

# 000 001 002 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013 014 015 016 017 018 019 020 021 022 023 024 025 026 027 028 029 030 031 032 033 034 035 036 037 038 039 040 041 042 043 044 045 046 047 048 049 050 051 052 053 AI&PDE: ICLR 2026 WORKSHOP ON AI AND PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

## ABSTRACT

Partial Differential Equations (PDEs) are foundational to modeling complex phenomena across the natural sciences and engineering, from fluid dynamics and quantum systems to climate modeling and materials science. Despite their ubiquity, solving PDEs remains computationally intensive, especially in high-dimensional, multi-physics, and uncertain regimes. Recent advances in machine learning—such as neural operators, physics-informed networks, and foundation models—offer transformative potential to accelerate and generalize PDE solutions. However, realizing this promise requires addressing critical challenges in representation, stability, generalization, and benchmarking.

The AI&PDE-ICLR-2026 workshop will convene researchers from machine learning, applied mathematics, physics, and engineering to explore the future of AI-driven PDE modeling. We aim to (1) define the roadmap toward foundation models for PDEs, (2) investigate next-generation representations and architectures, and (3) foster a globally inclusive community. The program will feature invited talks, contributed papers, and themed tracks, including a full papers track for mature research and a tiny papers track for emerging ideas. By bridging disciplines and promoting open benchmarks and datasets, AI&PDE-ICLR-2026 will catalyze progress toward scalable, general-purpose AI solvers for PDEs.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Partial Differential Equations (PDEs) are the universal language of the natural sciences Evans (2022). They describe phenomena as diverse as turbulent flows in fluid mechanics Sagaut & Cambon (2008), electronic transport in materials Lundstrom (2002), climate dynamics Palmer (2022), plasma physics Manfredi et al. (2021), and quantum many-body systems Bruus & Flensberg (2004); Pethick & Smith (2008). Yet, despite their centrality, solving PDEs remains one of the most resource-intensive tasks in computational science Karniadakis et al. (2021); Meng et al. (2025). Traditional numerical methods, including finite difference, finite element, spectral, and multigrid solvers, have advanced considerably; however, they often scale poorly with dimensionality, complex boundary conditions, and multiphysics couplings Chen et al. (2022); Galaris et al. (2022). As scientific challenges grow in ambition, from exascale simulations of fusion plasmas to uncertainty quantification in climate models, new computational paradigms are urgently needed Fasoli et al. (2016).

Recent breakthroughs in machine learning offer a transformative opportunity. Neural PDE solvers, physics-informed learning frameworks Karniadakis et al. (2021), and operator-based foundation models Kovachki et al. (2023) are emerging as powerful tools that can augment or even replace classical solvers. Approaches such as Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs) Cai et al. (2021), Deep Operator Networks (DeepONets) Wang et al. (2022), Fourier Neural Operators (FNOs) Kovachki et al. (2021), and sequence-based models (e.g., Mamba-inspired architectures) Cheng et al. (2024); Gao et al. (2025) have shown promise in learning solution operators, generalizing across boundary conditions, and accelerating simulations by orders of magnitude. Moreover, foundation-model approaches trained on vast PDE datasets are beginning to demonstrate cross-domain generalization, suggesting a path toward reusable, pre-trained scientific models analogous to those that revolutionized natural language processing and vision Herde et al. (2024); Wiesner et al. (2025); Shen et al. (2024); Sun et al. (2025).

At the same time, AI for PDEs faces unique challenges: ensuring stability and physical consistency; integrating heterogeneous discretizations such as grids, meshes, graphs, and spectral bases; handling

054 multi-scale dynamics; and establishing benchmarks and evaluation standards that bridge communities  
 055 of machine learning, numerical analysis, and domain sciences. These challenges demand a forum  
 056 where researchers from diverse backgrounds can come together, share insights, and chart the future  
 057 of AI-driven PDE modeling.

058 The proposed **AI&PDE-ICLR-2026** workshop will provide that forum. Building on the success  
 059 of related workshops at NeurIPS and ICML, this will be the first ICLR venue dedicated to the  
 060 intersection of PDEs and AI. Our goals are threefold:  
 061

- 062 1. To define the roadmap toward foundation models for PDEs.
- 063 2. To explore next-generation representations and architectures for PDE learning.
- 064 3. To foster a globally inclusive community spanning applied mathematics, physics, engineer-  
 065 ing, and machine learning.

067 By convening experts across disciplines, AI&PDE-ICLR-2026 will highlight both the remarkable  
 068 progress already made and the open technical questions that must be addressed to fully realize AI's  
 069 impact on PDE-driven science and engineering.

## 071 2 AI&PDE-ICLR-2026 PROPOSED WORKSHOP

073 The **AI&PDE-ICLR-2026** workshop will provide a dedicated forum for researchers in machine  
 074 learning, applied mathematics, physics, and engineering to address the challenges and opportunities  
 075 of applying AI to Partial Differential Equations (PDEs).

