TEXTUAL DECOMPOSITION THEN SUB-MOTION-SPACE SCATTERING FOR OPEN-VOCABULARY MOTION GENERATION

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Text-to-motion generation is a crucial task in computer vision, which generates the target 3D motion by the given text. The existing annotated datasets are limited in scale, resulting in most existing methods overfitting to the small datasets and unable to generalize to the motions of the open domain. Some methods attempt to solve the open-vocabulary motion generation problem by aligning to the CLIP space or using the Pretrain-then-Finetuning paradigm. However, the current annotated dataset's limited scale only allows them to achieve mapping from subtext-space to sub-motion-space, instead of mapping between full-text-space and full-motion-space (full mapping), which is the key to attaining open-vocabulary motion generation. To this end, this paper proposes to leverage the atomic motion (simple body part motions over a short time period) as an intermediate representation, and leverage two orderly coupled steps, i.e., Textual Decomposition and Sub-motion-space Scattering, to address the full mapping problem. For Textual Decomposition, we design a fine-grained description conversion algorithm, and combine it with the generalization ability of a large language model to convert any given motion text into atomic texts. Sub-motion-space Scattering learns the compositional process from atomic motions to the target motions, to make the learned sub-motion-space scattered to form the full-motion-space. For a given motion of the open domain, it transforms the extrapolation into interpolation and thereby significantly improves generalization. Our network, **DSO**-Net, combines textual decomposition and sub-motion-space scattering to solve the open-vocabulary motion generation. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our DSO-Net achieves significant improvements over the state-of-the-art methods on open-vocabulary motion generation.

034 035

037

000

001

002

004

006

008 009 010

011

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

024

025

026

027

028

029

031

032

1 INTRODUCTION

Text-to-motion (T2M) generation, aiming at generating the 3D target motion described by the given text, is an important task in computer vision and has garnered significant attention in recent research.
 It plays a crucial role in various applications, such as robotics, animations, and film production.

042 Benefiting from advancements in GPT-style (e.g., LlamaGen (Sun et al., 2024)) and diffusion-style 043 generative paradigm in text-to-image and text-to-video domains, some studies (Zhang et al., 2023a; 044 Jiang et al., 2023; Tevet et al., 2022b; Shafir et al., 2023) have started using these technologies to address the T2M generation task. During the training process, paired text-motion data are utilized to align the text space with the motion space. However, the open-vocabulary text-to-motion 046 generation remains a challenging problem, requiring good motion generation quality for unseen 047 open-vocaulary text at inference. Due to the limited scale of recent high-quality annotated datasets 048 (e.g., KIT-ML (Plappert et al., 2016) and HumanML3D (Guo et al., 2022)), as illustrated in Fig. 1 top-left, the Simple Mapping paradigm only learns a mapping between a limited sub-text-space and a sub-motion-space, rather than the mapping from full-text-space to full-motion-space. Consequently, 051 generalization to unseen open-vocabulary text is almost impossible. 052

To enhance the model's generalization capabilities, two main strategies have been explored. As shown in Fig. 1 top-right, the first paradigm is CLIP-based Alignment (e.g., MotionCLIP (Tevet

et al., 2022a) and OOHMG (Lin et al., 2023)). This kind of approach aims to align the motion space
with both the CLIP text space (Radford et al., 2021) and the image space. The core process involves
fitting the motion skeleton onto the mesh of the human body SMPL (Loper et al., 2023) model and
performing multi-view rendering to obtain pose images, thereby achieving alignment between motion and image spaces. The second paradigm is Pretrain-then-Finetuning (e.g., OMG (Liang et al.,
2024a)), as illustrated in Fig. 1 bottom-left. Inspired by the success of the Stable Diffusion (Rombach et al., 2022) model in the text-to-image field, this paradigm follows a pretrain-then-finetuning
process, along with scaling up the model, to enable generalization to open-vocabulary text.

062 Although these two types of methods 063 have achieved some progress in open-064 vocabulary text-to-motion generation, they suffer from inherent flaws: (1) 065 The CLIP-based alignment paradigm 066 aligns static poses with the image space, 067 which results in the loss of temporal in-068 formation during the learning process. 069 Consequently, this approach generates unrealistic motion. Furthermore, this 071 method overlooks the feature space dif-072 ferences between the CLIP and T2M 073 task datasets, potentially leading to mis-074 alignment, unreliability, and inaccuracy 075 in motion control. (2) Although the Pretrain-then-Finetuning paradigm uti-076 lizes an adequate motion prior and ex-077 pands the motion space by pretraining on large-scale motion data, the anno-079 tated paired data in the finetuning stage is severely limited. The significant im-



Figure 1: Compared with current text-to-motion paradigms (Simple mapping, CLIP-based alignment, and Pretrain-then-Finetuning), our method proposes the textual decomposition to decompose the raw motion text into atomic texts and sub-motion-space scattering to learn the composition process from atomic motions to target motions, which significantly improves the ability of open-vocabulary motion generation.

balance between labeled and unlabeled data results in the fine-tuning stage only learning the mapping from text to a **condensed subspace** of the full motion-space. Consequently, the model has to perform extrapolation and has difficulties in generating motions that are outside the subspace distribution, as shown in Fig. 1.

Consequently, we conclude that the problem of insufficient generalization ability in current methods arises from the limited amount of high-quality labeled data and the inadequate utilization of large-scale unsupervised motion data for pretraining. Existing methods can only establish overfitted mappings within a limited subspace. To achieve open-vocabulary motion generation, it is essential to establish a mapping from the full-text-space to the full-motion-space.

We observe that when understanding a motion, human beings tend to partition it into the combination of several simple body part motions (such as "spine bend forward", "left hand up") over a short time period, which we define as **atomic motions**. All these atomic motions are combined spatially and temporally to form the raw motion. This observation motivates us to **decompose a raw motion into atomic motions** and leverage atomic motions as an intermediate representation. Since raw motion texts often contain abstract and high-level semantic meanings, directly using raw texts to guide motion generation can hinder the model's ability to understand open-vocabulary motion texts. In contrast, atomic motion texts provide a low-level and concrete description of different limb movements, which are shared across different domains.

