45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

58

Thematic-LM: a LLM-based Multi-agent System for Large-scale Thematic Analysis

Anonymous Author(s)

Abstract

Thematic analysis (TA) is a widely used qualitative method for identifying underlying meanings within unstructured text. However, TA requires manual processes, which become increasingly labour-intensive and time-consuming as datasets grow. While large language models (LLMs) have been introduced to assist with TA on small-scale datasets, three key limitations hinder their effectiveness on larger datasets. First, current approaches often depend on interactions between an LLM agent and a human coder, a process that becomes challenging with larger datasets. Second, with feedback from the human coder, the LLM tends to mirror the human coder, which provides a narrower viewpoint of the data. Third, existing methods follow a sequential process, where codes are generated for individual samples without recalling or adapting previous codes and associated data, reducing the ability to analyse data holistically. To address these limitations, we propose Thematic-LM, an LLMbased multi-agent system for large-scale computational thematic analysis. Thematic-LM assigns specialised tasks to each agent, such as coding, aggregating codes, and maintaining and updating the codebook. We assign coder agents different identity perspectives to simulate the subjective nature of TA, fostering a more diverse interpretation of the data. We applied Thematic-LM to the Dreaddit dataset and the Reddit climate change dataset to analyse themes related to social media stress and online opinions on climate change. We evaluate the resulting themes based on trustworthiness principles in qualitative research. Our study reveals significant insights, such as assigning different identities to coder agents promotes divergence in codes and themes.

Keywords

Computational Social Science, Thematic Analysis, Large Language Model, Multi-agent System

ACM Reference Format:

1 Introduction

The growing availability of unstructured text data, particularly from social media, presents both an opportunity and a challenge for researchers [19]. While such data can hold valuable insights,

57 https://doi.org/XXXXXXXXXXXXXX

59

60

61

62 63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

Figure 1: Differences between topic modelling, sentiment analysis and thematic analysis, illustrated through examples of climate change-related posts.

analysing them effectively requires robust methods to extract meaning from vast volumes of information. Computational approaches, such as topic modelling [1] and sentiment analysis [8], are designed to handle large datasets but often produce descriptive results. These methods capture surface-level patterns but fail to uncover the deeper, context-specific meanings within the data. In contrast, qualitative methods, such as thematic analysis (TA) [5], are designed to explore nuanced interpretations by focusing on the subjective experiences and contexts that shape the data. As shown in Fig. 1, a single topic may encompass underlying themes that provide richer, more meaningful interpretations. However, TA is labour-intensive and time-consuming, requiring manual processes [6] such as familiarization, coding, theme development, and interpretation, which become increasingly burdensome as the dataset grows. For larger datasets, a team of trained coders is often required to work collaboratively to manage the volume while maintaining the reliability and credibility of the results [46], which is both expensive and logistically challenging. The challenge remains in combining the scalability of computational methods with the depth of qualitative approaches.

Recent advances in large language models (LLMs) have opened new possibilities for automating thematic analysis, as LLMs have demonstrated impressive capabilities in processing unstructured data by learning from vast corpora of texts [2, 26, 48]. Researchers have begun applying LLMs as single agents to assist in the thematic analysis process [12, 13, 16, 25]. However, existing approaches have three major limitations. First, current computational thematic analysis methods require interaction with a human coder. The human coder needs to be familiar with the entire dataset, oversee the LLMs' outputs, and provide feedback to the LLMs, which is infeasible given a large dataset. Second, due to the iterative feedback process, the LLMs often tend to imitate the human coder's perspective, producing results that mirror the coder's viewpoint [12], limiting the diversity of viewpoints and resulting in a narrower analysis [12]. Third, current approaches to thematic analysis are sequential; LLMs do not revisit previously coded data to update codes as new information arises, undermining a key principle of thematic analysis: ensuring that codes accurately reflect consistent meanings across

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

^{© 2024} Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

Topics Energy_nuclear_power ... Sentiments Positive Negative Neutral Themes A Role of Technology in Climate Solutions: The potential of technology and innovation to mitigate ...

Anon

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

the dataset. Apart from the limitations, evaluating the themes gen-117 erated by LLMs presents further challenges due to the volume of 118 119 data and the subjective nature of thematic analysis. While qualitative evaluation by humans becomes impractical at scale, automatic 120 evaluation metrics, such as inter-rater reliability [35], often assess 121 122 the similarity between the LLMs' output and manual results and overlook the fact that thematic analysis can reflect multiple valid 123 perspectives within the data. Consequently, lower inter-rater relia-124 125 bility may not necessarily indicate lower-quality thematic analysis.

, ,

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

126 To address these limitations, we introduce an LLM-based multiagent system for large-scale computational TA, which we term 127 Thematic-LM. Thematic-LM assigns distinct components of TA as 128 specialised tasks to individual LLM agents, fully automating the 129 process. To simulate the process of refining codes in response to 130 new data, we implement an adaptive codebook that stores prior 131 132 codes and their corresponding quotes. A reviewer agent retrieves similar codes and quotes from the codebook, compares them to the 133 new data, and updates the codes accordingly. We allocate coder 134 135 agents with different identity perspectives to generate different views on the codes and themes. Additionally, we analyse the quality 136 of themes based on the computational adaptation of the principles 137 138 of trustworthiness in qualitative research [27, 29, 40], including 139 credibility, dependability, confirmability, and transferability. Our main contributions are as follows: 140

- We propose Thematic-LM, an LLM-based multi-agent system for large-scale computational thematic analysis. To the best of our knowledge, Thematic-LM is the first to employ multiple LLM agents for qualitative analysis.
- We encourage different perspectives within the themes by assigning different identities to the LLM agents, prompting them to reflect on their identities while performing the analysis.
- We apply Thematic-LM to the Dreaddit [49] and the Reddit climate change dataset ¹, uncovering underlying themes regarding social media stress and public opinions on climate change.

