# <span id="page-0-1"></span>RETI-DIFF: ILLUMINATION DEGRADATION IMAGE RESTORATION WITH RETINEX-BASED LATENT DIFFUSION MODEL

Chunming He<sup>1,</sup>\*, Chengyu Fang<sup>2,\*,†</sup>, Yulun Zhang<sup>3,†</sup>, Longxiang Tang<sup>2</sup>, Jinfa Huang $^4\,$  , Kai Li $^5\,$ , Zhenhua Guo $^6\,$ , Xiu Li $^2\,$ , and Sina Farsiu $^{1,\dagger}\,$ <sup>1</sup>Duke University, <sup>2</sup>Tsinghua University, <sup>3</sup>Shanghai Jiao Tong University, <sup>4</sup>Peking University, <sup>5</sup>Meta, <sup>6</sup>Tianyi Traffic Technology,

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

Figure 1: Results of Retinex-based cutting-edge image restoration methods, where our Reti-Diff can better highlight details and correct color distortions. The dashed boxes indicate failure cases or artifacts produced by existing methods, which can be properly addressed by our approach.

## ABSTRACT

Illumination degradation image restoration (IDIR) techniques aim to improve the visibility of degraded images and mitigate the adverse effects of deteriorated illumination. Among these algorithms, diffusion-based models (DM) have shown promising performance but are often burdened by heavy computational demands and pixel misalignment issues when predicting the image-level distribution. To tackle these problems, we propose to leverage DM within a compact latent space to generate concise guidance priors and introduce a novel solution called Reti-Diff for the IDIR task. Specifically, Reti-Diff comprises two significant components: the Retinex-based latent DM (RLDM) and the Retinex-guided transformer (RGformer). RLDM is designed to acquire Retinex knowledge, extracting reflectance and illumination priors to facilitate detailed reconstruction and illumination correction. RGformer subsequently utilizes these compact priors to guide the decomposition of image features into their respective reflectance and illumination components. Following this, RGformer further enhances and consolidates these decomposed features, resulting in the production of refined images with consistent content and robustness to handle complex degradation scenarios. Extensive experiments demonstrate that Reti-Diff outperforms existing methods on three IDIR tasks, as well as downstream applications. The source code is available at <https://github.com/ChunmingHe/Reti-Diff>.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Illumination degradation image restoration (IDIR) seeks to enhance the visibility and contrast of degraded images while mitigating the adverse effects of deteriorated illumination, *e.g.*, indefinite noise and variable color deviation. IDIR has been investigated in various domains, including low-light image enhancement [\(Cai et al.,](#page-10-0) [2023\)](#page-10-1), underwater image enhancement [\(Guo et al.,](#page-10-1) 2023), and backlit image enhancement [\(Liang et al.,](#page-12-0) [2023\)](#page-12-0). By addressing illumination degradation, the

<sup>∗</sup>Equal Contribution, † Corresponding Author, Contact: chunming.he@duke.edu.

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 2: Our Reti-Diff achieves a leading place in three IDIR tasks and the low-light object detection task, and outperforms the corresponding cutting-edge techniques on these tasks, where CLIP and Diff-Re are short for CLIP-LIT [\(Liang et al.,](#page-12-0) [2023\)](#page-12-0), Diff-Retinex [\(Yi et al.,](#page-14-0) [2023\)](#page-14-0).

enhanced images are expected to exhibit improved visual quality, making them more suitable for decision-making or subsequent tasks like nighttime object detection and segmentation.

Traditional IDIR approaches [\(Fu et al.,](#page-10-2) [2016a;](#page-10-2) [Ueng & Scharf,](#page-13-0) [1995\)](#page-13-0) primarily rely on manually crafted enhancement techniques with limited generalization capabilities. Leveraging the robust feature extraction capabilities of convolutional neural networks and transformers, a series of deep learning-based methods [\(Cai et al.,](#page-10-0) [2023;](#page-10-0) [Jiang et al.,](#page-11-0) [2021\)](#page-11-0) have been proposed and have achieved remarkable success in the IDIR domain. However, as depicted in Figs. [1](#page-0-0) and [2,](#page-1-0) they still face challenges in complex illumination degradation scenarios due to their constrained restoration capacity.

To overcome this, deep generative models, like generative adversarial networks [\(He et al.,](#page-11-1) [2023a\)](#page-11-1), have gained popularity for their generative abilities. Recently, the diffusion model (DM) [\(Yi et al.,](#page-14-0) [2023\)](#page-14-0) has been introduced to the IDIR field for high-quality image restoration. However, existing DM-based methods, *e.g.*, Diff-Retinex [\(Yi et al.,](#page-14-0) [2023\)](#page-14-0) and GSAD [\(Jinhui et al.,](#page-11-2) [2023\)](#page-11-2), apply DM directly to image-level generation, leading to two main challenges: (1) These methods incur high computational costs, as predicting the image-level distribution requires a large number of inference steps. (2) The enhanced results may exhibit pixel misalignment with the original clean image in terms of restored details and local consistency. For example, as shown in Fig. [1,](#page-0-0) Diff-Retinex fails to recover the car's details in the top row and introduces severe artifacts in the bottom row.

To address the above challenges, we introduce a latent diffusion model (LDM) to solve the IDIR problem. The computational burden is reduced by applying DM in the low-dimensional, compact latent space. In addition, by integrating LDM with transformers, we prevent pixel misalignment in generated images (see Fig. [1\)](#page-0-0), a common issue in deep generative models. Unlike existing LDMbased methods that rely solely on priors extracted from the RGB domain, our method, tailored to the specific characteristics of IDIR tasks, empowers LDMs to extract Retinex information from both the reflectance and illumination domains. This adaptation allows our method to generate highfidelity Retinex priors directly from low-quality input images. The compact priors preserve highquality information while minimizing the impact of degradation. Thus, our method simultaneously enhances image details using the reflectance prior and corrects color distortions with the illumination prior, resulting in visually appealing images with favorable downstream tasks.

With this inspiration, we present Reti-Diff, the first LDM-based solution to tackle the IDIR problem. Reti-Diff, depicted in Fig. [3,](#page-3-0) consists of two primary components: the Retinex-based LDM (RLDM) and the Retinex-guided transformer (RGformer). Initially, RLDM is employed to generate Retinex priors, which are then integrated into RGformer to produce visually appealing results. To ensure the generation of high-quality priors, we propose a two-phase training approach, wherein Reti-Diff undergoes initial pretraining followed by subsequent RLDM optimization. In phase I, we introduce a Retinex prior extraction (RPE) module to compress the ground-truth image into the highly compact Retinex priors, namely the reflectance prior and the illumination prior. These priors are then sent to RGformer to guide feature decomposition and the generation of reflectance and illumination features. Afterward, RGformer employs the Retinex-guided multi-head cross attention (RG-MCA) and dynamic feature aggregation (DFA) module to refine and aggregate the decomposed features, ultimately producing enhanced images with coherent content and ensuring robustness and generalization in extreme degradation scenarios. In phase  $II$ , we train RLDM in reflectance and illumination domains to estimate Retinex priors from the low-quality image, with the constraint of consistency with those extracted by RPE from the ground-truth image. Therefore, the extracted Retinex priors can guide the RGformer in detail enhancement and illumination correction, resulting in visually appealing results with favorable downstream performance.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- We propose a novel DM-based framework, Reti-Diff, for the IDIR task. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first practice of the latent diffusion model to tackle the IDIR problem.
- We propose to let RLDM learn Retinex knowledge and generate high-quality reflectance and illumination priors from the low-quality input, which serve as critical guidance in detail enhancement and illumination correction and can be integrated with various methods.
- We propose RGformer, which integrates extracted Retinex priors to decompose features into reflectance and illumination components. Subsequently, RG-MCA and DFA are employed to refine and aggregate these decomposed features, ensuring robustness and generalization in complex illumination degradation scenarios.
- Extensive experiments on four IDIR tasks verify our superiority, efficiency, and generalizability to existing methods in terms of image quality and favorability in downstream applications, including low-light object detection and image segmentation.

## 2 RELATED WORK

Illumination Degradation Image Restoration. Early IDIR methods mainly include three approaches: histogram equalization (HE) [\(Cheng & Shi,](#page-10-3) [2004\)](#page-10-3), gamma correction (GC) [\(Huang et al.,](#page-11-3) [2012\)](#page-11-3), and Retinex theory [\(Land,](#page-12-1) [1977\)](#page-12-1). HE-based and GC-based methods focused on directly amplifying the low contrast regions but ignore illumination factors. Retinex-based variants ( $Fu$  et al., [2016b;](#page-10-4) [Li et al.,](#page-12-2) [2018\)](#page-12-2) proposed priors to constrain the solution space for reflectance and illumination maps. However, these methods still rely on hand-crafted priors, limiting their generalization ability. With the development of deep learning, methods based on CNNs and transformers [\(Peng](#page-13-1) [et al.,](#page-13-1) [2025;](#page-13-1) [He et al.,](#page-11-1) [2023a;](#page-11-1) [Jin et al.,](#page-11-4) [2022;](#page-11-4) [2023;](#page-11-5) [Pu et al.,](#page-13-2) [2024;](#page-13-2) [Li et al.,](#page-12-3) [2020\)](#page-12-3) have succeeded in IDIR. For instance, DIE [\(Wang et al.,](#page-13-3) [2019\)](#page-13-3) integrated Retinex cues into a learning-based structure, presenting a one-stage Retinex-based solution for color correction. To enhance generative capacity, Diff-Retinex [\(Yi et al.,](#page-14-0) [2023\)](#page-11-2) and GSAD [\(Jinhui et al.,](#page-11-2) 2023) introduced DM to the IDIR field by directly applying it to image-level generation. However, they entail significant computational costs and may lead to pixel misalignment with the original input, particularly concerning restored image details and local consistency.

Diffusion Models. Diffusion models (DMs) have verified great success in density estimation [\(Kingma et al.,](#page-12-4) [2021;](#page-12-4) [Lin et al.,](#page-12-5) [2024\)](#page-12-5) and data generation [\(He et al.,](#page-11-6) [2024a;](#page-11-6) [Zhu et al.,](#page-14-1) [2024b;](#page-14-1)[a\)](#page-14-2). Such a probabilistic generative model adopts a parameterized Markov chain to optimize the lower variational bound on the likelihood function, enabling them to generate target distributions with greater accuracy. Recently, DMs have been introduced to solve the IDIR problem [\(Yi et al.,](#page-14-0) [2023;](#page-14-0) [Jinhui et al.,](#page-11-2) [2023;](#page-11-2) [Jin et al.,](#page-11-7) [2024a;](#page-11-7)[b;](#page-11-8) [He et al.,](#page-11-9) [2023b\)](#page-11-9). However, when directly applied to imagelevel generation, these methods bring computational burdens and pixel misalignment. To overcome this, we employ LDM to estimate priors within a low-dimensional latent space and then integrate these priors into the transformer-based framework, addressing the above problems. Besides, unlike existing LDM-based methods [\(Xia et al.,](#page-13-4) [2023;](#page-13-4) [Chen et al.,](#page-10-5) [2023\)](#page-10-5) that solely rely on priors extracted from the RGB domain, our method, tailored to the IDIR task, empowers LDMs to extract Retinex information from both the reflectance and illumination domains. This adaptation allows our method to generate high-fidelity compact Retinex priors directly from low-quality input images but avoid the impact of degradation. By doing so, this novel approach enables us to simultaneously enhance image details using the reflectance prior and correct color distortions with the illumination prior, resulting in visually appealing results with favorable downstream tasks.

## 3 METHODOLOGY

In this paper, we propose Reti-Diff, the pioneering method based on Latent Diffusion Models (LDM) for IDIR tasks. Reti-Diff is specifically tailored to address the challenges inherent in IDIR tasks by leveraging high-quality Retinex priors extracted from both the illumination and reflectance domains to guide the restoration process. This innovative approach utilizes the extracted Retinex prior representation as dynamic modulation parameters, facilitating simultaneous enhancement of restoration details through the reflectance prior and correction of color distortion via the illumination prior. This ensures the generation of visually compelling results while positively impacting downstream tasks.

