# How to Train Long-Context Language Models (Effectively)

Anonymous authors

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

024

025

026

027 028 029

030 031

032

034

038

039

040

041

042

043

044 045

046

048 049

050 051

052

Paper under double-blind review

### ABSTRACT

We study continued training and supervised-fine-tuning (SFT) of a language model (LM) to make effective use of long-context information. We first establish a reliable evaluation protocol to guide model development—instead of perplexity, we use a broad set of long-context tasks, and we evaluate models after supervised fine-tuning (SFT) with instruction data as this better reveals long-context abilities. Supported by our robust evaluations, we run thorough experiments to decide the data mix for continued pre-training, the instruction tuning dataset, and other design choices such as position extrapolation. We find that (1) code repositories and books are excellent sources of long data, but it is crucial to combine them with high-quality short data; (2) training with a sequence length beyond the evaluation length boosts long-context performance; (3) for SFT, using only short instruction datasets yields strong performance on long-context tasks. Our final model, ProLong-8B, which is initialized from Llama-3 and trained on 40B tokens, demonstrates state-of-theart long-context performance among similarly sized models at a length of 128K, outperforming Llama-3.1-8B on the majority of long-context tasks despite having seen 5% as many tokens during long-context training. Additionally, ProLong can effectively process up to 512K tokens, one of the longest context windows of publicly available LMs.<sup>1</sup>

### Takeaways for continued training of long-context models

- **Evaluation** (§2): We target a range of long-context downstream tasks instead of perplexity or needle-in-a-haystack, while checking if the short-context performance is preserved. We evaluate models after SFT, which produces a clearer signal on long-context tasks.
- **Data engineering** (§3): We conduct a series of ablations at a 5B-token scale. We find that using code repositories and long books as long-context data and mixing them with high-quality short-context data is crucial for both long-context performance and retaining the short-context capabilities of the pre-trained model.
- Scaling the data and the length (§4): We scale up the training to 20B tokens at a 64K training length and 20B tokens at a 512K training length. Surprisingly, training on contexts longer than the evaluation length yields additional benefits.
- **Supervised fine-tuning** (§5): We find that SFT with standard, short-context instruction datasets is sufficient for achieving good performance. Contrary to previous study, long synthetic instruction data does not boost the result in our setting.
- **ProLong models** (§6): We present our final recipe and evaluation results here. All our code, data, and models will be made publically available.

### 1 INTRODUCTION

The ability of language models (LMs) to process extremely long inputs (for example, 128K tokens) has enabled new applications, such as book summarization or learning new tasks on the fly from many

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All our code, data, and models will be made publically available.

examples. However, adapting LMs to process long contexts is challenging from an infrastructure and data perspective, and many design decisions are not well understood by open-source practitioners.

While many works have focused on extending the context length of pre-trained LMs with minimal training (Chen et al., 2023; Peng et al., 2024), Fu et al. (2024) find that the above methods cannot even perform the simple needle-in-a-haystack (NIAH; Kamradt, 2024) task and it is necessary to continually train the LM on long documents for billions of tokens. Frontier open-source models, such as Llama-3.1 (Dubey et al., 2024) and Jamba (Lenz et al., 2024), also adopts a long-context continued training stage, followed by supervised fine-tuning (SFT) on instruction data. We adopt the same setting and study continued training and SFT of a pre-trained LM for effective long-context use.

We first establish a reliable evaluation protocol to provide meaningful signal for model development. Most existing work relies on either perplexity or NIAH for ablating training recipes. We demonstrate that neither is robust for guiding the development and opt for a broad range of downstream applications, such as retrieval-augmented generation (RAG), long-document summarization, and many-shot in-context learning (ICL). We also conduct our evaluations after performing SFT, as we observe that, on some long-context tasks, performance gains only emerge after SFT.

Guided by our evaluation protocol, we run comprehensive experiments with Llama-3-8B (8K original context window; Dubey et al., 2024) to study each component of long-context continued training, including data mixture, data and length scaling, supervised fine-tuning, and many other design choices such as position extrapolation. Many of our findings are surprising or contradictory to existing claims, for example, (1) training only on long data hurts long-context performance, (2) training on longer sequences than the evaluation length helps, and (3) SFT on only short instruction data is sufficient for good long-context performance. We outline our main takeaways and the structure of the paper in the takeaway box at the beginning of this section.

Our final model, **ProLong**, achieves the best performance at a 128K context length among 10B-parameter models, while taking only 5% of the data budget compared to Llama-3.1's long-context training (Dubey et al., 2024). ProLong has a maximum context length of 512K tokens, making it one of the longest-context LMs available.<sup>2</sup>

081 082 083

084

085

087

2 GUIDE MODEL DEVELOPMENT WITH MEANINGFUL EVALUATIONS

A pre-requisite for training a strong LM is having a robust evaluation suite that can guide model development while tracking its utility in real-world applications. While synthetic benchmarks like needle-in-a-haystack (NIAH; Kamradt, 2024) and RULER (Hsieh et al., 2024) have gained much popularity due to their simplicity and controllability, we are interested in a wider range of tasks that reflect practical usage, such as the ability to reason over the whole document. In the following, we describe our evaluation protocols and showcase why they are critical to our model development.

091

093

094 095

096

098

100

101

102

2.1 EVALUATE ON DIVERSE AND REALISTIC TASKS

We first make the decision to use HELMET (Yen et al., 2024b) as our main evaluation suite, as it is one of the most comprehensive long-context benchmarks, covering the following task categories:

• Recall: Given a JSON file with random key-values pairs, retrieve the value for a specific key.

• RAG: Answer a question given many retrieved Wikipedia documents (NQ, HotPotQA, PopQA).

- **Re-rank**: Produce a top 10 ranking from a long and shuffled list of documents (*MSMARCO*).
- ICL: Learn classification tasks from many in-context examples, where the number of classes ranges from 6 to 151; average of 5 datasets (*TREC coarse/fine*, *NLU*, *Banking77*, *Clinc-150*).
- **QA**: Answer a question given a full-length book (*NarrativeQA*).

• Summarization: Summarize long legal documents (*Multi-LexSum*).

Overall, these diverse tasks reflect a range of long-context abilities including recall, reasoning, learning from context, and robustness to noisy inputs. Yen et al. (2024b) also show that HELMET produces model performance trends that are more consistent with human perceptions unlike other long-context benchmarks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Throughout the paper, we use binary prefixes  $K=2^{10}$ ,  $M=2^{20}$ , and  $B=2^{30}$ .

108 We showcase the importance of a robust evaluation suite 109 in Table 1. As a predecessor of our work, Fu et al. (2024) 110 only consider needle-in-a-haystack (NIAH) and perplexity during model development; evaluations on 3 tasks 111 from HELMET reveal major short-comings of their 112 model. We also see how NIAH and even the HELMET 113 recall task become saturated for strong models (Llama-114 3.1-8B vs. 70B) while other task categories continue to 115 detect differences in their long-context abilities. 116

Table 1: HELMET tasks offer a more holistic view of long-context abilities.

		HELMET					
Models	NIAH	Recall	RAG	Re-rank			
Fu et al. (2024)	86.0	33.0	46.6	7.7			
Llama-3.1-8B Llama-3.1-70B	100 99.7	98.7 98.5	02.0	26.6 39.4			

We offer more details about the HELMET evaluation, including its careful choice of metrics, in §B.1. If not otherwise specified, we average the performance for each category over all datasets and over evaluation lengths of 32K and 64K; for the final long-context score, we macro-average all categories.

119

120 Why not perplexity? Besides synthetic recall tasks, many 121 previous works rely on perplexity (PPL) for evaluating long-122 context extensions of LMs (Chen et al., 2023; Fu et al., 2024; 123 Lu et al., 2024), which is commonly measured on the PG19 124 books dataset (Rae et al., 2020). We use the ablation experi-125 ment from §3.2 to showcase why perplexity is not an indicative metric for developing long-context models. The experiment 126 studies how the ratio of long documents affects the perfor-127 mance. We report both our evaluation and the perplexity mea-128 sured on the last 32K tokens of 64K-length documents from 129 PG19. As shown in Figure 1, while using more long data con-130 tinues to improve PPL, it is clear that using 100% long data 131 significantly hurts downstream long-context performance. 132



Figure 1: Making design decisions based on perplexity (PPL) is not optimal for long-context downstream tasks.

- 133
- 134

2.2 EVALUATE AFTER SUPERVISED FINE-TUNING

Supervised fine-tuning (SFT; Ouyang et al., 2022) is an additional training stage that fine-tunes the model on a small amount of natural-language instructions and corresponding responses; it enables a base LM to address user queries in a chat format and has become a standard step for producing frontier LMs. Here, we consider the difference between evaluating a model *before* or *after* SFT.

In preliminary experiments, we continue training Llama-3-8B-Base on 5B-token subsets from the data mix by Fu et al. (2024). The mix is based on SlimPajama (Soboleva et al., 2023) and upsamples long documents to constitute roughly 70% of tokens, while retaining the original domain proportions. Then we conduct SFT on several intermediate checkpoints with UltraChat (Ding et al., 2023).

We show the benchmarking results before and after SFT in Figure 2. Long-context evaluation shows clearer signals when it is conducted after SFT: (1) SFT shows that the model continues to improve with more training tokens on RAG and re-ranking, while the improvement is less clear or does not exist when evaluated before SFT. (2) SFT enables evaluation on realistic applications like QA and summarization, which require instruction following and have low performance before SFT. We also note that the variance from two random training runs is not substantially higher after the additional SFT phase. Therefore, unless otherwise specified, we report the long-context performance *after* SFT.

150 151 152

153

154 155 156

157

158



Figure 2: Improvements on RAG and re-ranking tasks are only observed when evaluating models after a supervised fine-tuning (SFT) phase on instruction data. The models are trained on the pre-training data mix by Fu et al. (2024). We report the mean and standard deviations over two training runs.

We dive deeper into supervised fine-tuning in §5 and explore different training datasets, as well as the use of synthetic long instruction data. However, we find that simply fine-tuning on UltraChat remains a surprisingly competitive choice.

165 166 167

## 2.3 CHECK THAT SHORT-CONTEXT PERFORMANCE IS PRESERVED

168 Long-context abilities should not come at the expense of short-context performance, particularly since 169 short-context evaluations cover a wider range of capabilities, e.g., world knowledge, commonsense, 170 and mathematical reasoning. However, short-context evaluation has largely been neglected by 171 previous long-context research. We report on 5 tasks from the the Open LLM Leaderboard (Beeching et al., 2023): HellaSwag (Zellers et al., 2019), MMLU (Hendrycks et al., 2021), ARC-challenge 172 (Clark et al., 2018), WinoGrande (Sakaguchi et al., 2021), and GSM8K (Cobbe et al., 2021). We 173 evaluate short-context performance before SFT, since this allows for a direct comparison to the base 174 model which was used as initialization for the long-context training. 175

176

Previous techniques deteriorate 177 short-context performance. We 178 show in Table 2 that both training-free 179 position extrapolation, as well as fine-180 tuning with an existing long data mix-181 ture (Fu et al., 2024) do not preserve 182 the strong performance of Llama-3-183 8B on standard short-context tasks. This motivates us to find data sources 184 which retain the initial model's strong 185 short-context performance.

Table 2: Applying position extrapolation (PE) to Llama-3-8B by changing the RoPE frequency base (§C.1) or finetuning it on a long-context SlimPajama mixture (Fu et al., 2024; Soboleva et al., 2023) deteriorates the performance of this top-shelf pre-trained LM on short-context tasks.