Our key findings are as follows:

- Themes from Dreaddit shows that stress-related posts reflect various levels of human needs resonating with Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs [38], from physiological needs to selfactualisation.
- Themes from the Reddit climate change dataset indicate that online opinions regarding climate change are multidimensional, capturing psychological, societal, and systemic aspects, such as eco-anxiety [43] and generational divides in perspectives.
- We found that assigning the same identities to coder agents within Thematic-LM resulted in high inter-rater reliability, whereas assigning different identities to coder agents within Thematic-LM reduces inter-rater reliability but fosters a broader and more diverse understanding of the data.

2 Related Work

Social Media Data Analytic. With over a billion people using social media, enormous amounts of unstructured data are generated through daily interactions on these platforms [19]. Various machine learning techniques have been employed to extract insights to handle the scale of such data. Topic modelling approaches [4, 11, 57] are applied to uncover abstract topics or clusters of similar content from large datasets. Sentiment analysis [8, 39, 54] focuses on determining the emotional tone or attitude behind a piece of text, such as classifying whether social media posts reflect positive, negative, or neutral sentiment. Other classification approaches [45, 47] are typically employed to categorize social media posts into predefined categories, such as news, entertainment and sports. However, these methods tend to produce high-level categorizations and descriptive outputs, offering surface-level insights into the data, which do not capture deeper, contextual meanings or allow for nuanced interpretations of complex social media interactions. Our Thematic-LM automates TA through a multi-agent system with multiple coders, enabling deeper exploration of underlying meanings and perspectives from large-scale datasets.

Computational Thematic Analysis. Several studies have explored the use of LLMs for automating TA. De Paoli [13] and Drápal et al. [16] applied LLMs to relatively small datasets by guiding the models through structured, step-by-step coding instructions. Drápal et al. [16] found that LLM performance closely aligns with human coders when iterative feedback is provided. Similarly, Dai et al. [12] proposed a feedback loop where expert input helps refine the LLM's output. While these approaches demonstrate promise, their reliance on human intervention and focus on small datasets limit their scalability. In contrast, Thematic-LM assigns a team of LLM agents to handle different components of TA, fostering a broader perspective by simulating independent coders. Each coder agent is given a unique identity, encouraging analysis from diverse viewpoints. Additionally, Thematic-LM employs an adaptive codebook that revisits and updates previously coded data, ensuring scalability and adaptability to large datasets.

LLM-based Multi-agent System. Recent research has shown that collaboration between multiple LLM agents can enhance interconsistency [53], improve factuality and reasoning [17], and encourage divergent thinking [31]. Motivated by the benefits, various LLM-based multi-agent systems have been developed [10, 30, 52]. Multi-agents are often employed for problem-solving or simulation. For example, Hong et al. [21] uses specialised LLM agents as a software engineering team for developing applications collaboratively. Chan et al. [9] proposed ChatEval, which uses multi-agent debate to evaluate the quality of LLM outputs. For research on simulation, Zhang et al. [55] explored simulating collaborative intelligence in human society by assigning LLM agents various personal traits and thinking styles. Similarly, Park et al. [42] established a community of 25 agents in a sandbox environment simulating a small town, while Kovač et al. [28] constructed a school environment with LLM agents to explore developmental psychology. Moreover, Zhao et al. [56] examine the competition between LLM agents by simulating a virtual town with restaurant agents competing over customer agents. Thematic-LM focuses on TA as a problem-solving task and

¹https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/pavellexyr/the-reddit-climate-change-dataset

2

231



Figure 2: Thematic-LM consists of coder, aggregator, reviewer, and theme coder agents, organized into two stages: coding and theme development. In the coding stage, multiple coder agents independently analyse text data and output codes and corresponding quotes to the code aggregator. The code aggregator refines and organizes the codes before sending them to the reviewer. The reviewer maintains an adaptive codebook, ensuring updated codes are consistent with prior data. In the theme development stage, theme coder agents use the codebook to identify themes, which are then refined by the theme aggregator to produce the final themes.

simulates coders with different identities to encourage a broader viewpoint regarding the data.

3 LLM-based Multi-agent System for Thematic Analysis

We adopt the inductive thematic analysis (TA) approach outlined by Braun and Clarke [5]. In TA, coding identifies items of analytic interest from the data and assigns short-phrase labels, while themes are built by synthesizing and refining insights from these codes. In traditional team-based TA, each coder often works independently to generate codes, followed by regular meetings to compare and consolidate codes, reducing redundancy or overlap and ensuring cohesion in the analysis [46]. Inductive TA is datadriven, which develops themes from the data rather than with a predefined codebook of themes [6]. In contrast to the conventional predefined codebook approach, we implement an adaptive codebook that continuously updates codes throughout the coding process, accommodating new data and insights. Building on previous work of computational TA [12, 13, 16], Thematic-LM performs TA in two stages: coding and theme development. In the coding stage, the codebook is finalized as codes are generated and refined, while the theme development stage focuses on synthesizing themes from the codebook. We provide details of the system in Section 3.1 and the coders' identities in Section 3.2.

3.1 Multi-agent System

As illustrated in Fig. 2, our multi-agent system consists of three types of LLM agents: coder, aggregator, and reviewer. Each agent has a specialised role in the TA process, contributing to coding and theme development stages to fully automate these tasks. The agents are implemented with conversational agents from AutoGen [52]. Coder Agents are responsible for coding in the first stage and identifying themes in the second stage. In the coding stage, the coders are instructed to write one to three codes for each piece of

data to capture concepts or ideas with the most analytical interest. For each code, the coder extracts a representative quote from the data as evidence. The resulting codes, quotes and corresponding quote IDs are passed to the code aggregator agent. During the theme development stage, the coders are given a complete version of the codebook from the coding stage. The codebook is compressed with LLMLingua [23, 24] to reduce token costs. The coder agents then analyse the codes and associated quotes holistically to identify overarching themes that reflect deeper insights into the data. These themes, along with theme descriptions and the most relevant quotes, are then passed to the theme aggregator.

Aggregator Agents refine and organize the outputs from the coder agents into structured formats suitable for the next stage. During the coding stage, the code aggregator merges codes with similar meanings, retaining differences where necessary, and organizes the codes, quotes, and quote IDs into a JSON format, which the reviewer agent uses to update the codebook. Similarly, in the theme development stage, the theme aggregator refines and organizes the identified themes and associated quotes, merging similar themes and outputting the final themes in JSON format.