099 Leveraging the atomic motions as an intermediate representation, we propose to address the full-100 mapping problem through two orderly coupled steps: (1) Textual Decomposition. To enhance 101 generalization ability, we first design a textual decomposition process that converts a raw motion 102 text into several atomic motion texts, subsequently generating motions from these atomic texts. To 103 prepare training data, we develop a fine-grained description conversion algorithm to establish atomic texts and motion pairs. Specifically, we partition the input motion into several time periods, 104 and describe the movements of each joint and spatial relationships from the aspects of velocity 105 (e.g., fast), magnitude (e.g., significant), and low-level behaviors (e.g., bending) for each period. 106 The fine-grained descriptions and the raw text are then input into a large language model (LLM) to 107 summarize the atomic motion texts. Each raw motion text is decomposed into atomic motion texts of 108 six body parts: the spine, left/right-upper/lower limbs, and trajectory. By this process, we guarantee 109 the converted atomic motion texts are consistent with the actual motion behavior. During inference, 110 for any given text, we employ the LLM to split the whole motion into several periods and describe 111 each period using atomic motion texts. In this way, we establish a mapping from the full-text-112 space to the full-atomic-text-space.(2) Sub-motion-space Scattering. After obtaining the full-textspace to the full-atomic-text-space mapping in the first step, we aim to further achieve alignment 113 from the full-atomic-text-space to the full-motion-space, through the Sub-motion-space Scattering 114 step, thereby establishing the mapping from the full-text-space to the full-motion-space. Given the 115 limited labeled data, it is difficult for the Pretrain-then-Finetuning paradigm to learn the full-motion-116 space, because its trivial alignment process only learns a mapping from text to a condensed subspace 117 (which we refer to as sub-motion-space), requiring extrapolation for out-of-domain motions. In 118 contrast, our approach scatters the sub-motion-space to form the full-motion-space, as shown in 119 the bottom right of Fig. 1, transforming extrapolation into interpolation and significantly improving 120 generalization. The sub-motion-space scattering is achieved by learning the combinational process 121 of atomic motions to generate target motions, with a text-motion alignment (TMA) module to extract 122 features for atomic motion texts, and a compositional feature fusion (CFF) module to fuse atomic 123 text features into motion features and learn the the combinational process from atomic motions to target motions. As shown in Fig. 1, Interpolating an out-domain motion is essentially a combination 124 of several nearest clusters of scattered sub-motion-space, which is highly consistent with the process 125 of CFF we design. Therefore, the CFF ensures for scattering sub-motion-space we learned. 126

127 Overall, we adopt the discrete generative mask modeling and follow the pretrain-then-finetuning 128 pipeline for open-vocabulary motion generation. First, we pretrain a residual VQ-VAE (Martinez 129 et al., 2014) network using a pre-processed large-scale unlabeled motion dataset, to enable the network to have prior knowledge of large-scale motion. For the fine-tuning stages, we first leverage 130 our textual decomposition module to convert the raw motion text into atomic texts. Then, we utilize 131 both raw text and the atomic texts with our proposed TMA and CFF modules to train a text-to-132 model generative model. Our network, abbreviated as **DSO**-Net, combines textual decomposition 133 and sub-motion-space scattering to solve the open-vocabulary motion generation. We conduct ex-134 tensive experiments comparing our approach with previous state-of-the-art approaches on various 135 open-vocabulary datasets and achieve a significant improvement quantitatively and qualitatively. 136

In summary, our main contributions include: (1) we propose to leverage atomic motions as an intermediate representation, and design textual decomposition and sub-motion-space scattering framework to solve open-vocabulary motion generation. (2) For textual decomposition, we design a rulebased fine-grained description conversion algorithm and combine it with the large language model to obtain the atomic motion texts for a given motion. (3) For sub-motion-space scattering, we propose to leverage a text-motion alignment (TMA) module and a compositional feature fusion (CFF) module to learn the generative combination of atomic motions, thereby significantly improving the model's generalization ability.

144 145

2 RELATED WORKS

146 147 148

149

2.1 TEXT-TO-MOTION GENERATION

Text-to-Motion has been a long-standing concern. previous works (Guo et al., 2020; Petrovich 150 et al., 2021) usually generate a motion based on the given action categories. Action2Motion (Guo 151 et al., 2020) uses a recurrent conditional variational autoencoder (VAE) for motion generation. It 152 uses historical data to predict subsequent postures and follows the constraints of action categories. 153 Subsequently, ACTOR (Petrovich et al., 2021) encodes the entire motion sequence into the latent 154 space, which significantly reduces the accumulated error. Using only action labels is not flexible 155 enough. Therefore, some works began to explore generating motion under text (i.e., natural lan-156 guage). TEMOS (Petrovich et al., 2022) uses a variational autoencoder (VAE) (Kingma et al., 2019) 157 architecture to establish a shared latent space for motion and text. This model aligns the two distri-158 butions by minimizing the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between the motion distribution and 159 the text distribution. Therefore, in the inference stage, only text input is needed as a condition to generate the corresponding motion. T2M (Guo et al., 2022) further learns a text-to-length estimator, 160 enabling the network to give the generated motion length automatically. T2M-GPT (Zhang et al., 161 2023a) first introduces the VQ-VAE technique into text-to-motion tasks and leverages the autore162 gressive paradigm to generate motions. MotionGPT (Jiang et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024b) further 163 improves the motion quality under the autoregressive paradigm from the aspect of text encoder. 164 MDM (Tevet et al., 2022b) and MotionDiffuse (Zhang et al., 2022) are the first works to solve the 165 motion synthesis task by using diffusion models. Subsequent works (Chen et al., 2023; Zou et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2023b; Dai et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024a; Karunratanakul et al., 2023; Xie 166 et al., 2023; Fan et al., 2024) further improve the controllability and quality of the generation results 167 through some techniques such as database retrieval, spatial control, and fine-grained description. 168 However, all these methods essentially overfit the limited training data, thereby can not achieve open-vocabulary motion generations. 170