### 077 TECHNICAL GOALS & PROBLEMS TO SOLVE

079 We frame the program around three central and urgent research challenges:

- 081 1. **How can we build a foundation model for PDEs?** While neural operators, PINNs,  
 082 and hybrid solvers have shown promise, an open challenge remains: designing scalable,  
 083 general-purpose foundation models that can transfer across PDE families, geometries, and  
 084 boundary conditions. Addressing this problem requires cross-disciplinary expertise and  
 085 shared benchmarks.
- 086 2. **What are next-generation representations of PDEs?** PDE systems require diverse rep-  
 087 resentations (structured grids, irregular meshes, spectral expansions, graphs). The right  
 088 choice of representation directly impacts stability, accuracy, and generalization. We seek  
 089 advances in operator learning, multimodal embeddings, mesh-free methods, and geometric  
 090 deep learning to overcome these bottlenecks.
- 091 3. **How do we build shared datasets and benchmarks for PDE learning?** Unlike fields  
 092 such as vision and NLP, PDE datasets are fragmented across domains (fluids, quantum  
 093 systems, elasticity, climate) with heterogeneous discretizations and varying levels of physical  
 094 fidelity. Standardized benchmarks that include realistic boundary conditions, multi-physics  
 095 couplings, and uncertainty quantification are largely missing. A key challenge is curating  
 096 open, diverse, and multimodal datasets that can serve as the foundation for reproducible  
 097 research and the evaluation of PDE foundation models.

098 By explicitly highlighting these problems, the workshop encourages participants to propose novel  
 099 methods, new datasets, benchmarks, and theoretical insights that push the frontier of PDE learning.

100 We commit to a program that **centers contributed work**, with oral spotlights, poster sessions, and  
 101 interactive discussion time. Roughly half of the program will be devoted to invited talks and panels,  
 102 and the other half to contributed papers and posters. To enhance visibility, accepted works will be  
 103 featured in spotlight sessions interleaved with invited keynotes.

### 105 FULL PAPERS TRACK

106 We will host a **Full Papers Track** (up to 9 pages, excluding references) to encourage comprehensive  
 107 contributions. This track will:

108

- Welcome mature research on neural PDE solvers, operator learning, physics-informed
- 109 methods, datasets, and benchmarks.
- 110
- Allow detailed methodological, theoretical, and empirical studies with rigorous evaluation.
- 111
- Ensure visibility for these contributions through oral presentations, spotlights, and poster
- 112 sessions.
- 113

114 This track provides a venue for substantial work that may not yet be ready for submission to the ICLR  
 115 main conference but benefits from in-depth community feedback.  
 116

117 **TINY AND SHORT PAPERS TRACK**

118 We will host a **Tiny Papers Track** (2–4 pages) modeled on the ICLR initiative. This track will:

119

- Welcome late-breaking results, negative findings, benchmarks, and small-scale experiments.
- 120
- Provide opportunities for students and junior researchers outside the traditional ML confer-
- 121 ence circuit.
- 122
- Exclude AI-generated papers, in compliance with ICLR policy, while permitting AI-assisted
- 123 writing with human oversight.
- 124

125 This track ensures accessibility and inclusivity, while prioritizing timely feedback from the ICLR  
 126 community.  
 127

128 **SUBMISSION TIMELINE**

Date (AOE)	Milestone
8 Sep 2025	Workshop application opens
10 Oct 2025 (11:59pm)	Workshop application deadline
1 Dec 2025	Workshop acceptance notifications
8–12 Dec 2025	Finalize CFP text, website, OpenReview venue; recruit reviewers
15 Dec 2025	Call for Papers (CFP) announced; PC onboarding begins
6–10 Jan 2026	Reviewer assignment & bidding period
30 Jan 2026	Suggested submission deadline for workshop contributions
31 Jan–20 Feb 2026	Review period
21–28 Feb 2026	Final decisions and meta-reviews
<b>1 Mar 2026 (11:59pm)</b>	<b>Global author notification deadline (mandatory); all accepted papers public on OpenReview</b>
2–10 Mar 2026	Camera-ready updates (minor fixes, links, artifacts)
<b>11 Mar 2026 (11:59pm)</b>	<b>Import workshop program &amp; accepted papers to <a href="http://iclr.cc">iclr.cc</a></b>

147 Table 1: End-to-end submission timeline aligned with ICLR 2026 workshop requirements. All times  
 148 are AoE.  
 149

150 **COMMUNITY-BUILDING INITIATIVES**

151

- **Themed Track: Multi-Physics & Multimodal PDE Learning:** A focused track on PDEs  
 152 that span domains (e.g., fluid-structure interaction, thermo-mechanics, and climate PDEs  
 153 with multimodal data).
- 154
- **Mentorship:** Organizers will encourage informal mentoring during poster sessions and  
 155 themed tracks, pairing early-career participants with senior researchers.
- 156
- **Global South Engagement:** The organizing committee includes members from Latin  
 157 America and strong collaborations with institutions such as IMPA, IMPA Tech, UFRJ, CBPF,  
 158 and Petrobras, ensuring active participation from underrepresented regions in scientific ML.  
 159 These efforts aim to promote equitable access through hybrid participation, and community-  
 160 led discussions.  
 161

162     • **Post-Workshop Focus Collection: IOP Machine Learning: Science and Technology**  
163     **(MLST):** To extend the impact of the workshop, we have partnered with the journal *Machine*  
164     *Learning: Science and Technology* (IOP MLST) to organize a dedicated **Focus Collection**  
165     featuring selected contributions and invited papers arising from the AI&PDE community.  
166     This initiative will provide a formal venue for publishing extended versions of high-quality  
167     workshop papers, fostering continued collaboration among researchers from machine learn-  
168     ing, applied mathematics, and the physical sciences.  
169     • **Pre-Workshop Outreach Event (Brazil):** In collaboration with local partners, including  
170     the PUC–Behring Institute for Artificial Intelligence, IMPA, IMPA Tech, UFRJ, CBPF, and  
171     PETROBRAS, we will organize a pre-workshop event to engage students and early-career  
172     researchers. This event will include tutorials, lightning talks, and networking sessions  
173     designed to build local capacity and strengthen Brazil’s role in the global AI&PDE research  
174     ecosystem.

