally, it handles a communication channel per agent, which connects to Minetest, sending and receiving data such as observa-151 tions, actions, or rewards. On the other hand, the Minetest server exe-152 cutes the logic of the environment, specified by a file characterizing the 153 3D world and a script (i.e., mod) that defines its behavior. The Minetest 154 server also synchronizes the Minetest clients (one per agent), which han-155 dle rendering and communication tasks with the Python library. Finally, 156 note that the original Minetest game engine does not support these fea-157 tures, but its open-source nature allowed modifying its code to support 158 this architecture (see Appendix A for details).



Figure 3: Example of a 64x64 pixel RGB image observation.

159 160

³A link to the online documentation will be provided upon acceptance.

⁴Sandbox games allow players extensive creative freedom to explore, build, and manipulate the game environment with few constraints or predetermined goals.

```
1 name = craftium_mod
163
      2 description = My env.
164
      3 depends = default
165
166
167
168
       Figure 4: Example configura-
       tion file of a mod implement-
169
170
       ing a Craftium environment.
       This example depends on the
171
       default mod that provides
172
       several basic functionalities.
173
```

```
1 minetest.register_on_dignode(function(ps, block)
    if string.find(block["name"], "tree") then
2
       set_reward_once(1.0, 0.0)
3
4
    end
 end)
5
6
 minetest.register_on_dieplayer(function(obj, rn)
7
8
      set_termination()
9
 end)
```

Figure 5: Lua script (i.e., mod) implementing basic environment mechanics.

In the following, Sections 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 describe Craftium environments, the creation process, and the interface to use them. Respectively, Section 3.4 compares the performance of Craftium with other frameworks. Finally, Section 3.5 showcases the presented framework as a general-purpose environment creation tool across a variety of use cases and fields concerning autonomous agents.

179 180 181

174 175

176

177

178

162

3.1 Observations, actions, and rewards

Observations. In Craftium, observations are images from the agent's point of view. An example
 observation is provided in Figure 3. Observations are highly customizable (e.g., size, number of
 channels, etc.) and can vary between environments. Moreover, Craftium supports many popular
 techniques such as, frame skipping and frame stacking that are commonly used throughout the
 literature (Huang et al., 2022a).

187

188 Actions. By default, actions are composed of a combination of 21 keyboard actions and a tuple 189 that defines the movement of the mouse, which is mainly used to control the camera. Keyboardrelated actions are binary variables with a value of 1 if the key is pressed, and 0 otherwise. The 190 movement of the mouse is defined with the tuple $(\Delta_x, \Delta_y) \in [-1, 1]^2$, where $\Delta_x < 0$ moves the 191 mouse to the left in the horizontal axis and $\Delta_x > 0$ to the right, similarly, $\Delta_y < 0$ moves the mouse 192 downwards in the vertical axis and $\Delta_y > 0$ moves it upwards. Thus, if $\Delta_x = \Delta_y = 0$, the mouse 193 is not moved. See Appendix B.1 for a detailed description of all the possible actions supported in 194 Craftium. 195

The default action space is designed to be versatile, covering as many use cases as possible: from tasks with complex action sequences (e.g., manual inventory control) to simple navigation environments with a couple of actions (e.g., forward and lateral movement). However, the default action space is overly complex for most tasks: the number of possible keyboard action combinations in the default space is 2²¹. Therefore, Craftium allows reducing the action space to the minimal subset required to solve the task at hand substantially simplifying the learning process of the agent (see Appendix B.2).

202

203 **Rewards.** In Craftium, reward functions are defined using Lua scripts (mods are discussed in the 204 next section). The framework provides a comprehensive set of tools for this purpose, including an 205 extended version of the Minetest Lua API. This functionality is implemented in a modified version 206 of the game engine developed specifically for this work, which incorporates additional functions for 207 setting and retrieving reward values and episode termination flags. An example of these functions 208 is presented in Section 3.2. A complete list of modifications to the original Minetest game engine 209 can be found in Appendix A, while additional functions for defining RL environments are detailed in Appendix C. 210

211

212 3.2 CREATING CUSTOM ENVIRONMENTS

213

Creating a Craftium environment implies two steps: ① generating a *world*: a database with all the information about the virtual environment where the agent will be placed and will interact with (Figure 1 shows images of a variety of worlds); and ② defining the behavior of the environment, such as the reward function and conditions for episode termination. The following lines describe these steps in detail.

Minetest offers practically unlimited possibilities for generating worlds. However, creating a world can be as simple as a few clicks when using one of the many predefined map generators.⁵ If finer control over the map generation process is needed, maps can be created using custom scripts. The procedural environment generator presented in Section 3.5.4 is an example of a complex custom map generation process.

224 (2) The next step is to define the behavior of the environment. This is done via mods: user-defined
225 scripts that modify and extend the game engine's behavior, allowing for the creation of custom
226 environments, mechanics, and interactions within the 3D world. A mod has a minimum of two files:
a configuration file, and a Lua script.

The configuration file contains the mod's metadata. It commonly includes the mod's name, a de-228 scription, and the list of dependencies (see Figure 4). The Lua script is where the environment's 229 mechanics are implemented. Figure 5 illustrates an example script that defines the task of chop-230 ping as many trees as possible (presented in Section 3.5.1). Line 1 registers a *callback* function 231 that is called every time the player (i.e., agent) digs a block. In line 2, this function checks if the 232 dug block is part of a tree; if the condition is met, line 3 sets the reward to 1 for that timestep 233 (set_reward_once and other RL related functions are described in Appendix C). Line 7 regis-234 ters another callback function. In this case, the function is run every time the player dies and calls 235 another function that terminates the episode, in line 8. 236

Even basic mods, such as the presented example, can be used to generate a wide range of environments. Furthermore, advanced community-made extensions and games can be easily integrated into Craftium, significantly expanding its potential. Section 3.5 highlights some of these possibilities. For detailed instructions on creating Craftium environments please refer to the online documentation (see Section 1). Finally, note that the creation of Minetest mods is outside the scope of this paper, as comprehensive resources are already available (Minetest Team, 2024a; Ward, 2023b).

243 244

3.3 INTERFACE

245 Once created, Craftium environments are 246 used via the Gymnasium (Towers et al., 247 2024) (single-agent) or PettingZoo (Terry 248 et al., 2021) (multi-agent) interfaces. Both interfaces are open-source and have become 249 the standard interface for RL and MARL en-250 vironments, providing a unified abstraction 251 over environments that enables interoperabil-252 ity between environments and methods. Just 253 by implementing these interfaces, Craftium 254 is already compatible with many existing 255 tools and projects to train, test, develop, and 256 analyze many algorithms, including but not 257 limited to stable-baselines3 (Raffin 258 et al., 2021), Ray RLlib (Moritz et al., 2018), 259 CleanRL (Huang et al., 2022b), and skrl 260 (Serrano-Muñoz et al., 2023).

Figure 6 illustrates an example using the Gymnasium (single-agent) interface. Pet-

```
1 import gymnasium as gym
2 import craftium
3
4 env = gym.make("Craftium/Room-v0")
6 obs, inf = env.reset()
7 for t in range (5000):
8
    a = agent (obs)
    obs, r, tm, tc, inf = env.step(a)
9
10
    if tm or tc:
11
12
      obs, inf = env.reset()
13
14 env.close()
```

Figure 6: Python code illustrating the typical interaction loop between an agent and a Craftium environment using the Gymnasium interface.

tingZoo employs a very similar interface described in Appendix D. Line 4 loads an example
Craftium environment by name (see Section 3.5). Line 6 initiates an episode, obtaining the first
observation and a Python dictionary with additional information (e.g., elapsed time). Lines 7-12
implement the agent-environment interaction loop. In line 8, the agent selects an action based on
the current observation. The line 9 executes the action specified by the agent, resulting in an observation, a reward, a truncation flag, a termination flag, and a new information dictionary, respectively.

²⁶⁹

⁵Map generators are documented at: https://wiki.minetest.net/Map_generator.

The truncation flag indicates if the maximum number of timesteps allowed by the environment is reached, while the termination flag determines if the episode has reached a terminal state (e.g., the player dies). Both flags are checked in line 11, and if one or both of them are true, the episode is restarted in line 12. Finally, the last line closes the environment after the main loop ends.

275 3.4 PERFORMANCE 276

As stated in the introduction, computationally efficient en-277 278 vironments are key for research on autonomous agents in general. Therefore, this matter has been a focal point of 279 Craftium's development. Figure 7 compares the steps (i.e. in-280 teractions) per second obtained by Craftium to VizDoom and 281 MineDojo, well-known environment creation platforms from 282 the literature. Results show the average of 5 runs in 3 differ-283 ent environments per framework, in a machine with a single 284 NVIDIA A5000 GPU and an Intel Xeon Silver 4309Y CPU. 285 Craftium achieves very competitive results compared to Viz-286 Doom, even though VizDoom is based on ZDoom, which is 287 not 3D per se.⁶ Comparing Craftium's performance to Mine-288 Dojo's, we observe that the presented framework achieves +2670 steps per second more. One of the main reasons be-289 hind this significant difference is that MineDojo relies on 290 Minecraft, which is implemented in Java, while, Craftium is 291 based on Minetest, implemented in C++ with low-resource 292 machines in mind. Moreover, Minetest is open source, al-293



Figure 7: Average steps per second obtained with MineDojo, VizDoom, and Craftium (the greater the better).

lowing us to modify it to efficiently integrate it into our framework (see Appendix A). Contrarily,
 MineDojo, which internally uses MineRL (Guss et al., 2019), adds more layers of complexity to
 convert the original Minecraft game into an RL environment. Refer to Appendix E for more details
 on this analysis.

297 298

274

298 3.5 ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES

300 Much like game engines are tools for creating new games, 301 Craftium is a general-purpose platform for developing environments. Therefore, this section highlights the potential of 302 Craftium across various use cases: from single and multi-303 agent RL tasks (Sections 3.5.1 and 3.5.2 respectively) to 304 open-world environments for large multimodal model-based 305 agents (Section 3.5.3), and environment generators for CRL 306 (Section 3.5.4). These examples are purely illustrative and 307 are not presented as benchmarks. The aim is to demonstrate 308 the framework's capabilities and provide accessible, well-309 documented foundations for building custom environments 310 tailored to specific research needs.

