NNETSCAPE NAVIGATOR: COMPLEX DEMONSTRATIONS FOR WEB AGENTS WITHOUT A DEMONSTRATOR

Anonymous authors

003

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

025

026

027 028 029 Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

We introduce NNetscape Navigator (NNetnav), a method for training web agents entirely through synthetic demonstrations. These demonstrations are collected by first interacting with a browser to generate trajectory rollouts, which are then retroactively labeled into instructions using a language model. Most work on training browser agents has relied on expensive human supervision, and the limited previous work on such *interaction-first* synthetic data techniques has failed to provide effective search through the exponential space of exploration. In contrast, NNetnav exploits the hierarchical structure of language instructions to make this search more tractable: complex instructions are typically decomposable into simpler subtasks, allowing NNetnav to automatically prune interaction episodes when an intermediate trajectory cannot be annotated with a meaningful sub-task. We use NNetnav demonstrations from a language model for supervised fine-tuning of a smaller language model policy, and find improvements of 6 points on WebArena and over 20 points on MiniWoB++, two popular environments for web-agents. Notably, on WebArena, we observe that language model policies can be further enhanced when fine-tuned with NNetnav demonstrations derived from the same language model. Finally, we collect and release a dataset of over 6k NNetnav demonstrations on WebArena, spanning a diverse and complex set of instructions.

1 INTRODUCTION

Building grounded language agents that map human language instructions to a sequence of executable actions is a long-standing goal of artificial intelligence (Winograd, 1972), with the ultimate goal of automating mundane web tasks like flight booking. A promising new approach for building such agents is to use large language models to control policies in digital environments like browsers (Yao et al., 2022; Shinn et al., 2023; Murty et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024, among others).

Unfortunately, such *grounded instruction following* without any training examples is challenging
 because LMs do not know about the myriad and ever changing interaction possibilities of different
 websites. For instance, for a new online shopping website, a zero-shot LM agent may not know how
 to make a return or change order details, without expensive test-time exploration. Even simple tasks
 like selecting a flight might involve typing in airport codes or selecting from a drop-down menu, and
 zero-shot agents cannot know this a-priori.

One way to provide LM web-agents with knowledge about new web interfaces is via expert demonstrations, that can either be used for in-context learning (Yao et al., 2022) or supervised fine-tuning (Lai et al., 2024). These demonstrations are either fully provided by human experts (Sodhi et al., 2023; Yao et al., 2022) or consist of human-generated trajectories paired with model-generated instructions (Lai et al., 2024). Of course, collecting human demonstrations that cover each possible use case for every web-site is an unattractively large, never-ending task. Thus, recent work uses entirely synthetic demonstrations by sampling a synthetic instruction, and then mapping it into a trajectory using a base LLM agent (Patel et al., 2024; Murty et al., 2024).

Such *instruction-first* methods for data collection face several challenges. First, synthetic instructions in these demonstrations are sampled from an ungrounded LM prior that generates only plausible¹

 ¹We use the term *plausible* for instructions that match a website's genre or intended use. For example, searching for clothes on a retail site or checking notifications on a social media platform. Not all plausible instructions are feasible.



Figure 1: NNetnav produces synthetic demonstrations for training web-agents by exploring a website to create trajectories, and then labeling them into instructions. Long exploration in NNetnav is made efficient using a pruning heuristic inspired by the hierarchical structure of complex instructions. At fixed intervals during exploration, the labeling function infers an instruction for the trajectory so far, and if the resulting (instruction, trajectory) pair receives a low score from a reward function, the episode is terminated (red cross). Components in NNetnav are implemented using prompts to the same LM.

087 088

089

090

091

092

093

instructions without ensuring feasibility; *e.g.*, an instruction such as *Respond to the first post on r/callofdutyfans* for reddit is plausible, but not always feasible. Second, generated instructions are limited to those that reference visible features of the website; *e.g.*, given the landing page of a github-like platform, no LM prior can generate instructions like *Find information about Eric Bailey's contributions to the byteblaze project*, which requires knowing about deeply embedded websitespecific entities like *Eric Bailey*. Finally, these methods provide no control over the complexity of instructions, and rely entirely on the LM or bespoke prompts to generate complex instructions.

094 Instead of starting with a sampled instruction, we start by sampling an *interaction* first, and then 095 retroactively labeling it into an instruction that is feasible by design. At a high-level, our approach 096 NNetscape Navigator (NNetnav, Fig 1), uses a language model exploration policy to perform 097 extended interactions with an environment, and another language model trajectory labeler to annotate 098 trajectories with instructions². To effectively control the exponential space of meaningful interactions, 099 NNetnay uses the hierarchical structure of language instructions as a pruning heuristic: for exploration 100 to discover a meaningfully complex task, trajectory prefixes must correspond to meaningful sub-tasks. 101 Thus, during an exploration episode, if a language model cannot label trajectory prefixes (at set 102 time-steps) with a sub-task, further exploration is automatically terminated. Imposing such a structure over search not only enhances efficiency, but also results in complex and hierarchical instructions (See 103 Table 7 for examples). NNetnav prompts the same base language model for exploration, relabeling 104 and inferring sub-tasks, and effectively addresses all limitations of instruction-first data collection. 105

²We will open-source our code upon acceptance.

108 Using GPT-40-mini (Achiam et al., 2023) as our base language model, we use demonstrations 109 collected via NNetnav to fine-tune a smaller Llama-3-8B-Instruct (Dubey et al., 2024) based 110 agent on two benchmarks for web navigation, MiniWoB++ (Shi et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018) and 111 WebArena (Zhou et al., 2023). Compared to the base agent, performance of the fine-tuned agent 112 improves from 28% to 48% on MiniWoB++, and from 1% to 7% on WebArena. Crucially, these improvements are higher than those from a model that's fine-tuned with an instruction-first data 113 collection method. Finally, we find that NNetnav can be used for self-training-fine-tuning a small 114 LM agent with NNetnav demonstrations from the same LM leads to an improvement of 4% points 115 absolute (1% to 5%) on WebArena. Further analysis reveals the benefits of retroactive labeling 116 beyond performance improvements: When using a model-based evaluator, similar to Pan et al. (2024), 117 hindsight trajectories from NNetnav have a higher mean reward than trajectories from an LLM agent 118 based on the same underlying language model. Finally, we collect and release NNetnav-6k, a dataset 119 of over 6k demonstrations covering a wide and complex range of use cases on WebArena. 120

121 122

2 BACKGROUND

123 Following instructions on web-browsers is a deterministic sequential decision making problem. 124 Given an instruction g, an instruction following agent interacts with the browser by issuing a 125 sequence of actions $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_T \rangle$ where each $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ is drawn in response to an observation o_i . 126 Executing an action causes a state transition based on some unknown but deterministic environment 127 dynamics, leading to a new observation o_{i+1} . The entire episode can be summarized as a trajectory $\tau \coloneqq \langle o_1, a_1, o_2, a_2, \dots o_T, a_T, o_{T+1} \rangle$. We formalize the instruction following agent as a mapping 128 $\pi(a_t \mid \tau_{\leq t}; g)$ where $\tau_{\leq t} \coloneqq \langle o_1, a_1, \dots o_t \rangle$ is the trajectory so far. In this framework, the action 129 space A consists of a finite set of strings, while observations are represented as either flattened DOM 130 trees or website accessibility trees. 131

132 **LLMs as Instruction Following Agents.** Recent work explores prompted large language models 133 (LLMs) to directly parameterize π . These methods typically work in settings with textual observations 134 and action spaces, and many output a reasoning string r_i before predicting the action string a_i . 135 Concretely, we formalize an LM agent (omitting prompts) as $\pi_{LM}(a_t \mid \tau_{<t}; g) := p_{LM}(a_t, \mid \tau_{<t}, r_t; g)$ 136 where $r_t \sim p_{LM}(r \mid \tau_{<t}; g)$ is a reasoning step drawn as a sample from the LM.

Given expert demonstrations $\{g^i, \tau^i\}$ where $\tau^i \coloneqq \langle o_1^i, r_1^i, a_1^i, o_2^i, r_2^i, a_2^i \dots o_T^i \rangle$, prior work adapts LM agents using demonstrations either as in-context examples (Yao et al., 2022; Shinn et al., 2023; Sun et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2023, among others) or as training data for supervised fine-tuning (Furuta et al., 2023; Lai et al., 2024; Lù et al., 2024; Patel et al., 2024). For supervised fine-tuning of π_{LM} on a dataset of demonstrations, we construct training instances $\{(g^i, \tau_{<t}^i), (r_t^i, a_t^i)\}$ where r_t^i, a_t^i serves as the target reasoning step and action for an intermediate context $(g^i, \tau_{<t}^i)$.

143 Data collection with instruction-first methods. Collecting human demonstrations for training web-144 agents is time consuming and costly. Thus, recent work proposes methods for generating synthetic 145 data for web-agents using language model components (Lai et al., 2024; Furuta et al., 2023; Murty 146 et al., 2024). These methods start by sampling synthetic instructions from an instruction generator (a 147 prompted LM that takes the website landing page and a persona as input), and then use a zero-shot 148 LM policy to convert these instructions into trajectories. Resulting demonstrations are filtered using either the ground truth reward function (Furuta et al., 2023), or using another language model based 149 reward function (Lai et al., 2024; Murty et al., 2024). Most of these methods use bigger and better 150 language models for collecting demonstrations, and then use this data to adapt smaller models. 151

3 OUR APPROACH

NNetnav (Fig 1) is an *interaction-first* method for constructing demonstrations: An exploration policy interacts with a browser in a structured manner to sample long trajectories which are retroactively labeled into instructions (§3.2). We then post-process each trajectory to add post-hoc reasoning steps corresponding to the generated instructions, and then use this data for supervised fine-tuning (§3.3). We provide detailed pseudo-code for the exploration and relabeling steps in NNetnav in Algorithm 1.

