FAIRFEDMED: ACHIEVING EQUITY IN MEDICAL FEDERATED LEARNING VIA FAIRLORA

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

Abstract

Fairness remains a critical concern in healthcare, where unequal access to services and treatment outcomes can adversely affect patient health. While Federated Learning (FL) presents a collaborative and privacy-preserving approach to model training, ensuring fairness is challenging due to heterogeneous data across institutions, and current research primarily addresses non-medical applications. To fill this gap, we introduce *FairFedMed*, the first FL dataset specifically designed to study group fairness (i.e., demographics) in the medical field. It consists of paired 2D SLO funfus images and 3D OCT B-Scans from 15,165 glaucoma patients, along with six different demographic attributes. Existing stateof-the-art FL models may work well for natural images but often struggle with medical images due to their unique characteristics. Moreover, these models do not sufficiently address performance disparities across diverse demographic groups. To overcome these limitations, we propose *FairLoRA*, a novel fairnessaware FL framework based on singular value decomposition(SVD)-based lowrank approximation. FairLoRA incorporates customized singular value matrices for each demographic group and shares singular vector matrices across all demographic groups, ensuring both model equity and computational efficiency. Experimental results on the FairFedMed dataset demonstrate that FairLoRA not only achieves state-of-the-art performance in medical image classification but also significantly improves fairness across diverse populations. Our code and dataset can be accessible via the Github anonymous link: https://github.com/ Anonymouse4Science/FairFedMed-FairLoRA.git

031 032

034

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

024

025

026

027

028

029

1 INTRODUCTION

Fairness is a critical issue in healthcare, as unequal access to services and disparities in treatment outcomes can adversely affect patient health and quality of life. The increasing reliance on artificial intelligence complicates this challenge, as many models are trained on data from specific populations that do not adequately represent all demographic groups. To ensure equitable healthcare, it is essential for models to achieve strong overall performance while consistently performing well across diverse populations, making fairness a key challenge in medical applications.

041 A major barrier to achieving fairness in the medical field is the challenge of sharing sensitive pa-042 tient data across institutions due to intellectual property and privacy concerns. Traditional central-043 ized training methods require aggregating data from multiple sources, which poses significant risks 044 of data breaches and privacy violations. This limitation hinders multi-institution collaborations, where diverse datasets are crucial for developing accurate and fair models. Federated Learning (FL) McMahan et al. (2017); Ezzeldin et al. (2023) has emerged as a promising solution to address this 046 barrier. FL allows institutions to jointly train models in a distributed fashion without sharing raw 047 data, thereby protecting patient data privacy. This decentralized method keeps sensitive information 048 local, reducing privacy risks while enhancing model robustness and generalizability. 049

While FL addresses privacy concerns, it encounters challenges related to fairness due to varying
data distributions across institutions. Each client may have different local data and demographic
distributions, making it complex to ensure fairness within the FL framework. Research on fairness
in FL is categorized into site fairness and group fairness, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Site fairness
ensures the model performs equally across institutions, preventing any site from suffering negative



Figure 1: Comparison between site fairness and group fairness in federated learning. Site fairness guarantees consistent model accuracy across each client's local dataset, while group fairness ensures equitable performance across different demographic groups within those datasets.

impacts from differences in data quantity or quality. Group fairness ensures that the model performs
 equitably across various demographic attributes such as different racial groups or ethnic groups.
 In healthcare, group fairness holds profound significance, as misdiagnosis in certain demographic
 groups can lead to severe consequences for patients.

Unfortunately, current research on group fairness in FL mainly focuses on non-medical tasks, such as recommendation systems (Agrawal et al., 2024) and financial transactions (Badar et al., 2024).
In the medical field, cross-institution demographic differences are common due to geographic heterogeneity (e.g., hospitals in Asia and hospitals in North America), but research on how to manage these differences within the FL framework is relatively limited. Bridging this gap is crucial for developing models that promote health equity and uphold the principle of justice.

In this paper, we address the shortage of medical datasets for fairness-aware FL by introducing the *FairFedMed* dataset for eye disease. This dataset includes 15,165 images from real-world clinics, consisting of paired 2D scanning laser ophthalmoscop (SLO) fundus images and 3D optical coherence tomography (OCT) B-Scans from 15,165 patients. To our knowledge, it is the first FL dataset for group fairness that includes both real-world 2D and 3D medical images, including six different demographic attributes: age, gender, race, ethnicity, preferred language, and marital status. The dataset is partitioned into multiple clients with varied demographic distributions reflecting a realworld FL setting for group fainrness.

087 Existing state-of-the-art FL methods perform well with natural images but often struggle with med-088 ical images due to their unique characteristics. Moreover, these models fail to sufficiently address group fairness across different demographics. To overcome these limitations, we propose FairLoRA, 089 a fairness-aware FL framework for eye disease classification. This framework is based on CLIP 090 (Radford et al., 2021) and introduces fairness into the singular value decomposition (SVD) low-rank 091 approximation method. Specifically, to preserve unique intra-group characteristics, FairLoRA cus-092 tomizes singular value matrices for each demographic group while sharing singular vector matrices across all groups to capture inter-group relationships. Local models train a set of low-rank matri-094 ces on their local data, and the global model integrates matrices from different clients, allowing the 095 model to aggregate global knowledge about demographic attributes from distributed data. This col-096 laborative approach ensures that the model is not biased toward any particular client or demographic group. Experimental results on the FairFedMed dataset demonstrate that our model achieves state-098 of-the-art performance in medical image classification while ensuring equitable outcomes across demographic groups. Our main contributions are summarized as follows: 099

100 101

102

103

105

065

066

- We introduce FairFedMed, the first FL dataset for group fairness that includes real-world paired 2D and 3D medical images, along with six different demographic attributes.
- We create an experimental setup that divides the dataset into multiple distinct clients with varied demographic distributions, simulating a real-world FL environment with group fairness. We benchmark several state-of-the-art FL methods under this setting.
- We propose FairLoRA, a fairness-aware FL framework for eye disease classification. It customizes singular value matrices for each group to preserve unique characteristics while sharing singular vector matrices across groups to capture inter-group relationships.