- 171
- 172 173

174

2.2 Open-vocabulary Generation

175 Compared to the previous method of training and testing on the same dataset, the open-vocabulary 176 generation task expects to train on one dataset and test on the other (out-domain) dataset. CLIP (Rad-177 ford et al., 2021) is pre-trained on 400 million image-text pairs using the contrastive learning method 178 and has strong zero-shot generalization ability. By calculating feature similarity with a given image 179 and candidate texts in a list, it realizes open-vocabulary image-text tasks. Therefore, on this basis, many methods in the field of text-to-image generation, a series of Diffusion-style-based and GPT-180 style-based methods (Rombach et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2024) are proposed to use CLIP to extract 181 features to improve the generalization ability of the model. Based on this, MotionCLIP (Tevet et al., 182 2022a) proposes to fit the motion data of the training set to the mesh of the human SMPL (Loper 183 et al., 2023) model in the preprocessing stage, thereby rendering multi-view static poses. In the 184 training stage, the motion features are aligned with the text and image features extracted by CLIP 185 simultaneously, thereby aligning the motion features to the CLIP space and enhancing the generalization ability of the model. AvatarCLIP (Hong et al., 2022) first synthesizes a key pose and then 187 matches from the database and finally optimizes to the target motion. OOHGM (Lin et al., 2023), 188 Make-An-Animation (Azadi et al., 2023), and PRO-Motion (Liu et al., 2023) first train a genera-189 tive text-to-pose model with diverse text-pose pairs. Then, OOHGM further learns to reconstruct 190 full motion from the masked motion. Inspired by the success of AnimateDiff (Guo et al., 2023), 191 Make-An-Animation inserts and finetunes the temporal adaptor to achieve motion generation. PRO-Motion leverages the large language model to give the key-pose descriptions and synthesize motion 192 by a trained interpolation network. However, all these methods, aligning the static poses with the 193 image space, lose the temporal information during the learning and finally result in the generation 194 of unrealistic motion. Recently, OMG (Liang et al., 2024a) try to use the successful paradigm, 195 pretrained-then-finetuning, in the LLM to achieve open-vocabulary. Therefore, it first pretrained a 196 un-condiditonal diffusion model with unannotated motion data, then finetunes on the annotated text-197 motion pairs by a ControlNet and MoE structure. However, due to the extremely limited labeled data, this type of method can ultimately only achieve the effective mapping from sub-text-space to 199 sub-motion-space, which is still far from sufficient for achieving open-vocabulary tasks.

- 200
- 201 202

203 204

2.3 GENERATIVE MASK MODELING

BERT (Devlin, 2018) as a very representative work in the field of natural language processing, 205 pretrains a text encoder by randomly masking words and predicting these masked words. Numerous 206 subsequent methods in the generative fields have borrowed this idea to achieve text-to-image or 207 video generation, such as MAGVIT (Yu et al., 2023), MAGE (Li et al., 2023), and Muse (Chang 208 et al., 2023). Compared to autoregressive modeling, generative masked modeling has the advantage 209 of faster inference speed. In the motion field, MoMask (Guo et al., 2024) first introduced generative 210 masked modeling into the field of motion generation. It adopts a residual VQ-VAE (RVQ-VAE) 211 and represents human motion as multi-layer discrete motion tokens with high-fidelity details. In the 212 training stage, the motion tokens of the base layer and the residual layers are randomly masked by a 213 masking transformer and predicted according to the text input. In the generation stage, the masking transformer starts from an empty sequence and iteratively fills in the missing tokens. In this paper, 214 our overall architecture also adopts the similar generative masked modeling as MoMask (Guo et al., 215 2024) to implement our pretrain-then-finetuning strategy.



Figure 2: The architecture of our entire framework. The overall pipeline adopts discrete generative modeling. 1) In the Motion Pre-Training stage (left blue part), we use the Residual VQ-VAE (RVQ) model, which designs a base layer and *R* residual layers to learn layer-wise codebooks. By tokenizing the motion sequence into multi-layer discrete tokens, we learn the large-scale motion priors. 2) In the Motion Fine-tuning stage (right green part), we first leverage the large language model(LLM) and the fine-grained description conversion algorithm we design (only used in training stage) to perform texutal decomposition, which convert the raw text of a motion into the atomic texts. Then, for the base layer and residual layers in RVQ, we separately use generative mask modeling and a neural network with several Transformer layers to learn how to predict discrete motion tokens according to a given text. Furthermore, We design a text-motion alignment (TMA) module and a compositional feature fusion (CFF) module to learn the combinational process from atomic motions to the target motions.

246

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

3 Method

247 We propose a novel DSO-Net for open-vocabulary motion generation, aiming to generate a 3D hu-248 man motion x from an open-vocabulary textual description d that is unseen in the training dataset. As 249 shown in Fig. 2, our framework takes the pretrain-then-finetuning paradigm, which is first pretrained 250 on a large-scale unannotated motion dataset, and then finetuned on a small dataset of text-motion pairs. All those motions are processed in a unified format by UniMocap (Chen & UniMocap, 2023). 251 As analyzed before, the key to achieving open-vocabulary motion generation is to establish the 252 alignment between the full-text-space and the full-motion-space, which we call full-mapping. To 253 this end, we leverage atomic motions as intermediate representations and convert the full-mapping 254 process into two orderly coupled stages: 1) Textual Decomposition, and 2) Sub-motion-space Scat-255 tering. The Textual Decomposition stage aims at converting any given motion text into several 256 atomic motion texts, each describing the motion of a simple body part over a short time period, 257 thereby mapping the full-text-space to the full-atomic-text-space. The Sub-motion-space Scattering 258 stage is designed to learn the combinational process from atomic motions to the target motions, 259 which scatters the sub-motion-space learned from limited paired data to form the full-motion-space, 260 via a text-motion alignment (TMA) module and a compositional feature fusion (CFF) module. The 261 scattered sub-motion-space eventually improves the generalization of our motion generation ability.

