XrayGPT: Chest Radiographs Summarization using Large Medical Vision-Language Models

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

 The latest breakthroughs in large language models (LLMs) and vision-language models (VLMs) have showcased promising capabili- ties toward performing a wide range of tasks. Such models are typically trained on massive datasets comprising billions of image-text pairs with diverse tasks. However, their performance on task-specific domains, such as radiology, is still under-explored. While few works have recently explored LLMs-based conversational medical models, they mainly focus on text- based analysis. In this paper, we introduce XrayGPT, a conversational medical vision- language (VLMs) model that can analyze and answer open-ended questions about chest ra- diographs. Specifically, we align both medical visual encoder with a fine-tuned LLM to pos- sess visual conversation abilities, grounded in an understanding of radiographs and medical knowledge. For improved alignment of chest radiograph data, we generate 217k interactive and high-quality summaries from free-text radi- ology reports. Extensive experiments are con- ducted to validate the merits of XrayGPT. To conduct an expert evaluation, certified medical doctors evaluated the output of our XrayGPT on a test sub-set and the results reveal that more 028 than 70% of the responses are scientifically ac-**curate, with an average score of 4/5. We hope** our simple and effective method establishes a solid baseline, facilitating future research to- ward automated analysis and summarization of chest radiographs. Code, models, and instruc-tion sets will be publicly released.

035 1 Introduction

 The Large-scale Vision-Language models have emerged as a transformative area of research at the intersection of computer vision and natural language processing, enabling machines to under- stand and generate information from both visual and textual modalities. These models represent a significant advancement in the field, bridging the gap between visual perception and language com- **043** prehension, and have demonstrated remarkable ca- **044** pabilities across various tasks, including but not **045** limited to image captioning [\(Hossain et al.,](#page-4-0) [2019\)](#page-4-0), **046** visual question answering [\(Lu et al.,](#page-4-1) [2023\)](#page-4-1), and vi- **047** sual commonsense reasoning [\(Zellers et al.,](#page-4-2) [2019\)](#page-4-2). **048** Training these models requires vast amounts of **049** image and text data, enabling them to learn rich **050** representations that capture the intricacies of both **051** modalities. Additionally, fine-tuning can be em- **052** ployed using task-specific data to better align the **053** models with specific end tasks and user preferences. **054** Recently, Bard and GPT-4 have demonstrated im- **055** pressive capabilities in various tasks, raising excite- **056** ment within the research community and industry. **057** However, it is important to note that the models **058** of Bard and GPT-4 are not currently available as **059** open-source, limiting access to their underlying **060** architecture and implementation details. **061**

Recently, Mini-GPT [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3) demon- **062** strates a range of impressive capabilities by align- **063** ing both vision and language models. It excels **064** at generating contextual descriptions based on the **065** given image. However, it is not as effective in **066** medical scenarios due to the significant differences **067** between medical image-text pairs and general web **068** content. Adopting vision-text pre-training in the **069** medical domain is a challenging task because of **070** two factors: (1) Lack of data, Mini-GPT has trained **071** the projection layer on a dataset of 5M image-text **072** pairs, while the total number of publicly available **073** medical images and reports is orders of magni- **074** tude below. (2) Different modalities and domains, **075** while Mini-GPT may involve distinguishing between broad categories like "Person" and "Car" the **077** distinctions within medical domains are much more **078** subtle and fine-grained. For instance, differentiat- **079** ing between terms like "Pneumonia" and "Pleural **080** Effusion" requires more precision by capturing and **081** aligning relevant medical domain knowledge. **082**

Chest radiographs are vital for clinical decision- **083**

 making as they offer essential diagnostic and prog- nostic insights about the patients. Text summariza-086 tion tasks can partially address this challenge by providing meaningful information and summaries based on the given radiology reports. In our ap- proach, we go beyond traditional summarization techniques by providing concise summaries that highlight the key findings and the impression based on the X-ray. Additionally, our model allows for in- teractive engagement, enabling users to ask follow- up questions based on the provided answers. We argue that based on the visual and large language models, the majority of knowledge acquired dur- ing the pertaining stage of these models requires a domain-specific high-quality instruction set de- rived from task-specific data to achieve promising results. The main contributions of our work are:-