108 2 RELATED WORK

109

110 Fairness Learning in Medical Imaging: Fairness learning in medical imaging aims to reduce bi-111 ases and ensure equitable outcomes for all patient groups, particularly underrepresented minorities. 112 Currently, most research focuses on fairness models and datasets in classification tasks, with limited 113 attention given to cross-domain fairness. Publicly available datasets, such as CheXpert (Irvin et al., 114 2019), MIMIC-CXR (Johnson et al., 2019), and Fitzpatrick17k (Groh et al., 2021), support fairness studies but often lack comprehensive identity attributes, focus predominantly on 2D images, and 115 116 do not include federated clients/sites representing diverse demographic distributions, limiting their applicability to federated learning tasks or 3D medical imaging. Recent methods (Quadrianto et al., 117 2019; Ramaswamy et al., 2021; Zhang & Sang, 2020; Park et al., 2022; Roh et al., 2020; Sarhan 118 et al., 2020; Zafar et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2022; Kim et al., 2019; Lohia et al., 119 2019) have made progress in algorithmic fairness for medical imaging, but these approaches mainly 120 address bias within individual clients or sites. The performance of multiple clients in a federated 121 learning setup remains largely unexplored, representing a significant gap in fairness research. 122

Federated Learning (FL) is a decentralized machine learning paradigm enabling multiple clients 123 to collaboratively train a global model while keeping their local data private. FL approaches typi-124 cally fall into two categories: traditional fully parameter-updated models and prompt learning meth-125 ods. Traditional FL methods (McMahan et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020; 2021; Mendieta et al., 2022) 126 aggregate model parameters from distributed clients to update a global model, allowing collabora-127 tive learning while preserving low communication. FedAvg (McMahan et al., 2017) averages local 128 model updates, providing a straightforward and effective solution for various tasks. In contrast, 129 FedProx (Li et al., 2020) adds a proximal term to the objective function, improving stability and 130 performance in heterogeneous environments. Prompt learning methods (Guo et al., 2023; Zhao 131 et al., 2022; Su et al., 2022; Li et al., 2024) customize task-specific text prompts for each client, enabling local and global communication without altering model parameters. PromptFL (Guo et al., 132 2023) allows clients to train soft prompts instead of the entire model, significantly reducing aggre-133 gation overhead and accelerating local training. FedOTP (Li et al., 2024) balances global consensus 134 and local personalization by learning both global and local prompts, using Optimal Transport to 135 align local visual features with prompts and address heterogeneities such as label and feature shifts. 136 The latest methods (Yi et al., 2023; Sun et al., 2024) introduce LoRA (Hu et al., 2022) into FL 137 models to achieve a balance between performance and communication cost. 138

Fairness in Federated Learning: Fairness in FL has gained attention due to its unique challenges 139 compared to centralized learning. Research on fairness in FL can be categorized into two main areas: 140 Site Fairness and Group Fairness, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Site Fairness (Pan et al., 2024b; Cheng 141 et al., 2024; Pan et al., 2024a) ensures equitable handling of model updates from clients, especially 142 when data quality, quantity, or distribution varies. Disparities can lead to models favoring clients 143 with larger or higher-quality datasets. Techniques have been developed to equalize client influence 144 during model aggregation, such as FedLF (Pan et al., 2024b), which uses multi-objective optimiza-145 tion to minimize gradient conflicts and promote equitable model improvements. Group Fairness 146 (Ezzeldin et al., 2023; Agrawal et al., 2024; Badar et al., 2024) focuses on equitable model per-147 formance across demographic groups within clients' local datasets. FairFed (Ezzeldin et al., 2023) 148 implements a fairness-aware aggregation method, enabling local debiasing and adjusting aggregation weights based on local and global fairness assessments. FairTrade (Badar et al., 2024) employs 149 multi-objective and Bayesian optimization to balance fairness and accuracy. However, most existing 150 studies focus on non-medical applications, like recommendation systems, where biased outcomes 151 are less critical. Despite the urgent need for fairness in healthcare, research on group fairness in 152 federated learning for medical applications is limited, revealing a significant gap in this field. 153

154

3 FAIRFEDMED DATASET ANALYSIS

155 156

To address the shortage of medical datasets for fairness-aware FL, we propose FairFedMed dataset. It contains 15,165 samples from 15,165 subjects with an average age of 61.3 ± 16.3 years. Each sample includes both 2D scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (SLO) fundus image and 3D optical coherence tomography (OCT) B-scans, with each containing 128 B-scan images. These images are visualized in the **Appendix A**. Within this dataset, we have six demographic attributes including age, gender, race, ethnicity, preferred language, and marital status. The demographic distributions



Figure 2: Demographic distribution across three sites: significant fairness disparities among groups, such as Asian, Black and White in the Race attribute.

171

are as follows: Gender: Female: 57.0%, and Male: 43.0%; Race: Asian: 8.4%, Black: 14.7%, and
White: 76.9%. Ethnicity: Non-Hispanic: 92.7%, Hispanics: 3.7%, Unknown: 3.5%. Preferred
Language: English: 92.5%, Spanish: 1.6%, Others: 4.0%, and Unknown: 1.9%. Marital Status:
Married or Partnered: 57.6%, Singe: 26.1%, Divorced: 6.8%, Legally Separated: 0.9%, Windowed:
6.1%, and Unknown: 2.4%. The glaucomatous status of subjects is defined through a comprehensive
clinical measurements, where glaucoma and non-glaucoma samples account for 49.0% and 51.0%.

In this work, we divide all subjects into three separate sites, with 80% of the data at each site used for
 model training and 20% reserved for testing. We focus on three demographic attributes including
 race, ethnicity and language with varying cross-site distributions. The demographic distributions
 across three sites are summarized in 2. Given the comprehensive image modalities and demographic
 attributes of subject samples, our dataset can be used to study different FL settings, *i.e.*, varying
 number of sites and cross-site image modality differences.

186 187

188 189

196

4 Method

4.1 PRELIMINARY

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) Golub & Reinsch (1971) decomposes a matrix into orthogonal components, providing insights into the geometry of linear transformations and offering a powerful tool for dimensionality reduction. To improve efficiency, the Compact SVD is proposed to reduce the size of the decomposed matrix by retaining only the *r* largest singular values and their corresponding singular vectors. For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, the compact matrix representation can be represented as

$$\mathbf{A} \approx \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{V}^{\top}, \tag{1}$$

where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ contain the left and right singular vectors corresponding to the largest r singular values in $S \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$. Here, $r \ll \min(m, n)$ is the rank of A.

199 The SVD-based Adaptation Zhang et al. (2023) extends this concept to model fine-tuning by apply-200 ing low-rank updates, thereby reducing the number of trainable parameters while preserving model 201 performance, making it especially suitable for optimizing large-scale models. The low-rank adapta-202 tion (LoRA) Hu et al. (2022) is essentially the simplest form of SVD, where the singular value matrix 203 S is omitted. In LoRA, the weight matrix is expressed as: $W = W_0 + \Delta W = W_0 + UV^{\top}$, where 204 W_0 is the full-rank weight matrix, and $\Delta W = UV^{\top}$ represents the low-rank update. By contrast, the standard SVD-based LoRA includes the singular value matrix S, whose updated weight matrix 205 can be formulated as $W = W_0 + \Delta W = W_0 + USV^{\top}$. The inclusion of the diagonal matrix 206 S enables precise control over the contribution of each singular vector component to the update. 207 Since S contains fewer parameters (just scalars along the diagonal), it offers greater flexibility with 208 minimal parameter overhead. 209

210 211

4.2 FEDERATED LEARNING USING LORA

In Federated Learning, the model is trained collaboratively across multiple clients, each with its local data. Let the global model weights be denoted by \overline{W}^t at training round t. Each client k maintains a local copy of the model weights, W_k^t , and updates its local model based on its own data. The local update for client k is computed as: $W_k^{t+1} = \overline{W}^t - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}_{D_k}(\overline{W}^t)$, where η is the