311 312

313

323

3.5.1 EXAMPLE 1: CLASSIC SINGLE-AGENT RL

This section provides examples of using Craftium to create 314 single-agent environments for RL. We implement five tasks 315 of diverse nature: simple environments for testing RL algo-316 rithms, sparse reward and exploration scenarios, and a chal-317 lenging survival task. For simplicity, all tasks share the same 318 64×64 pixel RGB image observation space. Moreover, the 319 default action space described in Section 3.1 is simplified to 320 only use the necessary actions to solve each task, see Ap-321 pendix B.2. Refer to Appendix F.1 for figures and extended 322 descriptions of the environments.

ChopTree 10 Episodic Return 0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 1.0 ×10 Step SpidersAttack 0.5 Episodic Return 50 010 Episodic Return 50 010 Episodic Return 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.6 1.0 ×10⁷ Step

Figure 8: Episodic return curves of PPO in the *ChopTree* and *SpidersAttack* tasks. Results aggregate 5 different runs per task: average is denoted with lines and the standard error with the contour.

⁶See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doom_(1993_video_game).



334 Figure 10: The leftmost picture shows an overview of the map for the open-world environment example. Each pixel in the map's picture corresponds to a single block (voxel). The map shows an 335 area of $1.6K \times 1.6K$ blocks from the vast $64K \times 64K \times 64K$ blocks area that agents can explore. The 336 color of each pixel is used to denote different biomes, some of which are visualized in the rightmost figures. The rightmost images provide a closer visualization of the included forests, cliffs, deserts, 338 villages, underwater wreckage, monsters, etc. 339

341 To complement this example, Figure 8 demonstrates how environments of varying levels of difficulty 342 can be designed within Craftium. The figure shows the results obtained by the Proximal Policy 343 Optimization (PPO) algorithm (Schulman et al., 2017) in two of the presented tasks. In the ChopTree 344 task, the high episodic return values indicate that PPO successfully solves the task, chopping more 345 than 6 trees on average (a reward of +1 is given for every chopped tree). In the SpidersAttack 346 scenario the agent has to survive hostile spiders. In this case, a reward value of 1 is given for every 347 defeated spider, and 0 otherwise. As can be seen in the figure, although the episodic return value 348 increases over time, the final average value is below 0.5. This indicates that the trained agent does 349 not survive a single spider in half of the episodes, showing that it is a considerably more challenging task compared to the previous one. See Appendix F.1 for further details and experimental results in 350 the rest of the tasks. 351

352 353

354

337

340

3.5.2 EXAMPLE 2: MULTI-AGENT REINFORCEMENT LEARNING

The previous section focuses on single-agent scenarios for 355 RL, now, we focus on MARL, showcasing Craftium's multi-356 agent features. For this purpose, we develop a one vs one 357 multi-agent combat environment in Craftium. Likewise the 358 single-agent tasks from the previous section, the environ-359 ment employs a 64×64 RGB image observation space and 360 a simplified discrete action space. In this case, agents are re-361 warded (+1) when punching other agents and penalized on 362 damage (-0.1).

363 To illustrate a use case, we train the agents using self-play 364 (Crandall & Goodrich, 2005), a popular method for this type 365 of competitive scenarios (Silver et al., 2017; 2018; Jiang 366 et al., 2024). Results are presented in Figure 9, where the 367 policy has been trained to play against itself using PPO. The 368 increasing episodic return curve in the figure shows how the 369 policy learns to fulfill the task. Refer to Appendix F.2 for 370 figures and more details on the environment and the learning method. 371

372

373 3.5.3 EXAMPLE 3: OPEN-WORLD ENVIRONMENTS 374

2.0 Return 1.5Episodic 1.0 0.5 0.0 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 02 ×10⁶ Step Total Agent 1 Agent 2

Figure 9: Average and standard error episodic return curves across 5 runs in the multi-agent combat environment. The blue line indicates the total episodic return of both agents, while the gray lines show the values separately.

375 This section introduces an open-world environment as an example of a complex scenario for embodied AI similar to MineDojo. The environment employs the open-source VoxeLibre game for 376 Minetest, which is greatly inspired by Minecraft, sharing many similarities (Fleckenstein et al., 377 2024). VoxeLibre provides a rich and vast environment with many complex interactions, differ-



Figure 11: The leftmost diagram depicts the skills tree of the open-world environment example described in Section 3.5.3. The rightmost plot shows the results of PPO+LSTM and LLava-Agent (zero-shot) obtained in terms of average and best maximum episodic return values obtained in 10 repetitions per method. Refer to Appendix F.3 for further details.



Figure 12: Episodic return curves of a baseline trained from scratch and FT-L2 over a series of 10 different environments created using the procedural generator from Section 3.5.4. Environments are illustrated above their corresponding curves (simplified 2D top-views), with the robot indicating the initial position of the agent, the diamond (the objective), and different enemies. See Appendix F.5 for details and larger visualizations of the environments.

405 406 407

401

402

403

404

389

390

391

ent biomes, animals, plants, or hostile creatures. This section also serves as an example of how community-made Minetest games can be integrated into Craftium.

410 The leftmost picture of Figure 10 shows an overview of the specific world map generated for this 411 environment, where colors indicate different biomes: dark green for forest biomes, light brown for 412 sand desert, white for artic biomes, etc. The rightmost images showcase the complexity and richness of this environment. Figure 11 presents the skills tree developed for this environment, showing the 413 hierarchical sequence of skills that the agent can develop to reach more complex goals. Every time 414 the agent unlocks a skill of the tool branch (e.g., collect two wood blocks) it receives a reward and 415 new tools (e.g., wood pickaxe and sword), while the objective switches to the next skill (e.g. collect 416 two stone blocks). Regarding the hunt and defend branches, the agent receives a reward according 417 to the difficulty of hunting the animal or defeating the monster (refer to Appendix F.3 for details). 418

To complete this example, Figure 11 compares the achievements obtained by PPO (using LSTM-based memory) and an agent based on the open-source large multimodal model LLaVa (Liu et al., 2024a) version 1.6 (Liu et al., 2024b) (zero-shot: with no finetuning to this specific task). Results show that the LLaVa-Agent unlocks the collect wood and stone stages, while PPO only completes the first stage. Both methods successfully hunt animals and fight some monsters, indicated by the smaller increases in the best episodic return values in the figure. This example demonstrates Craftium's usage beyond RL, using it to analyze and evaluate the ability of large multimodal model-based agents to leverage world knowledge to approach complex open-world tasks.

426 427 428

3.5.4 EXAMPLE 4: PROCEDURAL ENVIRONMENT GENERATION FOR CRL

In CRL, agents face a sequence of environments, interacting with one at a time and limited by a timestep budget, where methods are expected to leverage prior knowledge to solve incoming tasks efficiently. Commonly employed settings rely on hand-crafted sequences, with a small number of environments, or use repetition for generating larger sequences, e.g., Wołczyk et al. (2021) and

Table 1: Popular environment frameworks compared by: number of playable dimensions, procedural generation capabilities, environment creation, whether environments can be programmatically
implemented (and not through predefined configuration options), Gymnasium support, multi-agent,
and open-world capabilities. We specify the language if a framework allows programmatic implementation of environments, and a red cross otherwise.

FRAMEWORK	DIMS.	PROC. GEN.	ENV. CREAT.	PROG. DEF.	Gymnasium	MARL	OP. WORLE
ALE (Bellemare et al., 2013)	2D	×	×	×	 ✓ 	~	×
DM LAB (Beattie et al., 2016)	3D	×	 Image: A set of the set of the	Lua	×	×	×
AI2-THOR (Kolve et al., 2017)	3D	 ✓ 	 ✓ 	×	×	 Image: A second s	×
VIZDOOM (Wydmuch et al., 2019)	2.5D	×	 Image: A set of the set of the	ZScript	 Image: A set of the set of the	v	×
MINERL (Guss et al., 2019)	3D	 Image: A set of the set of the	×	x	×	×	~
NLE (Küttler et al., 2020)	2D	 ✓ 	×	×	×	X	~
PROCGEN Cobbe et al. (2020) ⁷	2D	 Image: A set of the set of the	 Image: A set of the set of the	×	 Image: A set of the set of the	×	×
MINIHACK (Samvelyan et al., 2021)	2D	 Image: A set of the set of the	 Image: A set of the set of the	des-file format	×	×	×
MINEDOJO (Fan et al., 2022)	3D	 Image: A set of the set of the	 Image: A set of the set of the	×	×	×	~
HABITAT 3.0 (Puig et al., 2024)	3D	 Image: A set of the set of the	 Image: A set of the set of the	×	×	v	×
CRAFTAX (Puig et al., 2024)	2D	~	×	×	×	×	~
CRAFTIUM	3D	~	~	Lua	 ✓ 	~	~

Tomilin et al. (2023). Consequently, this section leverages Craftium's versatility to implement a procedural environment generator that automatically produces a sequence of increasingly difficult environments for CRL (see Figure 12). The generator, given some input parameters, randomly generates labyrinthic 3D dungeons populated with hostile enemies. In these environments, the agent has to survive and reach its objective: a diamond. Every time the agent reaches the objective, a reward value of 100 is provided, of 1 when defeating an enemy, and of 0 otherwise. Further information on the generator and the environments is provided in Appendix F.4.

To complement this example, Figure 12 shows the results of an agent trained from scratch in each 456 environment (referred to as the baseline) and an agent that finetunes the model learned in the pre-457 vious task, referred to as FT-L2 (fine-tuning with L2 regularization) (Gaya et al., 2023; Wołczyk 458 et al., 2024). As can be observed, FT-L2 greatly outperforms the baseline in some of the envi-459 ronments. This demonstrates how procedural processes can be implemented in Craftium to generate 460 environment sequences for CRL that show knowledge transferability. Note that this generator can be 461 extended beyond CRL to other scenarios such as meta-learning, open-endedness, and UED (Dennis 462 et al., 2020; Team et al., 2021; Bauer et al., 2023; Rigter et al., 2024) 463

464

466

....

