World Models in Action: A Decision-Making Perspective for Evaluating LLM-Based World Models

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

World model emerges as a key module in decision making, where MuZero and Dreamer achieve remarkable successes in complex tasks. Recent work leverages Large Language Models (LLMs) as general world simulators to simulate the dynamics of the world due to their generalizability. LLMs also serve as the world model for deliberative reasoning in Reasoning via Planning (RAP) and Tree of Thought (ToT). However, the world model is either evaluated as a general world simulator, or as a functional mod-011 ule of the agent, i.e., predicting the transitions to assist the planning. In this work, we propose a comprehensive evaluation of the world models with LLMs from the decision making perspective. Specifically, we leverage the 31 diverse environments from (Wang et al., 2023, 017 018 2024) and curate the rule-based policy of each 019 environment for the diverse evaluation. Then, we design three main tasks, i.e., policy verification, action proposal, and policy planning, where the world model is used for decision making solely. Finally, we conduct the comprehensive evaluation of the advanced LLMs, i.e., GPT-40 and GPT-40-mini, on the environ-026 ments for the three main tasks under various settings. The key observations include: i) GPT-027 40 significantly outperforms GPT-40-mini on the three main tasks, especially for the tasks which require the domain knowledge, e.g., scientific tasks, ii) the performance of the world models with LLMs depends predominantly on their performance in key steps, while the total number of steps required for task completion is not a reliable indicator of task difficulty, and iii) the combination of different functionalities of the world model for decision making will bring unstability of the performance and partially obscures the performance gap between the stronger and weaker models.

1 Introduction

043

The remarkable achievements of MuZero (Schrittwieser et al., 2020) and Dreamer (Hafner et al., 2019, 2021, 2023) have established world models (Ha and Schmidhuber, 2018) as a fundamental module in decision-making systems. World models serve as learned simulators that encode rich representations of environment dynamics, enabling agents to predict future states based on their actions. By learning to predict how the world evolves in response to actions, these models enable several key capabilities. Recent advances have expanded the scope of world models beyond traditional reinforcement learning applications. Systems like Genie (Bruce et al., 2024) and Vista (Gao et al., 2024) demonstrate how world models can serve as general-purpose simulators that users can directly interact with. These developments suggest a future where world models might serve as foundational building blocks for artificial general intelligence, providing systems with interactive understanding of how the world works.

044

045

046

047

051

055

058

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

081

Large Language Models (LLMs) achieve remarkable success in enormous natural language tasks in the past five years (Brown et al., 2020; OpenAI, 2023). Several recent works leverage LLMs as the general world models to provide the environment knowledge for various complex tasks, e.g., math and reasoning. With the fine-tuning over pre-collected data from the environments, the LLMs can predict the action sequences across different tasks over environments while maintaining the capabilities on other domains (Xiang et al., 2023). LLMs also serve as the world model explicitly in Reasoning via Planning (RAP) (Hao et al., 2023) and Reason for Future, Act for Now (RAFA) (Liu et al., 2023), where the LLMs predict the next states based on the actions executed at current states, e.g., the states of blocks in the BlocksWorld (Valmeekam et al., 2023), which is used to assist the planning methods. LLMs serve as the world model implicitly in the widely-used Tree of Thoughts (ToT) (Yao et al., 2023), as well as Graph of Thoughts (GoT) (Besta et al., 2024),



Figure 1: Evaluation of World Model with LLM for Decision Making.

where the LLMs need to predict the states and evaluate the thoughts to help the selection of the thoughts to advance the reasoning. Recent work also consider LLMs as world simulators (Wang et al., 2024; Xie et al., 2024), where they evaluate the performance of LLMs on the prediction of next states and the game progress, demonstrating the potentials of LLMs as general world models. We provide a comprehensive review of related works in Appendix B for better understanding.

Most of the previous works evaluate the world models with LLMs either as general world simulators (Wang et al., 2024), or as additional modules of the agents to make decisions (Liu et al., 2023). The comprehensive evaluation of world models from a decision-making perspective has been largely overlooked. This evaluation is crucial for two main reasons. First, general world simulators need to estimate the state transition from any state $s \in S$, while in many environments, only a small portion of the state space will be visited $(S_{\text{visit}} \ll S)$ when computing the optimal policy. For example, AlphaZero finds the super-human policy (Silver et al., 2018) by only exploring a small proportion (less than 1%) of the state space. Therefore, we argue that world models with LLMs should be evaluated in a more decision-oriented perspective, i.e., focusing more on the states relevant to the task at hand (S_{visit}) . Furthermore, the number of states visited by the optimal policy is even smaller (i.e., $S_{\text{opt}} \ll S_{\text{visit}}$). Second, the influence of the world models is usually coupled with the actors who choose the actions, i.e., if the actor cannot pick the correct actions, the task cannot be completed even when the world model is accurate. The coupling of the actors and the world models brings additional difficulties to understand the world models, brings the obstacles for researchers to build better world models for decision making. 120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

Based on the above three key observations, we propose a comprehensive evaluation of world models with LLMs for decision making. Specifically, we leverage 31 diverse environments from (Wang et al., 2023, 2024) with different tasks varying from daily tasks, e.g., washing clothes, to scientific tasks, e.g., forging keys, and curate the rule-based policy for each environment for the evaluation. Then, we design three main tasks: i) **policy verification**: verifying whether the policy can complete the task, ii) action proposal: proposing the top-K actions that can potentially complete the task, and iii) policy planning: finding the policy solely with the combination of the different functionalities, i.e., policy verification and action proposal. Finally, we conduct the comprehensive evaluation of the advanced LLMs, i.e., GPT-40 and GPT-40-mini, on the environments for three tasks under various settings. The key findings include: i) GPT-40 significantly outperforms GPT-40-mini on the three main tasks, especially for the tasks which requires the domain knowledge, e.g., scientific tasks, ii) the performance of the world models with LLMs depends predominantly on their performance in key steps, while the total number of steps required for task completion is not a reliable indicator of task difficulty, and iii) the combination of different functionalities of world models for decision making brings unstability of the performance and partially obscures the performance gap between stronger and weaker models, e.g., GPT-40 and GPT-40-mini.

118

2 **Preliminaries**

155

156

157

158

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

182

183

Markov Decision Process (MDP). A decision making problem is usually represented as a Markov decision process (MDP) (Sutton and Barto, 2018), which is defined by the tuple (S, A, T, R, γ) , where S is the state space, A is the action space, T: $S \times A \rightarrow S$ is the transition dynamics, which specifies the next state s' given the current state sand action $a, R: S \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the reward function, which specifies the agent's reward given the current state s and action a, and γ is the discount factor. The agent's policy is defined by $\pi_{\theta} : S \times A \rightarrow$ [0, 1], parameterized by θ , which takes the state s as the input and outputs the action a to be executed. The objective of the agent is to learn an optimal policy $\pi^* := \arg \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 \right]$ is the expected return and s_0 is the initial state.

Large Language Models (LLMs). Large Lan-172 guage models (LLMs) learn from text data using unsupervised learning. LLMs optimize the 174 joint probabilities of variable-length symbol se-175 quences as the product of conditional probabil-176 ities by $P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(s_i | s_1, ..., s_{i-1})$, where $(s_1, s_2, ..., s_n)$ is the variable-length sequence of 178 symbols. With the billions of parameters and ex-179 tensive training data, the vast amounts of common 180 181 knowledge encoded in LLMs lead to the remarkable generalization across various NLP tasks with simple prompting and in-context learning, without the need for task-specific fine-tuning (Touvron et al., 2023; OpenAI, 2023). Given the generaliz-185 ability, LLMs present a promising foundation for constructing comprehensive world models. 187

World Models. The world model Ω is introduced 188 to predict the dynamics of the environment, thus 189 supporting the decision making process. Specifically, the world model is trained or prompted to 191 predict the next state s', the reward r, and the terminal function d, given the current state s and action 193 a. The world model can be one or multiple neu-194 ral networks specially trained on the environments for the three prediction tasks (Hafner et al., 2019; 196 Schrittwieser et al., 2020), which cannot general-197 ize across different environments. Recent work 198 leverage LLMs to build the general world models, 199 where the prompting (Xie et al., 2024), in-context learning (Wang et al., 2024), retrieval-augmented 201 generation, and even fine-tuning methods (Xiang et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2024) are used to transform the LLMs to the world models. 204

3 **Key Motivating Observations**

In this section, we present the key motivating observations for designing the three main tasks.



Figure 2: Picking the action with higher value. The true value of A and B are 80 and 100, respectively, therefore, B is the correct action. Case 1's prediction 200 is worse than in Case 2's prediction 70 (compared to the true value 80). However, in Case 1 the most valuable action is B, which is also the case in the true value setting. This shows that more accurate predictions (Case 2) do not always lead to correct decisions (Case 1).

Observation 1: Prediction is important, but not that important. An illustrative example is displayed in Figure 2, which indicates that more accurate predictions do not lead to correct decisions.¹ This phenomenon is also observed in other decision making scenarios, e.g., financial trading (Sun et al., 2023). The success of MuZero Unplugged (Schrittwieser et al., 2021) also demonstrate that we can learn good policies from inaccurate world models which are trained only with limited data. This motivates us that the evaluation of the world models for decision making should focus on the predictions which relevant to the desired policy, rather than as general world simulators. Besides, the decision making usually involves multiple steps and the errors of the one-step predictions are accumulated when the number of steps increases. Therefore, the accuracy of the one-step predictions is not adequate for the evaluation of the world model for decision making and novel tasks should be proposed.

Observation 2: Selecting potential actions should be an important feature for world models. Most of the previous works in world model focus on next state and reward prediction, and the action selection is usually completed by the actors, i.e., the model trained for generate a single action for executing. We argue that with more knowledge about the world, the world model may make a better selection of the potential actions. Besides, selecting a set of potential actions, e.g., 10 potential actions,

206

207

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

221

222

223

224

225

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

¹The issue in Figure 2 can be elicited by various methods, e.g., rank prediction. This is just to illustrate the discrepancy between prediction and decision, motivating us to reconsider the evaluation of the world model for decision making.

may significantly reduce the difficulties of the tasks and improve the performance when combing with planning. World models can also be viewed as game engines (Valevski et al., 2024), which have to provide potential actions to guide fresh players to complete tasks, e.g., Red Dead Redemption 2 (Tan et al., 2024). Therefore, action proposal should be considered for evaluation, which can be easily implemented for the world models with LLMs.

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

247

251

256

258

259

261

264

268

270

271

274

275

276

278



Figure 3: An example to show that the critic is not necessary. We can query all the possible action sequences and let the world model to determine whether the action sequence can complete the task.

Observation 3: Planning with world models can find the policies solely. With the prediction of the next states and the action proposal, we can leverage planning methods or search methods to find the policies. It is observed that most state-ofthe-art methods for complex decision-making tasks, e.g., Chess or Go, is based on the planning with an accurate simulator (Silver et al., 2018; Monroe and Chalmers, 2024) or the world model (Schrittwieser et al., 2020). Most works introduce the critic (i.e., the value function) to evaluate the actions immediately for efficient planning (Schrittwieser et al., 2020; Hao et al., 2023). We note that the critic is not necessary for finding policies (as showed in Figure 3) and may also influence the performance. Therefore, we argue that only the planning with the next state prediction and the action proposal is necessary when incorporating the world model in decision making. This focused approach allows for better isolation and evaluation of the world models.