228 229

248

249

256

257

266 267 268

269



Figure 3: Overview of fairness-aware federated learning model: FairLoRA, where singular value matrices are customized for each demographic group, such as 'Black', 'Asian', 'White'.

learning rate, and $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{D_k}(\overline{\boldsymbol{W}}^t)$ is the gradient of the loss function with respect to the local data of the 230 k client. After the local updates, the clients communicate their updated weights to the central server, 231 which aggregates them to update the global model: $\overline{W}^{t+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k W_k^{t+1}$, where $\alpha_k = n_k/n$ represents the weights assigned to each client, with n_k denoting the number of data samples at client 232 233 k and $n = \sum_{k=1}^{K} n_k$ being the total number of samples across all clients. This weighted average allows clients with larger datasets to contribute proportionally to the update of the global model. 234 235 236

To enhance effective fine-tuning, we adapt a low-rank adaptation within the FL framework, en-237 abling more effective and efficient parameter updates across decentralized datasets. For each 238 client k, the model weights incorporating low-rank adaptation at round t can be expressed as: $W_k^t = W_0 + \Delta W_k^t$, where W_0 is the pre-trained model weights and ΔW_k^t is the low-rank update in the client k. The low-rank term can be implemented either using LoRA or SVD-based LoRA, such that $\Delta W_k^t = U_k^t V_k^{t^{\top}}$ for LoRA or $\Delta W_k^t = U_k^t S_k^t V_k^{t^{\top}}$ for SVD-based LoRA. The local update for client k depends on the update of low-rank term, which is computed as 239 240 241 242 243 $\Delta W_k^{t+1} = \overline{\Delta W}^t - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}_{D_k}(\Delta \overline{W}^t)$. Consequently, the global aggregation on the low-rank term 244 is computed as $\overline{W}^{t+1} = W_0 + \Delta \overline{W}^{t+1} = W_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k \Delta W_k^{t+1}$. This adaptation enables the model to leverage low-rank approximations for the local data at each client, improving computa-245 246 tional efficiency while preserving the integrity of the learned representations. 247

4.3 FEDERATED LEARNING USING FAIRLORA

250 Considering the inherent demographic factors in medical data, the primary challenge is to ensure that the federated model facilitates equitable training across diverse client datasets. To address this issue, we propose FairLoRA, whose overview is shown in Fig. 3. FairLoRA allows for the specification 253 of customized singular value matrices for each demographic group within the low-rank adaptation framework. This personalization enhances the fairness awareness concerning demographic factors. 254 In FairLoRA, the low-rank adaptation for the k-th client are represented as follows: 255

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{t} = \boldsymbol{W}_{0} + \Delta \boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{t} = \boldsymbol{W}_{0} + \boldsymbol{U}_{k}^{t} \left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \pi_{g} \boldsymbol{S}_{k,g}^{t} \right) \boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{t^{\top}},$$
(2)

where $\Delta W_k^t = U_k^t \left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \pi_g S_{k,g}^t \right) V_k^{t^\top}$ represents the fairness-aware low-rank update, whose ar-258 chitecture is illustrated in the right of Fig. 3. On one hand, the customized singular value matrix 259 $S_{k,q}^{t}$ is customized for each demographic group g, preserving the unique characteristics. The vector 260 261 $[\pi_1, \cdots, \pi_g, \cdots, \pi_{|\mathcal{G}|}]$ is a one-hot encoding, ensuring that only the target demographic group has a non-zero value, as $\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \pi_g = 1$. On the other hand, the left and right singular vector matrices 262 U_k^t and V_k^t are shared among all demographic groups, allowing the model to capture inter-group 264 relationships. This design can achieve the trade-off between intra-group and inter-group communi-265 cation. After the local updates, the global aggregation is computed as follows:

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{W}}^{t} = \boldsymbol{W}_{0} + \Delta \overline{\boldsymbol{W}}^{t} = \boldsymbol{W}_{0} + \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}^{t} \left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \pi_{g} \overline{\boldsymbol{S}}_{g}^{t} \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}^{t^{\top}}$$
(3)

where \overline{U}^t , \overline{V}^t and \overline{S}_g^t are the shared parameters across all clients to facilitate the communication of global knowledge. Specifically, $\overline{U}^t = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k U_k^t$ and $\overline{V}^t = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k V_k^t$ denote the averaged

281

284

306



Figure 4: Matrix visualization trained on **Race** attribute with ViT-B backbone (rank r = 12).

left and right singular vector matrices, and $\overline{S}_g = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_{k,g} S_{k,g}$ is the averaged fairness-aware singular value matrix. Here, $\alpha_{k,g} = n_{k,g}/n_g$ represents the weight for group g at the client k, where $n_{k,g}$ is the number of data samples in group g at client k, and $n_g = \sum_{g \in \mathbf{G}} n_{k,g}$ is the total number 282 283 of samples in the group g across all clients. The complete algorithm is detailed in Algorithm 1.

285 In FairLoRA, customized matrices $S_{k,q}^{t}$ are specific to each demographic group, allowing the model to preserve unique characteristics within those groups. Moreover, the global shared parameters \overline{S}_a^t 287 are calculated by averaging the client-specific matrices, weighted by the proportion $\alpha_{k,q}$ of data 288 each client contributes to the global model for each demographic group. This ensures that the model 289 is trained fairly, considering the distribution of data across different groups and clients. 290

Initialization. The initialization of the low-rank adaptation matrices in FairLoRA follows a struc-291 tured approach to align with the SVD framework. The left singular matrix \overline{U}^0 is initialized to zeros 292 to provide a neutral starting point for local updates. The right singular matrix \overline{V}^0 is initialized us-293 ing a normal distribution, allowing for a diverse range of values to support efficient convergence during training. In addition, the singular value matrices $\{\overline{S}_{g}^{0}\}_{g\in\mathcal{G}}$ is initialized using a linear space 295 of values ranging from 0.5 to 0.1. For all groups, the first half of the ranks is initialized uniformly 296 with this same linear space, ensuring that different demographic groups share the same principal 297 singular vectors. For the remaining half of the ranks, a cyclic pattern is used to initialize the singu-298 lar values, ensuring that each demographic group has its strongest response at distinct ranks. This 299 design preserves group-specific diversity while enhancing the fairness across different groups. Fig. 300 4 illustrates the visualization of the matrices in FairLoRA. We observe that $\overline{U}^{\top}\overline{U} \approx \overline{V}\overline{V}^{\top} \approx I_r$, 301 indicating that both \overline{U} and \overline{V} form two sets of orthonormal bases. The singular value diagonal 302 matrix \overline{S}_{a} has similar scales for the main singular values to capture common knowledge across de-303 mographic features, while exhibiting different response patterns for the smaller singular values to 304 characterize the unique characteristics within the group. 305