159

152

- 160
- 161

```
Algorithm 1: Exploration and Relabeling in NNetnav within a single interaction episode
Input: \pi_{\text{explore}}, Lf<sub>LM</sub>, s_{\text{LM}}, \Delta_{\text{LM}}
Function run_retroactive_labeler(\tau):
      \delta_{\tau} \leftarrow [\Delta_{\mathrm{LM}}(o_t, a_t, o_{t+1}) \mid (o_t, a_t, o_{t+1}) \in \tau];
      g_{\text{curr}} \leftarrow \text{Lf}_{\text{LM}}(\delta_{\tau});
      r_{\text{curr}} \leftarrow s_{\text{LM}}(i_{\text{curr}}, \delta_{\tau});
      return g_{\text{curr}}, r_{\text{curr}};
Function explore (\mathcal{W}, T_{prune}):
      o_1 \leftarrow W.init-observation;
      t \leftarrow 1;
      \tau \leftarrow \langle \rangle;
      demonstrations \leftarrow [];
      while t \leq T_{max} do
            if t \in T_{prune} then
                  g_{\text{curr}}, r_{\text{curr}} \leftarrow \text{run\_retroactive\_labeler}(\tau);
                  if r_{curr} < 1 then
                        break;
                  else
                        demonstrations.add((g_{curr}, r_{curr}));
            a_t \leftarrow \pi_{\text{explore}}(o_t);
            o_{t+1} \leftarrow \mathcal{W}.step(a_t);
            \tau.add((o_t, a_t, o_{t+1}));
            t \leftarrow t + 1;
      return demonstrations;
```

3.1 LM COMPONENTS

We start by describing various components in NNetnav. All of these components are implemented by zero-shot prompting a language model, with different prompts (see Appendix A for details).

Exploration Policy. To interact with the environment, we use an exploration policy $\pi_{explore}$, implemented using a chain-of-thought prompted language model (Wei et al., 2022). Additionally, to simulate a diverse set of behaviors from users and improve the diversity of resulting trajectories, we seed each episode with a string description of a plausible user persona for the given website (Shanahan et al., 2023; Argyle et al., 2023).

Summarizing Trajectory changes. Actions issued by $\pi_{explore}$ result in a new observation in the environment. We summarize this change as a short string description via another module Δ_{LM} , implemented using a language model. In particular, for any state o_t , action a_t and the resulting next state o_{t+1} , $\delta_t = \Delta_{LM}(o_t, a_t, o_{t+1})$ produces a string-valued description of the changes in the observation as a result of the action. For a trajectory τ , we denote the sequence of state changes as δ_{τ}

Trajectory Labeling Function. Given state changes δ_{τ} , a labeling function Lf_{LM} produces a plausible instruction $\hat{g} = Lf_{LM}(\delta_{\tau})$ that the agent could have followed to produce the given interaction.

Reward Function. Given \hat{g} and δ_{τ} , the reward function module assigns a reward $s_{\text{LM}}(\hat{g}, \delta_{\tau}) \in \{0, 1\}$, based on how well the state changes correspond to the given instruction \hat{g} .

3.2 SAMPLING DEMONSTRATIONS VIA INTERACTIONS

211 Let t_{max} be the maximum episode length for each exploration rollout. At specific time-steps 212 $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{\text{max}}\}$, we run the pruning heuristic that attempts to annotate the trajectory so-far with 213 a sub-task annotation. If this is successful, we continue the episode, and otherwise halt to sample 214 another rollout. Concretely, suppose we have a partial trajectory $\tau_{<t}$ after interacting with the environ-215 ment for t time-steps. If $t \in \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{\text{max}}\}$, we first obtain a retroactive sub-task $\hat{g} = \text{Lf}_{\text{LM}}(\delta_{\tau_{<t}})$. We halt further exploration if $s_{\text{LM}}(\hat{g}, \delta_{\tau_{<t}}) = 0$. Otherwise, we add the generated $(\hat{g}, \tau_{<t})$ to our set 216 of synthetic demonstrations, and continue exploring. Typically, each interaction episode results in 217 multiple demonstrations. 218

3.3 GENERATING POST-HOC REASONING STEPS

The exploration policy in this work is implemented using a language model that generates a reasoning 221 step, before choosing an action (§2). Since actions in our demonstration set are a result of exploration, 222 corresponding reasoning steps are not generally related to the retroactively generated instruction. Thus, for each demonstration in our synthetic demonstration set, we post-hoc annotate every action 224 with a new reasoning step that directly corresponds to the generated instruction. Concretely, given 225 every (\hat{g}, o_i, a_i) tuple in our synthetic demonstration set, we prompt a language model to output a 226 suitable reasoning step for choosing action a_i given the instruction \hat{g} and current observation o_i . We 227 note that such a post-hoc reasoning procedure is similar to Yang et al. (2024). 228

- EXPERIMENTAL SETUP 4
- 4.1 DATASETS

219

220

229

230 231

232 233

237

238

239

240

241

242

249

250

254

256

257

258

259

260 261

We fine-tune language model policies with NNetnav demonstrations on two web navigation environ-234 ments, MiniWoB++ (Shi et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018) and WebArena (Zhou et al., 2023). 235

- 1. MiniWoB++: A dataset of diverse synthetic web-interfaces with a shared action space. Tasks on MiniWoB++ range from clicking on buttons to complex tasks like making a booking on a website. We use a subset of 8 complex tasks from MiniWoB++ as a toy benchmark to evaluate our method. We use the bid-based action space from BrowserGym (Drouin et al., 2024), consisting of 12 actions, and a DOM based observation space. Due to its synthetic nature, MiniWoB++ comes with an automatic reward function. We report the mean reward over 20 random seeds for each task, similar to (Drouin et al., 2024).
- 2. WebArena: A dataset of realistic web navigation tasks over 5 websites covering domains 243 such as e-commerce, discussion forums, maps and software development. We use the default 244 action space from WebArena (typing, clicking, hovering, tab management) and the default 245 accessibility tree based observation space. WebArena consists of 812 Web navigation tasks 246 across these websites, and provides an evaluator that measures success rate (SR) in terms of 247 functional correctness. We report the average SR across these tasks. 248
 - 4.2 MODEL SETTINGS

All inference evaluations are conducted using the same base language model, with data collection typically performed using a larger language model unless stated otherwise. We evaluate under the 253 following settings:

- 1. **Zero-Shot:** A baseline zero-shot LM policy π_{LM} , prompted using chain-of-thought prompting (Wei et al., 2022). Next, we consider various fine-tuned models.
- 2. SFT (Instruction-First): Supervised fine-tuning (SFT) of the base policy using data collected via instruction-first sampling. Here, we use the same reward model for filtering demonstrations as NNetnay, and also sample the same number of demonstrations for fair comparison.
 - 3. SFT (NNetnav): Supervised fine-tuning of π_{LM} with demonstrations collected via NNetnav.
 - 4. SFT (NNetnav + Distil.): Ablation, where we only retain instructions found via NNetnav and re-generate trajectories by prompting the same large LM as an agent. We use this setting to isolate performance improvements attributable to NNetnav trajectories.
- 4.3 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS
- 267

264

265

All LM components for data collection in NNetnav as well as instruction-first methods are based 268 on GPT-4o-mini (specifically gpt-4o-mini-2024-07-18). We use Llama-3-8B-Instruct 269 as the inference policy π_{LM} . For Instruction-first data collection, we sample 50 instructions per

Domain	Zero-Shot	SFT (Instruction-First)	SFT (NNetnav)	SFT (NNetnav + Distil.)
		MiniWoB++		
book-flight	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
choose-date	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
click-checkboxes-soft	0.4	0.25	0.65	0.5
email-inbox	0.25	0.3	0.3	0.35
login-user	0.3	0.0	1.0	0.95
navigate-tree	1.0	0.95	1.0	0.95
phone-book	0.15	0.15	0.2	0.55
use-autocomplete	0.25	0.55	0.7	0.35
Avg.	0.28	0.28	0.48	0.36
		WebArena		
Shopping	3.8	7.7	7.4	7.4
CMS	0	4.2	4.2	4.2
Reddit	0	0	0	0
Gitlab	0	0	0	4.5
Maps	0	9.1	28.5	15.4
Avg.	1.0	4.2	7.2	6.0

Table 1: Results for MiniWoB++ and WebArena, broken down by domain, reporting mean reward for
 MiniWoB++ and task success rate (SR) for WebArena. We compare the zero-shot agent with agents
 fine-tuned with NNetnav and instruction-first demonstrations. Overall, fine-tuning with NNetnav
 leads to the largest improvements: from 28% to 48% on MiniWoB++; from 1% to 7.2% on WebArena.

295

296 297

298

299

website for WebArena, and 80 instructions per interface in MiniWoB++, and prompt the instruction generator with the landing page as well as a persona (to improve diversity). For NNetnav, we use our exploration policy to generate 50 episodes per website for WebArena, and 80 episodes per interface for MiniWoB++. We set T_{max} to 40 for WebArena, and 20 for MiniWoB++. For both MiniWoB++ and WebArena, we apply the pruning function every 4 time-steps. We use 16 persona types per website for WebArena, and 10 persona types per web-interface for MiniWoB++.

