

InfoScale: Unleashing Training-free Variable-scaled Image Generation via Effective Utilization of Information

Guohui Zhang^{1*}; Jiangtong Tan^{1*}; Linjiang Huang², Zhonghang Yuan¹, Mingde Yao³,
Jie Huang¹, Feng Zhao^{1†}

¹MoE Key Lab of BIPC, University of Science and Technology of China ²Beihang University ³CUHK MMLab

Reviewed on OpenReview: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=iB8PzædpMf>



Figure 1: Generated images of InfoScale based on SDXL from lower resolution to higher resolution. Our method extends SDXL to generate images from $1/16\times$, $1/4\times$ to $4\times$, $16\times$ without any fine-tuning. Best viewed ZOOMED-IN.

Abstract

Diffusion models (DMs) have become dominant in visual generation but suffer a performance drop when tested on resolutions that differ from the training scale, whether lower or higher. Current training-free methods for DMs have shown promising results, primarily focusing on higher-resolution generation. However, most methods lack a unified analytical perspective for variable-scale generation, leading to suboptimal results. In fact, the key challenge in generating variable-scale images lies in the differing amounts of information across resolutions, which requires information conversion procedures to be varied for generating variable-scaled images. In this paper, we investigate the issues of three critical aspects in DMs for a unified analysis in variable-scaled generation: dilated convolution, attention mechanisms, and initial noise. Specifically, 1) dilated convolution in DMs for the higher-resolution generation loses high-frequency information. 2) Attention for variable-scaled image generation struggles to adjust the information aggregation adaptively. 3) The spatial distribution of information in the initial noise is misaligned with the variable-scaled image. To solve the above problems, we propose **InfoScale**, an information-centric framework for variable-scaled image generation by effectively utilizing information from three aspects correspondingly. For information

*Equal contribution.

†Corresponding author

loss in 1), we introduce a Progressive Frequency Compensation module to compensate for high-frequency information lost by dilated convolution in higher-resolution generation. For information aggregation inflexibility in 2), we introduce an Adaptive Information Aggregation module to adaptively aggregate information in lower-resolution generation and achieve an effective balance between local and global information in higher-resolution generation. For information distribution misalignment in 3), we design a Noise Adaptation module to re-distribute information in initial noise for variable-scaled generation. Our method is plug-and-play, and extensive experiments demonstrate its effectiveness in variable-scaled image generation. Code is available at <https://github.com/zghhui/InfoScale>.

1 Introduction

Diffusion models (DMs) have witnessed remarkable progress in visual generation (Rombach et al., 2022; Ho et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020), which converts the information from initial noises to image space. Despite the powerful generation capabilities of DMs, for variable-scaled image generation that is often required in practical applications, directly inputting initial noise with resolutions lower or higher than the training resolution setting usually leads to visual defects, such as incomplete content in lower-resolution images and distorted structure in higher-resolution images. This is a challenging issue since the information conversion procedures are different in variable-scaled image generation, and the components in DMs (i.e., convolution, attentions) are over-optimized to process the information in training settings. Although fine-tuning DMs is a choice, it requires substantial computation resources and high-quality data.

Recently, quantities of training-free approaches for variable-scaled image generation have emerged and have attracted widespread attention. **Primarily**, most of them are focused on higher-resolution generation (He et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2024; Du et al., 2024; Qiu et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2023). One line of training-free-based higher-resolution generation methods primarily relies on incorporating dilated convolution or samplings (i.e., ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023) and FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024)) to align higher-resolution image structure information with the training resolution. While another line focuses on firstly generating the main structure in the training resolution and refining the details in the higher resolution (i.e., DemoFusion (Du et al., 2024) and FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024)), which also requires dilated convolution or samplings in higher-resolution generation procedures to avoid artifacts appearing. However, the dilated convolution used in these methods often leads to details information losses in higher-resolution generation. **Meanwhile**, Only a few works (Jin et al., 2023; Haji-Ali et al., 2024) focus on variable-scaled (**both lower and higher resolution**) image generation. However, their generated results often lack sufficient detail or require large latency overheads.

Although the aforementioned approaches have made great efforts, a unified analytical perspective for variable-scaled (both lower and higher resolution) generation has rarely been discussed. In fact, the key challenge in generating variable-scaled images is that the amount of information in the generated image is varied across resolutions, as shown in Fig. 2a. Higher-resolution images or latents generally contain a greater amount of information and larger proportions of high-frequency components, while lower-resolution ones behave oppositely. Since DMs are only optimized to convert the initial noise to the generated image at the level of training-resolution information amount, the contradiction in information conversion procedures across resolutions constrains the potential of applying DMs in generating variable-scaled images.

In this paper, we investigate the problems of three critical aspects in DMs for a unified analysis in variable-scaled generation: dilated convolution, attention mechanisms, and initial noise. Specifically, **1)** In higher-resolution generation, directly applying dilated convolution loses some high-frequency information, as shown in Fig. 2b, which prevents generating more image details. **2)** In lower-resolution generation, scaled attention struggles to aggregate enough information in the limited contextual range. However, in higher-resolution generation, attention tends to aggregate redundant and repetitive information, as shown in Fig. 3. This inflexible information aggregation leads to unreasonable information utilization. **3)** In lower-resolution generation, DMs struggle to handle non-uniform information distribution (i.e., lower entropy) in initial noise compared to the training setting, resulting in incomplete content. In higher-resolution generation, the infor-

mation distribution of initial noise is over-uniform and contains multiple responses to the prompt, causing the information in these regions to be processed independently into repetitive objects, see Fig. 4.

Therefore, in order to achieve effective utilization of information without the aforementioned three information utilization obstacles, we propose **InfoScale**, an information-centric variable-scaled image generation framework, including three key designs corresponding to them, respectively. Specifically, for the information loss in **1**), we introduce a Progressive Frequency Compensation (PFC) module to extract high-frequency components from cached noise of the previous timestep to compensate for the predicted noise at the current timestep when applying dilated convolution in higher-resolution generation. For information aggregation inflexibility in **2**), we introduce the Adaptive Information Aggregation (AIA) module to adaptively adjust the information aggregation ability of attention. We design dual-scaled attention (DSAttn) based on the original scaled attention by adjusting the attention entropy to be more adaptive, enhancing the information aggregation ability of attention in lower-resolution generation. We further fuse the features of DSAttn and original attention to effectively balance local-enhanced information (aggregated by DSAttn) and global information (original Attn) in higher-resolution generation. For information distribution misalignment in **3**): we introduce the Noise Adaptation (NA) module, which enhances the uniformity of information distribution in the central region to encourage information aggregation in lower-resolution generation. We gradually suppress the uniformity of information distribution from centric to the surrounding region through the NA module to alleviate the repeated response to the prompt in higher-resolution generation. The designs of our method are training-free and are flexibly plug-and-play for DMs. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our framework significantly improves the visual quality in variable-scaled image generation.