- **101** We generate interactive and clean summaries **102** (217k) from free-text radiology reports of two **103** datasets: MIMIC-CXR [\(Johnson et al.,](#page-4-4) [2019\)](#page-4-4) **104** and OpenI [\(Demner-Fushman et al.,](#page-4-5) [2015\)](#page-4-5). **105** These summaries serve to enhance the perfor-**106** mance of our XrayGPT by fine-tuning the lin-**107** ear transformation layer on high-quality data.
- **108** To obtain a medical LLM, we fine-tuned a **109** standard LLM (Vicuna) on medical data (100k **110** real conversations) and 20k radiology conver-111 **111** sations to acquire domain-specific features.
- **112** In our XrayGPT, the frozen specialized medi-**113** cal visual encoder is aligned with a fine-tuned **114** medical LLM, using a simple linear transfor-**115** mation to understand medical meanings and **116** acquire visual conversation capabilities.
- **117** We conduct experiments including an evalu-**118** ation study through certified medical doctors **119** to validate the merits of our XrayGPT. To pro-**120** mote future research, our codebase, fine-tuned **121** models, and high-quality instruction set along **122** with the recipe for data generation and model **123** training will be publicly released.

¹²⁴ 2 Related Work

Medical Chatbots: Recent medical chatbots have emerged as valuable tools in healthcare, providing personalized support and assistance to patients and professionals. The recently introduced Chatdoc- tor [\(Li et al.,](#page-4-6) [2023\)](#page-4-6), a next-gen AI doctor based on LLaMA [\(Touvron et al.,](#page-4-7) [2023\)](#page-4-7), aims to be an in- telligent healthcare companion, answering patient queries and offering personalized medical advice. After success of ChatGPT [\(OpenAI,](#page-4-8) [2022\)](#page-4-8), GPT- **133** 4 [\(OpenAI,](#page-4-9) [2023\)](#page-4-9) and other open source LLM's **134** [\(Touvron et al.,](#page-4-7) [2023;](#page-4-7) [Chiang et al.,](#page-4-10) [2023;](#page-4-10) [Taori](#page-4-11) **135** [et al.,](#page-4-11) [2023\)](#page-4-11), many medical chatbots were intro- **136** duced recently such as Med-Alpaca [\(Han et al.,](#page-4-12) **137** [2023\)](#page-4-12), PMC-LLaMA [\(Wu et al.,](#page-4-13) [2023\)](#page-4-13), and Doc- **138** torGLM [\(Xiong et al.,](#page-4-14) [2023\)](#page-4-14). These models utilize **139** open-source LLMs and are finetuned on medical **140** instructions, demonstrating the potential of chat- **141** bots to enhance patient engagement and health out- **142** comes with personalized interactions. **143**

Large Vision-Language Models: A significant **144** area of research in natural language processing **145** (NLP) and computer vision is the exploration of **146** Large Vision-Language Model (VLM) learning **147** techniques. This VLM aims to bridge the gap be- **148** tween visual and textual information, enabling ma- **149** chines to understand and generate content that com- **150** bines both modalities. Recent studies have demon- **151** strated the potential of VLM models in various **152** tasks, such as image captioning [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3), **153** [v](#page-4-16)isual question answering [\(Bazi et al.,](#page-4-15) [2023;](#page-4-15) [Liu](#page-4-16) **154** [et al.,](#page-4-16) [2023;](#page-4-16) [Muhammad Maaz and Khan,](#page-4-17) [2023\)](#page-4-17), **155** and image generation [\(Zhang and Agrawala,](#page-4-18) [2023\)](#page-4-18). **156**