We use the BrowserGym framework (Drouin et al., 2024) for experiments with MiniWoB++ and prune out the full DOM to only keep visible elements. During inference, we set the max episode length for π_{LM} as 30 for WebArena (following Zhou et al. (2023)), and 20 for MiniWoB++. WebArena requires agents to output a special stop action for outputting answers. We post-process NNetnav demonstrations to add a stop action at the end of the trajectory using a prompted LM (See Appendix A.2 for details).

Fine-tuning details. We fine-tune all models for 5 epochs, truncating the max sequence length to 4096, with a learning rate of 2e-5, using 4 A100 GPUs. We provide complete details of our training setup in Appendix D. We use open-instruct (Wang et al., 2023) for fine-tuning all language models, and set up local inference servers using vllm (Kwon et al., 2023).

316 317

5 MAIN RESULTS

318

Fine-tuning agents with NNetnav leads to consistent gains. We report results from all model
 settings in Table 1. We find that fine-tuning the zero-shot policy π_{LM} with synthetic demonstrations
 from NNetnav leads to consistent improvements on all tasks in MiniWoB++, leading to a 20 point
 improvement overall. We note an improvement of over 6 points from fine-tuning with NNetnav
 demonstrations on WebArena. Importantly, gains from fine-tuning with NNetnav exceeds those from
 using instruction-first methods by 12 points on MiniWoB++ and 1.2 points on WebArena.

Domain	Zero-Shot	SFT (Instruction-First)	SFT (NNetnav)	SFT (NNetnav + Distil.)
Shopping	1.26	1.37	2.22	2.33
CMS	1.21	1.29	1.92	1.87
Reddit	1.08	1.31	2.0	1.54
Gitlab	1.14	1.09	1.86	1.5
Maps	1.21	1.36	2.29	1.86
WebArena (Av	g.) 1.19	1.28	2.05	1.87

Table 2: Model-based evaluation on WebArena, broken down by domain. For each test instruction and predicted trajectory, we prompt a GPT-40 based reward model to output a graded reward from 1 to 5 based on a manual rubric. We find that fine-tuning with NNetnav outperforms all other settings.

Domain	Zero-Shot	Self-Train (NNetnav)
Shopping	3.8	15.4
CMS	0.0	0.0
Reddit	0.0	0.0
Gitlab	0.0	0.0
Maps	0.0	7.1
Avg.	1.0	5.3

334

335

347 348

354

355

Table 3: We generate NNetnav demonstrations
using Llama-3-8B-Instruct, which we use for
supervised fine-tuning of an agent based on the
same LM, and find significant improvements on
WebArena from 1% to 5.3%.

Domain	Zero-Shot	Self-Train (NNetnav)
	in-doi	main
Shopping	1.26	1.37
CMS	1.21	1.29
Maps	1.21	1.36
	out-of-a	lomain
Reddit	1.08	1.31
Gitlab	1.14	1.09

Table 4: We fine-tune π_{LM} with NNetnav demonstrations from 3 websites, and evaluate in-domain and out-of-domain generalization with the model based evaluator that outputs a score from 1 to 5. While improvements are higher in-domain, we still find improvements on out-of-domain data.

Fine-grained evaluation on WebArena with LLM reward. We observe highly non-uniform 356 improvements on WebArena with no improvements on Reddit and Gitlab in particular. We hypothesize 357 that this is due to the coarse nature of WebArena's success rate (SR) evaluation, since it does not 358 provide partial credit. Thus, inspired by Pan et al. (2024), we develop a model based evaluation 359 using the largest publicly available GPT-40 (specifically gpt-40-2024-08-06) model to assign a 360 graded reward from 1 to 5 to model outputs for each test instruction (see Appendix B for full prompt). 361 We present results from model-based evaluation in Table 2. At the level of model settings we observe 362 the same trend: Zero-Shot < SFT (Instruction-First) < SFT (NNetnav + Distil.) < SFT (NNetnav). 363 However since this evaluation is more graded, we find consistent improvements from using NNetnav 364 demonstrations across all websites, including Reddit and Gitlab, where improvements of 0.92 points and 0.72 points are observed, respectively. As expected, performance rankings sometimes changes with such graded evaluation *e.g.* on CMS, all fine-tuned models are tied in terms of SR (Table 1), but 366 not in terms of graded reward (Table 2). Overall, we believe WebArena evaluations should incorporate 367 both overall SR and fine-grained model based evaluation for a more comprehensive understanding of 368 system performance. 369

370 **The Benefit of Hindsight.** We find that SFT (NNetnav) outperforms SFT (NNetnav + Distil.) on 371 both MiniWoB++ and WebArena. Trajectories in NNetnav are obtained via a hindsight procedure: 372 the model acts *first*, and the instruction is inferred afterward. In constrast, for NNetnav + Distil., the instruction is provided first, and the trajectory is sampled later. To understand if hindsight trajectories 373 offer an advantange, we use the model based evaluator to measure training data quality for these 374 settings. Specifically, we use the evaluator to assign reward to trajectories in NNetnav and NNetnav 375 + Distil. for WebArena, and find a win-rate of 64% for NNetnav trajectories with a mean reward 376 of 3.52 compared to a reward of 2.44 for NNetnav + Distil. We conclude that gains from NNetnav 377 extend beyond just providing more complex instructions.

403

404

379

380



397 Figure 3: We plot the top 15 most common root 398 verbs (inner circle) with their most common di-399 rect object nouns (outer circle) for instructions in 400 NNetnav-6k. We note a high degree of diversity in 401 intents ranging from searching for information to 402 updating addresses and navigating for directions.

Computational savings from NNetnav pruning. We visual-405 ize overall improvements in exploration efficiency in Fig 2. 406 Each horizontal line depicts the fraction of interaction episodes 407 that terminate at a specific time-step (indicated by the y-axis), 408 with the red shaded area depicting additional actions that were 409 prevented from early pruning. We find clear evidence of com-410 putational savings. In particular, over 60% of all exploration 411 episodes were pruned after 16 actions for WebArena. For Mini-412 WoB++, 65% of episodes were pruned after just 4 actions in 413 MiniWoB++, which we identify as interactions where these first 414 actions resulted in execution failures that our pruning heuristic successfully identified. 415

416 Self-training with NNetnav. Can NNetnav demonstrations 417 from an LM be used for improving the same LM agent? 418 To answer this, we collect another set of NNetnav demon-419 strations on WebArena, using LM components based on 420 Llama-3-8B-Instruct. Given the limitations of this smaller 421 model, we anticipate fewer meaningful interactions. To com-422 pensate, we increase the number of episodes to 200 episodes per website, resulting in 302 demonstrations which we use for fine-423 tuning the same Llama-3-8B-Instruct agent. From results 424 in Table 3, we find improvements of 4.3 points on WebArena. 425

426 Cross-website generalization. Finally, we use NNetnav to 427

conduct a small study on cross-website generalization in web-



Figure 4: Length distribution of instructions and trajectories in NNetnav-6k.



Figure 2: Horizontal lines indicate fraction of episodes terminating at corresponding y-axis exploration step. The red shaded area represents prevented actions, showing significant savings on both datasets.

428 agents. Concretely, we perform supervised fine-tuning of π_{LM} on NNetnav demonstrations from 429 Shopping, Maps and CMS, and evaluate generalization to Reddit and Gitlab. Here, we choose to report only model-based evaluation since success rates are 0 for these domains. From results in 430 Table 4, we note that average reward improves by 0.06 on held out websites, and by 0.13 on in-domain 431 websites, suggesting some potential for cross-website transfer in LLM web-agents.

Agent	#Params	WebArena SR	Open LLM?	Zero-shot?
Llama-3-8B-Instruct	8B	1.0	1	1
Patel et al. (2024)	72B	9.4	1	×
Lai et al. (2024)	7B	2.5	1	1
Ou et al. (2024)	7B	6.3	1	1
Llama-3-8B-Instruct-NNetnav	8B	10.3	1	1
Drouin et al. (2024)	Unknown (GPT-4)	23.5	×	1
Wang et al. (2024)	Unknown (GPT-4)	35.5	×	×

Table 5: We present WebArena task success rate of various prior approaches, along with key details such as model size, the use of open LLMs, and whether methods are fully zero-shot. For Lai et al. (2024), we report results from the setting that does not use human supervision. Notably, our approach, Llama-3-8B-Instruct-NNetnav, achieves a 4% improvement over the previous state-of-the-art among zero-shot agents that use open LLMs.

6 NNetnav-6k

447 448 449

450

To facilitate further research on fine-tuned browser agents, we release the first large-scale dataset of over 6000 demonstrations from WebArena. Here, we use Llama-3-70B-Instruct³ as the underlying LM for various components in NNetnav, and sample 3000 interactions each, with $T_{\rm max}$ set to 40 as before. For each trajectory in these demonstrations, we release both accessibility tree strings as well as browser screenshots at each time-step, to support future work on text-based as well as multi-modal web agents.