262 263

264

3.1 TEXTUAL DECOMPOSITION

The textual decomposition is designed to convert any given motion text into several atomic motion texts (each describing the motion of a simple body part in a short time period). Different from the raw motion texts that contain abstract and high-level semantic meanings, which hinder the model's ability to understand open-vocabulary motion texts, atomic motion texts provide a low-level and concrete description of different limb movements, which are shared across different domains. Therefore, we use the text of atomic motions as an intermediate representation, first converting raw

5

287 288

289

motion texts into atomic motion texts, and then learning the process of combining atomic motions to generate target motions.

First, we construct atomic motion and text pairs from a limited raw motion-text paired dataset. Al-273 though Large Language Models (LLM) have the generalization ability to describe any given motion 274 as an atomic motion, directly inputting a raw motion text into a large model will lead to a mis-275 match between the generated description and the real motion behavior, where some works also said 276 before He et al. (2023); Shi et al. (2023). For this reason, we design a **Fine-grained Description** 277 **Conversion** algorithm to describe the movement of each joint and the relative movement relation-278 ship between joints in a fine-grained way for a given 3D motion. Then, this fine-grained description 279 and the raw motion text are input into the LLM to summarize it into the final atomic motion de-280 scription. The entire algorithm describes the movement of body parts in the input motion from three aspects: speed, amplitude, and specific behavior; and divide the entire movement into at most P281 time periods. Specifically, this fine-grained description conversion consists of four steps: 282

Pose Extraction. For each frame in a given 3D motion x_i , we compute different **pose descriptors**, including the angle, orientation, and position of a single joint, and the distance between any two joints. Taking the angle as an example, we can use three joint coordinates, $J_{shoulder}$, J_{elbow} , and J_{wrist} , to compute the bending magnitude of the upper limb, which is formulated as:

$$\frac{J_{shoulder} - J_{elbow}}{|J_{shoulder} - J_{elbow}||} \odot \frac{J_{wrist} - J_{elbow}}{||J_{wrist} - J_{elbow}||},\tag{1}$$

where \odot represents the inner product. For each frame in a motion, we compute pose descriptors for different body parts.

292 **Pose Aggregation.** After obtaining the pose descriptors of each frame in a motion, we aggre-293 gate adjacent frames into motion clips based on the pose descriptors, and obtain the descriptors 294 of the motion clips. Given the pose descriptors PD_{i-1} , PD_i , and PD_{i+1} of three consecu-295 tive frames, we first calculate the difference between two frames, $\Delta PD_{i-1} = PD_i - PD_{i-1}$ and $\Delta PD_i = PD_{i+1} - PD_i$. We determine whether these three consecutive frames should 296 be merged into a motion clip based on whether the signs of ΔPD_i and ΔPD_{i+1} are the same, 297 i.e., both positive or both negative. In this way, we start from time i and continuously add the 298 $\{\Delta PD_i, \Delta PD_{i+1}, \cdots\}$ with the same sign until the sign changes, and the result of addition is defined as $S_{PD_i} = \sum_{t=i}^{t=i+T_i} \Delta PD_t$ (T_i is the consecutive time length from the starting time *i*), which represents the intensity change of the pose descriptor during the motion clip. We then calculate the 299 300 301 velocity as $V_{PD_i} = \frac{|S_{PD_i}|}{T_i}$, where $|S_{PD_i}|$ is the absolute magnitude of S_{PD_i} . Finally, we obtain the **clip descriptor** for the motion clip starting from PD_i , which is defined as the (intensity change, 302 303 velocity) pair: $CD_{PD_i} = (S_{PD_i}, V_{PD_i})$. 304

Clip Aggregation. Subsequently, we aggregate the motion clips based on the start time of its clip descriptor. We uniformly divide a motion into P bins in time, and put each motion clip into a bin according to its start time. The number of the bins P is set empirically. The motion clips that are put in the same bin will be regarded as co-occurring motion clips.

Description Conversion. We further classify the motion clips to some categories based on the intensity change and velocity in the clip descriptor, and convert it into the text description. For example, we first determine the behavior of a motion clip is "bending" or "extending" according to the clip descriptor CD_{PDi} of angle, where the negative S_{PDi} means "bending" and vice versa. Then, when $|S_{PDi}|$ exceeds a threshold, it will be classified as "significant"; while when the V_{PDi} is below some threshold, it will be classified as "slowly". Finally, the converted text is "Bending/Extending significantly slowly".

316 Through this fine-grained description conversion algorithm, we first divide the given motion into 317 different several time periods (corresponding to P bins), then the motion in each time period is con-318 verted to a fine-grained description composed of simple behaviors of body parts. Subsequently, we 319 input all these fine-grained descriptions and the raw text of the corresponding motion into the LLM, 320 and make it perform simplifications to summarize L atomic texts for each period, where L is the 321 number of body parts, and each atomic text corresponds to a body part from spine, left/right upper/lower limbs, and trajectory. An example of the atomic motion texts is shown in Fig. 2-right. The 322 atomic motion texts obtained by our algorithm are highly consistent with the real motion. During 323 the inference, for any given motion text, we provide corresponding textual decomposition examples



Figure 3: Details of the compositional feature fusion (CFF) module, where the atomic text matrix is input into the TMA module for feature extraction, and is fused with the motion feature by cross-attention.

to ask the LLM to decompose the motion into several periods, and decompose each period into L atomic motion texts describing simple body part movements.

343 344 3.2 SUB-MOTION-SPACE SCATTERING

As mentioned before, given the limited paired data, we can only learn a **sub-motion-space**, i.e., a condensed subspace of the full-motion-space. To enhance the open-vocabulary generalization ability, we propose to learn the combination process from atomic motions to the target motion, thereby rearranging the sub-motion-space we learned in a much more scattered form. Although some target motions are out-of-distribution for the sub-motion-space, we **scatter the subspace to form the full-motion-space**, and convert the generalization ability of our model.

Specifically, to enable our network to learn a scattering sub-motion-space, we propose to establish
 the combination process of atomic motions instead of learning the target motion directly, which consists of two main parts: (1) Text-Motion Alignment (TMA) and (2) Compositional Feature Fusion (CFF).