Our core contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We propose **InfoScale**, an information-centric variable-scaled image generation framework, offering a unified analytical perspective for variable-scaled image generation.
- We design progressive frequency compensation, adaptive information aggregation, and noise adaptation modules to achieve efficient information utilization.
- Extensive experiments validate the effectiveness of our framework as a training-free plug-in for DMs.


2 Related Work

2.1 Text-to-image generation

Diffusion models (DMs) (Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021; Li et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024a; Zhuo et al., 2024; Li et al.; Ganz & Elad, 2024) have attracted widespread attention due to their excellent image generation quality. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (DDPM) (Ho et al., 2020) demonstrated the potential of DMs in image generation. Moreover, Classifier-Free Guidance (CFG) (Ho & Salimans, 2022) ennobled DMs to generate images conforming to given prompts. Since operations in pixel space require substantial computational resources, Latent Diffusion Models (LDM) (Rombach et al., 2022) proposed to transfer the diffusion process to latent space (Blattmann et al., 2023; He et al., 2022), thereby reducing the training burden and laying the foundation for high-resolution image generation. Thanks to large-scale training data (Schuhmann et al., 2022), the Stable Diffusion series (Podell et al., 2023; Rombach et al., 2022) has achieved groundbreaking progress in visual generation.

2.2 Variable-scaled image generation

Due to being trained on limited resolutions, directly applying pre-trained diffusion models to generate images with novel resolutions often results in visual defects, such as incomplete content at lower-resolution images and repeated objects or distorted structures at higher-resolution images. For higher-resolution generation, some approaches propose training or fine-tuning models with higher-resolution images to improve the performance of models (Hoogeboom et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2024b; Ren et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2024). However, the scarcity of high-resolution image data and the significant increase in computational resource demands



(a) Comparison of the ratio of high-frequency components and the information at different resolutions. Higher-resolution images contain a greater proportion of high-frequency components and larger information. Diffusion model trained at a fixed resolution can only correctly generate images containing the corresponding information, while issues occur with other resolutions.

(b) Information loss in dilated convolution. During the steps using dilated convolution, the information amount shows a significant decrease, indicating that dilated convolution reduces redundant information, while frequency analysis shows that this information includes some high-frequency components. Vanilla refers to no dilated convolution.

Figure 2: Analysis of image information and high-frequency components across different image resolutions.

due to resolution scaling limit the applicability of such methods. Many training-free approaches propose using specific strategies during inference to fully leverage the potential of diffusion models in higher-resolution image generation (Hwang et al., 2024; Lee et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2024; Lin et al., 2024a; Yang et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024; Lu et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2025). ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023) and FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024) achieve structural consistency across different resolution by incorporating dilated convolution, while HiDiffusion (Zhang et al., 2023) dynamically resizes features to align with the training resolution. Nevertheless, these methods still suffer from degraded image details. MultiDiffusion (Bar-Tal et al., 2023) extends to larger resolutions by generating overlapping patches. DemoFusion (Du et al., 2024), AccDiffusion (Lin et al., 2024b), and FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024) all first generate images at the training resolution to provide guidance for higher-resolution generation, yet their requires dilated convolution or samplings in higher-resolution generation procedures to avoid artifacts appearing. challenging. For variable-scaled image generation including lower-resolution generation, Attn-SF (Jin et al., 2023) adjusts attention entropy to achieve variable-scaled image generation, which has much space for improvement.

3 Method Motivation and Discussion

3.1 Information Loss

Information entropy (Shannon, 1948) is a fundamental concept in information theory. In this work, we calculate it based on the self-attention scores from DMs, which quantifies the information conversion procedures across different resolutions. The information entropy $H(X)$ is defined as:

$$H(\mathbf{X}) = -\sum_{i=1}^n p(x_i) \log p(x_i), \tag{1}$$

where X is attention score after Softmax operation and i represents each position.

Directly scaling pre-trained diffusion models to higher resolutions results in generating images with repetitive objects. This is because the model needs to process more information for higher-resolution generation, as shown in Fig. 2a. To mitigate this repetition issue, Scalecrafter (He et al., 2023) replaces the standard convolution in U-Net with dilated convolution with a large receptive field, which has proven to be effective and is commonly used by further higher-resolution generation works (i.e., FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024) and FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024)), but it inevitably leads to image quality degradation with details losses. This raises the question of what causes this phenomenon.

We conduct experiments on 100 randomly generated prompts in higher-resolution generation to investigate the impact of dilated convolutions. We analyze the changes in information entropy during the sampling




Figure 3: The top and bottom figures illustrate the inflexible aggregation ability of the model for lower- and higher-resolution generation, respectively. We use dual-scaled factors to achieve wider aggregation for lower-resolution generation, and vice versa for higher-resolution generation.

under different configurations. As shown in Fig. 2b, compared to standard convolution, dilated convolution tends to align the information to the training resolution in both conditional and unconditional sampling. This suggests that dilated convolution effectively compresses redundant information in higher-resolution generation, contributing to generating correct structures.

To further analyze the difference in information in predicted noise using dilated convolutions in the early stages, we performed frequency analysis for both unconditional and conditional predicted noise. The results show that, compared to standard convolutions, dilated convolutions cause the predicted noise to lose high-frequency information. In fact, high-frequency components generally occupy a larger proportion in high-resolution images compared to low-resolution images, as shown in Fig. 2a. Therefore, the loss of this high-frequency information potentially harms the quality of the generated image. To take advantage of dilated convolution in reducing redundant information while mitigating the loss of high-frequency information, frequency compensation is required to address this critical information-utilization bottleneck, see Sec. 4.1.

3.2 Information Aggregation Inflexibility

For DMs, the scaled dot-product attention allows the model to focus on prominent parts of the input during the generation, facilitating efficient information aggregation. Considering that this aggregation can be effectively reflected in the attention map, the high response area indicates that more visual and textual information is aggregated. We conduct experiments on information entropy and attention maps to analyze, see Fig. 3. The results indicate that the self-attention focused solely on narrower local regions at lower-resolution images, failing to fully utilize global information to generate complete content. Meanwhile, the self-attention attempts to attend to more redundant and repetitive information in higher resolutions, leading to the generation of repeated structures. In comparison, Attn-SF (Jin et al., 2023) applies adaptive aggregation of information according to resolutions, but it is still limited to only scaling the value inside the Softmax. This approach leaves room for further improvements in scaling self-attention, as it fails to fully exploit the potential of adaptive aggregation that could dynamically adjust across different resolutions (see Sec. 4.2).




Figure 4: The left figure illustrates that increasing the variance to adjust the information distribution of the initial noise promotes the information aggregation in lower resolution generation. The high-resolution generation in the right figure is the opposite.