To analyze diversity in these instructions, we follow methodology from Wang et al. (2022). Specifi-457 cally, we use Stanza (Qi et al., 2020) to parse each instruction, identifying the verb closest to the root 458 and its direct object. Fig 3 presents the top 15 verbs and their corresponding object nouns. Overall, we 459 observe a diverse range of intents in the NNetnav-6k dataset. Additionally, we plot the distribution of 460 instruction as well as trajectory lengths in Fig 4, revealing further diversity in these aspects. Table 7 461 provides example demonstrations from NNetnav-6k, showcasing instructions from different websites. 462 We find a number of complex, hierarchical instructions such as Edit the issue "Link to WCAG 2.1 463 instead of ... that refer to specific features of the website (r/art, Swings and roundabouts), and are 464 plausible by design. Many of these instructions share lots of common structure (e.g. Get walking 465 directions from ... and Get cycling directions from ...), and incorporating such structure into agents 466 could be a promising direction for future work. 467

Fine-tuning agents with NNetnav-6k demonstrations. To demonstrate the effectiveness of 468 NNetnav-6k in improving instruction-following in LLM web-agents, we perform supervised finetun-469 ing of the Llama-3-8B-Instruct agent on NNetnav-6k demonstrations. As described in Section 470 2, each demonstration expands into multiple training instances, resulting in a total of over 77,000 471 training examples. The results, presented in Table 5, show that our approach achieves a WebArena 472 Success Rate (SR) of 10.3%. This marks a significant improvement over previously reported results 473 for sub-10B models trained on synthetic datasets. To the best of our knowledge, our model sets a new 474 state-of-the-art among agents that do not use closed-source models like GPT-4, human-annotated 475 demonstrations, or prior knowledge of WebArena test instructions.

477 7 RELATED WORK

479 Language Conditioned Digital Assistants. Mapping instructions to action sequences in digital
480 environments has been a long-standing goal in natural language understanding (Allen et al., 2007;
481 Branavan et al., 2009). Most pre-LLM approaches for this rely on expert demonstrations for behavioral
482 cloning (Chen & Mooney, 2011; Humphreys et al., 2022), along with an appropriately shaped reward

483

476

 ³We opted to use a locally hosted Llama-3-70B-Instruct model for collecting the larger-scale NNetnav-6k
 dataset, as it produced demonstrations of comparable quality to GPT-4o-mini while offering a more permissive license for downstream applications.

function (Branavan et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2018; Misra et al., 2017, among others). Here, learning is
 driven purely by synthetic demonstrations derived via (language model) exploration of websites.

Linguistic Priors for Exploration. Several prior works have used natural language priors to inform 489 exploration for sequential decision making. Harrison et al. (2017) use a trained model of associations 490 between language and state/action pairs to guide exploration during policy learning. Mu et al. 491 (2022) use language annotations of states to train a goal generator module that provides intrinsic 492 rewards for achieving generated goals. Similarly, Du et al. (2023) constrain exploration towards goals 493 generated by a pre-trained LLM at each intermediate state of an agent. In constrast, NNetnav biases 494 exploration through two news ways of using language priors. First, we use natural language as a way 495 to filter meaningful interactions. Second, we use it as a pruning heuristic to navigate the potentially 496 exponential search space of these interactions.

497 Training Data for LLM Web-Agents. LLMs have shown strong performance over a wide range 498 of language understanding tasks, and are increasingly being used to interpret language in grounded 499 contexts such as browsers (Yao et al., 2022; Lai et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024; Patel et al., 2024; 500 Lù et al., 2024, among others). Many of these approaches rely on human demonstrations, either 501 for in-context learning (Yao et al., 2022; Sodhi et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2023) or for finetuning (Lù 502 et al., 2024). However, because human demonstrations are costly, recent work trains LLM agents 503 through synthetic demonstrations generated using instruction-first methods (Lai et al., 2024; Patel 504 et al., 2024). One exception is Murty et al. (2024), which introduces an interaction-first method 505 for generating synthetic demonstrations for in-context learning. Despite its novelty, their approach does not scale well to real websites due to the lack of a mechanism for effective exploration in 506 environments with many possible interactions. In contrast, NNetnav also follows an interaction-first 507 approach but improves efficiency by leveraging linguistically motivated pruning to navigate the space 508 of meaningful interactions. 509

510 511

8 CONCLUSION

512 We propose NNetnav, a method for training web-agents with synthetic demonstrations. NNetnav 513 flips the standard paradigm of synthetic data generation by first interacting with a website to generate 514 trajectories and then relabeling trajectories into instructions. Real websites have a prohibitively large 515 set of possible interactions; NNetnav searches over this space efficiently using a pruning function 516 inspired by the hierarchical structure of language instructions: any complex instruction consists of 517 language describable sub-tasks and so, if during an interaction a relabeling module cannot infer a 518 meaningful sub-task for the trajectory-so-far, further exploration is pruned. We apply NNetnav to 519 collect demonstrations on MiniWoB++ and WebArena, which are then used to fine-tune a zero-shot base LM agent. This yields significant improvements over the zero-shot baseline and outperforms 520 standard synthetic data generation methods. In addition, we show that NNetnev enables self-training, 521 as demonstrations collected using a base language model can improve the performance of an agent 522 built on the same model. We find that NNetnav significantly enhances exploration efficiency due to 523 the pruning heuristic and generates complex, realistic instructions. Lastly, we release NNetnav-6k, 524 the largest dataset of demonstrations on WebArena to date, with over 6000 demonstrations covering 525 broad range of intents and phenomena in WebArena. 526

527 528 REPRODUCTIBILITY STATEMENT

Prompts for every LM component is provided in Appendix A, along with other details like agent action spaces. Details for model-based evaluation on WebArena are provided in Appendix B. We provide full details for post-processing demonstrations for SFT in Appendix C, and additional hyperparameters for supervised fine-tuning in Appendix D. All code and data will be available here.

534 REFERENCES

Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman,
Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.

539

533

- James Allen, Nathanael Chambers, George Ferguson, Lucian Galescu, Hyuckchul Jung, Mary Swift, and William Taysom. Plow: a collaborative task learning agent. In *Proceedings of the 22nd National Conference on Artificial Intelligence Volume 2*, AAAI'07, pp. 1514–1519. AAAI Press, 2007. ISBN 9781577353232.
- Lisa P Argyle, Ethan C Busby, Nancy Fulda, Joshua R Gubler, Christopher Rytting, and David Wingate. Out of one, many: Using language models to simulate human samples. *Political Analysis*, 31(3):337–351, 2023.
- S.R.K. Branavan, Harr Chen, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Regina Barzilay. Reinforcement learning for mapping instructions to actions. In Keh-Yih Su, Jian Su, Janyce Wiebe, and Haizhou Li (eds.), Proceedings of the Joint Conference of the 47th Annual Meeting of the ACL and the 4th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing of the AFNLP, pp. 82– 90, Suntec, Singapore, August 2009. Association for Computational Linguistics. URL https: //aclanthology.org/P09-1010.
- David Chen and Raymond Mooney. Learning to interpret natural language navigation instructions from observations. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 25(1):859–865,
 Aug. 2011. doi: 10.1609/aaai.v25i1.7974. URL https://ojs.aaai.org/index.php/AAAI/ article/view/7974.
- Alexandre Drouin, Maxime Gasse, Massimo Caccia, Issam H. Laradji, Manuel Del Verme, Tom Marty, David Vazquez, Nicolas Chapados, and Alexandre Lacoste. WorkArena: How capable are web agents at solving common knowledge work tasks? In Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Zico Kolter, Katherine Heller, Adrian Weller, Nuria Oliver, Jonathan Scarlett, and Felix Berkenkamp (eds.), *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 235 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 11642–11662. PMLR, 21–27 Jul 2024. URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/drouin24a.html.
- Yuqing Du, Olivia Watkins, Zihan Wang, Cédric Colas, Trevor Darrell, Pieter Abbeel, Abhishek
 Gupta, and Jacob Andreas. Guiding pretraining in reinforcement learning with large language
 models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.06692*, 2023.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783*, 2024.
- Hiroki Furuta, Ofir Nachum, Kuang-Huei Lee, Yutaka Matsuo, Shixiang Shane Gu, and Izzeddin
 Gur. Multimodal web navigation with instruction-finetuned foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11854*, 2023.
- Brent Harrison, Upol Ehsan, and Mark O Riedl. Guiding reinforcement learning exploration using natural language. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.08616*, 2017.
- Peter C Humphreys, David Raposo, Tobias Pohlen, Gregory Thornton, Rachita Chhaparia, Alistair Muldal, Josh Abramson, Petko Georgiev, Adam Santoro, and Timothy Lillicrap. A data-driven approach for learning to control computers. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 9466–9482. PMLR, 2022.
- Geunwoo Kim, Pierre Baldi, and Stephen McAleer. Language models can solve computer tasks.
 arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17491, 2023.
- Woosuk Kwon, Zhuohan Li, Siyuan Zhuang, Ying Sheng, Lianmin Zheng, Cody Hao Yu, Joseph E.
 Gonzalez, Hao Zhang, and Ion Stoica. Efficient memory management for large language model
 serving with pagedattention. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGOPS 29th Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*, 2023.
- Hanyu Lai, Xiao Liu, Iat Long Iong, Shuntian Yao, Yuxuan Chen, Pengbo Shen, Hao Yu, Hanchen Zhang, Xiaohan Zhang, Yuxiao Dong, and Jie Tang. Autowebglm: Bootstrap and reinforce a large language model-based web navigating agent, 2024.
- Evan Zheran Liu, Kelvin Guu, Panupong Pasupat, Tianlin Shi, and Percy Liang. Reinforcement
 learning on web interfaces using workflow-guided exploration. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018.