356 Text-Motion Alignment (TMA). Previous T2M generation methods usually leverage the CLIP 357 model to extract text features, and then align the text feature into the motion space through some 358 linear layers or attention layers during training. However, the CLIP method is trained on large-359 scale text-image pair data, where the text is a description given for a static image, which has a 360 huge gap with the description of motion (including dynamic information over a time period). As 361 a result, learning the alignment during training brings an extra burden and seriously interferes with our network's focus on learning the combination process from atomic motions to the target motion. 362 Therefore, inspired by the text-motion retrieval method TMR (Petrovich et al., 2023), we first use 363 the contrastive learning method to pretrain a text feature extractor TMA on text-motion pair data. 364 Compared to the CLIP encoder trained on text-image pair data, our TMA is trained on text-motion 365 pair data, which better aligns the text features to the motion space. Specifically, we use the InfoNCE 366 loss function to pull the positive pair (x^+, d^+) (a motion and its corresponding textual description) 367 closer, and push the negative pair (x^+, d^-) (a motion and another textual description) away, to 368 ensure that the text features are aligned with the motion space. For M positive pairs, the loss 369 function is defined as follows:

370 371

336

337

338 339 340

341

342

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NCE}} = -\frac{1}{2M} \sum_{i} \left(\log \frac{\exp A_{ii}/\tau}{\sum_{j} \exp A_{ij}/\tau} + \log \frac{\exp A_{ii}/\tau}{\sum_{j} \exp A_{ji}/\tau} \right) , \qquad (2)$$

372 373

where $A_{ij} = (m^+, d^+)$, and τ is the temperature hyperparameter. Subsequently, as shown in Fig. 2, we use TMA as our text feature extractor for both the raw texts and decomposed atomic texts. All these atomic text features are input to our next compositional feature fusion (CFF) module to guide the motion generation. In this way, we greatly reduce the interference caused by text-motion misalignment during the atomic motion combination learning process. Overall Architecture of Motion Generative Model. Our motion generative network contains two
different generative models, one corresponds to the base layer of Residual VQ-VAE (RVQ), and the
other corresponds to the residual layers. As detailed in Fig. 2, each generative model, either baselayer or residual-layer, contains sequentially stacked transformer layer and Compositional Feature
Fusion (CFF), i.e., 1 transformer layer followed by 1 CFF module, repeated for K times. Different
residual layers share the same parameters, but have different input indicators, i.e., V residual layers
correspond to indicator 1 to V. Since the indicators are the minor difference between the base and
the residual layer generative model, we omit it for convenience.

Given a motion **x** of F motion frames, we first sample it with ratio r, and encode each of $\frac{F}{r}$ downsampled frames with the motion encoder in RVQ. We then perform quantization by mapping the encoded features to the code indices of their nearest codes in the codebook of base/residual layers, denoted as $I = [I_1, I_2, ... I_N]$, where $N = \frac{F}{r}$. Then, the code indices I is first converted into one-hot embedding, and then mapped into a motion embedding $m = [m_1, m_2, ... m_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D_m}$ by linear layers, which is taken as the initial input to the generative models, where D_m is the channel dimension.

Given a text, and the atomic description (a $L \times P$ text matrix), we encode the raw text and the atomic texts by our TMA text encoder, and the outputs are: 1) raw text feature $T_r \in R^{D_T}$, where D_T is the channel dimension; and 2) atomic text feature $W \in R^{L \times P \times D_W}$, where L is the number of atomic, P is the number of motion periods, and D_W is the channel dimension.

The motion embedding m is first randomly masked out with a varying ratio, by replacing some tokens with a special [MASK] token. Subsequently,the masked embedding $\tilde{m} = [\tilde{m}_1, \tilde{m}_2, ... \tilde{m}_N]$ is combined with the raw text feature T_r , which is then input into a transformer layer $\mathcal{F}_{Transformer}$. The outputs are refined raw text feature and refined motion feature, denoted as T_r^o and $\tilde{m}^1 = [\tilde{m}_1^1, \tilde{m}_2^1, ... \tilde{m}_N^1] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D_m}$, which is formulated as:

$$T_r^o, \tilde{m}^1 = \mathcal{F}_{Transformer}(T_r; \tilde{m}). \tag{3}$$

The transformer layer enables the output \tilde{m}^1 to integrate both global information and the temporal relationship.

406 **Compositional Feature Fusion (CFF).** The CFF module is designed to fuse atomic text features 407 into motion features, and guide the model to learn the combinational process from atomic motions to 408 the target motions. As shown in Fig. 3, we utilize the cross-attention mechanism to fuse the atomic 409 motion text feature W into the motion feature in a spatial combination manner. Specifically, we split 410 the refined motion feature \tilde{m}^1 into L parts along the channel dimension (L is the number of body 411 parts), and reshape the splitted motion feature and input it into a linear layer to obtain the updated 412 motion embedding $\tilde{m}^2 \in R^{L \times N \times D_W}$. Then, the \tilde{m}^2 is taken as the Query, and the the atomic text 413 feature W is taken as the Key and the Value to conduct the cross-attention calculation. Since the 414 atomic text feature W is extracted by our TMA model, which has aligned the text space with the motion space, the output motion feature of the cross-attention $\tilde{m}^3 \in R^{L \times N \times D_W}$ could composite 415 the atomic motions explicitly and directly. The overall process of CFF module is formulated as: 416

$$\tilde{n}^3 = \mathcal{F}_{CFF}(\tilde{m}^2; W). \tag{4}$$

Eventually, the \tilde{m}^3 goes through a linear layer and is reshaped to the final output motion feature $\tilde{m}^o \in R^{N \times D_m}$. The \tilde{m}^o is then combined with the refined raw text feature T_r^o and input to the next transformer layer and the CFF module. The transformer layer and the CFF module are sequentially stacked for K times. The output motion feature of the final CFF module is input into a classification head and punished by a cross-entropy loss.

424 425 3.3 INFERENCE PROCESS

403

417 418

During the inference stage, for generative models of the base layer and *R* residual layers, we initialize all motion tokens as [MASK] tokens. During each inference step, we simultaneously predict all
masked motion tokens, conditioned on both the raw motion text and the atomic motion texts using
in-context learning. Our generative models first predict the probability distribution of tokens at the
masked locations, and sample motion tokens according to the probability. Then the sampled tokens
with the lower confidences are masked again for the next iteration. Finally, all the predicted tokens
are decoded back to motion sequences by the RVQ decoder.