448

4 RELATED WORK

Table 1 includes a comparative overview of popular environment frameworks of the RL, open-ended, and embodied AI literature. The following lines provide a more extensive discussion of this analysis.

469 As stated in the introduction, a wide range of environments have been proposed for developing 470 and evaluating autonomous agents. However, many of these environments are adaptations of video 471 games (Bellemare et al., 2013; Wydmuch et al., 2019; Guss et al., 2019; Küttler et al., 2020) not 472 originally designed for research. As a result, they offer limited customization, often restricted to 473 predefined parameters (e.g., number of enemies). Examples include ALE (Machado et al., 2018), 474 MineRL (Guss et al., 2019), and NLE (Küttler et al., 2020). The lack of flexibility hinders their use in various research scenarios, such as designing custom environments to study catastrophic forgetting 475 or analyzing specific behaviors of open-ended learning systems. 476

These limitations have long been recognized, and several frameworks have been proposed that allow the creation of completely new environments. For example, VizDoom (Wydmuch et al., 2019) allows defining environments using ZScript, and MiniHack (Samvelyan et al., 2021) employs the des-file format for the same purpose. Both, ZScript and the des-file format are *Domain Specific Langauges* (DSL) tailored to the games they originate from (ZDoom and NetHack respectively). However, DSLs are often purpose-specific and lack the flexibility and functionality of general-purpose programming languages. For instance, the des-file format is not a program-

⁷Although the original project is unmaintained, the table considers the community rewrite available at https://github.com/Farama-Foundation/Procgen2.

ming language *per se*, just a language to define NetHack levels. Additionally, DSLs often differ
 significantly from mainstream programming languages, which limits their usability and adoption.

Some frameworks offer customization through the programming languages they are implemented in, avoiding the limitations of DSLs. For example, Griddly (Bamford et al., 2020) and MiniGrid (Chevalier-Boisvert et al., 2023) offer Python APIs for creating 2D grid-like environment. While grid environments are fast to simulate, they lack the complexity and diversity of more advanced environments like MineRL and VizDoom. Although more complex tasks could be implemented in these frameworks, it would require significant development effort for researchers. Regarding 3D environments, MiniWorld (Chevalier-Boisvert et al., 2023) offers a similar API to MiniGrid, but suffers from the same issues regarding the implementation of richer environments.

496 The field of embodied AI for robotics has long recognized the importance of visually complex sce-497 narios, which include popular frameworks such as AI2-THOR (Kolve et al., 2017) and Habitat 3.0 498 (Puig et al., 2024). However, these works focus on accurate physical modeling and photorealism 499 while having limited diversity (mostly including indoor household scenarios) and a lack of open-500 world environments. For higher-level cognitive tasks that do not require accurate physics modeling 501 or photorealism, the field has popularly adopted Minecraft: an extremely popular game, with rich content, diverse open worlds, and complex game mechanics. Some examples are the Malmo (John-502 son et al., 2016) project and MineRL (Guss et al., 2019) that wraps Minecraft in a Python interface. 503 However, they lack support for task customization or the creation of new environments. More re-504 cently, MineDojo (Fan et al., 2022) has greatly improved customization within Minecraft-based 505 environments. Nevertheless, environment creation is constrained by predefined parameters, making 506 scenarios like those in Section 3.5 infeasible to implement (see Appendix G for details) and lacking 507 multi-agent support, which hinders its adoption in this growing field. 508

- 509
- 510 511

5 CONCLUSION

512 513

514 Designing new environments and modifying existing ones is crucial for advancing research in open-515 ended and embodied AI, RL, MARL, and autonomous agents in general. However, many established 516 environments provide limited or no options for customization (Bellemare et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 517 2016; Guss et al., 2019; Küttler et al., 2020; Matthews et al., 2024). Although some works offer tools 518 for developing environments, they rely on restrictive DSLs (Wydmuch et al., 2019; Samvelyan et al., 519 2021) or simplistic 2D worlds (Bamford et al., 2020; Chevalier-Boisvert et al., 2023). Conversely, 520 rich and complex 3D environments like MineDojo (Fan et al., 2022) allow limited customization, have no multi-agent support, and are built on closed-source and computationally expensive games 521 like Minecraft. 522

523 This work presents Craftium, an easy-to-use and flexible framework for creating rich 3D environ-524 ments. Craftium's versatility is showcased in Section 3.5, which shows its application to train and 525 analyze single and multi-agent RL algorithms, implement open-world environments for complex embodied agent tasks, and used to procedurally generate environments for CRL. Unlike many al-526 ternatives built on top of existing video games, Craftium is based on Minetest, a fully-featured 527 open-source game engine. This analogy is also translated to the presented framework, as it is not 528 a benchmark but a general-purpose tool for creating environments. By leveraging the extensive 529 and well-documented Minetest Lua API (Minetest Team, 2024a; Ward, 2023b), Craftium enables 530 nearly limitless possibilities for the development of custom single and multi-agent environments. 531 Additionally, Minetest has a vibrant community that has produced numerous games and extensions 532 (Ward, 2023a), which can be easily integrated into Craftium environments (see Section 3.5.3). More-533 over, its efficient implementation significantly reduces the computational cost of other alternatives. 534 As shown in Section 3.4, Craftium achieves over 2K timesteps per second more than MineDojo, and performs competitively with VizDoom, even though VizDoom is not fully 3D. Craftium also 536 implements the widely-adopted Gymnasium (Towers et al., 2024) and Petting Zoo (Terry et al., 537 2021) interfaces, making it compatible with numerous existing tools and projects, such as, Moritz et al. (2018); Huang et al. (2022b); Serrano-Muñoz et al. (2023) and Raffin et al. (2021). Finally, 538 Craftium is open source and provides extensive documentation, including many practical examples from which users can build environments for their particular research needs.

540 REFERENCES

542	David Abel, André Barreto, Benjamin Van Roy, Doina Precup, Hado P van Hasselt, and Satinder
543	Singh. A definition of continual reinforcement learning. In Proceedings of the 2023 Advances in
544	Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2023.

- Adrià Puigdomènech Badia, Bilal Piot, Steven Kapturowski, Pablo Sprechmann, Alex Vitvitskyi,
 Zhaohan Daniel Guo, and Charles Blundell. Agent57: Outperforming the atari human benchmark.
 In Proceedings of the 2020 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2020a.
- Adrià Puigdomènech Badia, Pablo Sprechmann, Alex Vitvitskyi, Daniel Guo, Bilal Piot, Steven Kapturowski, Olivier Tieleman, Martin Arjovsky, Alexander Pritzel, Andrew Bolt, et al. Never give up: Learning directed exploration strategies. In *Proceedings of the 2020 International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2020b.
- 553 Chris Bamford, Shengyi Huang, and Simon Lucas. Griddly: A platform for ai research in games. 554 *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.06363*, 2020.
- Jakob Bauer, Kate Baumli, Feryal Behbahani, Avishkar Bhoopchand, Nathalie Bradley-Schmieg,
 Michael Chang, Natalie Clay, Adrian Collister, Vibhavari Dasagi, Lucy Gonzalez, et al. Human timescale adaptation in an open-ended task space. In *International Conference on Machine Learn- ing (ICML) of 2023*, volume 202, pp. 1887–1935. PMLR, 2023.
- Charles Beattie, Joel Z Leibo, Denis Teplyashin, Tom Ward, Marcus Wainwright, Heinrich Küttler, Andrew Lefrancq, Simon Green, Víctor Valdés, Amir Sadik, et al. Deepmind Lab. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.03801*, 2016.
- Marc Bellemare, Sriram Srinivasan, Georg Ostrovski, Tom Schaul, David Saxton, and Remi Munos.
 Unifying count-based exploration and intrinsic motivation. In *Proceedings of the 20216 Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2016.
- Marc G Bellemare, Yavar Naddaf, Joel Veness, and Michael Bowling. The Arcade Learning Environment: An evaluation platform for general agents. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 47:253–279, 2013.
- 570 Michael Beukman, Samuel Coward, Michael Matthews, Mattie Fellows, Minqi Jiang, Michael D
 571 Dennis, and Jakob Nicolaus Foerster. Refining minimax regret for unsupervised environment
 572 design. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2024.
- 574 Yuri Burda, Harrison Edwards, Amos Storkey, and Oleg Klimov. Exploration by random network
 575 distillation. In *Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Learning Representations*576 (*ICLR*), 2019.
- Maxime Chevalier-Boisvert, Bolun Dai, Mark Towers, Rodrigo de Lazcano, Lucas Willems, Salem Lahlou, Suman Pal, Pablo Samuel Castro, and Jordan Terry. Minigrid & miniworld: Modular & customizable reinforcement learning environments for goal-oriented tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.13831*, 2023.
- 581
 582
 583
 583
 584
 584
 585
 585
 586
 586
 587
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 588
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
 598
- Jacob W Crandall and Michael A Goodrich. Learning to compete, compromise, and cooperate in repeated general-sum games. In *Proceedings of the 2005 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, pp. 161–168, 2005.
- 587
 588
 589
 589
 589
 590
 590
 591
 591
 597
 598
 598
 590
 591
 591
 591
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 595
 596
 596
 597
 598
 598
 599
 590
 590
 590
 590
 591
 591
 591
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 595
 596
 596
 597
 598
 598
 598
 599
 590
 590
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 592
 593
 594
 594
 595
 595
 596
 596
 597
 598
 599
 599
 590
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
 591
- Sam Earle, Filippos Kokkinos, Yuhe Nie, Julian Togelius, and Roberta Raileanu. Dreamcraft: Text guided generation of functional 3d environments in minecraft. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Inter- national Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games (FDG)*, 2024.