<span id="page-3-1"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 3: Framework of Reti-Diff. In Phase I, we pretrain Reti-Diff with RGformer and RPE to ensure the robust learning of RLDM and then optimize RLDM to generate high-quality Retinex priors in Phase II, which guide RGformer in detail enhancement and illumination correction. In (a), we omit the auxiliary decoder  $D_a(\cdot)$  for simplicity. In panel (c), we illustrate the use of RLDM to extract the reflectance prior; the illumination prior can be extracted similarly. Zoom in for clarity.

As shown in Fig. [3,](#page-3-0) our Reti-Diff comprises two parts: the Retinex-guided transformer (RGformer) and the Retinex-based latent diffusion model (RLDM). To ensure the generation of high-quality priors, Reti-Diff undergoes a two-phase training strategy, involving the initial pretraining of Reti-Diff and the subsequent optimization of RLDM. In this section, we provide an in-depth explanation of the two-phase training approach and elucidate the entire restoration process.

#### 3.1 PRETRAIN RETI-DIFF

We first pretrain Reti-Diff to encode the ground truth image into compact priors with Retinex prior extraction (RPE) module and use the extracted Retinex priors to guide RGformer for restoration.

**Retinex prior extraction module.** Given the low-quality (LQ) image  $I_{LQ} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$  and its corresponding ground truth  $I_{GT} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ , we initially decompose them into the reflectance image  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$  and the illumination map  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W}$  according to Retinex theory:

$$
\mathbf{I}_{LQ} = \mathbf{R}_{LQ} \odot \mathbf{L}_{LQ}, \mathbf{I}_{GT} = \mathbf{R}_{GT} \odot \mathbf{L}_{GT},\tag{1}
$$

where ⊙ is Hadamard product. Following URetinex [\(Wu et al.,](#page-13-5) [2022\)](#page-13-5), we use a pretrained decomposing network  $D(\cdot)$  to decompose  $I_{LQ}$  and  $I_{GT}$ , comprising three Conv+LeakyReLU layers and a Conv+ReLU layer. Then we concatenate the corresponding components of ground truth and LQ image and use the RPE module RPE( $\cdot$ ) to encode them into Retinex priors  $\mathbf{Z_R} \in \mathbb{R}^{3C'}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z_L} \in \mathbb{R}^{C'}$ :

 $\mathbf{Z_R} = \text{RPE}(\text{down}(\text{conca}(\mathbf{R}_{GT}, \mathbf{R}_{LQ}))), \ \mathbf{Z_L} = \text{RPE}(\text{down}(\text{conca}(\mathbf{L}_{GT}, \mathbf{L}_{LQ}))),$  (2) where conca( $\cdot$ ) denotes concatenation and down( $\cdot$ ) represents downsampling that is operated by PixelUnshuffle. The Retinex priors,  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_L$ , are then fed into RGformer to serve as dynamic modulation parameters for detail restoration and color correction.

Retinex-guided transformer. RGformer mainly consists of two parts in each block, *i.e.*, Retinexguided multi-head cross attention (RG-MCA) and dynamic feature aggregation (DFA) module. In RG-MCA, we first split the input feature  $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{H} \times \tilde{W} \times \tilde{C}}$  into two parts  $\mathbf{F}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{H} \times \tilde{W} \times (3\tilde{C}/4)}$ and  $\mathbf{F}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{H} \times \tilde{W} \times (\tilde{C}/4)}$  along the channel dimension. Afterwards, we integrated  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_L$  as the corresponding dynamic modulation parameters to generate reflectance-guided feature  $\mathbf{F_R} \in$  $\mathbb{R}^{\tilde{H} \times \tilde{W} \times (\tilde{S}\tilde{C}/4)}$  and illumination-guided feature  $\mathbf{F_L} \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{H} \times \tilde{W} \times (\tilde{C}/4)}$ :

 $\mathbf{F_R} = \text{Li}_1(\mathbf{Z_R}) \odot \text{Norm}(\mathbf{F}_1) + \text{Li}_2(\mathbf{Z_R}), \ \ \mathbf{F_L} = \text{Li}_1(\mathbf{Z_L}) \odot \text{Norm}(\mathbf{F}_2) + \text{Li}_2(\mathbf{Z_L}),$  (3) where Norm( $\cdot$ ) is layer normalization. Li $(\cdot)$  means linear layer. Afterward, we aggregate global spatial information by projecting  $\mathbf{F_R}$  into query  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{W}_Q \mathbf{F_R}$  and key  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{W}_K \mathbf{F_L}$  and transforming  $\mathbf{F}_L$  into value  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{W}_V \mathbf{F}_L$ , where W is the combination of a 1  $\times$  1 point-wise convolution and a <span id="page-4-2"></span> $3 \times 3$  depth-wise convolution. We then perform cross-attention and get the output feature  $\hat{F}$ :

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{F} + \text{SoftMax}\left(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T / \sqrt{\tilde{C}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{V}.
$$
 (4)

By doing so, RG-MCA introduces explicit guidance to fully exploit Retinex knowledge at the feature level and use cross attention mechanism to implicitly model the Retinex theory and refine the decomposed features, which helps to restore missing details and correct color distortion.

Then we employ DFA for local feature aggregation. Apart from the  $1 \times 1$  Conv and  $3 \times 3$  depthwise Conv for information fusion, DFA adopts GELU, termed GELU(•), to ensure the flexibility of aggregation [\(He et al.,](#page-11-10) [2023c\)](#page-11-10). Thus, given  $\mathbf{\bar{F}}$  and Z, where  $\mathbf{Z} = \text{conc}(Z_{\mathbf{R}}, Z_{\mathbf{L}})$ , the output  $\mathbf{\bar{F}}$  is

$$
\hat{\mathbf{F}} = \tilde{\mathbf{F}} + \text{GELU}(\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{F}') \odot \mathbf{W}_2 \mathbf{F}', \ \ \mathbf{F}' = \text{Li}_1(\mathbf{Z}) \odot \text{Norm}(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}) + \text{Li}_2(\mathbf{Z}). \tag{5}
$$

**Optimization.** Having gotten the enhanced result  $I_{HQ}$ , we propose a reconstruction loss with  $L_1$ norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  to jointly train RPE and RGformer, which can facilitate the extraction of Retinex priors:

$$
L_{Rec} = \|\mathbf{I}_{GT} - \mathbf{I}_{HQ}\|_1. \tag{6}
$$

To ensure that the separated features within RG-MCA capture reflectance and illumination knowledge, we use an auxiliary decoder  $D_a(\cdot)$  with the same structure as that in [\(Locatello et al.,](#page-12-6) [2020\)](#page-12-6).  $D_a(\cdot)$  takes F as input and outputs the reconstructed reflectance image  $\mathbf{R}_{Re}$  and illumination map  $\mathbf{L}_{Re}$ . For efficiency, we only apply  $D_a(\cdot)$  for the first transformer block in encoder to get  $\mathbf{R}_{Re}^I$  and  ${\bf L}_{Re}^I$  and for the last block in decoder to get  ${\bf R}_{Re}^L$  and  ${\bf L}_{Re}^L$ .  $D_a(\cdot)$  is supervised by a Retinex loss:

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
L_R = \|\mathbf{R}_{LQ} - \mathbf{R}_{Re}^I\|_1 + \|\mathbf{L}_{LQ} - \mathbf{L}_{Re}^I\|_1 + \|\mathbf{R}_{GT} - \mathbf{R}_{Re}^L\|_1 + \|\mathbf{L}_{GT} - \mathbf{L}_{Re}^L\|_1.
$$
 (7)

By constraining the input and output ports, Eq. [\(7\)](#page-4-0) ensures the preservation of essential Retinex information throughout the network. This integration not only facilitates the incorporation of Retinex theory into the split features but also enhances the overall restoration capability.

In Phase I, the final loss 
$$
L_{P1}
$$
 is formulated with the assistance of a hyperparameter  $\lambda_1 (\lambda_1 = 1)$ :  
\n
$$
L_{P1} = L_{Rec} + \lambda_1 L_R.
$$
\n(8)

#### 3.2 RETINEX-BASED LATENT DIFFUSION MODEL

In Phase II, we train the RLDM to predict Retinex priors from the low-quality input, which are expected to be consistent with that extracted by RPE from the ground-truth image. Unlike conventional LDMs trained on the RGB domain, we introduce two RLDMs with a Siamese structure and train them on distinct domains: the reflectance domain and the illumination domain. This approach, grounded in Retinex theory, equips our RLDM to generate a more generative reflectance prior  $Z_R$ to enhance image details, and a more harmonized illumination prior  $\mathbf{Z}_{L}$  for color correction. The compact priors retain high-quality information while effectively mitigating the effects of degradation. Note that RLDM is constructed upon the conditional denoising diffusion probabilistic models, with both a forward diffusion process and a reverse denoising process. To simplify, we provide a detailed derivation for  $Z_R$  herein, while that of  $Z_L$  can be found in the appendix.

Diffusion process. In the diffusion process, we first use the pretrained RPE to extract the reflectance prior  $\bf Z_R$ , which is treated as the starting point of the forward Markov process, *i.e.*,  $\bf Z_R = \bf Z_R^0$ . We then gradually add Gaussian noise to  $\mathbf{Z}_R$  by T iterations and each iteration can be defined as:

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
q\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t}|\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t-1}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t};\sqrt{1-\beta^{t}}\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t-1},\beta^{t}\mathbf{I}\right),\tag{9}
$$

where  $t = 1, \dots, T$ .  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t}$  denotes the noisy prior at time step t,  $\beta^{t}$  is the predefined factor that controls the noise variance, and  $N$  is the Gaussian distribution. Following [\(Kingma & Welling,](#page-12-7) [2013\)](#page-12-7), we define  $\alpha^t = 1 - \beta^t$  and  $\bar{\alpha}^t = \prod_{i=1}^t \alpha^i$ , allowing us to simplify Eq. [\(9\)](#page-4-1) as follows:

$$
q\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t}|\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{0}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t};\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}^{t}}\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{0},(1-\bar{\alpha}^{t})\mathbf{I}\right).
$$
\n(10)

Reverse process. In the reverse process, RLDM aims to extract the reflectance prior from pure Gaussian noise. Thus, RLDM samples a Gaussian random noise map  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^T$  and then gradually denoise it to run backward from  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^T$  to  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^0$  with the corresponding mean  $\mu^t$  and variance  $\sigma^t$ :

$$
p(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t-1}|\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^t,\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^0) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t-1};\boldsymbol{\mu}^t(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^t,\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^0),(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^t)^2\mathbf{I}\right),
$$
\n(11)

<span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>

Table 1: Results on the LLIE task. The best two results are in red and blue fonts, respectively.

where  $\mu^t(\mathbf{Z}_\mathbf{R}^t, \mathbf{Z}_\mathbf{R}^0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$  $\frac{1}{\alpha^t}({\bf Z}_{{\bf R}}^t-\frac{1-\alpha^t}{\sqrt{1-\bar\alpha^t}}{\boldsymbol\epsilon})$  and  $({\bm\sigma}^t)^2=\frac{1-\bar\alpha^{t-1}}{1-\bar\alpha^t}$  $\frac{-\bar{\alpha}^{t-1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}^t}\beta^t$ .  $\epsilon$  is the noise in  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^t$  and we employ a denoising network  $\epsilon_{\theta}(\cdot)$  to estimate  $\theta$ . To operate in the latent space, we further introduce another RPE module  $\widetilde{\text{RPE}}(\cdot)$  to extract the conditional reflectance vector  $V_{\text{R}} \in \mathbb{R}^{3C'}$  from the reflectance image  $\mathbf{R}_{LQ}$  of the LQ image, *i.e.*,  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{R}} = \text{RPE}(\text{down}(\mathbf{R}_{LQ}))$ . Therefore, the denoising network can be represented by  $\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t}, t)$ . By setting the variance to  $1 - \alpha$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^t}} (\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^t - \frac{1 - \alpha^t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}^t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}}^t, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{R}}^t, t)) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^t,
$$
(12)

where  $\epsilon^t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ . By using Eq. [\(12\)](#page-5-0) for T iterations, we can get the predicted prior  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_R$  and use it to guide RGformer for image restoration. Because the size of the predicted prior  $\hat{Z}_R \in \mathbb{R}^{3C'}$ is much smaller than the original reflectance image  $\mathbf{R}_{LQ} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times \tilde{C}}$ , RLDM needs much less iterations than those image-level diffusion models [\(Yi et al.,](#page-14-0)  $2023$ ). Thus, we can run the complete T iterations for the prior generation rather than randomly selecting one time step.