175     ADVERTISING AND OUTREACH PLAN FOR AI&PDE WORKSHOP AT ICLR 2026

177     The AI&PDE Workshop at ICLR 2026 aims to bring together researchers and professionals working  
178     at the intersection of Artificial Intelligence and Partial Differential Equations. To maximize visibility  
179     and engagement, we propose a multi-channel outreach strategy targeting academia, industry, and the  
180     broader AI community.

182     WORKSHOP WEBSITE

184     A dedicated webpage will be created with the following sections:

185     • **Home Page:** Overview of the workshop, objectives, and relevance.  
186     • **Speakers & Panelists:** Bios, photos, affiliations, and talk titles.  
187     • **Schedule:** Agenda with session times and formats.  
188     • **Call for Participation:** Submission guidelines and registration info.  
189     • **Contact & Updates:** Newsletter signup and social media links.

192     ACADEMIC OUTREACH

194     • Faculty ambassadors to promote the workshop in classes and seminars. The use of workshop  
195     ambassadors at academic and research institutions involves appointing individuals responsi-  
196     ble for promoting the workshop within their organizations and assisting with submissions.  
197     Confirmed institutions with ambassadors include: Caltech, CBPF, CENPES-Petrobras, Dal-  
198     lara, EPFL, ETH Zurich, FGV - RJ, FIOCRUZ, IBM, IMPA, IMPA Tech, Johns Hopkins  
199     University, Lancaster University, LNCC, MIT, Nvidia, PUC-Behring Institute for Artificial  
200     Intelligence, UCLA, UCSD, UFBA, UFF, UFRGS, UFRJ, Unicamp, University of Georgia,  
201     and USP.

202     INDUSTRY ENGAGEMENT

203     • Internal newsletters via IBM, DALLARA, PETROBRAS, and NVIDIA.  
204     • LinkedIn posts from company and personal profiles.  
205     • Pre-event webinars featuring workshop speakers.

208     SOCIAL MEDIA CAMPAIGN: PLATFORMS

210     LinkedIn, X (Twitter), Instagram, and YouTube.

212     CROSS-PROMOTION

213     • Submit content to ICLR’s official channels.  
214     • Announcements on partner institution websites.  
215     • Blurs in newsletters such as AIhub and ML Collective.

216 PREVIOUS RELATED WORKSHOPS  
217

218 Recent years have seen growing interest in AI for scientific modeling across top venues. At **ICLR**  
 219 **2024**, the *AI4DifferentialEquations in Science* workshop brought together methods for ODEs/PDEs  
 220 and highlighted open challenges in scalability and generalization, confirming strong community  
 221 demand for this area.<sup>1</sup> Earlier, **ICLR 2023** hosted *Physics for Machine Learning*, underscoring links  
 222 between representation learning and physical modeling, including neural PDE solvers.<sup>2</sup> At **NeurIPS**  
 223 **2022**, *The Symbiosis of Deep Learning and Differential Equations II* focused on neural DEs and  
 224 PINNs.<sup>3</sup> **ICML 2023**'s *Synergy of Scientific and Machine Learning Modeling (SynS & ML)* further  
 225 emphasized hybrid scientific–ML paradigms.<sup>4</sup>

226 Building upon these efforts, *AI&PDE–ICLR 2026* represents a natural evolution—yet with a distinct  
 227 emphasis. It is the first ICLR workshop dedicated specifically to **foundation models for PDEs**,  
 228 **cross-discretization operator learning**, and the **creation of shared multimodal benchmarks**.  
 229 The workshop also pioneers the integration of a post-event *IOP MLST Focus Collection*, ensuring  
 230 long-term visibility and scholarly continuity. Beyond its technical agenda, *AI&PDE–ICLR 2026*  
 231 stands out for its commitment to **open science, reproducibility, and global inclusion**, fostering  
 232 collaboration across disciplines and geographic regions.

233  
234 COMPLIANCE WITH ICLR REQUIREMENTS

- 235 • **Notification Deadline:** All acceptance decisions (oral, poster, full papers, and tiny papers)  
 236 will be communicated via OpenReview before 1 March 2026 (AOE).
- 237 • **Conflict of Interest Management:** Organizers will not act as reviewers for submissions  
 238 from their own institutions. OpenReview COI tools will be leveraged, and a program  
 239 committee with more than 30 institutions will ensure fairness.
- 240 • **In-Person Plan:** The workshop will be hosted on-site at ICLR 2026. We will record all  
 241 talks and make posters and papers available online to maximize accessibility for participants  
 242 who face visa or funding barriers.
- 243 • **LLM Usage Policy:** In line with ICLR 2026 policies, we explicitly prohibit AI-generated  
 244 papers in tiny/short tracks. AI assistance is allowed but must be transparently acknowledged,  
 245 with human authors retaining responsibility.
- 246 • **Audience Size & Engagement:** We anticipate approximately 150 participants, drawn from  
 247 ML, physics, and applied math communities. Engagement will be fostered via structured  
 248 Q&A, poster networking, and panel discussions.
- 249 • **Diversity Commitment:** The organizing team and invited speakers will represent diverse  
 250 perspectives across gender, geography, discipline, and seniority.

253 ANTICIPATED AUDIENCE & PARTICIPATION  
254

255 We anticipate an audience of approximately 150 participants from academia, research labs, and  
 256 industry, spanning machine learning, applied mathematics, physics, and engineering. We expect a  
 257 balanced mix of early-career researchers and senior experts. Engagement will be fostered through  
 258 open Q&A sessions, interactive poster discussions, and mentorship meetups during lunch and coffee  
 259 breaks. We will also encourage the submission of “tiny papers” from students and institutions  
 260 under-resourced to maximize accessibility and inclusion.