594 Xing Han Lù, Zdeněk Kasner, and Siva Reddy. Weblinx: Real-world website navigation with 595 multi-turn dialogue. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05930, 2024. 596 Dipendra Misra, John Langford, and Yoav Artzi. Mapping instructions and visual observations to 597 actions with reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.08795, 2017. 598 Jesse Mu, Victor Zhong, Roberta Raileanu, Minqi Jiang, Noah Goodman, Tim Rocktäschel, and 600 Edward Grefenstette. Improving intrinsic exploration with language abstractions. Advances in 601 Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:33947–33960, 2022. 602 Shikhar Murty, Christopher D Manning, Peter Shaw, Mandar Joshi, and Kenton Lee. BAGEL: 603 Bootstrapping agents by guiding exploration with language. In Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Zico 604 Kolter, Katherine Heller, Adrian Weller, Nuria Oliver, Jonathan Scarlett, and Felix Berkenkamp 605 (eds.), Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning, volume 235 of 606 Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, pp. 36894–36910. PMLR, 21–27 Jul 2024. URL 607 https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/murty24a.html. 608 Tianyue Ou, Frank F Xu, Aman Madaan, Jiarui Liu, Robert Lo, Abishek Sridhar, Sudipta Sengupta, 609 Dan Roth, Graham Neubig, and Shuyan Zhou. Synatra: Turning indirect knowledge into direct 610 demonstrations for digital agents at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.15637, 2024. 611 612 Jiayi Pan, Yichi Zhang, Nicholas Tomlin, Yifei Zhou, Sergey Levine, and Alane Suhr. Autonomous 613 evaluation and refinement of digital agents. In First Conference on Language Modeling, 2024. 614 URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=NPAQ6FKSmK. 615 Ajay Patel, Markus Hofmarcher, Claudiu Leoveanu-Condrei, Marius-Constantin Dinu, Chris Callison-616 Burch, and Sepp Hochreiter. Large language models can self-improve at web agent tasks. arXiv 617 preprint arXiv:2405.20309, 2024. 618 619 Peng Qi, Yuhao Zhang, Yuhui Zhang, Jason Bolton, and Christopher D. Manning. Stanza: A Python natural language processing toolkit for many human languages. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual 620 Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations, 2020. URL 621 https://nlp.stanford.edu/pubs/qi2020stanza.pdf. 622 623 Samyam Rajbhandari, Jeff Rasley, Olatunji Ruwase, and Yuxiong He. Zero: Memory optimizations 624 toward training trillion parameter models. In SC20: International Conference for High Performance 625 Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis, pp. 1–16. IEEE, 2020. 626 Murray Shanahan, Kyle McDonell, and Laria Reynolds. Role play with large language models. 627 Nature, 623(7987):493-498, 2023. 628 629 Tianlin Shi, Andrej Karpathy, Linxi Fan, Jonathan Hernandez, and Percy Liang. World of bits: An 630 open-domain platform for web-based agents. In International Conference on Machine Learning, 631 pp. 3135–3144. PMLR, 2017. 632 Noah Shinn, Beck Labash, and Ashwin Gopinath. Reflexion: an autonomous agent with dynamic 633 memory and self-reflection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11366, 2023. 634 635 Paloma Sodhi, SRK Branavan, and Ryan McDonald. Heap: Hierarchical policies for web actions 636 using llms. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03720, 2023. 637 Haotian Sun, Yuchen Zhuang, Lingkai Kong, Bo Dai, and Chao Zhang. Adaplanner: Adaptive 638 planning from feedback with language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16653, 2023. 639 640 Yizhong Wang, Yeganeh Kordi, Swaroop Mishra, Alisa Liu, Noah A Smith, Daniel Khashabi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. Self-instruct: Aligning language models with self-generated instructions. 641 arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10560, 2022. 642 643 Yizhong Wang, Hamish Ivison, Pradeep Dasigi, Jack Hessel, Tushar Khot, Khyathi Raghavi Chandu, 644 David Wadden, Kelsey MacMillan, Noah A. Smith, Iz Beltagy, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. How far 645 can camels go? exploring the state of instruction tuning on open resources, 2023. 646 Zora Zhiruo Wang, Jiayuan Mao, Daniel Fried, and Graham Neubig. Agent workflow memory. arXiv 647 preprint arXiv:2409.07429, 2024.

649 650 651 652 653	Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, brian ichter, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, and Denny Zhou. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 35, pp. 24824–24837. Curran Associates, Inc., 2022. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/file/ 9d5609613524ecf4f15af0f7b31abca4-Paper-Conference.pdf.
654 655 656 657	<pre>Terry Winograd. Understanding natural language. Cognitive Psychology, 3(1):1-191, 1972. ISSN 0010-0285. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285(72)90002-3. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0010028572900023.</pre>
658 659 660	Zonghan Yang, Peng Li, Ming Yan, Ji Zhang, Fei Huang, and Yang Liu. ReAct meets ActRe: Autonomous annotation of agent trajectories for contrastive self-training. In <i>First Conference on Language Modeling</i> , 2024. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=0VLBwQGWpA.
661 662 663	Shunyu Yao, Jeffrey Zhao, Dian Yu, Nan Du, Izhak Shafran, Karthik R Narasimhan, and Yuan Cao. ReAct: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models. In <i>The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations</i> , 2022.
665 666 667 668	Shuyan Zhou, Frank F Xu, Hao Zhu, Xuhui Zhou, Robert Lo, Abishek Sridhar, Xianyi Cheng, Yonatan Bisk, Daniel Fried, Uri Alon, et al. Webarena: A realistic web environment for building autonomous agents. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.13854</i> , 2023.
669	A PROMPTS FOR LM COMPONENTS
670	
671	A.1 MINIWOB++
672	
673	We start by presenting all prompts for MiniWoB++. The action space for MiniWob++ is:
674	
675	Listing 1: Action Space
676	noop(wait ms: float = 1000)
677	Examples:
678	
679	100p (500)
680	scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples:
680 681	scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200)
680 681 682	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5)</pre>
680 681 682 683	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str)</pre>
680 681 682 683 684	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value')</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample')</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('a12', 'example with "quotes"')</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('45', 'example with "quotes"') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) The select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str])</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('a12', 'example with "quotes"') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) Examples: select_option('a48', 'blue')</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 689	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('a12', 'example with "quotes"') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) Examples: select_option('a48', 'blue') select_option('c48', ['red', 'green', 'blue'])</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 689 690	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('a12', 'example with "quotes"') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) Examples: select_option('a48', 'blue') select_option('c48', ['red', 'green', 'blue']) click(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('a12', 'example with "quotes"') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) Examples: select_option('a48', 'blue') select_option('c48', ['red', 'green', 'blue']) click(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing .Literal['Alt', 'Control', 'Meta', 'Shift']] = []) Examples:</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 602	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('a12', 'example vith "quotes"') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) Examples: select_option('a48', 'blue') select_option('a48', 'blue') select_option('c48', ['red', 'green', 'blue']) click(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing .Literal['Alt', 'Control', 'Meta', 'Shift']] = []) Examples: click('a51')</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('412', 'example with "quotes"') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) Examples: select_option('a48', 'blue') select_option('a48', 'blue') select_option('c48', ['red', 'green', 'blue']) click(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing .Literal['Alt', 'Control', 'Meta', 'Shift']] = []) Examples: click('a51') click('d24', button='right') click('d24', button='right') click('d24', button='right') click('d24', button='right')</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('a12', 'example with "quotes"') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) Examples: select_option('a48', 'blue') select_option('c48', ['red', 'green', 'blue']) click(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing .Literal['Alt', 'Control', 'Meta', 'Shift']] = []) Examples: click('a51') click('48', button='right') click('48', button='middle', modifiers=['Shift'])</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 695	<pre>https://www.second contents.com/second co</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 696	<pre>http://www.second contents and the second content and th</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 602	<pre>http://www.stational.com/</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 695 696 697 698	<pre>scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('a12', 'example value') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) Examples: select_option('a48', 'blue') select_option('c48', ['red', 'green', 'blue']) click(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing .Literal['Alt', 'Control', 'Meta', 'Shift']] = []) Examples: click('a51') click('48', button='middle', modifiers=['Shift']) dblclick(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing.Literal['Alt', 'Control', 'Meta', 'Shift']] = []) Examples: dblclick('12') dblclick('12', button='right') dblclick('12', button='right') dblclick('12', button='right')</pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 695 696 697 698 699	<pre>noop(sou) scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('45', 'multi-line\nexample') fill('a12', 'example with "quotes"') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) Examples: select_option('c48', 'blue') select_option('c48', 'blue') select_option('c48', 'lred', 'green', 'blue']) click(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing .Literal['Alt', 'Control', 'Meta', 'Shift']] = []) Examples: click('a51') click('d51', button='right') click('d51', button='middle', modifiers=['Shift']) dblclick(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing.Literal['Alt', 'Control', 'Meta', 'Shift']] = []) Examples: dblclick('124', button='right') dblclick('ca42', button='right') dblclick('178', button='right') dblclick('178', button='right') </pre>
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701	<pre>noop(SUU) scroll(delta_x: float, delta_y: float) Examples: scroll(0, 200) scroll(-50.2, -100.5) fill(bid: str, value: str) Examples: fill('237', 'example value') fill('412', 'example value') fill('412', 'example value') fill('a12', 'example with "quotes"') select_option(bid: str, options: str list[str]) Examples: select_option('a48', 'blue') select_option('c48', ['red', 'green', 'blue']) click(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing Literal['Alt', 'Control', 'Meta', 'Shift']] = []) Examples: click('a51') click('48', button='right') click('48', button='middle', modifiers=['Shift']) dblclick(bid: str, button: Literal['left', 'middle', 'right'] = 'left', modifiers: list[typing_Literal['Alt', 'Control', 'Meta', 'Shift']] = []) Examples: dblclick('178', button='right') fbclick('178', button='right') fbclick('bid: str) </pre>

```
702
          press(bid: str, key_comb: str)
703
              Examples:
                  press('88', 'Backspace')
704
                  press('a26', 'Control+a')
press('a61', 'Meta+Shift+t')
706
          focus(bid: str)
707
              Examples:
                  focus('b455')
709
          clear(bid: str)
710
              Examples:
                  clear('996')
711
          drag and drop(from bid: str, to bid: str)
712
              Examples:
713
                  drag_and_drop('56', '498')
714
          upload file(bid: str, file: str | list[str])
715
              Examples:
                  upload_file('572', 'my_receipt.pdf')
716
                  upload_file('63', ['/home/bob/Documents/image.jpg', '/home/bob/Documents/file.zip
717
                   (1)
718
          Only a single action can be provided at once. Example: fill('al2', 'example with "quotes"')
719
720
          If you are done exploring, you can issue the stop action: ```stop```
721
722
          Here is an example with chain of thought of a valid action when clicking on a button: "In
          order to accomplish my goal I need to click on the button with bid 12. In summary, the next action I will perform is ```click("12")```
723
724
725
726
727
        This is then directly used for various prompts as {action str}.
728
729
                                Listing 2: Prompt for the Exploration Policy \pi_{explore}
730
731
          You are an autonomous intelligent agent tasked with performing tasks on a web interface.
          Your objective is to simulate a task that a person might request, by interacting with the
732
          interface through the use of specific actions.
733
          Here's the information you'll have:
734
          DOM Representation: This is the current webpage's Document Object Model (DOM)
735
          representation as a string.
          The previous action: This is the action you just performed. It may be helpful to track your
736
           progress.
737
          Trajectory: This is a sequence of natural language descriptions of the agent's interaction
          with the web-browser.
738
          Person Description: The description of a specific kind of person whose task you are
          supposed to simulate.
740
          You can perform the following actions: {action_str}
741
          To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules:
742
          1. You should only issue an action that is valid given the current observation.
743
          2. You should only issue one action at a time.
          3. You should reason step by step and then issue the next action.
744
          4. Make sure to wrap your action in a code block using triple backticks.
745
          5. The DOM / Accessibility Tree only shows the visible part of the webpage. If you need to
          interact with elements that are not visible, you can scroll to them using the scroll action
746
           . Often submit buttons are not visible and are at the bottom of the page. To scroll to the
747
          bottom of the page, use the scroll action with a large value for the y coordinate.
          6. To generate an interesting task, make sure you issue atleast 4 actions before stopping.
748
          More interesting tasks typically involve more interactions with the browser.
749
          7. You can issue atmost 20 actions before stopping, but feel free to output the stop action
           early if you want to stop exploring. Don't generate anything after stop.
750
751
752
753
                                             Listing 3: Prompt for \Delta_{LM}
754
          You are given the output of an action taken by an autonomous intelligent agent navigating a
755
           web-interface to fulfill a task given by a user. Your objective is to produce a
```