**Optimization.** We propose the diffusion loss to restrict the predicted priors  $\mathbb{Z}_R$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_L$ , generated by two RLDMs with specific weights, to be consistent with those extracted from the ground truth:

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
L_{Dif} = \|\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{R}} - \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\mathbf{R}}\|_{1} + \|\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}} - \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\mathbf{L}}\|_{1}.
$$
 (13)

For restoration quality, we propose joint training RPE, RGformer, and RLDM with the Phase II loss:

$$
L_{P2} = L_{Diff} + \lambda_2 L_{Rec} + \lambda_3 L_R, \tag{14}
$$

where  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  are two hyper-parameters and are set as 1 in this paper. The constraints imposed by  $L_R$  and  $L_{Dif}$ , combined with our approach to extracting Retinex priors in a compact space, ensure the generation of high-quality priors that significantly reduce interference from degraded inputs.

#### 3.3 INFERENCE

In the inference phase, given the LQ input  $I_{L,Q}$ , Reti-Diff first uses RPE to extract the conditional vectors  $V_R$  and  $V_L$ , and then generates predicted Retinex priors  $Z_R$  and  $Z_L$  with two RLDMs. Under the guidance of the Retinex priors, RGformer generates the restored HQ image  $I_{HQ}$ . Benefiting from our Retinex-based diffusion framework,  $I_{HQ}$  enjoys richer texture details and more harmonized illumination, presenting visual-appealing results and further enhancing downstream tasks.

#### 4 EXPERIMENT

#### 4.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Our Reti-Diff is implemented in PyTorch on four RTX4090 GPUs and is optimized by Adam with momentum terms  $(0.9, 0.999)$ . In phases I and II, we train the network for 300K iterations and the learning rate is initialized as  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  and gradually reduced to  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  with the cosine annealing [\(Loshchilov,](#page-12-10) [2016\)](#page-12-10). Random rotation and flips are used for augmentation. Reti-Diff comprises RLDM and RGformer. For RLDM, the channel number  $C'$  and the total time step  $T$  are set as 64 and 4.  $\beta^{1:T}$  linearly increase from  $\beta^1 = 0.1$  to  $\beta^T = 0.99$ . RGformer adopts a 4-level cascade structure. We set the number of transformer blocks, the attention heads, the channel number as  $[3, 3, 3, 3]$ ,  $[1, 2, 4, 8]$ ,  $[64, 128, 256, 512]$  from level 1 to 4. We abandon GT-mean for fairness.

<span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>





Input Uretinex SNR-Net Retformer CLIP-LIT MambaIR Ours Ground Truth Figure 6: Visual results on the backlit image enhancement (BIE) task.

#### 4.2 COMPARATIVE EVALUATION

Low-light Image Enhancement. We conduct experiments on four datasets: *LOL-v1* [\(Wei et al.,](#page-13-10) [2018\)](#page-13-10), *LOL-v2-real* [\(Yang et al.,](#page-13-11) [2021\)](#page-13-11), *LOL-v2-syn* [\(Yang et al.,](#page-13-11) [2021\)](#page-13-11), and *SID* [\(Chen et al.,](#page-10-7) [2019\)](#page-10-7), and involves four metrics: PSNR, SSIM, FID [\(Heusel et al.,](#page-11-12) [2017\)](#page-11-12), and BIQE (Moorthy  $\&$  Bovik, [2010\)](#page-13-12). Larger PSNR and SSIM, as well as smaller FID and BIQE, denote superior results. Ad-hering to the training manner in [\(Cai et al.,](#page-10-0) [2023\)](#page-10-0), we compare our method against 17 cutting-edge techniques and report the results in Table [1.](#page-5-1) As depicted in Table [1,](#page-5-1) our method emerges as the top performer across all datasets, surpassing the second-best method (Diff-Retinex) by 13.2%, underscoring our superiority. Fig. [4](#page-6-0) presents qualitative results, showcasing our capacity to generate restored images with corrected illumination and enhanced texture, even in extremely challenging

ficiency of the diffusion model-based methods with Table 2: Efficiency analysis in diffusion the size of  $256 \times 256$ . As shown in Table [2,](#page-6-1) our Reti- model-based methods. conditions. In contrast, existing methods struggle to address these challenges, such as the boundaries of power lines, color distribution of lakes, and textures of wooded areas. Besides, we also compare the ef-

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

Diff has the lowest MACs, highest FPS, and the second smallest parameters. This efficiency can be attributed to our utilization of the diffusion model within a low-dimensional compact latent space. For fairness, results from the compared methods are generated by their provided models.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

Figure 7: Visual results on real-world fundus images, where we employ the pre-trained model from the *LOL-v1* dataset for inference. Our Reti-Diff presents enhanced details with less color distortion.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

| Methods  | Sources            |                   |                                  | <b>UIEB</b>     |  | <b>LSUI</b>     |            |                 |                   |                 | Methods                        |   |                    | Sources            | <b>BAID</b>       |   |   |       |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---|---|-------|
|  |                    | PSNR <sup>+</sup> | SSIM $\uparrow$ UCIQE $\uparrow$ |                 | UIOM $\uparrow$ PSNR $\uparrow$ SSIM $\uparrow$ UCIOE $\uparrow$ UIOM $\uparrow$ |                 |            |                 |                   |                 |                                |   |                    |                    | PSNR <sup>+</sup> |   | SSIM $\uparrow$ LPIPS $\downarrow$ FID $\downarrow$ |       |
| FUGAN (Islam et al., 2020)   | IRAL20             | 17.41             | 0.842                            | 0.527           | 2.614  | 22.16           | 0.837      |                 | 0.576             | 2.667           |                                | EnGAN (Jiang et al., 2021)                      |                    | TIP21              | 17.96             | 0.819   | 0.182   | 43.55 |
| EnGAN (Jiang et al., 2021)   | TIP21              | 17.73             | 0.833                            | 0.529           | 2.465  | 19.30           | 0.851      |                 | 0.587             | 2.817           |                                | RUAS (Liu et al., 2021)                         |                    | CVPR <sub>21</sub> | 18.92             | 0.813   | 0.262   | 40.07 |
| Ucolor (Li et al., 2021)   | TIP21              | 20.78             | 0.868                            | 0.537           | 3.049  | 22.91           | 0.886      |                 | 0.594             | 2.735           |                                | URetinex (Wu et al., 2022)                      |                    | CVPR22             | 19.08             | 0.845   | 0.206   | 42.26 |
| S-uwnet (Naik et al., 2021)  | AAAI21             | 18.28             | 0.855                            | 0.544           | 2.942  | 20.89           | 0.875      |                 | 0.582             | 2.746           |                                | CVPR <sub>22</sub><br>SNR-Net (Xu et al., 2022) |                    |                    | 20.86             | 0.860   | 0.213   | 39.73 |
| PUIE (Fu et al., 2022)   | ECCV22             | 21.38             | 0.882                            | 0.566           | 3.021  | 23.70           | 0.902      |                 | 0.605             | 2.974           |                                | CVPR22<br>Restormer (Zamir et al., 2022)        |                    |                    | 21.07             | 0.832   | 0.192   | 41.17 |
| U-shape (Peng et al., 2023)  | TIP23              | 22.91             | 0.905                            | 0.592           | 2.896  | 24.16           | 0.917      |                 | 0.603             | 3.022           |                                | Retformer (Cai et al., 2023)                    |                    | ICCV23             | 22.03             | 0.862   | 0.173   | 45.27 |
| PUGAN (Cong et al., 2023)  | TIP23              | 23.05             | 0.897                            | 0.608           | 2.902  | 25.06           | 0.916      |                 | 0.629             | 3.106           | CLIP-LIT (Liang et al., 2023)  |   | ICCV23             | 21.13              | 0.853             | 0.159   | 37.30   |       |
| ADP (Zhou et al., 2023b)   | $UCV23$            | 22.90             | 0.892                            | 0.621           | 3.005  | 24.28           | 0.913      |                 | 0.626             | 3.075           | Diff-Retinex (Yi et al., 2023) |   | ICCV23             | 22.07              | 0.861             | 0.160   | 38.07   |       |
| NU2Net (Guo et al., 2023)  | AAAI23             | 22.38             | 0.903                            | 0.587           | 2.936  | 25.07           | 0.908      |                 | 0.615             | 3.112           | DiffIR (Xia et al., 2023)      |   |                    | ICCV23             | 21.10             | 0.835   | 0.175   | 40.35 |
| AST (Zhou et al., 2024)  | CVPR <sub>24</sub> | 22.19             | 0.908                            | 0.602           | 2.981  | 27.46           | 0.916      |                 | 0.632             | 3.107           | AST (Zhou et al., 2024)        |   | CVPR <sub>24</sub> | 22.61              | 0.851             | 0.156   | 32.47   |       |
| MambaIR (Guo et al., 2024) ECCV24                                      |                    | 22.60             | 0.939                            | 0.617           | 2.991  | 27.68           | 0.916      |                 | 0.630             | 3.118           |                                | MambaIR (Guo et al., 2024)                      |                    | ECCV24             | 23.07             | 0.874   | 0.153   | 29.13 |
| Reti-Diff  | <b>Ours</b>        | 24.12             | 0.910                            | 0.631           | 3.088  | 28.10           | 0.929      |                 | 0.646             | 3.208           | Reti-Diff                      |   |                    | Ours               | 23.19             | 0.876   | 0.147   | 27.47 |
| Table 4: Results on the BIE task.<br>Table 3: Results on the UIE task. |                    |                   |                                  |                 |  |                 |            |                 |                   |                 |                                |   |                    |                    |                   |   |   |       |
| Methods  | Sources            |                   | <b>DICM</b>                      |                 | <b>LIME</b>  |                 | <b>MEF</b> |                 | NPF.              |                 | VV                             | Datasets  |                    |                    | $L-v2-r$          |   | $L-v2-s$  |       |
|  |                    | $PI \downarrow$   | NIOE $\downarrow$                | $PI \downarrow$ | $NIOE$ $\downarrow$ $\mid$   | $PI \downarrow$ | NIOE       | $PI \downarrow$ | $NIOE \downarrow$ | $PI \downarrow$ | NIOE $\downarrow$              | Metrics   |                    |                    |                   | PSNR $\uparrow$ SSIM $\uparrow$ PSNR $\uparrow$ SSIM $\uparrow$ |   |       |
| EnGAN (Jiang et al., 2021)   | <b>TIP21</b>       | 4.173             | 4.064                            | 3.669           | 4.593  | 4.015           | 4.705      | 3.226           | 3.993             | 3.386           | 4.047                          |   | w/o RLDM           |                    | 21.25             | 0.822   | 25.38   | 0.918 |
| $KinD++ (Zhang et al., 2021b)$   | HCV21              | 3.835             | 3.898                            | 3.785           | 4.908  | 4.016           | 4.557      | 3.179           | 3.915             | 3.773           | 3.822                          | <b>RLDM</b>                                     | w/o DM             |                    | 21.72             | 0.830   | 25.83   | 0.927 |
| $SNR-Net(Xu et al., 2022)$   | CVPR <sub>22</sub> | 3.585             | 4.715                            | 3.753           | 5.937  | 3.677           | 6.449      | 3.278           | 6.446             | 3.503           | 9.506                          |   |                    |                    |                   |   |   |       |
| DCC-Net (Zhang et al., 2022)   | CVPR22             | 3.630             | 3.709                            | 3.312           | 4.425  | 3.424           | 4.598      | 2.878           | 3.706             | 3.615           | 3.286                          |   | $w$ / $\alpha$ DFA |                    | 22.26             | 0.840   | 26.49   | 0.925 |
| UHDFor (Li et al., 2023)   | ICLR23             | 3.684             | 4.575                            | 4.124           | 4.430  | 3.813           | 4.231      | 3.135           | 3.867             | 3.319           | 4.330                          | RGformer w/o RG-MCA                             |                    |                    | 21.73             | 0.840   | 25.92   | 0.913 |
| PairLIE (Fu et al., 2023)  | CVPR23             | 3.685             | 4.034                            | 3.387           | 4.587  | 4.133           | 4.065      | 3.726           | 4.187             | 3.334           | 3.574                          |   | w/o $D_a(\cdot)$   |                    | 22.58             | 0.847   | 26.80   | 0.944 |
| GDP (Fei et al., 2023)   | CVPR <sub>23</sub> | 3.552             | 4.358                            | 4.115           | 4.891  | 3.694           | 4.609      | 3.097           | 4.032             | 3.431           | 4.683                          | Train   | w/o joint          |                    | 22.83             | 0.853   | 27.18   | 0.947 |
| GSAD (Jinhui et al., 2023)   | NIPS <sub>23</sub> |                   | 3.465                            | -               | 4.517  |                 | 3.815      | -               | 3.806             | -               | 3.355                          |   |                    |                    |                   |   |   |       |
| Reti-Diff  | Ours               | 2.351             | 3.255                            | 2.837           | 3.693  | 3.308           | 3.792      | 2.599           | 3.384             | 3.341           | 3.000                          |   | Reti-Diff (Ours)   |                    | 22.97             | 0.858   | 27.53   | 0.951 |