Reviewer Agent operates exclusively during the coding stage, maintaining and updating the codebook. This codebook stores previous codes, their corresponding quotes, and quote IDs in JSON format. Codes are represented both as texts and as embeddings, generated using a Sentence Transformer model [44]. The reviewer agent processes new codes and quotes from the aggregator and retrieves the top-*k* similar codes and quotes from the codebook by computing the cosine similarity between their embeddings. The reviewer compares the new codes and quotes with existing codes and quotes to determine whether the new codes can be updated based on prior information and whether similar existing codes can be merged. After making these decisions, the reviewer updates the codebook to save new codes and quotes and merge previous

Anon.

codes into new ones. The reviewing and updating process is crucial in TA, as it plays a central role in ensuring the codes remain
dynamic, interpretative, and responsive to the data. Once finalized,
the codebook is passed to the theme development stage.

Evaluation We evaluate the quality of themes based on the prin-ciples of trustworthiness in qualitative research [27, 29, 40]. Ex-isting metrics used in computational TA, such as inter-rater re-liability [35], assume that there is one "correct" set of themes to match against for measuring the level of accuracy. We propose that trustworthiness principles, which emphasize meaningful, coherent, and data-grounded analysis, provide a more robust framework for evaluating themes. As shown in Fig. 3, We adopt the trustworthi-ness principles for evaluating the computational TA approaches: (1) Credibility and Confirmability: Credibility evaluates whether the themes accurately represent the data, while confirmability as-sesses whether the themes are data-driven rather than driven by biases. We measure credibility and confirmability at the same time by retrieving the associated data through quote IDs and assign-ing an evaluator agent to compute the percentage of data that the quote and themes are consistent with. The inconsistency with the data can be caused by hallucinations or internal biases within the LLM models. (2) Dependability: asses whether the same process can be repeated by a separate researcher and reveal similar findings. The dependability of the computational approach can be measured by repeating the process and measuring the inter-rater reliability of the resulting themes. We measure the inter-rater reliability in themes by conducting the TA several times and computing the average pairwise ROGUE scores [32], which measures the amount of overlap between the themes. For each pair of theme sets A and B, we first calculate the ROUGE-1 and ROUGE-2 scores by using sets A as the reference set:

$$ROUGE-1_{A \to B} = \frac{\text{Number of overlapping unigrams in } B}{\text{Total number of unigrams in } A}$$

$$ROUGE-2_{A \to B} = \frac{\text{Number of overlapping bigrams in } B}{\text{Total number of bigrams in } A}$$
(1)

We calculate the ROUGE-1 and ROUGE-2 scores by using sets *B* as the reference set and compute the average of the ROUGE-1 and ROUGE-2 scores for the pair of sets:

$$ROUGE-1 = \frac{1}{2} (ROUGE-1_{A \to B} + ROUGE-1_{B \to A})$$
$$ROUGE-2 = \frac{1}{2} (ROUGE-2_{A \to B} + ROUGE-2_{B \to A})$$
(2)
$$ROUGE = \frac{1}{2} (ROUGE-1 + ROUGE-2)$$

(3) *Transferability* assesses whether the identified themes and codes can be meaningfully applied to other contexts or datasets with similar characteristics. We measure the transferability by splitting the dataset into a training and validation set, where we perform TA separately and measure whether the themes from the training set can transfer to the themes in the test set by computing the overlap between themes via pairwise ROGUE scores shown in Eq. (2).

3.2 Coder Identities

TA inherently embraces subjectivity, recognizing that researchers bring their own perspectives, assumptions, and interpretations to the data [6, 18]. The identification of themes is guided by the



Figure 3: Evaluation framework: we employ an evaluator agent to check the consistency between the themes and associated data to assess the credibility and confirmability of the themes. To assess their dependability, we repeat the thematic analysis (TA) process N times and examine whether the themes remain stable by computing the overlap between themes. For transferability between similar datasets, we perform TA independently on two split data sets and compute the overlap between themes.

coder's insights and understanding, which plays an active role in deciding what is meaningful in the data. Consequently, the same data may yield different themes depending on who is conducting the analysis. Coders may interpret the same information in diverse ways, especially when they come from varied social, cultural, or professional backgrounds. This variability does not undermine the reliability of the analysis but instead highlights the subjectivity that enriches qualitative research. The subjective nature of thematic analysis allows it to delve deeply into human experiences, emotions, and meanings while providing the contextual understanding needed to explore nuanced social and cultural issues [18, 40].

In previous work on computational thematic analysis, the LLM's outputs are aligned with a human coder through iterative feedback. In contrast, Thematic-LM simulates coders with varied backgrounds to foster diverse perspectives in data interpretation. In profiling the coder agents, we draw from existing literature on different viewpoints and opinions related to the subject matter, assigning distinct identities to each agent. These agents are instructed to interpret the data through the lens of their assigned identities, reflecting on how someone with such a background might perceive and analyse the information. This approach allows us to explore the diversity of perspectives that may emerge from the data and offers a way to measure the divergence between coders' interpretations due to different backgrounds.

Theme	Description	Related Topic	Sentiment Score
Navigating Emotional and Mental Health Challenges	The experiences of individuals dealing with anxiety, mental health concerns, and the impact of emotional stressors on daily life.	therapist_to_therapy	0.00
Impact of Economic Stress and Resource Scarcity	The financial struggles and efforts to manage limited resources and economic instability.	homeless_the_to_have	0.10
Familial Dynamics and Responsibilities	The complex relationships within families, the burden of roles, and the tension between obligations and emotional needs.	food_you_for	0.15
Coping with Academic and Professional Pressures	The stress associated with academic performance and the pres- sures to succeed in professional life.	job_and_have	0.20
Interpersonal Conflict and Relationship Stress	The conflicts in personal relationships, whether with romantic partners, friends, or colleagues, the emotional and mental strain caused by unresolved tensions or disagreements.	sex_that_sexual	0.15
Seeking Validation and Emotional Support	The frequent attempts by individuals to gain reassurance or validation from others, often by sharing their struggles and emotions openly in the hope of receiving empathy or encour- agement.	you_are_support	0.35

impact of social media on identity and interactions.