4 World Models with LLMs for Decision Making

In this section, we introduce the world model with LLM for decision making. Specifically, we will introduce the next state prediction, the reward and terminal prediction. Then, we will introduce how the world model will be used to complete the considered three main tasks, i.e., policy verification, action proposal, and policy planning. We provide the relationship between the three tasks and the two kinds of predictions in Figure 4 for better understanding of the rationale behind the three tasks.

The world model considered in this work mainly follows the design in (Wang et al., 2024), where the representation of the states includes the objects in the environments and their properties. The prompts to the LLM, e.g., GPT-40, also include the object rules, the action rules, and the score rules, which provides the necessary knowledge of the environments for the LLM to make accurate predictions. For the next state prediction, we ask the LLM to predict the state changes, i.e., the change of the objects' properties, which is demonstrated to be efficient for the prediction (Wang et al., 2024). With the predicted state changes, we can recover the full state for further predictions. For the reward/terminal prediction, the LLM needs to predict three features: i) gameScore: the reward received from the environment, ii) gameOver: whether the task is terminated, and iii) gameWon: whether the task is successfully completed or not. For the action and object rules used for the prediction, we refer to (Wang et al., 2024) for more details and the code to generate the prompts is also provided in Appendix D.1 for completeness.²



Figure 4: The next state prediction and reward/terminal prediction are considered in (Wang et al., 2024). With the two predictions, we can complete **policy verifica-tion**. We introduce the **action proposal** of the world model and the **policy planning** can be completed with the policy verification and action proposal.

Policy Verification. Motivated by **Observation 1**, we propose policy verification, one of the most straightforward task to evaluate the world models in terms of the multi-step predictions. The basic idea of policy verification is given an action sequence, the world model need to prediction whether the sequence can complete the task or not. The process for the policy verification is displayed in Algorithm 1. Specifically, given the environment env, the action sequence *a* with length *N* to verify and the proportion of the action sequence to verify ρ ,

302

303

279

281

284

285

288

290

291

292

293

294

296

297

299

300

²Due to the space constraints and the extreme length of the prompts, we cannot provide a complete example in the paper. We will release all codes for readers to replicate our results.

Algorithm 1 Policy Verification

1:	Given the env, the action sequence a to verify
	with $N = len(a)$, ρ the proportion of a to
	verify, the world model Ω
2:	$s_0 = env()$
3:	for $t \in \{1, 2,, N-1\}$ do
4:	if $t < \rho \cdot N$ then
5:	$s_{t+1}, r_t, d_t = env(a_t)$
6:	else
7:	$s_{t+1}, r_t, d_t = \Omega(s_t, a_t)$
8:	end if
9:	end for
10:	return r_N, d_N

we will run the game for the first $\rho \cdot N$ steps (Line 5) of Algorithm 1), and leverage the world model to continue the last $(1 - \rho)N$ steps (Line 7 of Algorithm 1). The returned results r_N , d_N will be compared with the true results from the environment to evaluate the performance of the world model.

313

314

316

318

319

323

328

333

334

336

337

338

341

342

344

347

348

Action Proposal. The action proposal is a novel task for world model, based on Observation 2. Basically, we will ask the world model to recommend top-K actions that can potentially complete the task. Specifically, we follow the representation of the state in the next state prediction, with the additional information: i) the examples of actions, and ii) the previous actions. The previous actions can help the LLMs to understand the game progress. The code to LLM for the action proposal is displayed in Appendix D.2. One key issue for the action proposal is that the action generated by the world model may not be valid for the game at the current state. Therefore, given the predicted action a' and the set of possible actions to be executed at the current state A', we leverage the textembedding model (OpenAI, 2022) to query the most similar actions with the cosine similarity, i.e., $a^* = \arg\max\{\mathsf{emb}(a', a), \forall a \in A'\}.$

Policy Planning. The policy planning task is motivated by **Observation 3**, which combines the policy verification and the action proposal (as dis-340 played in Figure 4). The process of policy planning is displayed in Algorithm 2. Specifically, we execute the actions in the given a on the environment for ρN steps (Line 3 in Algorithm 2) and then plan for $2(1-\rho)N$ steps with the world model (Line 6) 345 in Algorithm 2), where both the action to execute and the state transitions are generated by the world model. The returned action sequence a' will be

Igorithm 2 Policy Planning
Given the env, the action sequence a with $N =$
$len(a)$, ρ the proportion of a for planning, the
world model Ω , the planning sequence $a' = []$
for $t \in \{1, 2,, \rho \cdot N\}$ do
$s_{t+1}, r_t = env(a_t), \boldsymbol{a}'.append(a_t)$
for $t \in \{ \rho \cdot N, \cdots, (2-\rho)N \}$ do
$a_t = \Omega(s_t)$
$s_{t+1}, r_t, d_t = \Omega(s_t, a_t), oldsymbol{a}'.append(a_t)$
if d_t then break
end for
end for
return a'

evaluated in the environment to verify the correctness. We note that only top-1 action is generated in Algorithm 2 for illustration. When more actions are generated, we need to enumerate all possible outcomes or leverage advanced search methods, which will be tackled in future work.

349

351

352

353

354

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

368

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

5 **Environments**

Tasks. We leverage the 31 diverse environments from (Wang et al., 2023)³ with different tasks varying from daily tasks, e.g., washing clothes, to scientific tasks, e.g., forging keys. This task suite is more related to the real physical world, including the physical objects, e.g., bulb and bathtub, and the iterations with these physical objects, i.e., turn on the hot tap to improve the temperature of the water in the bathtub. Compared with other widely used environments, such as the grid world, e.g., BabyAI (Chevalier-Boisvert et al., 2019) and the web environments, e.g., MiniWob++ (Shi et al., 2017), this task suite is more relevant to the common knowledge encoded in the LLMs. A full list of the descriptions and the taxonomy of the environments can be found in Appendix C.1.

Rule-based Policies. There are various randomness in the environments, including the specific tasks, e.g., the target color can be "orange", "purple", "green", "black" in the paint task. However, for each task, only a single playthrough is provided in (Wang et al., 2024), which is not enough for a comprehensive evaluation of the world model for decision making. Therefore, we curate the rulebased policy for each environment and verify the

³We note that there are 32 environments in (Wang et al., 2023) and the dish-washing environment is used as the example for the world model, which is excluded for fair evaluation.



Figure 5: The accuracy of the world model to verify the correct policies

correctness for 200 runs. The scripts for the rule-based policies are provided in Appendix C.2, which can help readers to understand the process to complete the tasks, as well as the complexities of tasks. We provide the statics of the number of steps to complete the tasks for 200 runs in Figure 8.⁴

6 Evaluations

395

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

In this section, we present the comprehensive evaluation of the world model for decision making over the diverse 31 environments on the three tasks under various settings. We use the advanced LLMs, i.e., GPT-40 and GPT-40-mini⁵, as the backbone LLM for the world model. We set the temperature of the LLM to be 0 to reduce the variance of the generation and all results are averaged over 30 runs due to the randomness of the environments.

6.1 Task I: Policy Verification

Evaluation Protocol. Given the action sequence a generated by the rule-based policy, we leverage the world model to verify the last ρ proportion of the policy, where $\rho \in \{0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0\}$. We note that when $\rho = 1.0$, the world model will verify the full action sequence with only the initial observation of the environment. We say the verification of the policy is correct if all three features, i.e., gameScore, gameOver, and gameWon, are correct. We note that only correct policies are

verified, as there are enormous wrong policies for an environment, which is useless for decision making. Furthermore, there would also be other action sequences to finish the tasks, where we cannot enumerate all policies to complete the tasks.

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

Evaluation Results. The policy verification results are displayed in Figure $5.^{6}$ We observe that GPT-40 outperform GPT-40-mini in most tasks and especially on the tasks which requires the domain knowledge, e.g., bandage, hang, and campfire. We also observe that with more steps of the verified policies, the performance gap between GPT-40 and GPT-40-mini is increase. With larger proportion of the action sequences to verify, i.e., ρ increase, the accuracy of the verification is decreased, which indicates that the accumulation of the errors in the world model, either on the next state prediction or the reward prediction, will influence the performance of the world model. This observation is consistent to the fact that the LLM may not perform well in long-term decision making tasks. We also observe that more steps to complete the tasks do not necessarily lead to the worse performance, which indicates that the domains of the tasks play a more important role for the policy verification, i.e., for the tasks where the LLM has enough domain knowledge, e.g., conduct, stone, weigh and photo (Wang et al., 2024), the task would be easy even when the number of steps is large. We also provide the accuracy of the three prediction tasks

⁴The names on the figure may differ from (Wang et al., 2023) for plotting. Please refer to Table 1 for correspondence.

⁵Due to the limited budget, we do not take Claude and Gemini into consideration.

⁶Note that the result of the policy verification is either 0 or 1, so the error bar is not plotted.



Figure 6: The accuracy of the world model to generate the potential actions

separately in Appendix E, and we found that both GPT-40 and GPT-40-mini performs worse for predicting gameScore, while performs much better for predicting gameOver and gameWon. This indicates that the value prediction is more difficult for LLMs, which is consistent the observations from other works. During the experiments, both models frequently returned empty dictionaries, suggesting they may fail to properly follow the instructions.

6.2 Task II: Action Proposal

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

Evaluation Protocol. The action proposal requires the world model to generate the top-K potential actions to complete the tasks, where $K \in$ $\{1, 2, 3, 5, 10\}$. Specifically, given the action sequence *a* generated by the rule-based policies, we will let the world model to generate the potential actions with the states along with the path of *a* to complete the task. We say the action proposal is correct if the actions in *a* in the generated actions by the world model. The results of the accuracy are averaged over the steps over the action sequence and 30 runs of each environment. We also note that the action sequence *a* generated by the rule-based policy is not the only sequence to complete the task and we cannot enumerate all possible actions which can lead to the completion of the task. We note that the number of available actions in the environments is usually larger than 500, which brings difficulties to the RL methods for training and indicate the necessity for the world model to generate the potential actions to facilitate the learning. 467

468

Evaluation Results. The action proposal results 469 are displayed in Figure 6. Overall, GPT-40 consis-470 tently outperforms GPT-40-mini across different 471 tasks and different values of K. With the increase 472 of the number of steps to complete the tasks, where 473 more analysis of the previous actions is needed to 474 understand the game progress, GPT-40 maintains 475 the better accuracy, while GPT-4o-mini shows a 476 substantial drop of the accuracy. The performance 477 gap between the two models is generally increased 478 when the number of steps to complete the tasks 479 increase. When K = 10, the accuracy of the ac-480 tion proposal for GPT-40 is very high in most tasks. 481 With approximately 800 possible actions available 482 at each time step, the results demonstrate that GPT-483 40 effectively identifies and selects relevant actions 484 while filtering out irrelevant ones. This capability 485 shows promising potential for successful task com-486 pletion. Furthermore, we still observe that both 487 models obtain lower values in the tasks requiring 488 the domain knowledge, i.e., blood and conduct, 489 which is consistent to the observation in (Wang 490 et al., 2024) that LLMs, e.g., GPT-4, is more likely 491 to make errors when scientific knowledge is needed. 492 We also provide the step accuracy of the action pro-493 posal in Appendix F to illustrate the prediction 494 of the relevant actions along with the steps. We 495



Figure 7: The success rate of the world model to complete the tasks

observe that there are some key steps that has extremely low accuracies, which indicates that the critical steps significantly influences the difficulties of the tasks, rather than the number of steps to complete the tasks. We also observe that both GPT-40 and GPT-40-mini can generate wrong actions even when the action rules are given, especially for the environments where the domain scientific knowledge is needed, e.g., 'space-walk'.