3 Method **¹⁵⁷**

XrayGPT is an innovative conversational medi- **158** cal vision-language model designed for analyzing **159** chest radiographs. Our approach draws inspira- **160** tion from the design of vision-language models in **161** general, but with a specific focus on the medical **162** domain. Due to the limited availability of medical **163** image-summary pairs, we adopt a similar method- **164** ology by building upon a pre-trained medical vi- **165** sion encoder (VLM) and medical large language **166** model (LLM), as our foundation. The fine-tuning **167** process involves aligning both modalities using **168** high-quality image-summary pairs through a sim- **169** ple transformation layer. This alignment enables **170** XrayGPT to possess the capability of generating **171** insightful summaries about chest radiographs. **172**

3.1 Model Architecture **173**

We show in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) an overview of our XrayGPT. 174 Given the X-ray, we align both visual features 175 and textual information from a pre-trained med- **176** ical vision encoder (VLM), and medical large lan- **177** guage model (LLM). Specifically, we utilize Med- **178** Clip [\(Wang et al.,](#page-4-19) [2022\)](#page-4-19) as a visual encoder and **179** our large language model (LLM) is built upon the **180** recent Vicuna [\(Chiang et al.,](#page-4-10) [2023\)](#page-4-10). **181**

Figure 1: Overview of our XrayGPT framework. The input X-ray is passed sequentially to three components. (i) Frozen medical visual encoder to extract relevant features pertaining to the chest diagnosis. (ii) Learnable linear transformation layer to align the medical visual features with the Medical LLM to learn medical visual-text alignment. (iii) Frozen Medical LLM to generate X-ray summary based on encoded features and the given prompt.

182 Given X-ray $x \in R^{H \times W \times C}$, the visual encoder is used to encode the image into embeddings using **a** vision encoder E_{ima} . Then, the raw embeddings are mapped to an output dimension of 512 using a linear projection head f_v .

$$
\mathbf{V}_p = f_v(E_{img}(\mathbf{x})) \tag{1}
$$

 To bridge the gap between image-level features and the language decoder's embedding space, we employ a trainable linear transformation layer, de- noted as t. This layer projects the image-level **features, represented by** V_p **, into corresponding** language embedding tokens, denoted as \mathbf{L}_v :

$$
\mathbf{L}_v = t(v_p),\tag{2}
$$

195 We utilize pre-determined prompts in the given 196 format ###Doctor: <ImageFeature> 197 <Instruction> ###Assistant:

 ###Doctor, corresponds to the prompt. ###Assis- tant, serves the purpose of determining the system role, which in our case is defined as "*You are a helpful healthcare virtual assistant*." <Instruction> refers to a randomly selected instruction from our pre-defined set. Both text queries undergo tok- enization, resulting in dimensions represented by \mathbf{L}_t . Finally, \mathbf{L}_v is concatenated with \mathbf{L}_t and fed into the medical LLM, fine-tuned Vicuna, which generates the summary of the chest x-ray.

 Our XrayGPT follows a two-stage training approach. In the first stage, we pre-train the model using high-quality curated interactive sum- [m](#page-4-4)aries of the training set of MIMIC-CXR [\(John-](#page-4-4) [son et al.,](#page-4-4) [2019\)](#page-4-4) reports. While in the second stage, we use the curated interactive summaries of OpenI [\(Demner-Fushman et al.,](#page-4-5) [2015\)](#page-4-5) reports.