	HSwag	MMLU	ARC-c	WG	GSM8K
Llama-3-8B	82.1	66.5	59.4	77.1	44.7
+ PE	81.5	64.7	58.1	75.5	40.1
+ SlimPajama	81.0	63.1	57.8	75.1	40.6

187 188

189

## **3** LONG-CONTEXT DATA CURATION

The quality and composition of training data has been found to be the most important factor for LM pre-training (Penedo et al., 2023; Wettig et al., 2024; Li et al., 2024a) and is therefore a primary focus of our study. To make data decisions, we perform ablation experiments: we continue to train Llama-3-8B-Base for 5B tokens with a maximum length of 64K tokens and evaluate according to §2. See §B.4 for more details of our ablation setting.

We aim to boost the long-context task performance while preserving the short-context performance of the original model. Starting from the intuition that the data should be a mixture of long and short documents, we study these choices separately. In our ablations, the long data is comprised of single-document chunks of 64K tokens, whereas for the short data, we construct batches by packing documents until we reach 64K tokens per sequence.

## 201 202

### 3.1 CODE REPOSITORIES AND BOOKS ARE GOOD SOURCES OF LONG-CONTEXT DATA

SlimPajama. We analyze the quantity of long data in SlimPajama (SP; Soboleva et al., 2023). Table 3 shows that books account for the majority of long-context tokens. When inspecting the long data in CommonCrawl (CC), we observe that though varied in quality, it also contains some book-like content, which future work could identify via data selection methods.

Code repositories. While only few files from GitHub reach a very long length (which also tend to be lower quality as suggested by Singh et al., 2024), we construct an abundant source of long-context data from the Stack (Kocetkov et al., 2023) by concatenating all files from a repository to form a single document.
Unlike Guo et al. (2024), we do not order the files based on dependencies, which should increase the distance between dependent files and reduce recency bias.

Table 3: Long text documents ( $\geq$ 64K tokens) by data sources.

Data	#Long tokens
Code Repos	98.8B
SP/Books	33.2B
SP/CC	15.3B
SP/Arxiv	5.2B
SP/GitHub	2.8B
SP/Wiki	0.1B
SP/StackEx	< 0.1B
SP/C4	<0.1B

216 Table 4: Impact of different long data sources, while keeping the 40% short data component fixed. 217 Code repositories particularly helps long-context recall, while books are more effective on re-ranking, 218 ICL, and summarization. Mixing the two sources achieves the overall best performance.

Long Data (60%)	Long-Context							Short-Context
Long Data (60%)	Recall	RAG	Re-rank	ICL	QA	Summ.	Avg.	Avg.
CommonCrawl	84.1	53.3	28.1	67.5	35.2	37.0	50.9	66.5
Books	94.9	53.9	30.7	72.2	33.2	37.7	53.8	65.5
Code Repos	99.2	53.8	29.0	61.2	34.7	36.2	52.3	65.9
Books/Repos 1:1	96.0	54.9	29.4	73.9	35.7	37.9	54.6	65.5

**Data mixture.** We train models with 60% of long-context data and 40% of our ShortMix (§3.3). Table 4 shows that using code repositories alone performs best on stress-test recall tasks. Meanwhile, books are more broadly beneficial for in-context learning, summarization and re-ranking. An equal mix of books and code repositories achieves the best overall performance. Note that short-context task performance remains consistent due to our high-quality short data mix.

3.2 TRAINING ONLY ON LONG DATA HURTS LONG-CONTEXT PERFORMANCE

234 The ratio between short/long data is another crucial factor for downstream performance. Prior work either trains only on long data (Peng et al., 2024) or adds some short training data (Yen et al., 2024a; 235 Fu et al., 2024). However, we are the first to systematically study the impact of short/long ratio. 236

Figure 3 shows that short task performance monotonically decreases as the long data increases. The 238 trends for long-context vary by tasks and are further complicated by SFT: On tasks like recall and RAG, the performance before SFT prefers high proportions of long data, while the performance after SFT drastically deteriorates with more long data. We hypothesize that specializing the model only on long data makes it a poor initialization for generic SFT—highlighting the importance of evaluating checkpoints after SFT ( $\S2.2$ ). While some long-context tasks benefit from more long data consistently (ICL) or show no clear pattern (re-ranking), the best average performance is achieved at 60% long data and 40% short data, which we adopt for our final ProLong model.



Figure 3: Impact of short/long data ratio. All models are trained on books/repos long data and our ShortMix for 5B tokens. More long data initially improves long-context performance, but then becomes impairing. More long data also consistently degrades the short-context performance.

#### 3.3 CHOOSING A HIGH-QUALITY SHORT-CONTEXT MIX IS IMPORTANT

259 We saw in §2.3 that it is difficult to preserve the strong performance of 260 Llama-3-8B on short-context tasks during long-context fine-tuning. We adopt our best long-context settings (Book/repo data and 60% long/40% 261 short) and study the impact of different short-context training mixes. 262 We experiment with SlimPajama (Soboleva et al., 2023), FineWeb-Edu 263 (Penedo et al., 2024), DCLM-Baseline (Li et al., 2024a), and our own 264 ProLong ShortMix. Our ShortMix is inspired by the "stage 2 training" 265 in MiniCPM (Hu et al., 2024a) and Dolma-1.7 (Soldaini et al., 2024), 266 which use more knowledge-intensive, downstream-related data at the 267 end of pre-training. Table 5 shows the composition of our ShortMix.<sup>3</sup>

### Table 5: Our ShortMix.

Components	%
FineWeb	25
FineWeb-Edu	25
Wikipedia	10
Tulu-v2	10
StackExchange	10
ArXiv	10
OpenWebMath	10

268

224 225 226

227

228

229

230

231 232

233

237

239

240

241

242

243

244 245

246

247

248

249

250 251

253

254

255

256 257

258

<sup>3</sup>Since we do not truncate documents in the short data component unnecessarily, it includes a small percentage of documents longer than 8K tokens. See Table 14 in the appendix for the dataset length statistics.

Table 6: Impact of different short data sources. The long-context performance is the average of 6
 categories at the lengths of 32K and 64K.

Short Data (40%)	Long-Context	Long-Context Short-Context						
	Avg.	HellaS.	MMLU	ARC-c	WG	GSM8K	Avg.	
Original model (Llama-3-8B)	-	82.1	66.5	59.4	77.1	44.7	66.0	
SlimPajama	52.9	81.2	63.0	58.5	76.2	41.9	64.2	
FineWeb-Edu	53.0	81.0	62.6	57.7	74.4	39.4	63.0	
DCLM-Baseline	52.0	82.0	65.6	59.6	77.4	39.4	64.8	
ProLong ShortMix	54.6	81.6	65.3	58.0	76.2	46.6	65.5	

Table 6 demonstrates that the short data component has a substantial impact on both short-context and long-context downstream performance. Our curated ShortMix outperforms other short data sources on both short and long-context tasks and our data domains are particularly important for retaining Llama-3-8B's performance on mathematical reasoning. Surprisingly, we find that fine-tuning only using FineWeb-Edu—a dataset that is curated to help with knowledge-intensive tasks like MMLU— performs poorly as a short-context component, and we combine it with more diverse data sources in our ShortMix. DCLM-Baseline performs well on all short-context tasks except for GSM8K. This can likely be improved by combining with math-related datasets, but as we added the DCLM-baseline ablation at the conclusion of the project, we leave this exploration to future work.

## 4 SCALING THE SIZE AND LENGTH OF THE TRAINING DATA

Training for more steps is well-known to improve downstream tasks in regular pre-training, but little analysis has been done in the context of long-context continual training. We incorporate the lessons from our ablation experiments and arrive at the ProLong recipe, which we describe in detail in §6. Notably, we scale up the training budget to longer sequences (up to 512K) and more tokens (20B tokens at a maximum sequence length of 64K and an additional 20B tokens at 512K). We reset the learning rate schedule and increase the RoPE frequency base when switching from 64K to 512K context lengths. In this section, we analyze the impact of these decisions.

Increasing the number of steps helps. In Figure 4, we plot the downstream performance of intermediate checkpoints of our 40B-token runs. While the long-context performance fluctuates throughout training, we observe positive trends on recall, RAG, re-ranking, and summarization. For short-context tasks, we observe the average performance initially drops from the initialization, but gradually recovers. Performance again drops when switching from 64K to 512K sequence length, but also recovers with additional training.



Figure 4: Performance of our final ProLong model throughout training.

Increasing the training length beyond the evaluation length helps. One might assume that we should train long-context models on the maximum sequence length that we want the model to support.
 Many works even emphasize the ability to extrapolate to even longer sequences at inference time (Press et al., 2022; Xiao et al., 2024b;a; Yen et al., 2024a; Chen et al., 2023). In contrast, we observe that training a model on an even longer sequence length (512K tokens) substantially improves the long-context performance at a shorter evaluation length (64K tokens).

Table 7: Impact of training models on different sequence lengths. All the results are evaluated at a
 sequence length of 64K. We see that training at a maximum length beyond the evaluation context
 window consistently improves the long-context performance.

Max Seq. Length	Recall	RAG	Re-rank	ICL
ProLong 64K training (20B)	96.5	52.7	22.8	70.6
+4B 64K training	95.0	56.4	28.0	78.8
+4B 512K training	98.5	56.9	32.9	79.2

We establish this by initializing with a model that was trained for 20B tokens at 64K and either (1) continuing training at 64K, or (2) switching to the 512K training. We use the same hyperparameters and data mixtures in either experiment. We evaluate a checkpoint after 4B training tokens on the recall, RAG, re-ranking, and ICL tasks at a evaluation length of 64K. Comparing the two runs in Table 7, we see consistent gains from switching to the 512K training length.<sup>4</sup>

## 5 SUPERVISED FINE-TUNING FOR LONG-CONTEXT LMS

In this section, we study how to best enable long-context language models to follow instructions. We focus on supervised fine-tuning on instruction datasets (Ouyang et al., 2022) and leave reinforcement learning and preference optimization for future work.

All our experiments in this section use the ProLong base model, which was trained for 40B tokens at a maximum sequence length of 512K. In comparison, open-source instruction data are very short, e.g., UltraChat (Ding et al., 2023) conversations have 1.2K tokens on average and 4.1K tokens maximum. To bridge this gap, several works (Xiong et al., 2023; Dubey et al., 2024; Xiong et al., 2024) have proposed to generate long-context instruction tuning data synthetically.

We consider three popular SFT datasets—UltraChat (Ding et al., 2023), Tulu-v2 (Ivison et al., 2023), 350 ShareGPT<sup>5</sup>—and three sources of synthetic data: For synthetic QA, we prompt Llama-3-8B-Instruct 351 to generate a question-and-answer pair given a random chunk from a long document; we reuse the 352 QA pairs for synthetic RAG but we present a random list of chunks from the document to mimic 353 retrieved passages; for synthetic summarization, we generate summaries for long books via recursive 354 summarization (Wu et al., 2021). For all synthetic data, we write several templates, which we sample 355 at random to increase diversity. More details can be found in §B.5. We always use a combination of 356 40% synthetic QA, 30% synthetic RAG, and 30% synthetic summarization in our synthetic instruction 357 dataset. The hyperparameters for the instruction tuning experiments can be found in Table 9. 358

Short-context instruction data yields strong long-context results. We first establish that UltraChat
 outperforms Tulu-v2 and ShareGPT in Table 22. We therefore use it when studying the ratio of
 synthetic long-context instruction data in Table 8. Surprisingly, we find that adding synthetic data
 does not improve the performance on these very long-context tasks, and adding even as little as 1%
 synthetic data hurts the performance in our setting. Therefore, we use only short-context UltraChat
 data for the instruction tuning of our final ProLong model.