6.3 Task III: Policy Planning

496

497

498

499

503

509

510

512

513

514

515

517

518

519

521

Evaluation Protocol. The policy planning is based on the policy verification and the action proposal, as showed in Algorithm 2. Similar to the policy verification, we let $\rho \in \{0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0\}$ to vary the number of steps for the planning. We only consider the case with K = 1, i.e., the world model only generates the top-1 action with the given states. Finally, we evaluate the planned policy a' in the environment to verify the correctness. We note that when K = 1, no advanced search method is needed, while when K > 1, we cannot enumerate all possible outcomes for larger steps, e.g., 10. Besides, a critic is also needed to choose among the outcomes for verifying in the environments. Therefore, we only consider the case with K = 1 and leave the case K > 1 into future work.

Evaluation Results. The policy planning results are displayed in Figure 7, where GPT-40 and GPT-40-mini achieve comparable performance for the tasks with less steps and smaller values of ρ , e.g., 0.25 and GPT-40 generally achieve better results in tasks with more steps. When the value of ρ increases, the performance is generally decreasing. With the coupling of the policy verification and action proposal, we observe more unstabilities of the performances of models over tasks and settings. This indicates the necessity of decoupling the functionalities of the world model for the evaluation. Similar to the model-based RL (Schrittwieser et al., 2020), where introducing the world model may bring the training unstabilities, we need to be carefully to apply the world models with LLMs to the decision making due to the inherent complexity. During the experiments, we also observe the format errors of the outputs from both models, which may interrupt the running of the experiments. The frequency of these failures depends on the environments, where the 'hang-painting', 'space-walk', and 'make-campfire' are the three environments we experiences most of the failures. Therefore, with the interaction of the different functionalities of the world model, the system is more unstable.

528

529

530

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

7 Conclusions

The evaluation of world models with LLMs for decision making is unsatisfactory. This work evaluates policy verification, action proposal, and policy planning over 31 diverse environments. The key findings include: i) GPT-40 significantly outperforms GPT-40-mini on environments requiring the domain knowledge, ii) key steps is more important than the number of steps, and iii) the combination of different functionalities brings unstabilites. We hope this work can help to build better world models with LLMs for decision making.

560

Limitations

There are several limitations of this work. i) For the policy planning task, we only consider the case 562 with K = 1, i.e., the world models only predict 563 1 potential action. We will tackle the cases with 564 K > 1 in future work by introducing the advanced 566 searching methods, e.g., DFS. ii) The tasks considered in this work is relatively straightforward, other complex tasks for utilizing world models for decision making will considered in future work, e.g., training the actor to select the actions by only in-570 teracting with the world models and planning with 571 the safety constraints. Solving these complex tasks requires more sophisticated combinations of different functionalities of the world models. iii) The number of environments considered in this work is 575 still limited and more diverse environments will be 576 considered in future work, including the web envi-577 ronments (Zhou et al., 2024), the board games (Li et al., 2023), and the street maps of cities (Vafa et al., 2024). By evaluating world models with 580 LLMs across these comprehensive environments and tasks, we believe world models will become fundamental in guiding decision-making processes, 583 particularly in areas of generalization, safety, and ethical considerations. iv) We only consider the 585 world models with different prompts for different 586 587 environments. There are several methods which can be incorporated to improve the world models, including the in-context learning (Agarwal et al., 589 2024), retrieval-augmented generation (Lewis et al., 2020), and fine-tuning (Hu et al., 2022). We will include these advanced methods into the evaluate 592 of the world model in the future work.

Ethics Statement

We confirm that we have fully complied with the ACL Ethics Policy in this study. All the environments are publicly available and have been extensively used in the research.

References

595

597

599

601

606

- Rishabh Agarwal, Avi Singh, Lei M Zhang, Bernd Bohnet, Luis Rosias, Stephanie Chan, Biao Zhang, Ankesh Anand, Zaheer Abbas, Azade Nova, et al. 2024. Many-shot in-context learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.11018*.
- Maciej Besta, Nils Blach, Ales Kubicek, Robert Gerstenberger, Michal Podstawski, Lukas Gianinazzi, Joanna Gajda, Tomasz Lehmann, Hubert Niewiadomski, Piotr Nyczyk, et al. 2024. Graph of thoughts:

Solving elaborate problems with large language models. In *AAAI*, pages 17682–17690.

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

- Tom B Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. In *NeurIPS*, pages 1877–1901.
- Jake Bruce, Michael D Dennis, Ashley Edwards, Jack Parker-Holder, Yuge Shi, Edward Hughes, Matthew Lai, Aditi Mavalankar, Richie Steigerwald, Chris Apps, et al. 2024. Genie: Generative interactive environments. In *ICML*.
- Arunkumar Byravan, Jost Tobias Springenberg, Abbas Abdolmaleki, Roland Hafner, Michael Neunert, Thomas Lampe, Noah Siegel, Nicolas Heess, and Martin Riedmiller. 2020. Imagined value gradients: Model-based policy optimization with transferable latent dynamics models. In *CoRL*, pages 566–589. PMLR.
- Lili Chen, Kevin Lu, Aravind Rajeswaran, Kimin Lee, Aditya Grover, Michael Laskin, Pieter Abbeel, Aravind Srinivas, and Igor Mordatch. 2021. Decision transformer: reinforcement learning via sequence modeling. In *NeurIPS*, pages 15084–15097.
- Maxime Chevalier-Boisvert, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Salem Lahlou, Lucas Willems, Chitwan Saharia, Thien Huu Nguyen, and Yoshua Bengio. 2019. BabyAI: First steps towards grounded language learning with a human in the loop. In *ICLR*.
- Shenyuan Gao, Jiazhi Yang, Li Chen, Kashyap Chitta, Yihang Qiu, Andreas Geiger, Jun Zhang, and Hongyang Li. 2024. Vista: A generalizable driving world model with high fidelity and versatile controllability. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.17398*.
- Nicolas Gontier, Pau Rodriguez, Issam Laradji, David Vazquez, and Christopher Pal. 2023. Language decision transformers with exponential tilt for interactive text environments. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.05507*.
- David Ha and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 2018. World models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.10122*.
- Danijar Hafner, Timothy Lillicrap, Jimmy Ba, and Mohammad Norouzi. 2019. Dream to control: Learning behaviors by latent imagination. In *ICLR*.
- Danijar Hafner, Timothy P Lillicrap, Mohammad Norouzi, and Jimmy Ba. 2021. Mastering Atari with discrete world models. In *ICLR*.
- Danijar Hafner, Jurgis Pasukonis, Jimmy Ba, and Timothy Lillicrap. 2023. Mastering diverse domains through world models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.04104*.
- Jessica B Hamrick, Abram L. Friesen, Feryal Behbahani, Arthur Guez, Fabio Viola, Sims Witherspoon, Thomas Anthony, Lars Holger Buesing, Petar Veličković, and Theophane Weber. 2021. On the

768

714

715

role of planning in model-based deep reinforcement learning. In *ICLR*.
Shibo Hao, Yi Gu, Haodi Ma, Joshua Jiahua Hong, Zhen Wang, Daisy Zhe Wang, and Zhiting Hu. 2023. Reasoning with language model is planning with world model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14992*.
Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. 2022. LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *ICLR*.
Michael Janner, Justin Fu, Maryin Zhang, and

675

679

690

701

704

705

706

707

710

711

712

- Michael Janner, Justin Fu, Marvin Zhang, and Sergey Levine. 2019. When to trust your model: Model-based policy optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.08253*.
- Michael Janner, Qiyang Li, and Sergey Levine. 2021. Offline reinforcement learning as one big sequence modeling problem. In *NeurIPS*.
- Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, et al. 2020. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive NLP tasks. In *NeurIPS*, pages 9459–9474.
- Kenneth Li, Aspen K Hopkins, David Bau, Fernanda Viégas, Hanspeter Pfister, and Martin Wattenberg. 2023. Emergent world representations: Exploring a sequence model trained on a synthetic task. In *ICLR*.
- Jessy Lin, Yuqing Du, Olivia Watkins, Danijar Hafner, Pieter Abbeel, Dan Klein, and Anca Dragan. 2024. Learning to model the world with language. In *ICML*.
- Zhihan Liu, Hao Hu, Shenao Zhang, Hongyi Guo, Shuqi Ke, Boyi Liu, and Zhaoran Wang. 2023. Reason for future, act for now: A principled framework for autonomous llm agents with provable sample efficiency. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17382*.
- Daniel Monroe and Philip A Chalmers. 2024. Mastering chess with a transformer model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12272*.
- OpenAI. 2022. New and improved embedding model.
 - OpenAI. 2023. GPT-4 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774.
 - Alexander Robey, George J Pappas, and Hamed Hassani. 2021. Model-based domain generalization. In *NeurIPS*, pages 20210–20229.
 - Julian Schrittwieser, Ioannis Antonoglou, Thomas Hubert, Karen Simonyan, Laurent Sifre, Simon Schmitt, Arthur Guez, Edward Lockhart, Demis Hassabis, Thore Graepel, et al. 2020. Mastering Atari, Go, chess and shogi by planning with a learned model. *Nature*, 588(7839):604–609.

- Julian Schrittwieser, Thomas Hubert, Amol Mandhane, Mohammadamin Barekatain, Ioannis Antonoglou, and David Silver. 2021. Online and offline reinforcement learning by planning with a learned model. In *NeurIPS*, pages 27580–27591.
- Ramanan Sekar, Oleh Rybkin, Kostas Daniilidis, Pieter Abbeel, Danijar Hafner, and Deepak Pathak. 2020. Planning to explore via self-supervised world models. In *ICML*, pages 8583–8592.
- Tianlin Shi, Andrej Karpathy, Linxi Fan, Jonathan Hernandez, and Percy Liang. 2017. World of bits: An open-domain platform for web-based agents. In *ICML*, pages 3135–3144.
- David Silver, Thomas Hubert, Julian Schrittwieser, Ioannis Antonoglou, Matthew Lai, Arthur Guez, Marc Lanctot, Laurent Sifre, Dharshan Kumaran, Thore Graepel, et al. 2018. A general reinforcement learning algorithm that masters chess, shogi, and Go through self-play. *Science*, 362(6419):1140–1144.
- Shuo Sun, Rundong Wang, and Bo An. 2023. Reinforcement learning for quantitative trading. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology*, 14(3):1–29.
- Richard S Sutton and Andrew G Barto. 2018. *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction.* MIT press.
- Weihao Tan, Wentao Zhang, Xinrun Xu, Haochong Xia, Ziluo Ding, Boyu Li, Bohan Zhou, Junpeng Yue, Jiechuan Jiang, Yewen Li, Ruyi An, Molei Qin, Chuqiao Zong, Longtao Zheng, Yujie Wu, Xiaoqiang Chai, Yifei Bi, Tianbao Xie, Pengjie Gu, Xiyun Li, Ceyao Zhang, Long Tian, Chaojie Wang, Xinrun Wang, Börje F. Karlsson, Bo An, Shuicheng Yan, and Zongqing Lu. 2024. Cradle: Empowering foundation agents towards general computer control. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2403.03186.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. 2023. LLaMA: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*.
- Keyon Vafa, Justin Y. Chen, Ashesh Rambachan, Jon Kleinberg, and Sendhil Mullainathan. 2024. Evaluating the world model implicit in a generative model. In *NeurIPS*.
- Dani Valevski, Yaniv Leviathan, Moab Arar, and Shlomi Fruchter. 2024. Diffusion models are real-time game engines. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.14837*.
- Karthik Valmeekam, Matthew Marquez, Alberto Olmo, Sarath Sreedharan, and Subbarao Kambhampati. 2023. PlanBench: An extensible benchmark for evaluating large language models on planning and reasoning about change. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 38975–38987.