3.2 Image-text alignment **215**

To align the generated high-quality summaries with **216** the given x-ray, we use similar conversational for- **217** mat of the Vicuna [\(Chiang et al.,](#page-4-10) [2023\)](#page-4-10) language **218** model as follows: 219

 $#$ *##Doctor:* $X_R X_Q$ $#$ *##Assistant:* X_S 220

where X_R is the visual representation produced 221 by the linear transformation layer for image X, **222** X_Q is a sampled question (e.g. What are the main 223 findings and impression of the given X-ray?), and **224** X_S is the associated summary for image X. 225

4 Curating high-quality data **²²⁶**

Datasets: The MIMIC-CXR consists of a collec- **227** tion of chest radiographs associated with free-text **228** radiology reports. It consists of 377,110 images **229** and 227,827 associated reports, which are used for **230** both training and testing purposes. The dataset is **231** de-identified by removing the health information to **232** satisfy health insurance and privacy requirements. **233** The OpenI dataset is a collection of chest X-ray im- **234** ages from the Indiana University hospital network, **235** composing 6,459 images and 3,955 reports. **236**

High Quality and Interactive Summaries: To **237** generate concise and coherent medical summaries **238** from the unstructured reports, we perform the fol- **239** lowing pre-processing steps for both datasets: (1) **240** Removal of incomplete reports lacking finding or **241** impression sections. (2) Elimination of reports that **242** have finding sections containing less than 10 words. **243** (3) Exclusion of reports with impression sections **244** containing less than 2 words. **245**

In addition, utilizing the power of gpt-3.5-turbo **246** model, we further implement the following preprocessing techniques to ensure high-quality sum- **248**

3

 maries per image: (1) Elimination of sentences containing comparisons to the patient's prior med- ical history. (2) Removal of de-defined symbols "__", while preserving the original meaning. (3) As our training relies on image-text pairs, we ex- cluded the provided view from the summary. (4) We combine the clean findings and impressions to generate an interactive and high-quality summary.

 Following these steps, we obtained a set of fil- tered training reports consisting of 114,690 reports associated with 241k training images based on Mimic-CXR dataset. Also, we obtain 3,403 high- quality summaries that used for training based on the OpenI dataset. We show an example before and after our pre-processing in Appendix [A.1.](#page-5-0)

²⁶⁴ 5 Experiments

265 5.1 Implementation Details

 Stage-1 Training: The model is designed in this stage to gain understanding of how Xray image fea- tures and corresponding reports are interconnected by analyzing a large set of image-text pairs. We em- ploy our high-quality interactive report summaries [a](#page-4-4)s described in sec. [4](#page-2-1) of MIMIC-CXR [\(Johnson](#page-4-4) [et al.,](#page-4-4) [2019\)](#page-4-4) train set with 213,514 image-text pairs. The model is trained for 320k steps with a total batch size of 128 using 4 AMD MI250X GPUs. Stage-2 Training: The pretrained model of stage-1 is fine-tuned on 3k highly curated image-text pairs from OpenI dataset [\(Demner-Fushman et al.,](#page-4-5) [2015\)](#page-4-5). The total training steps are 5k, with a total batch size of 32 using single AMD MI250X GPU.

280 5.2 Evaluation Metrics

 We use Rogue Score as an evaluation metric to assess the contributions of our components over the baseline Mini-GPT [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3). The Rogue Score serves as a valuable quantitative measure to assess the performance of different text generation models with the ground truth. Then, we use GPT- based evaluation schema to assess the quality and coherence of the text generated by our approaches, compared to the baseline. Furthermore, we provide certified medical doctors evaluation for 50 samples derived from the MIMIC-CXR testing set.

292 5.3 Results

 In this section, we highlight a key contribution of our XrayGPT compared to our baseline [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3). We conduct quantitative evaluation using ad-vanced metrics such as Rogue score and GPT-based

Method	$R-1$	$R-2$	R-L
Baseline	0.1313	0.0221	0.0879
$+$ MedCLIP	0.1517	0.0308	0.0973
+ MedVicuna	0.2099	0.0551	0.1284
+ RadVicuna	0.3213	0.0912	0.1997

Table 1: Comparison of our XrayGPT components with Baseline [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3) using Rogue scores on MIMIC-CXR [\(Johnson et al.,](#page-4-4) [2019\)](#page-4-4) Test set. Our approach outperforms the recent Minigpt-4 with an absolute gain of 19% in terms of R-1 score.