Table 8: Effect of different ratios of synthetic SFT data (mixed with UltraChat). We report the 32K-and-64K-averaged performance except tasks marked with  $^{\dagger}$ , which are evaluated at 512K for stress testing. The number of percentage is based on #tokens, not #samples.

% Synthetic Data	$Json KV^{\dagger}$	RAG	Re-rank	ICL	$QA^{\dagger}$	Summ. <sup>†</sup>	Avg.
0%	65.7	58.1	38.5	80.3	49.7	42.1	55.7
1%	61.5	57.0	38.3	80.8	45.3	41.5	54.1
3%	62.0	56.4	37.9	80.6	44.8	39.5	53.5
10%	70.3	55.5	36.1	80.6	41.7	39.4	53.9
50%	45.8	48.8	18.8	70.5	42.3	33.3	43.3

<sup>374</sup> 375 376

365

366

367

327 328

330 331 332

338 339

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>While we demonstrate the benefit of longer data, we note that training with longer sequences is more expensive, and may therefore not be the computationally optimal choice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>https://huggingface.co/datasets/RyokoAI/ShareGPT52K.

Table 9: The training recipe for ProLong. Note that compared to our data ablations in §3, we decide to add textbooks data, which slightly changes the proportions of ShortMix.

	(	Continued Long-context Training					
Data	30% code repos, 30% books, 3% textbooks, 37% ShortMix						
	ShortMix:	27% FineWeb-Edu, 27% FineWeb, 11% Tulu-v2, 11% StackExchange, 8% Wikipedia, 8% OpenWebMath, 8% ArXiv,					
Length	Stage 1 (64K):	Code repos, books, and textbooks at length 64K					
Curriculum	Stage 2 (512K):	<ul> <li>K): Code repos: 50% at length 512K, 50% at length 64K</li> <li>Books: 17% at length 512K, 83% at length 64K</li> <li>Textbooks at length 512K</li> </ul>					
Steps	Stage 1: 20B toke	Stage 1: 20B tokens (2.2K H100 hours), Stage 2: 20B tokens (12.2K H100 hours)					
Model	Initialization: RoPE: Attention:	Llama-3-8B-Instruct (original RoPE base freq. $5 \times 10^5$ ) Stage 1: $8 \times 10^6$ , Stage 2: $1.28 \times 10^8$ Full attention with cross-document attention masking					
Optim.	AdamW (weight LR: Batch size:	decay = 0.1, $\beta_1 = 0.9$ , $\beta_2 = 0.95$ ) 1e - 5 with 10% warmup and cosine decay to $1e - 6$ , each stage 4M tokens for stage 1, 8M tokens for stage 2					
		Supervised Fine-tuning (SFT)					
Data	UltraChat						
Steps	1B tokens						
Optim.		decay = 0.1, $\beta_1 = 0.9$ , $\beta_2 = 0.95$ ) sine decay to $2e - 6$ ), warmup = 5% tokens					

Why do our conclusions about synthetic data differ from previous work? We offer the following hypotheses: (1) Previous work like Xiong et al. (2024); Bai et al. (2024a) may have insufficient long-context training and the synthetic data acts as additional long-context training data. (2) Our instruction dataset is much smaller compared to the private instruction data used for Llama-3.1 (Dubey et al., 2024)—it is possible that when using an extensive short instruction dataset, mixing in synthetic long data avoids the model from degenerating on long-context tasks. 

#### THE PROLONG MODEL: RECIPE AND RESULTS

## 6.1 FINAL RECIPE

We summarize the training recipe for ProLong in Table 9. Our final model starts from the Llama-3-8B-Instruct model and is trained on 64K sequence length for 20B tokens. It is then further trained on 512K sequence length for 20B tokens (ProLong base), which we achieve using sequence parallelism (Li et al., 2023). We obtain the final ProLong model via SFT of the base model on UltraChat. One small difference on the data mixture between our ablations and the final model is that we mix in 3% high-quality textbooks (Chevalier et al., 2024), as book-like data are shown to be beneficial for long-context (\$3.1) and textbooks are also highly educational. You can find more details about our data processing ( $\S$ B.2) and the training stack ( $\S$ B.3) in the appendix. 

In the following, we elaborate on several carefully ablated design choices in our recipe. 

**RoPE frequency base tuning.** We find that changing the RoPE (Su et al., 2021) frequency base to achieve position extrapolation (Xiong et al., 2023; emozilla, 2023) significantly improves long-context performance, even with a significant amount of training. §C.1 shows our ablation on the best RoPE base to use. While the original Llama models use a RoPE base of  $10^5$ , we use a base of  $8 \times 10^6$  for the 64K setting and  $1.28 \times 10^8$  for the 512K setting. 

- Disabling cross-document attention. Ding et al. (2024a) show that masking out attention across document boundaries improve model performance and this was also used during Llama-3 pre-training

432 Table 10: Our main evaluation results on HELMET (Yen et al., 2024b; details in §B.1). All results 433 are averaged over sequence lengths of 32K, 64K, and 128K. For all models, we use the corresponding 434 instruction version. ProLong is one of the best performing 10B-scale LMs while achieving a maximum context window of 512K tokens. The complete set of results can be found in §D. 435

Model	Max Len.	Recall	RAG	ICL	Re-rank	QA	Summ.	Avg.
ProLong (8B)	512K	99.4	66.0	81.1	33.2	40.8	40.5	60.2
MegaBeam-Mistral (7B)	512K	99.4	58.1	82.1	22.1	33.7	43.6	56.5
Meta-Llama-3.1 (8B)	128K	98.7	62.8	79.7	26.6	40.4	46.1	59.0
Qwen2 (7B)	128K	34.4	43.4	54.8	4.6	23.3	38.5	33.2
Phi-3-small (7B)	128K	74.8	60.6	82.0	18.5	34.1	42.4	52.1
Mistral-Nemo (12B)	128K	24.9	48.1	82.0	4.7	37.7	37.0	39.1
Jamba-1.5-Mini (12B/52B)	256K	87.7	61.3	88.4	25.9	42.0	38.6	57.3
Meta-Llama-3.1 (70B)	128K	98.5	65.9	80.0	39.4	47.2	51.1	63.7
Claude-3.5-Sonnet	200K	99.4	44.0	79.3	19.9	38.1	49.2	55.0
Gemini-1.5-Pro	2M	94.2	71.4	78.9	65.3	44.4	56.2	68.4
GPT-40	128K	99.9	71.5	86.7	59.6	47.0	55.7	70.1

(Dubey et al., 2024). In §C.2, we show that disabling cross-document attention in continual training 450 benefits both the short and long-context performance. Disabling cross-document attention can also result in higher training throughput, which we describe in more detail in §B.3.

Starting from Llama-3-8B-Instruct. While we conduct all our long-context training ablations with the base model of Llama-3-8B, we use Llama-3-8B-Instruct as the initialization for the final ProLong model. §C.3 shows that while slightly improving the long-context performance, Llama-3-8B-Instruct significantly enhances the short-context performance.

6.2 **PROLONG PERFORMANCE** 

451

452

453

454

455

456 457

458

481

482

485

459 We present the final HELMET evaluation results of ProLong in Table 10. We compare to a number 460 of frontier long-context LMs, namely MegaBeam<sup>6</sup>, Llama-3.1 (Dubey et al., 2024), Qwen2 (Yang 461 et al., 2024a), Phi-3 (Abdin et al., 2024), Mistral-Nemo', Jamba-1.5 (Lenz et al., 2024), Claude-3.5-462 Sonnet (Anthropic, 2024), Gemini-1.5 (Reid et al., 2024), and GPT-40 (Achiam et al., 2023).

463 ProLong outperforms all 10B-scale models on our long-context evaluation. Notably, ProLong 464 outperforms Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct on all long-context categories except summarization. ProLong 465 achieves this with only 5% of Llama-3.1's long-context training data budget (40B vs. 800B tokens). 466

467	Since most existing models do not support more than 128K tokens,	Table 11: ProLong at 512K.
468	to showcase ProLong's 512K context length, we stress test ProLong	32K 64K 128K 512K
469 470	on the QA and summarization tasks from 32K to 512K <sup>8</sup> . Table 11 shows that the performance of ProLong continues to improve at	QA 31.7 43.7 46.7 <b>49.7</b> Summ 40.4 39.8 41.5 <b>42.1</b>
471	longer lengths, suggesting an effective longer context window.	

Besides HELMET, we also evaluate our models on NoCha (Karpinska et al., 2024)-a claim 472 verification dataset on 67 recently published English fictional books. We chose this dataset because 473 (1) it minimizes the data contamination problem as all the books are unlikely to exist in the model 474 pre-training data; (2) all the claims are written by human readers and require global reasoning. Each 475 test instance contains two contradictory claims, and the models must correctly judge both to pass. 476

Table 12 demonstrates the NoCha evaluation results. Among 10B-scale models, ProLong achieves the 477 best accuracy on the extremely long test instances (>180K); on test instances <75K tokens, ProLong 478 significantly outperforms other models and is the only model that is better than random guessing 479 (25%). This further showcases the strength of our training recipe and the ProLong model. 480

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>https://huggingface.co/aws-prototyping/MegaBeam-Mistral-7B-512k.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>https://huggingface.co/mistralai/Mistral-Nemo-Instruct-2407.

<sup>483</sup> <sup>8</sup>In QA and summarization, we truncate the documents at the evaluation length; hence an effective long-484 context model should demonstrate better performance on longer lengths.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>https://github.com/marzenakrp/nocha. NoCha has a private test set and all evaluation is done by the NoCha authors. Hence, we report models from Table 10 that are also evaluated by the NoCha leaderboard.

486 Table 12: Results on the NoCha benchmark (Karpinska et al., 2024).<sup>9</sup> ProLong is the only model 487 that achieves above-random performance in the < 75K category and we consistently beat Llama-3.1. 488 Different from the original NoCha leaderboard, we report the average accuracy over all test instances without filtering the test examples based on the model's context window lengths. 489

Model	Max Len.	<75K	75K-127K	127K-180K	>180K
ProLong (8B)	512K	28.4	17.0	13.1	20.3
MegaBeam-Mistral (7B)	512K	19.8	18.3	17.5	15.6
Meta-Llama-3.1 (8B)	128K	17.3	16.4	0.0	0.0
Mistral-Nemo (12B)	128K	13.6	0.4	0.0	0.0
Jamba-1.5-Mini (12B/52B)	256K	27.2	28.0	24.4	6.2
Meta-Llama-3.1 (70B)	128K	42.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
Gemini-1.5-Pro	2M	24.7	38.8	35.3	46.9
GPT-40	128K	55.6	58.4	0.0	0.0

#### 7 **RELATED WORK**

507

508

509

Adapting existing LMs for long contexts. Many works explore extending the LM context windows 504 with minimal training, either by position extrapolation (Chen et al., 2023; Peng et al., 2024; Chen 505 et al., 2024; Ding et al., 2024b; Liu et al., 2024a; Zhang et al., 2024b; Zhu et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 506 2024; Wu et al., 2024; Hu et al., 2024b) or manipulating the attention patterns (Chen et al., 2024; Xiao et al., 2024b;a; Bertsch et al., 2023; Jin et al., 2024). Yoshida et al. (2020); Choromanski et al. (2021); Chevalier et al. (2023) instead explore the idea of compressing the long contexts into shorter forms. However, Fu et al. (2024); Lu et al. (2024) show that using full attention, applying simple position extrapolation, and fine-tuning the model on long documents reach much stronger results. 510