Figure 4: Comparison with several state-of-the-arts on open vocabulary texts.

4 EXPERIMENTS

4.1 EXPERIMENT SETUP

Dataset Description. In the pre-training stage, we utilize various publicly available human motion 461 datasets, including MotionX (Lin et al., 2024), MoYo (Tripathi et al., 2023), InterHuman (Liang 462 et al., 2024b), KIT-ML (Plappert et al., 2016), totaling over 22M frames. In the subsequent finetun-463 ing stage, we train our generative motion model using the text-motion HumanML3D dataset. Dur-464 ing Inference, we experiment on three datasets, where one is the in-domain dataset (HumanML3D) 465 while the other two are the out-domain datasets (Idea400 and Mixamo). Idea400 is the monocular 466 dataset consisting of 400 daily motions, while the Mixamo includes various artist-created anima-467 tions. The datasets used in pre-training only contain motion data and do not contain textual descrip-468 tions, while the datasets used in finetuning and inference contain motion data and annotated textual 469 descriptions. Evaluation Metrics and Implementation Details are introduced in the appendix. 470

471 4.2 COMPARISON 472

We first compare our approach quantitatively with various state-of-the-art methods. From the Tab. 1, 473 it can be seen that our method significantly outperforms the other methods on the two out-domain 474 datasets (Idea400 and Mixamo) and also achieves comparable results on the in-domain datasets (Hu-475 manML3D). As shown in Fig. 4, compared with other representative methods, the qualitative gener-476 ations of our model are much more consistent with the open-vocabulary texts. Both the quantitative 477 and qualitative results fully illustrate that our two orderly coupled designs (textual decomposition 478 and sub-motion-space scattering) enable the model not to overfit the distribution of a limited dataset 479 but to possess strong generalization ability. Please check the appendix and the demo video for more 480 visualization results on generated open vocabulary motion.

482 4.3 ABLATION STUDY

483

481

456

457

458 459

460

To examine the specific function of each module in our novel DSO-Net, we conduct a series of ablation studies focusing on the effect of pre-training on large-scale motion data, the effect of textual decomposition, the effect of text-motion alignment, and the effect of compositional feature fusion.

486	Mathad	HumanML3D			Idea400			Mixamo		
197	Wiethou	FID↓	R-Prescion [↑]	Diversity ↑	FID↓	R-Prescion [↑]	Diversity	FID↓	R-Prescion [↑]	Diversity ^
407	MDM	$0.061^{\pm.001}$	$0.817^{\pm.005}$	$1.380^{\pm.004}$	$0.821^{\pm.003}$	$0.286^{\pm.006}$	$1.329^{\pm.006}$	$0.211^{\pm .002}$	$0.380^{\pm.005}$	$1.352^{\pm.005}$
488	T2M-GPT	$0.013^{\pm.001}$	$0.833^{\pm.002}$	$1.382^{\pm.004}$	$0.934^{\pm.002}$	$0.314^{\pm.004}$	$1.330^{\pm.004}$	$0.221^{\pm.002}$	$0.389^{\pm.004}$	$1.350^{\pm.004}$
489	MoMask	$0.011^{\pm.001}$	$0.878^{\pm.002}$	1.390 ^{±.004}	$0.880^{\pm.001}$	$0.340^{\pm.005}$	$1.340^{\pm.004}$	$0.209^{\pm.001}$	$0.415^{\pm.005}$	$1.346^{\pm.005}$
	MotionCLIP	$0.082^{\pm.002}$	$0.331^{\pm.002}$	$1.281^{\pm.004}$	$1.112^{\pm.002}$	$0.237^{\pm.005}$	$1.195^{\pm.007}$	$0.3^{\pm.002}$	$0.228^{\pm.004}$	$1.176^{\pm.005}$
490	Ours	$0.027^{\pm.002}$	0.957 ^{±.002}	$1.388^{\pm.004}$	$0.847^{\pm.001}$	0.703 ^{±.004}	$1.338^{\pm.004}$	0.186 ^{±.001}	0.807 ^{±.004}	$1.360^{\pm.004}$

Table 1: Comparison with state-of-the-arts on one in-domain dataset (HumanML3D) and two outdomain dataset (Idea400 and Mixamo).

495	Mathada	FID↓ -		Divorcity		
496	Wiethous		R-Top1	R-Top2	R-Top3	Diversity
497	Baseline	$0.898^{\pm.002}$	$0.160^{\pm.004}$	$0.251^{\pm.005}$	$0.314^{\pm.004}$	$1.342^{\pm.005}$
498	Baseline+Pretrain	$0.890^{\pm.002}$	$0.162^{\pm.003}$	$0.256^{\pm.005}$	$0.323^{\pm.004}$	$1.340^{\pm.005}$
499	Baseline+Pretrain + CFF	$0.886^{\pm.002}$	$0.170^{\pm.004}$	$0.266^{\pm.004}$	$0.337^{\pm.006}$	$1.333^{\pm.006}$
500	Baseline+Pretrain + TMA	$0.844^{\pm.002}$	$0.380^{\pm.005}$	$0.539^{\pm.005}$	$0.630^{\pm.006}$	$1.346^{\pm.004}$
501	Baseline+Pretrain + TMA + CFF	$0.847^{\pm.001}$	0.449 ^{±.006}	$0.613^{\pm.004}$	$0.703^{\pm.004}$	$1.338^{\pm.004}$

Table 2: Ablation Study on the Idea400 dataset. The TMA and CFF represent the text-motionalignment module and the compositional feature fusion module.