261  
262 VIRTUAL ACCESS & OUTCOMES

263 All talks, panels, and poster sessions will be recorded and made available on the workshop website  
 264 shortly after the event. Accepted papers and posters will be archived on OpenReview, ensuring  
 265 long-term accessibility. In addition, we will release slides, benchmark datasets, and open-source code

266 <sup>1</sup><https://ai4diffeqnsinsci.github.io/>

267 <sup>2</sup><https://physics4ml.github.io/>

268 <sup>3</sup><https://dlde-2022.github.io/>

269 <sup>4</sup><https://syns-ml.github.io/2023/>

270 linked to workshop contributions when available. The post-workshop IOP MLST Focus Collection  
 271 will further consolidate outcomes and sustain community collaboration.  
 272

273 **LOGISTICS & CLARITY**  
 274

275 **Scope, Page Limits, and Tracks.** We will follow ICLR 2026 workshop policies. The workshop  
 276 will host two tracks: (i) **Full Papers** (up to **9 pages** excluding references; ICLR format), and  
 277 (ii) **Tiny Papers** (2–4 pages; ICLR Tiny policy; no AI-generated papers, AI-assistance allowed  
 278 with disclosure). Submissions must include a *limitations* paragraph and an ethics statement when  
 279 applicable.  
 280

281 **Review Process and COI.** Reviews will be managed in **OpenReview**. Each paper receives **3**  
 282 reviews plus a **meta-review**. We will run a **bidding** phase, followed by **automatic and manual**  
 283 **assignment** with topical matching. Conflicts are enforced via OpenReview’s COI graph (institutional,  
 284 advisor/advisee, coauthors within 3 years). Organizers will not handle submissions from their own  
 285 institutions or collaborators and will not give talks at the workshop. **Desk-reject** criteria: policy  
 286 violations (e.g., anonymity breaches), over-length, missing artifact for “benchmark” papers, or  
 287 non-scientific content.  
 288

289 **Calibration & Mentored Reviewing.** Before reviews open, we will provide 2 calibration papers  
 290 per area (operator learning; stability/conservation; discretization-robust models; benchmarking/UQ)  
 291 with guidance rubrics. First-time reviewers can opt into a **mentored-review** path led by senior PC  
 292 members.  
 293

294 **Decision Policy and Visibility of Contributed Work.** Decisions balance technical soundness,  
 295 relevance to AI&PDE themes, clarity, and potential for discussion. Contributed work is centered:  
 296  $\geq 50\%$  of agenda time is devoted to contributed oral sessions, posters, and Q&A. All accepted papers  
 297 receive a poster; top-ranked receive spotlights or short orals.  
 298

299 **Artifacts, Reproducibility, and Benchmarks.** For papers proposing datasets/benchmarks or  
 300 reporting on PDE FMs, we **require** an artifact checklist (code or evaluation scripts; data or data-  
 301 access instructions; license; compute footprint; seeds). We will host a public GitHub org and link  
 302 DOIs where available. We will publish a minimal **evaluation kit** (stability/constraint violation  
 303 metrics, OOD generalization splits, and UQ protocols) and maintain a **leaderboard** for seeded tasks.  
 304

305 **Hybrid Access and Remote Participation.** The workshop is in-person by default. We will  
 306 **livestream via Zoom** with moderated remote Q&A (Slido backchannel). Poster authors may  
 307 optionally upload a **3-minute lightning video** and a one-page PDF teaser; all talks are recorded and  
 308 posted on the workshop website.  
 309

310 **Inclusion and Accessibility.** We will reserve **student-only lightning slots** in the Tiny track. We  
 311 will provide captioned recordings and share slides in accessible formats. Visa/attendance guidance  
 312 will be linked from the website.  
 313

314 **Risk Mitigation.** For unavoidable speaker conflicts, we will use pre-recorded talks with **live Q&A**  
 315 or substitute confirmed alternates. If network issues arise, we will **mirror slides/videos** on the  
 316 website and OpenReview and collect **asynchronous Q&A** for 7 days post-event.  
 317

318 **Timeline Compliance.** Our submission and decision schedule (Sec. 2) satisfies the **global notification**  
 319 **deadline of 1 March 2026 (AOE)**; the program is finalized and uploaded by **11 March 2026**.  
 320

321 **3 TENTATIVE SCHEDULE**  
 322

323 The AI&PDE-ICLR-2026 workshop will run for a full day during ICLR 2026. The agenda is designed  
 324 to balance invited content with contributed work, while ensuring time for interactive panels, poster  
 325 sessions, and community-building activities.  
 326

324	325	Time	Session
326	327	08:00–08:15	<b>Opening Remarks:</b> Workshop overview and goals
328	329	08:15–09:00	<b>Keynote 1: Anima Anandkumar (Caltech)</b>
330	331	09:00–09:30	Invited Talk: <b>Clécio R. Bom (CBPF)</b>
332	333	09:30–10:30	Contributed Oral Session I (Full Papers)
334	335	10:30–11:00	Coffee Break & Poster Session I (Full, Short, Tiny Papers)
336	337	11:00–11:30	Invited Talk: <b>Maximilian Herde (ETH Zürich)</b>
338	339	11:30–12:00	Invited Talk: <b>Jingmin Sun (Johns Hopkins/MIT)</b>
340	341	12:00–12:30	Contributed Oral Session II (Full Papers)
342	343	12:30–13:30	Lunch Break & Mentorship Meetups at Posters
344	345	13:30–14:15	<b>Keynote 2: Rose Yu (UC San Diego &amp; Amazon Scholar)</b>
346	347	14:15–14:45	Invited Talk: <b>Hayden Schaeffer (UCLA)</b>
348	349	14:45–15:15	Contributed Oral Session III (Tiny & Short Papers)
350	351	15:15–15:45	Coffee Break & Poster Session II
352	353	15:45–16:30	<b>Panel: The Future of AI and PDEs: An Industrial View</b>
354	355	16:30–16:50	Demo & Reproducibility Showcase (Open-source tools, benchmarks, datasets)
356	357	16:50–17:35	<b>Keynote 3: Cristiano Malossi (IBM Research Zurich)</b>
358	359	17:35–17:45	<b>Closing Remarks:</b> next steps for the AI&PDE research community