Ruoyao Wang, Graham Todd, Ziang Xiao, Xingdi Yuan, Marc-Alexandre Côté, Peter Clark, and Peter Jansen. 2024. Can language models serve as text-based world simulators? arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06485.

769

770

773

775

776

778

782

783

784

786

788

789

790

791 792

794

804

806

- Ruoyao Wang, Graham Todd, Xingdi Yuan, Ziang Xiao, Marc-Alexandre Côté, and Peter Jansen. 2023. Byte-Sized32: A corpus and challenge task for generating task-specific world models expressed as text games. In *EMNLP*.
- Jiannan Xiang, Tianhua Tao, Yi Gu, Tianmin Shu, Zirui Wang, Zichao Yang, and Zhiting Hu. 2023. Language models meet world models: Embodied experiences enhance language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10626*.
- Kaige Xie, Ian Yang, John Gunerli, and Mark Riedl. 2024. Making large language models into world models with precondition and effect knowledge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12278*.
- Shunyu Yao, Dian Yu, Jeffrey Zhao, Izhak Shafran, Thomas L Griffiths, Yuan Cao, and Karthik Narasimhan. 2023. Tree of thoughts: deliberate problem solving with large language models. In *NeurIPS*, pages 11809–11822.
- Kenny Young, Aditya Ramesh, Louis Kirsch, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 2023. The benefits of model-based generalization in reinforcement learning. In *ICML*, pages 40254–40276.
- Tianhe Yu, Aviral Kumar, Rafael Rafailov, Aravind Rajeswaran, Sergey Levine, and Chelsea Finn. 2021.
 COMBO: conservative offine model-based policy optimization. In *NeurIPS*, pages 28954–28967.
- Tianhe Yu, Garrett Thomas, Lantao Yu, Stefano Ermon, James Zou, Sergey Levine, Chelsea Finn, and Tengyu Ma. 2020. MOPO: Model-based offline policy optimization. In *NeurIPS*, pages 14129–14142.
- Shuyan Zhou, Frank F. Xu, Hao Zhu, Xuhui Zhou, Robert Lo, Abishek Sridhar, Xianyi Cheng, Tianyue Ou, Yonatan Bisk, Daniel Fried, Uri Alon, and Graham Neubig. 2024. Webarena: A realistic web environment for building autonomous agents. In *ICLR*.

09 A Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

A.1 Why World Models and Why World Models with LLMs?

i) Generalization to novel tasks: World models have demonstrated impressive transfer learning abili-811 ties (Byravan et al., 2020), allowing agents to adapt to previously unseen scenarios by leveraging their 812 learned understanding of world dynamics. This generalization capacity is particularly valuable in robotics 813 and control applications where agents must handle diverse situations (Robey et al., 2021; Young et al., 814 2023). ii) Efficient planning: The predictive capabilities of world models enable the sophisticated planning 815 algorithms (Sekar et al., 2020; Hamrick et al., 2021; Schrittwieser et al., 2020). By simulating possible futures, agents can evaluate different action sequences and select optimal strategies without requiring 817 actual interaction with the environment. This "imagination" or "mental simulation" capability dramatically improves sample efficiency and safety in decision-making. iii) Offline learning: World models have proven 819 especially valuable in offline reinforcement learning settings (Schrittwieser et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2020, 2021), where agents must learn from pre-collected datasets without direct environment interaction. The 821 ability to learn accurate dynamics models from historical data has opened new possibilities for training agents in scenarios where online interaction is impractical or costly. 823

A.2 More Justifications of the Proposed Tasks

In this section, we will provide a mode detailed justification of the three tasks proposed in this work.

Policy Verification. Policy verification can be viewed as a generalization of the next state prediction.
 Instead of focusing on the accuracy of the one-step prediction about the next states and the reward/terminal
 prediction, which is considered in most previous works, policy verification may accumulate the multi-step
 predictions and judging whether the given policy can complete the task or not. This task is more relevant
 to the world model for decision making, as if the world model can verify any given policy correctly, with
 the enough number of sampling of the policy, i.e., action sequences, we can complete the task in the end.

Action Proposal. As observing in the ToT (Yao et al., 2023), generating useful thoughts is critical which can significantly improve the performance. However, with multiple thoughts generated, we have to select one to executed. We can test these thoughts in the environments, however, this is not always doable. Therefore, building a world model is the straightforward way to do this. On the other hand, action proposal is necessary for the world model as the game engine to guide the fresh players to complete the game. With increasing the number of recommend actions, the difficulty of the action proposal is decreased. However, this task is not considered in the previous work for the world model. We believe that this task should be an important task to evaluate the world model for decision making.

Policy Planning. Policy planning is a combination of policy verification and action proposal. Conceptually, if the world model performs well on both tasks, we can obtain the policy with world model only and no actor is needed. This can help us to understand the world model through decoupling the world model with any other modules. Besides, this planning task is consistent with the System 2 thinking, i.e., with more time for the planning, the world model may find better policies.

A.3 The Objective of This Paper

840

842

The primary objective of this paper is proposing the new evaluation tasks for the evaluation of the world models with LLMs for decision making.

- Evaluating world model for decision making is difficult, given that the decision making tasks usually involves multiple steps of the predictions. Therefore, the one-step prediction tasks considered in most previous works is not suitable.
- Instead of treating the world models as world simulators or supporting modules for the actors, we identify that the world model can solve the tasks solely with the combination of the policy verification and action proposal. Therefore, the world model should be researched with the same importance.

• World models have traditionally been evaluated through a top-down approach, where complex systems are constructed to complete tasks, constraining analysis to high-level observations. By examining fundamental capabilities like policy verification and action proposal, we propose a bottom-up evaluation that enables more systematic and granular assessment of world models.

A.4 Selection of Backbone LLMs

- We need to evaluate the three novel tasks over 31 environments, and each with 30 runs, we roughly use 3000 dollars for all the experiments for GPT-40 and GPT-40-mini. Due to the limited budget, we cannot afford to test on Claude and Gemini.
- For the open-sourced models, we test on some open-sourced models, e.g., Qwen 7B model, and find that current open-sourced models still cannot generate the responses with correct formats, i.e., JSON. This brings difficulties for the evaluation.

A.5 Comparison with Decision Transformer and Trajectory Transformer

Decision transformer (DT) (Chen et al., 2021) trains the transformer to predict the action conditional on the experiences and the target reward or the goal. Language DT (LDT) (Gontier et al., 2023) extends DT to consider the text-based games and include the state prediction in the training as an auxiliary tasks. However, during the inference, i.e., decision making, the model still generates the action directly, which is not based on the world model because the state prediction is only used for training and not for acting.

Trajectory Transformer (TT) (Janner et al., 2021) also consider the decision making problem as a sequence modeling problem, where the transformer is trained to predict the state, the action and the reward. Compared with DT, TT is more related to the world model, where the state and reward prediction is used to generating actions and the search methods, e.g., beam search, is used. However, only the continuous robot control is considered in TT (Janner et al., 2021) and the trained TT model is domain and problem specific, which cannot generalize to other problems.

Recently, LLMs provide a promising way to build the general world model and the world model with LLMs emerge as a novel research field. However, most of these work focus on the single-step prediction and a comprehensive evaluate is needed. This work is inspired by TT and extends the insights from TT to the world model with LLMs for text-based games. Specifically, we consider the policy verification, the action proposal and the policy planning tasks, where the TT combines these tasks to generate the actions and only investigate the performance for the decision. Instead of only considering the performance of the decision makings, our three tasks provide a bottom-up analysis of the world model for decision making.

A.6 Code and Dataset Availability

We will release all the code and datasets upon the paper acceptance. The anonymous code can be access at: https://anonymous.4open.science/r/marx_world_acl.

B Related Work

World Models in Decision Making. World models are actively explored by researchers to further improve the agent's performance and the sample efficiency (Ha and Schmidhuber, 2018; Janner et al., 2019; Hafner et al., 2019; Schrittwieser et al., 2020). Dreamer (Hafner et al., 2019) is a practical model-890 based reinforcement learning algorithm that introduces the belief over states as a part of the input to 891 the model-free DRL algorithm used. Trajectory Transformer (Janner et al., 2021) trains the transformer to prediction the next state and action as a sequence modeling problem for continuous robot control. 893 MuZero (Schrittwieser et al., 2020) is a remarkable success of model-based RL, which learns the world model and conduct the planning in the latent space. MuZero achieves the superior performances over other 895 model-based and model-free RL methods. The world models trained in these methods are problem-specific and cannot be generalized to other problems, which motivates researchers to seek to more generalizable world models, e.g., LLMs as world models. The world model with LLM in (Xiang et al., 2023) is trained to gain the environment knowledge, while maintaining other capabilities of the LLMs. Dynalang (Lin et al., 2024) proposes the multimodal world model, which unifies the videos and texts for the future 900 prediction in decision making. 901

LLMs as World Simulators. World simulators are developed to model the dynamics of the world (Bruce 902 et al., 2024). LLMs serve as the world simulator due to their generalizability across tasks. Specifically, 903 The LLMs (i.e., GPT-3.5 and GPT-4) is evaluated to predict the state transitions, the game progress 904 and scores with the given object, action, and score rules, where these rules are demonstrated to be 905 crucial to the world model predictions (Wang et al., 2024). The world models with LLMs in (Xie et al., 906 2024) need to additionally identify the valid actions. We move a step further to ask the world model 907 to propose the potential actions to complete the tasks (Observation 2). Both methods mainly focus on 908 the prediction of the state, which may be not suitable for the evaluation of the world model for decision 909 making (Observation 1).