3.3 Information Distribution Misalignment

The distribution of initial noise is important for DMs. InitNo (Guo et al., 2024) reveals that initial noise includes both semantically consistent and inconsistent components. Inspired by this, we focus on the spatial distribution of information in initial noise. For higher resolution, the spatial information distribution of initial noise is more homogeneous than the training resolution, which contains multiple regions that respond to prompts, mainly manifested as multiple peaks in spatial distribution. For lower resolution, the spatial information distribution of initial noise is less homogeneous than the training resolution, which struggles to form a completely effective region to respond to the prompts, resulting in incomplete content. We conducted experiments in Fig. 4 that appropriately adjust the spatial distribution of the central area with variance scaling to align the training distribution. The experimental results show that this alignment improves information entropy, generating lower-resolution images with complete structures and higher-resolution images without repetitive objects. Therefore, we can further improve the utilization of information by adjusting a more appropriate initial noise distribution (see Sec. 4.3).

4 Method

Guided by the three analyses presented above, we propose **InfoScale**, a training-free framework comprising three dedicated modules. Specifically, **(1) Progressive Frequency Compensation** (PFC, Sec. 4.1) module recovers high-frequency components lost in dilated convolutions; **(2) Adaptive Information Aggregation** (AIA, Sec. 4.2) module employs a dual-scaled attention mechanism to adaptively control the receptive coverage of self-attention across resolutions; and the **(3) Noise Adaptation** (NA, Sec. 4.3) module modulates the initial noise distribution through a Gaussian-weighted mask to rectify spatial information imbalance.

4.1 Progressive Frequency Compensation

Consider the hidden feature h and a convolution layer f that it will pass through, where the convolution kernel is k . The dilated convolution operation $\Phi_d(\cdot)$ can be represented as:

$$f_k^d(h) = h \otimes \Phi_d(k), (h \otimes \Phi_d(k))(o) = \sum_{s+d \cdot t=p} h(p) \cdot k(q), \quad (2)$$

where o, p, q are spatial locations used to index the feature and kernel, \otimes denotes a convolution operation. This operation is equivalent to incorporating a down-sampling process before an up-sampling process, resulting in the loss of high-frequency information (Huang et al., 2024). Here, we aim to minimize the high-frequency information loss during the early stages of using dilated convolution.

We propose the Progressive Frequency Compensation module to address the loss of high-frequency information. Considering the cumulative frequency loss in the iteration process of the diffusion model and the continuity between consecutive latents, when predicting current noise ϵ_t , we naturally employ the noise predicted in the previous step ϵ_{t-1} as the compensation for high-frequency information, which retains richer high-frequency information unaffected by dilated convolution. We identically process the conditional and unconditional noise. Denoting $N = \{unc, c\}$, it can be shown as:

$$\epsilon_{t-1}^{N,h} = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}(\epsilon_{t-1}^N) \odot (1 - \mathcal{H}), \quad \epsilon_t^{N,l} = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}(\epsilon_t^N) \odot \mathcal{H}, \quad \tilde{\epsilon}_t^N = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}(\epsilon_{t-1}^{N,h} + \epsilon_t^{N,l}), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}$ is the Fast Fourier Transform and $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}$ is the Inverse Fast Fourier Transform. \mathcal{H} is the low-pass filter (LPF) with stop frequency of $D_0 = 0.25$ by default. More details can be found in the appendix.

4.2 Adaptive Information Aggregation

In order to adaptively adjust the aggregation degree of information in self-attention, we propose Dual-Scaled Attention (DSAttn). Specifically, following prior work (Jin et al., 2023), we introduce a scaled factor α within the Softmax operation in the self-attention layer, determined by the number of tokens in the current attention layer during both training and inference phases. Additionally, for low-resolution image generation,




Figure 5: Overall framework of InfoScale. (a) In higher-res (resolution) generation, the Noise Adaptation (NA) module first modulates the initial noise according to resolution. Then, the Progressive Frequency Compensation (PFC) module extracts high-frequency components from cached noise of the previous timestep to compensate for the predicted noise at the current timestep when applying dilated convolution. The Adaptive Information Aggregation module further fuses local (blue) and global information (red). (b) In lower-res generation, we also use the NA module and replace the original self-attention layer with DSAttn.

we incorporate an empirical hyperparameter c_w to rescale the entire attention feature. This stems from our empirical observation that dual-scaled factors allow attention to cover a broader range, thereby achieving better visual generation quality, which is shown below:

$$\text{DSAttn}(Q, K, V) = w \cdot \text{Softmax}\left(\frac{\alpha \cdot QK^\top}{\sqrt{d}}\right)V, \quad (4)$$

where a and w are scaled factors, d is token dimension. Specifically, we calculate α and w in following form:

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\log_L N}, \quad w = \begin{cases} c_w & L > N \\ 1 & L \leq N \end{cases}, \quad (5)$$

where L, N are the number of tokens in self-attention in the training and testing phase. c_w is a hyperparameter with the value 0.75. In practice, we adopt different strategies for low- and high-resolution generation.

Lower-Resolution Generation. We only replace the original attention layer with DSAttn in lower resolution generation to improve the ability to aggregate information.

Higher Resolution Generation. After using PFC module and DSAttn, the details of local features are effectively enhanced. To further leverage the original attention advantage in processing global information, we further fuse features from DSAttn and original attention to enhance global details and local structure. Specifically, we extract the low-frequency and high-frequency components of the features computed by DSAttn and the original attention layer, respectively. The low-frequency component is obtained by downsampling and then upsampling the feature, while the high-frequency component is obtained by subtracting the transformed result. Then, we fuse these two parts to obtain the enhanced feature.

$$\tilde{h}_t = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}(h_t^s)) + (h_t - \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}(h_t))), \quad (6)$$

where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{D} represent upsampling and downsampling operations (Nearest Neighbor interpolation).