evaluation as described in sec. [5.2.](#page-3-0) Tab. [1](#page-3-1) shows **297** comparison of our key components when progres- **298** sively integrated into our baseline [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3) **299** frame. From Tab. [1](#page-3-1) our XrayGPT (row 4) has a **300** significant improvement of 19% over the state-of- **301** the-art baseline [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3) on the MIMIC- **302** CXR test set. Also, we did LLM's based evalua- **303** tion by asking ChatGPT model to choose "which **304** response is closer to reference between baseline **305** vs XrayGPT" where our model scored 82% com- **306** pared to baseline 6% showing the superiority of **307** our XrayGPT for radiology-specific summary. **308**

To assess the responses of XrayGPT scientifi- **309** cally, we asked certified medical doctors to evalu- **310** ate the responses of our model alongside the base- **311** line, compared to their real findings and impres- **312** sion. This evaluation shows that our XrayGPT **313** has accurate output in 72% of the cases, with an **314** average score of 4/5, outperforming the baseline **315** which achieves only 20%, with an average score of 316 2/5. Both models provided inaccurate responses in **317** 8% of the cases. Despite occasional inaccuracies, **318** XrayGPT significantly improves upon the baseline, **319** highlighting its potential in assisting radiologists **320** with chest radiograph analysis. Additional details 321 of our evaluations are in Appendix [\(A.2](#page-5-1)[,A.3\)](#page-5-2). We **322** also show qualitative examples in Appendix [A.4.](#page-6-0) **323**

6 Conclusion **³²⁴**

To conclude, we introduce XrayGPT, an innova- **325** tive medical vision-language model that combines **326** both vision-language modalities to summarize and **327** answer inquiries regarding chest radiographs. By **328** aligning both modalities and leveraging our pro- **329** posed interactive summaries derived from free-text **330** radiology reports, XrayGPT demonstrates excep- **331** tional visual conversation abilities grounded in a **332** deep understanding of chest radiographs. **333**

4

³³⁴ 7 Limitations

 While our XrayGPT shows promise toward con- structing conversational VLMs for chest radiograph summarization, we acknowledge some limitations here. We observe some potential limitations in generation of responses when presented with side views, as the majority of the trained images pri- marily consist of frontal views. To address this limitation, our potential future research direction is to focus on enhancing the quality and reliability of the model when handling multiple views of X- rays. Our current model is specifically trained and designed to answer questions pertaining to chest radiographs. Expanding the model's support to encompass multiple modalities is a potential re- search direction. By broadening its capabilities, the resulting potential model is expected to possess certain capabilities across various medical imaging domains beyond chest radiographs.