504 505

500 501 502

491

492

506

Effect of Pretraining on Large-scale Motion Data. As we analyzed before, for open-vocabulary 507 motion generation, we need to establish the mapping between text-full-space and motion-full-space. 508 Therefore, to enlarge the motion space contained in our model, we leverage the large-scale unanno-509 tated motion data to pre-train a residual vq-vae. As illustrated in the second row in Tab. 2, we gain 510 a 1% and 2% improvement in FID and R-Top3, which means enlarging the motion space learned in 511 the model is useful. 512

Effect of Compositional Feature Fusion (CFF). By generally comparing the results of the third 513 row and the second row, as well as the results of the last row and the fourth row, we can find 514 that through the CFF module we designed, the consistency between the generated motion and the 515 out-of-domain motion distributions(FID) and the similarity with their input text (R-Precision) on 516 out-of-domain datasets are significantly improved. This fully proves that by splitting the motion 517 feature channels and explicitly injecting the combination of atomic motions into the motion gen-518 eration process, we can learn the combination process from atomic motions to target motions well 519 and scatter the sub-motion-space we learned. Eventually, the scattered sub-motion-space can effec-520 tively convert the extrapolation generation process of out-of-domain motion into an interpolation 521 generation process, thereby significantly improving the generalization of the model.

522 Effect of Text Motion Alignment (TMA). As shown in the Tab. 2, after leveraging the text encoder 523 of TMA (row 4th), R-top3 almost doubles compared to the second row. Furthermore, we can see that 524 using the CFF module on top of TMA, R-top3 increases by 11% (4th row v.s. 5th row), while the 525 CFF module without TMA increases by only 3% (2nd row v.s. 3rd row). Both results demonstrate 526 the text feature aligned with motion space indeed release the burden of learning the atomic motion 527 composition process.

- 528
- 529 530

5 CONCLUSION

531 532

In this paper, we propose our **DSO**-Net, i.e. Texutal **D**ecomposition then Sub-motion-space 534 Scattering, to solve the open-vocabulary motion generation problem. Textual decomposition is first leveraged to convert the input raw text into atomic motion descriptions, which serve as our interme-536 diate representations. Then, we learned the combination process from intermediate atomic motion to 537 the target motion, which subsequently scattering the sub-motion-space we learned and transforming extrapolation into interpolation and significantly improve generalization. Numerous experiments 538 are conducted to compare our approach with previous state-of-the-art approaches on various openvocabulary datasets and achieve a significant improvement quantitatively and qualitatively.

540 REFERENCES 541

Samaneh Azadi, Akbar Shah, Thomas Hayes, Devi Parikh, and Sonal Gupta. Make-an- Large-scale text-conditional 3d human motion generation. In <u>Proceedings of the 1</u> <u>International Conference on Computer Vision</u> , pp. 15039–15048, 2023.	animation: IEEE/CVF
Huiwen Chang, Han Zhang, Jarred Barber, AJ Maschinot, Jose Lezama, Lu Jiang, M Yang, Kevin Murphy, William T Freeman, Michael Rubinstein, et al. Muse: Text-to-i eration via masked generative transformers. <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.00704</u> , 2023.	ing-Hsuan mage gen-
Ling-Hao Chen and Contributors UniMocap. Unimocap: Unifier for babel, humanml3 https://github.com/LinghaoChan/UniMoCap, 2023.	d, and kit.
Xin Chen, Biao Jiang, Wen Liu, Zilong Huang, Bin Fu, Tao Chen, and Gang Yu. Exec commands via motion diffusion in latent space. In <u>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Con</u> <u>Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</u> , pp. 18000–18010, 2023.	uting your ference on
Wenxun Dai, Ling-Hao Chen, Jingbo Wang, Jinpeng Liu, Bo Dai, and Yansong Ta tionlcm: Real-time controllable motion generation via latent consistency model. <u>arX</u> <u>arXiv:2404.19759</u> , 2024.	ang. Mo- iv preprint
Jacob Devlin. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language unde arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805, 2018.	erstanding.
Ke Fan, Junshu Tang, Weijian Cao, Ran Yi, Moran Li, Jingyu Gong, Jiangning Zhar Wang, Chengjie Wang, and Lizhuang Ma. Freemotion: A unified framework for nu text-to-motion synthesis. <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15763</u> , 2024.	ng, Yabiao umber-free
Chuan Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Shihao Zou, Qingyao Sun, Annan Deng, Minglun Li Cheng. Action2motion: Conditioned generation of 3d human motions. In Proceed 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pp. 2021–2029, 2020.	Gong, and ings of the
Chuan Guo, Shihao Zou, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Wei Ji, Xingyu Li, and Li Cheng. diverse and natural 3d human motions from text. In <u>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Con</u> <u>Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</u> , pp. 5152–5161, 2022.	Generating ference on
Chuan Guo, Yuxuan Mu, Muhammad Gohar Javed, Sen Wang, and Li Cheng. Momas ative masked modeling of 3d human motions. In <u>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Con</u> <u>Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</u> , pp. 1900–1910, 2024.	sk: Gener- ference on
Yuwei Guo, Ceyuan Yang, Anyi Rao, Zhengyang Liang, Yaohui Wang, Yu Qiao, Agrawala, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Animatediff: Animate your personalized text-to-in sion models without specific tuning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04725, 2023.	Maneesh nage diffu-
Xin He, Shaoli Huang, Xiaohang Zhan, Chao Wen, and Ying Shan. Semanticboost: motion generation with augmented textual cues. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.20323, 202	Elevating 23.
Fangzhou Hong, Mingyuan Zhang, Liang Pan, Zhongang Cai, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Zero-shot text-driven generation and animation of 3d avatars. <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:22</u> 2022.	Avatarclip: 205.08535,
Biao Jiang, Xin Chen, Wen Liu, Jingyi Yu, Gang Yu, and Tao Chen. Motiongpt: Human r foreign language. <u>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</u> , 36:20067–200	notion as a)79, 2023.
Korrawe Karunratanakul, Konpat Preechakul, Supasorn Suwajanakorn, and Siyu Tang motion diffusion for controllable human motion synthesis. In <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>International Conference on Computer Vision</u> , pp. 2151–2162, 2023.	g. Guided IEEE/CVF
Diederik P Kingma, Max Welling, et al. An introduction to variational autoencoders. <u>Found Trends® in Machine Learning</u> , 12(4):307–392, 2019.	oundations
Tianhong Li, Huiwen Chang, Shlok Mishra, Han Zhang, Dina Katabi, and Dilip Krishn Masked generative encoder to unify representation learning and image synthesis. In Proof the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 2142–2	an. Mage: roceedings 152, 2023.