Table 2: Tentative workshop agenda with two additional invited speakers, maintaining balance between keynotes, invited talks, contributed oral sessions, and community-building activities.

## 4 INVITED SPEAKERS

Our invited speakers represent a diverse set of perspectives across machine learning, applied mathematics, physics, and engineering. Below we include short bios to highlight their expertise and relevance to the AI&PDE community. Most of the invited speakers listed below have confirmed their participation. The lineup reflects diversity across geography, career stage, gender, and expertise, in line with ICLR workshop guidelines.

### **Anima Anandkumar – California Institute of Technology – (Website, Google Scholar) CONFIRMED**

Anima Anandkumar is a Bren Professor at Caltech. She previously was a Senior Director of AI Research at NVIDIA and Principal Scientist at Amazon Web Services. She received her B.Tech from the Indian Institute of Technology Madras, and her Ph.D. from Cornell University. She did her postdoctoral research at MIT and an assistant professorship at the University of California Irvine. She has received several honors such as the IEEE Fellowship, Alfred. P. Sloan Fellowship, NSF CAREER Award, and Faculty Fellowships from Microsoft, Google, Facebook, and Adobe. She is also part of the World Economic Forum’s Expert Network.

### **Clécio R. Bom – Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF) – (Website, Google Scholar) CONFIRMED**

Clécio R. Bom is Professor and Technology Researcher at the Brazilian Center for Physics Research (CBPF), where he leads the Laboratory for Artificial Intelligence Applied to Physics (LAB-IA). His current work is focused on pushing the frontiers of AI in physics applications, including the inverse modelling with Neural Posterior Estimation, Physics-Informed Neural Networks and Agentic AI for physics in multiple fields including Astrophysics and Geophysics..

### **Cristiano Malossi – IBM Research – (Website, Google Scholar) CONFIRMED**

Cristiano Malossi is a Principal Research Scientist and Manager of the Frontiers of Computing and Simulation group at the IBM Research Laboratory in Zurich. From 2025, Cristiano is leading research on AI for PDEs applied to Physics and Engineering. Previously, between 2020-2024, Cristiano has led IBM’s global research and innovation strategy around Enterprise Visual Inspection, with a focus on inspection of large-scale infrastructures. His team designs, develops, and productizes scalable AI

378 cloud services for detection of small and rare defects in high-resolution data, bridging research with  
 379 industrial deployment.

380 **Hayden Schaeffer – University of California – (Website, Google Scholar) Tentative**

381 Hayden Schaeffer is the Director of Applied Mathematics and a Professor of Mathematics at the  
 382 University of California, Los Angeles. His research is in mathematical and scientific machine learning,  
 383 differential equations, randomization, and modeling. He has received an NSF CAREER award and an  
 384 AFOSR Young Investigator Award. Previously, he was an NSF Mathematical Sciences Postdoctoral  
 385 Research Fellow, a von Karman Instructor at Caltech, a UC President’s Postdoctoral Fellow at UC  
 386 Irvine, an NDSEG Fellow, and a Collegium of University Teaching Fellow at UCLA.

387 **Jingmin Sun – Johns Hopkins University – (Website, Google Scholar) CONFIRMED**

388 Jingmin Sun is a postdoctoral fellow in the Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics at  
 389 Johns Hopkins University (JHU), working with Prof. Mauro Maggioni. She obtained her Ph.D.  
 390 in Mathematical Science from Carnegie Mellon University (CMU), working with Prof. Hayden  
 391 Schaeffer (UCLA), and a B.S. degree in Mathematical Science from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
 392 (RPI). Her research interests lie in Mathematical machine learning, differential equations, and  
 393 optimization.

394 **Maximilian Herde – ETH Zürich – (Website, Google Scholar) to be confirmed**

395 Maximilian Herde is a doctoral researcher at the Computational and Applied Mathematics Labo-  
 396 ratory (CAMLab) group, ETH Zürich, working under the supervision of Prof. Siddhartha Mishra.  
 397 His research focuses on scientific machine learning, operator learning, and foundation models for  
 398 partial differential equations. He is a coauthor of RIGNO and Poseidon, frameworks for robust and  
 399 generalizable PDE operator learning. Maximilian received the ETH Medal in 2025 for his master’s  
 400 thesis “On Foundation Models for Partial Differential Equations”.

401 **Rose Yu – UC San Diego & Amazon Scholar – (Website, Google Scholar) CONFIRMED**

402 Rose Yu is an Associate Professor at UC San Diego in the Department of Computer Science and  
 403 Engineering and an Amazon Scholar. She is a primary faculty member with the AI Group. Her  
 404 research interests focus on machine learning for large-scale spatiotemporal data, and she is particularly  
 405 excited about AI for scientific discovery. She has received the Presidential Early Career Award for  
 406 Scientists and Engineers (PECASE), the DARPA Young Faculty Award, the ECASE Award, the NSF  
 407 CAREER Award, the Hellman Fellowship, and multiple faculty awards from Sony, JP Morgan, Meta,  
 408 Google, Amazon, and Adobe. She was named one of MIT Technology Review’s Innovators Under  
 409 35 in AI.