Algorithm 1 FairLoRA: Fairness-Aware Low-Rank Adaptation for Federated Learning

307 1: Input: 308 K: number of clients, $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^K$: local datasets for each client 2: 309 W_0 : pre-trained model weights of CLIP, G: set of demographic groups 3: 310 $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{K}, \{\alpha_{k,g}\}_{k,g=1,1}^{K,|\mathcal{G}|}$: weights for each client/group based on data contribution 4: 311 5: Initialization: Initialize global parameters: $\overline{U}^0 = 0, \overline{V}^0 \sim \mathbf{N}(0,1), \{\overline{S}_q^0\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}$ 312 6: 7: for each round $t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$ do 313 Local Training: 8: 314 9: for probability select clients $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$ do 315 for each iter $i = 1, 2, ..., |D_k|$ do $\Delta \boldsymbol{W}_k^t = \Delta \overline{\boldsymbol{W}}^{t-1} - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}_{D_k^i}(\Delta \overline{\boldsymbol{W}}^{t-1}), \text{ where } \Delta \overline{\boldsymbol{W}}^{t-1} = \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}^{t-1} (\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \pi_g \overline{\boldsymbol{S}}_g^{t-1}) \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}^{t-1^\top}$ 10: 316 11: 317 12: end for 318 Retrieval local parameters: $\boldsymbol{U}_k^t, \boldsymbol{S}_{k.a}^t, \boldsymbol{V}_k^t \Leftarrow \Delta \boldsymbol{W}_k^t$ 13: 319 14: end for 320 **Global Aggregation:** 15: Update global parameters: $\overline{U}^t = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k U_k^t$, $\overline{V}^t = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k V_k^t$, $\overline{S}_q^t = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_{k,q} S_{k,q}^t$ 321 16: 322 17: end for 18: Output global parameters: $\overline{\boldsymbol{W}}^T = \boldsymbol{W}_0 + \Delta \overline{\boldsymbol{W}}^T = \boldsymbol{W}_0 + \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}^T (\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \pi_g \overline{\boldsymbol{S}}_g^T) \overline{\boldsymbol{V}}^{T^\top}$ 323

324 5 EXPERIMENTS

5.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

328 Implementation Details. The training process consists of 50 epochs with a batch size of 32. The optimization is performed using Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) with a learning rate of 0.001, 330 which decays by a factor of 0.1 at the 40th epoch. In each round, two out of three sites are randomly selected to update their local model weights before aggregating to form the global model. FairLoRA 331 332 is implemented using two representative backbones: ResNet50 (He et al., 2016) and ViT-B (Dosovitskiy et al., 2020). For the ViT-B backbone, the rank and alpha parameters are set to 12 and 2, 333 respectively, while for the ResNet50 backbone, they are set to 32 and 8. Additionally, all back nor-334 malization layers in the ResNet50 architecture are unfrozen. To ensure training stability, we employ 335 the exponential moving average (EMA) strategy to update the global parameters. 336

337 Comparison Methods and Evaluation Metrics. We compare FairLoRA with traditional fully 338 parameter-updated methods, including FedAvg (McMahan et al., 2017) and FedHEAL (Chen et al., 2024), as well as prompt learning-based models, such as PromptFL (Guo et al., 2023) and FedOTP 339 (Li et al., 2024), both based on CLIP (Radford et al., 2021). Compared to prompt learning models, 340 traditional fully parameter-updated methods typically involve a larger number of learnable param-341 eters. To facilitate the assessment of model fairness, we report the overall Area Under the Curve 342 (AUC), equality-scale AUC (ESAUC) (Luo et al., 2024), and group-wise AUCs across the attributes 343 of race, language and ethnicity. 344

345 346

326

327

5.2 BENCHMARK: FAIRFEDMED ON 2D SLO FUNDUS IMAGES

347 The experimental results in Tables 1 and 2 demonstrate the performance and fairness of various FL 348 models applied to 2D SLO Fundus images for glaucoma detection within our proposed FairFedMed 349 benchmark. Traditional FL methods such as *FedAvg* and *FedHEAL*, although effective in achieving 350 overall AUCs ranging from 73% to 76%, exhibit fluctuating ESAUC scores between 57% and 68%. 351 Analysis of group-wise AUCs reveals that these methods fall short in ensuring fairness across differ-352 ent demographic group. Prompt learning models, such as *PromptFL* and *FedOTP*, exhibit relatively 353 lower performance with overall AUCs ranging from 71% to 73%. This decline is primarily due to 354 the frozen model weights of CLIP, pre-trained on natural images, which do not adapt well to medi-355 cal imaging data. Furthermore, these models struggle to achieve equitable outcomes across different 356 demographic groups. For instance, for the *language* attribute, which includes a more diverse range of demographic groups, these models achieve only 56% to 68% ESAUC. 357

358 In contrast, FairLoRA consistently outperforms other models, delivering higher overall AUC scores 359 and significantly enhancing fairness across all groups. Specifically, for the race attribute, Fair-360 LoRA improves the overall AUC by 4.1% using ResNet50 and by 3.4% using ViT-B. It also shows 361 significant gains in ESAUC, with increases of 7.8% for ResNet50 and 5.9% for ViT-B. For the language attribute, FairLoRA achieves a significant 11.1% improvement in average ESAUC using the 362 ResNet50 and a 4.7% improvement with the ViT-B, indicating the model's effectiveness in enhanc-363 ing algorithmic fairness. For the ethnicity attribute, our model also achieves the highest ESAUC 364 scores with both backbones. It is noteworthy that our model not only significantly improves fairness but also enhances the overall classification AUC by a substantial margin, with improvements 366 of 4.7% with ResNet50 and 2.7% with ViT-B. 367

Overall, FairLoRA achieves state-of-the-art performance across all metrics with both ResNet50 and ViT-B, except for the *Others* group in the language attribute when using ResNet50. This indicates that our model consistently attains superior performance in terms of both accuracy and fairness.

371

372 373

5.3 BENCHMARK: FAIRFEDMED ON 3D OCT B-SCAN IMAGES

Tables 3 and 4 present the performance and fairness of various FL models on 3D OCT B-Scan
images for glaucoma detection within our FairFedMed benchmark. Traditional FL methods, such
as *FedAvg* and *FedHEAL*, achieve overall AUCs of 74% to 78% but exhibit fluctuating lower ESAUC
scores between 65% and 70%. This gap between the overall AUC and ESAUC indicates challenges
in ensuring fairness across demographic groups, particularly among the *Asian* population.