World Models in LLMs. The concept of world model also be explored in the deliberation reasoning 911 of LLMs. Specifically, Reasoning via Planning (RAP) (Hao et al., 2023) leverage the planning methods 912 (e.g., Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS)) with the world model with LLMs for plan generation and math 913 reasoning, where LLMs need to predict the next state and the reward to guide the search. Tree of Thought 914 (ToT) (Yao et al., 2023) implicitly leverage the LLMs as the world model to predict the next state and the 915 reward for the search over different thoughts. Reason for future, act for now (RAFA) (Liu et al., 2023) 916 combine the planning and reflection with the world model for complex reasoning tasks. However, these 917 methods do not focus on the evaluation of the world models, and several interdependent modules are 918 coupled with each other for completing the task (Observation 3). 919

C Environments

C.1 Introduction of Tasks

There are **32** environment in (Wang et al., 2023) and dish-washing is selected as the example in the prompt, which is excluded for fair evaluation. Specifically, the environments can be categorized into two domains:

- **Daily-life tasks**, including use-bandage, hang-painting, sunburn, sweep-floor, bath-tub-watertemperature, make-campfire, refrigerate-food, cooking, take-photo, plant-tree, boil-water, and washclothes. For these tasks, the world model need to have the common knowledge about the procedure of completing these tasks, e.g.. first collecting the dirty clothes, then put them into the washing machine, then use the detergent and turn on the washing machine for the wash-clothes task.
- Scientific tasks, including mix-paint, blood-type, thermometer, clean-energy, lit-lightbulb, scaleweigh, multimeter, volume, space-walk, volume-container, conductivity, volume-stone, bird-lifecycle, balance-scale-weigh, metal-detector, make-ice-cubes, forge-key and inclined-plan. These tasks requires the scientific knowledge to complete the tasks, e.g., the world model need to know that the friction may decrease the speed of a block sliding down of the plane for the inclined-plane. Then, the world model need to generate build a micro-simulation to compare the frictions of the two planes.

C.2 Code for Demo Actions Generation

Only one playthrough of the game is provided in (Wang et al., 2024), which is not enough due to the randomness in the environments. Therefore, we curate the rule-based policy for each environment. Note that due to the randomness of the environments, e.g., the target color in the mix-paint task, the generated action sequences are different for different instantances of the same environment.

Code Sample 1: mix-paint

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    target_color = self.useful_info[0][0]
    paint_names = self.useful_info[1]
    color_dict = {
         # "red": (1, 0, 0),
         "orange": ["red", "yellow"],
         # "yellow": (0, 1, 0),
"green": ["yellow", "blue"],
        # "blue": (0, 0, 1),
"purple": ["red", "blue"],
"black": ["red", "yellow",
                                       "blue"].
    }
    paint_names_to_idx = {}
    for paint_idx, paint_name in enumerate(paint_names):
         paint_names_to_idx[paint_name] = paint_idx
    color_mix_plan = color_dict[target_color]
    demo_actions = []
    to_idx = -1
    for color_idx, color_mix in enumerate(color_mix_plan):
         if color_idx == 0:
             to_idx = paint_names_to_idx[color_mix]
             continue
         demo_actions.append(
              pour {} paint (ID: {}) in cup {} (ID: {})".format(
                  color_mix.
                  2 * (paint_names_to_idx[color_mix] + 1) + 1,
                  to_idx,
                  2 * (to_idx + 1),
             )
         )
    demo_actions.append("mix cup {} (ID: {})".format(to_idx, 2 * (to_idx + 1)))
    return demo_actions
```

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

970

971

972

973 974

Code Sample 2: blood-type

```
977
978
                   def get_demo_actions(self):
979
                        useful_info = self.useful_info[1]
980
981
                        return [
                             "give Type {} {} blood (ID: 3) to patient (ID: 2)".format(
                                  useful_info[0], useful_info[1]
                             ),
                             "take Type {} {} blood (ID: 3)".format(useful_info[0], useful_info[1]),
"give Type {} {} blood (ID: 3) to patient (ID: 2)".format(
985
987
                                  useful_info[0], useful_info[1]
                             ),
                        ]
888
```

Code Sample 3: thermometer

```
991
992
                def get_demo_actions(self):
993
                    demo_actions = [
                         "take thermometer (ID: 4)",
994
                        "use thermometer (ID: 4) on water (ID: 3)",
995
                        "answer {} Celsius degree".format(self.water_temperature),
997
                    ٦
                    return demo_actions
999
```

Code Sample 4: clean-energy

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
1002
                     demo_actions = []
1003
                     change_station = {
                          "sun": "solar farm",
1004
                          "water": "hydroelectric power station",
1005
                         "wind": "wind farm",
1006
1007
                     }
1008
                     for region in self.regions:
                         demo_actions.append(
1009
                              "change {} to {}".format(
1010
                                  region.name, change_station[region.properties["resource"]]
1011
1012
                              )
1013
                         )
1014
                     return demo_actions
1018
```

Code Sample 5: lit-lightbulb

```
1017
1018
                def get_demo_actions(self):
1019
                     return [
                         "connect light bulb (ID: 2) terminal1 to red wire (ID: 3) terminal1",
1021
                         "connect red wire (ID: 3) terminal2 to battery (ID: 6) anode",
                         "connect battery (ID: 6) cathode to black wire (ID: 4) terminal1"
1022
                         "connect black wire (ID: 4) terminal2 to light bulb (ID: 2) terminal2".
1023
                    ]
1834
```

Code Sample 6: scale-weigh

```
1026
1027
                 def get_demo_actions(self):
1028
                     demo_actions = [
                          "take {}".format(self.useful_info[0].name),
1029
                          "put {} on {}".format(self.useful_info[0].name, self.useful_info[1].name
1030
1031
                ),
                          "look",
1032
1033
                          "answer {}g".format(self.target_weight),
1034
                     ]
1035
                     return demo_actions
1039
```

Code Sample 7: use-bandage

<pre>def get_demo_actions(self):</pre>	1039
demo_actions = [1040
"open bandage box (ID: 8)",	1041
"look",	1042
"take bandage (ID: 9)",	1043
]	1044
<pre>return demo_actions + [</pre>	1045
"put bandage (ID: 9) on {} (ID: 3)".format(self.useful_info[0])	1046
]	1043

1049

1051

1052

1053

1054 1055

1056 1057

1058

1059 1060

1063

1081

1099 1100

1101

1102

Code Sample 8: hang-painting

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    demo_actions = [
        "take nail (ID: 7)",
        "take hammer (ID: 6)",
        "hammer nail (ID: 7) on {} with hammer (ID: 6)".format(
            self.target_wall.name
        ),
        "take {}".format(self.target_picture.name),
        "take {}".format(self.target_picture.name),
        "hang {} on nail (ID: 7)".format(self.target_picture.name),
    ]
    return demo_actions
```

Code Sample 9: multimeter

```
1063
1064
def get_demo_actions(self):
                                                                                               1065
    demo_actions = [
         "set multimeter (ID: 2) to resistance mode",
                                                                                               1066
        "connect multimeter (ID: 2) terminal1 to resistor {} (ID: 3) terminal1".
                                                                                               1067
                                                                                               1068
format(
             self.target_resistor_id
                                                                                               1069
                                                                                               1070
                                                                                               1071
         connect multimeter (ID: 2) terminal2 to resistor {} (ID: 3) terminal2".
format(
             self.target_resistor_id
                                                                                               1073
        ),
                                                                                               1074
        "look".
                                                                                               1075
        "answer {} ohm".format(self.target_resistance),
                                                                                               1076
                                                                                               1077
    ]
                                                                                               1078
    return demo_actions
                                                                                               1030
```

Code Sample 10: volume

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
                                                                                             1082
    demo_actions = [
                                                                                            1083
        "take {}".format(self.useful_info[1].name),
                                                                                            1084
        "measure the length of the {} with the {}".format(
                                                                                            1085
            self.useful_info[0].name, self.useful_info[1].name
                                                                                             1086
        ),
                                                                                            1087
        "measure the width of the {} with the {}".format(
                                                                                            1088
            self.useful_info[0].name, self.useful_info[1].name
                                                                                            1089
                                                                                            1090
        ),
        "measure the height of the {} with the {}".format(
                                                                                            1091
            self.useful_info[0].name, self.useful_info[1].name
                                                                                            1092
                                                                                            1093
        "answer {} cubic cm".format(self.target_box_volume),
                                                                                            1094
    ]
                                                                                            1095
                                                                                            1096
    return demo_actions
                                                                                            1093
```

Code Sample 11: sunburn

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    return [
        "use sunscreen (ID: 4)",
```

```
      1103
      "move to beach (ID: 3)",

      1104
      "look",

      1105
      "take ball (ID: 8)",

      1106
      "move to house (ID: 2)",

      1107
      "put ball (ID: 8) in box (ID: 5)",

      1108
      ]
```

Code Sample 12: space-walk

```
1110
                   def get_demo_actions(self):
1112
                        return [
                             "put on space suit (ID: 7)",
1113
                            "open inner door (ID: 5)",
"move to airlock (ID: 3)",
1114
1115
                            "look",
1116
                            "close inner door (ID: 5)",
1117
                            "open outer door (ID: 6)",
1118
                            "move to outer space (ID: 4)",
1119
                       ]
1129
```

Code Sample 13: sweep-floor

```
1122
1123
                 def get_demo_actions(self):
1124
                     sweep_actions = []
                     for garbage in self.useful_info:
1125
1126
                          sweep_actions.append(
1127
                               "sweep {} to dustpan (ID: 3) with broom (ID: 2)".format(garbage.name
1128
                 )
1129
                          )
1130
1131
                     demo_actions = (
                         ["take broom (ID: 2)", "take dustpan (ID: 3)"]
1132
                          + sweep_actions
1133
1134
                          + [
                              "open garbage can (ID: 4)",
1135
                              "dump dustpan (ID: 3) to garbage can (ID: 4)",
1136
1137
                          ]
1138
                     )
1139
                     return demo_actions
1149
```

Code Sample 14: volume-container

```
1142
1143
                def get_demo_actions(self):
1144
                     demo_actions = [
1145
                          take {}".format(self.useful_info[0].name),
                         "put {} in sink (ID: 2)".format(self.useful_info[0].name),
1146
                         "turn on sink (ID: 2)"
1147
                         "turn off sink (ID: 2)",
1148
                         "take {}".format(self.useful_info[0].name),
1149
1150
                         "pour water in {} into {}".format(
1151
                             self.useful_info[0].name, self.useful_info[1].name
1152
                         ),
                         "look",
1153
1154
                         "answer {} mL".format(self.target_water_container_volume),
1155
                     ]
1156
                     return demo_actions
1158
```

Code Sample 15: bath-tub-water-temperature

```
      1159
      def get_demo_actions(self):

      1160
      water_temp = self.useful_info[0]

      1163
      cooling = [

      1164
      "turn on cold tap (ID: 5)",

      1166
      "turn off cold tap (ID: 5)",
```

```
"use thermometer (ID: 6) on water (ID: 3)",
                                                                                           1167
٦
                                                                                           1168
hotting = [
                                                                                           1169
    "turn on hot tap (ID: 4)",
                                                                                           1170
    "turn off hot tap (ID: 4)",
                                                                                           1171
    "use thermometer (ID: 6) on water (ID: 3)",
                                                                                           1172
                                                                                           1173
٦
                                                                                           1174
                                                                                           1175
if water_temp > 40:
    cooling_times = (water_temp - 35) // 5
                                                                                           1176
    water_actions = cooling * cooling_times
                                                                                           1177
                                                                                           1178
elif water_temp < 35:</pre>
                                                                                           1179
    hotting_times = (40 - water_temp) // 5
                                                                                           1180
    water_actions = hotting * hotting_times
                                                                                           1181
                                                                                           1182
else:
    water_actions = []
                                                                                           1183
                                                                                           1184
demo_actions = (
                                                                                           1185
    Ε
                                                                                           1186
        "take thermometer (ID: 6)",
                                                                                           1187
        "use thermometer (ID: 6) on water (ID: 3)",
                                                                                           1188
                                                                                           1189
    ٦
                                                                                           1190
    + water_actions
    + ["bath"]
                                                                                           1191
                                                                                           1192
)
                                                                                           1193
return demo_actions
                                                                                           1195
```

Code Sample 16: conductivity