Table 5: Results on the real-world IDIR task.

Table 6: Break down ablation.

Underwater Image Enhancement. We select two widely-used underwater image enhancement datasets: *UIEB* [\(Li et al.,](#page-12-13) [2019\)](#page-12-13) and *LSUI* [\(Peng et al.,](#page-13-14) [2023\)](#page-13-14). Following [\(Guo et al.,](#page-10-1) [2023\)](#page-10-1), we employ two metrics tailored for underwater images, namely UCIQE (Yang  $\&$  Sowmya, [2015\)](#page-13-15) and UIQM [\(Panetta et al.,](#page-13-16) [2015\)](#page-13-16). In all cases, higher values indicate better performance. The results are presented in Table [3.](#page-7-0) As shown in Table [3,](#page-7-0) our method achieves the highest performance and outperforms the second-best method (MambaIR) by 2.30%. A qualitative analysis is presented in Fig. [5,](#page-6-0) illustrating our capacity to correct underwater color aberrations and highlight texture details.

Backlit Image Enhancement. Following CLIP-LIT [\(Liang et al.,](#page-12-0) [2023\)](#page-12-0), we select the *BAID* [\(Lv](#page-12-14) [et al.,](#page-12-14) [2022\)](#page-12-14) dataset for network training. Apart from PSNR and SSIM, our evaluation also selects two perception metrics: LPIPS [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-14-11) [2018\)](#page-14-11) and FID [\(Heusel et al.,](#page-11-12) [2017\)](#page-11-12), where lower values denote better performance. We report our results in Table [4.](#page-7-0) As demonstrated in Table [4,](#page-7-0) our method outperforms all other methods across all metrics. Besides, a visual comparison in Fig. [6](#page-6-0) provides additional evidence of our superiority in detail reconstruction and color correction.

Real-world Illumination Degradation Image Restoration. We also explore our applicability in real-world IDIR tasks. Following CIDNet [\(Feng et al.,](#page-10-12) [2024\)](#page-10-12), we selected five commonly-used realworld datasets, *i.e.*, *DICM* [\(Lee et al.,](#page-12-15) [2013\)](#page-12-15), *LIME* [\(Guo et al.,](#page-11-14) [2016\)](#page-11-14), *MEF* [\(Wang et al.,](#page-13-17) [2013\)](#page-13-17), *NPE* [\(Ma et al.,](#page-12-16) [2015\)](#page-12-16), and *VV* [\(He et al.,](#page-11-15) [2024b\)](#page-11-15), with only low-quality images available. Therefore, akin to [\(Feng et al.,](#page-10-12) [2024\)](#page-10-12), we leverage the model pretrained on *LOL-v2-syn* for inference and select PI [\(Blau et al.,](#page-10-13) [2018\)](#page-10-13) and NIQE [\(Mittal et al.,](#page-13-18) [2012\)](#page-13-18) as evaluation metrics, where lower scores indicate better results. As presented in Table [5,](#page-7-0) our method achieves optimal results and surpasses the second-based method (DCC-Net [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-14-10) [2022\)](#page-14-10)) by 13.39%.

<span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>

Ground Truth w/ Illumination  $\mathbb{Z}_L$  w/ Retinex (Ours) Input Ours Swap  $\mathbb{Z}_R$ (a) Break down ablation in Retinex priors. Input Ours Swap **Z<sup>R</sup>** Swap **Z<sup>L</sup>** Ground Truth (b) Swap our Retinex priors with that extracted from GT. Figure 8: Visual validation of the effectiveness of Retinex priors.



Table 7: Effect of Retinex priors in all data and two extreme conditions (each with 10 images).

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

| Datasets <sup>1</sup> | Metrics                            | Ufo  | Ufo+RLDM                   | Res  | $Res+RLDM$              | Ret  | Ret+RLDM                | 28.   |   | $0.95 -$  |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| $L-v2-r$              | <b>PSNR</b><br><b>SSIM</b><br>Gain | 18.82<br>0.771<br>$\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | 21.37<br>0.794<br>8.27%    | 19.94<br>0.827<br>$\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | 21.56<br>0.837<br>4.67% | 22.80<br>0.840<br>$\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | 23.16<br>0.849<br>1.33% | $26 -$<br>$\frac{\alpha}{2}$ 24.<br>S<br>o. |   | $0.94 -$<br>$\geq$ 0.93<br>တ<br>$0.92 -$                                |
| $L-v2-s$              | <b>PSNR</b><br><b>SSIM</b><br>Gain | 19.66<br>0.871<br>$\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | 22.08<br>0.889<br>$7.19\%$ | 21.41<br>0.830<br>-                        | 24.15<br>0.862<br>8.33% | 25.67<br>0.930<br>$\overline{\phantom{a}}$ | 26.81<br>0.942<br>2.87% | $22 +$<br>$20 +$                            | 25 30<br>$20 -$<br>15<br>Number of iterations | 0.91<br>$0.90 -$<br>$20^{\circ}$<br>25 30<br>15<br>Number of iterations |

Table 8: Generalization of Retinex priors. "Ufo", "Res", Figure 9: Ablation study of the number and "Ret" are Uformer, Restormer, and Retformer. of iterations in RLDM on *LOL-v2-syn*.

#### 4.3 ABLATION STUDY

Effect of RLDM. As shown in Tables [6](#page-7-0) and [7,](#page-8-0) we ablate RLDM by directly removing RLDM, replacing the diffusion model with a linear model that shares the same structure with the denoising network (w/o DM), or retraining RLDM in the RGB domain, *i.e.*, w/o Z, rather than in the reflectance and illumination domain (RGformer is guided by one RGB prior instead). The three changes bring significant performance drops, underscoring the critical role of RLDM in enhancing the restoration process and the importance of using the diffusion model to extract compact priors.

**Effect of RGformer.** We analyze the impact of our RGformer by removing key modules, such as DFA, RG-MCA, and the auxiliary decoder  $D_a(\cdot)$ . As shown in Table [6,](#page-7-0) the outcomes indicate performance decreases when these modules are removed, highlighting their essential roles. Additionally, we also conduct an evaluation to affirm the significance of joint training in our method.

Effect of Retinex priors. We explore the effect of our Retinex prior from three aspects: (1) We conduct the break down ablation for the Retinex priors and report the results in Fig. [8](#page-8-0) and Table [7.](#page-8-0) These findings demonstrate the effect of our Reflectance prior  $Z_R$  in detail enhancement and our Illumination prior  $Z_L$  in illumination correction. (2) We then swap our Retinex priors with those extracted from ground truth. As shown in Fig. [8](#page-8-0) and Table [7,](#page-8-0) the results guided by the swapped ground-truth priors exhibit limited performance gains. This indicates our RLDM can already generate high-quality priors, which is attributed to the constraints in Eqs. [7](#page-4-0) and [13](#page-5-2) and our approach to extracting Retinex priors in a compact space, significantly reducing interference from degraded inputs. (3) We further explore the potential of Retinex priors under extreme conditions where the reflectance or illumination priors exhibit high similarity between low-quality and ground-truth images. To validate this, five human subjects rated the similarity of the Retinex priors between low-quality and ground-truth images. Two sets of images, each with 10 images, were selected based on the highest similarity in reflectance and illumination priors. The results in Table [7](#page-8-0) verify the effect of our Retinex priors even in this condition. This is attributed to the generative capacity of our RLDM and the information aggregation capacity of our RGformer.

Generalization of Retinex priors. To assess our generalizability, we incorporate our RLDM into existing cutting-edge methods, namely Ufo (Uformer [\(Wang et al.,](#page-13-6) [2022\)](#page-13-6)), Res (Restormer [\(Zamir](#page-14-4) [et al.,](#page-14-4) [2022\)](#page-14-4)) and Ret (Retformer [\(Cai et al.,](#page-10-0) [2023\)](#page-10-0)), and use the extracted Retinex priors to guide these methods for image enhancement, where the training settings are kept consistent with Reti-Diff. The results are shown in Table [8.](#page-8-1) Table [8](#page-8-1) reveals that RLDM significantly improves the performance of all frameworks, indicating the strong generalization capabilities of our Retinex priors.