The differences between one's real and virtual personas and the he him me

Table 1: Themes and description of the themes produced by Thematic-LM on the Dreaddit dataset, compared with topic modelling and sentiment analysis results.

Experiments and Analysis

The Dichotomy of Online and Offline

We conduct a series of experiments to assess Thematic-LM's effectiveness in performing thematic analysis on social media datasets. At the time of writing, no other multi-agent systems were designed for qualitative analysis, such as thematic analysis. Therefore, our experiments focus primarily on Thematic-LM itself. Specifically, we aim to answer the following questions:

- · What insights can Thematic-LM uncover from the Dreaddit and the Reddit climate change dataset? (Section 4.1)
- Does Thematic-LM produce higher quality themes compared to a single LLM agent? (Section 4.2)
- · How do the different coder identities influence the codes and themes? (Section 4.3)

Experimental Setup. We use GPT-40 to serve as the LLM agent accessible through the OpenAI API gpt-4o-2024-05-13². The temper-*ature* and *top_p* are set at the default value of one. For each code and theme, the agents save up to 20 of the most relevant quotes associated with the concept. The reviewer retrieves the top 10 most similar codes for each new code. To measure the dependability, we conducted the TA three times and calculated the average pairwise ROGUE scores between the resulting sets of themes. To measure transferability, we split the dataset into a 50% training set and a 50% validation set. We employ Thematic-LM to analyse the Dreaddit [49] and the Reddit climate change dataset. Dreaddit contains over 190k posts from subreddits related to abuse, anxiety, financial issues, PTSD and relationship problems. The Reddit climate change dataset consists of 4.6 million Reddit posts and comments that men-tion the terms "climate" and "change". The source code is available in the supplementary material.

4.1 Thematic Analysis of Social Media Data

0.25

We assign two coder agents and two theme coder agents for TA on Dreaddit and the Reddit climate change dataset. The agents are provided with the instructions only, with no personal identities given to the agent. To compare the TA results, we perform topic modelling and sentiment analysis on the datasets. We employ BERTopic [20] to categorize the posts into topics and RoBERTa [33] from TweetNLP [7] for sentiment analysis. We set the number of neighbours, number of components for UMAP [37] and minimum cluster size for HDBSCAN [36] in BERTopic as 15, 10 and 10, respectively. We use cosine similarity as the distance metric in HDBSCAN. For each theme, we select the most relevant topic by looking at the majority of the topics of the data points associated with the theme through the quote IDs. Similarly, we computed the average sentiment of the data points. Sentiment scores of zero, one and two denote negative, neutral and positive, respectively.

Dreaddit datset. As shown in Table 1, Thematic-LM produced seven themes from the Dreaddit dataset. The sentiment analysis returns mostly negative labels for the data associated with the themes. We observe that the themes identified by Thematic-LM on the Dreaddit dataset highlight a broader and more meaningful understanding of the data compared to the related topics generated by topic modelling. For instance, the theme "Navigating Emotional and Mental Health Challenges" captures the users' struggles with anxiety and emotional stressors. In contrast, the related topic "therapist_to_therapy" provides a more fragmented association of words, missing the depth in the narrative. Similarly, "Impact of Economic Stress and Resource Scarcity" encapsulates the daily struggles of managing limited resources, while the related topic "homeless_the_to_have" only loosely connects words around homelessness and possession, failing to capture the specific challenges

Identities

²https://platform.openai.com/docs/models/gpt-40

Anon.

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

topic modelling and sentiment analysis results. 582 583 584 Theme Description **Related** Topic Sentiment Score 585 Emotional Burden of Climate Change The complex emotions individuals face, such as anxiety, guilt, climate_change_years 0.20 586 Awareness frustration, and helplessness, stemming from the overwhelming 587 nature of climate change and a perceived lack of control over its outcomes. 588 589 Generational and Cultural Disconnec-The perceived gaps in understanding and values across different denier_climate_scope_change 0.30 590 tion generations and cultures, which are often exacerbated by rapid societal and technological changes. 591 592 Call for Collective Action and Unity Emphasizing the necessity for collective action and unity in jobs_people_us 1.30 593 addressing societal challenges, including political divisions and significant issues like climate change and economic inequality. 594 595 Critique and Skepticism of Political and A critical examination of current political and economic sysbank_companies_billion 0.25 596 Economic Systems tems, highlighting concerns about inequality, inefficiency, and the shortcomings of existing policies. 597 598 Personal and Community Resilience Personal and communal efforts to adapt to the impacts of climate people_change 0.85 599 change, emphasizing the importance of strengthening social connections and local initiatives 600 601 Role of Technology in Climate Solutions The potential of technology and innovation to mitigate climate energy_nuclear_power 0.90 602 change effects, including renewable energy advancements, carbon capture technologies, and sustainable agriculture practices. 603 604 Impact on Biodiversity and Ecosystems Individuals express worries about endangered species, habitat meat_animals 0.10 605 destruction, and the overall health of the planet's ecosystems. 606 Climate Migration and Displacement The challenges faced by communities and individuals who are housing cities city 0.20 forced to relocate due to climate change impacts such as rising 607 sea levels, extreme weather events, and resource scarcity. 608

Table 2: Themes and description of the themes produced by Thematic-LM on the Reddit climate change dataset, compared with

Table 3: Comparison of the theme quality scores on the Dreaddit dataset.

Method	Credibility & Confirmability	Dependability	Transferability
Single	0.63	0.45	0.41
Single (Codebook)	0.75	0.61	0.67
System (1 Coder)	0.92	0.81	0.86
System (2 Coders)	0.94	0.78	0.87

Table 4: Comparison of the theme quality scores on the Reddit climate change dataset.