³⁵³ References

- **354** Yakoub Bazi, Mohamad Mahmoud Al Rahhal, Laila **355** Bashmal, and Mansour Zuair. 2023. Vision– **356** language model for visual question answering in **357** medical imagery. *Bioengineering*, 10(3):380.
- **358** Wei-Lin Chiang, Zhuohan Li, Zi Lin, Ying Sheng, **359** Zhanghao Wu, Hao Zhang, Lianmin Zheng, Siyuan **360** Zhuang, Yonghao Zhuang, Joseph E. Gonzalez, Ion **361** Stoica, and Eric P. Xing. 2023. [Vicuna: An open-](https://lmsys.org/blog/2023-03-30-vicuna/)**362** [source chatbot impressing gpt-4 with 90%* chatgpt](https://lmsys.org/blog/2023-03-30-vicuna/) **363** [quality.](https://lmsys.org/blog/2023-03-30-vicuna/)
- **364** Dina Demner-Fushman, Marc D. Kohli, Marc B. Rosen-**365** man, Sonya E. Shooshan, Laritza Rodriguez, Sameer **366** Antani, George R. Thoma, and Clement J. McDon-**367** ald. 2015. [Preparing a collection of radiology ex-](https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocv080)**368** [aminations for distribution and retrieval.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocv080) *Journal* **369** *of the American Medical Informatics Association*, **370** 23(2):304–310.
- **371** Tianyu Han, Lisa C Adams, Jens-Michalis Papaioan-**372** nou, Paul Grundmann, Tom Oberhauser, Alexander **373** Löser, Daniel Truhn, and Keno K Bressem. 2023. **374** Medalpaca–an open-source collection of medical **375** conversational ai models and training data. *arXiv* **376** *preprint arXiv:2304.08247*.
- **377** MD Zakir Hossain, Ferdous Sohel, Mohd Fairuz Shi-**378** ratuddin, and Hamid Laga. 2019. A comprehensive **379** survey of deep learning for image captioning. *ACM* **380** *Computing Surveys (CsUR)*, 51(6):1–36.
- **381** Alistair E. W. Johnson, Tom J. Pollard, Seth J. **382** Berkowitz, Nathaniel R. Greenbaum, Matthew P. **383** Lungren, Chih-ying Deng, Roger G. Mark, and **384** Steven Horng. 2019. [Mimic-cxr, a de-identified pub-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0322-0)**385** [licly available database of chest radiographs with](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0322-0) **386** [free-text reports.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0322-0) *Scientific Data*.
- Yunxiang Li, Zihan Li, Kai Zhang, Ruilong Dan, and **387** You Zhang. 2023. [Chatdoctor: A medical chat model](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14070) **388** [fine-tuned on llama model using medical domain](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14070) **389** [knowledge.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14070) 390
- Yunyi Liu, Zhanyu Wang, Dong Xu, and Luping Zhou. **391** 2023. Q2atransformer: Improving medical vqa **392** via an answer querying decoder. *arXiv preprint* **393** *arXiv:2304.01611*. **394**
- Siyu Lu, Yueming Ding, Mingzhe Liu, Zhengtong Yin, **395** Lirong Yin, and Wenfeng Zheng. 2023. Multiscale **396** feature extraction and fusion of image and text in vqa. **397** *International Journal of Computational Intelligence* **398** *Systems*, 16(1):54. **399**
- Salman Khan Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Rasheed and **400** Fahad Khan. 2023. Video-chatgpt: Towards detailed **401** video understanding via large vision and language **402** models. *ArXiv 2306.05424*. **403**

OpenAI. 2022. [Chatgpt.](https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt/) **404**

- OpenAI. 2023. [Gpt-4 technical report.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.08774) **405**
- Rohan Taori, Ishaan Gulrajani, Tianyi Zhang, Yann **406** Dubois, Xuechen Li, Carlos Guestrin, Percy Liang, **407** and Tatsunori B. Hashimoto. 2023. Stanford alpaca: **408** An instruction-following llama model. [https://](https://github.com/tatsu-lab/stanford_alpaca) 409 github.com/tatsu-lab/stanford_alpaca. **410**
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier **411** Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, **412** Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal **413** Azhar, Aurelien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard **414** Grave, and Guillaume Lample. 2023. Llama: Open **415** and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv* **416** *preprint arXiv:2302.13971*. **417**
- Zifeng Wang, Zhenbang Wu, Dinesh Agarwal, and Ji- **418** meng Sun. 2022. [Medclip: Contrastive learning from](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2210.10163.pdf) **419** [unpaired medical images and text.](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2210.10163.pdf) *arXiv preprint* **420** *arXiv:2210.10163*. **421**
- Chaoyi Wu, Xiaoman Zhang, Ya Zhang, Yanfeng Wang, **422** and Weidi Xie. 2023. Pmc-llama: Further fine- **423** tuning llama on medical papers. *arXiv preprint* **424** *arXiv:2304.14454*. **425**
- Honglin Xiong, Sheng Wang, Yitao Zhu, Zihao Zhao, **426** Yuxiao Liu, Qian Wang, and Dinggang Shen. 2023. **427** Doctorglm: Fine-tuning your chinese doctor is not a **428** herculean task. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.01097*. **429**
- Rowan Zellers, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin **430** Choi. 2019. From recognition to cognition: Vi- **431** sual commonsense reasoning. In *Proceedings of the* **432** *IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pat-* **433** *tern recognition*, pages 6720–6731. **434**
- [L](http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.05543)vmin Zhang and Maneesh Agrawala. 2023. [Adding](http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.05543) **435** [conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.05543) **436**
- Deyao Zhu, Jun Chen, Xiaoqian Shen, Xiang Li, and **437** Mohamed Elhoseiny. 2023. [Minigpt-4: Enhancing](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2304.10592.pdf) **438** [vision-language understanding with advanced large](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2304.10592.pdf) 439