Table 1: Quantitative results. - indicates no data available for this metric. +Our is plug-and-play

Method	Factor	SD1.5				SD2.1				SDXL					
		FID \downarrow	KID \downarrow	FID $c\downarrow$	KID $c\downarrow$	FID \downarrow	KID \downarrow	FID $c\downarrow$	KID $c\downarrow$	FID \downarrow	KID \downarrow	FID $c\downarrow$	KID $c\downarrow$	Clip \uparrow	Time \downarrow
Direct-Inference		114.76	0.031	-	-	101.79	0.026	-	-	96.31	0.017	78.72	0.021	30.26	3s
Attn-SF (Jin et al., 2023)	0.5×0.5	95.09	0.021	-	-	88.34	0.019	-	-	71.85	0.014	49.20	0.009	30.62	3s
ElasticDiffusion (Haji-Ali et al., 2024)		94.40	0.030	-	-	92.16	0.028	-	-	70.45	0.011	49.96	0.009	30.98	50s
Ours		90.34	0.017	-	-	82.93	0.016	-	-	71.02	0.013	49.05	0.008	31.04	3s
Direct-Inf		86.97	0.014	46.45	0.009	80.82	0.011	40.56	0.007	117.07	0.033	128.05	0.041	31.45	35s
Attn-SF (Jin et al., 2023)	2×2	82.28	0.011	45.45	0.007	79.81	0.010	37.87	0.006	111.86	0.030	124.17	0.035	31.55	35s
HiDiffusion (Zhang et al., 2023)		75.00	0.009	44.20	0.008	66.96	0.006	38.13	0.007	104.62	0.024	108.32	0.025	31.92	19s
MegaFusion (Wu et al., 2025)		67.43	0.008	38.92	0.007	64.11	0.005	37.09	0.007	72.38	0.007	93.06	0.018	32.47	18s
DiffuseHigh (Kim et al., 2024)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60.87	0.004	84.33	0.015	32.96	40s
DemoFusion (Du et al., 2024)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54.25	0.003	71.69	0.013	33.58	90s
Accdiffusion (Lin et al., 2024b)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55.34	0.003	76.15	0.008	33.69	98s
FreCaS (Zhang et al., 2024)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54.01	0.003	62.50	0.007	33.99	23s
FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024)		68.81	0.008	39.79	0.007	65.22	0.006	38.19	0.007	78.17	0.017	93.75	0.025	32.22	65s
FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024) +Our		68.16	0.008	39.03	0.007	63.74	0.005	36.84	0.006	77.47	0.017	93.18	0.024	32.45	67s
ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023)		69.02	0.008	40.72	0.007	64.93	0.006	37.70	0.006	73.14	0.012	91.26	0.021	32.98	38s
ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023) +Our		66.34	0.007	37.97	0.006	62.73	0.004	36.47	0.006	72.57	0.012	91.03	0.021	33.08	40s
FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51.99	0.003	60.99	0.006	34.23	47s
FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024) +Our		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50.79	0.002	59.50	0.004	34.26	48s
Direct-Inf		4×4	180.47	0.062	58.56	0.020	173.75	0.058	53.05	0.011	189.08	0.078	165.43	0.059	30.17
Attn-SF (Jin et al., 2023)	169.05		0.054	57.72	0.018	174.72	0.059	51.90	0.009	187.24	0.079	161.68	0.056	30.79	504s
HiDiffusion (Zhang et al., 2023)	135.00		0.043	62.66	0.027	119.76	0.033	76.03	0.026	144.08	0.056	186.45	0.079	31.34	138s
DiffuseHigh (Kim et al., 2024)	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75.43	0.022	115.40	0.031	32.07	557s
DemoFusion (Du et al., 2024)	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60.60	0.006	94.81	0.019	32.46	861s
Accdiffusion (Lin et al., 2024b)	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70.34	0.018	109.15	0.028	32.18	896s
FreCaS (Zhang et al., 2024)	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65.19	0.015	94.55	0.019	32.21	130s
FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024)	76.63		0.011	57.19	0.019	75.09	0.009	55.48	0.019	113.25	0.033	161.24	0.062	31.64	654s
FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024) +Our	76.15		0.011	57.11	0.018	75.02	0.009	54.90	0.018	113.06	0.033	158.32	0.060	31.68	657s
ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023)	70.46		0.008	53.41	0.016	76.11	0.011	55.99	0.020	119.86	0.036	172.25	0.070	31.40	693s
ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023) +Our	70.37		0.007	52.52	0.015	75.47	0.010	55.69	0.020	119.10	0.035	170.98	0.068	31.47	698s
FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024)	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60.16	0.008	94.20	0.019	32.75	532s
FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024) +Our	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59.74	0.007	92.82	0.017	32.88	534s

4.3 Noise Adaptation

We design a noise adaptation module to align the information distribution in the initial noise without incurring additional computational burden. Specifically, we modulate the initial noise Z_T to obtain adaptive noise \hat{Z}_T using a mask W with Gaussian weights. For different resolutions, W has different weights.

$$\hat{Z}_T = W \odot Z_T. \quad (7)$$

Lower-Resolution Generation. The weight of W increases from the center to the surrounding to concentrate the information in the central area, which aims to generate a complete object.

Higher Resolution Generation. The weight of W decreases from the center to the surroundings, which aims to mitigate distorted structure and repetitive objects.

5 Experiments

Experimental Settings. To demonstrate the effectiveness of our method, we perform evaluation on SD1.5 (Rombach et al., 2022), SD2.1 (Rombach et al., 2022) and SDXL (Podell et al., 2023). We perform three unseen resolutions, with scaling factors of 0.25×0.25 , 2×2 , 4×4 relative to the original training resolution. Specifically, we generate images of 256×256 , 1024×1024 , and 2048×2048 for SD1.5 and SD2.1, while 512×512 , 2048×2048 and 4096×4096 for SDXL. We randomly select 1024 prompts to conduct evaluation from LAION-5B (Schuhmann et al., 2022), which contains 5 billion image-caption pairs.

Evaluation metrics. Following prior work, we report Frechet Inception Distance (FID) (Heusel et al., 2017) and Kernel Inception Distance (KID) (Bińkowski et al., 2018) to evaluate the quality and diversity of generated images. Following previous work (Chai et al., 2022; Qiu et al., 2024), we use crop local patches to calculate the above metrics, defined as FID_c and KID_c . Notably, for lower resolution generation on SD1.5 and SD2.1, the images cannot be further cropped, so they do not have FID_c and KID_c . Additionally, we also report the CLIP score (Clip) (Radford et al., 2021) and inference time (Time).




Figure 6: Qualitative comparison of images with other baselines. The training resolution of SDXL is 1024^2 ($1/16\times$). Our method generates complete visual content on lower resolution, even up to 256^2 ($1/16\times$). Best viewed ZOOMED-IN.

5.1 Main Results.

For lower resolution generation, we compare our method with SDXL (Podell et al., 2023) Direct-Inference, Attn-SF (Jin et al., 2023), and ElasticDiffusion (Haji-Ali et al., 2024). For higher resolution, we compare with SDXL (Podell et al., 2023) Direct-Inference, Attn-SF (Jin et al., 2023), ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023), FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024), HiDiffusion (Zhang et al., 2023), AccDiffusion (Lin et al., 2024b), MegaFusion (without experimental setting at 4096^2 resolutions) (Wu et al., 2025), DiffuseHigh (Kim et al., 2024), FreCaS (Zhang et al., 2024), DemoFusion (Du et al., 2024) and FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024). Additionally, we integrate our method into (He et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2024; Qiu et al., 2024). **More results on higher-resolution**, and comparisons with **Super-Resolution** are available in the supplementary materials.