Method	Credibility & Confirmability	Dependability	Transferability
Single	0.66	0.56	0.73
Single (Codebook)	0.74	0.69	0.78
System (1 Coder)	0.96	0.84	0.90
System (2 Coders)	0.98	0.86	0.89

individuals face in their economic lives. This comparison illustrates how thematic analysis delves into the underlying meanings and human experiences, offering a much more insightful and comprehensive picture than topic modelling, which often yields superficial groupings of co-occurring terms.

The themes reflect various levels of human needs, resonating with Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs [38]. "Navigating Emotional and Mental Health Challenges" and "Impact of Economic Stress and Resource Scarcity" correspond to Maslow's foundational physiological and safety needs, as they involve mental well-being and financial

stability. "Familial Dynamics and Responsibilities" and "Interpersonal Conflict and Relationship Stress" align with belongingness and love needs, highlighting the importance of relationships and emotional bonds in individuals' lives. Meanwhile, "Coping with Academic and Professional Pressures" and "Seeking Validation and Emotional Support" relate to esteem needs, where individuals seek recognition, achievement, and emotional validation. Finally, "The Dichotomy of Online and Offline Identities" reflects the higherorder need for self-actualization as individuals navigate personal identity and the complexities of presenting themselves in digital and real-world environments.

The Reddit climate change dataset. As shown in Table 2, the eight themes identified by Thematic-LM present a more nuanced and interconnected understanding of climate change discourse, emphasizing emotional and social dimensions rather than solely categorizing discussions by co-occurring words. The emotion captured by the themes generally aligns with the sentiment score. The "Emotional Burden of Climate Change Awareness" theme highlights the psychological distress, anxiety, and feelings of helplessness that individuals face, reflecting the concept of eco-anxiety [43]. This emotional struggle is intertwined with the "Generational and Cultural Disconnection", which points to the gaps in understanding and values that can arise between different generations, further complicating collective responses to climate change. The theme "Call for Collective Action and Unity" underscores the necessity for collaboration in addressing climate-related challenges, emphasizing a shared responsibility that can help foster social cohesion. This is

581

635

636

637

complemented by the theme "Personal and Community Resilience", which showcases the importance of local initiatives and social connections as individuals and communities adapt to the changing environment. Meanwhile, the "Critique and Skepticism of Political and Economic Systems" reflects a growing awareness of systemic failures and the need for significant reforms to ensure effective climate action. The theme "Role of Technology in Climate Solutions" highlights the potential for innovation and advancements in technology to mitigate the impacts of climate change, showcasing a hopeful perspective amid the challenges. Finally, the theme "Impact on Biodiversity and Ecosystems" serves as a reminder of the broader ecological implications of climate change, emphasizing the interconnectedness of human actions and environmental health. Together, these themes illustrate a complex multifacet of emotional, social, and systemic factors shaping climate change discourse from social media.

Quality of Themes 4.2

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

To investigate the benefits brought by the adaptive codebook and the multi-agent system, we compare the quality of themes between a single LLM agent, a single LLM agent with an adaptive codebook, Thematic-LM with one coder for coding and theme development and Thematic-LM with two coders for coding and theme development. The single LLM agent is instructed to first label the data sequentially with codes, define themes from the codes, and save the data IDs of the most relevant codes for each theme. The single LLM agent with the adaptive codebook adds steps for retrieving similar codes for comparison and saving codes and quotes into the codebook. After the coding stage, the single LLM agent takes the codebook as input and defines themes from the codebook. The coder agents are given instructions without assigning any identities.

As shown in Tables 3 and 4, we observe that the introduction of an adaptive codebook improves the quality of the themes of the LLM agent, and multi-agents perform better than single agents. The credibility & confirmability score of the single agent with the codebook is improved due to the LLM agent having access to retrieve past quotes, which provides a chance to reflect on past codes and quotes whenever similar data arrive. The similar codes and associated quotes together give a more holistic view and improve the context understanding of the data, which makes the coding less affected by randomness brought by a single data sample. The improvement in both the dependability and transferability of the themes shows this. The multi-agent systems have higher credibility & confirmability, dependability and transferability scores. In the multi-agent system, the distribution of specialised tasks has made the tasks simpler and shorter for each agent, improving factuality and reducing hallucination brought by doing complex tasks. This has led to more stable and transferable themes across different runs.

4.3 Divergence of Pespectives

We aim to investigate whether assigning different identities can broaden the views of the TA and the effects of assigning identities to coders. We conducted the experiments on the Reddit climate change dataset, as climate change is a polarizing issue due to the intersection of social, economic, political and cultural values, which lead to divergent opinions [14, 15]. The subjectivity of TA and the scale

Table 5: Examples of themes not captured in Thematic-LM with no assigned coder identities but emerged in Thematic-LM where coders are assigned different identity perspectives.

Theme	Description
Economic Impact of Cli- mate Policies	Concerns about the economic consequences of aggressive climate regulations, particularly their impact on industries and job markets.
Environmental Stew- ardship	The deep responsibility to protect and maintain the natural environment, viewing humans as caretakers of the earth.
Scepticism of Climate Science	Questioning about the extent of human influ- ence on global warming and discuss whether climate change is part of the natural cycle.
Environmental Justice and Vulnerable Com- munities	Highlights the disproportionate impact of cli- mate change on marginalized communities, ad- vocating for policies that address environmental justice and protect vulnerable populations.