language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10592*. 440 [language models.](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2304.10592.pdf) *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10592*. **440**

⁴⁴¹ A Appendix

442 A.1 Example for generating interactive **443** summary

 Input findings: *PA and lateral views of the chest were provided demonstrating no focal consolida- tion, effusion, or pneumothorax. Cardiomediasti- nal silhouette appears normal and stable. There is a compression deformity involving a mid tho- racic vertebral body, which appears new from the prior chest radiograph of ___. No free air below the right hemidiaphragm. There are tiny surgical clips in the left base of neck, likely indicating prior thyroid surgery*. Input Impression: *No acute in- trathoracic process. Interval development of a mid thoracic spine compression fracture.* High-quality and interactive summary: *The chest x-ray find- ings reveal no evidence of focal consolidation, ef- fusion, or pneumothorax. The cardiomediastinal silhouette appears stable and normal. There is a newly developed mid thoracic spine compression fracture but no free air below the right hemidi- aphragm. The presence of surgical clips in the left base of the neck suggests prior thyroid surgery. The impression suggests that there is no acute in- trathoracic condition detected in the x-ray aside from the new development of mid thoracic spine compression fracture.*

468 A.2 LLM based Evaluation

 LLM-based evaluation represents a comprehensive and meticulous approach to assessing and analyz- ing language models such as GPT-3.5 [\(OpenAI,](#page-4-8) [2022,](#page-4-8) [2023\)](#page-4-9). This evaluation methodology aims to evaluate the language model's performance, capa- bilities, and constraints when generating text that is both coherent and contextually appropriate. The evaluation process employs a diverse range of tech- niques, including automated metrics like perplexity and fluency scores, as well as human evaluations that rely on expert judgments and comparisons with reference texts. By incorporating quantitative and qualitative measures, LLM-based evaluation offers valuable insights into the language model's grasp of language comprehension, coherence, factual accu- racy, and its ability to produce responses that align with the given context. Through this robust evalua- tion framework, we can continuously enhance and refine language models by addressing potential bi- ases, improving response quality, and maximizing their practicality across various language tasks and domains. We used GPT-3.5 [\(OpenAI,](#page-4-8) [2022\)](#page-4-8) Turbo

for evaluation of our baseline [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3) vs **491** XrayGPT generated responses with the following **492** meta details. **493**

System Role: You are a chest radiologist that eval- **494** uates the response of two models: Model_1 and **495** Model_2 and say which one is closer to the ground **496** truth. You should print which model is closer to **497** the ground truth. **498**

User Role: Perform the following task: [1] Which **499** model (Model_1 or Model_2) is closer to the **500** Ground_Truth based on the medical finding and **501** impression? Ground_Truth: <response> Model_1: **502** <response> Model_2: <response>. [2] Output **503** should be in valid JSON format without expla- **504** nation where key=answer and value should be **505** Model 1 or Model 2. 506