Attribute				Race				Langua	ge	Ethnicity					
	Client	Overall	ES	Asian	Black	White	Overall	ES	English	Spanish	Others	Overall	ES	NonHisp.	Hisp.
Model	ID	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC
Fully pe	arameter	r-updated	d FL m	odels											
03	C1	73.5	67.8	78.3	70.7	72.7	73.9	54.7	79.6	58.3	87.6	72.3	63.14	79.75	65.3
Av	C2	74.9	66.3	79.2	69.2	71.4	74.3	62.4	72.5	68.9	62.3	72.6	68.7	75.3	69.3
fed	C3	72.8	67.8	76.9	71.8	70.3	72.3	54.1	69.7	80.3	95.3	70.8	68.8	71.6	72.9
-	Avg.	73.7	<u>67.8</u>	<u>78.1</u>	<u>70.7</u>	71.5	73.5	57.1	73.9	69.2	<u>81.7</u>	71.8	<u>66.9</u>	75.6	69.3
A L	C1	74.4	66.2	79.4	69.3	71.9	73.5	57.3	81.6	65.5	85.7	73.6	66.8	77.8	67.4
IE/	C2	74.2	64.4	82.3	71.8	69.5	74.9	64.4	74.2	65.3	68.9	71.8	58.8	78.6	56.3
ЧĘ	C3	73.4	68.2	75.9	70.2	71.3	75.0	58.3	72.8	81.6	94.2	72.8	70.1	73.4	69.6
F	Avg.	<u>74.1</u>	66.3	79.2	70.5	70.9	<u>74.5</u>	<u>60.0</u>	<u>76.2</u>	70.8	82.9	<u>72.7</u>	65.3	<u>76.5</u>	64.5
Prompt	learning	g-based I	FL mod	lels											
Ē	C1	72.9	69.8	76.2	71.8	73.0	72.6	57.2	71.8	87.5	83.7	71.9	67.3	71.7	78.6
ıptl	C2	71.4	66.0	78.0	70.4	70.8	71.8	62.8	72.3	67.1	62.7	72.2	64.3	73.0	60.5
uo.	C3	72.3	65.8	70.7	64.8	73.1	70.4	55.0	69.8	81.3	87.0	69.5	60.7	69.8	55.2
Pı	Avg.	72.2	67.2	75.0	69.0	72.3	71.6	58.3	71.3	78.6	77.8	71.2	64.1	71.5	64.8
Ą	C1	72.1	66.8	75.7	67.9	72.4	72.2	54.2	71.6	93.8	83.1	71.9	64.7	78.2	71.5
TO	C2	71.0	64.8	77.9	68.8	70.5	71.6	61.3	72.5	66.3	61.0	72.2	66.5	76.3	72.2
ed	C3	70.8	64.1	73.6	63.8	71.4	70.6	52.8	70.0	78.1	96.3	69.1	59.5	66.1	69.5
щ	Avg.	71.3	65.2	75.7	66.8	71.4	71.5	56.1	71.4	<u>79.4</u>	80.1	71.1	63.6	73.5	71.1
-	C1	78.1	76.7	77.1	78.7	77.8	77.3	68.1	77.6	72.7	68.7	78.0	73.7	78.1	72.3
LoRA	C2	78.4	74.0	79.4	73.6	78.7	77.8	70.6	77.9	86.2	79.4	76.6	71.1	77.1	69.3
	C3	78.0	76.0	78.1	75.6	77.9	78.6	74.5	78.6	83.3	79.5	77.6	77.2	77.7	77.1
Fai	Avg.	78.2	75.6	78.2	76.0	78.1	77.9	71.1	78.0	80.7	75.9	77.4	74.0	77.6	72.9
-	Δ Avg.	+4.1	+7.8	+0.1	+5.3	+5.8	+3.4	+11.1	+1.8	+1.3	-7.0	+4.7	+7.1	+1.1	+1.8

Table 1: Quantitative comparison and fairness analysis conducted on **2D SLO Fundus** images, trained with the **ResNet50** backbone. 'Hisp.' is an abbreviation for 'Hispanic'.

Attr	Attribute		Race					Language						Ethnicity			
	Client	Overall	ES	Asian	Black	White	Overall	ES	English	Spanish	Others	Overall	ES	NonHisp.	Hisp.		
Model	ID	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC		
Fully p	aramete	r-updated	l FL m	odels													
60	C1	75.7	69.1	79.3	69.9	75.5	76.2	56.6	75.2	52.8	86.5	77.1	72.3	79.2	72.6		
Av	C2	74.6	65.7	81.5	78.6	71.9	75.8	67.6	76.2	75.7	64.3	78.9	67.6	78.2	62.7		
fed	C3	74.2	68.5	78.7	73.3	71.2	73.2	62.9	74.3	70.9	60.3	71.7	64.5	73.1	62.1		
н	Avg.	74.8	67.7	79.8	73.0	72.9	75.1	<u>62.4</u>	75.3	66.5	70.4	75.9	68.1	76.9	65.8		
↓	C1	76.7	69.2	80.2	70.3	77.6	75.3	55.8	76.3	53.5	87.6	76.9	72.5	78.2	72.2		
ΤĒ	C2	75.1	66.3	80.3	79.6	71.5	76.5	67.3	75.5	74.9	65.3	77.5	67.5	79.7	64.9		
dF	C3	75.9	67.8	79.8	76.3	68.2	73.9	64.1	74.3	71.5	61.4	73.1	64.9	75.2	62.5		
Fe	Avg.	75.9	<u>67.8</u>	80.1	75.4	72.4	<u>75.2</u>	<u>62.4</u>	<u>75.4</u>	66.6	71.4	75.8	<u>68.3</u>	<u>77.7</u>	<u>66.6</u>		
Prompt	learnin	g-based I	FL mod	dels													
F	C1	73.4	67.4	77.8	69.2	73.5	74.1	54.4	73.7	50.0	85.8	71.5	71.4	71.5	71.4		
ıptl	C2	73.6	66.1	80.3	76.1	71.6	74.2	63.2	74.9	69.0	62.6	73.6	60.6	75.0	53.4		
uo.	C3	73.6	68.5	77.0	69.6	73.5	72.0	50.6	71.6	93.8	51.9	69.1	63.1	69.5	59.9		
Pr	Avg.	73.5	67.3	78.4	71.6	<u>72.9</u>	73.4	56.1	73.4	70.9	66.8	71.4	65.0	72.0	61.6		
Ъ	C1	71.9	66.3	77.7	69.3	71.9	73.4	54.6	72.8	53.1	86.9	72.3	71.3	72.3	73.7		
10	C2	72.4	66.2	78.5	74.1	70.7	72.1	67.2	72.3	72.0	65.1	74.0	60.5	75.4	53.2		
fed	C3	72.5	65.9	75.4	65.6	72.7	69.8	46.0	69.4	93.8	42.6	68.4	61.1	68.7	56.8		
щ	Avg.	72.3	66.1	77.2	69.7	71.8	71.8	56.0	71.5	<u>73.0</u>	64.9	71.6	64.3	72.1	61.2		
-	C1	78.3	73.5	79.1	73.5	77.4	77.3	65.4	77.5	63.6	73.0	78.6	75.9	78.7	82.1		
.R/	C2	79.9	73.7	80.6	72.9	80.5	78.2	70.2	78.1	70.4	81.6	77.9	71.8	78.5	70.0		
Ę	C3	79.7	73.8	83.5	75.8	79.4	79.4	65.7	79.1	95.8	83.3	79.3	70.7	79.3	91.4		
Fai	Avg.	79.3	73.7	81.1	<u>74.1</u>	79.1	78.3	67.1	78.2	76.6	79.3	78.6	72.8	78.8	81.2		
_	Δ Avg.	+3.4	+5.9	+1.0	-1.3	+6.2	+3.1	+4.7	+2.8	+3.6	+7.9	+2.7	+4.5	+1.1	+14.6		

Table 2: Quantitative comparison and fairness analysis conducted on **2D SLO Fundus** images, trained with the **ViT-B/16** backbone. 'Hisp.' is an abbreviation for 'Hispanic'.