410  
 411 **5 PANELISTS**

412  
 413 In addition to invited speakers, we will host a panel discussion with confirmed participants from  
 414 academia and industry. This panel brings together industry leaders to discuss how artificial intelligence  
 415 is transforming the way complex PDE-driven problems are tackled across sectors such as aerospace,  
 416 automotive, energy, climate modeling, and materials science. Panelists will share insights into how  
 417 AI is accelerating simulations, enabling real-time optimization, and solving inverse problems that  
 418 were previously intractable.

419 **Lucas Nissenbaum – Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA) – ( Google Scholar) –**  
 420 **Panel Moderator**

421 Lucas Nissenbaum is a Project Scientist at IMPA’s Center for Projects and Innovation and the institu-  
 422 tion’s Manager of Technological Projects. He holds a Master’s and PhD in Electrical Engineering and  
 423 Computer Science from MIT. His work focuses on developing academic-industrial collaborations in  
 424 applied mathematics, with a particular emphasis on data science and machine learning. Currently,  
 425 he leads a collaboration with Petrobras that aims to use physics-informed neural networks to solve  
 426 partial differential equations, with a specific focus on seismic inversion applied to geophysical data.  
 427 He is also developing a project for the automated reading of answer sheets from the Brazilian Public  
 428 School Mathematics Olympiad (OBMEP), applying computer vision and image processing methods.

429 **Jay Lee – University of Maryland – (Website, Google Scholar) – Panelist – to be confirmed**

430 Dr. Jay Lee is Clark Distinguished Chair Professor and Director of Industrial AI Center in the  
 431 Mechanical Engineering Dept. of the Univ. of Maryland College Park. His current research  
 is focused on developing non-traditional machine learning including transfer learning, domain

adaptation, similarity-based machine learning, stream-of-x machine learning, as well as industrial large knowledge model (ILKM), etc. In addition, he is leading the Data Foundry which consists of over 100 diversified industrial datasets including semiconductor manufacturing, jet engines, wind turbine, EVs, high speed train, machine tools, robots, medical TBI, etc. for industrial AI talent development. These datasets are also used to rapidly develop and validate Industrial AI system with scalable and systematic approaches. In addition, he is developing an "AI Factory" initiative to establish an Industrial AI Open Lab. to develop new breed of industrial AI engineers.

**John R. Smith – IBM Research – ([Website](#), [Google Scholar](#)) – Panelist – CONFIRMED**

Dr. John R. Smith is IBM Fellow and Head of AI for Math and Science at IBM T. J. Watson Research Center. Dr. Smith received Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering, Columbia University, 1997, where he was awarded the Eliahu I. Jury prize for outstanding thesis in signal processing. Dr. Smith has led research at IBM in diverse areas spanning computer vision, speech, language, multimedia, and scientific discovery in domains such as chemistry and materials, healthcare and life sciences, and climate and sustainability. Dr. Smith has published in many top journals and conferences (h-index = 88, i10-index = 350, #citations = 34K) and has been awarded more than 100 patents. Dr. Smith has received ACM SIGMM Award for Outstanding Technical Contributions to Multimedia Computing, Communications and Applications and is Fellow of IEEE.

**Pablo Javier Blanco – Laboratório Nacional de Computação Científica (LNCC/MCTI) – ([Website](#), [Google Scholar](#)) – Panelist – CONFIRMED**

Dr. Pablo J. Blanco received his PhD in Computational Modeling from the LNCC (2008, Brazil). He is a Senior Permanent Researcher at the National Laboratory for Scientific Computing (LNCC/MCTI, Brazil) and Head of the HeMoLab (Hemodynamics Modeling Laboratory) group at the LNCC. Dr. Blanco was an Affiliated Member of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences (2014-2018), and is currently a member of the World Council of Biomechanics and co-PI of the National Institute of Science and Technology in Medicine Assisted by Scientific Computing (INCT-MACC). He has been awarded the Productivity Fellowship Level 1B (CNPq) and the Scientist of our State Fellowship (FAPERJ). Dr. Blanco has published over 130 refereed papers in international scientific journals (H-index = 32, with more than 3,100 citations, according to Scopus). His primary research focuses on modeling and simulating the cardiovascular system, computational hemodynamics, and multi-scale modeling techniques in multi-physics systems, with applications in both basic science and the medical field. Dr. Blanco is also responsible for leading the HeMoLab group through the construction of the ultimate cardiovascular simulator known as the ADAVN (Anatomically Detailed Arterial-Venous Network) model.

**Pavel Dimitrov – NVIDIA – ([Website](#)) – Panelist – tentative**

Pavel Dimitrov is a senior solutions architect at NVIDIA. He helps energy partners and customers discover what's possible with accelerated computing and, most recently, through the use of physics-ML. More broadly, he likes to learn math and to apply it to other fields of knowledge. Prior to NVIDIA, Pavel spent over 12 years in oil and gas collaborating with scientists and engineers on research and development projects resulting in 16 granted patents. He holds a Ph.D. in Computer Science from Yale University.

471

## 6 ORGANIZERS

**Eduardo Soares – IBM Research – [eduardo\\_soares@ibm.com](mailto:eduardo_soares@ibm.com) – ([Website](#), [Google Scholar](#))**

Eduardo Soares is a Senior Research Scientist at IBM Research, specializing in the design and pre-training of large multimodal foundation models for scientific applications. His research focuses on integrating data-driven learning to model complex dynamical behaviors across scientific domains. His broader interests include representation learning, scientific simulation, and large-scale AI architectures for advancing scientific discovery. Previously, he contributed to the development of explainable AI techniques for autonomous systems and trustworthy deep learning methodologies. Eduardo earned his Ph.D. in Computer Science from Lancaster University, where his research received the Best Doctoral Dissertation Award from the International Neural Networks Society in 2022.

