PAELLA Parameter-Efficient Lightweight Language-Agnostic Captioning Model

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

We introduce PAELLA, a Parameter-Efficient 001 002 Lightweight Language-Agnostic image captioning model that uses retrieval augmentation to perform multilingual caption genera-005 tion. The model is trained by learning a small mapping network with 30M parameters between a pre-trained visual model and a multilingual language model that is conditioned 009 on two types of input: (i) the image itself, and (ii) a set of retrieved captions in the tar-011 get language. The retrieved examples play 012 a key role in guiding the model to generate captions across languages. Compared to other multilingual captioning models, PAELLA can be trained in one day on a single GPU. The model is lightweight in terms of the number 017 of trainable parameters, which only exist in its mapping network, and also in the amount of multilingual training data that is required. Ex-019 periments on the XM3600 dataset, featuring 36 languages, show that PAELLA can outperform 021 or compete against some models with $4-87 \times$ more learned parameters and 35-863× more 024 data. We also find that PAELLA can be trained on only monolingual data and still show strong zero-shot abilities in other languages.

1 Introduction

041

We tackle the problem of multilingual image captioning, aiming to provide textual descriptions of visual contents that can serve speakers of different languages, in contrast to most captioning models that only generate English captions. While significant progress has been made in recent years, training image captioning models has become more and more costly due to the trend of scaling both data and model size (Hu et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022). This trend is even more prominent in multilingual approaches (Chen et al., 2022; Thapliyal et al., 2022), given the need for training data covering each target language, and the need of even larger models to mitigate the *curse of multilinguality* (Conneau et al., 2019; Goyal et al., 2021). Some recent research has focused on minimizing the cost of multilingual training, such as PALI-3 (Chen et al., 2023) with 5B trainable parameters, and mBLIP (Geigle et al., 2023) with only 124M trainable parameters. Both these approaches use pre-trained multimodal language models or pretrained visual encoders that are kept frozen, reducing the number of trainable parameters. Nevertheless, both of these models still rely on training with millions or billions of examples, including in the context of image captioning alone.

043

044

045

046

047

050

051

057

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

076

077

078

079

081

This paper describes a **Pa**rameter-**E**fficient Lightweight Language-Agnostic captioning model (PAELLA). The model is designed to be efficient, not only in terms of the number of trainable parameters, but also lightweight in the amount of multilingual training data required. PAELLA has only 30 million trained parameters, and the model can be trained using just 566K examples, i.e., the size of the English COCO dataset.

PAELLA is based on frozen pre-trained models that are augmented with retrieved examples. The only learned parameters are in a compact mapping network of cross-attention layers between a frozen CLIP image encoder and a frozen XGLM multilingual language model. The model is trained to generate captions in the desired language using a prompt in that language. Furthermore, the retrieved examples assist the model in generating meaningful captions, by providing examples of what the predicted caption should resemble. The use of retrieved examples positively contributes to reducing both the number of trainable parameters, and the required amount of multilingual data.

We conduct experiments on XM3600 (Thapliyal et al., 2022), an established multilingual captioning benchmark that covers geographic diverse images with human-annotated captions in 36 languages. Experiments show that PAELLA can outperform or compete with models that are more demanding in terms of trained parameters or training data. The performance of our model in low-resource languages is particularly noteworthy, in contrast to concurrent models like mBLIP, that often excel in English and related languages but struggle to generalize effectively to underrepresented languages.

Results also show that PAELLA demonstrates zero-shot multilingual capabilities when trained only with monolingual data such as the English COCO dataset. PAELLA achieves language transfer through retrieval, solemnly by retrieving captions in the target language during inference. Ablation studies further demonstrate the benefit of our retrieval-augmented approach.

2 Related Work

086

090

093

097

100

101

102

103

104

106

107

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

2.1 Image Captioning

In the last years, image captioning has witnessed impressive performance improvements through end-to-end Vision-and-Language Pretraining (VLP), considering the use of large-scale models and large image-text datasets in English (Wang et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2022; Li et al., 2022).

In an effort to alleviate the increasing computation costs, recent studies have adopted off-the-shelf pre-trained encoder and decoder models that remain frozen during training (Mokady et al., 2021; Luo et al., 2022; Ramos et al., 2023b; Mañas et al., 2023). For instance, several studies have used CLIP (Radford et al., 2021) as the visual encoder, and GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019) as the language decoder, keeping one or both of the models frozen during training, and instead learning a mapping network to align the two modalities. Having the models frozen speeds up training and reduces GPU memory usage (Mokady et al., 2021). Besides reducing computational costs, this is also a means to seamlessly integrate powerful unimodal models (Tsimpoukelli et al., 2021; Alayrac et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023; Dai et al., 2023), including largescale pre-trained (Brown et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022; Touvron et al., 2023) and instruction tuned language models (Wei et al., 2021; Chung et al., 2022; Taori et al., 2023), which would otherwise be impractical with end-to-end training, and could result in the loss of generalization from catastrophic forgetting (McCloskey and Cohen, 1989).

In the realm of multilingual image captioning, instead of expensive end-to-end training from scratch (Thapliyal et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2020), recent models have also opted for frozen pre-trained visual encoders and/or language decoders. Examples include mBLIP (Geigle et al., 2023) or PALI-3 (Chen et al., 2023). In contrast to these studies, we use a frozen pre-trained encoder and a frozen language model, that are augmented with retrieved examples to further reduce the number for trainable parameters, as well as the need for extensive multilingual training data. 134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

182

2.2 Retrieval Augmention

Retrieval-augmented language generation conditions the generation process by enhancing the input with information retrieved from an external datastore (Lewis et al., 2020). Retrieval augmented models have gained increased popularly (Khandelwal et al., 2020; Izacard et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2023; Yu et al., 2023), including in image captioning (Zhao et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2019; Ramos et al., 2021; Sarto et al., 2022; Ramos et al., 2023b; Yang et al., 2023). The work that more closely resembles ours is SmallCap (Ramos et al., 2023b), a lightweight English captioning model that uses pre-trained encoder and decoder models, and that also uses prompting with retrieved captions. In this paper, we instead use a pre-trained multilingual language model, and explore how the prompt and retrieved captions should be designed to enable generation across different languages.