```
1196
1197
def get_demo_actions(self):
                                                                                                      1198
    demo_actions = [
         "connect light bulb (ID: 2) terminal1 to red wire (ID: 3) terminal1",
                                                                                                     1199
         "connect red wire (ID: 3) terminal2 to battery (ID: 6) anode",
                                                                                                     1200
         "connect battery (ID: 6) cathode to black wire (ID: 4) terminal1",
"connect black wire (ID: 4) terminal2 to fork (ID: 7) terminal1",
                                                                                                      1201
                                                                                                      1202
         "connect fork (ID: 7) terminal2 to blue wire (ID: 5) terminal1",
                                                                                                      1203
         "connect blue wire (ID: 5) terminal2 to light bulb (ID: 2) terminal2",
         "look"
                                                                                                      1205
         "take fork (ID: 7)",
                                                                                                      1206
                                                                                                      1207
    ٦
    if self.useful_info[0]:
                                                                                                      1208
         return demo_actions + ["put fork (ID: 7) in red box (ID: 8)"]
                                                                                                      1209
                                                                                                      1210
                                                                                                     1211
    else:
         return demo_actions + ["put fork (ID: 7) in black box (ID: 9)"]
                                                                                                      1213
```

```
Code Sample 17: make-campfire
```

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    return [
        "take axe (ID: 4)",
        "use axe (ID: 4) on tree (ID: 5)",
        "look",
        "use axe (ID: 4) on chopped down tree (ID: 5)",
        "look",
        "take firewood (ID: 5)",
        "put firewood (ID: 5) in fire pit (ID: 2)",
        "take match (ID: 3)",
        "use match (ID: 3) on firewood (ID: 5)",
]
```

Code Sample 18: refrigerate-food

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    take_objects = []
    put_objects = []
```

```
1233
                          for food in self.useful_info:
                               take_objects.append("take {}".format(food.name))
put_objects.append("put {} in fridge (ID: 2)".format(food.name))
1234
1235
1236
1237
                          demo_actions = (
1238
                               take_objects
                               + ["open fridge (ID: 2)"]
1239
1240
                               + put_objects
1241
                               + [
1242
                                     "close fridge (ID: 2)",
                                    "look",
"look",
1243
1244
                                     "look",
"look",
1245
1246
                               ]
1247
                          )
1248
1258
                          return demo_actions
```

Code Sample 19: volume-stone

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    demo_actions = [
        "take measuring cup (ID: 4)",
        "put measuring cup (ID: 4) in sink (ID: 2)",
        "turn on sink (ID: 2)",
        "turn off sink (ID: 2)",
        "take measuring cup (ID: 4)",
        "examine measuring cup (ID: 4)",
        "take stone (ID: 3)",
        "put stone (ID: 3) in measuring cup (ID: 4)",
        "examine measuring cup (ID: 4)",
        "examine measuring cup (ID: 4)",
        "answer {}".format(self.answer_volume),
    ]
    return demo_actions
```

1251 1252

1253

1254

1255

1256 1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264 1265

1269

Code Sample 20: bird-life-cycle

1269	<pre>def get_demo_actions(self):</pre>	
1270	return [
1271	"sit on egg",	
1272	"sit on egg",	
1273	"sit on egg",	
1274	"sit on egg",	
1275	"sit on egg",	
1276	"feed young bird",	
1277	"feed young bird",	
1278	"feed young bird",	
1279	"feed young bird",	
1280	"feed young bird",	
1282]	

Code Sample 21: balance-scale-weigh

```
1283
1284
                 def get_demo_actions(self):
1285
                     weight_list = [1, 1, 2, 5, 10]
1286
                     weight_list_index = [False] * 5
1287
1288
                     def _find_combination():
1289
                          remaining = self.cube_weight
1290
                          # using 10
                          if remaining >= 10:
1292
1293
                              weight_list_index[-1] = True
1294
                              remaining -= 10
1295
1296
                          # using 5
1297
                          if remaining >= 5:
1298
                              weight_list_index[-2] = True
```

```
remaining -= 5
    # using 2
    if remaining >= 2:
        weight_list_index[-3] = True
        remaining -= 2
    if remaining > 0:
        if remaining == 1:
            weight_list_index[0] = True
        if remaining == 2:
            weight_list_index[0] = True
            weight_list_index[1] = True
_find_combination()
weight_actions = []
for idx, weight_list_idx in enumerate(weight_list_index):
    if weight_list_idx:
        weight_actions += [
             "take {}".format(self.useful_info[idx].name),
            "put {} in right side of the balance scale (ID: 4)".format(
                self.useful_info[idx].name
            ),
"look",
        ]
demo_actions = (
    Г
        "take cube (ID: 10)".
        "put cube (ID: 10) in left side of the balance scale (ID: 3)",
    ٦
    + weight_actions
    + ["answer {}g".format(self.cube_weight)]
)
return demo_actions
```

1299 1300

1301

1302

1303

1304 1305

1306

1307

1308

1309 1310

1311 1312

1313 1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322 1323

1324 1325

1326 1327

1328

1329 1330

1331

1332

1333 1334

```
Code Sample 22: metal-detector
```

```
1337
1338
def get_demo_actions(self):
    agent_init_position = self.useful_info[0]
                                                                                                    1339
    targe_position = self.useful_info[1]
                                                                                                    1340
                                                                                                    1341
    direction = (
                                                                                                    1342
         targe_position[0] - agent_init_position[0],
                                                                                                    1343
         targe_position[1] - agent_init_position[1],
                                                                                                    1344
                                                                                                    1345
    )
    h_dir_list = (
                                                                                                    1346
         ["south"] * direction[0]
                                                                                                    1347
         if direction[0] > 0
                                                                                                    1348
         else ["north"] * (-direction[0])
                                                                                                    1349
                                                                                                    1350
    )
     v_dir_list = (
                                                                                                    1351
         ["east"] * direction[1] if direction[1] > 0 else ["west"] * (-direction
                                                                                                    1352
[1])
                                                                                                    1353
    )
                                                                                                    1354
                                                                                                    1355
    dir_list = h_dir_list + v_dir_list
                                                                                                    1356
    self.random.shuffle(dir_list)
                                                                                                    1357
                                                                                                    1358
                                                                                                    1359
    detect_actions = [
         "detect with metal detector (ID: 15)",
    ٦
                                                                                                    1361
     for dir_step in dir_list:
                                                                                                    1362
         detect_actions.append("move {}".format(dir_step))
detect_actions.append("detect with metal detector (ID: 15)")
                                                                                                    1363
                                                                                                    1364
                                                                                                    1365
    demo_actions = (
                                                                                                    1366
        ["take metal detector (ID: 15)", "take shovel (ID: 16)"]
                                                                                                    1367
```

Code Sample 23: cooking

```
1375
1376
                  def get_demo_actions(self):
1377
                      cooking_actions = [
1378
                      ٦
1379
1380
                      for cooking_item in self.receipt:
1381
                           operations = self.receipt[cooking_item]
1382
                           cooking_actions += ["take {}".format(cooking_item.name)]
1383
                           for operation in operations:
                                if operation in ["slice", "dice", "chop"]:
                                    cooking_actions += [
1385
                                         "{} {} with {}".format(
                                             operation, cooking_item.name, self.useful_info["knife"].
1387
1388
                 name
1389
                                         )
1390
                                    ]
                               if operation in ["fry"]:
1391
1392
                                    cooking_actions += [
                                         "cook {} in {}".format(
1393
                                             cooking_item.name, self.useful_info["stove"].name
1394
1395
                                         )
1396
                                    ]
                               if operation in ["roast"]:
1397
1398
                                    cooking_actions += [
                                         "cook {} in {}".format(
1399
1400
                                             cooking_item.name, self.useful_info["oven"].name
1401
                                         )
1402
                                    ٦
1403
1404
                      demo_actions = (
1405
                           Ε
                                "take {}".format(self.useful_info["cook_book"].name),
1406
                               "read {}".format(self.useful_info["cook_book"].name),
"take {}".format(self.useful_info["knife"].name),
1407
1408
1409
                           ٦
1410
                            cooking_actions
                           +
                           + [
1411
1412
                                "prepare meal",
1413
                           ٦
1414
                      )
1415
                      return demo_actions
1419
```

Code Sample 24: make-ice-cubes

```
1418
1419
                  def get_demo_actions(self):
1420
                       return [
1421
                            "open freezer (ID: 2)",
                            "examine freezer (ID: 2)",
1422
                            "take ice cube tray (ID: 3)",
1423
1424
                            "put ice cube tray (ID: 3) in sink (ID: 4)",
                            "turn on sink (ID: 4)"
1425
                            "turn off sink (ID: 4)",
1426
                            "take ice cube tray (ID: 3)",
1427
                            "put ice cube tray (ID: 3) in freezer (ID: 2)",
"close freezer (ID: 2)",
1428
1429
                            "look"
1430
                            "look",
"look",
1431
                            "look",
1432
                       ]
1433
```

Code Sample 25: balance-scale-heaviest

1435 1436

1437 1438

1439

1440

1441 1442

1443

1444

1445 1446

1447

1448 1449 1450

1451

1452 1453

1454

1455

1456

1457 1458

1459

1460

1461

1462

1463

1464

1465

1466

1467 1468

1469

1470

1471

1472

1473

1474

1475

1476 1477

1478 1479

1480

1481

1482

1483

1484 1485

1486

1487

1488

1489

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494 1495 1496

1497

1498 1499

1500

1501

1502

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    demo actions = \Gamma
        "take {}".format(self.useful_info[0][0].name),
        "put {} in left side of the balance scale (ID: 3)" format(
            self.useful_info[0][0].name
        ),
        "take {}".format(self.useful_info[1][0].name),
        "put {} in right side of the balance scale (ID: 4)".format(
            self.useful info[1][0].name
        "look".
    ]
    if len(self.useful_info) == 2:
        if self.useful_info[0][1] > self.useful_info[1][1]:
            # left is heavier
            demo_actions.append("take {}".format(self.useful_info[0][0].name))
            demo_actions.append(
                 "put {} in {}".format(
                    self.useful_info[0][0].name, self.answer_box.name
                )
            )
            return demo_actions
        elif self.useful_info[0][1] < self.useful_info[1][1]:</pre>
            # right is heavier
            demo_actions.append("take {}".format(self.useful_info[1][0].name))
            demo_actions.append(
                 'put {} in {}".format(
                    self.useful_info[1][0].name, self.answer_box.name
                )
            )
            return demo_actions
        else:
            demo_actions.append("take {}".format(self.useful_info[0][0].name))
            demo_actions.append(
                "put {} in {}".format(
                    self.useful_info[0][0].name, self.answer_box.name
                )
            )
            demo_actions.append("take {}".format(self.useful_info[1][0].name))
            demo_actions.append(
                "put {} in {}".format(
                    self.useful_info[1][0].name, self.answer_box.name
                )
            )
            return demo_actions
    on_scale = [0, 1]
    for i in range(2, len(self.useful_info)):
        if self.useful_info[on_scale[0]][1] > self.useful_info[on_scale[1]][1]:
            demo_actions += [
                "take {}".format(self.useful_info[on_scale[1]][0].name),
                "take {}".format(self.useful_info[i][0].name),
                "put {} in right side of the balance scale (ID: 4)".format(
                    self.useful_info[i][0].name
                ),
"look",
            ٦
            on_scale[1] = i
        else:
            demo_actions += [
                 'take {}".format(self.useful_info[on_scale[0]][0].name),
                "take {}".format(self.useful_info[i][0].name),
                "put {} in left side of the balance scale (ID: 3)".format(
                    self.useful_info[i][0].name
```