Quantitative results. In Table 1, for lower resolution generation, our method achieves the best performance in almost all metrics, demonstrating effectiveness in generating complete and detailed visual content. It’s important to note that the inference times for ElasticDiffusion are approximately **15 times longer than** ours. For higher resolution generation, our method further enhances the performance of three baselines, particularly in terms of FID_c and KID_c . In the 2×2 experiments on SD1.5 and SD2.1, ScaleCrafter+Our (integrated with our method) outperforms other approaches. In all experiments on SDXL, FreeScale+Our achieves the best scores on almost all metrics.

Qualitative results. In the Fig. 6, we show the visual comparison results. For lower resolution, the generated results of our method have richer details and a more complete structure compared with Attn-SF and ElasticDiffusion, including a smaller scaling factor (0.25×0.25), which demonstrates the powerful ability of our method. For higher-resolution generation, our method further reduces the small local repetition that appears in Scalecrafter and freescale on the 2×2 experiment. For the 4×4 experiment, the cat’s eyes and ears in ScaleCrafter have obvious aliasing, and FreeScale fails to generate an accessory on the chest. In comparison, our results have better visual quality.

Table 2: Ablation studies for each component in InfoScale

PFC	AIA	NA	FID	KID	FID_c	KID_c
✓			63.99	0.005	37.40	0.006
✓		✓	63.48	0.005	37.08	0.007
✓	✓		62.95	0.005	36.73	0.006
✓	✓	✓	62.73	0.004	36.47	0.006

5.2 Ablation Study

In this section, we use the SD2.1 and conduct a series of ablation experiments with 2×2 scale factor setting to verify the effectiveness of each component, as shown in Table 8.

Effect of Progressive Frequency Compensation (PFC).

As shown in Fig. 7 (c). Although the dilated convolution significantly reduces the repetition issue, the background of the generated images becomes blurred. Compared with (a), our PFC compensates for the high-frequency information loss caused by the dilated convolution, making the background clearer and improving the global details.

Effect of Adaptive Information Aggregation (AIA).

DSAttn has better information aggregation ability as shown in Fig. 3. We further utilize the AIA module in higher resolution to balance local and global information, as shown in Fig. 7 (d). After adopting AIA, the image details are further improved. The comparison results of DSAttn and AIA can be found in the supplementary material.

Effect of Noise Adaptation (NA).

We use NA module to suppress the distribution of information in the central region to mitigate the phenomenon of repetitive content in higher resolution. As shown in Fig. 7 (b), the messy hair on the face is successfully removed after using the NA module.

5.3 The experiments on DiT

SD3 (Esser et al., 2024) is based on the DiT (Peebles & Xie, 2023) structure, which is different from Unet-based SD1.5 (Rombach et al., 2022) and SDXL (Podell et al., 2023). We performed experiments on SD3 512×512 , 2048×2048 and 3072×3072 settings to verify the generality of our method. As shown in the




Figure 7: Qualitative results for ablation study.

Table 3: Quantitative Results on the SD3

Method	Scale Factor	FID	KID	FID _c	KID _c
Direct-Inf	0.5×0.5	85.49	0.028	79.06	0.020
Our		84.16	0.025	78.34	0.018
Direct-Inf	2×2	71.24	0.017	67.56	0.025
Our		70.38	0.016	67.12	0.023
Direct-Inf	3×3	129.58	0.041	117.24	0.035
Our		128.70	0.039	116.42	0.034

Fig. 10 and Tab. 3, we observe that our method further improves the quality of image generation, achieving lower FID and KID scores.

6 Conclusion

We propose **InfoScale**, an information-centric variable-scaled image generation framework, achieving effective information utilization for DMs. We believe that information amount of the generated image is different across resolutions, leading to the information conversion procedures needing to be varied when converting the initial noise to variable-scaled images. We design the Progressive Frequency Compensation module, the Adaptive Information Aggregation module, and the Noise Adaptation module to address these challenges. Our method is plug-and-play for DMs, and extensive experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of our method.

7 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation under Grant 2108085UD12. We acknowledge the support of GPU cluster built by MCC Lab of Information Science and Technology Institution, USTC. The AI-driven experiments, simulations and model training were performed on the robotic AI-Scientist platform of Chinese Academy of Sciences.

References

- Omer Bar-Tal, Lior Yariv, Yaron Lipman, and Tali Dekel. Multidiffusion: fusing diffusion paths for controlled image generation. In *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 1737–1752, 2023.
- Mikołaj Bińkowski, Danica J Sutherland, Michael Arbel, and Arthur Gretton. Demystifying mmd gans. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.01401*, 2018.
- Andreas Blattmann, Robin Rombach, Huan Ling, Tim Dockhorn, Seung Wook Kim, Sanja Fidler, and Karsten Kreis. Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 22563–22575, 2023.
- Lucy Chai, Michael Gharbi, Eli Shechtman, Phillip Isola, and Richard Zhang. Any-resolution training for high-resolution image synthesis. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 170–188. Springer, 2022.
- Junsong Chen, Chongjian Ge, Enze Xie, Yue Wu, Lewei Yao, Xiaozhe Ren, Zhongdao Wang, Ping Luo, Huchuan Lu, and Zhenguo Li. Pixart- σ : Weak-to-strong training of diffusion transformer for 4k text-to-image generation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 74–91. Springer, 2024.
- Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34:8780–8794, 2021.
- Ruoyi Du, Dongliang Chang, Timothy Hospedales, Yi-Zhe Song, and Zhanyu Ma. Demofusion: Democratizing high-resolution image generation with no \$\$\$\$. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 6159–6168, 2024.
- Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Andreas Blattmann, Rahim Entezari, Jonas Müller, Harry Saini, Yam Levi, Dominik Lorenz, Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, et al. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024.
- Roy Ganz and Michael Elad. Text-to-image generation via energy-based clip. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.17046*, 2024.
- Dhruba Ghosh, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, and Ludwig Schmidt. Geneval: An object-focused framework for evaluating text-to-image alignment. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:52132–52152, 2023.
- Xiefan Guo, Jinlin Liu, Miaomiao Cui, Jiankai Li, Hongyu Yang, and Di Huang. Initno: Boosting text-to-image diffusion models via initial noise optimization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 9380–9389, 2024.
- Moayed Haji-Ali, Guha Balakrishnan, and Vicente Ordonez. Elasticdiffusion: Training-free arbitrary size image generation through global-local content separation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 6603–6612, 2024.
- Yingqing He, Tianyu Yang, Yong Zhang, Ying Shan, and Qifeng Chen. Latent video diffusion models for high-fidelity long video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.13221*, 2022.
- Yingqing He, Shaoshu Yang, Haoxin Chen, Xiaodong Cun, Menghan Xia, Yong Zhang, Xintao Wang, Ran He, Qifeng Chen, and Ying Shan. Scalecrafter: Tuning-free higher-resolution visual generation with diffusion models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598*, 2022.

- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
- Emiel Hoogeboom, Jonathan Heek, and Tim Salimans. simple diffusion: End-to-end diffusion for high resolution images. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 13213–13232. PMLR, 2023.
- Linjiang Huang, Rongyao Fang, Aiping Zhang, Guanglu Song, Si Liu, Yu Liu, and Hongsheng Li. Fouriscale: A frequency perspective on training-free high-resolution image synthesis. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 196–212. Springer, 2024.
- Juno Hwang, Yong-Hyun Park, and Junghyo Jo. Upsample guidance: Scale up diffusion models without training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.01709*, 2024.
- Zhiyu Jin, Xuli Shen, Bin Li, and Xiangyang Xue. Training-free diffusion model adaptation for variable-sized text-to-image synthesis. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:70847–70860, 2023.
- Junjie Ke, Qifei Wang, Yilin Wang, Peyman Milanfar, and Feng Yang. Musiq: Multi-scale image quality transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 5148–5157, 2021.
- Younghyun Kim, Geunmin Hwang, Junyu Zhang, and Eunbyung Park. Diffusehigh: Training-free progressive high-resolution image synthesis through structure guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.18459*, 2024.
- Yuseung Lee, Kunho Kim, Hyunjin Kim, and Minhyuk Sung. Syncdiffusion: Coherent montage via synchronized joint diffusions. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:50648–50660, 2023.
- Jiazhi Li, Mi Zhou, Mahyar Khayatkhoei, Jingyu Shi, Xiang Gao, Jiageng Zhu, Hanchen Xie, Xiyun Song, Zongfang Lin, Heather Yu, et al. Enhancing diversity in text-to-image generation without compromising fidelity. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*.
- Kewei Li, Yanwen Kong, Yiping Xu, Jianlin Su, Lan Huang, Ruochi Zhang, and Fengfeng Zhou. Information entropy invariance: Enhancing length extrapolation in attention mechanisms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.08570*, 2025.
- Zhimin Li, Jianwei Zhang, Qin Lin, Jiangfeng Xiong, Yanxin Long, Xincheng Deng, Yingfang Zhang, Xingchao Liu, Minbin Huang, Zedong Xiao, et al. Hunyuan-dit: A powerful multi-resolution diffusion transformer with fine-grained chinese understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.08748*, 2024.
- Mingbao Lin, Zhihang Lin, Wengyi Zhan, Liujuan Cao, and Rongrong Ji. Cutdiffusion: A simple, fast, cheap, and strong diffusion extrapolation method. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.15141*, 2024a.
- Zhihang Lin, Mingbao Lin, Meng Zhao, and Rongrong Ji. Accdiffusion: An accurate method for higher-resolution image generation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 38–53. Springer, 2024b.
- Mushui Liu, Yuhang Ma, Yang Zhen, Jun Dan, Yunlong Yu, Zeng Zhao, Zhipeng Hu, Bai Liu, and Changjie Fan. Llm4gen: Leveraging semantic representation of llms for text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.00737*, 2024a.
- Songhua Liu, Weihao Yu, Zhenxiong Tan, and Xinchao Wang. Linfusion: 1 gpu, 1 minute, 16k image. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.02097*, 2024b.
- Jiaying Lu, Jiaming Shen, Bo Xiong, Wenjing Ma, Steffen Staab, and Carl Yang. Hiprompt: Few-shot biomedical knowledge fusion via hierarchy-oriented prompting. In *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pp. 2052–2056, 2023.
- Charlie Nash, Jacob Menick, Sander Dieleman, and Peter W Battaglia. Generating images with sparse representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.03841*, 2021.
- William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 4195–4205, 2023.

- Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe Penna, and Robin Rombach. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01952*, 2023.
- Haonan Qiu, Shiwei Zhang, Yujie Wei, Ruihang Chu, Hangjie Yuan, Xiang Wang, Yingya Zhang, and Ziwei Liu. Freescale: Unleashing the resolution of diffusion models via tuning-free scale fusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.09626*, 2024.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 8748–8763. PmLR, 2021.
- Jingjing Ren, Wenbo Li, Haoyu Chen, Renjing Pei, Bin Shao, Yong Guo, Long Peng, Fenglong Song, and Lei Zhu. Ultrapixel: Advancing ultra-high-resolution image synthesis to new peaks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.02158*, 2024.
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 10684–10695, 2022.
- Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, et al. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:25278–25294, 2022.
- Claude E Shannon. A mathematical theory of communication. *The Bell system technical journal*, 27(3): 379–423, 1948.
- Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020.
- Jianyi Wang, Zongsheng Yue, Shangchen Zhou, Kelvin CK Chan, and Chen Change Loy. Exploiting diffusion prior for real-world image super-resolution. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 132(12):5929–5949, 2024.
- Xintao Wang, Ke Yu, Shixiang Wu, Jinjin Gu, Yihao Liu, Chao Dong, Yu Qiao, and Chen Change Loy. Esrgan: Enhanced super-resolution generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV) workshops*, pp. 0–0, 2018.
- Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Chao Dong, and Ying Shan. Real-esrgan: Training real-world blind super-resolution with pure synthetic data. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 1905–1914, 2021.
- Haoning Wu, Shaocheng Shen, Qiang Hu, Xiaoyun Zhang, Ya Zhang, and Yanfeng Wang. Megafusion: Extend diffusion models towards higher-resolution image generation without further tuning. In *2025 IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pp. 3944–3953. IEEE, 2025.
- Haosen Yang, Adrian Bulat, Isma Hadji, Hai X Pham, Xiatian Zhu, Georgios Tzimiropoulos, and Brais Martinez. Fam diffusion: Frequency and attention modulation for high-resolution image generation with stable diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.18552*, 2024.
- Zhen Yang, Guibao Shen, Liang Hou, Mushui Liu, Luozhou Wang, Xin Tao, Pengfei Wan, Di Zhang, and Ying-Cong Chen. Rectifiedhr: Enable efficient high-resolution image generation via energy rectification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.02537*, 2025.
- Shen Zhang, Zhaowei Chen, Zhenyu Zhao, Zhenyuan Chen, Yao Tang, Yuhao Chen, Wengang Cao, and Jiajun Liang. Hidiffusion: Unlocking high-resolution creativity and efficiency in low-resolution trained diffusion models. *CoRR*, 2023.

Zhengqiang Zhang, Ruihuang Li, and Lei Zhang. Frecas: Efficient higher-resolution image generation via frequency-aware cascaded sampling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.18410*, 2024.

Le Zhuo, Ruoyi Du, Han Xiao, Yangguang Li, Dongyang Liu, Rongjie Huang, Wenzhe Liu, Lirui Zhao, Fuyun Wang, Zhanyu Ma, et al. Lumina-next: Making lumina-t2x stronger and faster with next-dit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.18583*, 2024.