of the dataset might lead to some views being underrepresented in the resulting themes. We assign five coders with different identity perspectives: (1) Human-Driven Climate Change Agent: This agent adopts the widely accepted scientific view that human activities are the primary drivers of climate change [15]. It focuses on the role of industrialization, fossil fuel emissions, deforestation, and other anthropogenic activities in accelerating global warming. The agent emphasizes the need for policy reforms, renewable energy adoption, and collective global action to mitigate the impact of human-caused environmental degradation. (2) Natural Climate Change Agent: This agent approaches climate change from the viewpoint that it is a natural phenomenon, part of Earth's long-term climatic cycles. It reflects the arguments that climate fluctuations have occurred over millennia due to factors like solar radiation, volcanic activity, and ocean currents, suggesting that current climate shifts may not be solely due to human activities [3, 34]. This perspective is often used to critique policies perceived as overemphasizing the human impact on the environment. (3) Progressive View Agent: The progressive agent is given the progressive perspective rooted in environmental justice, equity, and sustainability, advocating for systemic changes that address not only environmental issues but also social inequalities exacerbated by climate impacts [15]. The agent emphasizes green technologies, grassroots activism, and policies that ensure vulnerable communities are not disproportionately affected. (4) Conservative View Agent: This agent reflects the conservative perspective on climate change, focusing on gradual, market-driven solutions rather than large-scale regulatory interventions [15]. It prioritizes economic stability, energy independence, and limited government involvement in climate policies. From this viewpoint, climate action should not jeopardize economic growth, jobs, or individual freedoms. (5) Indigenous View Agent: The Indigenous agent operates from the perspective that climate change is deeply intertwined with human relationships with nature and the environment [50, 51]. It emphasizes traditional ecological knowledge, the interconnectedness of all living beings, and the sacred responsibility to care for the land. This agent highlights climate change's

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811



Figure 4: Comparison of codes and themes generated by five coders with no identities given, with the same identities of "human-driven climate change" given, and with five different identities. The five different identities are agents which are instructed to believe in "human-driven climate change" and "climate change as a natural cycle" and instructed to act with "progressive view", "conservative view" and "Indigenous view", denoted as H, N, P, C, and I respectively. The pairwise ROGUE scores measure the differences between codes and themes from different agents.

cultural, spiritual, and community-based dimensions, particularly the impacts on Indigenous lands and ways of life.

To measure the effects of identity perspectives on the coders, we measure the inter-rater reliability via pairwise ROGUE scores (Eq. (2)) among the coder agents during the coding and theme devel-opment stage within Thematic-LM. For example, during the coding stage, we compare codes between each pair of agents by calculating the ROGUE scores. The final scores for each system are the average scores from the coding and theme development stages. We calculate the ROGUE scores as the average between ROGUE-1 and ROGUE-2 scores. We compare the differences between assigning no identities to coder agents, assigning the same identities to coder agents and assigning different identities to coder agents. For the first system, we assign five coders for coding and theme development without any identities. Second, we assign the five coders the same identities of the "human-driven climate change" view to measure the effect of having the same identity perspectives. Third, we assign the five different identities to the coders to measure the divergence of per-spectives. As shown in Fig. 4, the agents with different identities produced divergent codes and themes with overall lower ROGUE scores than agents with no identities assigned, while the agents with the same identities produced more similar codes than agents with no identities assigned. For agents with no identities assigned, there are some variations in the codes and themes, as the ROGUE scores indicate there are about 58% to 77% of overlap words and word pairs. While the codes and themes of the agents with different identities diverge from each other, there is a relatively higher over-lap of codes and themes for agents with related views. For example, there is some overlap between codes from human-driven climate change and progressive views, such as codes related to collective ac-tion to reverse the effect of pollution on climate change. The results from the assignment of identity perspectives mirror how the views of the coders might affect their interpretation of the data in TA. The convergence and divergence of codes and themes simulate and resonate with social identity theory [22] and confirmation bias [41]. Social identity theory emphasizes that the categorization of individ-uals into social groups influences their attitudes, behaviours, and

interpretation of information, while confirmation bias is the tendency to search for, interpret, and favour information that confirms one's pre-existing beliefs or hypotheses.

Although in the Thematic-LM with five different coders, the codes and themes diverge from each other, we have instructed the code and theme aggregator to retain the different codes and themes to maintain the different perspectives. As a result, the resulting themes are more diverse than Thematic-LM with no agent identities assigned. For Thematic-LM with five different agent identities, 15 themes are identified from the Reddit climate change dataset. As shown in Table 5, we illustrate examples of themes that are not captured in Thematic-LM with no given coder identities but have emerged in Thematic-LM with different coder identities. We observe that with different identity perspectives, the agents might highlight unaddressed issues by considering different viewpoints. For example, themes such as "Economic Impact of Climate Policies" and "Scepticism of Climate Science" reflect concerns and beliefs that differ from those captured in a more homogenized analysis without different identities.

5 Conclusion

We presented Thematic-LM, the first LLM-based multi-agent system for large-scale thematic analysis. Thematic-LM addresses key challenges in the computational thematic analysis of large-scale datasets by distributing the tasks among specialised agents and maintaining an adaptive codebook for maintaining and updating codes. We employ Thematic-LM to analyse the Dreaddit and Reddit climate change datasets. We evaluate the quality of themes based on the principles of trustworthiness in qualitative research. Furthermore, we experimented with assigning different perspectives to the coder agent to simulate the subjective nature of thematic analysis and broaden the views captured in the themes. Our work lays a foundation for conducting qualitative research with LLM agents. Future work could investigate combining other qualitative methods and incorporating the imaging modality into the analysis.