594 595 596 597	 Han Liang, Jiacheng Bao, Ruichi Zhang, Sihan Ren, Yuecheng Xu, Sibei Yang, Xin Chen, Jingyi Yu, and Lan Xu. Omg: Towards open-vocabulary motion generation via mixture of controllers. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 482–493, 2024a.
598 599 600 601	Han Liang, Wenqian Zhang, Wenxuan Li, Jingyi Yu, and Lan Xu. Intergen: Diffusion-based multi- human motion generation under complex interactions. <u>International Journal of Computer Vision</u> , pp. 1–21, 2024b.
602 603 604	Jing Lin, Ailing Zeng, Shunlin Lu, Yuanhao Cai, Ruimao Zhang, Haoqian Wang, and Lei Zhang. Motion-x: A large-scale 3d expressive whole-body human motion dataset. <u>Advances in Neural</u> <u>Information Processing Systems</u> , 36, 2024.
605 606 607 608	Junfan Lin, Jianlong Chang, Lingbo Liu, Guanbin Li, Liang Lin, Qi Tian, and Chang-wen Chen. Being comes from not-being: Open-vocabulary text-to-motion generation with wordless training. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 23222 23231 2023
609 610 611	 Jinpeng Liu, Wenxun Dai, Chunyu Wang, Yiji Cheng, Yansong Tang, and Xin Tong. Plan, posture and go: Towards open-world text-to-motion generation. <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14828</u>, 2023.
612 613 614	Matthew Loper, Naureen Mahmood, Javier Romero, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J Black. Smpl: A skinned multi-person linear model. In <u>Seminal Graphics Papers: Pushing the Boundaries</u> , <u>Volume 2</u> , pp. 851–866. 2023.
615 616 617	Julieta Martinez, Holger H Hoos, and James J Little. Stacked quantizers for compositional vector compression. <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.2173</u> , 2014.
618 619 620	Mathis Petrovich, Michael J Black, and Gül Varol. Action-conditioned 3d human motion synthesis with transformer vae. In <u>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer</u> <u>Vision</u> , pp. 10985–10995, 2021.
621 622 623	Mathis Petrovich, Michael J Black, and Gül Varol. Temos: Generating diverse human motions from textual descriptions. In European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 480–497. Springer, 2022.
624 625 626	Mathis Petrovich, Michael J Black, and Gül Varol. Tmr: Text-to-motion retrieval using con- trastive 3d human motion synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 9488–9497, 2023.
627 628 629	Matthias Plappert, Christian Mandery, and Tamim Asfour. The kit motion-language dataset. <u>Big</u> <u>data</u> , 4(4):236–252, 2016.
630 631 632 633	Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In International conference on machine learning, pp. 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
634 635 636	Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High- resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In <u>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF</u> <u>conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</u> , pp. 10684–10695, 2022.
637 638 639	Yonatan Shafir, Guy Tevet, Roy Kapon, and Amit H Bermano. Human motion diffusion as a gener- ative prior. <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01418</u> , 2023.
640 641	Xu Shi, Chuanchen Luo, Junran Peng, Hongwen Zhang, and Yunlian Sun. Generating fine-grained human motions using chatgpt-refined descriptions. <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02772</u> , 2023.
643 644 645	Peize Sun, Yi Jiang, Shoufa Chen, Shilong Zhang, Bingyue Peng, Ping Luo, and Zehuan Yuan. Autoregressive model beats diffusion: Llama for scalable image generation. <u>arXiv preprint</u> <u>arXiv:2406.06525</u> , 2024.
646 647	Guy Tevet, Brian Gordon, Amir Hertz, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Motionclip: Exposing human motion generation to clip space. In European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 358–374. Springer, 2022a.

- Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Brian Gordon, Yonatan Shafir, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Amit H. Bermano. Human motion diffusion model, 2022b. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.14916.
- Shashank Tripathi, Lea Müller, Chun-Hao P. Huang, Taheri Omid, Michael J. Black, and Dimitrios Tzionas. 3D human pose estimation via intuitive physics. In Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 4713–4725, 2023. URL https://ipman.is.tue.mpg. de.
- Yiming Xie, Varun Jampani, Lei Zhong, Deqing Sun, and Huaizu Jiang. Omnicontrol: Control any joint at any time for human motion generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08580, 2023.
- Lijun Yu, Yong Cheng, Kihyuk Sohn, José Lezama, Han Zhang, Huiwen Chang, Alexander G Hauptmann, Ming-Hsuan Yang, Yuan Hao, Irfan Essa, et al. Magvit: Masked generative video transformer. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 10459-10469, 2023.
- Jianrong Zhang, Yangsong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Yong Zhang, Hongwei Zhao, Hongtao Lu, Xi Shen, and Ying Shan. Generating human motion from textual descriptions with discrete representations. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 14730-14740, 2023a.
- Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Motiondiffuse: Text-driven human motion generation with diffusion model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.15001, 2022.
- Mingyuan Zhang, Xinying Guo, Liang Pan, Zhongang Cai, Fangzhou Hong, Huirong Li, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Remodiffuse: Retrieval-augmented motion diffusion model. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 364–373, 2023b.
- Mingyuan Zhang, Huirong Li, Zhongang Cai, Jiawei Ren, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Finemogen: Fine-grained spatio-temporal motion generation and editing. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 2024a.
- Yaqi Zhang, Di Huang, Bin Liu, Shixiang Tang, Yan Lu, Lu Chen, Lei Bai, Qi Chu, Nenghai Yu, and Wanli Ouyang. Motiongpt: Finetuned llms are general-purpose motion generators. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, volume 38, pp. 7368–7376, 2024b.
 - Qiran Zou, Shangyuan Yuan, Shian Du, Yu Wang, Chang Liu, Yi Xu, Jie Chen, and Xiangyang Ji. Parco: Part-coordinating text-to-motion synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18512, 2024.