597

619

620

621

- Maxence Faldor, Jenny Zhang, Antoine Cully, and Jeff Clune. OMNI-EPIC: open-endedness via
 models of human notions of interestingness with environments programmed in code. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15568*, 2024.
- Linxi Fan, Guanzhi Wang, Yunfan Jiang, Ajay Mandlekar, Yuncong Yang, Haoyi Zhu, Andrew Tang, De-An Huang, Yuke Zhu, and Anima Anandkumar. MineDojo: Building open-ended embodied agents with internet-scale knowledge. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) of 2022*, 35:18343–18362, 2022.
- Lizzy Fleckenstein, Wuzzy, davedevils, and contributors. Voxelibre, a voxel-based sandbox game for
 minetest. https://git.minetest.land/VoxeLibre/VoxeLibre, 2024. Accessed:
 2024-10-01.
- Samuel Garcin, James Doran, Shangmin Guo, Christopher G Lucas, and Stefano V Albrecht.
 DRED: Zero-shot transfer in reinforcement learning via data-regularised environment design. In Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2024.
- Jean-Baptiste Gaya, Thang Doan, Lucas Caccia, Laure Soulier, Ludovic Denoyer, and Roberta
 Raileanu. Building a subspace of policies for scalable continual learning. In *Proceedings of* the 2023 International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2023.
- Tom Gerken. Minecraft becomes first video game to hit 300M sales. https://www.bbc.com/
 news/technology-67105983, 2023. Accessed: 2024-10-01.
- Djordje Grbic, Rasmus Berg Palm, Elias Najarro, Claire Glanois, and Sebastian Risi. Evocraft: A new challenge for open-endedness. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Applications of Evolutionary Computation: 24th International Conference, EvoApplications 2021*, pp. 325–340. Springer, 2021.
 - William H Guss, Brandon Houghton, Nicholay Topin, Phillip Wang, Cayden Codel, Manuela Veloso, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. MineRL: A large-scale dataset of minecraft demonstrations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.13440*, 2019.
- Danijar Hafner. Benchmarking the spectrum of agent capabilities. In *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2022.
- Shengyi Huang, Rousslan Fernand Julien Dossa, Antonin Raffin, Anssi Kanervisto, and Weixun Wang. The 37 implementation details of proximal policy optimization. In *ICLR Blog Track*, 2022a. URL https://iclr-blog-track.github.io/2022/03/ 25/ppo-implementation-details/. https://iclr-blog-track.github.io/2022/03/25/ppoimplementation-details/.
- Shengyi Huang, Rousslan Fernand Julien Dossa, Chang Ye, Jeff Braga, Dipam Chakraborty, Kinal
 Mehta, and João G.M. Araújo. CleanRL: High-quality single-file implementations of deep rein forcement learning algorithms. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 23(274):1–18, 2022b.
- Edward Hughes, Michael D Dennis, Jack Parker-Holder, Feryal Behbahani, Aditi Mavalankar, Yuge
 Shi, Tom Schaul, and Tim Rocktäschel. Position: Open-endedness is essential for artificial super human intelligence. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning* (*ICML*), 2024.
- Roberto Ierusalimschy. *Programming in Lua*. Roberto Ierusalimschy, 2006.
- Yuhua Jiang, Qihan Liu, Xiaoteng Ma, Chenghao Li, Yiqin Yang, Jun Yang, Bin Liang, and
 Qianchuan Zhao. Learning diverse risk preferences in population-based self-play. In *Proceedings* of the 2024 AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2024.
- Matthew Johnson, Katja Hofmann, Tim Hutton, and David Bignell. The Malmo platform for artificial intelligence experimentation. In *Proceedings of the 2016 International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, volume 16, pp. 4246–4247, 2016.
- Scott M. Jordan, Adam White, Bruno Castro Da Silva, Martha White, and Philip S. Thomas. Po sition: Benchmarking is limited in reinforcement learning research. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2024.

648 Eric Kolve, Roozbeh Mottaghi, Winson Han, Eli VanderBilt, Luca Weihs, Alvaro Herrasti, Matt 649 Deitke, Kiana Ehsani, Daniel Gordon, Yuke Zhu, et al. AI2-THOR: An interactive 3D environ-650 ment for visual AI. arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.05474, 2017. 651 Heinrich Küttler, Nantas Nardelli, Alexander Miller, Roberta Raileanu, Marco Selvatici, Edward 652 Grefenstette, and Tim Rocktäschel. The NetHack Learning Environment. Advances in Neural 653 Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) of 2020, 33:7671–7684, 2020. 654 655 Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Improved baselines with visual instruc-656 tion tuning. In Proceedings of the 2024 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern 657 Recognition (CVPR), 2024a. 658 Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae Lee. 659 Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge, January 2024b. URL https:// 660 llava-vl.github.io/blog/2024-01-30-llava-next/. 661 662 Marlos C Machado, Marc G Bellemare, Erik Talvitie, Joel Veness, Matthew Hausknecht, and 663 Michael Bowling. Revisiting the Arcade Learning Environment: Evaluation protocols and open 664 problems for general agents. Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research, 61:523-562, 2018. 665 666 Mikel Malagon, Josu Ceberio, and Jose A Lozano. Self-composing policies for scalable contin-667 ual reinforcement learning. In Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2024. 668 669 Michael Matthews, Michael Beukman, Benjamin Ellis, Mikavel Samvelvan, Matthew Thomas Jack-670 son, Samuel Coward, and Jakob Nicolaus Foerster. Craftax: A lightning-fast benchmark for open-671 ended reinforcement learning. In Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine 672 Learning (ICML), 2024. 673 674 Minetest Team. Minetest Lua modding API reference. https://api.minetest.net/, 2024a. 675 Accessed: 2024-10-01. 676 Minetest Team. Minetest's main page. https://www.minetest.net/, 2024b. Accessed: 677 2024-10-01. 678 679 Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Alex Graves, Ioannis Antonoglou, Daan Wier-680 stra, and Martin Riedmiller. Playing atari with deep reinforcement learning. In NIPS Deep Learn-681 ing Workshop 2013, 2013. 682 Philipp Moritz, Robert Nishihara, Stephanie Wang, Alexey Tumanov, Richard Liaw, Eric Liang, 683 Melih Elibol, Zongheng Yang, William Paul, Michael I Jordan, et al. Ray: A distributed frame-684 work for emerging AI applications. In USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and 685 Implementation (OSDI) of 2018, pp. 561–577, 2018. 686 687 Georg Ostrovski, Marc G Bellemare, Aäron Oord, and Rémi Munos. Count-based exploration with 688 neural density models. In Proceedings of the 2017 International Conference on Machine Learning 689 (ICML), pp. 2721–2730. PMLR, 2017. 690 Giuseppe Paolo, Jonas Gonzalez-Billandon, and Balázs Kégl. Position: A call for embodied AI. In 691 Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2024. 692 693 Sai Prasanna, Karim Farid, Raghu Rajan, and André Biedenkapp. Dreaming of many worlds: Learn-694 ing contextual world models aids zero-shot generalization. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.10967, 2024. 696 697 Xavier Puig, Eric Undersander, Andrew Szot, Mikael Dallaire Cote, Tsung-Yen Yang, Ruslan Partsey, Ruta Desai, Alexander Clegg, Michal Hlavac, So Yeon Min, Vladimír Vondruš, Theophile Gervet, Vincent-Pierre Berges, John M Turner, Oleksandr Maksymets, Zsolt Kira, Mrinal Kalakr-699 ishnan, Jitendra Malik, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Unnat Jain, Dhruv Batra, Akshara Rai, and 700 Roozbeh Mottaghi. Habitat 3.0: A co-habitat for humans, avatars, and robots. In Proceedings of 701 the 2024 International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2024.

702 Maria Abi Raad, Arun Ahuja, Catarina Barros, Frederic Besse, Andrew Bolt, Adrian Bolton, 703 Bethanie Brownfield, Gavin Buttimore, Max Cant, Sarah Chakera, et al. Scaling instructable 704 agents across many simulated worlds. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.10179, 2024. 705 Antonin Raffin, Ashley Hill, Adam Gleave, Anssi Kanervisto, Maximilian Ernestus, and Noah Dor-706 mann. Stable-Baselines3: Reliable reinforcement learning implementations. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 22(268):1-8, 2021. 708 709 Marc Rigter, Minqi Jiang, and Ingmar Posner. Reward-free curricula for training robust world mod-710 els. In Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 711 2024. 712 Zohar Rimon, Tom Jurgenson, Orr Krupnik, Gilad Adler, and Aviv Tamar. MAMBA: an effective 713 world model approach for meta-reinforcement learning. In Proceedings of the 2024 International 714 Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2024. 715 716 Alexander Rutherford, Benjamin Ellis, Matteo Gallici, Jonathan Cook, Andrei Lupu, Gardar Ingvarsson, Timon Willi, Akbir Khan, Christian Schroeder de Witt, Alexandra Souly, Saptarashmi 717 Bandyopadhyay, Mikayel Samvelyan, Minqi Jiang, Robert Tjarko Lange, Shimon Whiteson, 718 Bruno Lacerda, Nick Hawes, Tim Rocktaschel, Chris Lu, and Jakob Nicolaus Foerster. Jax-719 MARL: Multi-agent rl environments in jax. In NeurIPS 2024 Datasets and Benchmarks Track, 720 2024. 721 722 Mikayel Samvelyan, Robert Kirk, Vitaly Kurin, Jack Parker-Holder, Mingi Jiang, Eric Hambro, 723 Fabio Petroni, Heinrich Küttler, Edward Grefenstette, and Tim Rocktäschel. MiniHack the planet: 724 A sandbox for open-ended reinforcement learning research. Datasets and Benchmarks Track of 725 the 2021 NeurIPS, 2021. 726 John Schulman, Filip Wolski, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alec Radford, and Oleg Klimov. Proximal policy 727 optimization algorithms. arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06347, 2017. 728 729 Antonio Serrano-Muñoz, Dimitrios Chrysostomou, Simon Bøgh, and Nestor Arana-Arexolaleiba. 730 skrl: Modular and flexible library for reinforcement learning. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 24(254):1-9, 2023. 731 732 David Silver, Julian Schrittwieser, Karen Simonyan, Ioannis Antonoglou, Aja Huang, Arthur Guez, 733 Thomas Hubert, Lucas Baker, Matthew Lai, Adrian Bolton, et al. Mastering the game of Go 734 without human knowledge. Nature, 550(7676):354-359, 2017. 735 David Silver, Thomas Hubert, Julian Schrittwieser, Ioannis Antonoglou, Matthew Lai, Arthur Guez, 736 Marc Lanctot, Laurent Sifre, Dharshan Kumaran, Thore Graepel, Timothy Lillicrap, Karen Si-737 monyan, and Demis Hassabis. A general reinforcement learning algorithm that masters chess, 738 shogi, and Go through self-play. Science, 362(6419):1140-1144, 2018. 739 740 Richard S. Sutton and Andrew G. Barto. Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction. The MIT Press, 741 2018. 742 Open Ended Learning Team, Adam Stooke, Anuj Mahajan, Catarina Barros, Charlie Deck, Jakob 743 Bauer, Jakub Sygnowski, Maja Trebacz, Max Jaderberg, Michael Mathieu, et al. Open-ended 744 learning leads to generally capable agents. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.12808, 2021. 745 746 J Terry, Benjamin Black, Nathaniel Grammel, Mario Jayakumar, Ananth Hari, Ryan Sullivan, Luis S 747 Santos, Clemens Dieffendahl, Caroline Horsch, Rodrigo Perez-Vicente, et al. PettingZoo: Gym for multi-agent reinforcement learning. Proceedings of the 2021 Advances in Neural Information 748 Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2021. 749 750 Tristan Tomilin, Meng Fang, Yudi Zhang, and Mykola Pechenizkiy. Coom: a game benchmark 751 for continual reinforcement learning. Proceedings of the 2023 Advances in Neural Information 752 Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2023. 753 Mark Towers, Ariel Kwiatkowski, Jordan Terry, John U Balis, Gianluca De Cola, Tristan Deleu, 754 Manuel Goulão, Andreas Kallinteris, Markus Krimmel, Arjun KG, et al. Gymnasium: A standard 755 interface for reinforcement learning environments. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.17032, 2024.

