
Model-Agnostic Meta-Learning with Open-Ended RL

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

Abstract

1 This paper builds on the Open-Ended Reinforcement Learning with Neural Reward
2 Functions proposed by Meier and Mujika [1] that uses reward functions encoded
3 by neural networks. One key limitation of their paper is the necessity of re-learning
4 for each new skill learned by the agent. Consequently, we propose integrating
5 meta-learning algorithms to tackle this problem. We, therefore, study the use
6 of MAML, Model-Agnostic Meta Learning that we believe could make policy
7 learning more efficient. MAML operates by learning an initialization of the model
8 parameters that can be fine-tuned with a small number of examples from a new
9 task which allows for rapid adaptation to new tasks.

10 1 Model-Agnostic Meta-Learning

11 Model-agnostic meta-learning (MAML) [2] is a meta-learning approach to solving different tasks
12 from simple regression to reinforcement learning but also few-shot learning. The key idea of MAML
13 is to mitigate few-shot learning since there is simply too little data for too many parameters, leading
14 to overfitting. We learn not only from the data regarding our exact tasks but also from data on similar
15 tasks. To incorporate this, we make an additional assumption, namely that τ comes from some
16 distribution of tasks $p(\tau)$ and that we can sample freely from this distribution. Eventually, we want to
17 use the data available from the other tasks in the distribution to be able to converge to a specific task
18 $\tau_i \sim p(\tau)$, which we can express in terms of an expectation over the distribution. τ is now a random
19 variable and $p(\tau)$ is a set of parameters for task τ . We may use different parameters for each task,
20 use the same parameters for every task, or do something in between.

21 Additionally, we will not simply use the data from other tasks to find parameters that are optimal
22 for all tasks, but keep the option to fine-tune our model, i.e., take additional optimizer steps on data
23 from the new task τ_i . Afterward, we want to converge to τ_i and reuse the pre-fine-tune-version of the
24 model for each new task. Thus, we can express our optimization objective as

$$\min_{\theta} E_{\tau}[L_{\tau}(U_{\tau}(\theta))] \quad (1)$$

25 where $U_{\tau} : \phi \rightarrow \phi$ is an optimization algorithm that maps θ to a new parameter vector $U_{\tau}(\theta)$, being
26 the result of fine-tuning θ on data from task τ , using optimizer U_{τ} .

27 In conventional machine learning settings, we consider trainable parameters that are tied to our task.
28 However, the θ in the above objective is learned concerning a variety of tasks. This, together with the
29 fact that it can further be regarded as the initialization of the optimizer U_{τ} , enables us to interpret θ to
30 be above task level and thus acquire the status of a meta-parameter. Consequently, optimizing such a
31 meta-parameter corresponds to meta-learning.

32 **References**

- 33 [1] Meier, R., Mujika, A. (2022). Open-Ended Reinforcement Learning with Neural Reward Functions. ArXiv.
34 /abs/2202.08266
- 35 [2] Model-Agnostic Meta-Learning for Fast Adaptation of Deep Networks Finn, C., Abbeel, P. and Levine,
36 S., 2017. Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2017, Sydney, NSW,
37 Australia, 6-11 August 2017, Vol 70, pp. 1126–1135. PMLR..