Prompt-based models like *PromptFL* and *FedOTP* display overall AUCs ranging from 73% to 78%.
Although they occasionally achieve higher group-specific AUCs – for example, *FedOTP* reaches 79.6% for the *Asian* group using ResNet50, they generally fail to maintain consistent fairness across all demographics. These prompt-based models attain ESAUC scores between 65% and 71%, reflecting significant gaps relative to overall AUC and indicating inequitable outcomes across groups.

431 In contrast, *FairLoRA* consistently outperforms other models, delivering higher overall AUCs and significantly enhancing fairness across all groups. For the *race* attribute, it achieves overall AUC

Attribute			Race Language Eth							Eth	nicity				
	Client	Overall	ES	Asian	Black	White	Overall	ES	English	Spanish	Others	Overall	ES	NonHisp.	Hisp.
Model	ID	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC
Fully pe	arameter	r-updated	d FL m	odels											
00	C1	75.2	68.6	71.9	77.4	79.3	76.9	71.3	73.2	78.5	79.3	75.8	69.2	73.6	83.3
Av	C2	72.6	69.3	75.3	71.3	73.5	74.7	71.8	74.3	77.8	75.3	76.2	74.6	75.2	74.9
fed	C3	74.6	63.2	65.4	79.6	78.3	74.0	62.7	69.3	77.5	83.7	74.9	67.3	75.2	83.8
щ	Avg.	74.1	67.0	70.9	<u>76.1</u>	77.0	75.2	68.6	72.3	<u>78.0</u>	79.4	<u>75.7</u>	<u>70.4</u>	<u>75.5</u>	80.7
٩L	C1	76.5	70.1	72.8	78.1	80.2	77.4	71.6	75.3	80.6	80.2	74.2	67.1	73.6	84.1
Εł	C2	75.4	71.8	73.6	72.5	75.6	74.9	70.4	72.8	79.0	74.7	75.9	72.0	77.5	77.2
Hþ	C3	74.2	61.4	64.4	79.7	79.7	74.2	65.4	73.8	65.3	78.3	77.1	67.4	73.4	87.9
F	Avg.	<u>75.3</u>	67.8	70.3	76.8	78.5	<u>75.5</u>	69.1	73.9	75.0	<u>77.7</u>	<u>75.7</u>	68.8	74.9	<u>81.4</u>
Prompt	learning	g-based I	FL mod	lels											-
Ē	C1	74.3	72.3	71.8	74.5	74.4	75.9	68.6	75.8	81.8	80.6	73.1	65.5	72.8	84.4
ıptl	C2	71.9	67.7	76.7	70.7	72.1	71.7	68.3	74.9	72.9	71.1	72.1	71.7	72.0	72.7
uo.	C3	73.1	66.6	82.6	73.0	73.1	71.3	67.0	71.2	66.7	73.1	74.7	68.3	74.5	83.8
Pı	Avg.	73.1	<u>68.9</u>	77.0	72.7	73.2	73.0	68.0	74.0	73.8	74.9	73.3	68.5	73.1	80.3
Ъ	C1	74.5	72.2	72.6	75.8	74.4	74.7	72.8	74.7	75.0	77.0	72.6	63.2	72.2	87.1
OT	C2	72.3	65.7	79.1	69.4	72.6	72.7	68.7	72.7	78.5	72.8	74.0	72.7	74.1	72.3
ed	C3	73.5	64.5	87.1	73.1	73.5	72.0	64.4	72.1	62.5	74.4	75.9	66.6	75.5	89.5
щ	Avg.	73.4	67.5	79.6	72.8	73.5	73.1	68.6	73.2	72.0	74.7	74.2	67.5	73.9	83.0
1	C1	78.1	76.7	77.1	78.7	77.8	77.3	66.8	77.7	77.3	62.1	78.3	72.0	78.4	69.6
tLoR∕	C2	78.4	74.0	79.4	73.6	78.7	77.4	72.6	77.5	72.3	76.1	76.4	66.1	77.1	61.6
	C3	78.0	76.0	78.1	75.6	77.9	80.7	67.6	80.5	95.8	84.6	77.4	74.0	77.3	81.9
Fai	Avg.	78.2	75.6	78.2	76.0	78.1	78.5	<u>69.0</u>	78.6	81.8	74.3	77.4	70.7	77.6	71.0
-	Δ Avg.	+2.9	+6.7	-1.4	-0.8	-0.4	+3.0	-0.1	+4.6	+3.8	-5.1	+1.7	+0.3	+2.1	-12.0

Table 3: Quantitative comparison and fairness analysis conducted on **3D OCT B-Scan** images trained with the **ResNet50** backbone. 'Hisp.' is an abbreviation for 'Hispanic'.