**Daniel Yukimura – Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA) – [yukimura@impa.br](mailto:yukimura@impa.br) – ([Google Scholar](#))**

Daniel Yukimura is a Project Scientist at the Center for Projects and Innovation at IMPA (Brazil), where he develops academic-industrial collaborations that apply advanced mathematics and AI to

486 real-world challenges. His research focuses on simulation methods and machine learning for scientific  
 487 and engineering problems, with contributions spanning particle filtering techniques and the theoretical  
 488 analysis of neural networks. He is currently working on physically informed machine learning for  
 489 partial differential equations, with applications to seismic inversion in collaboration with Petrobras.  
 490

491 **Nara Bobko – IMPA Tech – [nara.bobko@impatech.edu.br](mailto:nara.bobko@impatech.edu.br) – (Website, Google Scholar)**  
 492 Nara Bobko is the Academic Manager at IMPA Tech, the undergraduate program of IMPA (Brazil),  
 493 where she also serves as a professor and coordinator of the Bachelor's in Mathematics of Technol-  
 494 ogy and Innovation. Her research interests focus on mathematical modeling applied to biological  
 495 phenomena, particularly population dynamics and infectious disease modeling.  
 496

497 **Arthur Bazzi – EPFL – [arthur.coutinhobazzi@epfl.ch](mailto:arthur.coutinhobazzi@epfl.ch) – (Website, Google Scholar)**  
 498 Arthur Bazzi is a postdoctoral Research Associate at the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne  
 499 (EPFL), at the Intelligent Maintenance and Operations Systems (IMOS) laboratory. His research  
 500 interests lie in the application of numerical and analytical methods from the theory of dynamical  
 501 systems to the development of Physics-Informed architectures for scientific machine learning.  
 502

503 **Siddhartha Mishra – ETH Zürich – [smishra@math.ethz.ch](mailto:smishra@math.ethz.ch) – (Website, Google Scholar)**  
 504 Siddhartha Mishra is a chair Professor of Applied Mathematics at ETH Zurich, where he heads  
 505 the Computational and Applied Mathematics Laboratory (CAMLab) and the Seminar for Applied  
 506 Mathematics. He is also the Director of Computational Science Zurich, a core faculty member of the  
 507 ETH AI Center and a steering committee member of the Swiss National AI institute. His research  
 508 interests lie in the fields of numerical analysis, scientific computing and machine learning/AI with  
 509 applications to different fields of science and engineering including fluid dynamics, astrophysics,  
 510 climate science, geophysics and biology. He is an elected Fellow of the European Academy of  
 511 Sciences and a recipient of the Collatz Prize of ICIAM, the Dahlquist Prize of SIAM, and the von  
 512 Mises Prize of GAMM, among others. He was also an invited speaker at the International Congress  
 513 of Mathematicians (ICM) in Rio in 2018.  
 514

515 **Elisa Serioli – Dallara – [e.serioli@dallara.it](mailto:e.serioli@dallara.it) – (Website)**

516 Elisa Serioli is the Head of CFD Methodology at Dallara, where she leads the development and  
 517 implementation of advanced Computational Fluid Dynamics techniques. Her work focuses on  
 518 optimizing aerodynamic performance and ensuring the high-fidelity application of CFD tools in  
 519 cutting-edge motorsport and engineering projects. She is a recognized leader in leveraging simulation  
 520 to drive innovation and performance gains within the automotive industry.  
 521

522 **Ana Muller – Petrobras – [anapmuller@petrobras.com.br](mailto:anapmuller@petrobras.com.br) – (Google Scholar)**

523 Ana Paula Muller has worked in the Geophysical Technology Department of Petrobras Exploration  
 524 since 2014, developing algorithms for migration velocity analysis and FWI, fundamental tools that  
 525 help ensure a high-quality seismic image and reduce uncertainty. Her research integrates deep  
 526 learning methods into the velocity model-building flow, developing artificial intelligence technologies  
 527 for Petrobras's seismic processing algorithms.  
 528

## 529 PROGRAM CHAIRS

530 The Program Chairs will oversee the review process, coordinate with the organizing team, and ensure  
 531 fairness, diversity, and high scientific quality across all submissions. They will also liaise with the  
 532 ICLR Workshop Chairs and maintain the schedule and logistics of accepted talks and posters.  
 533

534 **Emilio Vital Brazil – IBM Research – [evital@br.ibm.com](mailto:evital@br.ibm.com) (Website, Google Scholar)**

535 Emilio Vital Brazil is Senior Research Scientist and Manager of the AI-Assisted Decision-Making  
 536 Group at IBM Research Brazil. He holds a Ph.D. in Applied Mathematics from IMPA and works on  
 537 AI, knowledge representation, and risk characterization for complex decision-making. Emilio has  
 538 published over 40 papers in venues such as Communications Chemistry and npj Artificial Intelligence  
 539 and is inventor on several U.S. patents in machine learning and visual analytics. His recent research  
 540 includes foundation models for material discovery and multi-view architectures for molecular property  
 541 prediction.  
 542

543 **Renato Cerqueira – Behring Institute of AI at PUC-Rio – [rcerq@puc-rio.br](mailto:rcerq@puc-rio.br) (Website,**  
 544 **Google Scholar)**

545 Renato Cerqueira is the Director of the Behring Institute for Artificial Intelligence at PUC-Rio, whose  
 546

mission is to align research and the advancement of AI technologies with topics of great societal impact. Before taking on this role, he was a Senior Research Manager at IBM Research Brazil, where he led the Knowledge-centric Systems group, investigating new technologies for Human-AI co-creation and scalable knowledge management in processes such as scientific discovery, decision-making under uncertainty, and data interpretation. In collaboration with various clients and external partners, Renato and his team explored the application of these research efforts in areas such as Materials Design, Geosciences, and Finance.