758 759

760

761

765 766

767

768

769 770

771

773

777

781 782

783

784

792

793

794

796

797

798 799

800

801

756 Andrew Ward. Contentdb: A content database for minetest mods, games, and more. https: //content.minetest.net/, 2023a. Accessed: 2024-10-01.

Minetest modding book. Andrew Ward. https://rubenwardy.com/minetest_ modding_book/en/index.html, 2023b. Accessed: 2024-10-01.

- 762 Maciej Wołczyk, Michał Zajac, Razvan Pascanu, Łukasz Kuciński, and Piotr Miłoś. Continual 763 world: A robotic benchmark for continual reinforcement learning. Proceedings of the 2021 Ad-764 vances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 34:28496–28510, 2021.
 - Maciej Wołczyk, Bartłomiej Cupiał, Mateusz Ostaszewski, Michał Bortkiewicz, Michał Zajkac, Razvan Pascanu, Łukasz Kuciński, and Piotr Miłoś. Fine-tuning reinforcement learning models is secretly a forgetting mitigation problem. In Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2024.
- Marek Wydmuch, Michał Kempka, and Wojciech Jaśkowski. ViZDoom Competitions: Playing Doom from Pixels. IEEE Transactions on Games, 11(3):248-259, 2019. 772
- 774 Donghao Ying, Yunkai Zhang, Yuhao Ding, Alec Koppel, and Javad Lavaei. Scalable primal-dual actor-critic method for safe multi-agent rl with general utilities. In Proceedings of the 2023 775 Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 2023. 776
- Tianhe Yu, Deirdre Quillen, Zhanpeng He, Ryan Julian, Karol Hausman, Chelsea Finn, and Sergey 778 Levine. Meta-World: A benchmark and evaluation for multi-task and meta reinforcement learn-779 ing. In proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Robot Learning (CoRL), 2020.

MODIFICATIONS TO MINETEST А

785 Although Minetest is an extremely flexible game engine with extensibility built into its core, adapt-786 ing it to be a platform for RL environment creation required modifying the game engine's source code. As Minetest is a large C++ project with thousands of files, modifications have been thought-787 fully limited to as few changes as possible to ensure seamless updates to new versions of Minetest 788 and improve maintainability. Most of the introduced code is limited to a single craftium.h and a 789 few modifications to the client.cpp file. These modifications have allowed the implementation 790 of features required for training RL agents in Minetest: 791

- Implementation of a client that connects to the Python process with the agent's implementation. This is the communication channel from which Minetest sends RGB frames and other timestep data to Python, and Python sends the next actions to be executed.
- Executing agent's actions as keyboard and mouse commands in Minetest. All actions are translated as virtual keyboard keypresses or mouse movements (for moving the camera and controlling the inventory).
- Extensions to the Minetest Lua API to incorporate vital functionalities for RL environments. Extensions include 5 new Lua functions that implement functions such as setting the episode termination flag or sending reward values.
- 802 • Minetest has a client/server architecture, where the server runs the world's logic and the client interfaces with the player (e.g., game control and rendering). However, the asyn-804 chronous nature of this architecture introduced many problems to most RL agent training 805 scenarios, as the server could update the world many times while the client was waiting for the agent to return an action. This causes many reproducibility issues and behaviors such as monsters attacking the player while the client is waiting for the agent's response. For this purpose, Craftium introduces synchronous client/server updates. This ensures that the 808 server waits for the client to be updated before continuing with the next update, preventing 809 the mentioned issues.

810 B ACTION SPACE DETAILS

812 B.1 DEFAULT ACTION SPACE

The default action space of Craftium environments is composed of combinations of 21 keyboard actions and mouse movements on the horizontal and vertical axes. Keyboard actions are binary values, where 1 translates to a key press and 0 if not used. Available keyboard commands are listed and described in Table 2. Note that these actions are a subset of the default keyboard controls that Minetest offers⁸ and its selection is inspired by the action space of MineRL (Guss et al., 2019). Mouse movements are defined by a tuple (horizontal and vertical movements) of real values in the [-1, 1] interval (see Section 3.1).

Table 2: List of available keyboard actions in Craftium environments, their corresponding key in the default Minetest controls, and their description.

ACTION	Key	DESCRIPTION
Forward	W	Move the player forward.
Backward	S	Move the player backward.
Left	А	Move the player left.
Right	D	Move the player right.
Jump	Space	Jump and move up.
Aux 1	Ē	Run faster.
Sneak	Shift	Sneak, move downwards.
Zoom	Z	Zoom in at the center of the camera.
Dig	Left mouse button	Puch if using a weapon or mine if using a tool.
Place	Right mouse button	Use the pointed object if usable, otherwise attempt to build at the pointed block.
Drop	Q	Drop the wielded item.
Inventory	Ι	Show/hide inventory.
Slot [1-9]	0-9	Select the item in the [0-9] position of the hotbar.

839 840 841

842

821

822

823 824 825

B.2 ACTION WRAPPERS

By default, Craftium environments have a large action space with discrete (binary) and continuous values (see Section 3). However, many tasks do not require the complete default action space and can be greatly simplified by considering only the relevant actions to solve the specific task that the environment defines. Consequently, Craftium provides tools for customizing the action space of environments by using Gymnasium Wrappers.⁹ Specifically, Craftium implements two wrappers:BinaryActionWrapperand DiscreteActionWrapper.

BinaryActionWrapper allows selecting the subset of keyboard actions (see Table 2 for the complete list) to use in the new action space. This wrapper also simplifies the continuous mouse movement actions by discretizing them into four binary actions: move the mouse left, right, up, and down. The magnitude of these movements can be chosen by the developer. For example, this wrapper allows simplifying the default $\{0, 1\}^{21} \cup [0, 1]^2$ action space into a $\{0, 1\}^3$ space where binary values correspond to: move forward, move mouse right, and move mouse left.

⁸⁵⁵ DiscreteActionWrapper allows selecting the subset of keyboard actions and discretizes the ⁸⁵⁶ mouse movement similarly to the previous wrapper. However, in this case, actions are not binary ⁸⁵⁷ vectors but a single discrete value. Thus, actions can not be combined as in the case of the previ-⁸⁵⁸ ous wrapper. Following the previous example, instead of simplifying the default action space into $\{0, 1\}^3$ this wrapper defines the new space as $\{0, 1, 2\}$, where 0 corresponds to move forward, 1 moves the mouse to the right, and 2 moves it to the left.

861

 ⁸Some controls like pausing the game or opening the chat have been excluded. For additional information
 visit: https://wiki.minetest.net/Controls.

⁹Refer to Gymnaium's documentation for more information: https://gymnasium.farama.org/ api/wrappers/action_wrappers/.

С EXTENSIONS TO THE MINETEST LUA API

Minetest counts with an extensive and powerful Lua API (Minetest Team, 2024a) that can be used to modify the behavior of the game engine and create mods or entire games (Ward, 2023a). However, Minetest lacks of functionalities to define RL environments by itself. Therefore, Craftium distributes a modified version of the game engine (see Appendix A) that includes additional functionalities in the Lua API to make it possible to implement RL environments from Minetest mods. Table 3 lists and describes the new functions added to the Lua API.

Table 3: List of the new functions added to the Minetest Lua API. The "-" character is used to indicate that a function takes no arguments.

NAME	PARAMETERS	DESCRIPTION
set_reward	float	Sets the reward value to the given value until another call to a function that modifies the reward is made.
get_reward	_	Returns the reward value of the current timestep, and nil if not set.
set_reward_once	float, float	Sets the reward to the first parameter only for the current timestep, resetting it to the second parameter afterwards.
set_termination get_termination	_	Sets the termination flag to true for the current timestep. Returns a 1 if the termination flag is set to true, 0 otherwise.

D USING CRAFTIUM THROUGH THE PETTINGZOO (MULTI-AGENT) **INTERFACE**

```
1 from craftium import pettingzoo_env
889
     2
890
     3 env = pettingzoo_env.env(
891
            env_name="Craftium/MultiAgentCombat-v0"
     4
892
     5)
893
      6
     7 env.reset()
894
     8
895
       for agent_id in env.agent_iter():
     9
896
            observation, reward, termination, truncation, info = env.last()
     10
897
     11
            if termination or truncation:
898
     12
                break
     13
899
     14
     15
            action = agents[agent_id] (observation)
            env.step(action)
     16
902
     17
     18 env.close()
903
```

Figure 13: Python code illustrating an example multi-agent scenario using the PettingZoo interface in Craftium.