A.3 Evaluation from Certified Medical **507** Doctors **508**

In order to comprehensively evaluate the quality **509** and performance of XrayGPT-generated samples, **510** we conducted an extensive assessment in collabo- **511** ration with certified medical doctors, utilizing sam- **512** ples from MIMIC-CXR test split. During the eval- **513** uation process, we carefully curated summaries **514** generated by two different methods: our base- **515** line [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3) method and the XrayGPT **516** model. These summaries were then presented to 517 the certified medical doctors, who were tasked with **518** determining which response provided a relatively **519** superior summary and diagnosis for the given X- **520** ray image. To further gauge the medical doctor's **521** evaluation, we requested them to assign a score **522** ranging from 1 to 5 to each response, indicating the **523** perceived quality of the summaries. This detailed **524** evaluation process allowed us to gather valuable in- **525** sights into the comparative performance and effec- **526** tiveness of the baseline [\(Zhu et al.,](#page-4-3) [2023\)](#page-4-3) method **527** and the XrayGPT model in generating accurate and **528** informative summaries for X-ray images. **529**

Our scoring criteria is defined as follows, **530**

- 4 : good description **534**
- 5 : excellent description **535**

During the evaluation process, medical doctors also **536** identify and address limitations and challenges as- **537** sociated with our XrayGPT-generated X-ray image **538**

 summaries. They consider scenarios where our XrayGPT method may fail to detect subtle abnor- malities, mischaracterize findings, or provide inac- curate recommendations. The medical doctors ex- plore the causes of such limitations, such as dataset biases, rare or unusual cases not adequately rep- resented during training, or technical factors that may impact the XrayGPT system's performance. Feedback from medical doctors regarding these limitations was utilized to refine and improve our XrayGPT.

A.4 Qualitative examples

 We present a series of illustrative examples that highlight the remarkable capabilities of our XrayGPT. In Fig. [2,](#page-7-0) our XrayGPT effectively sum- marizes the contents of a provided chest x-ray im- age, extracting essential findings and generating an impression. While in Fig. [3,](#page-7-1) the model demon- strates its proficiency in follow-up procedures by recommending appropriate treatments based on the given case. In Fig. [4,](#page-7-2) the model accurately identi- fies key findings in the x-ray and provides correct responses to user queries. Notably, this particular case reveals the presence of lung hyperinflation. Fig. [5](#page-8-0) serves as a testament to the versatility of XrayGPT, showcasing its ability to address mul- tiple subsequent questions and suggest treatment options for pleural effusion. Lastly, in Fig. [6,](#page-8-1) the model showcases its competence in identifying var- ious abnormalities within a chest x-ray while also offering medical treatment recommendations for pulmonary edema. Through these diverse exam- ples, we demonstrate how XrayGPT consistently delivers accurate and comprehensive analyses, po- sitioning it as a valuable tool in the field of medical imaging.

Chest X-Ray Diagnosis

Describe the given chest x-ray image as detailed as possible.

The chest x-ray shows a normal cardiomediastinal silhouette and well-aerated lungs without foca
consolidation, pleural effusion, or pneumothorax. The upper abdomen appears normal with nc
bony abnormality identified. The im identified.

Figure 2: XrayGPT: Delivering Comprehensive and Succinct Findings and Impressions for Every X-ray Image. Our XrayGPT provides detailed radiology specific summary as well as concise findings and impression for given xray image.

Figure 3: XrayGPT: Empowering Users with Concise Insights - Explore the Comprehensive Analysis Derived from the xray Image. Our XrayGPT provides a brief explanation for users quaries based on the overall findings from the given xray image.

Figure 4: XrayGPT: Unlocking the Power of Precision, Providing Specific Key Details in Response to User Queries. Our XrayGPT is able to answer specific key detail in response to user queries.

Figure 5: XrayGPT: The Conversational AI Revolutionizing Radiological Interactions. Our XrayGPT has radiological conversational capabilities.

Figure 6: XrayGPT: Medical Treatment Recommendation. Our XrayGPT has the capability to suggest treatment based on the diagnosis.