We note that retrieval augmentation remains largely unexplored in the multilingual image captioning scenario. Until now, only the multilingual LMCap (Ramos et al., 2023a) model has used retrieval augmentation, but solely in a training-free manner based on prompting a multilingual language model in an image-blind approach. In our work, we instead show the potential of retrieval augmentation in contributing to the training of a multilingual image captioning model.

3 Proposed Approach

The **Pa**rameter-Efficient Lightweight Language-Agnostic (PAELLA) captioning model uses retrieval augmentation to generate captions in multiple languages. An overview of the model architecture can be seen in Figure 1.

We follow a similar design to the monolingual SMALLCAP model (Ramos et al., 2023b), by building on top of powerful pre-trained unimodal models. We also use CLIP (Radford et al., 2021) as the visual encoder, but instead of GPT-2 or OPT as the decoder, we use a multilingual auto-regressive language model, i.e. XGLM (Lin



Figure 1: PAELLA uses a frozen pre-trained image encoder and a frozen multilingual decoder, connected with a trainable mapping network. The decoder generates a multilingual caption conditioned on the encoded image, together with retrieved captions given as input within a prompt in the desired language.

et al., 2021). Both the encoder and the decoder are kept frozen during training, except for a newly added mapping network of cross-attention layers, that allows the decoder to attend to the visual inputs. PAELLA generates captions conditioned on the image and on a set of k retrieved captions¹ from similar images. The retrieved captions are used to prompt the model to generate in the desired target language. The prompt follows a fixed-template which first includes examples of the k retrieved captions and ends with an instruction for the multilingual decoder to generate a caption in a desired language. The English prompt is:

Similar images show [retrieved caption₁] ... [retrieved caption_k]. A caption I can generate to describe this image in [language] is: ...

The prompt and captions can be tailored to different languages, by having both these parts in the desired language (see some examples of the prompts for other languages in Appendix A).

The parameters in the mapping network θ_M are trained by minimizing the sum of the negative log-likelihood of predicting the ground truth image caption for each token in the sequence $y_1 \dots y_M$, conditioned on the image **V** and the retrieval-augmented prompt **L**:

$$L_{\theta_M} = -\sum_{i=1}^M \log P_{\theta}(y_i | y_{\leq i}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{L}).$$
(1)

We quantitatively show in Section 5 that our retrieval-augmented approach has these properties:

Parameter-efficiency: Only the cross-attention layers between a frozen encoder and a frozen decoder need to be trained. To compensate for the small number of trainable parameters, the model is guided with examples of retrieved captions.

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

233

234

235

237

238

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

Data-efficiency: Through retrieval, the model does not need a huge amount of multilingual data for training, since it benefits from retrieved examples that demonstrate how to generate in the target language. We thus alleviate the data hunger of existing multilingual models, that are often trained with the same image associated to captions in multiple languages, having to repeatedly translate entire English captioning datasets for each language (e.g., COCO to COCO-35L (Thapliyal et al., 2022)).

Zero-shot Multilinguality: Our model demonstrates multilingual capabilities even when trained only on monolingual image captioning data. It can be trained on the specific in-domain distribution from the available data in a high-resource language, and still generate in different languages. This by relying exclusively, at inference time, on retrieval augmentation in the target language from an available multilingual captioning dataset.

4 Experimental Setup

4.1 Implementation and Training Details

We release our code and model at anonymous-submission. PAELLA is implemented using the HuggingFace Transformers library (Wolf et al., 2020). The backbone of the model is based on the pre-trained CLIP model openai/clip-vit-base-patch32, and the pre-trained XGLM facebook/xglm-2.9B.

214

¹See Section 4 for details on the retrieval system.

The input image V is encoded by the CLIP encoder, and the language-based prompt L, which includes the k retrieved captions, is processed by XGLM to generate a caption in the target language.

254

256

257

261

265

266

267

270

273

274

275

276

287

290

291

Encoder: CLIP is a powerful multimodal model that was pre-trained to encode images and text into a shared embedding space, using contrastive learning (Radford et al., 2021). We use CLIP-ViT-B/32 to encode the input image, producing a sequence of N=50 visual features $V=\{v_1, ..., v_N\}$, each with an embedding size of 768 dimensions. This encoder has 86M million parameters, which are kept frozen during training.

Decoder: XGLM is a multilingual autoregressive language model that can generate in a diverse set of 30 languages² (Lin et al., 2021). In PAELLA, we use the variant with 2.9B parameters, which are frozen during training.

Retrieval: CLIP is also used for image-text retrieval. Specifically, it is used to encode both the candidate captions into a datastore, and each given input image. For each given image, the knearest captions are retrieved from the caption datastore. The datastore is indexed efficiently through the FAISS library (Johnson et al., 2017), specifically with the IndexFlatIP index that does not require any training, allowing for offline retrieval. The images are also encoded with CLIP, using the visual backbone, to retrieve the captions that are most similar based on cosine similarity. We select the top k = 4 retrieved captions, in-line with previous findings which indicate that this is the optimal number of captions in both monolingual and multilingual setups (Ramos et al., 2023a,b).

Mapping Network: The only part of PAELLA that is trained is the mapping network between the frozen encoder and decoder. The mapping network consists of randomly initialized cross-attention layers (Vaswani et al., 2017) added to each of the 48 layers of XLGM, so the decoder can attend to the encoder outputs. In order to have a smaller number of trainable parameters, we use low rank cross-attention layers by reducing the original dimensionality d of the projection matrices from 128 to 8, as in Ramos et al. (2023b). Accordingly, this amounts to only 30M trainable parameters. These parameters are trained by predicting the tokens in the target caption.

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

Training Requirements: PAELLA is trained for 3 epochs with an initial learning rate of 1e-4, using the AdamW optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2014) and a batch size of 16 with 4 gradient accumulation steps, on a single NVIDIA RTX A6000 GPU. In an effort to promote accessibility, our model can be trained in a day on a single GPU, unlike other multilingual image captioning models. With CLIP-ViT-B/32 encoder and XGLM-2.9B decoder, PAELLA takes 23h for training the 30M trainable parameters, occupying 46G RAM. If using instead XGLM-1.7B, it takes 14h and 29G RAM. For XGLM-564M, it only takes 7h and 19G RAM³. Moreover, we exclusively use publicly available datasets, as described next.

4.2 Data

We now describe the data used in our experiments, covering the benchmark we evaluate our model on and its training data, as well as the dataset used for the retrieval datastore.