<span id="page-9-2"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>

| Methods  |      | $L-v1$ $L-v2-r$ $L-v2-s$ SID Mean |      |      |      | Methods (AP) Bicycle Boat Bottle Bus Car Cat Chair Cup Dog Motor People Table Mean |              |              |              |                        |                 |   |        |                        |              |   |              |              |
|--|------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|---|--------|------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|
| KinD   | 2.31 | 2.25                              | 2.46 | 2.33 | 2.34 | <b>Baseline</b>  | 74.7         | 64.9         | 70.7         |                        |                 | 84.2 79.7 47.3 58.6 67.1 64.1           |        |                        | 66.2         | 73.9  | 45.7         | 66.4         |
| EnGAN  | 2.63 | 1.69                              | 2.23 | 1.24 | 1.95 | RetinexNet   | 72.8         | 66.4         | 67.3         |                        |                 | 87.5 80.6 52.8 60.0 67.8 68.5           |        |                        | 69.3         | 71.3  | 46.2         | 67.5         |
| <b>RUAS</b>  | 3.57 | 3.06                              | 3.01 | 2.23 | 2.97 | KinD   | 73.2         | 671          | 64.6         |                        |                 | 86.8 79.5 58.7 63.4 67.5 67.4           |        |                        | 62.3         | 75.5  | 51.4         | 68.1         |
| Restormer  | 3.26 | 3.32                              | 3.41 | 2.53 | 3.13 | <b>MIRNet</b><br><b>RUAS</b>   | 74.9<br>75.7 | 69.7<br>71.2 | 68.3<br>73.5 |                        |                 | 89.7 77.6 57.8 56.9<br>90.780.159.367.0 |        | 66.4 69.7<br>66.3 68.3 | 64.6<br>66.9 | 74.6<br>72.6  | 53.4<br>50.6 | 68.6<br>70.2 |
| Uretinex   | 3.82 | 3.98                              | 3.70 | 3.28 | 3.70 | Restormer  | 77.0         | 71.0         |              |                        |                 | 68.8 91.6 77.1 62.5 57.3 68.0 69.6      |        |                        | 69.2         | 74.6  | 49.7         | 69.7         |
| SNR-Net  | 3.76 | 4.12                              | 3.58 | 3.42 | 3.72 | SCI  | 73.4         | 68.0         | 69.5         |                        |                 | 86.2 74.5 63.1 59.5 61.0 67.3           |        |                        | 63.9         | 73.2  | 47.3         | 67.2         |
| <b>CUE</b>   | 3.62 | 3.81                              | 3.28 | 3.09 | 3.45 | SNR-Net  | 78.3         |              | 74.2 74.5    |                        |                 | 89.6 82.7 66.8 66.3 62.5 74.7           |        |                        | 63.1         | 73.3  | 57.2         | 71.9         |
| Retformer  | 3.35 | 4.02                              | 3.71 | 3.35 | 3.61 | Retformer  | 78.1         | 74.5         |              |                        |                 | 74.2 91.2 82.2 65.0 63.3 67.0 75.4      |        |                        | 68.6         | 75.3  | 55.6         | 72.5         |
| Ours   | 4.05 | 4.33                              | 3.92 | 3.75 | 4.01 | <b>Ours</b>  | 82.0         | 77.9         |              |                        |                 | 76.4 92.2 83.3 69.6 67.4 74.4 75.5      |        |                        | 74.3         | 78.3  | 57.9         | 75.8         |
| Table 9: User study.<br>Table 10: Low-light image detection on <i>ExDark</i> . |      |                                   |      |      |      |  |              |              |              |                        |                 |   |        |                        |              |   |              |              |
|  |      |                                   |      |      |      | Methods (IoU) Bicycle Boat Bottle Bus Car Cat Chair Dog Horse People Mean          |              |              |              |                        | Methods         |   | COD10K |                        |              |   | NC4K         |              |
| Baseline   |      | 43.5<br>36.3                      |      |      |      | 48.6 70.5 67.3 46.6 11.2 42.4 56.7   |              | 57.8         | 48.1         |                        |                 |   |        |                        |              | $M \downarrow F_{\beta} \uparrow E_{\phi} \uparrow S_{\alpha} \uparrow   M \downarrow F_{\beta} \uparrow E_{\phi} \uparrow S_{\alpha} \uparrow  $ |              |              |
| RetinexNet   |      | 48.6<br>41.7                      | 51.7 |      |      | 77.6 68.3 52.7 15.8 46.3   | 60.2         | 62.3         | 52.5         |                        | <b>Baseline</b> |   |        |                        |              | 0.050 0.625 0.812 0.756 0.071 0.733 0.816 0.763   |              |              |
| KinD   |      | 51.3                              | 40.2 |      |      | 53.2 76.8 69.4 50.8 14.6 47.3  | 60.3         | 60.9         | 52.5         |                        |                 |   |        |                        |              | RetinexNet 0.041 0.667 0.845 0.789 0.055 0.750 0.842 0.819  |              |              |
| <b>MIRNet</b>  |      | 42.9<br>50.3                      |      |      |      | 47.4 73.6 62.7 50.4 15.8 46.3  | 61.0         | 63.3         | 51.4         | $\operatorname{Kin}$ D | <b>MIRNet</b>   |   |        |                        |              | 0.039 0.673 0.849 0.792 0.052 0.762 0.875 0.822   |              |              |
| <b>RUAS</b>  |      | 53.0                              | 37.3 |      |      | 50.4 71.3 72.3 47.6 15.9 50.8 63.6   |              | 60.8         | 52.3         | <b>RUAS</b>            |                 |   |        |                        |              | 0.037 0.697 0.857 0.799 0.049 0.802 0.888 0.833<br>0.036 0.705 0.861 0.803 0.051 0.795 0.883 0.827  |              |              |
| Restormer  |      | 53.8<br>43.8                      |      |      |      | 51.4 68.7 66.8 52.6 21.6 54.8 59.8   |              | 63.3         | 53.7         |                        | Restormer       |   |        |                        |              | 0.036 0.700 0.859 0.800 0.050 0.792 0.880 0.830   |              |              |
| SCI  |      | 54.5<br>46.3                      |      |      |      | 57.2 78.4 73.3 49.1 22.8 49.0  | 62.1         | 66.9         | 56.0         | <b>SCI</b>             |                 |   |        |                        |              | 0.037 0.710 0.863 0.805 0.051 0.782 0.880 0.836   |              |              |
| SNR-Net  |      | 57.7                              | 48.6 |      |      | 59.5 81.3 74.8 50.2 24.4 50.7 64.3   |              | 68.7         | 58.0         |                        | SNR-Net         |   |        |                        |              | 0.036 0.703 0.865 0.803 0.049 0.801 0.892 0.838   |              |              |
| Retformer  |      | 50.9<br>47 7                      |      |      |      | 58.6 77.2 68.1 53.2 17.4 52.0  | 61.3         | 71.5         | 55.8         |                        | Retformer       |   |        |                        |              | 0.037 0.682 0.861 0.806 0.052 0.766 0.881 0.832   |              |              |
| Ours   |      | 59.8                              |      |      |      | 51.5 62.1 85.5 76.6 57.7 28.9 56.3 66.2  |              | 73.4         | 61.8         | Ours                   |                 |   |        |                        |              | 0.034 0.725 0.880 0.813 0.047 0.804 0.897 0.841   |              |              |

Table 11: Low-light semantic segmentation, where images Table 12: Low-light concealed object are darkened by [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-14-12) [2021a\)](#page-14-12). segmentation.

#### 4.4 USER STUDY AND DOWNSTREAM TASKS

User Study. We conduct a user study to assess the subjective visual perception of low-light image enhancement. In this study, 29 human subjects are invited to assign scores to the enhanced results based on four criteria: (1) The presence of underexposed or overexposed regions. (2) The existence of color distortion. (3) The occurrence of undesired noise or artifacts. (4) The inclusion of essential structural details. Participants rate the results on a scale from 1 (worst) to 5 (best). Each lowlight image is presented alongside its enhanced results, with the names of the enhancement methods concealed. The scores are reported in Table [9,](#page-9-0) where our method receives the highest scores across all four datasets. This highlights our effectiveness in generating visually appealing results.

Low-light Object Detection. The enhanced images are expected to have better downstream perfor-mance. We first verify this on low-light object detection. Following [\(Cai et al.,](#page-10-0) [2023\)](#page-10-0), all compared methods are performed on *ExDark* [\(Loh & Chan,](#page-12-17) [2019\)](#page-12-17) with YOLO, which is retrained from scratch with their own enhanced results. The "Baseline" represents the performance on low-quality images without enhancement. As shown in Table [10,](#page-9-0) our Reti-Diff exhibits a substantial advantage over existing methods and our performance surpasses that of the second-best method, Retformer, by 4.72%, verifying our efficacy in facilitating high-level vision understanding.

Low-light Image Segmentation. We also conducted segmentation tasks and retrained the segmentor for each method following that in detection. (1) For semantic segmentation, following  $Ju$  et al., [2022\)](#page-11-16), we apply image darkening to samples from the *VOC* [\(Everingham et al.,](#page-10-14) [2010\)](#page-10-14) dataset ac-cording to [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-14-12) [2021a\)](#page-14-12). We then employ Mask2Former [\(Cheng et al.,](#page-10-15) [2022\)](#page-10-15) to segment the enhanced results of these darkened images and select Intersection over Union (IoU) for evaluation. As shown in Table [11,](#page-9-1) we achieve the highest performance across all classes, surpassing the secondbest method by 6.55%. (2) We further venture into concealed object segmentation (COS) on two datasets, *COD10K* [\(Fan et al.,](#page-10-16) [2021\)](#page-10-16) and *NC4K* [\(Lv et al.,](#page-12-18) [2021\)](#page-12-18), which is a challenging task aimed at delineating objects with inherent background similarity. We also apply image darkening and enlist FEDER [\(He et al.,](#page-11-10) [2023c\)](#page-11-10) to segment the enhanced results. We evaluate the results using four metrics: mean absolute error  $(M)$ , adaptive F-measure  $(F_\beta)$ , mean E-measure  $(E_\phi)$ , and structure measure  $(S_{\alpha})$ . As depicted in Table [12,](#page-9-1) our method exhibits superior performance compared to the second-best method, SNR-Net, with a margin of 2.16% on average.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

To balance generation capability and computational efficiency, our approach adopts DM within a compact latent space to generate guidance priors. Specifically, we introduce RLDM to extract Retinex priors, which are subsequently supplied to RGformer for feature decomposition, ensuring precise detailed reconstruction and effective illumination correction. RGformer then refines and aggregates the decomposed features, enhancing the robustness in handling complex degradation scenes. Our approach is validated through extensive experiments, establishing clear superiority.