511 Llama 3.1 (Dubey et al., 2024) and Jamba (Lieber et al., 2024) achieve long-context capabilities 512 by adding a long-context continued training stage between standard pre-training and supervised fine-tuning, which is the setting we follow. Fu et al. (2024) study the data engineering for this setting 513 and argue that 0.5B tokens of domain-balanced, length-upsampled data is sufficient for acquiring the 514 long-context recall ability-which we show is not sufficient if a more holistic evaluation is taken. 515 Xiong et al. (2023); Dubey et al. (2024); Lieber et al. (2024); Xiong et al. (2024); An et al. (2024b); 516 Bai et al. (2024a) also adopt synthetically-generated long data in the SFT stage; however, we find that 517 using standard, short-context instruction data achieves the best long-context results in our setting. 518

519 Efficient long-context architectures. There have been many efforts in designing more efficient 520 architectures, for example, linear attention/RNNs (Gu & Dao, 2023; Dao & Gu, 2024; Ma et al., 2022; 521 Sun et al., 2023; Peng et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2024b), and alternative attention architectures (Rubin 522 & Berant, 2023; Sun et al., 2024; Yen et al., 2024a). However, they often require training from scratch 523 and many have the inherent limitations in terms of long-context recall (Jelassi et al., 2024; Arora et al., 2024). Recent works explore hybrid models (Waleffe et al., 2024; Lieber et al., 2024)) or 524 distilling existing LMs into hybrid models (Wang et al., 2024) and show promising results. 525

Long-context evaluation. Many benchmarks have been proposed for long-context evaluation 527 (Shaham et al., 2023; Hsieh et al., 2024; Krishna et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024a; An et al., 2024a; 528 Bai et al., 2024b) There are works studying particular aspects of long-context LMs as well, such as 529 positional bias (Liu et al., 2024b), in-context learning (Bertsch et al., 2024; Li et al., 2024b), and 530 book-length summarization (Kim et al., 2024). In this work, we follow Yen et al. (2024b) for its 531 diverse application coverage and reliable evaluations.

532 533

526

8 CONCLUSION

534 535

536 We study the problem of given a short-context pre-trained LM, how to most effectively continually 537 pre-train and SFT the model to be long-context. We conduct thorough ablations on each component and many of our findings contradict existing practices or beliefs. We use all the findings to produce 538 ProLong, a new state-of-the-art long-context LM. We release all our code, data, and models publicly and hope that our findings will boost research and applications of long-context LMs.

<sup>501</sup> 502

## 540 REFERENCES

578

579

580

581

585

586

587

588

Marah Abdin, Sam Ade Jacobs, Ammar Ahmad Awan, Jyoti Aneja, Ahmed Awadallah, Hany
Awadalla, Nguyen Bach, Amit Bahree, Arash Bakhtiari, Harkirat Behl, et al. Phi-3 technical report:
A highly capable language model locally on your phone. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.14219*, 2024.

- Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- Chenxin An, Shansan Gong, Ming Zhong, Xingjian Zhao, Mukai Li, Jun Zhang, Lingpeng Kong, and Xipeng Qiu. L-eval: Instituting standardized evaluation for long context language models. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pp. 14388–14411, 2024a.
- Shengnan An, Zexiong Ma, Zeqi Lin, Nanning Zheng, and Jian-Guang Lou. Make your llm fully utilize the context. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16811*, 2024b.
- AI Anthropic. Claude 3.5 sonnet model card addendum. *Claude-3.5 Model Card*, 2024.
- Simran Arora, Sabri Eyuboglu, Michael Zhang, Aman Timalsina, Silas Alberti, James Zou, Atri
   Rudra, and Christopher Re. Simple linear attention language models balance the recall-throughput tradeoff. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024.
- Yushi Bai, Xin Lv, Jiajie Zhang, Yuze He, Ji Qi, Lei Hou, Jie Tang, Yuxiao Dong, and Juanzi
  Li. Longalign: A recipe for long context alignment of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.18058*, 2024a.
- Yushi Bai, Xin Lv, Jiajie Zhang, Hongchang Lyu, Jiankai Tang, Zhidian Huang, Zhengxiao Du, Xiao Liu, Aohan Zeng, Lei Hou, Yuxiao Dong, Jie Tang, and Juanzi Li. LongBench: A bilingual, multitask benchmark for long context understanding. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pp. 3119–3137, 2024b.
- Payal Bajaj, Daniel Campos, Nick Craswell, Li Deng, Jianfeng Gao, Xiaodong Liu, Rangan Majumder, Andrew McNamara, Bhaskar Mitra, Tri Nguyen, et al. Ms marco: A human generated machine reading comprehension dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.09268*, 2016.
- Edward Beeching, Clémentine Fourrier, Nathan Habib, Sheon Han, Nathan Lambert, Nazneen Rajani,
   Omar Sanseviero, Lewis Tunstall, and Thomas Wolf. Open Ilm leaderboard, 2023.
- Amanda Bertsch, Uri Alon, Graham Neubig, and Matthew R. Gormley. Unlimiformer: Long-range transformers with unlimited length input. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (*NeurIPS*), 2023.
  - Amanda Bertsch, Maor Ivgi, Uri Alon, Jonathan Berant, Matthew R Gormley, and Graham Neubig. In-context learning with long-context models: An in-depth exploration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.00200*, 2024.
- Iñigo Casanueva, Tadas Temčinas, Daniela Gerz, Matthew Henderson, and Ivan Vulić. Efficient
   intent detection with dual sentence encoders. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Conversational AI*, pp. 38–45, 2020.
  - Yapei Chang, Kyle Lo, Tanya Goyal, and Mohit Iyyer. Booookscore: A systematic exploration of book-length summarization in the era of LLMs. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.
- Shouyuan Chen, Sherman Wong, Liangjian Chen, and Yuandong Tian. Extending context window of large language models via positional interpolation, 2023.
- Yukang Chen, Shengju Qian, Haotian Tang, Xin Lai, Zhijian Liu, Song Han, and Jiaya Jia. Lon gloRA: Efficient fine-tuning of long-context large language models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.