Evaluation Data: We assess the performance of our model on the well-established XM3600 dataset (Thapliyal et al., 2022), that covers geographically-diverse images from 36 languages (L_{36}), including the core set of languages defined by Thapliyal et al. (2022): en, es, hi and zh (L_{CORE}), and a set of low-resource languages (L_5): *bn*, *quz*, *mi*, *sw*, *te*. Each language is represented by 100 images from Open Images, chosen based on the area the language is spoken. In total, XM3600 has 3600 images with 261375 human-annotated captions. Each image has at least 2 captions/language.

Most human-annotated captioning datasets are predominantly on English. Following Thapliyal et al. (2022), we extend the evaluation to include the COCO-35L dataset (Thapliyal et al., 2022), which is automatically translated from the original English COCO dataset (Chen et al., 2015). COCO-35L has 5000 images for validation, and 113k images for training, each with 5 reference captions per language. The translations were obtained with the Google Translate API⁴, covering all the 36 languages in XM3600, with the expection of Cusco Quechua (*quz*), not supported by the API.

²en, ru, zh, de, es, fr, ja, it, pt, el, ko, fi, id, tr, ar, vi, th, bg, ca, hi, et, bn, ta, ur, sw, te, eu, my, ht, qu.

³See the performance with these models in Appendix D. ⁴https://cloud.google.com/translate

Training Data: Given the scarcity of multilin-340 gual human-annotated captions, multilingual mod-341 els typically resort to training on machine translated 342 data. The standard approach (Thapliyal et al., 2022) involves training on the aforementioned COCO-35L dataset, which contains 566K training captions translated into 35 languages, resulting in a dataset with 20.3M captions. Existing multilingual models (Thapliyal et al., 2022; Geigle et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2022) also benefit from large-scale pre-training, using datasets such as the machine translated CC3M-35L (Thapliyal et al., 2022), built 351 from the CC3M dataset (Sharma et al., 2018), which contains 3M image-caption pairs for training, amounting to 105M translations. 354

> In contrast, we only train on a subset of COCO-35L, which is downsampled to match the size of the original English COCO dataset (i.e., 565K examples instead of 20.3M examples). The subset is created by sampling captions from the COCO-35L dataset according to a uniform distribution across languages. The exploration of other sampling strategies is left for future work.

358

363

371

375

379

Retrieval Data: The datastore of our model contains the training captions of the COCO dataset using the Karpathy splits (Karpathy and Fei-Fei, 2015). The English captions are indexed with their corresponding IDs. In this way, we apply image-text search based on CLIP-ViT-bigG-14⁵ by retrieving, for each image, the k = 4 caption IDs from the nearest-neighbor images⁶. Given the retrieved caption IDs, we can readily integrate either the corresponding English captions from COCO, or use the associated translations from any of the other 35 languages, by cross-referencing the IDs with COCO-35L depending on the target language.

We emphasize that our retrieval system is monolingual. The datastore only contains the English COCO captions, without demanding the scale of the entire COCO-35L dataset. We only use COCO-35L for cross-referencing the retrieved IDs to obtain the captions in the language that we desire.

4.3 Evaluation Metrics

Following previous work, we evaluate multilingual captioning performance with CIDEr (Vedantam et al., 2015). CIDEr calculates the agreement between the generated caption and the consensus of

the reference captions, computed through a similarity function that uses Term Frequency times Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) weights. In contrast to previous multilingual captioning studies that solely report the CIDEr metric as per Thapliyal et al. (2022), our work extends the evaluation scope to a diverse set of captioning metrics, specifically BLEU-1, BLEU-4, ROGUE, and METEOR (see Appendix C). We used the COCO evaluation package⁷ with SacreBLEU tokenization (Post, 2018) to compute the metrics. During evaluation, captions are generated by our model using beam search decoding with a beam size of 3. 387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

4.4 Model Variants

We train PAELLA alongside two additional variants, each trained on a more limited set of languages in order to assess the cross-lingual transfer abilities of our approach. Model selection is based on maximizing the average CIDEr across the L_{CORE} languages in the COCO-35 validation dataset. Here we detail the model variants we compare.

PAELLA: This is our main model, trained to generate for the 35 languages in COCO-35L. In this case, we sampled uniformly from COCO-35L to ensure the scale of the COCO English dataset.

PAELLA_{core}: This model is trained to generate for L_{CORE} , i.e. the core set of 4 languages proposed in the XM3600 dataset (en, es, hi and zh). We also sample uniformly from COCO-35L to maintain a scale consistent with the COCO English dataset, but within this restricted language set L_{CORE} .

PAELLAmono: This model is trained to generate only on English. In this case, we use the original COCO English dataset.

5 Results

We first compare PAELLA against state-of-theart models. We then discuss the performance of our other two variants trained on a smaller set of languages, i.e., PAELLA_{core} and PAELLA_{mono}.

5.1 Parameter- and Data-efficient Training

Table 1 shows that PAELLA performs competitively against state-of-the-art multilingual models, despite training with a fraction of their trainable parameters and with considerably less data. With just 30M trainable parameters and only 566K training instances, PAELLA achieves a CIDEr score of

⁵See Appendix B for a discussion on the design choice of using this specific encoder for the retrieval component.

⁶We do not retrieve captions of the input image itself.

⁷https://github.com/tylin/coco-caption

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

483

484

485

486

487

26.2 on average across all the 36 languages, and a CIDEr of 28.2 across the languages on which the XGLM backbone was pre-trained. Also, our model is able to yield 20.7 CIDEr points across the set of low-resource languages L_5 (*bn*, *quz*, *mi*, *sw*, *te*)⁸.

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

PAELLA surpasses Lg (Thapliyal et al., 2022), i.e. a fully-supervised model trained with 2.6 billion parameters in the entire COCO-35L dataset (86x more trainable parameters, and 35x more training examples), largely outperforming across the set of core languages and on average. PAELLA is also competitive against BB+CC, another model from Thapliyal et al. (2022) that is pre-trained on 135M examples in the combination of CC3M-35L and COCO-35L. Although PAELLA does not outperform BB+CC on average, it reaches better performance in 3/4 of the core languages, noteworthy considering their model was trained with 238x more data than our model.