A Appendix

A.1 Motivation for Variable-scaled Generation

Investigating variable-scaled generation is a significant research. Just as Attention-SF (Jin et al., 2023) and ElasticDiffusion (Haji-Ali et al., 2024) did lower- and higher-resolution generation, we follow their task setting. Additionally, (1) we need to discuss both lower- and higher-resolution generation to comprehensively explain the essence of information utilization in Diffusion models. (2) In Table 4, our method requires less inference time and GPU memory, especially on portable devices, with a partial trade-off in fidelity.

Additionally, we provide more low-resolution generated visual results on three different SD models: SD 1.5, SD 2.1, and SDXL, as shown in Fig. 11.

Table 4: Quantitative Results on the SDXL 512x512 setting

Method	FID	Time	GPU Memory (GB)
Direct-Inf	96.31	4s	7.6
SDXL+DownSampling	58.44	9s	10.5
Our	71.02	4s	7.6

A.2 Implementation Details

A.2.1 Information Entropy

Information entropy (Shannon, 1948) is a fundamental concept in information theory. It is used to quantify the uncertainty or unpredictability of random variables or information. For a discrete random variable X , its entropy $H(X)$ is defined as:

$$H(\mathbf{X}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n p(x_i) \log p(x_i) \quad (8)$$

where x_i is a possible outcome of the random variable X and $p(x_i)$ indicates the probability of the x_i . Information entropy measures the average uncertainty of random variables. Due to the calculation characteristics of softmax, the attention score can be well used as the probability value in the information entropy formula. Each attention map can be regarded as a random variable. Therefore, information entropy can be linked to the attention mechanism (Li et al., 2025), where a larger entropy indicates that a wider range of contextual information is considered, while a smaller entropy otherwise. Based on the above analysis, we use the attention scores from the self-attention layer in the second-to-last block of the model to dynamically measure the information amount of the latent.

A.2.2 High-Frequency Energy Ratio

We quantify the amount of high-frequency information in images of different resolutions by calculating the proportion of high-frequency component to the total energy.

A.2.3 Noise Adaptation

In Noise Adaptation module, our W is a Gaussian weight obtained from a 2D Gaussian function. Specifically, $\mu_x = \frac{h}{2}$, $\mu_y = \frac{w}{2}$, $\sigma_x = \frac{h}{K}$, and $\sigma_y = \frac{w}{K}$, where h represents Height, w represents Width, and K represents KERNEL DIVISION, with a default value of 3.

A.2.4 Experiments Setting

We perform DDIM (Song et al., 2020) sampling with 50 steps for all experiments, with the classifier-free guidance set to 7.5 by default. For the integration of FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024) and FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024), we only use the Progressive Frequency Compensation module and Noise Adaptation module.

Specifically, for Freescale, all our modules operate at a higher resolution, with no processing applied to the first stage. Additionally, we note that Freescale performs interpolation operations in Self-Cascade Upscaling, and our experiments found that this interpolation affects the supplementation of high frequencies. Therefore, we made appropriate adjustments: during the time steps of dilated convolutions, we changed the interpolation operation to frequency fusion, similar to (Yang et al., 2024). The difference is that we used a fixed stop frequency, and we execute Freescale’s default operations at timestamp without dilated convolution.

A.2.5 Plug-and-Play

Our proposed method is plug-and-play for DMs and can be integrated into FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024) to achieve effective utilization of information. Fig. 8 illustrates our integration on FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024).




Figure 8: Plug-and-play of applying our method for FreeScale.

A.2.6 Progressive Frequency Compensation

Regarding the loss of high-frequency information in dilated convolutions, the predicted noise at the current time step without dilated convolution is an ideal source of high-frequency components, but it is difficult to obtain unless noise prediction is performed again. We consider that the latent at adjacent timesteps have continuity, and the loss of high-frequency components in the noise from the previous step is relatively smaller compared to the noise at the current step. Therefore, we use the noise predicted at the previous time step as a compensation source of high-frequency information. In addition, we perform Adaptive Instance Normalization (AdaIN) before frequency fusion to align the statistics of the cached noise with the predicted noise at the current time step. This is due to the difference in the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) (Hoogeboom et al., 2023) between them, and directly using the predicted noise from the previous step for frequency fusion can easily disrupt the distribution of the current predicted noise, causing out-of-distribution issues.

A.3 More Results

A.3.1 Ablation studies



Figure 9: Comparison of DSAttn and AFF.

We experimentally find that directly utilizing Dual-Scaled Attention (DSAttn) in higher-resolution generation will cause some local content to appear in the generated image, as shown in the Fig. 9. As for the reason for this phenomenon, we believe that DSAttn weakens its ability to pay attention to global information because it focuses on local information. To this end, we perform Attention Feature Fusion(AFF) in higher-resolution generation. In contrast, by combining information at different scales, we achieved better visual effects.

A.3.2 Experiments on other aspect ratio

We compare with SDXL (Podell et al., 2023) Direct-Inference, Attn-SF (Jin et al., 2023), ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023), FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024), AccDiffusion (Lin et al., 2024b) and DemoFusion (Du et al., 2024) to verify the effectiveness of our method on other aspect ratios(2:4) , as shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Quantitative Results on the SDXL 2048 × 4096 setting

Method	Scale Factor	FID	KID	FID _c	KID _c
Direct-Inf (Huang et al., 2024)	2 × 4	154.36	0.047	145.06	0.054
Attn-SF (Jin et al., 2023)		150.63	0.045	139.85	0.050
DemoFusion (Du et al., 2024)		57.44	0.011	81.86	0.024
AccDiffusion (Du et al., 2024)		56.87	0.010	81.19	0.013
ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023)		92.63	0.028	109.73	0.025
ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023)+Our		92.11	0.024	109.55	0.023
FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024)		90.28	0.022	106.37	0.021
FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024)+Our		89.79	0.020	106.02	0.020

A.3.3 Comparison with Super-Resolution methods

We compare with super-resolution methods, including StableSR (Wang et al., 2024), ESRGAN (Wang et al., 2018) and Real-ESRGAN (Wang et al., 2021) on SDXL 512 × 512 and 2048 × 2048 settings to demonstrate effectiveness of our method, as shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Quantitative Results on the SDXL

Method	Scale Factor	FID	KID	FID _c	KID _c
SDXL+StableSR (Wang et al., 2024)	2 × 2	58.53	0.003	64.29	0.008
SDXL+Real-ESRGAN (Wang et al., 2021)		60.32	0.004	65.67	0.012
FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024)		51.99	0.003	60.99	0.006
FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024) +our		50.79	0.002	59.50	0.004
SDXL+ESRGAN (Wang et al., 2018)	4 × 4	61.85	0.012	98.08	0.024
SDXL+Real-ESRGAN (Wang et al., 2021)		60.95	0.010	97.26	0.022
SDXL+StableSR (Wang et al., 2024)		59.93	0.008	95.97	0.021
FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024)		60.16	0.008	94.20	0.019
FreeScale (Qiu et al., 2024) +our		59.74	0.007	92.82	0.017