Figure 13 shows an example use case of the PettingZoo¹⁰ API in Craftium for multi-agent environ-ments. Note that PettingZoo is greatly inspired by Gymnasium and shares many similarities and design choices.¹¹

Likewise the Gymnasium example from Figure 6, the first lines (1-5) instantiate a Craftium environ-ment by name. In this case, Craftium/MultiAgentCombat-v0 is loaded, corresponding to the multi-agent environment example showcased in Section 3.5.2. Then, line 7 resets the environment to the initial state, initializing Minetest for the first time internally. Next, lines 9-16 define the main agent-environment interaction loop. As defined in line 9 the loop cycles through the agents (two agents

¹⁰More information at: https://pettingzoo.farama.org/api/aec/.

¹¹In fact, both projects are developed under the same Farama foundation, see https://farama.org/.

for this specific environment). Line 10 obtains the observation, reward, termination/truncation flags, and the information dictionary (similarly to the Gymnasium example). Next, lines 12-13 check if the episode should terminate. If the episode continues, line 15 selects the action for the current agent, and line 16 executes it, running a single environment step for the current agent. Finally, 18 closes the environment, shutting down Minetest and removing any temporal files.

923 924 925

926

E DETAILS ON THE PERFORMANCE BENCHMARK

Due to the page limit constraint of the paper, this section provides additional details on the environment performance comparison presented in Section 3.4.

929 To complement the results illustrated in Figure 7, Table 4 provides the exact average and stan-930 dard deviation values. The measurements aggregate the results of 5 different runs of 1K steps in 3 environments per framework. Note that all environments considered for this experiment were 931 single-agent, as MineDojo does not support multi-agent scenarios¹² and VizDoom does not provide 932 multi-agent environments (although technically supports this setting).¹³ The environments were: 933 speleo, room, and spiders attack for Craftium (see Appendix F.1); VizdoomHealthGathering-v0, 934 VizdoomCorridor-v0, and VizdoomDefendCenter-v0 for VizDoom; and harvest_milk, creative:255, 935 and Harvest for MineDojo. In all cases, observations were RGB images, without frameskip, and 936 actions were selected uniformly at random. In the case of MineDojo and Craftium environments 937 observation size was set to 64×64 pixels, and to 320×240 , as the latter resolution is not available 938 for VizDoom environments. 939

- As can be observed in Table 4, Craftium achieves substantially higher, +38%, steps per second 940 than the Minecraft alternative, MineDojo. The reasons for such a significant performance gap are 941 many, as both frameworks are complicated systems with many interacting components. One of 942 the most significant differences is the choice of implementation language: MineDojo is based on 943 Minecraft, which is implemented in Java 8, while Craftium relies on Minetest, implemented in C++ 944 and known to perform significantly higher than Java.¹⁴ Another relevant aspect is that Minecraft is 945 a *complete* game, that has grown in complexity over the years, and this complexity directly affects 946 to the environments implemented on top of it. As it is a close source game, the developer is not 947 allowed to modify its source code to remove irrelevant parts of the game for the environment at hand for the sake of computational efficiency. Contrarily, Minetest is open source and exposes 948 a highly flexible Lua API to modify its behavior. This allows building environments with only 949 the relevenat components for the task at hand. Along the same line, the open-source nature of 950 Minetest allowed its modification to tightly integrate it with the proposed framework. For example, 951 to incorporate a system to execute the actions sent from the Python interface as keyboard and mouse 952 commands. Conversely, Minecraft does not allow modifications to its source code, which requires 953 MineRL and MineDojo¹⁵ to include many layers of complexity to adapt the Minecraft game to the 954 RL setting. Most notably, Minecraft is a game and is not intended to run on a server without a 955 monitor. Therefore, MineRL and MineDojo use an external tool, Xvfb¹⁶. to emulate a monitor 956 without showing any screen output, which causes significant performance drawbacks. This also implies that the X11 windowing system¹⁷ is installed, which is not often the case in HPC clusters. 957
- 958 959 960

961

962

963 964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

F DETAILS ON THE ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES

Due to the size limitations of the main paper, this section includes additional information on the illustrative examples shown in Section 3.5.

¹³For more details on the multi-agent capabilities of VizDoom (accessed November 2024): https://github.com/Farama-Foundation/VizDoom/issues/546.

¹⁶See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Xvfb.

¹²Revelant discussion at (accessed November 2024): https://github.com/MineDojo/ MineDojo/issues/15.

¹⁴For example, see the performance comparison at https://benchmarksgame-team.pages. debian.net/benchmarksgame/fastest/gpp-java.html.

¹⁵Note that MineDojo is based on MineRL. Refer to the work by Fan et al. (2022) for details.

¹⁷See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/X_Window_System_core_protocol.



Table 4: Average and standard deviation values obtained in the environment framework performance
 comparison conducted in Section 3.4.

Figure 14: Visualizations of the example environments for classic single-agent RL.

F.1 ENVIRONMENTS FOR CLASSIC SINGLE-AGENT RL

All tasks share the same observation space of 64×64 pixel RGB images. In all cases, the action space has been simplified into a discrete space $a \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ as described in Section 3.1 (see Appendix B.1 for details). The simplified action space also introduces a nop action (do nothing) to all tasks. The following lines describe the five tasks introduced in this section.

Chop tree. The agent is placed in a dense forest, equipped with a steel axe (see Figure 14a). Every time the agent chops a tree, a positive reward of +1 is given, 0 otherwise. Therefore, the task is to chop as many trees as possible until episode termination. Available actions are nop, move forward, jump, dig (used to chop), and move the mouse left, right, up, and down. Episodes terminate when 2K timesteps are reached.

1004

974

989 990 991

992 993

994

995

996

997 998

Room and small room. These tasks present the same objective in different scenarios. In both 1005 cases, the agent is placed in one half of a closed room with a red block in the other half of the room. 1006 The objective is to reach this block as fast as possible. The difference between both tasks is the 1007 size of the room (see Figures 14c and 14b). The reward is constant, all timesteps have a reward 1008 value of -1, and the episode terminates when the agent reaches the block. To avoid solving the 1009 task by memorization, the initial position of the agent and the red block are randomized in every 1010 new episode. Available actions are: move forward, move mouse left, and move mouse right. The 1011 timestep budget is 1K in *SmallRoom*, and 2K for the variant with the larger room. Four actions are 1012 available: nop, move forward, and move the mouse right and left.

1013

Speleo. The agent is located in a closed cave illuminated with torches (see Figure 14d). The task is to reach the bottom of the cave as fast as possible. For this purpose, the reward at each timestep is the negative altitude (Y-axis position) of the agent. Therefore, the reward increases as the agent goes deeper into the cave. Actions are nop, move forward, jump, and move the mouse left, right, up, and down. Episodes terminate if the agent dies (falling from a great height) or if 3K timesteps are reached.

1020

Spiders attack. The agent is placed in a large cage together with hostile spiders (see Figure 14e), it is equipped with a steel sword and the objective is to survive. In the beginning, there is a single spider in the cage, but every time all spiders are defeated, a new round starts with one more spider than in the previous one (until 5 spiders). The reward of defeating a siper is +1. Actions are: nop, move forward, move left, move right, jump, attack, and move mouse left, right, up, and down. Finally, episodes terminate if the agent dies or if the 4K timestep limit is reached.

1028					-				
1029					Env.	PPO	RND	_	
1030					Chop Tree	2.08 ± 2.6	0.00 ± 0.00		
1031					Room	-459.42+3.33	-495.62+46.7	3	
1032					Small Room	-180.37 ± 16.53	-250.00 ± 0.00)	
1033					Speleo	-4160.22 ± 0.26	$-4498.97 \pm 10.$	67	
1034					Spiders Attack	-0.30 ± 0.05	$0.00{\pm}0.00$		
1035						1		_	
1036			Chop tree	120	Small room	Room	Spele	0	Spiders attack
1037	Ę	10		-140		-420 -430	-4200	• • • • •	0.5
1038	retur	6	w	-160 -180	M	-440	-4300		0.4
1039	sodic	4	motor	-200		-460 WWWWWWWWWWWWW	-4400		0.3 12
1040	Epi	2		-240		-480 - 480 -	-4600		0.1
1041		0.0 0.2	0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 Steps	0.0	0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 ×10 ⁷	0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8	1.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 (10 ⁷	0.6 0.8 1.0 ×107	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 ×10

Table 5: Episodic return values obtained by PPO compared to a random agent across the environments. Results show the average and standard deviation values of 5 random seeds.

Figure 15: Episodic return curves obtained by PPO in all of the tasks from Section 3.5. Lines aggregate the average values of 5 different seeds per task, while the contour denotes the standard error of the results.

1046

Complementing the examples from Section 3.5.1, Figure 15 provides the episodic return curves of PPO in all of the presented tasks, while Table 5 compares these results with a randomly acting agent. In both cases, results aggregate 5 runs per task, where PPO was trained for 10M timesteps in each. These experiments are mere examples to complement Section 3.5.1, and thus, no hyperparameter tuning was performed to improve the obtained results. Moreover, the performance in some of the tasks might be substantially improved if more training timesteps are considered.

Regarding the PPO algorithm, we employed the high-quality implementations from CleanRL Huang et al. (2022b). Specifically, the PPO implementation for Atari environments was adapted to Craftium environments, as both observation spaces consist of RGB images and action spaces are discrete (in the case of the environments presented in Section 3.5.1). Moreover, this implementation already considers many details shown to benefit PPO (Huang et al., 2022a). The hyperparameters and CNN network architecture were set according to their default values in the original PPO implementation from CleanRL.¹⁸

106

1062

1061 F.2 MULTI-AGENT COMBAT

This section describes the multi-agent environment example from Section 3.5.2 in detail. As can 1063 be seen in Figure 16, the scenario consists of a completely flat world, where two agents are 1064 placed in a closed jail. Both agents have no items or tools available, and cannot escape the jail. Similarly to the classic single-agent RL task (see Section 3.5.1 and Appendix F.1), observations 1066 are 64×64 RGB images, and the action space consists of a simplified discrete space using the 1067 DiscreteActionWrapper from Appendix B.2. Specifically, the discrete action space consists 1068 of the following actions: nop, forward, left, right, jump, attack, and move the mouse right or left. 1069 An agent gets a positive reward (+1) when punching other agents and (-0.1) on damage (i.e., losing 1070 one health point). Finally, episodes terminate if the number of health points (initialized to 20) of any 1071 of the agents is zero, or the maximum number of timesteps (2K by default) is reached.