PAELLA also competes with multilingual models that were trained on diverse multimodal data from different vision-and-language tasks, such as mBLIP (Geigle et al., 2023). mBLIP, akin to our model, leverages a pre-trained multilingual language model with an effort on computational and data efficiency. Our model surpasses these efforts by having significantly fewer parameters and operating on considerably less data (e.g., in the context of captioning data, mBLIP trains on machine translations of COCO alongside a diverse set of 2.3 million examples from the synthetic Web CapFilt dataset (Li et al., 2022)). PAELLA outperforms mBLIP BLOOMZ-7B by 2.8 CIDEr points on average, and has less 2.1 points than mBLIP mT0-XL. The mBLIP mT0-XL model demonstrates strong performance on English, yielding 80.2 CIDEr, yet we see a large gap in low-resource languages, with 13.4 CIDEr points while our model achieves 20.7 points. In Section 6.1, we discuss more extensively the performance across languages.

Similar to other multilingual captioning models, PAELLA performs significantly worse than the large-scale 17B parameter PaLI model (Chen et al., 2022) that is trained on 12 billion examples using the private WebLI dataset. The same holds for the recent PALI-3 (Chen et al., 2023), which makes efforts towards a more efficient model, but still trains billions of parameters on billions of multilingual data. This is still notably costly and impractical for many applications. From a research perspec-

⁸See Appendix H for the performance on all languages.

tive, our model can be trained in a single day in consumer hardware with a public dataset.

Lastly, we see a 15.2 CIDEr points improvement compared to LMCap (Ramos et al., 2023a), which is a few-shot retrieval-augmented approach that has no training. With minimal multilingual training, our model further closes the gap towards largescale multilingual captioning models.

Overall, the results on XM3600 demonstrate the efficacy of our approach for efficient multilingual captioning, contributing to the reduction of both trainable parameters and data requirements. For a more comprehensive evaluation, we also report results on COCO-35L in Table 2, where we observe again that our model can outperform the fully-supervised models of Thapliyal et al. (2022). See qualitative examples in Appendix F.

5.2 Zero-shot Cross-lingual Transfer

In Table 1, we observe that $PAELLA_{core}$ (trained on *en,es,hi,zh*) and $PAELLA_{mono}$ (trained only on *en*) have strong zero-shot performance in other languages, showing that our approach does not require captioning data for each of the languages during training. The generation can be conditioned on a different language beyond the training set, by providing the prompt and retrieved captions in the desired output language, solely at inference time.

We further observe that PAELLA is outperformed by PAELLAmono on English, and by PAELLA_{core} on English and Spanish. This can be partially explained by the fact that PAELLA was pre-trained on a uniform sample of all 35 languages in COCO-35L, while these variants were pre-trained on a uniform sample of only those languages, i.e. more English captions. Both the Core and Mono variants, on the other hand, are less able to generate captions for languages outside those in the XGLM pre-training data, resulting in an average decrease of 9.4 and 10.7 points of CIDEr across all 36 languages, compared to PAELLA, respectively. Despite this limitation, we emphasize the performance of PAELLA_{mono}, that achieved a 15.5 CIDEr score on average, especially considering its training was exclusively on English. PAELLAmono even outperforms Lg across the set of 4 core languages and on average, even though this model had end-to-end large-scale training across the various languages with the complete COCO-35L dataset.

Our approach's capability for zero-shot crosslingual transfer holds particular importance with

Model	Data	Train θ	Total θ	en	es	hi	zh	L ₅	L36
Training-free									
LMCap	-	0	2.9B	45.2	32.9	13.2	22.1	0.0	11.0
		Large	e-scale Tr	aining					
PALI	12B	17B	17B	98.1	-	31.3	36.5	-	53.6
PALI-3	12B	5B	5B	94.5	-	-	-	-	46.1
mBLIP mT0-XL	489M	124M	4.9B	80.2	62.6	16.1	14.7	7.9	28.3
mBLIP BLOOMZ-7B	489M	124M	8.3B	76.4	60.0	24.9	14.7	6.7	23.4
BB+CC	135M	0.8B	0.8B	58.4	42.5	19.7	20.2	22.4	28.5
Lg	19.8M	2.6B	2.6B	34.3	22.0	11.1	9.9	12.5	15.0
Data & Parameter-efficient Training									
PAELLA	566K _{35L}	30M	3B	57.3	44.9	20.8	25.9	20.7	26.2 (28.2*)
PAELLA _{core}	566Ken,es,hi,zh	30M	3B	58.2	45.0	20.4	25.4	11.8	16.8 (24.9*)
PAELLA _{mono}	566K _{en}	30M	3B	58.2	42.2	17.1	23.5	12.1	15.5 (23.9*)

Table 1: CIDEr performance on XM3600, a multilingual benchmark with geographically-diverse images across 36 languages. We compare our model, PAELLA, and its two variants, PAELLA_{core} (trained on *en,es,hi,zh*) and PAELLA_{mono} (trained only on *en*) against other state-of-the-art multilingual models. L₅ represents the average performance across the set of low-resource languages (*bn*, *quz*, *mi*, *sw*, *te*), and L₃₆ over all the 36 languages (*) corresponds to the average across the languages on which the XGLM decoder was pre-trained). We highlight in bold that our model has the lowest number of trainable parameters and requires the least amount of training data.

Model	en	es	hi	zh
BB+CC	98.0	96.2	75.9	74.8
Lg	87.5	85.9	62.4	65.6
PAELLA	113.6	113.9	86.2	123.3
PAELLA _{core}	118.5	120.3	94.7	130.7
PAELLA _{mono}	120.8	91.48	45.9	59.1

Table 2: CIDEr scores on COCO-35L validation data. The fully-supervised models from Thapliyal et al. (2022) are shown on top, with our model variants at the bottom.

the predominance of English-centric captioning datasets. We note we did not use multilingual indomain data in the retrieval datastore. The retrieved captions from COCO-35L have a different distribution than the XM3600 benchmark, that contains geographically diverse images and concepts.

6 Discussion

540We discuss PAELLA's performance across lan-
guages in relation to the different writing sys-
tems. We then conduct ablations studies, first
discussing the monolingual data required to train
PAELLAmono, followed by the importance of the
retrieved information. These ablation studies were
performed on the validation split of COCO-35L
because XM3600 only contains evaluation data.