## PROGRAM COMMITTEE

The Program Committee will be coordinated by the program chairs, with active support from the organizers, invited speakers, panelists, and institutional ambassadors. Together, they will recruit reviewers among researchers, postdoctoral fellows, and Ph.D. students from diverse institutions. We expect to gather approximately **100 reviewers across more than 20 institutions**, ensuring broad representation across regions, genders, and career stages. Each paper will receive **at least three reviews**, and the review load will not exceed three papers per reviewer. This distributed model guarantees constructive and detailed feedback while maintaining fairness and inclusivity in the evaluation process.

## REFERENCES

Henrik Bruus and Karsten Flensberg. *Many-body quantum theory in condensed matter physics: an introduction*. Oxford university press, 2004.

Shengze Cai, Zhiping Mao, Zhicheng Wang, Minglang Yin, and George Em Karniadakis. Physics-informed neural networks (pinns) for fluid mechanics: A review. *Acta Mechanica Sinica*, 37(12):1727–1738, 2021.

Jingrun Chen, Xurong Chi, Zhouwang Yang, et al. Bridging traditional and machine learning-based algorithms for solving pdes: the random feature method. *J Mach Learn*, 1(3):268–298, 2022.

Chun-Wun Cheng, Jiahao Huang, Yi Zhang, Guang Yang, Carola-Bibiane Schönlieb, and Angelica I Aviles-Rivero. Mamba neural operator: Who wins? transformers vs. state-space models for pdes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.02113*, 2024.

Lawrence C Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19. American mathematical society, 2022.

A Fasoli, S Brunner, WA Cooper, JP Graves, P Ricci, O Sauter, and L Villard. Computational challenges in magnetic-confinement fusion physics. *Nature Physics*, 12(5):411–423, 2016.

Evangelos Galaris, Gianluca Fabiani, Ioannis Gallos, Ioannis Kevrekidis, and Constantinos Siettos. Numerical bifurcation analysis of pdes from lattice boltzmann model simulations: a parsimonious machine learning approach. *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 92(2):34, 2022.

YiMing Gao, Bing Wang, Jingyi Lu, and Zhou Tian. Ml-pinn: A memory-efficient physics-informed mamba-lstm network for fast and accurate pde solving. *Neurocomputing*, pp. 131446, 2025.

Maximilian Herde, Bogdan Raonic, Tobias Rohner, Roger Käppeli, Roberto Molinaro, Emmanuel de Bézenac, and Siddhartha Mishra. Poseidon: Efficient foundation models for pdes. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:72525–72624, 2024.

George Em Karniadakis, Ioannis G Kevrekidis, Lu Lu, Paris Perdikaris, Sifan Wang, and Liu Yang. Physics-informed machine learning. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 3(6):422–440, 2021.

Nikola Kovachki, Samuel Lanthaler, and Siddhartha Mishra. On universal approximation and error bounds for fourier neural operators. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22(290):1–76, 2021.

Nikola Kovachki, Zongyi Li, Burigede Liu, Kamyar Azizzadenesheli, Kaushik Bhattacharya, Andrew Stuart, and Anima Anandkumar. Neural operator: Learning maps between function spaces with applications to pdes. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 24(89):1–97, 2023.

594 Mark Lundstrom. Fundamentals of carrier transport, 2nd edn. *Measurement Science and Technology*,  
 595 13(2):230–230, 2002.

596

597 Giovanni Manfredi, Paul-Antoine Hervieux, and Jérôme Hurst. Fluid descriptions of quantum  
 598 plasmas. *Reviews of Modern Plasma Physics*, 5(1):7, 2021.

599

600 Chuizheng Meng, Sam Griesemer, Defu Cao, Sungyong Seo, and Yan Liu. When physics meets  
 601 machine learning: A survey of physics-informed machine learning. *Machine Learning for Compu-  
 602 tational Science and Engineering*, 1(1):20, 2025.

603

604 Tim N Palmer. *The Primacy of Doubt: From climate change to quantum physics, how the science of  
 605 uncertainty can help predict and understand our chaotic world*. Oxford University Press, 2022.

606

607 Christopher J Pethick and Henrik Smith. *Bose–Einstein condensation in dilute gases*. Cambridge  
 608 university press, 2008.

609

610 Junhong Shen, Tanya Marwah, and Ameet Talwalkar. Ups: Efficiently building foundation models  
 611 for pde solving via cross-modal adaptation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07187*, 2024.

612

613 Jingmin Sun, Yuxuan Liu, Zecheng Zhang, and Hayden Schaeffer. Towards a foundation model for  
 614 partial differential equations: Multioperator learning and extrapolation. *Physical Review E*, 111(3):  
 035304, 2025.

615

616 Sifan Wang, Hanwen Wang, and Paris Perdikaris. Improved architectures and training algorithms for  
 617 deep operator networks. *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 92(2):35, 2022.

618

619 Florian Wiesner, Matthias Wessling, and Stephen Baek. Towards a physics foundation model. *arXiv  
 620 preprint arXiv:2509.13805*, 2025.

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647