<ul> <li>Alexis Chevalier, Alexander Wetug, Alindun Ajuli, and Dahle Liel. Alexandring initiguage molecity of compress contexts. In Houds Bournor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3829–3846, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Alexis Chevalier, Jiayi Geng, Alexander Wettig, Howard Chen, Sebastian Mizera, Toni Annala, Max Aragon, Arturo Rodriguez Fanlo, Simon Frieder, Simon Machado, Akshara Prabhakar, Ellin Thieu, Jiachen T. Wang, Zirui Wang, Xindi Wu, Mengzhou Xia, Wenhan Xia, Jiatong Yu, Junje Zhu, Jiachen T. Wang, Zirui Wang, Xindi Wu, Mengzhou Xia, Wenhan Xia, Jiatong Yu, Junje Zhu, Zhiyong Ren, Sanjeev Arora, and Danqi Chen. Language models as science tutors. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quincy Davis, Afroz Mohiuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Luzy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https: //openreview.net/forum?ii=0462uk07RH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Elzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the Al2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vincet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daaniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining sy</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>compress contexts. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023</i></li> <li><i>Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3829–3846, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Alexis Chevalier, Jiayi Geng, Alexander Wettig, Howard Chen, Sebastian Mizera, Toni Annala, Max Aragon, Arturo Rodriguez Fanlo, Simon Frieder, Simon Machado, Akshara Prabhakar, Ellie Thieu, Jiachen T. Wang, Zirui Wang, Xindi Wu, Mengzhou Xia, Wenhan Xia, Jiatong Yu, Junjie Zhu, Zhiyong Ren, Sanjeev Arora, and Danqi Chen. Language models as science tutors. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quiney Davis, Afroz Mohiudin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https: //openreview.net/Torun?1d=Ua62uk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Jerry Twork, Jacob Hilton, Reichichro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Marthias Plapper, Leyr, Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reichichro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao, Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization royal and Bakites. In Mariana Biszaz and Ouri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 255h Conference on Comput</i></li></ul>	594	Alexis Chevalier, Alexander Wettig, Anirudh Ajith, and Danoi Chen. Adapting language models to
<ul> <li><i>Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3829–3846, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Alexis Chevalier, Jiayi Geng, Alexander Wettig, Howard Chen, Sebastian Mizera, Toni Annala, Max Aragon, Arturo Rodriguez Fanlo, Simon Frieder, Simon Machado, Akshara Prabhakar, Ellie Thieu, Jiachen T. Wang, Zirui Wang, Xindi Wu, Mengzhou Xia, Wenhan Xia, Jiatong Yu, Junji Zhu, Zhiyoong Ren, Sanjeev Arona, and Danqi Chen, Language models as science tutors. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Kryyztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quincy Davis, Afroz Mohiuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=Ua6zukUWRI.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try AKC, the Al2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Hashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.1006</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daaiel Deutsch, Roten Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-Jevel corelations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpust, Marie-Catherine de</li></ul>	595	
<ul> <li>Decomber 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Alexis Chevalier, Jiayi Geng, Alexander Wettig, Howard Chen, Sebastian Mizera, Toni Annala, Max Aragon, Arturo Rodriguez Fanlo, Simon Frieder, Simon Machado, Akshara Prabhakar, Ellie Thieu, Jiachen T. Wang, Zirui Wang, Xindi Wu, Mengzhou Xia, Wenhan Xia, Jiatong Yu, Junjie Zhu, Zhiyong Ren, Sanjeev Arora, and Danqi Chen. Language models as science tutors. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quincy Davis, Afroz Mohiuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=Ua6zuk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the Al2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:210.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao, Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao, Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technology</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In <i>Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend</i> (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference o I fen North Am</i></li></ul>	596	
<ul> <li>Alexis Chevalier, Jiayi Geng, Alexander Wetig, Howard Chen, Sebastian Mizera, Toni Annala, Max Aragon, Arturo Rodriguez Fanlo, Simon Frieder, Simon Machado, Akshara Prabhakar, Ellie Thieu, Jiachen T. Wang, Zirui Wang, Xindi Wu, Mengzhou Xia, Wenhan Xia, Jiatong Yu, Junjie Zhu, Zhiyong Ren, Sanjeev Arora, and Danqi Chen. Language models as science tutors. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quincy Davis, Afroz Mohiuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=Ua6zuk0MRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carisas Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafford. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the Al2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Yorek, Jacob Hilton, Reichtin Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Ti Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Ti Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarized and matrics. In <i>Krinana Bisazza and Omri Abedn (eds.)</i>, <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>Alexis Chevalier, Jiayi Geng, Alexander Wettig, Howard Chen, Sebastian Mizera, Toni Annala, Max Aragon, Arturo Rodriguez Fanlo, Simon Frieder, Simon Machado, Askhara Prabhakar, Ellic Thieu, Jiachen T. Wang, Zirui Wang, Xindi Wu, Mengzhou Xia, Wenhan Xia, Jiatong Yu, Junjie Zhu, Zhiyong Ben, Sanjeev Arora, and Danqi Chen. Language models as science tutors. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quincy Davis, Afrox Mohuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https: //openreview.net/forum?id=Ua6zuk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafford. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the Al2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve mat word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are sams: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 226th Conference on Omputational Linguistics</i>. Human Language Technolo- gies, pp. 6038–6032. Seartle, Uckl., <i>Proceedings of the 20</i></li></ul>		Detember 2025. Association for Computational Englistics.
<ul> <li>Aragon, Arturo Rodriguez Fanto, Simon Frieder, Simon Machado, Akshara Prabhakar, Ellie Lineu, Jiachen T. Wang, Zirui Wang, Xindi Wu, Mensha Xia, Jiatong Yu, Junjie Zhu, Zhiyong Ren, Sanjeev Arora, and Danqi Chen. Language models as science tutors. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quiney Davis, Afroc Mohiuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=Ua6zuk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Talford. Think you have solved question answring? Try ARC, the Al2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Elashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In <i>Arianna Biszaza</i> and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-exanning system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carput, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 302–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel</li></ul>		Alexis Chevalier, Jiayi Geng, Alexander Wettig, Howard Chen, Sebastian Mizera, Toni Annala, Max
<ul> <li>Jachen I, Wang, Zirui Wang, Xindi Wu, Mengzhou Xia, Wenhan Xia, Jiadong Yu, Junje Zhu,</li> <li>Zhiyong Ren, Sanjeev Arora, and Danqi Chen. Language models as science tutors. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quiney Davis, Afroz Mohuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=Ud6zuk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafford. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the Al2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Luderstanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information evaluation metrics. In Marine Carput, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carput, Marie-Catherine de</li></ul>		Aragon, Arturo Rodriguez Fanlo, Simon Frieder, Simon Machado, Akshara Prabhakar, Ellie Thieu,
<ul> <li>Dilyong Ken, Sanjeev Atora, and Danq Chen, Langhage moles as science units. In <i>Porty-Just International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quincy Davis, Afroz Mohiudlin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanget, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=Ua62uk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the AI2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Marine Canpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Juang. <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level cortelations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Canput. <i>Marie-Catherine de Marneffe</i>, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Linguistics:</i> Muman Language Ec</li></ul>		Jiachen T. Wang, Zirui Wang, Xindi Wu, Mengzhou Xia, Wenhan Xia, Jiatong Yu, Junjie Zhu,
<ul> <li>International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024.</li> <li>Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quincy Davis, Afroz Mohiuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=Ua62uk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the Al2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vincet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:210.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 225t Conference on the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Echnologies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Associ</li></ul>		Zhiyong Ren, Sanjeev Arora, and Danqi Chen. Language models as science tutors. In Forty-first
<ul> <li>Krzysztof Marcin Choromanski, Valerii Likhosherstov, David Dohan, Xingyou Song, Andreea Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quincy Davis, Afroz Mohiuddin, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https: //openreview.net/forum?id=Ua6zuk0RRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Eizioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the AI2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelifth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In <i>Atriana Bisazza</i> and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of auto- matic summarization evaluation metrics. July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics: <i>Huora Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolo- gies</i>, pp. 6038–6652, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and</li></ul>	602	
<ul> <li>Gane, Tamas Sarlos, Peter Hawkins, Jared Quincy Davis, Afroz Mohiuditn, Lukasz Kaiser, David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In International Conference on Learning Representations, 2021. URL https: //openreview.net/forum?id=Ua6zuk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Taijord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the AI2 reasoning challenge. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve mat word problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The</i> <i>Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Th Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of auto- matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North Amer- ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolo- gres, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguis- tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Z</li></ul>	603	
<ul> <li>David Benjamin Belanger, Lucy J Colwell, and Adrian Weller. Rethinking attention with performers. In International Conference on Learning Representations, 2021. URL https: //openreview.net/forum?id=Ua62uk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the Al2 reasoning challenge. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Nee-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologgies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. Generalized models by caling high-quality instructional Conference on Machine Learning, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding H</li></ul>	604	
<ul> <li>performers. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2021. URL https: //openreview.net/forum?id=Ua6zuk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the AI2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Mathias Plapent, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of auto- matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North Amer- ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolo- gies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguis- tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soato. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=RxCDDFYpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Masosng Sun, and</li></ul>	605	
<ul> <li>//openreview.net/forum?id=Ua6zuk0WRH.</li> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafford. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the Al2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technology</i>, esc, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technology org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soato. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai</li></ul>	606	
<ul> <li>Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the AI2 reasoning challenge. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457</i>, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–3009, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of auto- matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North Amer- ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</i></li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=krxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhoou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality i</li></ul>	607	
<ul> <li>Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the AI2 reasoning challenge. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Mathias Plapert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolog gies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. Human Language Technolog solto. Fever truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main. 442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fever truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main. 442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giov</li></ul>	608	//openreview.net/iorum?id=Ua6zukUWRH.
<ul> <li>Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? Try ARC, the AI2 reasoning challenge. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Mathias Plapert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolog gies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. Human Language Technolog solto. Fever truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main. 442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fever truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main. 442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giov</li></ul>	609	Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and
<ul> <li>challenge. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457, 2018.</li> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.). Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics: doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024a. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.nasociation for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modelis by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bou</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of auto- matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North Amer- ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolog gies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguis- tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>Kahr Cobe, Vineet Rosarajo, Workan Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakaro, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168</i>, 2021.</li> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summarices. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Assoc</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>Initial Trapper (Conf) (NCT) (NCT)</li></ul>		<b>J</b>
<ul> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics: doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language Processing, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongrOPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadi</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>Tri Dao. Flashattention-2: Faster attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060</i>, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. Human Language Technologies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language <i>Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first Inter</i></li></ul>		math word problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168, 2021.
<ul> <li>Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, 2024.</li> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. Human Language Technologies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. Human Language Technologies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. Human Language Technologies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. Human Language Technologies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), Proceedings of the 20</li></ul>		Tri Dao Elashattention-2. Easter attention with better parallelism and work partitioning. In The
<ul> <li>Tri Dao and Albert Gu. Transformers are ssms: Generalized models and efficient algorithms through structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of auto- matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North Amer- ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolo- gies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguis- tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on <i>Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang, LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhi</li></ul>	616	
<ul> <li>structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et a</li></ul>	617	
<ul> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of</i> <i>the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of auto- matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North Amer- ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolo- gies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguis- tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynami</li></ul>	618	
<ul> <li>Daniel Deutsch and Dan Koth. Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of</i> <i>the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of auto- matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North Amer- ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolo- gies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguis- tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.<!--</td--><td>619</td><td>structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.</td></li></ul>	619	structured state space duality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.21060, 2024.
<ul> <li>the information quality of summaries. In Arianna Bisazza and Omri Abend (eds.), <i>Proceedings of</i> <i>the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning</i>, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of auto- matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North Amer-</i> <i>ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolo-</i> <i>gies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguis- tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on</i> <i>Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on</i> <i>Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first</i> <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-</li></ul>	620	Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth Understanding the extent to which content quality metrics measure
<ul> <li>the 25th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning, pp. 300–309, 2021.</li> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of automatic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language Processing, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783, 2024.</li> </ul>	621	
<ul> <li>Daniel Deutsch, Rotem Dror, and Dan Roth. Re-examining system-level correlations of auto- matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North Amer- ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolo- gies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguis- tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>	622	
<ul> <li>matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North Amer- ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolo- gies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguis- tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>	623	the 25th Conference on Computational Hausta Language Learning, pp. 500-509, 2021.
<ul> <li>matic summarization evaluation metrics. In Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>	624	
<ul> <li>and Ivan Vladimir Meza Ruiz (eds.), Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li><i>ican Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technolo-</i> <i>gies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguis- tics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022. naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on</i> <i>Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on</i> <i>Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first</i> <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context Ilama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li><i>gies</i>, pp. 6038–6052, Seattle, United States, July 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.442. URL https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>https://adfanthology.org/2022.</li> <li>https://adfanthology.org/2022.</li> <li>haacl-main.442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano</li> <li>Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on</i></li> <li><i>Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and</li> <li>Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations.</li> <li>In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on</i></li> <li><i>Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023.</li> <li>Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang,</li> <li>and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha</li> <li>Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models.</li> <li><i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Hadel Hall, 442.</li> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on</i> <i>Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on</i> <i>Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first</i> <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Hantian Ding, Zijian Wang, Giovanni Paolini, Varun Kumar, Anoop Deoras, Dan Roth, and Stefano Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on</i> <i>Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on</i> <i>Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first</i> <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		naacl-main.442.
<ul> <li>Soatto. Fewer truncations improve language modeling. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		Hantian Ding Zijian Wang Giovanni Paolini Varun Kumar Anoon Deoras Dan Roth and Stefano
<ul> <li>Machine Learning, 2024a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=kRxCDDFNpp.</li> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on</i> <i>Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first</i> <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li><i>Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i>, pp. 3029–3051, Singapore, December 2023.</li> <li>Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>	635	
<ul> <li>Association for Computational Linguistics.</li> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first</i> <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>	636	
<ul> <li>Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang, and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>	637	
<ul> <li>and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first</i> <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>	638	Association for Computational Linguistics.
<ul> <li>and Mao Yang. LongroPE: Extending LLM context window beyond 2 million tokens. In <i>Forty-first</i> <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>	639	Yiran Ding, Li Lyna Zhang, Chengruidong Zhang, Yuanyuan Xu, Ning Shang, Jiahang Xu, Fan Yang
<ul> <li><i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i>, 2024b.</li> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>	640	
<ul> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>	641	
<ul> <li>Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha</li> <li>Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models.</li> <li><i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with</li> <li>zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models.</li> <li><i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783</i>, 2024.</li> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>645</li> <li>646</li> <li>646 emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with</li> <li>zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/</li> </ul>		arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783, 2024.
zero fine-tuning, 2023. URL https://www.reddit.com/r/LocalLLaMA/comments/		emozilla. Dynamically scaled rope further increases performance of long context llama with
	571	14mrgpr/dynamically_scaled_rope_further_increases/.

648 649 650 651	Yao Fu, Rameswar Panda, Xinyao Niu, Xiang Yue, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Yoon Kim, and Hao Peng. Data engineering for scaling language models to 128k context. In <i>International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)</i> , 2024.
652 653 654 655	Leo Gao, Jonathan Tow, Stella Biderman, Sid Black, Anthony DiPofi, Charles Foster, Laurence Golding, Jeffrey Hsu, Kyle McDonell, Niklas Muennighoff, Jason Phang, Laria Reynolds, Eric Tang, Anish Thite, Ben Wang, Kevin Wang, and Andy Zou. A framework for few-shot language model evaluation, September 2021.
656 657 658 659	Tianyu Gao, Howard Yen, Jiatong Yu, and Danqi Chen. Enabling large language models to generate text with citations. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing</i> , pp. 6465–6488, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.
660 661 662	Tanya Goyal, Junyi Jessy Li, and Greg Durrett. News summarization and evaluation in the era of gpt-3, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.12356.
663	Albert Gu and Tri Dao. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces, 2023.
664 665 666 667	Daya Guo, Qihao Zhu, Dejian Yang, Zhenda Xie, Kai Dong, Wentao Zhang, Guanting Chen, Xiao Bi, Yu Wu, YK Li, et al. Deepseek-coder: When the large language model meets programming–the rise of code intelligence. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.14196</i> , 2024.
668 669 670	Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. In <i>International Conference on Learning Representations</i> , 2021.
671 672 673 674	Eduard Hovy, Laurie Gerber, Ulf Hermjakob, Chin-Yew Lin, and Deepak Ravichandran. Toward semantics-based answer pinpointing. In <i>Proceedings of the First International Conference on Human Language Technology Research</i> , 2001.
675 676 677	Cheng-Ping Hsieh, Simeng Sun, Samuel Kriman, Shantanu Acharya, Dima Rekesh, Fei Jia, and Boris Ginsburg. RULER: What's the real context size of your long-context language models? In <i>First Conference on Language Modeling</i> , 2024.
678 679 680 681	Shengding Hu, Yuge Tu, Xu Han, Chaoqun He, Ganqu Cui, Xiang Long, Zhi Zheng, Yewei Fang, Yuxiang Huang, Weilin Zhao, et al. Minicpm: Unveiling the potential of small language models with scalable training strategies. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.06395</i> , 2024a.
682 683 684	Zhiyuan Hu, Yuliang Liu, Jinman Zhao, Suyuchen Wang, Yan Wang, Wei Shen, Qing Gu, Anh Tuan Luu, See-Kiong Ng, Zhiwei Jiang, et al. Longrecipe: Recipe for efficient long context generalization in large languge models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.00509</i> , 2024b.
685 686 687 688	Hamish Ivison, Yizhong Wang, Valentina Pyatkin, Nathan Lambert, Matthew Peters, Pradeep Dasigi, Joel Jang, David Wadden, Noah A. Smith, Iz Beltagy, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. Camels in a changing climate: Enhancing lm adaptation with Tulu 2, 2023.
689 690 691	Sam Ade Jacobs, Masahiro Tanaka, Chengming Zhang, Minjia Zhang, Shuaiwen Leon Song, Samyam Rajbhandari, and Yuxiong He. Deepspeed ulysses: System optimizations for enabling training of extreme long sequence transformer models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.14509</i> , 2023.
692 693 694	Samy Jelassi, David Brandfonbrener, Sham M. Kakade, and Eran Malach. Repeat after me: Transformers are better than state space models at copying, 2024.
695 696 697	Hongye Jin, Xiaotian Han, Jingfeng Yang, Zhimeng Jiang, Zirui Liu, Chia-Yuan Chang, Huiyuan Chen, and Xia Hu. LLM maybe longLM: Selfextend LLM context window without tuning. In <i>Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning</i> , 2024.
698 699	Garrett Kamradt. Needle in a haystack - pressure testing LLMs, 2024.
700 701	Marzena Karpinska, Katherine Thai, Kyle Lo, Tanya Goyal, and Mohit Iyyer. One thousand and one pairs: A" novel" challenge for long-context language models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.16264</i> , 2024.