6.1 Writing Systems

In Figure 2, we observe the performance of PAELLA across the diverse writing systems of the 36 languages, alongside the mBLIP mT0-XL model for comparison. mBLIP has a notable performance on English and languages that share the Latin script writing system. This specialization results in poor performance for some writing systems, for instance Persian and Korean. In contrast, our model demonstrates a more balanced performance across the various writing systems beyond the high-resource Latin script, achieving a better performance on the Arabic, Bengali, Cyrilic, Deveganari, Greek, simplified Chienese, Korean, Persian, and Tegulu writing systems.

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

570

571

572

573

574

6.2 Monolingual Supervision

We previously saw that our multilingual captioning model could also be trained on monolingual data (see Section 5.2). We now discuss whether PAELLA_{mono} works when trained with languages other than English. As seen in Table 3, PAELLA_{mono} exhibits zero-shot multilingual capabilities with the other 3 core languages as well. Surprisingly, training on Spanish yields better generalization to the other core languages compared to training on English. When trained on Chinese, on the other hand, the model loses its ability to gener-



Figure 2: Performance by writing system. Horizontal lines denote corresponding English performance.



Figure 3: Ablation results on the COCO-35L validation data, reported with CIDEr metric. We ablate the re-trieval (NoRAG) and the visual encoder (image-blind).

Model	en	es	hi	zh	da
PAELLA _{en}	120.8	91.5	45.9	59.1	2.7
PAELLA _{es}	93.3	125.3	52.6	95.3	2.9
PAELLA _{hi}	70.4	68.1	99.3	80.9	0.1
PAELLAzh	65.0	49.9	1.4	130.6	0.4
PAELLA _{da}	5.1	1.2	2.8	4.1	107.5

Table 3: CIDEr results for the mono variants on the COCO-35L validation data. We denote in subscript and in bold the language each variant was trained on.

ate captions in Hindi. Additionally, we investigated the model's behavior when trained with a language falling outside the pre-training of the XGLM decoder, such as Danish. Here, the model is able to generate captions in Danish, yet we see the interesting behaviour that this breaks the generalization to other languages.

6.3 Retrieval as PAELLA's Key Ingredient

575

581

583

We now study the importance of augmenting with retrieved examples, the key component of our approach. We start by ablating the retrieval component, by training without including the retrieved captions in the prompt.⁹ As seen in Figure 3, the performance drops 24 CIDEr on average across the 4 core languages without retrieval (noRAG), compared to PAELLA. We also ablate the visual encoder by training on empty input images,¹⁰ and we see again a loss of performance (i.e., 13.4 CIDEr over the 4 languages), confirming that PAELLA does indeed attend to the image and not merely rephrases the retrieved captions. Moreover, we observe that the NoRAG model performs worse than the image-blind approach with retrieved captions, reinforcing the benefit of training multilingual image captioning with retrieval-augmentation. In Appendix G, we additionally discuss results for PAELLA_{mono}, where retrieval is shown to be crucial to generate captions in languages that substantially diverge from the English supervision. We also discuss the importance of having the retrieved captions in the target language, in Appendix F.

586

587

588

589

590

591

593

594

595

596

597

599

600

601

602

603

605

606

607

608

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

7 Conclusions and Future Work

We proposed PAELLA, an efficient multilingual captioning model with retrieval-augmentation. Contrary to previous studies, PAELLA is lightweight to train, both in the number of parameters and multilingual data demands. Results demonstrate competitiveness across languages, including low-resource languages. PAELLA also exhibits strong zero-shot multilingual capabilities. In the future, we plan to further investigate cross-lingual transfer with monolingual supervision.

Limitations

While our model aims to contribute to research beyond English-centric captioning, it has limitations

⁹The prompt only includes the last part: A caption I can generate to describe this image in [language] is.

¹⁰Setting the visual features from the encoder to zero.

in that results are conditioned on retrieved captions from machine translated data from COCO, which is English-centric and lacks coverage of geographically diverse concepts (Liu et al., 2021). Previous research has also shown that COCO has significant gender imbalance, and using this data can further amplify the bias (Zhao et al., 2017; Hendricks et al., 2018). For instance, models can become more prone to generate *woman* in kitchen settings than *man*. For a better understanding of the biases PAELLA exhibits, we suggest an analysis of the retrieved captions used by the model, as illustrated in the figures within Appendix F.

Another limitation relates to our models' coverage of languages and concepts. Expanding the range of covered languages would be desirable to accommodate more diverse speakers. Additionally, our model was evaluated on a limited number of datasets, similarly to other concurrent models, due to the scarcity of multilingual resources for assessing image captioning results.

PAELLA was only designed for the task of image captioning. In future work, we would like to investigate approaches to extend PAELLA to a range of multilingual multimodal tasks, such as those covered in IGLUE (Bugliarello et al., 2022).

References

620

622

625

626

631

633

634

638

641

643

645

647

650

651

653 654

655

656

660

664

665

666

669

- Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, Antoine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, Karel Lenc, Arthur Mensch, Katie Millican, Malcolm Reynolds, et al. 2022. Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.14198*.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901.
- Emanuele Bugliarello, Fangyu Liu, Jonas Pfeiffer, Siva Reddy, Desmond Elliott, Edoardo Maria Ponti, and Ivan Vulić. 2022. IGLUE: A benchmark for transfer learning across modalities, tasks, and languages. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning, volume 162 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, pages 2370–2392. PMLR.
- Xi Chen, Xiao Wang, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Jialin Wu, Paul Voigtlaender, Basil Mustafa, Sebastian Goodman, Ibrahim Alabdulmohsin, Piotr Padlewski, et al. 2023. Pali-3 vision language models: Smaller, faster, stronger. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09199*.