description of the changes made to the state of the browser.

	Here's the information you'll have: Initial state of the browser as a DOM representation: This is the webpage's Document Object
	Model (DOM) representation as a string. Final state of the browser as a DOM representation: This is the DOM representation after the agent took the action.
	The action taken by the agent: This is the action taken by the agent to change the state of the browser.
	The actions the agent can take come from the following categories: {action_str}
	To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules: 1. Explicitly think about the various features on the website and how the interaction with
	the website changed these features 2. Provide the description of changes in one or two sentences.
	3. Strictly follow the format "State change: <your-answer>" for your output</your-answer>
	Listing 4: Prompt for the Trajectory Labeling function Lf_{LM}
	Given a task from a user, an autonomous intelligent agent carries out a sequence of actions on a web-interface.
	The actions the agent can take fall under the following categories: {action_str}
	Your objective is to guess the instruction the user gave, given the following information: Trajectory: This is a sequence of natural language descriptions of the agent's interaction with the web-browser.
	To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules: 1. Explicitly think about how the trajectory is a valid way to achieve the instruction, before outputing the instruction. 2. Start by thinking by outputing Thought: <your-reasoning>. 3. End your answer by strictly following the format "Instruction: <your-answer>" for your output.</your-answer></your-reasoning>
ſ	Listing 5: Prompt for the reward function $s_{\rm LM}$
	An autonomous intelligent agent navigating a web browser is given an instruction by a user. Your objective is to give a score to the agent based on how well it completed its task. Your score must be on the scale of 1 to 5. Give a score of 5 only when there are no errors. To do this task you are provided with the following information:
	Instruction: This is the natural language instruction given to the agent. Trajectory: This is a sequence of natural language descriptions of the agent's interaction with the web-browser.
	To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules: 1. Explicitly think about what is needed to follow the instruction correctly on the website and if the trajectory reflects these steps.
	2 Give a score of 4 if there are very minor errors, or if the task was more than 70% completed. Give a score of 3 (or below) if the model made very little progress towards the given instruction or if there are minor errors.
	 Start by thinking by outputing Thought: <your-reasoning>.</your-reasoning> End your answer by strictly following the format "Reward: <your-answer>" for your output</your-answer>
	Listing 6: Prompt for the base LLM agent $\pi_{\rm LM}$
	Listing 6: Prompt for the base LLM agent $\pi_{\rm LM}$ You are an autonomous intelligent agent tasked with performing tasks on a web interface. These tasks will be accomplished through the use of specific actions you can issue.
	Listing 6: Prompt for the base LLM agent π_{LM} You are an autonomous intelligent agent tasked with performing tasks on a web interface. These tasks will be accomplished through the use of specific actions you can issue. Here's the information you'll have:
	Listing 6: Prompt for the base LLM agent π_{LM} You are an autonomous intelligent agent tasked with performing tasks on a web interface. These tasks will be accomplished through the use of specific actions you can issue. Here's the information you'll have: DOM Representation: This is the current webpage's Document Object Model (DOM) representation as a string.
	Listing 6: Prompt for the base LLM agent π_{LM} You are an autonomous intelligent agent tasked with performing tasks on a web interface. These tasks will be accomplished through the use of specific actions you can issue. Here's the information you'll have: DOM Representation: This is the current webpage's Document Object Model (DOM) representation as a string. The user's objective: This is the task you're trying to complete. The previous action: This is the action you just performed. It may be helpful to track your
	Listing 6: Prompt for the base LLM agent π_{LM} You are an autonomous intelligent agent tasked with performing tasks on a web interface. These tasks will be accomplished through the use of specific actions you can issue. Here's the information you'll have: DOM Representation: This is the current webpage's Document Object Model (DOM) representation as a string. The user's objective: This is the task you're trying to complete. The previous action: This is the action you just performed. It may be helpful to track your progress.
	Listing 6: Prompt for the base LLM agent π_{LM} You are an autonomous intelligent agent tasked with performing tasks on a web interface. These tasks will be accomplished through the use of specific actions you can issue. Here's the information you'll have: DOM Representation: This is the current webpage's Document Object Model (DOM) representation as a string. The user's objective: This is the task you're trying to complete. The previous action: This is the action you just performed. It may be helpful to track your progress. You can perform the following actions: {action_str}

To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules:

810 1. You should only issue an action that is valid given the current observation 811 2. You should only issue one action at a time. 3. You should follow the examples to reason step by step and then issue the next action. 812 4. Make sure to wrap your action in a code block using triple backticks. 813 5. The DOM / Accessibility Tree only shows the visible part of the webpage. If you need to interact with elements that are not visible, you can scroll to them using the scroll action 814 . Often submit buttons are not visible and are at the bottom of the page. To scroll to the 815 bottom of the page, use the scroll action with a large value for the y coordinate. 6. Issue stop action when you think you have achieved the objective. Don't generate 816 anything after stop. 817 818 819 820 Listing 7: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories 821 You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web-822 interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} 823 824 You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of a DOM string), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to 825 output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the 826 following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. 827 Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t. 828 Action: This is the action taken by the agent at time-step t. 829 Here are some example reasoning outputs for some random tasks: 830 Instruction: select_option('checkbox_group', ['appetizing', 'bunnies', 'weird', 'dull']) 831 Intermediate State: {state} 832 Action: click('21') 833 Output: Let's think step by step. The user instruction is to select multiple options from a 834 checkbox group, specifically 'appetizing', 'bunnies', 'weird', and 'dull'. In the current intermediate state, I can see the checkboxes for these options, and they are represented by 835 input elements with specific bid attributes. The action I took was to click on the 836 checkbox corresponding to 'appetizing', which is represented by the input with bid '18'. Now I will check the 'bunnies' checkbox, moving closer to fulfilling the user's request to select the specified options. In summary, the next action I will perform is ```click('21') 837 838 839 Instruction: click('Macie') 840 Intermediate State: {state} Action: click('21') 841 842 Output: Let's think step by step. The user instruction is to click on 'Macie', which is represented by the HTML element with bid '21'. In the intermediate state of the web page, ' 843 Macie' is identified as a folder that is expandable and clickable. By executing the click 844 action on this element, I will open the folder associated with 'Macie', which aligns with the user's intention to interact with it. In summary, the next action I will perform is \cdots 845 click('21') `` 846 847 To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules: 848 1. Explictly think about how executing the given action will change the web-page in a way that gets the agent closer to achieving the user instruction 849 2. You should strictly follow the format "Output: Let's think step by step. <agent 850 reasoning>. In summary, the next action I will perform is ```[action]``` ". Here make sure to replace [action] with the provided action. 851 852 853

A.2 WEBARENA

854 855

856 857 858 Next, we present all prompts for WebArena. The action space for WebArena is:

Listing 8: Action Space

859	Page Operation Actions:
860	'click [id]': This action clicks on an element with a specific id on the webpage.
861	'type [id] [content] [press_enter_after=0 1]': Use this to type the content into the field with id. By default, the "Enter" key is pressed after typing unless press_enter_after is
862	set to 0.
062	'hover [id]': Hover over an element with id.
003	'press [key_comb]': Simulates the pressing of a key combination on the keyboard (e.g.,
	(tr)+v

١,	croll [direction=down up]': Scroll the page up or down.
3	(
Ta	b Management Actions:
't	ab_focus [tab_index]': Switch the browser's focus to a specific tab using its index.
`c	lose_tab': Close the currently active tab.
JR	L Navigation Actions:
`g	oto [url]': Navigate to a specific URL.
g	o_back': Navigate to the previously viewed page.
9	o_forward . Navigate to the next page (if a providably go_back action was performed).
20 `°	mpletion Action:
3	top [done] . Issue this action when you are done.
Ho	mepage:
. ⊥ 1	list of websites you can visit.
hi	s is then directly used for various prompts as {action_str}.
_	Listing 9: Prompt for the Exploration Policy π_{explore}
Yo	ou are an autonomous intelligent agent tasked with navigating a web browser. Your
מנ rc	over through the use of specific actions.
1e	ere s the information you if have:
ſh	e current web page's accessibility tree: This is a simplified representation of the
≀e ſh	ppage, providing key information. Ne current web page's URL: This is the page you're currently navigating.
Γh	e open tabs: These are the tabs you have open.
ſh r	e previous action: This is the action you just performed. It may be helpful to track you
ſr	ajectory: This is a sequence of natural language descriptions of the agent's interaction
vi	th the web-browser.
su	apposed to simulate.
гъ	e actions you can perform fall into several categories. (action str)
	e actions you can perform fair into several categories. (action_str)
Го 1	be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules:
2.	You should only issue one action at a time.
3.	You should follow the examples to reason step by step and then issue the next action.
±. E	ind with "In summary, the next action I will perform is" phrase, followed by action insid
`	
on cl	the like button which has id 1234. In summary, the next action I will perform is ``` .ick [1234]```".
5 .	To generate an interesting task, make sure you issue atleast 4 actions before stopping.
10 5	re interesting tasks typically involve more interactions with the browser.
e.	early if you want to stop exploring. Don't generate anything after stop.
τ-	re are some outputs for some random to lot
19	Let's think step-by-step. This page list the information of HP Inkjet Fax Machine, whic
i	s the product identified in the objective. Its price is \$279.49. I think I have achieved
.h √j	e ou jective. ۱ will issue the stop action with the answer. In summary, the next action I ال perform is ```stop [\$279.49]```
2.	Let's think step-by-step. This page has a search box whose ID is [164]. According to th
n re	nominatim rule of openstreetmap, I can search for the restaurants near a location by "
n	ext action I will perform is ```type [164] [restaurants near CMU] [1]``
_	
	Listing 10: Prompt for Δ_{LM}
0	ou are given the output of an action taken by an autonomous intelligent agent navigating

918 Here's the information you'll have: 919 Initial state of the browser as an accessibility tree: This is a simplified representation 920 of the webpage, providing key information. Final state of the browser: This is the accessibility tree representation after the agent 921 922 took the action 923 The action taken by the web agent: The agent can take actions that fall under the following categories: {action str} 924 925 To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules: 1. Explicitly think about the various features on the website and how the interaction with 926 the website changed these features 927 2. Provide the description of changes in one or two sentences. 3. Strictly follow the format "State change: <your-answer>" for your output 928 929 930 931 Listing 11: Prompt for the Trajectory Labeling function Lf_{LM} 932 933 Given an instruction from a user, an autonomous intelligent agent carries out a sequence of actions on a web-browser. The actions the agent can take fall under the following 934 categories: {action_str} 935 Your objective is to guess the instruction the user gave, given the following information: 936 Trajectory: This is a sequence of natural language descriptions of the agent's interaction with the web-browser. 937 938 Here are some examples of user instructions: 1. Get the distance from SF airport to Palo Alto. 939 2. Find out the price of Apple airpods 940 3. Add 5 items to cart 4. Make a comment on the first post in the r/gaming subreddit. 941 942 To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules: 1. Explictly think about how the trajectory is a valid way to achieve the instruction, 943 before outputing the instruction. 944 Start by thinking by outputing Thought: <your-reasoning>. 3. End your answer by strictly following the format "Instruction: <your-answer>" for your 945 output 946 947 948 949 Listing 12: Prompt for the reward function s_{LM} 950 An autonomous intelligent agent navigating a web browser is given an instruction by a user. 951 Your objective is to give a score to the agent based on how well it completed its task. Your score must be on the scale of 1 to 5. Give a score of 5 only when there are no errors. 952 To do this task you are provided with the following information: 953 Instruction: This is the natural language instruction given to the agent. 954 Trajectory: This is a sequence of natural language descriptions of the agent's interaction 955 with the web-browser. 956 To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules: 957 1. Explicitly think about what is needed to follow the instruction correctly on the website and if the trajectory reflects these steps. 958 2 Give a score of 4 if there are minor errors, or if the task was more than 70% completed. 959 Give a score of 3 (or below) if the model made very little progress towards the given instruction. 960 3. Start by thinking by outputing Thought: <your-reasoning>. 961 4. End your answer by strictly following the format "Reward: <your-answer>" for your output 962 963 964 Listing 13: Prompt for the base LLM agent π_{LM} 965 966 You are an autonomous intelligent agent tasked with navigating a web browser. You will be given web-based tasks. These tasks will be accomplished through the use of specific actions 967 you can issue. 968 Here's the information you'll have: 969 The user's objective: This is the task you're trying to complete. 970 The current web page's accessibility tree: This is a simplified representation of the webpage, providing key information. 971 The current web page's URL: This is the page you're currently navigating.

The open tabs: These are the tabs you have open.

972		
973	The previous actions: These are all the action you have performed. It may be helpful to track your progress.	
974	The actions you can perform fall into several categories. {action str}	
975	The accience for can perform fair fines concrat caccigorico. (accion_ocr)	
976 977	To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules: 1. You should only issue an action that is valid given the current observation	
079	2. You should only issue one action at a time.	
979	4. You are strictly forbidden from issuing a goto action to a URL that is not on the	
980	homepage. 5. Generate the action in the correct format. Start by reasoning about the current	
981	situation. End with "In summary, the next action I will perform is" phrase, followed by action inside ``````. For example, "Let's think step-by-step. Given the current state, I	
982	need to click on the like button which has id 1234. In summary, the next action I will	
983	6. Issue stop action when you think you have achieved the objective. Don't generate	
984	anything after stop.	
985	Here are some example outputs for some random tasks:	
986	1. Let's think step-by-step. This page list the information of HP Inkjet Fax Machine, which	
987	the objective. I will issue the stop action with the answer. In summary, the next action I	
988	will perform is ```stop [\$279.49]``` 2 Let's think step-by-step. This page has a search boy whose ID is [164] According to the	
989	nominatim rule of openstreetmap, I can search for the restaurants near a location by	
990	restaurants near". I can submit my typing by pressing the Enter afterwards. In summary, the next action I will perform is ```type [164] [restaurants near CMUI [1]```	
991		
992		
552		
993		
993 994	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories	
993 994 995 996	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user.	
993 994 995 996 997	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user.	
993 994 995 996 997 998	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str}	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user.	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t.	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t. Action: This is the action taken by the agent at time-step t.	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t. Action: This is the action taken by the agent at time-step t. Here are some example reasoning outputs for some random tasks	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t. Action: This is the action taken by the agent at time-step t. Here are some example reasoning outputs for some random tasks Instruction: Find directions from CMU to Downtown Pittsburgh	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t. Action: This is the action taken by the agent at time-step t. Here are some example reasoning outputs for some random tasks Instruction: Find directions from CMU to Downtown Pittsburgh Intermediate State: {state} Action: click (4821	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007	<pre>Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t. Action: This is the action taken by the agent at time-step t. Here are some example reasoning outputs for some random tasks Instruction: Find directions from CMU to Downtown Pittsburgh Intermediate State: {state} Action: click [482]</pre>	
993 994 995 995 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t. Action: This is the action taken by the agent at time-step t. Here are some example reasoning outputs for some random tasks Instruction: Find directions from CMU to Downtown Pittsburgh Intermediate State: {state} Action: click [482] Output: Let's think step-by-step. Since my previous attempt to click the 'Go' button failed I will try clicking the 'Find directions between two points' link instruct This could	
993 994 995 995 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t. Action: This is the action taken by the agent at time-step t. Here are some example reasoning outputs for some random tasks Instruction: Find directions from CMU to Downtown Pittsburgh Intermediate State: {state} Action: click [482] Output: Let's think step-by-step. Since my previous attempt to click the 'Go' button failed , I will try clicking the 'Find directions between two points' link instead. This could help in planning public transportation routes effectively. In summary, the next action I	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t. Action: This is the action taken by the agent at time-step t. Here are some example reasoning outputs for some random tasks Instruction: Find directions from CMU to Downtown Pittsburgh Intermediate State: {state} Action: click [482] Output: Let's think step-by-step. Since my previous attempt to click the 'Go' button failed , I will try clicking the 'Find directions between two points' link instead. This could help in planning public transportation routes effectively. In summary, the next action I will perform is ```click [482]```	
993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1010	Listing 14: Prompt for adding reasoning steps retroactively to filtered trajectories You are an autonomous intelligent agent that carries out a sequence of actions on a web- interface, given an instruction from a user. The actions you can take fall under the following categories: {action_str} You are given the user instruction, an intermediate state of the web-page (in the form of an accessibility tree), and the action you took for that intermediate state. Your objective is to output your reasoning for choosing that specific action. In summary, you are given the following Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. Intermediate State: This is the state of the web-page at some time-step t. Action: This is the action taken by the agent at time-step t. Here are some example reasoning outputs for some random tasks Instruction: Find directions from CMU to Downtown Pittsburgh Intermediate State: {state} Action: click [482] Output: Let's think step-by-step. Since my previous attempt to click the 'Go' button failed , I will try clicking the 'Find directions between two points' link instead. This could help in planning public transportation routes effectively. In summary, the next action I will perform is ```click [482]``` Instruction: Navigate to the 'Orders' section and create a new customer for order	

1013 Intermediate state: {s Action: click [1583]

1015 Output: Let's think step-by-step. Currently, I am in the Orders section of the Magento Admin panel. I see a button labeled 'Create New Order,' which is likely the next step for creating orders. In summary, the next action I will perform is ```click [1583]``` to create a new order.