702 703 704	Yekyung Kim, Yapei Chang, Marzena Karpinska, Aparna Garimella, Varun Manjunatha, Kyle Lo, Tanya Goyal, and Mohit Iyyer. FABLES: Evaluating faithfulness and content selection in book-length summarization. In <i>First Conference on Language Modeling</i> , 2024.
705 706 707 708 709	Denis Kocetkov, Raymond Li, Loubna Ben allal, Jia LI, Chenghao Mou, Yacine Jernite, Margaret Mitchell, Carlos Muñoz Ferrandis, Sean Hughes, Thomas Wolf, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Leandro Von Werra, and Harm de Vries. The stack: 3 TB of permissively licensed source code. <i>Transactions on Machine Learning Research</i> , 2023.
710 711 712	Tomáš Kočiský, Jonathan Schwarz, Phil Blunsom, Chris Dyer, Karl Moritz Hermann, Gábor Melis, and Edward Grefenstette. The NarrativeQA reading comprehension challenge. <i>Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics</i> , 6:317–328, 2018.
713 714 715 716	Kalpesh Krishna, Erin Bransom, Bailey Kuehl, Mohit Iyyer, Pradeep Dasigi, Arman Cohan, and Kyle Lo. LongEval: Guidelines for human evaluation of faithfulness in long-form summarization. In <i>Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics</i> , pp. 1650–1669, 2023.
717 718 719 720 721 722	Tom Kwiatkowski, Jennimaria Palomaki, Olivia Redfield, Michael Collins, Ankur Parikh, Chris Alberti, Danielle Epstein, Illia Polosukhin, Jacob Devlin, Kenton Lee, Kristina Toutanova, Llion Jones, Matthew Kelcey, Ming-Wei Chang, Andrew M. Dai, Jakob Uszkoreit, Quoc Le, and Slav Petrov. Natural questions: A benchmark for question answering research. <i>Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics</i> , 7:452–466, 2019.
723 724 725 726 727	Stefan Larson, Anish Mahendran, Joseph J. Peper, Christopher Clarke, Andrew Lee, Parker Hill, Jonathan K. Kummerfeld, Kevin Leach, Michael A. Laurenzano, Lingjia Tang, and Jason Mars. An evaluation dataset for intent classification and out-of-scope prediction. In <i>Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)</i> , pp. 1311–1316, 2019.
728 729 730	Barak Lenz, Alan Arazi, Amir Bergman, Avshalom Manevich, Barak Peleg, Ben Aviram, Chen Almagor, Clara Fridman, Dan Padnos, et al. Jamba-1.5: Hybrid transformer-mamba models at scale. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.12570</i> , 2024.
731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741	Jeffrey Li, Alex Fang, Georgios Smyrnis, Maor Ivgi, Matt Jordan, Samir Gadre, Hritik Bansal, Etash Guha, Sedrick Keh, Kushal Arora, Saurabh Garg, Rui Xin, Niklas Muennighoff, Reinhard Heckel, Jean Mercat, Mayee Chen, Suchin Gururangan, Mitchell Wortsman, Alon Albalak, Yonatan Bitton, Marianna Nezhurina, Amro Abbas, Cheng-Yu Hsieh, Dhruba Ghosh, Josh Gardner, Maciej Kilian, Hanlin Zhang, Rulin Shao, Sarah Pratt, Sunny Sanyal, Gabriel Ilharco, Giannis Daras, Kalyani Marathe, Aaron Gokaslan, Jieyu Zhang, Khyathi Chandu, Thao Nguyen, Igor Vasiljevic, Sham Kakade, Shuran Song, Sujay Sanghavi, Fartash Faghri, Sewoong Oh, Luke Zettlemoyer, Kyle Lo, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, Hadi Pouransari, Alexander Toshev, Stephanie Wang, Dirk Groeneveld, Luca Soldaini, Pang Wei Koh, Jenia Jitsev, Thomas Kollar, Alexandros G. Dimakis, Yair Carmon, Achal Dave, Ludwig Schmidt, and Vaishaal Shankar. Datacomp-lm: In search of the next generation of training sets for language models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.11794</i> , 2024a.
742 743 744 745 746 747	Shenggui Li, Fuzhao Xue, Chaitanya Baranwal, Yongbin Li, and Yang You. Sequence paral- lelism: Long sequence training from system perspective. In Anna Rogers, Jordan Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (eds.), <i>Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for</i> <i>Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)</i> , pp. 2391–2404, Toronto, Canada, July 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.acl-long.134. URL https://aclanthology.org/2023.acl-long.134.
748 749	Tianle Li, Ge Zhang, Quy Duc Do, Xiang Yue, and Wenhu Chen. Long-context llms struggle with long in-context learning. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.02060</i> , 2024b.
750 751 752 753	Opher Lieber, Barak Lenz, Hofit Bata, Gal Cohen, Jhonathan Osin, Itay Dalmedigos, Erez Safahi, Shaked Meirom, Yonatan Belinkov, Shai Shalev-Shwartz, et al. Jamba: A hybrid transformer- mamba language model. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19887</i> , 2024.
754 755	Jiaheng Liu, Zhiqi Bai, Yuanxing Zhang, Chenchen Zhang, Yu Zhang, Ge Zhang, Jiakai Wang, Haoran Que, Yukang Chen, Wenbo Su, et al. E <sup>2</sup> -llm: Efficient and extreme length extension of large language models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.06951</i> , 2024a.

- Nelson F. Liu, Kevin Lin, John Hewitt, Ashwin Paranjape, Michele Bevilacqua, Fabio Petroni, and Percy Liang. Lost in the Middle: How Language Models Use Long Contexts. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 12:157–173, 02 2024b.
- Xingkun Liu, Arash Eshghi, Pawel Swietojanski, and Verena Rieser. Benchmarking natural language
   understanding services for building conversational agents. In *Increasing naturalness and flexibility in spoken dialogue interaction: 10th international workshop on spoken dialogue systems*, pp. 165–183. Springer, 2021.
- Yi Lu, Jing Nathan Yan, Songlin Yang, Justin T Chiu, Siyu Ren, Fei Yuan, Wenting Zhao, Zhiyong
  Wu, and Alexander M Rush. A controlled study on long context extension and generalization in
  llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12181*, 2024.
- Xuezhe Ma, Chunting Zhou, Xiang Kong, Junxian He, Liangke Gui, Graham Neubig, Jonathan May, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Mega: moving average equipped gated attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.10655*, 2022.
- Alex Mallen, Akari Asai, Victor Zhong, Rajarshi Das, Daniel Khashabi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi.
   When not to trust language models: Investigating effectiveness of parametric and non-parametric memories. In Anna Rogers, Jordan Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (eds.), *Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*, pp. 9802–9822, Toronto, Canada, July 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- 776 Mosaic ML. streaming. <https://github.com/mosaicml/streaming/>, 2022.
- Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), 35:27730-27744, 2022. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper\_files/paper/2022/file/ blefde53be364a73914f58805a001731-Paper-Conference.pdf.
- Jane Pan, Tianyu Gao, Howard Chen, and Danqi Chen. What in-context learning "learns" in-context:
   Disentangling task recognition and task learning. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pp. 8298–8319. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023.
- Keiran Paster, Marco Dos Santos, Zhangir Azerbayev, and Jimmy Ba. Openwebmath: An open dataset of high-quality mathematical web text. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor
   Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, et al. Pytorch: An imperative style,
   high-performance deep learning library. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32,
   2019.
- Guilherme Penedo, Quentin Malartic, Daniel Hesslow, Ruxandra Cojocaru, Hamza Alobeidli, Alessandro Cappelli, Baptiste Pannier, Ebtesam Almazrouei, and Julien Launay. The refinedweb dataset for falcon llm: Outperforming curated corpora with web data only. In A. Oh, T. Naumann, A. Globerson, K. Saenko, M. Hardt, and S. Levine (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 36, pp. 79155–79172. Curran Associates, Inc., 2023.
- Guilherme Penedo, Hynek Kydlíček, Loubna Ben allal, Anton Lozhkov, Margaret Mitchell, Colin
   Raffel, Leandro Von Werra, and Thomas Wolf. The fineweb datasets: Decanting the web for the
   finest text data at scale, 2024.
- Bo Peng, Eric Alcaide, Quentin Anthony, Alon Albalak, Samuel Arcadinho, Stella Biderman, Huanqi
  Cao, Xin Cheng, Michael Chung, Leon Derczynski, Xingjian Du, Matteo Grella, Kranthi Gv,
  Xuzheng He, Haowen Hou, Przemyslaw Kazienko, Jan Kocon, Jiaming Kong, Bartłomiej Koptyra,
  Hayden Lau, Jiaju Lin, Krishna Sri Ipsit Mantri, Ferdinand Mom, Atsushi Saito, Guangyu Song,
  Xiangru Tang, Johan Wind, Stanisław Woźniak, Zhenyuan Zhang, Qinghua Zhou, Jian Zhu, and
  Rui-Jie Zhu. RWKV: Reinventing RNNs for the transformer era. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pp. 14048–14077, 2023.