Xi Chen, Xiao Wang, Soravit Changpinyo, AJ Piergiovanni, Piotr Padlewski, Daniel Salz, Sebastian Goodman, Adam Grycner, Basil Mustafa, Lucas Beyer, et al. 2022. PaLI: A jointly-scaled multilingual language-image model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.06794*. 671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

704

705

706

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

- Xinlei Chen, Hao Fang, Tsung-Yi Lin, Ramakrishna Vedantam, Saurabh Gupta, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. 2015. Microsoft COCO captions: Data collection and evaluation server. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.00325*.
- Hyung Won Chung, Le Hou, Shayne Longpre, Barret Zoph, Yi Tay, William Fedus, Yunxuan Li, Xuezhi Wang, Mostafa Dehghani, Siddhartha Brahma, Albert Webson, Shixiang Shane Gu, Zhuyun Dai, Mirac Suzgun, Xinyun Chen, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Alex Castro-Ros, Marie Pellat, Kevin Robinson, Dasha Valter, Sharan Narang, Gaurav Mishra, Adams Yu, Vincent Zhao, Yanping Huang, Andrew Dai, Hongkun Yu, Slav Petrov, Ed H. Chi, Jeff Dean, Jacob Devlin, Adam Roberts, Denny Zhou, Quoc V. Le, and Jason Wei. 2022. Scaling instruction-finetuned language models.
- Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Edouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02116*.
- Wenliang Dai, Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Anthony Meng Huat Tiong, Junqi Zhao, Weisheng Wang, Boyang Li, Pascale Fung, and Steven Hoi. 2023. Instructblip: Towards general-purpose vision-language models with instruction tuning.
- Michael Denkowski and Alon Lavie. 2014. Meteor universal: Language specific translation evaluation for any target language. In *Proceedings of the ninth workshop on statistical machine translation*, pages 376–380.
- Gregor Geigle, Abhay Jain, Radu Timofte, and Goran Glavaš. 2023. mblip: Efficient bootstrapping of multilingual vision-llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.06930*.
- Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Myle Ott, Giri Anantharaman, and Alexis Conneau. 2021. Larger-scale transformers for multilingual masked language modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.00572*.
- Lisa Anne Hendricks, Kaylee Burns, Kate Saenko, Trevor Darrell, and Anna Rohrbach. 2018. Women also snowboard: Overcoming bias in captioning models. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 771–787.
- Xiaowei Hu, Zhe Gan, Jianfeng Wang, Zhengyuan Yang, Zicheng Liu, Yumao Lu, and Lijuan Wang. 2022. Scaling up vision-language pre-training for image
- 9

726

- 7: 7:
- 734
- 7
- 739 740
- 741 742
- 743 744
- 7
- 747
- 748 749 750
- 751 752
- 7! 7!
- 75
- 758
- 7

764 765

- 76
- _
- 7

772 773

- 774 775 776
- 777

captioning. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 17980–17989.

- Gautier Izacard, Patrick Lewis, Maria Lomeli, Lucas Hosseini, Fabio Petroni, Timo Schick, Jane Dwivedi-Yu, Armand Joulin, Sebastian Riedel, and Edouard Grave. 2022. Few-shot learning with retrieval augmented language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.03299.*
- Jeff Johnson, Matthijs Douze, and Hervé Jégou. 2017. Billion-scale similarity search with GPUs. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1702.08734.
- Andrej Karpathy and Li Fei-Fei. 2015. Deep visualsemantic alignments for generating image descriptions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3128–3137.
- Urvashi Khandelwal, Angela Fan, Dan Jurafsky, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Mike Lewis. 2020. Nearest neighbor machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.00710*.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*.
- Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, Sebastian Riedel, and Douwe Kiela. 2020. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledgeintensive NLP tasks. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 33, pages 9459– 9474.
- Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. 2023. BLIP-2: Bootstrapping language-image pretraining with frozen image encoders and large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.12597*.
- Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Hoi. 2022. BLIP: Bootstrapping language-image pretraining for unified vision-language understanding and generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.12086*.
- Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. Rouge: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text summarization branches out*, pages 74–81.
- Xi Victoria Lin, Todor Mihaylov, Mikel Artetxe, Tianlu Wang, Shuohui Chen, Daniel Simig, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Shruti Bhosale, Jingfei Du, et al. 2021. Few-shot learning with multilingual language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10668*.
- Fangyu Liu, Emanuele Bugliarello, Edoardo Maria Ponti, Siva Reddy, Nigel Collier, and Desmond Elliott. 2021. Visually grounded reasoning across languages and cultures. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.13238.

Ziyang Luo, Yadong Xi, Rongsheng Zhang, and Jing Ma. 2022. I-tuning: Tuning language models with image for caption generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.06574*. 779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

- Oscar Mañas, Pau Rodriguez Lopez, Saba Ahmadi, Aida Nematzadeh, Yash Goyal, and Aishwarya Agrawal. 2023. MAPL: Parameter-efficient adaptation of unimodal pre-trained models for visionlanguage few-shot prompting. In *Proceedings of the Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2523–2548. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Michael McCloskey and Neal J Cohen. 1989. Catastrophic interference in connectionist networks: The sequential learning problem. In *Psychology of learning and motivation*, volume 24, pages 109–165. Elsevier.
- Ron Mokady, Amir Hertz, and Amit H. Bermano. 2021. Clipcap: Clip prefix for image captioning. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2111.09734.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. BLEU: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 311–318.
- Matt Post. 2018. A call for clarity in reporting BLEU scores. In *Proceedings of the Third Conference on Machine Translation: Research Papers*, pages 186–191, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9.
- Rita Ramos, Bruno Martins, and Desmond Elliott. 2023a. LMCap: Few-shot multilingual image captioning by retrieval augmented language model prompting. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 1635–1651, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Rita Ramos, Bruno Martins, Desmond Elliott, and Yova Kementchedjhieva. 2023b. Smallcap: Lightweight image captioning prompted with retrieval augmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2840–2849.

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

888

889

Rita Parada Ramos, Patrícia Pereira, Helena Moniz, Joao Paulo Carvalho, and Bruno Martins. 2021. Retrieval augmentation for deep neural networks. In *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*.

833

834

837

838

839

842

843

846

848

853

857

858

862

866

867

870

871

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

882

883

- Sara Sarto, Marcella Cornia, Lorenzo Baraldi, and Rita Cucchiara. 2022. Retrieval-augmented transformer for image captioning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.13162.*
- Piyush Sharma, Nan Ding, Sebastian Goodman, and Radu Soricut. 2018. Conceptual captions: A cleaned, hypernymed, image alt-text dataset for automatic image captioning. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2556–2565.
- Weijia Shi, Sewon Min, Michihiro Yasunaga, Minjoon Seo, Rich James, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Wen-tau Yih. 2023. Replug: Retrievalaugmented black-box language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.12652.*
- Rohan Taori, Ishaan Gulrajani, Tianyi Zhang, Yann Dubois, Xuechen Li, Carlos Guestrin, Percy Liang, and Tatsunori B. Hashimoto. 2023. Stanford alpaca: An instruction-following llama model. https:// github.com/tatsu-lab/stanford_alpaca.
- Ashish V Thapliyal, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Xi Chen, and Radu Soricut. 2022. Crossmodal-3600: A massively multilingual multimodal evaluation dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.12522*.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurelien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. 2023. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models.
- Maria Tsimpoukelli, Jacob L Menick, Serkan Cabi,
 S. M. Ali Eslami, Oriol Vinyals, and Felix Hill. 2021.
 Multimodal few-shot learning with frozen language models. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 34, pages 200–212.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Ł ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Ramakrishna Vedantam, C Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. 2015. Cider: Consensus-based image description evaluation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4566–4575.
- Jianfeng Wang, Zhengyuan Yang, Xiaowei Hu, Linjie Li, Kevin Lin, Zhe Gan, Zicheng Liu, Ce Liu, and Lijuan Wang. 2022. Git: A generative image-to-text transformer for vision and language. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.14100*.