A.3.4 More results on GenEval

We compare with ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023) on GenEval Benchmark (Ghosh et al., 2023) to demonstrate the effectiveness of our method, as shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Quantitative Results on the SDXL 2048 × 4096 setting

Method	Resolution	Single Obj.	Two Obj.	Counting	Colors	Position	Color Attr.	Overall
ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023)	2048	0.89	0.56	0.25	0.72	0.09	0.18	0.45
ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023) +our	2048	0.90	0.54	0.28	0.80	0.10	0.23	0.48

A.3.5 The ablation experiments on stop-frequency in PFC

As shown in Table 8, we conduct an ablation study on the cutoff frequency of the PFC module. This module is designed to compensate for the loss of high-frequency details caused by dilated convolutions. The results reveal a critical trade-off: setting the cutoff frequency too high leads to insufficient high-frequency compensation. Conversely, setting it too low introduces excessive low-frequency content from the previous timestep, which disrupts the denoising process for the current step.

Table 8: Ablation studies for stop-frequency in PFC

PFC	FID	KID	FID _c	KID _c
$D_0 = 0.1$	63.10	0.005	36.66	0.007
$D_0 = 0.25$	62.73	0.004	36.47	0.006
$D_0 = 0.5$	62.97	0.005	36.61	0.006
$D_0 = 0.75$	63.28	0.006	36.79	0.007

A.3.6 The comparison experiments on sFID and MUSIQ.

As shown in Table 9, we conduct experiments with MUSIQ Ke et al. (2021) and sFID Nash et al. (2021). In image generation at 4x resolution on SDXL, we achieve lower sFID and higher MUSIQ compared to ScaleCrafter, indicating that our method generates actual high-fidelity details.

Table 9: More metrics.

Method	sFID	MUSIQ
ScaleCrafter	1.1025	51.65
Our	1.0946	52.41

A.3.7 User Study

For the user studies, we utilize SDXL to generate 2048×2048 images, comparing our proposed method against ScaleCrafter (He et al., 2023) and FouriScale (Huang et al., 2024). We randomly select 100 prompts and generate 100 sets of images. Ten volunteers participated in the test, and they were asked to select the image with the best details and a reasonable semantic layout from each set. As shown in Table 10, the results indicate that our method demonstrates significantly superior performance, garnering 50% of the user preference votes. FouriScale and ScaleCrafter followed with 30% and 20% of the votes, respectively.

Table 10: User study.

Method	Preference
ScaleCrafter	20%
FouriScale	30%
Our	50%

A.3.8 More visual results

Our method is plug-and-play for diffusion models. To validate its effectiveness across different model architectures and resolution configurations, we provide additional plug-and-play comparative experimental results, as shown in Fig. 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19.



Figure 10: Visual Results on SD3. From left to right, the prompts used in the examples are: (1) "Stylized Character Rendering". (2) "mountain scene from frozen 2". (3) "Greg Lecoer - Crabeater seal". (4) "old man with a hat by azatyeman".




Figure 11: Visual results on lower resolution.




Figure 12: Comparative visualization of generated results on SD1.5 1024×1024 setting. From left to right, the prompts used in the examples are: (1) "Trail running in changing weather while in the Dent du Morcles area of Switzerland". (2) "Bread and Belgian Beer". (3) "Hamnoy Epilogue". (4) "Window Box Painting".



Figure 13: Comparative visualization of generated results on SD2.1 2048×2048 setting. From left to right, the prompts used in the examples are: (1) "Francis J. Underwood by alfalert". (2) "A red fox trotting through a forest, its fur glowing golden in the soft sunlight filtering through the trees.". (3) "Sunrise Sentinel by Martin Grelle". (4) "Chapel Painting - Chapel At Ojo Claiente by Steven Boone".



Figure 14: Comparative visualization of generated results on SD2.1 1024×1024 setting. From left to right, the prompts used in the examples are: (1) "Striking Portraits Featuring Powerful Women of Color Painted by Artist Tim Okamura". (2) "Vintage style beaded wedding dress by Joanne Fleming Design, image by Rob Howarth". (3) "Canada, Nunavut Territory, Repulse Bay, Polar Bear and young cub (*Ursus maritimus*) floating clinging to iceberg near Harbour Islands at sunset". (4) "Witch's Hut".



Figure 15: Comparative visualization of generated results on SD2.1 2048×2048 setting. From left to right, the prompts used in the examples are: (1) "Lunar Chronicles - Captain Carswell Thorne by LauraHollingsworth". (2) "e926 2017 aircraft anthro blacknose canine clothed clothing day detailed background digital media (artwork) dipstick tail fox mammal multicolored tail outside sky smile stanidng thanshuhai". (3) "A woman and dog sitting in the snowy mountains". (4) "standing penguin on sand near snow covered mountain covering the sun from view at daytime0".



Figure 16: Comparative visualization of generated results on SD1.5 1024×1024 setting. From left to right, the prompts used in the examples are: (1) "Dr. House - Hugh Laurie". (2) "St Michael's Mount". (3) "Frozen gate Tera by moonworker1". (4) "A Lone Carmel Cypress - Original Painting" by Obata, Chiura".




Figure 17: Comparative visualization of generated results on SD2.1 2048×2048 setting. From left to right, the prompts used in the examples are: (1) "Photograph Tropical paradise with turtles by Vitaliy Sokol on 500px". (2) "Plein air oil painting of the rural landscape looking across to Table Mountain from Dysart, Tasmania. By artist Rick Crossland". (3) "Oil Canvas Painting Spring Meadow with Colorful Flowers and Tree". (4) "MacDOUGALL's RUSSIAN ART AUCTION 30 MAY 2020".



Figure 18: Comparative visualization of generated results on SD2.1 1024×1024 setting. From left to right, the prompts used in the examples are: (1) "old man with a hat by azatyeman". (2) "Tips for Photographing Rivers". (3) "Mike Svob artwork 'STILL WATERS' available at Canada House Gallery - Banff, Alberta". (4) "Anime Landscape 4k Laptop Full Hd 1080p Hd 4k Wallpapers".




Figure 19: Comparative visualization of generated results on SD2.1 2048×2048 setting. From left to right, the prompts used in the examples are: (1) "Susanna Madora Salter was the first woman elected to political office in the United States. She was elected mayor of Arg". (2) "Stock photo of Ashness Jetty, Derwentwater, Keswick, Lake District National Park". (3) "1789 download wallpaper Animals, Pictures, Pandas screensavers and pictures for free". (4) "Typical Swedish House Cottage Exterior Sweden House Swedish House".