1018 To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules: 1019 1. Explicitly think about how executing the given action will change the web-page in a way that gets the agent closer to achieving the user instruction 2. Make sure to wrap the action inside triple backticks (such as ```click [1234]```, ``` type [12] [Hotels near CMU]```) as shown in the examples and strictly follow the format " Output: Let's think step by step. <agent reasoning>. In summary, the next action I will perform is ```[action]``` ". Here make sure to replace [action] with the provided action.

1023 1024 1025

Listing 15: Prompt for appending the special [stop] action in WebArena

1026 Given an instruction from a user, an autonomous intelligent agent carries out a sequence of 1027 actions on a web-browser. The actions the agent can take fall under the following 1028 categories (we also provide the descriptions of each action): {action_str} 1029 You are given the user instruction, and the final webpage after the agent finished its task 1030 . Unfortunately, we forgot to collect the final stop action from the agent. Your objective is to guess the agent's stop action. To do this, you are given the following 1031 Instruction: This is the instruction given by the user. 1032 Final State: This is the final state of the web-page after the agent executed its actions 1033 on the browser. 1034 Here are some examples of valid outputs: 1. Let's think step-by-step. The task requires me to find the person with the most number of upvotes. I see the answer to that is Alice Oh. Therefore I will stop now. In summary, my 1035 1036 next action will be ```stop [Alice Oh]```. 2. Let's think step-by-step. The task required setting the price of Sprite to 25\$ which I have already done. Thus I will stop now. In summary, my next action will be ```stop [N/A 3. Let's think step-by-step. I was supposed to find the distance from Brad's house to the 1039 coffee shop. I see this info on the map as 0.3 miles. Thus I will issue the stop action. In summary, my next action will be ```stop [0.3 miles]``` 1040 1041 To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules: 1042 1. Explictly think about what kind of a stop action was needed. For instance, if the user 1043 requests information (e.g. Search for airports near CMU or Find developers with more than 5 merge requests), then the stop action should have the answer based on the final web-page (
e.g. ```stop [Pittsburgh Airport]``` or ```stop [Don Knuth, Alan Turing]```). Otherwise, 1044 1045 the stop action should be without any arguments (e.g. ```stop```). 2. Your output should include reasoning steps. Also make sure to wrap the stop action in triple backticks for e.g. ```stop [<your answer>]```. Overall, follow the following format 1046 for your output: "Let's think step by step. <your reasoning>. In summary, my next action 1047 should be ```stop [<your answer>]``` 1048

1049

1050

1051

1052 1053

1054

1055 1056 1057

1058

B MODEL-BASED EVALUATION: DETAILS

For each (g, τ) pair we first use Δ_{LM} to compute the sequence of changes δ_{τ} , which is then passed into the reward module along with g. We implement the reward module as a prompted LM, using the largest GPT-40 (specifically gpt-40-2024-08-06) with the following prompt:

Listing 16: Prompt for the model-based evaluator

```
An autonomous intelligent agent navigating a web browser is given an instruction by a user.
1059
           Your objective is to give a score to the agent based on how well it completed its task.
          Your score must be on the scale of 1 to 5. Give a score of 5 only when there are no errors.
1061
           To do this task you are provided with the following information:
1062
          Instruction: Natural language instruction given to the agent.
1063
          Trajectory: Sequence of language descriptions of the agent's interaction with the browser.
1064
          Here are some guidelines for scoring:
1065
          1. Give a score of 5 if there are no errors.
          2. Give a score of 4 if the task was almost correctly done (i.e. for form filling, most of
1066
          the fields are filled or for a search task, a query was correctly typed, and the agent
1067
          navigated to the right links).
          3. Give a score of 3 if the task was only partially completed (i.e for form filling, less
1068
          than half the fields are filled out) and if there are other minor execution errors.
1069
          4. Give a score of 1 or 2 if there are major execution errors, or the task was hardly
          completed, or if the agent did something completely unrelated.
1070
1071
          To be successful, it is very important to follow the following rules:
1. Explicitly think about what is needed to follow the instruction correctly on the website
          and if the trajectory reflects these steps.
1073
          2. Start by thinking by outputing Thought: <vour-reasoning>.
          3. End your answer by strictly following the format "Reward: <your-answer>" for your output
1074
1075
```

1075 1076 1077

1078

C PROCESSING DEMONSTRATIONS FOR SFT

1079 As mentioned in §2, for supervised finetuning each demonstration is converted into multiple training instances. We perform this conversion differently based on input features of π_{LM} .

1080	Dataset	NNetnav	NNotnay (self_train)	Instruction-First
1081	Dataset	Miechav	wweenav (sen-train)	Instruction-1 inst
1082	MiniWoB++	2288	-	8559
1083	WebArena	9737	2204	1681

Table 6: Number of instances for supervised training experiments of §5 under various settings.
Between NNetnav and Instruction-First, we only control for the number of episodes for a fair comparison, which results in different number of training instances.

MiniWoB++. For MiniWoB++, π_{LM} conditions on the current observation o_t , the goal g and the previous action a_{t-1} (see prompt in §A.1). Thus, we pre-process each (g, τ) demonstration into inputs (g, o_t, a_{t-1}) with the corresponding reasoning step and action (r_t, a_t) as the target output.

WebArena. For WebArena, π_{LM} conditions on the current observation o_t , the goal g and all previous actions $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{t-1}\}$ (see prompt in §A.2). Thus, we pre-process each (g, τ) demonstration into inputs $(g, o_t, \{a_{< t}\})$ with (r_t, a_t) as the target output.

1096 We report number of training instances from NNetnav and instruction-first generation for both 1097 environments in Table 6.

1099 D TRAINING DETAILS

Additional Hyperparameters. For all Llama-3-8B-Instruct finetuning experiments, we set the
 batch size for training as 128 × 4096, train for 5 epochs, with a learning rate of 2e-5 that linearly
 warms up from 0 over 3% of total training steps. We use 4 A100 GPUs with 80GB GPU memory,
 and additionally use DeepSpeed ZeRO-3 (Rajbhandari et al., 2020) to speed up training and manage
 memory.

1107 E NNETNAV-6K EXAMPLES

Find a kitchen utensil organizer.	
Find a kitchen utensil organizer within a certain b	budget.
Write a review for the product "Citric Acid 2 Pou	unds 100% Pure Organic Food Grade".
Find the price of kitchen gadgets that can be used	d for dining and entertaining, and add them to the
Browse for women's clothing items, specifically	jumpsuits, and add some to cart.
Change the stock status of the Sprite Stasis Ball 6	65 cm to In Stock.
Fashionable WatchFW101', price \$100.00, and se	et as new from 2024-01-01.
Update the price of Sprite Stasis Ball 55 cm to \$2	24.50 and set its quantity to 50.
Add two products, "Abominable Hoodie" and "	"Samsung Smart TV", with respective prices \$9
\$50.00, and then start the process of adding a new	Reddit
Create a new forum called "Funny Stuff" with the and discussing funny memes and LOLs", and side	e title "Memes and LOLs", description "A place to lebar "Memes of the day".
Find a webpage related to intraday trading strateg	gies on the wallstreetbets forum.
Find and participate in a discussion on the wallst	reetbets forum about intraday trading strategy, spo
on a post titled "Swings and roundabouts".	
the search results for "r/art".	language and Africa/Accra as the time zone, and t
	Maps
Get walking directions from Logan Street, Pittsbu	rrgh, PA to Carnegie Mellon University on OpenSt
Get the cycling directions from Brooklyn to Man	ıhattan.
Find the driving directions from TLC Medical Manhattan	Transportation Services in Syracuse to Times S
	Gitlab
Create a new project named 'My Blog Post Proie	ect' and add an Apache License 2.0 file.
Create a new project, add a LICENSE file with	Apache License 2.0, and approve the "Add ver
functions" merge request.	
Search for a README.md file within the "My N Edit the issue "Link to WCAC 2.1 instead of 2.02	New Project" project and verify its contents.
its title and description to point to WCAG 2.1 gui	idelines instead of 2.0 guidelines.
Investigate the node-http-proxy project's issue #99	92 regarding connection headers and determine its r
to the Byte Blaze project.	
Investigate and comment on the "Outdated deper accessible-html-content-natterns" project	ndencies" issue in the "Byte BlazeByte BlazeByt
accessione mini content patterns project.	
Cable 7: Some Example demonstrations obtain	ned from NNetnav-6k. We note that these in
re hierarchical, refer to concrete features and	l entities and plausible by design.