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Yochai Blau, Roey Mechrez, Radu Timofte, Tomer Michaeli, and Lihi Zelnik-Manor. The 2018 pirm challenge on perceptual image super-resolution. In *ECCV*, pp. 0–0, 2018. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Yuanhao Cai, Hao Bian, Jing Lin, Haoqian Wang, Radu Timofte, and Yulun Zhang. Retinexformer: One-stage retinex-based transformer for low-light image enhancement. In *ICCV*, pp. 12504– 12513, 2023. [1,](#page-0-1) [2,](#page-1-1) [6,](#page-5-3) [7,](#page-6-2) [8,](#page-7-1) [9,](#page-8-2) [10](#page-9-2)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Chen Chen, Qifeng Chen, Minh N Do, and Vladlen Koltun. Seeing motion in the dark. In *ICCV*, pp. 3185–3194, 2019. [7](#page-6-2)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Hanting Chen, Yunhe Wang, Tianyu Guo, Chang Xu, Yiping Deng, Zhenhua Liu, Siwei Ma, Chunjing Xu, Chao Xu, and Wen Gao. Pre-trained image processing transformer. In *CVPR*, pp. 12299–12310, 2021. [6](#page-5-3)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Zheng Chen, Yulun Zhang, Ding Liu, Bin Xia, Jinjin Gu, Linghe Kong, and Xin Yuan. Hierarchical integration diffusion model for realistic image deblurring. In *NeurIPS*, 2023. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Bowen Cheng, Ishan Misra, Alexander G Schwing, Alexander Kirillov, and Rohit Girdhar. Maskedattention mask transformer for universal image segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 1290–1299, 2022. [10](#page-9-2)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Heng-Da Cheng and XJ Shi. A simple and effective histogram equalization approach to image enhancement. *Digital signal processing*, 14(2):158–170, 2004. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Runmin Cong, Wenyu Yang, Wei Zhang, Chongyi Li, Chun-Le Guo, Qingming Huang, and Sam Kwong. Pugan: Physical model-guided underwater image enhancement using gan with dualdiscriminators. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2023. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Mark Everingham, Luc Van Gool, Christopher KI Williams, John Winn, and Andrew Zisserman. The pascal visual object classes (voc) challenge. *International journal of computer vision*, 88: 303–338, 2010. [10](#page-9-2)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Deng-Ping Fan, Ge-Peng Ji, Ming-Ming Cheng, and Ling Shao. Concealed object detection. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 44(10):6024–6042, 2021. [10](#page-9-2)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Ben Fei, Zhaoyang Lyu, Liang Pan, Junzhe Zhang, Weidong Yang, Tianyue Luo, Bo Zhang, and Bo Dai. Generative diffusion prior for unified image restoration and enhancement. In *CVPR*, pp. 9935–9946, 2023. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Yixu Feng, Cheng Zhang, Pei Wang, Peng Wu, Qingsen Yan, and Yanning Zhang. You only need one color space: An efficient network for low-light image enhancement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05809*, 2024. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Xueyang Fu, Delu Zeng, Yue Huang, Yinghao Liao, Xinghao Ding, and John Paisley. A fusionbased enhancing method for weakly illuminated images. *Signal Processing*, 129:82–96, 2016a.  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Xueyang Fu, Delu Zeng, Yue Huang, Xiao-Ping Zhang, and Xinghao Ding. A weighted variational model for simultaneous reflectance and illumination estimation. In *CVPR*, pp. 2782–2790, 2016b. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Zhenqi Fu, Wu Wang, Yue Huang, Xinghao Ding, and Kai-Kuang Ma. Uncertainty inspired underwater image enhancement. In *ECCV*, pp. 465–482. Springer, 2022. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Zhenqi Fu, Yan Yang, Xiaotong Tu, Yue Huang, Xinghao Ding, and Kai-Kuang Ma. Learning a simple low-light image enhancer from paired low-light instances. In *CVPR*, pp. 22252–22261, 2023. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Chunle Guo, Ruiqi Wu, Xin Jin, Linghao Han, Weidong Zhang, Zhi Chai, and Chongyi Li. Underwater ranker: Learn which is better and how to be better. In *AAAI*, volume 37, pp. 702–709, 2023. [1,](#page-0-1) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Hang Guo, Jinmin Li, Tao Dai, Zhihao Ouyang, Xudong Ren, and Shu-Tao Xia. Mambair: A simple baseline for image restoration with state-space model. In *ECCV*, 2024. [6,](#page-5-3) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Xiaojie Guo, Yu Li, and Haibin Ling. Lime: Low-light image enhancement via illumination map estimation. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, 26(2):982–993, 2016. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Chunming He, Kai Li, Guoxia Xu, Jiangpeng Yan, Longxiang Tang, Yulun Zhang, Yaowei Wang, and Xiu Li. Hqg-net: Unpaired medical image enhancement with high-quality guidance. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2023a. [2,](#page-1-1) [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Chunming He, Kai Li, Guoxia Xu, Yulun Zhang, Runze Hu, Zhenhua Guo, and Xiu Li. Degradationresistant unfolding network for heterogeneous image fusion. In *ICCV*, pp. 12611–12621, 2023b. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Chunming He, Kai Li, Yachao Zhang, Longxiang Tang, Yulun Zhang, Zhenhua Guo, and Xiu Li. Camouflaged object detection with feature decomposition and edge reconstruction. In *CVPR*, pp. 22046–22055, 2023c. [5,](#page-4-2) [10](#page-9-2)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Chunming He, Kai Li, Yachao Zhang, Yulun Zhang, Zhenhua Guo, Xiu Li, Martin Danelljan, and Fisher Yu. Strategic preys make acute predators: Enhancing camouflaged object detectors by generating camouflaged objects. 2024a. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Chunming He, Yuqi Shen, Chengyu Fang, Fengyang Xiao, Longxiang Tang, Yulun Zhang, Wangmeng Zuo, Zhenhua Guo, and Xiu Li. Diffusion models in low-level vision: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.11138*, 2024b. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *NeurIPS*, 30, 2017. [7,](#page-6-2) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Shih-Chia Huang, Fan-Chieh Cheng, and Yi-Sheng Chiu. Efficient contrast enhancement using adaptive gamma correction with weighting distribution. *IEEE transactions on image processing*, 22(3):1032–1041, 2012. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Md Jahidul Islam, Youya Xia, and Junaed Sattar. Fast underwater image enhancement for improved visual perception. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 5(2):3227–3234, 2020. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Yifan Jiang, Xinyu Gong, Ding Liu, Yu Cheng, Chen Fang, Xiaohui Shen, Jianchao Yang, Pan Zhou, and Zhangyang Wang. Enlightengan: Deep light enhancement without paired supervision. *IEEE transactions on image processing*, 30:2340–2349, 2021. [2,](#page-1-1) [6,](#page-5-3) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Yeying Jin, Wenhan Yang, and Robby T Tan. Unsupervised night image enhancement: When layer decomposition meets light-effects suppression. In *ECCV*, pp. 404–421, 2022. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Yeying Jin, Beibei Lin, Wending Yan, Yuan Yuan, Wei Ye, and Robby T Tan. Enhancing visibility in nighttime haze images using guided apsf and gradient adaptive convolution. In *ACM MM*, pp. 2446–2457, 2023. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Yeying Jin, Xin Li, Jiadong Wang, Yan Zhang, and Malu Zhang. Raindrop clarity: A dual-focused dataset for day and night raindrop removal. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 1–17. Springer, 2024a. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Yeying Jin, Wei Ye, Wenhan Yang, Yuan Yuan, and Robby T Tan. Des3: Adaptive attention-driven self and soft shadow removal using vit similarity. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pp. 2634–2642, 2024b. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>HOU Jinhui, Zhiyu Zhu, Junhui Hou, LIU Hui, Huanqiang Zeng, and Hui Yuan. Global structureaware diffusion process for low-light image enhancement. In *NeurIPS*, 2023. [2,](#page-1-1) [3,](#page-2-0) [6,](#page-5-3) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Mingye Ju, Charles A Guo, Chuheng Chen, Jinshan Pan, Jinhui Tang, and Dacheng Tao. Sllen: Semantic-aware low-light image enhancement network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.11571*, 2022. [10](#page-9-2)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Diederik Kingma, Tim Salimans, Ben Poole, and Jonathan Ho. Variational diffusion models. *NeurIPS*, 34:21696–21707, 2021. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013. [5](#page-4-2)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Edwin H Land. The retinex theory of color vision. *Scientific american*, 237(6):108–129, 1977. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Chulwoo Lee, Chul Lee, and Chang-Su Kim. Contrast enhancement based on layered difference representation of 2d histograms. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, 22(12):5372–5384, 2013. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Chongyi Li, Chunle Guo, Wenqi Ren, Runmin Cong, Junhui Hou, Sam Kwong, and Dacheng Tao. An underwater image enhancement benchmark dataset and beyond. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 29:4376–4389, 2019. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Chongyi Li, Saeed Anwar, Junhui Hou, Runmin Cong, Chunle Guo, and Wenqi Ren. Underwater image enhancement via medium transmission-guided multi-color space embedding. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 30:4985–5000, 2021. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Chongyi Li, Chun-Le Guo, Man Zhou, Zhexin Liang, Shangchen Zhou, Ruicheng Feng, and Chen Change Loy. Embeddingfourier for ultra-high-definition low-light image enhancement. In *ICLR*, 2023. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Kai Li, Yulun Zhang, Kunpeng Li, and Yun Fu. Adversarial feature hallucination networks for few-shot learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 13470–13479, 2020. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Mading Li, Jiaying Liu, Wenhan Yang, Xiaoyan Sun, and Zongming Guo. Structure-revealing lowlight image enhancement via robust retinex model. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 27 (6):2828–2841, 2018. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Zhexin Liang, Chongyi Li, Shangchen Zhou, Ruicheng Feng, and Chen Change Loy. Iterative prompt learning for unsupervised backlit image enhancement. In *ICCV*, pp. 8094–8103, 2023. [1,](#page-0-1) [2,](#page-1-1) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Beibei Lin, Yeying Jin, Wending Yan, Wei Ye, Yuan Yuan, and Robby T Tan. Nighthaze: Nighttime image dehazing via self-prior learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07408*, 2024. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Risheng Liu, Long Ma, Jiaao Zhang, Xin Fan, and Zhongxuan Luo. Retinex-inspired unrolling with cooperative prior architecture search for low-light image enhancement. In *CVPR*, pp. 10561– 10570, 2021. [6,](#page-5-3) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Yunlong Liu, Tao Huang, Weisheng Dong, Fangfang Wu, Xin Li, and Guangming Shi. Low-light image enhancement with multi-stage residue quantization and brightness-aware attention. In *ICCV*, pp. 12140–12149, 2023. [6](#page-5-3)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Francesco Locatello, Dirk Weissenborn, Thomas Unterthiner, Aravindh Mahendran, Georg Heigold, Jakob Uszkoreit, Alexey Dosovitskiy, and Thomas Kipf. Object-centric learning with slot attention. *NeurIPS*, 33:11525–11538, 2020. [5](#page-4-2)
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Yuen Peng Loh and Chee Seng Chan. Getting to know low-light images with the exclusively dark dataset. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 178:30–42, 2019. [10](#page-9-2)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>I Loshchilov. Stochastic gradient descent with warm restarts. In *ICLR*, pp. 1–16, 2016. [6](#page-5-3)
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Xiaoqian Lv, Shengping Zhang, Qinglin Liu, Haozhe Xie, Bineng Zhong, and Huiyu Zhou. Backlitnet: A dataset and network for backlit image enhancement. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 218:103403, 2022. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Yunqiu Lv, Jing Zhang, Yuchao Dai, Aixuan Li, Bowen Liu, Nick Barnes, and Deng-Ping Fan. Simultaneously localize, segment and rank the camouflaged objects. In *CVPR*, pp. 11591–11601, 2021. [10](#page-9-2)
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Kede Ma, Kai Zeng, and Zhou Wang. Perceptual quality assessment for multi-exposure image fusion. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, 24(11):3345–3356, 2015. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Anish Mittal, Rajiv Soundararajan, and Alan C Bovik. Making a "completely blind" image quality analyzer. *IEEE Signal Processing Lett.*, 20(3):209–212, 2012. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Anush Krishna Moorthy and Alan Conrad Bovik. A two-step framework for constructing blind image quality indices. *IEEE Signal processing letters*, 17(5):513–516, 2010. [7](#page-6-2)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Ankita Naik, Apurva Swarnakar, and Kartik Mittal. Shallow-uwnet: Compressed model for underwater image enhancement (student abstract). In *AAAI*, volume 35, pp. 15853–15854, 2021. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Karen Panetta, Chen Gao, and Sos Agaian. Human-visual-system-inspired underwater image quality measures. *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*, 41(3):541–551, 2015. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Lintao Peng, Chunli Zhu, and Liheng Bian. U-shape transformer for underwater image enhancement. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2023. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Long Peng, Wenbo Li, Renjing Pei, Jingjing Ren, Jiaqi Xu, Yang Wang, Yang Cao, and Zheng-Jun Zha. Towards realistic data generation for real-world super-resolution. In *ICLR*, 2025. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Yifan Pu, Yizeng Han, Yulin Wang, Junlan Feng, Chao Deng, and Gao Huang. Fine-grained recognition with learnable semantic data augmentation. *TIP*, 2024. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Neng-Tsann Ueng and Louis L Scharf. The gamma transform: A local time-frequency analysis method. In *ACSSC*, volume 2, pp. 920–924. IEEE, 1995. [2](#page-1-1)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Ruixing Wang, Qing Zhang, Chi-Wing Fu, Xiaoyong Shen, Wei-Shi Zheng, and Jiaya Jia. Underexposed photo enhancement using deep illumination estimation. In *CVPR*, pp. 6849–6857, 2019. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Shuhang Wang, Jin Zheng, Hai-Miao Hu, and Bo Li. Naturalness preserved enhancement algorithm for non-uniform illumination images. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, 22(9):3538–3548, 2013. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Yinglong Wang, Zhen Liu, Jianzhuang Liu, Songcen Xu, and Shuaicheng Liu. Low-light image enhancement with illumination-aware gamma correction and complete image modelling network. In *ICCV*, pp. 13128–13137, 2023. [6](#page-5-3)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Zhendong Wang, Xiaodong Cun, Jianmin Bao, Wengang Zhou, Jianzhuang Liu, and Houqiang Li. Uformer: A general u-shaped transformer for image restoration. In *CVPR*, pp. 17683–17693, 2022. [6,](#page-5-3) [9](#page-8-2)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Chen Wei, Wenjing Wang, Wenhan Yang, and Jiaying Liu. Deep retinex decomposition for low-light enhancement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.04560*, 2018. [7](#page-6-2)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Wenhui Wu, Jian Weng, Pingping Zhang, Xu Wang, Wenhan Yang, and Jianmin Jiang. Uretinexnet: Retinex-based deep unfolding network for low-light image enhancement. In *CVPR*, pp. 5901–5910, 2022. [4,](#page-3-1) [6,](#page-5-3) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Bin Xia, Yulun Zhang, Shiyin Wang, Yitong Wang, Xinglong Wu, Yapeng Tian, Wenming Yang, and Luc Van Gool. Diffir: Efficient diffusion model for image restoration. In *ICCV*, 2023. [3,](#page-2-0) [6,](#page-5-3) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Xiaogang Xu, Ruixing Wang, Chi-Wing Fu, and Jiaya Jia. Snr-aware low-light image enhancement. In *CVPR*, pp. 17714–17724, 2022. [6,](#page-5-3) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Xiaogang Xu, Ruixing Wang, and Jiangbo Lu. Low-light image enhancement via structure modeling and guidance. In *CVPR*, pp. 9893–9903, 2023. [6](#page-5-3)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Miao Yang and Arcot Sowmya. An underwater color image quality evaluation metric. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 24(12):6062–6071, 2015. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Wenhan Yang, Wenjing Wang, Haofeng Huang, Shiqi Wang, and Jiaying Liu. Sparse gradient regularized deep retinex network for robust low-light image enhancement. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 30:2072–2086, 2021. [7](#page-6-2)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Xunpeng Yi, Han Xu, Hao Zhang, Linfeng Tang, and Jiayi Ma. Diff-retinex: Rethinking low-light image enhancement with a generative diffusion model. In *ICCV*, pp. 12302–12311, 2023. [2,](#page-1-1) [3,](#page-2-0) [6,](#page-5-3) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Syed Waqas Zamir, Aditya Arora, Salman Khan, Munawar Hayat, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, Ming-Hsuan Yang, and Ling Shao. Learning enriched features for real image restoration and enhancement. In *ECCV*, pp. 492–511. Springer, 2020. [6](#page-5-3)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Syed Waqas Zamir, Aditya Arora, Salman Khan, Munawar Hayat, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Restormer: Efficient transformer for high-resolution image restoration. In *CVPR*, pp. 5728–5739, 2022. [6,](#page-5-3) [8,](#page-7-1) [9](#page-8-2)
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Fan Zhang, Yu Li, Shaodi You, and Ying Fu. Learning temporal consistency for low light video enhancement from single images. In *CVPR*, pp. 4967–4976, 2021a. [10](#page-9-2)
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *CVPR*, pp. 586–595, 2018. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Yonghua Zhang, Xiaojie Guo, Jiayi Ma, Wei Liu, and Jiawan Zhang. Beyond brightening low-light images. *Int. J. Comput. Vision*, 129:1013–1037, 2021b. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Zhao Zhang, Huan Zheng, Richang Hong, Mingliang Xu, Shuicheng Yan, and Meng Wang. Deep color consistent network for low-light image enhancement. In *CVPR*, pp. 1899–1908, 2022. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Naishan Zheng, Man Zhou, Yanmeng Dong, Xiangyu Rui, Jie Huang, Chongyi Li, and Feng Zhao. Empowering low-light image enhancer through customized learnable priors. In *ICCV*, pp. 12559– 12569, 2023. [6](#page-5-3)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Dewei Zhou, Zongxin Yang, and Yi Yang. Pyramid diffusion models for low-light image enhancement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10028*, 2023a. [6](#page-5-3)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Jingchun Zhou, Qian Liu, Qiuping Jiang, Wenqi Ren, Kin-Man Lam, and Weishi Zhang. Underwater camera: Improving visual perception via adaptive dark pixel prior and color correction. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, pp. 1–19, 2023b. [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Shihao Zhou, Duosheng Chen, Jinshan Pan, Jinglei Shi, and Jufeng Yang. Adapt or perish: Adaptive sparse transformer with attentive feature refinement for image restoration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 2952–2963, June 2024. [6,](#page-5-3) [8](#page-7-1)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Chenyang Zhu, Kai Li, Yue Ma, Chunming He, and Li Xiu. Multibooth: Towards generating all your concepts in an image from text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.14239*, 2024a. [3](#page-2-0)
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Chenyang Zhu, Kai Li, Yue Ma, Longxiang Tang, Chengyu Fang, Chubin Chen, Qifeng Chen, and Xiu Li. Instantswap: Fast customized concept swapping across sharp shape differences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.01197*, 2024b. [3](#page-2-0)