Thematic-LM: a LLM-based Multi-agent System for Large-scale Thematic Analysis

929 References

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

985

986

- Aly Abdelrazek, Yomna Eid, Eman Gawish, Walaa Medhat, and Ahmed Hassan. 2023. Topic modeling algorithms and applications: A survey. *Information Systems* 112 (2023), 102131.
- [2] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. 2023. Gpt-4 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774 (2023).
- [3] Richard P Allan, Mathew Barlow, Michael P Byrne, Annalisa Cherchi, Hervé Douville, Hayley J Fowler, Thian Y Gan, Angeline G Pendergrass, Daniel Rosenfeld, Abigail LS Swann, et al. 2020. Advances in understanding large-scale responses of the water cycle to climate change. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1472, 1 (2020), 49–75.
- [4] Stuart J Blair, Yaxin Bi, and Maurice D Mulvenna. 2020. Aggregated topic models for increasing social media topic coherence. *Applied Intelligence* 50 (2020), 138– 156.
 - [5] Virginia Braun and Victoria Clarke. 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology* 3, 2 (2006), 77–101.
 - [6] Virginia Braun and Victoria Clarke. 2012. Thematic analysis. American Psychological Association.
- [7] Jose Camacho-collados, Kiamehr Rezaee, Talayeh Riahi, Asahi Ushio, Daniel Loureiro, Dimosthenis Antypas, Joanne Boisson, Luis Espinosa Anke, Fangyu Liu, and Eugenio Martínez Cámara. 2022. TweetNLP: Cutting-Edge Natural Language Processing for Social Media. In Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations, Wanxiang Che and Ekaterina Shutova (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Abu Dhabi, UAE, 38–49. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-demos.5
- [8] Koyel Chakraborty, Siddhartha Bhattacharyya, and Rajib Bag. 2020. A survey of sentiment analysis from social media data. *IEEE Transactions on Computational* Social Systems 7, 2 (2020), 450–464.
- [9] Chi-Min Chan, Weize Chen, Yusheng Su, Jianxuan Yu, Wei Xue, Shanghang Zhang, Jie Fu, and Zhiyuan Liu. 2023. Chateval: Towards better llm-based evaluators through multi-agent debate. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.07201 (2023).
- [10] Weize Chen, Yusheng Su, Jingwei Zuo, Cheng Yang, Chenfei Yuan, Chi-Min Chan, Heyang Yu, Yaxi Lu, Yi-Hsin Hung, Chen Qian, Yujia Qin, Xin Cong, Ruobing Xie, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Jie Zhou. 2024. AgentVerse: Facilitating Multi-Agent Collaboration and Exploring Emergent Behaviors. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*. https://openreview.net/ forum?id=EHg5GDnvq1
- [11] Stephan A Curiskis, Barry Drake, Thomas R Osborn, and Paul J Kennedy. 2020. An evaluation of document clustering and topic modelling in two online social networks: Twitter and Reddit. *Information Processing & Management* 57, 2 (2020), 102034.
- [12] Shih-Chieh Dai, Aiping Xiong, and Lun-Wei Ku. 2023. LLM-in-the-loop: Leveraging Large Language Model for Thematic Analysis. In *The 2023 Conference* on *Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. https://openreview.net/ forum?id=NuMemgzPYT
- [13] Stefano De Paoli. 2023. Performing an inductive thematic analysis of semistructured interviews with a large language model: an exploration and provocation on the limits of the approach. Social Science Computer Review (2023), 08944393231220483.
- [14] Antoine Dechezleprêtre, Adrien Fabre, Tobias Kruse, Bluebery Planterose, Ana Sanchez Chico, and Stefanie Stantcheva. 2022. Fighting climate change: International attitudes toward climate policies. Technical Report. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- [15] Thomas Dietz. 2020. Political events and public views on climate change. Climatic Change 161, 1 (2020), 1–8.
- [16] Jakub Drápal, Hannes Westermann, Jaromir Savelka, et al. 2023. Using Large Language Models to Support Thematic Analysis in Empirical Legal Studies.. In *JURIX*. 197–206.
- [17] Yilun Du, Shuang Li, Antonio Torralba, Joshua B Tenenbaum, and Igor Mordatch. 2023. Improving factuality and reasoning in language models through multiagent debate. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14325 (2023).
- [18] Linda Finlay. 2021. Thematic analysis:: the 'good', the 'bad' and the 'ugly'. European Journal for Qualitative Research in Psychotherapy 11 (2021), 103–116.
- [19] Norjihan Abdul Ghani, Suraya Hamid, Ibrahim Abaker Targio Hashem, and Ejaz Ahmed. 2019. Social media big data analytics: A survey. *Computers in Human behavior* 101 (2019), 417–428.
- [20] Maarten Grootendorst. 2022. BERTopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based TF-IDF procedure. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05794 (2022).
- [21] Sirui Hong, Mingchen Zhuge, Jonathan Chen, Xiawu Zheng, Yuheng Cheng, Jinlin Wang, Ceyao Zhang, Zili Wang, Steven Ka Shing Yau, Zijuan Lin, Liyang Zhou, Chenyu Ran, Lingfeng Xiao, Chenglin Wu, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 2024. MetaGPT: Meta Programming for A Multi-Agent Collaborative Framework. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*. https: //openreview.net/forum?id=VtmBAGCN7o

[22] Leonie Huddy. 2001. From social to political identity: A critical examination of social identity theory. *Political psychology* 22, 1 (2001), 127–156. 987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