Regarding the self-play method employed in Figure 9, we employ exactly the same CNN architecture and PPO algorithm implementation as in the single-agent environment examples from Appendix F.1 (refer to the last part of this appendix for details). In this case, as we employ self-play (Silver et al., 2017), both agents share the same internal NN-based policy, which is updated every 128 steps. Finally, the agents were trained for 1M timesteps using grayscale versions of the observations and frame staking of 4 frames, resulting in a $4 \times 64 \times 64$ pixel observation space.

¹⁸Souce code of the original PPO implementation: https://github.com/vwxyzjn/cleanrl/ blob/38c313f8326b5049fe941a873e798485bccf18e5/cleanrl/ppo_atari.py.



Figure 16: Screenshot of the illustrative multi-agent environment from Section 3.5.2.

1099 F.3 OPEN WORLD

1087

1089

1093 1094 1095

1098

In Section 3.5.3 we introduce an open-world environment. In this environment, the agent has to survive and gather resources in an open world based on the open-source VoxeLibre (Fleckenstein et al., 2024) game for Minetest. The environment is designed to have three different tracks: tools, hunt, and defend.

The first, the *Tools* track, consists of 4 different milestones: collect two wood blocks, three stone 1105 blocks, three iron blocks, and finally, a diamond block. When the agent unlocks one of the stages 1106 (i.e. tasks) it receives a reward and a new set of tools to employ to solve the next task. The reward for 1107 completing each of the stages is 128, 256, 1024, and 2048 respectively. Moreover, when the agent 1108 unlocks a new stage, it receives a sword and a pickaxe of the material of the completed stage. For 1109 example, if the agent unlocks the wood stage (collect two wood blocks), a wood sword and pickaxe 1110 are automatically added to its inventory. To simplify solving the first stage of this track, the initial 1111 inventory of the agent is composed of a stone axe and 256 torches. The stone axe allows the agent to 1112 more easily chop trees to collect wood, while it also serves to defend from enemies (i.e. monsters) 1113 and hunt animals.

1114 Conversely, the *Hunt* and *Defend* tracks are non-sequential. The agent is expected to develop skills 1115 to handle increasingly complex scenarios rather than progressing linearly (although this could also 1116 be the case). In these tracks, a reward is provided to the agent every time it *puches* an enemy or 1117 an animal. In the case of enemies, the reward value is equal to the damage caused by the tool, 1118 while in the case of the animals, this value is reduced to half. The motivation behind this particular 1119 reward function is the following. If the agent defeats an enemy or hunts an animal, the episodic 1120 return obtained by the agent is linear to the life of the enemy or animal. Moreover, the agent is also encouraged to use the correct tool for these tasks. For example, using a sword to fight a monster will 1121 provide more reward than using a torch or pickaxe for the same task. 1122

In Minetest, the time of day of the game is linked to the real clock time, where the day/night cycle lasts for 20 minutes by default.¹⁹ In consequence, in this environment the time of day is set according to the global timestep to maintain consistency and avoid relaying in real clock time while training agents. If the latter is not considered, the time of day experienced by the agents could vary depending on the time required by the agent to select an action, which greatly varies depending on its implementation and architecture.

The following lines provide details on the methods used in the experiment from Section 3.5.3. Note that in both cases, the action space of the agents was composed of 18 discrete actions, defined using DiscreteActionWrapper from Appendix B.2. The actions are: nop, move forward, backward, left, and right, jump, sneak, dig, place, slot 1, slot 2, slot 3, slot 4, slot 5, move the mouse

¹⁹Additional information at https://wiki.minetest.net/Time_of_day.

right, left, up, and down. Slot $[1, \ldots, 5]$ corresponds to the actions of selecting the tool or object in that position of the inventory (i.e. often referred to as the *hotbar*).

1137**PPO+LSTM.** This method is based on the popular PPO algorithm while employing a convolu-1138tional neural network to encode observations and an LSTM module providing memory capabilities1139to the agent. As the experiments described in Appendix F.1, this agent is based on CleanRL's PPO1140implementations, in this case in PPO+LSTM for Atari games.²⁰ Similarly, hyperparameters were1141kept fixed (not optimized), as the purpose of this experiment is to serve as example. Finally, the observation space for this agent was set to 84×84 of greyscale images using 4 observations for frame1143stacking.

1144

1145 **LLaVa-Agent.** This agent is based on the open-source large multimodal model (LMM) LLaVa by Liu et al. (2024a), specifically version 1.6 (Liu et al., 2024b). This agent is not intended as a new 1146 proposal for LMM for embodied AI, but just as an example of how LMMs can be employed within 1147 Craftium environments to solve general tasks by leveraging their world knowledge. For this purpose, 1148 LLaVa has been directly employed with no fine-tuning for the open-world environment. Specifically, 1149 at each timestep, LLaVa is provided with the current observation (512×512 pixel RGB image) and 1150 a short prompt describing the current task. The prompt also includes a list of all available actions, 1151 where LLaVa is asked to choose one. Then, the action that the agent is going to take is selected by 1152 parsing the result of the model. A random action is chosen if a parsing error occurs, although we 1153 observed that this barely happens. The employed prompt is:

1154 1155

1156	You are a reinforcement learning agent in the Minecraft game. You will be pre-
1157	sented with the current observation, and you have to select the next action with
1158	the ultimate objective to fulfill your goal. In this case, the goal <objective>.</objective>
1159	You should fight monsters and hunt animals just as a secondary objective and
1160	survival. Available actions are: do nothing, move forward, move backward,
1100	move left, move right, jump, sneak, use the tool, place, select hotbar slot 1, se-
1101	lect hotbar slot 2, select hotbar slot 3, select hotbar slot 4, select hotbar slot 5,
1162	move camera right, move camera left, move camera up, move camera down.
1163	From now on, your responses must only contain the name of the action you will
1164	take, nothing else.

1165 1166

Note the <objective> placeholder, this is replaced with the text corresponding to the current objective: "is to chop a tree", "is to collect stone", "is to collect iron", or "is to find diamond blocks". This text is automatically placed every time the agent unlocks a stage of the *Tools* branch of the skills tree.

1171 Details of Figure 11. The figure aggregate results from 10 different random seeds for the
1172 PPO+LSTM method, and from 10 runs LLaVa-Agent, where each of the latter runs was constrained
1173 by a 1-hour limit (≈ 7000 prompting iterations per run). Consequently, the X-axis has been set to
1174 the training time percentage to accommodate both cases and for the sake of proper visualization.
1175 Finally, the Y-axis shows the best and average maximum episodic return value obtained for each
1176 method in each (normalized) training step. This choice is motivated to properly visualize when a
1177 method unlocks one of the milestones from the skills tree.

- 1178
- 1179 F.4 PROCEDURAL ENVIRONMENT GENERATION

The procedural environment generation example employs a random dungeon generator implemented for this work. Although the generator can randomly create a vast number of different environments, their ultimate goal is the same. In these environments, the agent is randomly placed (equipped with a sword) in a room and has to navigate a labyrinthic dungeon full of hostile enemies (monsters) to reach the diamond. This process is divided into two steps: ① randomly generate the dungeon's map, represented in ASCII (defined in Appendix F.4.1), and ② build the 3D environment from the map.

²⁰The original implementation can be found at: https://github.com/vwxyzjn/cleanrl/blob/ 38c313f8326b5049fe941a873e798485bccf18e5/cleanrl/ppo_atari_lstm.py.

1188 (1) This first step is accomplished by the RandomMapGen Python class, which implements the 1189 dungeon generation algorithm. Given some input parameters, RandomMapGen returns an ASCII 1190 representation of the generated map. Internally, RandomMapGen first creates the rooms, places 1191 the enemies, and locates the objective and the agent's initial position (the agent and the objective 1192 are never located in the same room). Then, an iterative algorithm based on repelling forces is used to place the rooms such that none of them intersects with any other. Secondly, it computes the 1193 minimum number of corridors needed to create a map where all rooms are reachable. Finally, it 1194 rasterizes the map into its ASCII representation using Bresenham's line algorithm.²¹ 1195 1196 The complete list of parameters that RandomMapGen accepts is the following: 1197 Number of rooms of the dungeon. 1198 1199 • Minimum and maximum sizes of the rooms. The final size is randomly selected between this range. 1201 • A dispersion parameter in the [0, 1] range that controls the distance between the rooms. 1202 • Minimum and maximum number of monsters per room. If the minimum is set equal to the 1203 maximum, the number of monsters per room is fixed. • The probability of each monster type of being located in one room. RandomMapGen 1205 considers up to 4 types of different monsters. Monster types are denoted as: a, b, c, or d. The specific monster that will be considered for each type is defined by the user in step (2). 1207 • A boolean flag indicating whether monsters can appear in the room selected for the agent's 1208 initial position. 1209 1210 2 Once the ASCII map is created, a mod is used to generate the final 3D dungeon inside Minetest. 1211 This mod iterates over the characters that compose the map and places the blocks and enemies 1212 (referred to as *mobs* in Minetest and gaming terminology, not to be confused with mods) accordingly. 1213 The configuration parameters of the mod are the following: 1214 • The ASCII map generated in step (1) (or via another process). 1215 1216 • Names of the monsters for types a, b, c, or d. Available monsters are described in the documentation of the mobs_monsters project.²² 1217 1218 • The material used for the construction of the dungeons.²³ 1219 • The name of the object to use as the objective (a diamond by default).²⁴ 1220 • The reward of reaching the objective (100 by default). • The reward of defeating a single monster (1 by default). 1222 1223 F.4.1 THE ASCII MAP FORMAT 1224 1225 The ASCII map format has been intentionally designed to be human-readable and to facilitate the 1226 implementation of custom procedures to create them (or even specified by hand). The format con-1227 sists of 9 possible characters, listed and described in Table 6. As can be seen in Figure 17a, maps are 1228 divided into layers, divided by the "-" (dash) character. The first layer is commonly employed to 1229 define the floor of the dungeons, while the second defines the walls and the positions of all characters 1230 and the objective, the rest of the layers are used for determining the height of the walls. 1231 1232 F.5 ENVIRONMENT SEQUENCE FOR CONTINUAL RL 1233 In Section 3.5.4, the procedural environment generation is applied to CRL by defining a sequence 1234

1234 In Section 3.5.4, the procedural environment generation is applied to CRL by defining a sequence 1235 of related and increasingly difficult scenarios. Similarly to the examples from Section 3.5.1, the 1236 baseline and FT-L2 methods are based on the PPO implementations from CleanRL, specifically the

²¹See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bresenham%27s_line_algorithm.