- Zirui Wang, Jiahui Yu, Adams Wei Yu, Zihang Dai, Yulia Tsvetkov, and Yuan Cao. 2021. SimVLM: Simple visual language model pretraining with weak supervision. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.10904*.
- Jason Wei, Maarten Bosma, Vincent Y Zhao, Kelvin Guu, Adams Wei Yu, Brian Lester, Nan Du, Andrew M Dai, and Quoc V Le. 2021. Finetuned language models are zero-shot learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.01652*.
- Thomas Wolf, Julien Chaumond, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, et al. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45.
- Chunpu Xu, Wei Zhao, Min Yang, Xiang Ao, Wangrong Cheng, and Jinwen Tian. 2019. A unified generation-retrieval framework for image captioning. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*.
- Zhuolin Yang, Wei Ping, Zihan Liu, Vijay Korthikanti, Weili Nie, De-An Huang, Linxi Fan, Zhiding Yu, Shiyi Lan, Bo Li, et al. 2023. Re-ViLM: Retrieval-augmented visual language model for zero and few-shot image captioning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.04858*.
- Ziyan Yang, Leticia Pinto-Alva, Franck Dernoncourt, and Vicente Ordonez. 2020. Using visual feature space as a pivot across languages. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP* 2020, pages 3673–3678, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wenhao Yu, Hongming Zhang, Xiaoman Pan, Kaixin Ma, Hongwei Wang, and Dong Yu. 2023. Chain-ofnote: Enhancing robustness in retrieval-augmented language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.09210*.
- Susan Zhang, Stephen Roller, Naman Goyal, Mikel Artetxe, Moya Chen, Shuohui Chen, Christopher Dewan, Mona Diab, Xian Li, Xi Victoria Lin, et al. 2022. Opt: Open pre-trained transformer language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01068*.
- Jieyu Zhao, Tianlu Wang, Mark Yatskar, Vicente Ordonez, and Kai-Wei Chang. 2017. Men also like shopping: Reducing gender bias amplification using corpus-level constraints. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.09457*.
- Shanshan Zhao, Lixiang Li, Haipeng Peng, Zihang Yang, and Jiaxuan Zhang. 2020. Image caption generation via unified retrieval and generation-based method. *Applied Sciences*, 10(18).

```
We refrain from using that larger version in the
```

A

B

941

942

943

947

951

952

953

954

957

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

Prompt

are included in our code.

Retrieval

C Standard Evaluation Metrics

slow down training time.

For a more comprehensive evaluation, we report the performance of our model with additional automatic metrics, including BLEU-1 (B-1), BLEU-4 (B-4) (Papineni et al., 2002), ROGUE-L (Lin, 2004), and METEOR (Denkowski and Lavie, 2014). We report these metrics both for the XM3600 dataset and the COCO-35L validation split, as seen in Table 4 and Table 5, respectively.

To generate captions across different languages, we

customize our prompt and the retrieved captions

to be in the selected language. In Figure 4, we

give examples in Spanish, Hindi, and Chinese, respectively. The prompts for the other languages

Ramos et al. (2023b) has shown in the SmallCap retrieval-augmented captioning model that CLIP-

ViT-B/32 is suitable as an encoder for text gen-

eration, but when used as a retrieval encoder it

performs poorly. We thus pick the state-of-the-art

version of CLIP, CLIP-ViT-bigG-14, for retrieval.

model's encoder too, since that would significantly

	B-1	B-4	ROGUE-L	METEOR
en	45.1	10.3	34.6	14.5
es	43.2	7.8	30.1	15.1
hi	29.3	2.7	21.1	21.9
zh	32.1	6.9	24.6	10.9

Table 4: PAELLA performance on the XM3600 dataset, across different evaluation metrics.

	B-1	B-4	ROGUE-L	METEOR
en	76.2	33.6	55.9	26.7
es	76.3	35.9	54.5	27.5
hi	74.9	26.5	51.0	33.7
zh	77.2	40.0	56.4	28.8

Table 5: PAELLA performance on the COCO-35Lvalidation split, across different evaluation metrics.



Figure 4: Examples of prompts in Spanish, Hindi and Chinese, respectively.

D Scalability

In Table 6, we see how PAELLA performs with different XGLM versions in the decoder. The larger-scale XGLM-2.9B has stronger performance, which aligns with previous findings regarding the scaling behaviour of LMs. Notwithstanding, the XGLM-1.7B and XGLM-564M versions are viable alternatives, considering that they can be trained in even less time and occupy less GPU memory. We also report performance on the validation split of COCO-35L in Table 7.

XGLM	Time	RAM	en	es	hi	zh
2.9B	23h	46G	57.3	44.9	20.8	25.9
1.7B	14h	29G	55.8	41.0	20.1	24.6
564M	7h	19G	51.7	40.0	18.0	23.8

Table 6: CIDEr results on the XM3600 dataset. We report performance for different XGLMs used in the decoder component of PAELLA.

XGLM	Time	RAM	en	es	hi	zh
2.9B	23h	46G	113.6	113.9	86.2	123.3
1.7B	14h	29G	108.7	107.7	82.2	116.6
564M	7h	19G	103.2	103.1	76.6	111.2

Table 7: CIDEr results on the validation set of COCO-35L, across the different decoders used in PAELLA.