856

- Bowen Peng, Jeffrey Quesnelle, Honglu Fan, and Enrico Shippole. YaRN: Efficient context window extension of large language models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.
- Ofir Press, Noah Smith, and Mike Lewis. Train short, test long: Attention with linear biases enables
   input length extrapolation. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2022.
- Jack W. Rae, Anna Potapenko, Siddhant M. Jayakumar, Chloe Hillier, and Timothy P. Lillicrap. Compressive transformers for long-range sequence modelling. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020.
- Machel Reid, Nikolay Savinov, Denis Teplyashin, Dmitry Lepikhin, Timothy Lillicrap, Jean-baptiste
  Alayrac, Radu Soricut, Angeliki Lazaridou, Orhan Firat, Julian Schrittwieser, et al. Gemini
  1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of context. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05530*, 2024.
- Ohad Rubin and Jonathan Berant. Long-range language modeling with self-retrieval, 2023.
- Keisuke Sakaguchi, Ronan Le Bras, Chandra Bhagavatula, and Yejin Choi. Winogrande: An
   adversarial winograd schema challenge at scale. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(9):99–106, 2021.
- <sup>829</sup> Uri Shaham, Maor Ivgi, Avia Efrat, Jonathan Berant, and Omer Levy. ZeroSCROLLS: A zero-shot benchmark for long text understanding. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pp. 7977–7989, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zejiang Shen, Kyle Lo, Lauren Yu, Nathan Dahlberg, Margo Schlanger, and Doug Downey. Multilexsum: Real-world summaries of civil rights lawsuits at multiple granularities. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 35, pp. 13158–13173. Curran Associates, Inc., 2022.
- Aaditya K Singh, Yu Yang, Kushal Tirumala, Mostafa Elhoushi, and Ari S Morcos. Brevity is the
   soul of wit: Pruning long files for code generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.00434*, 2024.
- Baria Soboleva, Faisal Al-Khateeb, Robert Myers, Jacob R Steeves, Joel Hestness, and Nolan Dey.
   SlimPajama: A 627B token cleaned and deduplicated version of RedPajama, 2023.
- 843 Luca Soldaini, Rodney Kinney, Akshita Bhagia, Dustin Schwenk, David Atkinson, Russell Authur, 844 Ben Bogin, Khyathi Chandu, Jennifer Dumas, Yanai Elazar, Valentin Hofmann, Ananya Jha, Sachin 845 Kumar, Li Lucy, Xinxi Lyu, Nathan Lambert, Ian Magnusson, Jacob Morrison, Niklas Muennighoff, 846 Aakanksha Naik, Crystal Nam, Matthew Peters, Abhilasha Ravichander, Kyle Richardson, Zejiang 847 Shen, Emma Strubell, Nishant Subramani, Oyvind Tafjord, Evan Walsh, Luke Zettlemoyer, Noah Smith, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Iz Beltagy, Dirk Groeneveld, Jesse Dodge, and Kyle Lo. Dolma: an 848 open corpus of three trillion tokens for language model pretraining research. In Lun-Wei Ku, Andre 849 Martins, and Vivek Srikumar (eds.), Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association 850 for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pp. 15725–15788, Bangkok, Thailand, 851 August 2024. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2024.acl-long.840. 852 URL https://aclanthology.org/2024.acl-long.840. 853
- Jianlin Su, Yu Lu, Shengfeng Pan, Ahmed Murtadha, Bo Wen, and Yunfeng Liu. Roformer: Enhanced
   transformer with rotary position embedding, 2021.
- Yutao Sun, Li Dong, Shaohan Huang, Shuming Ma, Yuqing Xia, Jilong Xue, Jianyong Wang, and
  Furu Wei. Retentive network: A successor to transformer for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.08621*, 2023.
- Yutao Sun, Li Dong, Yi Zhu, Shaohan Huang, Wenhui Wang, Shuming Ma, Quanlu Zhang, Jianyong
   Wang, and Furu Wei. You only cache once: Decoder-decoder architectures for language models.
   *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.05254*, 2024.

Together. Redpajama: An open source recipe to reproduce llama training dataset, April 2023.

864 Roger Waleffe, Wonmin Byeon, Duncan Riach, Brandon Norick, Vijay Korthikanti, Tri Dao, Albert 865 Gu, Ali Hatamizadeh, Sudhakar Singh, Deepak Narayanan, et al. An empirical study of mamba-866 based language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07887, 2024. 867 Junxiong Wang, Daniele Paliotta, Avner May, Alexander M Rush, and Tri Dao. The mamba in the 868 llama: Distilling and accelerating hybrid models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.15237, 2024. 870 Alexander Wettig, Aatmik Gupta, Saumya Malik, and Danqi Chen. QuRating: Selecting high-quality 871 data for training language models. In Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Zico Kolter, Katherine Heller, Adrian 872 Weller, Nuria Oliver, Jonathan Scarlett, and Felix Berkenkamp (eds.), Proceedings of the 41st 873 International Conference on Machine Learning, volume 235 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, pp. 52915-52971. PMLR, 21-27 Jul 2024. 874 875 Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, 876 Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Remi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von 877 Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama 878 Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander Rush. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language 879 processing. In Qun Liu and David Schlangen (eds.), Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on 880 *Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pp. 38–45, Online, 881 October 2020. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2020.emnlp-demos.6. 882 Jeff Wu, Long Ouyang, Daniel M Ziegler, Nisan Stiennon, Ryan Lowe, Jan Leike, and Paul Christiano. 883 Recursively summarizing books with human feedback. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.10862, 2021. 884 885 Wenhao Wu, Yizhong Wang, Yao Fu, Xiang Yue, Dawei Zhu, and Sujian Li. Long context alignment 886 with short instructions and synthesized positions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.03939, 2024. 887 Chaojun Xiao, Pengle Zhang, Xu Han, Guangxuan Xiao, Yankai Lin, Zhengyan Zhang, Zhiyuan Liu, 888 Song Han, and Maosong Sun. InfLLM: Unveiling the intrinsic capacity of LLMs for understanding 889 extremely long sequences with training-free memory. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04617, 2024a. 890 891 Guangxuan Xiao, Yuandong Tian, Beidi Chen, Song Han, and Mike Lewis. Efficient streaming 892 language models with attention sinks. In The Twelfth International Conference on Learning 893 Representations, 2024b. 894 Wenhan Xiong, Jingyu Liu, Igor Molybog, Hejia Zhang, Prajjwal Bhargava, Rui Hou, Louis Martin, 895 Rashi Rungta, Karthik Abinav Sankararaman, Barlas Oguz, Madian Khabsa, Han Fang, Yashar 896 Mehdad, Sharan Narang, Kshitiz Malik, Angela Fan, Shruti Bhosale, Sergey Edunov, Mike Lewis, 897 Sinong Wang, and Hao Ma. Effective long-context scaling of foundation models, 2023. Zheyang Xiong, Vasilis Papageorgiou, Kangwook Lee, and Dimitris Papailiopoulos. From artificial 899 needles to real haystacks: Improving retrieval capabilities in llms by finetuning on synthetic data. 900 arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.19292, 2024. 901 902 An Yang, Baosong Yang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Zhou, Chengpeng Li, 903 Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, et al. Qwen2 technical report. arXiv preprint 904 arXiv:2407.10671, 2024a. 905 Songlin Yang, Bailin Wang, Yikang Shen, Rameswar Panda, and Yoon Kim. Gated linear attention 906 transformers with hardware-efficient training. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine 907 Learning, 2024b. 908 909 Zhilin Yang, Peng Qi, Saizheng Zhang, Yoshua Bengio, William Cohen, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Christopher D. Manning. HotpotQA: A dataset for diverse, explainable multi-hop question 910 answering. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language 911 Processing, pp. 2369–2380, 2018. 912 913 Howard Yen, Tianyu Gao, and Danqi Chen. Long-context language modeling with parallel context 914 encoding. In Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL), pp. 2588–2610, 2024a. 915 Howard Yen, Tianyu Gao, Minmin Hou, Ke Ding, Daniel Fleischer, Peter Izsak, Moshe Wasserblat, 916 and Danqi Chen. Helmet: How to evaluate long-context language models effectively and thoroughly. 917 2024b.

918 919 920	Davis Yoshida, Allyson Ettinger, and Kevin Gimpel. Adding recurrence to pretrained transformers for improved efficiency and context size, 2020.
921 922 923	Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. HellaSwag: Can a machine really finish your sentence? In <i>Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics</i> , pp. 4791–4800, 2019.
924 925	Shiyue Zhang and Mohit Bansal. Finding a balanced degree of automation for summary evaluation. In <i>Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)</i> , pp. 6617–6632, 2021.
926 927 928 929 930	Xinrong Zhang, Yingfa Chen, Shengding Hu, Zihang Xu, Junhao Chen, Moo Hao, Xu Han, Zhen Thai, Shuo Wang, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. ∞Bench: Extending long context evaluation beyond 100K tokens. In <i>Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)</i> , pp. 15262–15277, 2024a.
931 932	Yikai Zhang, Junlong Li, and Pengfei Liu. Extending llms' context window with 100 samples. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:2401.07004, 2024b.
933 934 935 936	Liang Zhao, Tianwen Wei, Liang Zeng, Cheng Cheng, Liu Yang, Peng Cheng, Lijie Wang, Chenxia Li, Xuejie Wu, Bo Zhu, et al. Longskywork: A training recipe for efficiently extending context length in large language models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.00605</i> , 2024.
937 938 939	Dawei Zhu, Nan Yang, Liang Wang, Yifan Song, Wenhao Wu, Furu Wei, and Sujian Li. PoSE: Efficient context window extension of LLMs via positional skip-wise training. In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations</i> , 2024.
940	
941	
942	
943	
944	
945	
946	
947	
948	
949	
950	
951	
952	
953	
954	
955	
956	
957	
958	
959	
960	
961	
962	
963	
964 965	
965 966	
966 967	
968	
969	
970	
971	

#### 972 A LIMITATIONS

Although we try to ablate the major components of our training recipe, due to resource limitations, we cannot exhaust all aspects, such as the optimization hyperparameters and additional data mixtures. We also limit ourselves to the 10B-scale regime and the Llama-3 base models, which may limit the generalizability of our recipe to our base models. Another concern is that we are overfitting to the tasks chosen for model development-however, we do not directly train on those datasets and guiding model development with benchmark tasks has become a common practice in pre-trained LM development. We also show that our final recipe and model perform well on an additional evaluation dataset, NoCha. 

## **B** EXPERIMENT DETAILS

## **B.1** EVALUATION

Table 13: The details for our long-context evaluation following HELMET (Yen et al., 2024b).