```
),
"look",
            ]
            on_scale[0] = i
    max_weight = 0
    if self.useful_info[on_scale[0]][1] > self.useful_info[on_scale[1]][1]:
        demo_actions.append("take {}".format(self.useful_info[on_scale[0]][0].
name))
        demo_actions.append(
             "put {} in {}".format(
                self.useful_info[on_scale[0]][0].name, self.answer_box.name
        )
        max_weight = self.useful_info[on_scale[0]][1]
    else:
        demo_actions.append("take {}".format(self.useful_info[on_scale[1]][0].
name))
        demo_actions.append(
            "put {} in {}".format(
                self.useful_info[on_scale[1]][0].name, self.answer_box.name
        )
        max_weight = self.useful_info[on_scale[1]][1]
    for cube, cube_mass in self.useful_info:
        if cube_mass == max_weight:
            demo_actions += [
                 'take {}".format(cube.name),
                "put {} in {}".format(cube.name, self.answer_box.name),
            ٦
    return demo_actions
```

1504 1505 1506

1507

1508

1509

1510 1511

1512

1513

1514

1515 1516

1517

1518

1519 1520

1521

1522

1523 1524 1525

1526

1527

1529

1530

1531

1533

1534

```
Code Sample 26: take-photo
```

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
1539
                     speed = self.camera.properties["current_shutter_speed"]
1540
                     iso = self.camera.properties["current_iso"]
                     aperture = self.camera.properties["current_aperture"]
1541
1542
                     target_aperture = self.useful_info[0]
1543
1544
                     target_speed = self.useful_info[1]
                     target_iso = self.useful_info[2]
1546
1547
                     adjust_actions = [
1548
                          "focus {}".format(self.target_food.name),
1549
                     ٦
1550
                     if target_aperture > aperture:
1551
                         adjust_actions += ["rotate aperture clockwise"] * (
                             target_aperture - aperture
1553
                         )
                     elif target_aperture < aperture:</pre>
1554
1555
                         adjust_actions += ["rotate aperture anticlockwise"] * (
1556
                              -target_aperture + aperture
1557
                         )
1558
                     else:
1559
                         pass
1560
                     if target_speed > speed:
1561
                         adjust_actions += ["rotate shutter speed clockwise"] * (
1562
                             target_speed - speed
                         )
                     elif target_speed < speed:</pre>
1564
                         adjust_actions += ["rotate shutter speed anticlockwise"] * (
1566
                              -target_speed + speed
1567
                         )
1568
                     else:
1569
                         pass
1570
                     if target_iso > iso:
1571
                         adjust_actions += ["rotate iso clockwise"] * (target_iso - iso)
1572
                     elif target_iso < iso:</pre>
```

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    return [
        "take shovel (ID: 2)",
        "dig with shovel (ID: 2)",
        "take tree (ID: 8)",
        "look",
        "put tree (ID: 8) in hole (ID: 9)",
        "inventory",
        "put soil (ID: 10) in hole (ID: 9)",
        "take jug (ID: 7)",
        "put jug (ID: 7) in sink (ID: 5)",
        "turn on sink (ID: 5)",
        "turn off sink (ID: 5)",
        "take jug (ID: 7)",
        "pour water in jug (ID: 7) into soil (ID: 10)",
]
```

Code Sample 28: boil-water

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    return [
        "take pot (ID: 4)",
        "put pot (ID: 4) in sink (ID: 3)",
        "examine sink (ID: 3)",
        "turn on sink (ID: 3)",
        "turn off sink (ID: 2)",
        "put pot (ID: 4) on stove (ID: 2)",
        "examine stove (ID: 2)",
```

Code Sample 29: forge-key

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    demo_actions = [
        "take copper ingot (ID: 4)",
        "put copper ingot (ID: 4) in foundry (ID: 3)",
        "turn on foundry (ID: 3)",
        "look",
        "
```

1581 1582

1583

1584

1585

1586 1587

1588

1589

1590

1591

1593 1594

1595

1596

1598

1599

1619

1618 1619

1620

1621

1622

1623

1624 1625

1626 1627

1628

1629

1630

1631 1632

1633

1634

1635 1636

Code Sample 30: inclined-plane

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
    look_table = {
        0.5: ["look"] * 5,
1: ["look"] * 5,
        1.5: ["look"] * 5,
        2: ["look"] * 5,
    }
    a1 = self.useful_info[0][0]
    a2 = self.useful_info[0][1]
    a1_look = look_table[a1]
    a2_look = look_table[a2]
    demo_actions = (
        Ε
            "take stopwatch (ID: 5)",
            "take block (ID: 4)",
            "put block (ID: 4) on inclined plane 1 (ID: 2)",
            "activate stopwatch (ID: 5)",
        ]
        + a1_look
        +
          Ε
            "deactivate stopwatch (ID: 5)",
            "examine stopwatch (ID: 5)",
            "reset stopwatch (ID: 5)",
            "take block (ID: 4)",
            "put block (ID: 4) on inclined plane 2 (ID: 3)",
            "activate stopwatch (ID: 5)",
        ]
        + a2_look
        +
          Ε
            "deactivate stopwatch (ID: 5)",
            "examine stopwatch (ID: 5)",
        ٦
    )
    if a1 > a2:
        return demo_actions + ["focus on inclined plane 2 (ID: 3)"]
    else:
        return demo_actions + ["focus on inclined plane 1 (ID: 2)"]
```

1639 1640

1641

1642 1643

1644 1645

1647 1648

1649

1650

1651

1652 1653

1654

1656

1658 1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

1667 1668

1669

1670

1671 1672

1673

1674

1675 1676

1679

1680 1681

1682

1683 1684

1685

1687 1688

1689 1690

1691

1692 1693

1694 1695

1696

1698

1700 1701

1702

1703

1704

1705 1706 Code Sample 31: wash-clothes

```
def get_demo_actions(self):
   washing, drying, busketing = [], [], []
    for cloth in self.dirty_clothes:
        washing += [
            "take {}".format(cloth.name),
            "put {} in washing machine (ID: 2)".format(cloth.name),
        ٦
        drying += [
             'take {}".format(cloth.name),
            "put {} in dryer (ID: 3)".format(cloth.name),
        ٦
        busketing += [
            "take {}".format(cloth.name),
            "put {} in basket (ID: 12)".format(cloth.name),
        ]
    for cloth in self.clean_clothes:
        busketing += [
            "take {}".format(cloth.name),
            "put {} in basket (ID: 12)".format(cloth.name),
        ٦
   demo_actions = (
```

```
Ε
         "open washing machine (ID: 2)",
    ]
    +
      washing
    + [
         "use bottle of detergent (ID: 4) on washing machine (ID: 2)",
         "close washing machine (ID: 2)"
        "turn on washing machine (ID: 2)",
        "wait",
"look",
        "look",
"look",
         "open washing machine (ID: 2)",
         "open dryer (ID: 3)",
    ]
    +
      drying
    +
      Ε
         "close dryer (ID: 3)"
         "turn on dryer (ID: 3)",
         "wait",
"look",
        100K",
"look",
         "open dryer (ID: 3)",
         "take skirt (ID: 5)",
    ]
      busketing
    +
)
return demo_actions
```

C.3 Analysis of Demo Actions

Figure 8 displays the numbers of steps of the generated rule-based policies for environments to complete the tasks. We note that the number of steps may vary due to the randomness in the environments. For example, in mix paint, if the target color is black, 3 steps are needed, and other colors may only require 2 steps.



Figure 8: Steps to complete the tasks

1740

1707

1708

1709

1710

1711

1712

1713

1714

1715 1716

1717

1718

1719 1720

1721

1722

1723

1724

1725 1726

1727

1728

1729

1730

1731

1732 1733

1735

1736

1737

1738

Environments	Task Description
mix-paint (paint)	Your task is to use chemistry to create black paint.
blood-type (blood)	Your task is to give a correct type of blood to the patient.
thermometer (thermo)	Your task is to figure out the temperature of the water in the pot.
clean-energy (energy)	Your task is to change all fossil-fuel power stations to use renewable energy while keeping the same capacity.
lit-lightbulb (bulb)	Your task is to lit the light bulb.
scale-weigh (scale)	Your task is to figure out the weight of the apple.
use-bandage (bandage)	Your task is to put bandages on any cuts.
hang-painting (hang)	Your task is to hang the picture of a girl (ID: 11) on the back wall (ID: 5).
multimeter (multi)	Your task is to figure out the resistance of the resistor 0.
volume (volume)	Your task is to figure out the volume of the green box.
sunburn (sunburn)	It is a summer noon. The sky is clear. Your task is to take a ball from the beach and put it in the box in the house. Protect yourself from sunburn!
space-walk (space)	Your task is to conduct a space walk.
sweep-floor (sweep)	Your task is to clean the garbage on the ground to the garbage can.
volume-container (con- tainer)	Your task is to figure out the volume of the glass.
bath-tub-water- temperature (bathtub)	Your task is to make the temperature of the water in the bath tub to 35 - 40 Celsius degree by adding water from the taps. When you are done, take the action "bath".
conductivity (conduct)	Your task is to figure out if the fork is conductive or not. If the fork is conductive, put it in the red box. Otherwise, put it in the black box.
make-campfire (campfire)	Your task is to make a fire in the fire pit.
refrigerate-food (fridge)	Your task is to prevent the foods from spoiling.
volume-stone (stone)	Your task is to figure out the volume of the stone.
bird-life-cycle (bird)	Your task is to hatch the egg and raise the baby bird.
balance-scale-weigh	Your task is to figure out the weight of the cube. Use the answer action
(weigh)	to give your answer.
metal-detector (metal)	Your task is to find the buried metal case on the beach. You win the game by putting the metal case in your inventory.
cooking (cooking)	Your task is to prepare a meal following the instructions of the cook book.
make-ice-cubes (ice)	Your task is to make ice cubes.
balance-scale-heaviest (heavy)	Your task is to put all heaviest cubes into the box.
take-photo (photo)	Your task is to take a nice picture of orange (ID: 4), using a camera with shutter speed of 1/2, aperture of 16, and iso of 1600.
plant-tree (plant)	Your task is to plant the tree and water it.
boil-water (boil)	Your task is to boil water.
forge-key (key)	Your task is to forge a key to open the door.
	Here are two inclined planes with the same angle. Your task is figure
inclined-plane (plane)	out which of the two inclined planes has the most friction. Focus on the inclined plane with the most friction after your experiment.
wash-clothes (washing)	Your task is to wash the dirty clothes and dry them.