968

976

E Monolingual Retrieval

978

979

981

983

984

986

990

991

995

997

1000

1001

1002

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011 1012

1013

1014

We study the behavior of our model when the retrieved captions are not provided on English instead of the target languague, as seen in Table 8. We can see that our model benefits from having the retrieved examples in the same language as the target output language. In this manner, the captions can guide the process of generating content in the target language, by providing a reference for what the predicted caption should resemble.

RAG	en	es	hi	zh
Multi	113.6	113.9	86.2	123.3
En	114.1	103.8	76.8	121.3

Table 8: Performance of using either retrieved captions in the target language (multi) or in English, measured through CIDEr on the COCO validation set.

F Qualitative Results

In Fig 5, we provide examples of captions generated by PAELLA, conditioned on both the image and its retrieved captions, and captions generated by its variant without retrieval (NoRAG). In the first image, our model correctly captures the concept of owl across the different core languages, as present in the retrieved captions. PAELLA also demonstrates some robustness to potential misinformation that can occur in the retrieved captions (e.g., the second retrieved captions mentions an own in a table). In contrast, the NoRAG variant generates incorrectly the captions for the 4 languages, struggling with identifying the bird, even misclassifying it as a giraffe for Chinese. On the second image, we present a negative example where the retrieved captions can mislead our model. PAELLA generates captions mentioning a red Swiss Army knife, likely influenced by the color present in the retrieved captions (and partially in the knife itself, although it is mainly white). Nonetheless, our model successfully generates the concept of a Swiss knife, while the NoRAG variant encounters difficulty by generating unrelated objects (e.g., either a cell phone, sunglasses, toy or a headphones for English, Spanish, Hindi, and Chinese, respectively).

G Retrieval Impact on PAELLA_{mono}

1015Similarly to the findings for PAELLA in Section10166.3, we observe in Fig 6 that retrieval augmentation

plays a key role in PAELLA_{mono} as well. Indeed, 1017 retrieval is especially important for the monolin-1018 gual variant. This happens because the model relies 1019 even more on the retried examples to generate cap-1020 tions in languages that significantly differ from the 1021 English training data, as evidenced by the substan-1022 tial drop in performance with NoRAG for Hindi 1023 and Chinese. We also see that the image-blind vari-1024 ant makes PAELLA_{mono}'s performance decline, 1025 demonstrating that our model uses not just the in-1026 formation from the retrieved captions, but also the 1027 image itself. The image-blind variant has to gen-1028 erate captions solely with retrieved information, 1029 which proves challenging for Hindi and Chinese. 1030 It can be difficult to figure how to combine and 1031 summarize the information from the four retrieved 1032 captions into a cohesive single output, particularly 1033 for these languages with very distinct characteris-1034 tics from the English supervision. Conversely, the 1035 model effortlessly uses the retrieved information 1036 for Spanish at inference, achieving better perfor-1037 mance through straightforward rephrasing. More-1038 over, the image-blind approach outperforms the 1039 NoRAG model across all four languages, further 1040 emphasizing the importance of conditioning gener-1041 ation with retrieved examples. 1042

H Performance Across the 36 Languages

In Table 9, we report XM3600 performance across1044all the 36 languages, for our model and its variants, together with state-of-art multilingual models1045that have the performance for each language in the1047respective publications too.1048



Figure 5: Qualitative examples for the captions generated by PAELLA, compared with the results generated with an ablated model that does not use retrieval augmentation.



Figure 6: Ablation results on the COCO-35L dataset, reported with the CIDEr metric for the mono variant. We ablate the retrieval (NoRAG) and the visual encoder (image-blind), and compare with PAELLA_{mono}.

Lang.	mBLIP mT0-XL	BB+CC	Lg	Mono	Core	PAELLA
en	80.2	58.4	34.3	58.2	58.2	57.3
ru	27.3	19.4	8.9	21.4	20.9	20.7
zh	13.5	20.2	9.9	23.5	25.4	25.9
de	32.5	22.4	13.0	21.7	22.1	21.5
es	62.6	42.5	22.0	42.2	45.0	44.9
fr	57.6	41.0	21.7	36.1	38.9	40.6
ja	33.2	25.4	14.1	13.0	18.6	21.4
it	45.2	32.1	16.8	29.3	32.5	33.2
pt	53.1	38.0	20.2	38.7	40.0	41.0
el	23.4	19.9	10.1	23.3	21.7	24.6
ko	10.4	28.8	15.2	21.7	21.2	27.2
fi	16.8	17.7	8.9	15.6	16.9	18.1
id	38.5	30.7	16.7	34.0	34.3	31.6
tr	22.6	23.2	12.2	19.0	19.3	21.5
ar	21.1	22.7	10.6	17.3	19.0	21.8
vi	39.2	33.6	18.2	39.3	38.7	38.0
th	41.9	41.8	22.6	20.8	22.1	40.4
hi	16.1	19.7	11.1	17.1	20.4	20.8
bn	11.3	20.0	13.3	18.8	16.5	21.7
SW	11.8	31.9	15.1	23.0	22.8	28.5
te	11.2	19.6	9.9	17.2	15.3	19.9
quz	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.7	0.8
	Languages not in 2	XGLM pre-	trainin	g data		
cs	31.8	31.3	13.9	0.5	0.2	21.6
da	44.2	32.9	19.2	1.0	1.0	27.3
fa	0.0	31.1	15.5	1.5	1.5	24.7
fil	17.7	35.3	18.5	1.7	2.2	26.6
he	18.7	23.0	9.8	0.0	0.0	15.5
hr	5.2	22.4	8.5	0.3	0.2	16.0
hu	21.5	17.5	9.6	0.4	0.1	11.5
mi	4.1	40.5	24.3	1.1	3.6	33.4
nl	55.7	44.1	23.2	1.9	2.5	36.5
no	46.2	38.5	23.0	1.0	1.8	31.0
pl	31.2	23.6	10.8	0.4	0.2	17.9
ro	21.7	18.8	10.0	0.8	1.2	15.3
SV	48.4	37.0	22.5	1.0	2.0	31.6
uk	0.0	18.9	8.1	2.8	2.5	13.3
AVG	28.3	28.5	15.0	15.5	16.8	26.2
AVG^{\star}	30.5	27.7	14.7	23.9	24.9	28.2

Table 9: CIDEr results on the XM3600 benchmark across the 36 languages, ordered by the pre-training language ratio of the XGLM decoder. AVG* indicates the average performance across the 36 languages, whereas AVG* is across the languages on which XGLM was pre-trained.