- [23] Huiqiang Jiang, Qianhui Wu, Chin-Yew Lin, Yuqing Yang, and Lili Qiu. 2023. LLMLingua: Compressing Prompts for Accelerated Inference of Large Language Models. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Singapore, 13358–13376. https: //doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.emnlp-main.825
- [24] Huiqiang Jiang, Qianhui Wu, Xufang Luo, Dongsheng Li, Chin-Yew Lin, Yuqing Yang, and Lili Qiu. 2024. LongLLMLingua: Accelerating and Enhancing LLMs in Long Context Scenarios via Prompt Compression. In Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), Lun-Wei Ku, Andre Martins, and Vivek Srikumar (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Bangkok, Thailand, 1658–1677. https://doi.org/10. 18653/v1/2024.acl-long.91
- [25] Awais Hameed Khan, Hiruni Kegalle, Rhea D'Silva, Ned Watt, Daniel Whelan-Shamy, Lida Ghahremanlou, and Liam Magee. 2024. Automating Thematic Analysis: How LLMs Analyse Controversial Topics. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.06919 (2024).
- [26] Mikhail V Koroteev. 2021. BERT: a review of applications in natural language processing and understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.11943 (2021).
- [27] Irene Korstjens and Albine Moser. 2018. Series: Practical guidance to qualitative research. Part 4: Trustworthiness and publishing. European Journal of General Practice 24, 1 (2018), 120–124.
- [28] Grgur Kovač, Rémy Portelas, Peter Ford Dominey, and Pierre-Yves Oudeyer. 2023. The socialai school: Insights from developmental psychology towards artificial socio-cultural agents. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.07871 (2023).
- [29] Helvi Kyngäs, Maria Kääriäinen, and Satu Elo. 2020. The trustworthiness of content analysis. The application of content analysis in nursing science research (2020), 41-48.
- [30] Guohao Li, Hasan Abed Al Kader Hammoud, Hani Itani, Dmitrii Khizbullin, and Bernard Ghanem. 2023. CAMEL: Communicative Agents for "Mind" Exploration of Large Language Model Society. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. https://openreview.net/forum?id=3IyL2XWDkG
- [31] Tian Liang, Zhiwei He, Wenxiang Jiao, Xing Wang, Yan Wang, Rui Wang, Yujiu Yang, Zhaopeng Tu, and Shuming Shi. 2023. Encouraging divergent thinking in large language models through multi-agent debate. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.19118 (2023).
- [32] Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. ROUGE: A Package for Automatic Evaluation of Summaries. In *Text Summarization Branches Out*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Barcelona, Spain, 74–81. https://aclanthology.org/W04-1013
- [33] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. RoBERTa: A Robustly Optimized BERT Pretraining Approach. arXiv:1907.11692 [cs.CL] https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.11692
- [34] H Damon Matthews, Andrew J Weaver, Katrin J Meissner, NP Gillett, and M Eby. 2004. Natural and anthropogenic climate change: incorporating historical land cover change, vegetation dynamics and the global carbon cycle. *Climate Dynamics* 22 (2004), 461–479.
- [35] Mary L McHugh. 2012. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. Biochemia medica 22, 3 (2012), 276–282.
- [36] Leland McInnes, John Healy, Steve Astels, et al. 2017. hdbscan: Hierarchical density based clustering. J. Open Source Softw. 2, 11 (2017), 205.
- [37] Leland McInnes, John Healy, and James Melville. 2018. Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03426 (2018).
- [38] Saul McLeod. 2007. Maslow's hierarchy of needs. Simply psychology 1, 1-18 (2007).
- [39] Federico Neri, Carlo Aliprandi, Federico Capeci, and Montserrat Cuadros. 2012. Sentiment analysis on social media. In 2012 IEEE/ACM international conference on advances in social networks analysis and mining. IEEE, 919–926.
- [40] Lorelli S Nowell, Jill M Norris, Deborah E White, and Nancy J Moules. 2017. Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International journal of qualitative methods* 16, 1 (2017), 1609406917733847.
- [41] Margit E Oswald and Stefan Grosjean. 2004. Confirmation bias. Cognitive illusions: A handbook on fallacies and biases in thinking, judgement and memory 79 (2004), 83.
- [42] Joon Sung Park, Joseph O'Brien, Carrie Jun Cai, Meredith Ringel Morris, Percy Liang, and Michael S Bernstein. 2023. Generative agents: Interactive simulacra of human behavior. In Proceedings of the 36th annual acm symposium on user interface software and technology. 1–22.
- [43] Panu Pihkala. 2020. Anxiety and the ecological crisis: An analysis of eco-anxiety and climate anxiety. *Sustainability* 12, 19 (2020), 7836.
- [44] N Reimers. 2019. Sentence-BERT: Sentence Embeddings using Siamese BERT-Networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10084 (2019).
- [45] Timo Reuter and Philipp Cimiano. 2012. Event-based classification of social media streams. In Proceedings of the 2nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia Retrieval. 1–8.

Anon.

- [46] K Andrew R Richards and Michael A Hemphill. 2018. A practical guide to collaborative qualitative data analysis. *Journal of Teaching in Physical education* 37, 2 (2018), 225–231.
 [47] Lei Tong and Hung Lin. 2011. Leuranging coold media networks for classification
 - [47] Lei Tang and Huan Liu. 2011. Leveraging social media networks for classification. Data mining and knowledge discovery 23 (2011), 447–478.
 - [48] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. 2023. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971 (2023).
- [49] Elsbeth Turcan and Kathleen McKeown. 2019. Dreaddit: A reddit dataset for stress analysis in social media. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.00133 (2019).
 [50] Kyle Whyte, 2017. Indigenous climate change studies: Indigenizing futures.
 - [50] Kyle Whyte. 2017. Indigenous climate change studies: Indigenizing futures, decolonizing the Anthropocene. English Language Notes 55, 1 (2017), 153–162.
- decolonizing the Anthropocene. English Language Notes 55, 1 (2017), 155–162.
 [51] Kyle Whyte. 2020. Too late for indigenous climate justice: Ecological and relational tipping points. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change 11, 1 (2020), e603.
 - [52] Qingyun Wu, Gagan Bansal, Jieyu Zhang, Yiran Wu, Shaokun Zhang, Erkang Zhu, Beibin Li, Li Jiang, Xiaoyun Zhang, and Chi Wang. 2023. Autogen: Enabling next-gen llm applications via multi-agent conversation framework. arXiv preprint

arXiv:2308.08155 (2023).

- [53] Kai Xiong, Xiao Ding, Yixin Cao, Ting Liu, and Bing Qin. 2023. Examining Inter-Consistency of Large Language Models Collaboration: An In-depth Analysis via Debate. In *The 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing.* https://openreview.net/forum?id=XEwQ1fDbDN
- [54] Lin Yue, Weitong Chen, Xue Li, Wanli Zuo, and Minghao Yin. 2019. A survey of sentiment analysis in social media. *Knowledge and Information Systems* 60 (2019), 617–663.
- [55] Jintian Zhang, Xin Xu, Ningyu Zhang, Ruibo Liu, Bryan Hooi, and Shumin Deng. 2023. Exploring Collaboration Mechanisms for LLM Agents: A Social Psychology View. CoRR abs/2310.02124 (2023). https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2310.02124
 [56] Oinlin Zhao, Iindong Wang, Yixuan Zhang, Yiojao Iin, Kajiie Zhu, Hao Chen,
- [56] Qinlin Zhao, Jindong Wang, Yixuan Zhang, Yiqiao Jin, Kaijie Zhu, Hao Chen, and Xing Xie. 2024. CompeteAI: Understanding the Competition Dynamics of Large Language Model-based Agents. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning.
- [57] Xujuan Zhou, Xiaohui Tao, Md Mostafijur Rahman, and Ji Zhang. 2017. Coupling topic modelling in opinion mining for social media analysis. In Proceedings of the international conference on web intelligence. 533–540.

,,