

On Tables with Numbers, with Numbers

Konstantinos Kogkalidis

Aalto University
Department of Computer Science
kokos.kogkalidis@aalto.fi

Stergios Chatzikyriakidis

University of Crete
Department of Philology
stergios.chatzikyriakidis@uoc.gr

Abstract

This paper is a critical reflection on the epistemic culture of contemporary computational linguistics, framed in the context of its growing obsession with tables with numbers. We argue against tables with numbers on the basis of their epistemic irrelevance, their environmental impact, their role in enabling and exacerbating social inequalities, and their deep ties to commercial applications and profit-driven research. We substantiate our arguments with empirical evidence drawn from a meta-analysis of computational linguistics research over the last decade.

1 Introduction

Throughout its evolution, computational linguistics has undergone multiple identity crises. In its present form, and despite its logical origins and linguistic ambitions, it is almost entirely aligned with positivist principles and ideals (Church and Liberman, 2021). The imprint of this alignment is an idealization of experimental quantification, most commonly manifesting in the form of *tables with numbers*. Tables with numbers can certainly be useful. That said, their centrality in contemporary computational linguistics research is indicative of both scientific reductionism and technological obsession. Beneath the numbers lie signs of a field in disarray: a waning reliance on theory (linguistic or otherwise), nowadays substituted by model scale; a disproportionate representation of big industry and big academia, in turn associated with a lack of transparency, accessibility and inclusion; an experimental paradigm dominated by stagnant “task-and-benchmark” practices, detached from technical rigor as well as scientific insight; and a progressive estrangement from societal, humanistic and environmental context. And while the community seems to be both alert to and uneasy with the current state of affairs (Michael et al., 2023; Gururaja

et al., 2023), a holistic analysis of these issues has been long missing from the literature.

In this paper, we brave a look under the number rock. We conduct a critical assessment of the epistemic culture of computational linguistics, focusing specifically on its relation to tables with numbers. We narrow down on four axes of interest:

- The epistemological preconditions that granted tables with numbers the status of scientific currency, and the mechanisms that affect their actual value (§2).
- Their environmental footprint and the normative discourse around it (§3).
- Their cause-and-effect relation to the perpetuation and exacerbation of inequality and harmful power structures (§4).
- Their intrinsic ties with corporate interest, profit, and the accumulation of technoscientific capital (§5).

2 The Multiple Facets of Number

The field’s dominant scientific approach embodies a wildly exaggerated version of positivism. This is evident both in the themes prevalent in the mainstream discourse, and in those notably absent from it. In this context, two critical perspectives arise. First, how faithfully does computational linguistics *actually* adhere to its positivist posture? And second, what are the *implications* of computational linguistics as a singularly positivist discipline? We begin by addressing the former, setting off with a simplified introduction to the positivist worldview and its tenets.

2.1 Number as Virtue

As a scientific meta-theory, positivism asserts that knowledge is the yield of systematic, unbiased and reproducible observation. A prospective theory is evaluated based on how well it can predict and interpret observations. An impartial and irrefutable