D Prompts for World Model 1741 **D.1** Prompt for Next State and Reward/Terminal Predictions 1742 Code Sample 32: Code for Prompts of Generating Potential Actions. prompt = ("You are a simulator of a text game. Read the task description of a text 1745 game. " 1746 "Given the current game state in JSON, " $% \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{\rm{T}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{\rm{T}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{\rm{T}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \right) = {{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \right) = {{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}}} \right) = {{{\rm{T}}_{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}}} \right) = {{{\rm{T}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}}} \right) = {{{\rm{T}}_{{{{\rm{T}}}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}}} \right) = {{{\rm{T}}}} \left({{{{\rm{T}}}} \right) = {{{\rm{T}}}} \left({{{\rm{T}}}} \right) =$ 1747 "you need to decide the new game state after taking an action including 1748 1749 the game score.\n" 1750 1751 prompt += ("Your response should be in the JSON format. " 1752 "It should have three keys: 'modified', 'removed', and 'score'. " 1753 "The 'modified' key stores a list of all the object states that are 1754 added or changed after taking the action. 1755 "Keep it an empty list if no object is added or modified. " 1756 "The 'removed' key stores a list of uuids of the objects that are 1757 1758 removed. "Keep it an empty list if no object is removed. 1759 "The 'score' key stores a JSON with three keys: " 1760 "'score', 'gameOver', and 'gameWon'. " "'score' stores the current game score, 1761 1762 "'gameOver' stores a bool value on whether the game is over, " 1763 "and 'gameWon' stores a bool value on whether the game is won. $\n"$ 1764) 1765 1766 last_action = "" if len(self.last_actions) == 0 else self.last_actions[-1] 1767 max_UUID = importlib.import_module(self.game_name).UUID 1768 1769 if current_state is None: 1770 current_state = get_state(self.game, last_action, max_UUID, self. game_name) 1771 current_state_for_prompt = make_game_state(current_state) 1772 max_uuid = current_state["max_UUID"] 1773 else: 1774 # print("use the predicted state") 1775 1776 current_state_for_prompt = current_state 1777 1778 max_uuid = len(current_state["game_state"]) 1779 # start adding examples 1780 example_prompt = self.build_examples() 1781 prompt += example_prompt 1782 # end of adding examples 1783 # Task 1784 prompt += "Here is the game that you need to simulate:\n" 1785 prompt += "Task Description:\n" 1786 prompt += f"{self.task_desc}\n" 1787 1788 1789 # load rules obj_desc = preprocess_obj_desc(self.obj_rules[self.game_name]) 1790 action_desc = self.action_rules[self.game_name] 1791 score_desc = self.score_rules[self.game_name] 1792 1793 prompt += "Here are the descriptions of all game objects properties:\n" 1794 prompt += obj_desc.strip() 1795 prompt $+= " \setminus n'$ 1796 prompt += "Here are the descriptions of all game actions:\n" 1797 prompt += action_desc.strip() 1798 prompt += "\n" 1799 prompt += "Here is a description of the game score function:\n" prompt += score_desc.strip() 1801 prompt $+= " \setminus n"$ 1802 1803 # data_state, data_UUID_base, data_action = None, None, None 1804 prompt += "Here is the game state:\n" 1805 prompt += f"{current_state_for_prompt}\n" 1806

1807

prompt += "\n"

1000	
1809	<pre>prompt += f"The current game UUID base is {max_uuid}\n"</pre>
1819	<pre>prompt += f"The action to take is:\n{action}\n"</pre>

Code Sample 33: The Predicted Next State and Reward/Terminal in lit-lightbulb (bulb) environment.

<pre>{'game_state': [{'name': 'room (ID: 1)', 'uuid': 1, 'type': 'World', 'properties': { 'isContainer': True, 'isMoveable': True, 'isOpenable': False, 'isOpen': True, ' containerPrefix': 'in'}, 'contains': ['agent (ID: 0)', 'light bulb (ID: 2)', '</pre>
red wire (ID: 3)', 'black wire (ID: 4)', 'blue wire (ID: 5)', 'battery (ID: 6)'
]}, {'name': 'agent (ID: 0)', 'uuid': 0, 'type': 'Agent', 'properties': {'
<pre>isContainer': True, 'isMoveable': True, 'isOpenable': False, 'isOpen': True, '</pre>
<pre>containerPrefix': 'in'}, 'contains': []}, {'name': 'light bulb (ID: 2)', 'uuid':</pre>
2, 'type': 'LightBulb', 'properties': {'isContainer': False, 'isMoveable': True
, 'is_electrical_object': True, 'conductive': True, 'connects': {'terminal1':
[3, 'terminal1'], 'terminal2': [None, None]}, 'on': False}, 'contains': []}, {' name': 'red wire (ID: 3)', 'uuid': 3, 'type': 'Wire', 'properties': {'
isContainer': False, 'isMoveable': True, 'is_electrical_object': True, '
conductive': True, 'connects': {'terminal1': [2, 'terminal1'], 'terminal2': [
None, None]}, 'is_wire': True}, 'contains': []}, {'name': 'black wire (ID: 4)',
'uuid': 4, 'type': 'Wire', 'properties': {'isContainer': False, 'isMoveable':
True, 'is_electrical_object': True, 'conductive': True, 'connects': {'terminal1'
: (None, None), 'terminal2': (None, None)}, 'is_wire': True}, 'contains': []}, {
'name': 'blue wire (ID: 5)', 'uuid': 5, 'type': 'Wire', 'properties': {'
<pre>isContainer': False, 'isMoveable': True, 'is_electrical_object': True, '</pre>
<pre>conductive': True, 'connects': {'terminal1': (None, None), 'terminal2': (None,</pre>
None)}, 'is_wire': True}, 'contains': []}, {'name': 'battery (ID: 6)', 'uuid':
6, 'type': 'Battery', 'properties': {'isContainer': False, 'isMoveable': True, '
<pre>is_electrical_object': True, 'conductive': True, 'connects': {'cathode': (None, None), 'anode': (None, None)}}, 'contains': []}]}, {'score': 0, 'gameOver':</pre>
False, 'gameWon': False}

D.2 Prompts of Generating Potential Actions.

```
Code Sample 34: Code for Prompts of Generating Potential Actions.
```

```
1840
1841
                        prompt = (
                             "You are a simulator of a text game. "
1842
                             "Read the task description and the descriptions of all game actions of a
1843
1844
                    text game.
                             "Given the current game state in JSON, and the previous actions that
1846
                   lead to the current game state,
                             "you need to decide the most {} actions "
1847
                             "that can help to complete the task step by step at the current state.\n
1848
                   ".format(
1849
1850
                                 k
1851
                             )
                        )
1853
                        prompt += (
1854
                             "Each of your action should in one phrase with one verb and the objects
1855
                   it operates on.
                             "Examples of actions includes:\n"
1856
                             "move south" + ", n"
1857
                             "detect with metal detector (ID: 15)" + ",\n"
1858
                            "dig with shovel (ID: 16)" + ",\n"
"open freezer (ID: 2)" + ",\n"
"put ice cube tray (ID: 3) in sink (ID: 4)" + ",\n"
"dice patato (ID: 2) with knife (ID: 8)" + ",\n"
1859
1860
1861
1862
                             "give Type O negative blood (ID: 3) to patient (ID: 2)" + ",\n"
"read cook book (ID: 7)" + ".\n"
1863
1864
                        )
1866
1867
                        prompt += (
1868
                             'Your response should be in the JSON format. "
                             "It should have one key: 'avail_actions', which includes the list of the
1869
1870
                    recommended actions. n
1871
                       )
1872
```

```
last_action = "" if len(self.last_actions) == 0 else self.last_actions[-1]
                                                                                               1873
    max_UUID = importlib.import_module(self.game_name).UUID
                                                                                               1874
    if current_state is None:
                                                                                               1875
        current_state = get_state(self.game, last_action, max_UUID, self.
                                                                                               1876
                                                                                               1877
game_name)
        current_state_for_prompt = make_game_state(current_state)
                                                                                               1878
        max_uuid = current_state["max_UUID"]
                                                                                               1879
                                                                                               1880
    else:
        # print("use the predicted state")
                                                                                               1881
                                                                                               1882
        current_state_for_prompt = current_state
                                                                                               1883
        max_uuid = len(current_state["game_state"])
                                                                                               1884
                                                                                               1885
                                                                                               1886
        # start adding examples
    # example_prompt = self.build_examples()
                                                                                               1887
    # prompt += example_prompt
                                                                                               1888
    # end of adding examples
                                                                                               1889
    # Task
                                                                                               1890
    prompt += "Here is the game that you need to simulate:\n"
prompt += "Task Description:\n"
                                                                                               1891
                                                                                               1892
    prompt += f"{self.task_desc}\n"
                                                                                               1893
                                                                                               1894
                                                                                               1895
    # load rules
    obj_desc = preprocess_obj_desc(self.obj_rules[self.game_name])
                                                                                               1896
    action_desc = self.action_rules[self.game_name]
                                                                                               1897
    score_desc = self.score_rules[self.game_name]
                                                                                               1898
                                                                                               1899
    prompt += "Here are the descriptions of all game objects properties:\n"
                                                                                               1900
    prompt += obj_desc.strip()
                                                                                               1901
    prompt += " \setminus n"
                                                                                               1902
    prompt += "Here are the descriptions of all game actions:\n"
                                                                                               1903
    prompt += action_desc.strip()
                                                                                               1904
    prompt += " \setminus n"
                                                                                               1905
    prompt += "Here is a description of the game score function:\n"
                                                                                               1906
    prompt += score_desc.strip()
                                                                                               1907
    prompt += "n"
                                                                                               1908
                                                                                               1909
    # data_state, data_UUID_base, data_action = None, None, None
                                                                                               1910
    prompt += "Here is the game state:\n"
                                                                                               1911
    prompt += f"{current_state_for_prompt}\n"
                                                                                               1912
    prompt += " \setminus n"
                                                                                               1913
                                                                                               1914
    prompt += f"The current game UUID base is {max_uuid}\n"
                                                                                               1915
                                                                                               1916
    if len(self.last_actions) == 0:
                                                                                               1917
        prompt += "There is no previous actions."
                                                                                               1918
                                                                                               1919
    else:
        prompt += "The previous actions {}:\n".format(
                                                                                               1920
             "is" if len(self.last_actions) == 1 else "are"
                                                                                               1921
                                                                                               1922
        )
         for action in self.last_actions:
                                                                                               1923
             prompt += action + "n"
                                                                                               1925
```

Code Sample 35: The Generated Actions of make-ice-cubes (ice) environment.

E Accuracy of Policy Verification

We provide the accuracy of the policy verification regarding the three criteria, i.e., score, gameWon and gameOver. We note that the performance on gameWon and gameOver predictions are far better than the prediction of score.



Figure 9: The accuracy of the world model to verify the correct policies on score



Figure 10: The accuracy of the world model to verify the correct policies on gameOver

1934 1935



Figure 11: The accuracy of the world model to verify the correct policies on gameWon

F Step Accuracy of Action Proposal

We also provide the accuracy of each steps for the action proposal tasks. We observe for most of the task, there is some key steps that the world model has low accuracy for the action proposal, which brings difficulties for the world model to complete the tasks.



Figure 12: Step correctness of the action proposal of GPT-4o-mini



Figure 13: Step correctness of the action proposal of GPT-40