²²Accesible at: https://codeberg.org/tenplus1/mobs_monster.

^{1239 &}lt;sup>23</sup>List of some available materials: https://wiki.minetest.net/Games/Minetest_Game/ 1240 Nodes.

^{1241 &}lt;sup>24</sup>List of some available items: https://wiki.minetest.net/Games/Minetest_Game/ Items.

1242	Т	able 6: List of charac	cters that comp	rise the ASCII map format and their m	leaning.
1243					
1244		Character	Meaning		
1245		(whitespace)	Air block.		
1246		("intespace) #	Construction	block. Used for the floor and walls.	
1247		Q	The initial pos	sition of the agent.	
1248		0	Position of the	e objective.	
1249		a, b, c, d	Location of a	monster of type a, b, c, or d	
1250		-	New layer.		
1251					
1252					
1253		****			
1254		*****			
1255		########### ############			
1256		## # # # # # # # #			
1257		*****			
1258		****			
1259		*******			
1260		- ##########			
1261		# # # @ #			
1262		# # ###### #			
1263		# #			
1264		* ****			
1265		# 0 #		•	
1266		# D #			
1267		- ##########			
1268		# # # #			
1269		# # ###### #			
1270		# #			
1271		* ****			
1272		# #			
1273		* * *			
1274					
1275		(a) ASCII map rep	presentation.	(b) Resulting 3D dungeon environr	nent.
1276	Figure 17	· Example ASCII m	an format of a c	lungeon environment and the resulting	3D scenario in
12//	the Crafti	um environment. No	te the 3D chara	cterizations of the spider (denoted with	a in the ASCII
1278	map) and	the diamond (\bigcirc in th	ne ASCII map).		
1279	17		17		
1280					
1201	Atari one	s Where the differe	once hetween th	he baseline and $\mathbf{FT}_{\mathbf{I}}$ is that the latte	er fine-tunes the
1202	model lea	arned in the previous	s task and uses	L2 regularization during training wh	ile the baseline
1203	always lea	arns a model from sc	ratch. FT-L2 wa	as selected for this example as it has sh	nown significant
1204	forward k	nowledge transfer c	apabilities in o	ther works (Gaya et al., 2023; Wołcz	yk et al., 2024;
1205	Malagon	et al., 2024). As can	be seen in Figu	are 12, FT-L2 substantially improves t	he results of the
1200	baseline i	n the 4th, 7th, and 8	8th environmen	ts, showing considerable forward know	wledge transfer
1207	between d	lifferent environmen	ts.		
1280	Figure 18	provides a larger 2D	visualization o	of the environments, not included in the	e main paper for
1200	page limit	t constraints. Observ	ing this figure v	ve see that the first two environments e	mploy the same
1201	map. This	s is intended, as the t	raining time in	each environment is low (1M timestep	os), thus the first
1202	two envir	onments offer CRL	methods a way	to learn to reach their objective befor	e more difficult
1293	tasks arriv	ve.			

Regarding the observation and action spaces, they have been kept constant across the sequence. The 1294 observation space is set to 64×64 pixel greyscale images, with 4 frames for frame stacking, and the 1295 same quantity for frame skipping (Huang et al., 2022a). The action space consists of a set of 10



Figure 18: Overview of all the maps generated for the CRL environment sequence in Section 3.5.4. Note that these are 2D representations of the environments and that the actual environments are 3D (as can be seen in Figure 17b). The robot indicates the initial position of the agent, while the yellow characters indicate sand monsters, and the black characters denote spiders. Maps have been enumerated with their corresponding position in the CRL sequence.

discrete actions: nop, move forward, left, and right, jump, attack, move the mouse right, left, and down. Finally, episodes terminate if the health of the agent is exhausted or 5K timesteps are reached.

1350
1351
1352GDETAILS ON THE ENVIRONMENT CREATION CAPABILITIES OF CRAFTIUM
AND MINECRAFT-BASED FRAMEWORKS

1353 Craftium not only significantly outperforms the computational efficiency of Minecraft-based frame-1354 works (as demonstrated in Section 3.4), but also provides an extremely flexible interface for creating 1355 new environments via the Minetest Lua API. The flexibility and versatility of this API is demon-1356 strated by the rich and complex environments that can be created with it, see Figure 11, thanks 1357 to the wide range of mods created by the community (see Ward (2023a) for examples). This sec-1358 tion focuses on showcasing some code examples that directly compare the flexibility of Craftium's 1359 Minetest Lua API with the MineDojo API to create new environments. Note that we only compare Craftium to MineDojo as it is, currently, the only Minecraft-based framework that allows the 1360 creating of custom environments. 1361

One major limitation of the MineDojo's API is that although it allows for spawning different Minecraft entities (mobs and items) in a given location, the behavior, aspect, and other properties of the entities are those of Minecraft (the default ones), and cannot be changed. Figure 19 shows how MineDojo allows spawning entities. On the other hand, Craftium leverages the Minetest Lua API, which allows access to the internal state of the game engine, allowing to change any aspect of it in real time. This is illustrated with an example code in Figure 21 and Figure 22 that show how many properties and behaviors of entities can be modified in Craftium.

Another crucial difference between Craftium's and MineDojo's APIs is the map generation capabilities. MineDojo limits map generation to some predefined scenarios (only 5) and biomes. Figure 20 shows the map customization capabilities of MineDojo. On the other hand, Craftium, via the Minetest Lua API, allows the user to define any type of custom biome, and combine them in any way.²⁵ In Figure 23 we showcase a simple example of defining a custom desert biome in Craftium using the Minetest Lua API. Note that Craftium users can employ any of the vast number of biomes already implemented by the community (some of them illustrated in Figure 11).²⁶

1376

1378

1382 1383

1384 1385

1388

1393

1377 1 env.spawn_mobs("spider", [5, 0, 5])

Figure 19: MineDojo. Although MineDojo allows for spawning entities in some position, lacks the capability for modifying the behavior of entities in any way.

```
1 env = minedojo.make("open-ended", specified_biome="desert")
```

Figure 20: **MineDojo.** MineDojo only allows defining worlds from a set of predefined biomes and scenarios.

```
1389
1390 1 local mob_def = minetest.registered_entities["mobs_monster:zombie"]
2 mob_def.on_punch = function(self, hitter)
3 hitter:set_hp(hitter:get_hp() + 5)
1392 4 end
```

Figure 21: Craftium. Example code demonstrating how the behavior of entities can be modified in Craftium. In this case, the definition of zombies is changed to increase the health of the agent by 5 when successfully attacking a zombie.

1398

¹⁴⁰⁰ 1401

^{1402 &}lt;sup>25</sup>More information and tutorials at https://rubenwardy.com/minetest_modding_book/en/ advmap/biomesdeco.html.

²⁶Examples at https://content.luanti.org/packages/?tag=mapgen.

1404

```
1405
1406
1407
     1 mobs:register_mob("craftium:my_spider", {
     2
            docile_by_day = false,
1408
            group_attack = true,
     3
1409
           type = "monster",
     4
1410
           passive = false,
      5
1411
     6
           attack_type = "dogfight",
1412 7
           reach = 2,
            damage = 3,
1413 8
            hp_min = 25,
1414 <sup>9</sup>
1415 <sup>10</sup>
            hp_max = 25,
            armor = 200,
     11
1416 <sub>12</sub>
            walk_velocity = 3,
1417 13
           run_velocity = 6,
1418 14
           jump = false,
1419 <sup>15</sup> on_die = function(self, pos)
1420<sup>16</sup>
               -- Set reward to 1.0 for a single timestep then reset to 0.0
     17
               set_reward_once(1.0, 0.0)
1421 <sub>18</sub>
               -- Spawn more spiders
1422 19
              num_spiders = num_spiders + 1
               for i=1, num_spiders do
1423 20
                 spawn_monster({ x = 3.7 - i, y = 4.5, z = 0.0 })
1424 <sup>21</sup>
                end
     22
1425
     23
            end
1426 24 })
1427 <sub>25</sub>
1428 26 local monster = mobs:add_mob(pos, {
1429 <sup>27</sup>
            name = "craftium:my_spider",
            ignore_count = true,
     28
1430
     29 })
1431
1432
       Figure 22: Craftium. Example of a completely custom spider type. Note that we only show a few
1433
       options of those available: group attack capabilities, health, reach, attach type, armor, velocity, etc.
1434
       Moreover a custom behavior is defined to set the reward and spawn more spiders when the spider
1435
       dies.
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
     1 -- Register a custom biome (e.g., desert)
1443 2 minetest.register_biome({
1444 3 name = "custom_desert",
     4
            node_top = "default:sand",
1445
            depth_top = 1,
     5
1446
            node_filler = "default:stone",
     6
1447
     7 })
1448 8
1449 9 -- Generate a random landscape with different biomes
1450 10 minetest.register_on_generated(function(minp, maxp, blockseed)
            if math.random() > 0.5 then
     11
1451
     12
                 minetest.set_biome_area(minp, maxp, "custom_desert")
1452 <sub>13</sub>
            end
1453
     14 end)
1454
1455
        Figure 23: Craftium. Example showing how custom biomes can be created and used in Craftium.
1456
1457
```