# <span id="page-15-3"></span>SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR RETI-DIFF: ILLUMINATION DEGRADATION IMAGE RESTORATION WITH RETINEX-BASED LATENT DIFFUSION MODEL

## **CONTENTS**



## <span id="page-15-0"></span>A METHODOLOGY

#### <span id="page-15-1"></span>A.1 RETINEX-BASED LATENT DIFFUSION MODEL

In this section, we provide a detailed derivation for  $\hat{Z}_L$ .

Diffusion process. In the diffusion process, we first use the pretrained RPE to extract the reflectance prior  $\mathbf{Z}_L$ , which is treated as the starting point of the forward Markov process, *i.e.*,  $\mathbf{Z}_L = \mathbf{Z}_L^0$ . We then gradually add Gaussian noise to  $\mathbf{Z}_L$  by T iterations and each iteration can be defined as:

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
q\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{t}|\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{t-1}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{t};\sqrt{1-\beta^{t}}\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{t-1},\beta^{t}\mathbf{I}\right),\tag{1}
$$

where  $t = 1, \dots, T$ .  $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{L}}^{t}$  denotes the noisy prior at time step t,  $\beta^{t}$  is the predefined factor that controls the noise variance, and  $\mathcal N$  is the Gaussian distribution. Following [\(Kingma & Welling,](#page-19-0) [2013\)](#page-19-0), Eq. [\(1\)](#page-15-2) can be simplified as follows:

$$
q\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{t}|\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{0}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{t};\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}^{t}}\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{0},(1-\bar{\alpha}^{t})\mathbf{I}\right),\tag{2}
$$

where  $\alpha^t = 1 - \beta^t$  and  $\bar{\alpha}^t = \prod_{i=1}^t \alpha^i$ .

Reverse process. In the reverse process, RLDM aims to extract the reflectance prior from pure Gaussian noise. Thus, RLDM samples a Gaussian random noise map  $Z_L^T$  and then gradually denoise it to run backward from  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^T$  to  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^0$ :

$$
p(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{t-1}|\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^t, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^0) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{t-1}; \boldsymbol{\mu}^t(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^t, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^0), (\boldsymbol{\sigma}^t)^2\mathbf{I}\right),
$$
\n(3)

where mean  $\mu^t(\mathbf{Z_L^t}, \mathbf{Z_L^0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$  $\frac{1}{\alpha^t}(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^t - \frac{1-\alpha^t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}^t}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$  and variance  $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^t)^2 = \frac{1-\bar{\alpha}^{t-1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}^t}$  $\frac{-\bar{\alpha}^{t-1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}^t}\beta^t$ .  $\epsilon$  denotes the noise in  $\mathbf{Z}_{\text{L}}^{t}$  and is the only uncertain variable. Following previous practice [\(Xia et al.,](#page-20-0) [2023\)](#page-20-0), we employ a denoising network  $\epsilon_{\theta}(\cdot)$  to estimate  $\theta$ . To operate in the latent space, we further introduce another RPE module  $\widetilde{\text{RPE}}(\cdot)$  to extract the conditional reflectance vector  $V_L \in \mathbb{R}^{C'}$  from the reflectance image  $L_{LQ}$  of the LQ image, *i.e.*,  $V_L = RPE$  (down( $L_{LQ}$ )). Therefore, the denoising

<span id="page-16-3"></span>

 $\text{Fig. S1:}$   $\text{Hint:}$   $\text{Hint:}$   $\text{Hint:}$   $\text{Ref.}$   $\text{Ref.}$   $\text{W/Perf.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{W/Hint:}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{Hul.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$   $\text{W/Ref.}$ reflectance and illumination components, respectively.

<span id="page-16-4"></span>



Fig. S2: Effect of  $\ell_p$ -norm in Loss Functions.

network can be represented by  $\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^t, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{L}}, t)$ . By setting the variance to  $1 - \alpha^t$ , we get

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^t}} (\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^t - \frac{1 - \alpha^t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}^t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{L}}^t, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{L}}, t)) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^t,
$$
(4)

where  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}).$ 

## <span id="page-16-0"></span>B EXPERIMENT

## <span id="page-16-1"></span>B.1 ABLATION STUDY

Following the practice in the manuscript, we select *LOL-v2-real* and *LOL-v2-syn* to conduct ablation studies, where the two datasets are abbreviated as *L-v2-r* and *L-v2-s*.

Effect of Retinex priors in extreme conditions. We investigate the potential of Retinex priors, *i.e.*, ZR and ZL, under extreme conditions where the reflectance or illumination components exhibit high similarity between low-quality and ground-truth images. As shown in Fig. [S1,](#page-16-3) the extracted priors have a diminished effect when the corresponding component shows the similarity between low-quality and ground-truth images. This is because the corresponding component undergoes minimal degradation.

**Effect of**  $\ell_p$ **-norm in Loss Functions.** We explore the effect of  $\ell_p$ -norm in loss functions. As shown in Table [S1,](#page-16-4) Reti-Diff achieves better performance when using  $\ell_1$ -norm. Therefore, our loss functions select  $\ell_1$ -norm.

**Parameter Analysis.** Our Reti-Diff is optimized with multiple losses, which are balanced by three hyperparameters, *i.e.*,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ , and  $\lambda_3$ . To analyze their impact, we vary one of the parameters and fix others, and report the results in Table [S2.](#page-16-4) Overall, we find that the different coefficients in the tested range only slightly influence the final performance and  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ , and  $\lambda_3$  obtain better results when they are set to 1. So we set those parameters to 1 each.

#### <span id="page-16-2"></span>B.2 COMPARATIVE EVALUATION

Low-light Image Enhancement. As shown in Fig. [S2,](#page-17-1) we provide more visualization results. Our method can generate enhanced images with corrected illumination and enhanced texture, even in extremely challenging conditions.

<span id="page-17-2"></span><span id="page-17-1"></span>



Input EnGAN Uretinex SNR-Net CUE Retformer Ours Ground Truth<br>
Fig. S4: Visual results on the backlit image enhancement task.

Underwater Image Enhancement. More qualitative analyses are presented in Fig. [S3,](#page-17-1) illustrating our superiority in underwater color correction and fine texture details reconstruction.

Backlit Image Enhancement. Furthermore, a visual comparison in Fig. [S4](#page-17-1) provides additional evidence of our superiority in detail reconstruction and color correction. All methods are trained by cropping the training data as  $256 \times 256$  for fairness.

# <span id="page-17-0"></span>C DISCUSSIONS

enhancing details and correcting degraded illumination. Thus, we enable RLDM to learn Retinex Our Reti-Diff is the first LDM-based solution specifically tailored for the IDIR task, setting it apart from existing LDM-based methods applied in other tasks. To illustrate the distinctions, we compare it with a general enhancement method, DiffIR [\(Xia et al.,](#page-20-0) [2023\)](#page-20-0): (1) Motivation. Reti-Diff targets knowledge and generate Retinex priors from the low-quality input. We contend that relying solely on priors extracted from the RGB domain struggles to fully represent valuable texture details and correct illumination cues, leading to suboptimal restoration performance. To verify this, we substitute our RLDM for the LDM structure used in DiffIR. In *LOL-v2-syn*, we observe that the PSNR rises from 24.76 to 26.14 and the SSIM increases from 0.921 to 0.933. (2) Implementation. Apart from proposing RLDM to extract Retinex priors, we further modify the structure of RGformer to implic-

<span id="page-18-1"></span>itly model the Retinex theory at the feature level and introduce an auxiliary decoder to reconstruct the decomposed Retinex components to the RGB domain.