Attr	Attribute		Race						Langua	ige	Ethnicity				
	Client	Overall	ES	Asian	Black	White	Overall	ES	English	Spanish	Others	Overall	ES	NonHisp.	Hisp.
Model	ID	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC	AUC
Fully p	Fully parameter-updated FL models														
60	C1	76.4	69.5	71.6	74.2	79.3	76.7	60.7	78.2	89.7	88.5	77.2	75.3	77.2	74.7
Av	C2	75.3	66.6	83.0	71.5	73.8	76.9	68.1	80.3	74.9	69.3	76.7	67.1	78.2	63.8
fed	C3	77.6	69.4	81.2	72.6	74.4	75.5	65.7	75.3	85.3	80.3	78.3	65.0	74.8	95.3
ц	Avg.	76.4	<u>68.5</u>	78.6	72.8	75.8	76.4	64.8	77.9	83.3	79.4	77.4	69.1	76.7	77.9
T	C1	77.8	70.9	72.6	73.7	78.2	79.2	64.8	76.9	89.6	88.7	78.3	73.8	78.6	72.5
Εź	C2	78.3	66.5	85.9	71.8	74.6	78.8	71.9	80.3	79.7	71.5	77.3	66.7	80.2	64.5
Hp	C3	78.7	66.9	83.9	69.2	75.7	77.9	67.9	76.3	88.3	75.2	79.3	70.2	76.7	89.8
Fe	Avg.	78.3	68.1	80.8	71.6	76.2	<u>78.6</u>	<u>68.2</u>	77.8	85.9	78.5	78.3	70.3	78.5	75.6
Promp	t learning	g-based I	FL mod	lels											
F.	C1	77.8	62.6	61.7	71.2	79.4	78.3	67.3	78.1	90.9	81.9	77.2	77.2	77.2	77.2
ıptl	C2	78.4	68.3	86.0	71.7	78.9	77.7	69.4	78.3	73.1	70.9	75.7	68.9	76.1	66.3
uo	C3	77.9	68.1	84.4	70.4	78.4	76.9	64.2	76.6	87.5	85.9	79.7	66.5	79.1	99.1
Pr	Avg.	78.0	66.3	77.4	71.1	<u>78.9</u>	77.6	67.0	77.7	<u>83.8</u>	<u>79.6</u>	77.5	70.9	77.5	80.9
Ч	C1	77.6	63.3	61.5	72.5	79.0	76.5	68.8	76.3	81.8	82.1	76.1	73.4	76.2	72.5
OT	C2	76.7	67.1	83.6	69.7	77.0	76.2	66.0	77.1	71.0	66.8	73.8	68.1	74.2	65.8
ed	C3	76.7	67.5	84.3	70.9	76.9	74.7	60.7	74.4	87.5	84.6	78.3	65.1	77.8	98.1
щ	Avg.	77.0	66.0	76.5	71.0	77.6	75.8	65.2	75.9	80.1	77.8	76.1	68.9	76.1	78.8
-	C1	84.5	72.0	73.4	78.9	85.1	82.1	73.9	81.7	75.0	85.6	82.3	79.7	82.6	79.2
R∕	C2	82.4	71.6	90.5	75.5	82.2	81.5	76.8	81.8	79.2	77.9	80.7	71.8	81.5	69.2
ЧC	C3	84.0	74.3	89.6	76.5	84.1	82.5	73.7	82.5	87.5	75.6	82.7	73.8	82.3	94.3
Fair	Avg.	83.6	72.6	84.5	77.0	83.8	82.0	74.8	82.0	80.6	79.7	81.9	75.1	82.1	80.9
-	Δ Avg.	+5.3	+4.1	+3.7	+4.2	+4.9	+3.4	+6.6	+4.1	-5.3	+0.1	+3.6	+4.2	+3.6	+0.0

Table 4: Quantitative comparison and fairness analysis conducted on **3D OCT B-Scan** images, trained with the **ViT-B/16** backbone. 'Hisp.' is an abbreviation for 'Hispanic'.

increases of 2.9% (ResNet50) and 5.3% (ViT-B), with ESAUC gains of 6.7% and 4.1%, respectively. Regarding the *language* attribute, *FairLoRA* improves average ESAUC by 6.6% (ViT-B), highlighting its effectiveness in enhancing algorithmic fairness. While slight decreases occur for certain groups, such as a 1.4% drop for the *Asian* group using ResNet50, this is primarily due to LoRA's limitations when applied to convolutional architectures.

485 Notably, *FairLoRA* enhances not only fairness but also overall classification AUC by significant margins, 3.4% to 5.3% with ViT-B and 1.7% to 3.0% with ResNet50, demonstrating SOTA perfor-



(b) Baseline model and three LoRA variants: LoRA, SVD-based LoRA and FairLoRA

Figure 5: Ablation study conducted on the Race attribute of 2D SLO Fundus images.

mance across all metrics. This consistent improvement in both accuracy and fairness for 3D imaging demonstrates *FairLoRA*'s significant effectiveness in federated learning for medical imaging, particularly in handling diverse demographic groups across both 2D and 3D imaging modalities.

509 5.4 Ablation Study

Ablation studies are conducted on the Race attribute of 2D SLO Fundus images, using the ViT-B backbone. Please refer to the Appendix B for more ablation studies.

Single Site Learning VS. Federated Learning. The ablation study shown in Fig. 5a compares
 single-client learning and federated learning using the FairLoRA model. Federated learning con sistently outperforms single site learning in terms of overall AUC and ESAUC across all clients,
 with improvements of 1%-3%. Federated learning achieves better performance on most group-wise
 AUCs, demonstrating its ability to enhance fairness and overall model accuracy across different
 demographic groups compared to single site learning.

Three LoRA variants. Fig. 5b provides a comparative analysis of the baseline model, PromptFL, alongside three LoRA variants: LoRA, SVD-based LoRA, and FairLoRA. PromptFL demonstrates the lowest overall performance, with an average overall AUC and fairness metric ESAUC of only around 73% and 67%, respectively. Introducing LoRA for model fine-tuning shows significant im-provements across most metrics, achieving the overall AUC of 77.5% and the ESAUC of 72%. The SVD-based LoRA model maintains robust overall performance. However, its fairness metrics ESAUC and group-wise AUCs show significant fluctuations across clients, indicating an inability to effectively handle distribution differences between clients. In contrast, our proposed FairLoRA outperforms other models in overall AUC, achieving the highest score of 79.3%. Additionally, it surpasses all models in both ESAUC and group-wise AUCs across the average metrics of the three clients, demonstrating the best fairness performance. Overall, FairLoRA shows exceptional capabil-ity in medical image classification and effectively balances fairness across different demographics.

6 CONCLUSION

This paper addresses the lack of fairness-aware medical datasets in FL by introducing the
FairFedMed dataset, which is the first to include both 2D and 3D real-world medical images alongside key demographic attributes. We also propose FairLoRA, a novel framework designed to enhance fairness through a low-rank approximation method tailored for demographic groups. FairLoRA ensures that both intra-group and inter-group characteristics are preserved, and global knowledge is shared across clients. Our experimental results confirm that FairLoRA not only achieves
superior performance in medical image classification but also promotes fairness across different demographic groups, making a significant contribution to fairness-aware FL in healthcare.