## <span id="page-18-0"></span>D LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Our Reti-Diff faces challenges in simultaneously recovering illumination and restoring texture details when the low-quality inputs suffer from severe illumination degradation. This issue, which persists across existing methods, remains unresolved. We attribute it to the loss of texture information during the illumination recovery process. To address this limitation in future research, we propose extracting texture priors from other domains, such as the frequency domain [\(Xu et al.,](#page-20-1) [2022;](#page-20-1) [He](#page-19-1) [et al.,](#page-19-1) [2019\)](#page-19-1). These priors could complement the reflectance priors extracted from the RGB domain, thereby improving the preservation of critical texture features.

Additionally, we aim to combine our method with more cutting-edge deep priors, such as that from segment anything model [\(He et al.,](#page-19-2) [2024\)](#page-19-2), depth anything model [\(Chen et al.,](#page-19-3) [2024a\)](#page-19-3), or other large foundation model [\(Tang et al.,](#page-20-2)  $2024$ ), and extend our method to wider applications, such as image super-resolution [\(Chen et al.,](#page-19-4) [2023d;](#page-19-4) [2024b\)](#page-19-5), deblurr [\(Chen et al.,](#page-19-6) [2023e;](#page-19-6) [Zhao et al.,](#page-20-3) [2023a\)](#page-20-3), desnow [\(Chen et al.,](#page-19-7) [2023c;](#page-19-7)[b\)](#page-19-8), dehaze [\(Fang et al.,](#page-19-9) [2024a;](#page-19-9) [Zhao et al.,](#page-20-4) [2024\)](#page-20-4), derain [\(Chen et al.,](#page-19-10) [2023a;](#page-19-10) [Zhao et al.,](#page-20-5) [2023b\)](#page-20-5), conditional fusion [\(He et al.,](#page-19-11) [2023;](#page-19-11) [Xu et al.,](#page-20-6) [2023;](#page-20-6) [Ju et al.,](#page-19-12) [2022\)](#page-19-12). Combining our strategies with cutting-edge algorithms, such as spiking neural network [\(Wang et al.,](#page-20-7) [2023;](#page-20-7) [Fang et al.,](#page-19-13) [2024b\)](#page-19-13) and mamba [\(Xiao et al.,](#page-20-8) [2025;](#page-20-8) [2024b\)](#page-20-9), is also expected to bring better performance.

Besides, enhanced methods are also expected to facilitate downstream tasks, such as image segmentation [\(Xiao et al.,](#page-20-10) [2024a;](#page-20-10) [2023\)](#page-20-11) and detection [\(He et al.,](#page-19-14) [2025;](#page-19-14) [Pu et al.,](#page-20-12) [2024;](#page-20-12) [2023\)](#page-20-13). To achieve this, several strategies are expected to be integrated into our method, including generating downstream-friendly data [\(Yuan et al.,](#page-20-14) [2024a;](#page-20-14)[b\)](#page-20-15), designing specific augmentation strategies [\(Ma](#page-19-15) [et al.,](#page-19-15) [2024a](#page-19-15)[;b](#page-19-16)[;c\)](#page-20-16).

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-19-10"></span>Sixiang Chen, Tian Ye, Jinbin Bai, Erkang Chen, Jun Shi, and Lei Zhu. Sparse sampling transformer with uncertainty-driven ranking for unified removal of raindrops and rain streaks. In *ICCV*, pp. 13106–13117, 2023a. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>Sixiang Chen, Tian Ye, Yun Liu, Taodong Liao, Jingxia Jiang, Erkang Chen, and Peng Chen. Mspformer: Multi-scale projection transformer for single image desnowing. In *ICASSP*, pp. 1–5. IEEE, 2023b. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>Sixiang Chen, Tian Ye, Chenghao Xue, Haoyu Chen, Yun Liu, Erkang Chen, and Lei Zhu. Uncertainty-driven dynamic degradation perceiving and background modeling for efficient single image desnowing. In *ACM MM*, pp. 4269–4280, 2023c. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>Sixiang Chen, Tian Ye, Kai Zhang, Zhaohu Xing, Yunlong Lin, and Lei Zhu. Teaching tailored to talent: Adverse weather restoration via prompt pool and depth-anything constraint. In *ECCV*, pp. 95–115. Springer, 2024a. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>Zheng Chen, Yulun Zhang, Jinjin Gu, Xin Yuan, Linghe Kong, Guihai Chen, and Xiaokang Yang. Image super-resolution with text prompt diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.14282*, 2023d. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>Zheng Chen, Yulun Zhang, Ding Liu, Bin Xia, Jinjin Gu, Linghe Kong, and Xin Yuan. Hierarchical integration diffusion model for realistic image deblurring. In *NeurIPS*, 2023e. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>Zheng Chen, Haotong Qin, Yong Guo, Xiongfei Su, Xin Yuan, Linghe Kong, and Yulun Zhang. Binarized diffusion model for image super-resolution. In *NeurIPS*, 2024b. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>Chengyu Fang, Chunming He, Fengyang Xiao, Yulun Zhang, Longxiang Tang, Yuelin Zhang, Kai Li, and Xiu Li. Real-world image dehazing with coherence-based label generator and cooperative unfolding network. *NeurIPS*, 2024a. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>Yuetong Fang, Ziqing Wang, Lingfeng Zhang, Jiahang Cao, Honglei Chen, and Renjing Xu. Spiking wavelet transformer. In *ECCV*, pp. 19–37. Springer, 2024b. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>Chunming He, Xiaobo Wang, Lizhen Deng, and Guoxia Xu. Image threshold segmentation based on glle histogram. In *CPSCom*, pp. 410–415. IEEE, 2019. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>Chunming He, Kai Li, Guoxia Xu, Yulun Zhang, Runze Hu, Zhenhua Guo, and Xiu Li. Degradationresistant unfolding network for heterogeneous image fusion. In *ICCV*, pp. 12611–12621, 2023. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>Chunming He, Kai Li, Yachao Zhang, Guoxia Xu, Longxiang Tang, Yulun Zhang, Zhenhua Guo, and Xiu Li. Weakly-supervised concealed object segmentation with sam-based pseudo labeling and multi-scale feature grouping. *NIPS*, 36, 2024. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>Chunming He, Rihan Zhang, Fengyang Xiao, Chenyu Fang, Longxiang Tang, Yulun Zhang, Linghe Kong, Deng-Ping Fan, Kai Li, and Sina Farsiu. Run: Reversible unfolding network for concealed object segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.18783*, 2025. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>Mingye Ju, Chunming He, and Juping Liu. Ivf-net: An infrared and visible data fusion deep network for traffic object enhancement in intelligent transportation systems. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, 2022. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013. [1](#page-15-3)
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>Yue Ma, Yingqing He, Xiaodong Cun, Xintao Wang, Siran Chen, Xiu Li, and Qifeng Chen. Follow your pose: Pose-guided text-to-video generation using pose-free videos. In *AAAI*, volume 38, pp. 4117–4125, 2024a. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>Yue Ma, Yingqing He, Hongfa Wang, Andong Wang, Chenyang Qi, Chengfei Cai, Xiu Li, Zhifeng Li, Heung-Yeung Shum, Wei Liu, et al. Follow-your-click: Open-domain regional image animation via short prompts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08268*, 2024b. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>Yue Ma, Hongyu Liu, Hongfa Wang, Heng Pan, Yingqing He, Junkun Yuan, Ailing Zeng, Chengfei Cai, Heung-Yeung Shum, Wei Liu, et al. Follow-your-emoji: Fine-controllable and expressive freestyle portrait animation. In *SIGGRAPH Asia*, pp. 1–12, 2024c. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>Yifan Pu, Yiru Wang, Zhuofan Xia, Yizeng Han, Yulin Wang, Weihao Gan, Zidong Wang, Shiji Song, and Gao Huang. Adaptive rotated convolution for rotated object detection. In *ICCV*, 2023. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>Yifan Pu, Yizeng Han, Yulin Wang, Junlan Feng, Chao Deng, and Gao Huang. Fine-grained recognition with learnable semantic data augmentation. *TIP*, 2024. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>Longxiang Tang, Zhuotao Tian, Kai Li, Chunming He, Hantao Zhou, Hengshuang Zhao, Xiu Li, and Jiaya Jia. Mind the interference: Retaining pre-trained knowledge in parameter efficient continual learning of vision-language models. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 346–365. Springer, 2024. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>Ziqing Wang, Yuetong Fang, Jiahang Cao, Qiang Zhang, Zhongrui Wang, and Renjing Xu. Masked spiking transformer. In *ICCV*, pp. 1761–1771, 2023. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>Bin Xia, Yulun Zhang, Shiyin Wang, Yitong Wang, Xinglong Wu, Yapeng Tian, Wenming Yang, and Luc Van Gool. Diffir: Efficient diffusion model for image restoration. In *ICCV*, 2023. [1,](#page-15-3) [3](#page-17-2)
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>Fengyang Xiao, Pan Zhang, Chunming He, Runze Hu, and Yutao Liu. Concealed object segmentation with hierarchical coherence modeling. In *CAAI*, pp. 16–27. Springer, 2023. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>Fengyang Xiao, Sujie Hu, Yuqi Shen, Chengyu Fang, Jinfa Huang, Longxiang Tang, Ziyun Yang, Xiu Li, and Chunming He. A survey of camouflaged object detection and beyond. *CAAI AIR*, 3, 2024a. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>Yicheng Xiao, Zhuoyan Luo, Yong Liu, Yue Ma, Hengwei Bian, Yatai Ji, Yujiu Yang, and Xiu Li. Bridging the gap: A unified video comprehension framework for moment retrieval and highlight detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 18709–18719, 2024b. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>Yicheng Xiao, Lin Song, Jiangshan Wang, Siyu Song, Yixiao Ge, Xiu Li, Ying Shan, et al. Mambatree: Tree topology is all you need in state space model. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:75329–75354, 2025. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>Guoxia Xu, Chunming He, Hao Wang, Hu Zhu, and Weiping Ding. Dm-fusion: Deep model-driven network for heterogeneous image fusion. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2023. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>Lei Xu, Hui Wu, Chunming He, Jun Wang, Changqing Zhang, Feiping Nie, and Lei Chen. Multimodal sequence learning for alzheimer's disease progression prediction with incomplete variablelength longitudinal data. *MIA*, 82:102643, 2022. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>Shenghai Yuan, Jinfa Huang, Yujun Shi, Yongqi Xu, Ruijie Zhu, Bin Lin, Xinhua Cheng, Li Yuan, and Jiebo Luo. Magictime: Time-lapse video generation models as metamorphic simulators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.05014*, 2024a. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>Shenghai Yuan, Jinfa Huang, Yongqi Xu, Yaoyang Liu, Shaofeng Zhang, Yujun Shi, Ruijie Zhu, Xinhua Cheng, Jiebo Luo, and Li Yuan. Chronomagic-bench: A benchmark for metamorphic evaluation of text-to-time-lapse video generation. *NeurIPS*, 2024b. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>Zixiang Zhao, Haowen Bai, Jiangshe Zhang, Yulun Zhang, Shuang Xu, Zudi Lin, Radu Timofte, and Luc Van Gool. Cddfuse: Correlation-driven dual-branch feature decomposition for multimodality image fusion. In *CVPR*, pp. 5906–5916, June 2023a. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>Zixiang Zhao, Haowen Bai, Yuanzhi Zhu, Jiangshe Zhang, Shuang Xu, Yulun Zhang, Kai Zhang, Deyu Meng, Radu Timofte, and Luc Van Gool. Ddfm: Denoising diffusion model for multimodality image fusion. In *ICCV*, pp. 8082–8093, October 2023b. [4](#page-18-1)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>Zixiang Zhao, Lilun Deng, Haowen Bai, Yukun Cui, Zhipeng Zhang, Yulun Zhang, Haotong Qin, Dongdong Chen, Jiangshe Zhang, Peng Wang, and Luc Van Gool. Image fusion via visionlanguage model. In *ICML*, 2024. [4](#page-18-1)