540 REFERENCES

- Nimesh Agrawal, Anuj Kumar Sirohi, Sandeep Kumar, et al. No prejudice! fair federated graph
 neural networks for personalized recommendation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pp. 10775–10783, 2024.
- Maryam Badar, Sandipan Sikdar, Wolfgang Nejdl, and Marco Fisichella. Fairtrade: Achieving pareto-optimal trade-offs between balanced accuracy and fairness in federated learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pp. 10962–10970, 2024.
- Yuhang Chen, Wenke Huang, and Mang Ye. Fair federated learning under domain skew with local consistency and domain diversity. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 12077–12086, 2024.
- Shu-Ling Cheng, Chin-Yuan Yeh, Ting-An Chen, Eliana Pastor, and Ming-Syan Chen. Fedger: Achieving performance and fairness for federated learning with distinct client types via group customization and reweighting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pp. 11498–11506, 2024.
- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- Yahya H Ezzeldin, Shen Yan, Chaoyang He, Emilio Ferrara, and A Salman Avestimehr. Fairfed:
 Enabling group fairness in federated learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 37, pp. 7494–7502, 2023.
- Gene H Golub and Christian Reinsch. Singular value decomposition and least squares solutions. In
 Handbook for Automatic Computation: Volume II: Linear Algebra, pp. 134–151. Springer, 1971.
- Matthew Groh, Caleb Harris, Luis Soenksen, Felix Lau, Rachel Han, Aerin Kim, Arash Koochek, and Omar Badri. Evaluating deep neural networks trained on clinical images in dermatology with the fitzpatrick 17k dataset. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 1820–1828, 2021.
- Tao Guo, Song Guo, Junxiao Wang, Xueyang Tang, and Wenchao Xu. PromptFL: Let federated participants cooperatively learn prompts instead of models-federated learning in age of foundation model. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 2023.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 770–778, 2016.
- Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022. URL https://openreview.net/forum? id=nZeVKeeFYf9.
- Jeremy Irvin, Pranav Rajpurkar, Michael Ko, Yifan Yu, Silviana Ciurea-Ilcus, Chris Chute, Henrik
 Marklund, Behzad Haghgoo, Robyn Ball, Katie Shpanskaya, et al. Chexpert: A large chest
 radiograph dataset with uncertainty labels and expert comparison. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 33, pp. 590–597, 2019.
- Alistair EW Johnson, Tom J Pollard, Nathaniel R Greenbaum, Matthew P Lungren, Chih-ying Deng,
 Yifan Peng, Zhiyong Lu, Roger G Mark, Seth J Berkowitz, and Steven Horng. Mimic-cxr-jpg, a
 large publicly available database of labeled chest radiographs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.07042*, 2019.
- 592 Michael P Kim, Amirata Ghorbani, and James Zou. Multiaccuracy: Black-box post-processing for
 593 fairness in classification. In *Proceedings of the 2019 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and* Society, pp. 247–254, 2019.

- Hongxia Li, Wei Huang, Jingya Wang, and Ye Shi. Global and local prompts cooperation via optimal transport for federated learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 12151–12161, 2024.
- Tian Li, Anit Kumar Sahu, Manzil Zaheer, Maziar Sanjabi, Ameet Talwalkar, and Virginia Smith.
 Federated optimization in heterogeneous networks. *Proceedings of Machine Learning and Systems*, 2:429–450, 2020.
- Yiying Li, Wei Zhou, Huaimin Wang, Haibo Mi, and Timothy M Hospedales. Fedh2l: Federated learning with model and statistical heterogeneity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.11296*, 2021.
- Pranay K Lohia, Karthikeyan Natesan Ramamurthy, Manish Bhide, Diptikalyan Saha, Kush R
 Varshney, and Ruchir Puri. Bias mitigation post-processing for individual and group fairness.
 In *Icassp 2019-2019 ieee international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing*(*icassp*), pp. 2847–2851. IEEE, 2019.
- Yan Luo, Yu Tian, Min Shi, Louis R. Pasquale, Lucy Q. Shen, Nazlee Zebardast, Tobias Elze, and Mengyu Wang. Harvard glaucoma fairness: A retinal nerve disease dataset for fairness learning and fair identity normalization. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 43(7):2623–2633, 2024. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2024.3377552.
- Brendan McMahan, Eider Moore, Daniel Ramage, Seth Hampson, and Blaise Aguera y Arcas.
 Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data. In *Artificial intelligence and statistics*, pp. 1273–1282. PMLR, 2017.
- Matias Mendieta, Taojiannan Yang, Pu Wang, Minwoo Lee, Zhengming Ding, and Chen Chen.
 Local learning matters: Rethinking data heterogeneity in federated learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 8397–8406, 2022.
- Chenglu Pan, Jiarong Xu, Yue Yu, Ziqi Yang, Qingbiao Wu, Chunping Wang, Lei Chen, and Yang Yang. Towards fair graph federated learning via incentive mechanisms. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pp. 14499–14507, 2024a.
- Zibin Pan, Chi Li, Fangchen Yu, Shuyi Wang, Haijin Wang, Xiaoying Tang, and Junhua Zhao.
 Fedlf: Layer-wise fair federated learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pp. 14527–14535, 2024b.
- Sungho Park, Jewook Lee, Pilhyeon Lee, Sunhee Hwang, Dohyung Kim, and Hyeran Byun. Fair contrastive learning for facial attribute classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 10389–10398, 2022.
- Novi Quadrianto, Viktoriia Sharmanska, and Oliver Thomas. Discovering fair representations in
 the data domain. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 8227–8236, 2019.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal,
 Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual
 models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- Vikram V Ramaswamy, Sunnie SY Kim, and Olga Russakovsky. Fair attribute classification through
 latent space de-biasing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 9301–9310, 2021.
- Yuji Roh, Kangwook Lee, Steven Whang, and Changho Suh. Fr-train: A mutual information-based approach to fair and robust training. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 8147–8157. PMLR, 2020.
- Mhd Hasan Sarhan, Nassir Navab, Abouzar Eslami, and Shadi Albarqouni. Fairness by learning
 orthogonal disentangled representations. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Con- ference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXIX 16*, pp. 746–761. Springer, 2020.

- Shangchao Su, Mingzhao Yang, Bin Li, and Xiangyang Xue. Cross-domain federated adaptive prompt tuning for clip. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.07864*, 2022.
- Youbang Sun, Zitao Li, Yaliang Li, and Bolin Ding. Improving lora in privacy-preserving federated
 arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12313, 2024.
- ⁶⁵³ Zhibo Wang, Xiaowei Dong, Henry Xue, Zhifei Zhang, Weifeng Chiu, Tao Wei, and Kui Ren.
 ⁶⁵⁴ Fairness-aware adversarial perturbation towards bias mitigation for deployed deep models. In
 ⁶⁵⁵ *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 10379–10388, 2022.
 - Liping Yi, Han Yu, Gang Wang, and Xiaoguang Liu. Fedlora: Model-heterogeneous personalized federated learning with lora tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.13283*, 2023.
- Muhammad Bilal Zafar, Isabel Valera, Manuel Gomez Rogriguez, and Krishna P Gummadi. Fairness constraints: Mechanisms for fair classification. In *Artificial intelligence and statistics*, pp. 962–970. PMLR, 2017.
 - Brian Hu Zhang, Blake Lemoine, and Margaret Mitchell. Mitigating unwanted biases with adversarial learning. In *Proceedings of the 2018 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*, pp. 335–340, 2018.
- Qingru Zhang, Minshuo Chen, Alexander Bukharin, Nikos Karampatziakis, Pengcheng He,
 Yu Cheng, Weizhu Chen, and Tuo Zhao. Adalora: Adaptive budget allocation for parameter efficient fine-tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.10512*, 2023.
- Yi Zhang and Jitao Sang. Towards accuracy-fairness paradox: Adversarial example-based data augmentation for visual debiasing. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pp. 4346–4354, 2020.
- Haodong Zhao, Wei Du, Fangqi Li, Peixuan Li, and Gongshen Liu. Reduce communication
 costs and preserve privacy: Prompt tuning method in federated learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.12268*, 2022.