Category	Metrics	Tasks and Datasets
Recall	SubEM	Given a randomly-generated long JSON file and a key, retrieve the corresponding value (Liu et al., 2024b).
RAG	SubEM	Given a question and many retrieved Wikipedia documents (shuffled), answer the question (Liu et al., 2024b). Datasets: <i>NaturalQuestion</i> (Kwiatkowski et al., 2019), <i>HotpotQA</i> (Yang et al., 2018), and <i>PopQA</i> (Mallen et al., 2023).
Re-rank	nDCG@10	Given a query and many retrieved documents (shuffled), re-rank the top-10 documents. Datasets: <i>MSMARCO</i> (Bajaj et al., 2016).
ICL	Accuracy	Datasets selected from Bertsch et al. (2024): <i>TREC coarse</i> , <i>TREC fine</i> (Hovy et al., 2001), <i>NLU</i> (Liu et al., 2021), <i>Banking77</i> (Casanueva et al., 2020), and <i>Clinc-150</i> (Larson et al., 2019).
QA	GPT-40 score	Given a book, answer the question. Datasets (# tokens): <i>NarrativeQA</i> (medium: 73K; max: 518K; Kočiský et al., 2018).
Summ.	GPT-40 score	Summarize a given legal document. Datasets (# tokens): <i>Multi-LexSum</i> (medium: 90K; max: 5M; Shen et al., 2022)

Table 13 shows all the datasets we used for the long-context evaluation from HELMET (Yen et al., 2024b). We highlight some of the evaluation protocol improvements that HELMET implemented compared to previous benchmarks here:

- Sufficient context lengths and fine-grained control. HELMET can evaluate models at a context length of 128K tokens and beyond. The evaluation protocol also allows for reporting results at different lengths, giving developers fine-trained controls for different needs of long contexts.
- Better synthetic recall tasks. As shown in HELMET, needle-in-a-haystack (Kamradt, 2024) is mostly saturated because of its simplicity—the model only needs to find a needle in some irrelevant context. We instead use the more challenging JSON KV task, first proposed in Liu et al. (2024b) and included in HELMET, where the model is required to find the corresponding value to a given key among a large JSON file.
- Using class-balanced demonstrations and abstract labels for ICL. To disentangle models' ability of learning from demonstrations from their pre-training bias of the task or the dataset label distribution (Pan et al., 2023), HELMET samples the same number of demonstrations for each class and uses number labels (1, 2, ...) instead of natural-language labels (e.g., *location*, *description*, ...).
- Model-based evaluation for long-context QA and summarization. Instead of using traditional metrics like ROUGE (which has shown to be poorly indicative of the real model performance: Deutsch & Roth, 2021; Deutsch et al., 2022; Goyal et al., 2023; Chang et al., 2024), HELMET uses model-based evaluations to compare the reference answer and the model output. For QA,

HELMET uses GPT-40 to score the model output given the question and the reference answer at a 0-3 scale. For summarization, HELMET takes a similar approach as Zhang & Bansal (2021); Gao et al. (2023): it first uses GPT-40 to decompose the reference summary and the model summary into atomic claims; then it uses GPT-40 to check whether each reference atomic claim is covered by the model output (recall) and whether each model atomic claim is covered by the reference summary (precision). Yen et al. (2024b) show that the model-based evaluation correlates with human perceptions significantly better than traditional metrics.

- 1034 B.2 DATA PROCESSING
- 1035

1042

1033

Data sources. We list all the data sources we have explored in our ablations and main experiments here: the Stack (Kocetkov et al., 2023), SlimPajama (Together, 2023; Soboleva et al., 2023), FineWeb (we use the 2023-50 snapshot), FineWeb-Edu (we use a random sample) (Penedo et al., 2024), Tulu-v2 (Ivison et al., 2023), OpenWebMath (Paster et al., 2024), textbooks (Chevalier et al., 2024), and Dolma (Soldaini et al., 2024). The Books, StackExchange, and ArXiv data are from SlimPajama. The Wikipedia data are from Dolma.

**Data filtering and packing.** For the short training data and the SFT data, we randomly sample and concatenate the documents or conversations into 64K chunks. The last document for each chunk is truncated. The truncated part is used as the beginning for the next chunk for the short training data but is discarded for the SFT data. For the long-context training data, we filter out the documents that are shorter than 64K; we do the same for the 512K setting.

1048 Final data mixture. We use a slightly different long data mixture in our ablations (Table 5) and our 1049 main ProLong experiment (Table 9). For the final model, we mix 3% textbooks into the long-context 1050 training data. The textbooks are open-source resources from libretexts.org, collected and made 1051 available by Chevalier et al. (2024). We pre-process the data by concatenating chapters from the same text books, as well as books from the same subject areas. This results in extremely long sequences 1052 which we pack into contexts of either 64K or 512K tokens. Though we do not have an ablation for 1053 adding this data due to limited resources, we believe that it should have a slight positive effect to the 1054 final model performance as textbooks are highly educational long-context data. 1055

Table 14: % Proportion of long documents for the short data components used in Table 6. These statistics are computed after packing and truncation and therefore correspond to the document lengths as seen by the model. We highlight that the proportion of documents beyond 32K is below 1% for ShortMix.

1061		>4K	>8K	>16K	>32K
1062 1063	FineWeb	1.4	0.3	0.1	0.0
1064	FineWeb-Edu	2.8	0.8	0.2	0.0
1065	Wikipedia	1.6	0.4	0.0	0.0
	Tulu-v2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1066	StackExchange	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0
1067	ArXiv	85.7	64.0	30.3	7.6
1068	OpenWebMath	11.1	4.3	1.2	0.3
1069	ShortMix	10.9	7.2	3.2	0.8
1070	SlimPajama	11.3	7.4	4.9	3.2
1071	FineWeb-Edu	2.8	0.8	0.2	0.0
1072	DCLM-Baseline	4.9	1.7	0.2	0.0
1073		,	117	011	011

1074 1075

## 1076 B.3 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

1077

Technical stack. We use various open-source packages and tools for the ProLong training and evaluation. We use PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2019) and Hugging Face transformers (Wolf et al., 2020) for the model training. We use mosaic-streaming (Mosaic ML, 2022) for loading and mixing the data

and FlashAttention 2 (Dao, 2024) for efficient attention implementation. We implement sequence parallelism based on DeepSpeed-Ulysses (Jacobs et al., 2023). For long-context evaluation, we use HELMET (Yen et al., 2024b) and for short-context evaluation, we use Im-eval-harness (Gao et al., 2021).

1084

Attention and batching. Since we do document masking in attention §6, we use the variable-length attention implementation from FlashAttention 2 (Dao, 2024) to speed up long-context training: for 1086 sequences that are concatenations of multiple short documents, instead of computing the full attention 1087 with masking, we instead compute the attention for each individual document. Since the complexity 1088 of attention is quadratic to the sequence length, this improves the training speed. However, the 1089 improvement is negligible in a distributed training setting with FSDP, since GPUs processing short 1090 sequence batches have to wait on other GPUs processing long sequences. We therefore implement 1091 a smart batching algorithm: In our setting, a gradient step usually consists of multiple gradient 1092 accumulation steps, where each device processes a smaller minibatch. We sort all the minibatches 1093 per training step by the sum of the squared lengths of documents in the sequence. This leads to 1094 more balanced sequence lengths across the GPUs and effective speedups, as can be seen in Table 15, 1095 without affecting the gradient updates or loss during training. However, the efficiency gains are diminished when training with more GPUs, as this reduces the number of gradient accumulation 1096 steps.

1098

1099

Table 15: Throughput per device of our ablation runs from Table 20, when training with 8 Nvidia H100 GPUs with FSDP. Our strategy of reordering minibatches is important for realizing the speed benefits from variable-length attention.

	Throughput (tokens/s/GPU)
64K full attention	2770
Variable-length attention	$2780_{(+0.4\%)}$
+ Minibatch reordering	$3095_{(+11.7\%)}$

**Token-averaged loss.** We found that in the SFT stage, the distribution of the training tokens (in SFT, the tokens from the instructions are masked out and the models are only trained on the responses) on each GPU device can be extremely imbalanced, especially when there is synthetic data (most tokens in a synthetic data instance are from the instruction). Conventional all-reduce loss in distributed training averages over the sequences instead of valid tokens, which skews the optimization and also our control over the domain proportions. Instead, we change the all-reduce loss to be the average over all valid training tokens. Bai et al. (2024a) implements their SFT loss in a similar way.

1118

1119

## 1120 B.4 THE ABLATION SETTING

1121

For all our ablations, unless specified, we train the *base model* of Llama-3-8B (instead of Instruct) on a 64K sequence length for 5B tokens, with the same hyperparameters as specified in Table 9. We choose this context length, as it is the highest power of 2 value for which we can train without sequence parallelism. By default, we use the same training data as the 64K ProLong setting, except that we remove the textbooks and use the ShortMix proportions in Table 5. For SFT, we use the same settings as specified in Table 9.

1128

1129

## 1130 B.5 GENERATING SYNTHETIC SFT DATA

1131

We prompt Llama-3-8B-Instruct to generate the synthetic data and Table 16 shows the prompt we used for generating the synthetic QA data for books. We also write predefined templates and randomly sample one for each synthetic instance to increase the diversity, and Table 17 provides some examples.

	Table 16: Prompts for generating synthetic QA data.
F	Given the following snippet of a book, ask a relevant question and provide the answer. The question and the answer should follow the following rules:
ē ē	(1) The question should be specific enough that it can only be answered with the snippet. The question should also be interesting and intellectual enough that a curious reader of the book would ask about it.
( t s N e c t	(2) The question and the answer should be comprehensible given just the whole book without highlighting the snippet. With that being said, the question should NOT refer to the snippet directly (e.g., of NOT say things like "Question: given the conversation in the snipped what"). The answer also should not mention "the snippet" explicitly (assuming that the snippet is never provided), but it can copy the snippet content as a reference when answering the question. (3) The answer should be concise but also should provide references to the book when needed. For example, \Wellington Yueh betrayed the attreides, as the book mentioned, ''".
	*** Start of the snippet ***
1	sampled snippet}
*	*** End of the snippet ***
Ŵ	Before generating the question and the answer, first reason about what this snippet is about. In your generation, stick to the Collowing format:
Ç	Reasoning: this snippet is about Duestion: Answer:
Ve	
We and	sample one question prompt and one template each time and combine them with the docur the generated QA pairs to form a synthetic training example. Example question prompts for synthetic QA data
We and — G H F F	
We and — G H F F	sample one question prompt and one template each time and combine them with the document the generated QA pairs to form a synthetic training example. Example question prompts for synthetic QA data Given the document, please answer the question. Here is a piece of text; answer the following question based on it. Please answer the question using the provided content. Based on the given passage, respond to the question. Read the snippet and answer the question that follows.

## <sup>1188</sup> C MORE ABLATIONS

1205

1216

1227

1235

1236

# 1190 C.1 POSITION EXTRAPOLATION

1192 Xiong et al. (2023); emozilla (2023) show that changing the RoPE frequency base to a larger value in 1193 continual long-context pre-training or in inference time can improve the long-context performance. 1194 emozilla (2023) suggests that one should scale the frequency base by a factor of  $t^{\frac{d}{d-2}}$ , where t is 1195 the ratio between the target sequence length and the original LM length, and d is the attention head 1196 dimension.

1197 We conduct ablation studies, at both 64K (same as our standard ablation setting as specified in 1198 §B.4) and 512K (starting from ProLong-64K and training with the 512K data mixture for 5B tokens) 1199 sequence lengths, on what frequency bases we should use. Table 18 and Table 19 show the results. We 1200 first see that using the original 500,000 frequency base from Llama-3 leads to significant performance 1201 degradation. While dynamic NTK suggests  $4 \times 10^6$ , we find that further scaling it to  $8 \times 10^6$  leads to 1202 better performance. Similar, we see that when scaling the 64K model to 512K, while dynamic NTK suggests a  $64 \times 10^6$  frequency base, much larger frequency bases ( $128 \times 10^6$  and  $256 \times 10^6$ ) lead to 1203 better performance. We use  $8 \times 10^6$  for 64K and  $128 \times 10^6$  for 512K for our final ProLong models. 1204

Table 18: Ablation study on RoPE frequency base at a maximum training length of 64K. DynamicNTK (emozilla, 2023) roughly suggests to use 4m as the frequency base.

RoPE Base	Base Long-Context							
$(\times 10^{6})$	Recall	RAG	Re-rank	ICL	QA	Summ.	Avg.	Avg.
0.5	25.8	37.0	4.4	73.8	17.5	16.3	29.1	65.0
4.0	81.3	47.8	18.2	76.5	31.8	36.3	48.7	65.3
8.0	96.0	54.9	29.4	73.9	35.7	37.9	54.6	65.5

Table 19: Ablation study on RoPE frequency base at a maximum training length of 512K. Dynamic NTK (emozilla, 2023) roughly suggests to use  $64 \times 10^6$  as the frequency base.

RoPE Base	E Base Long-Context								
$(\times 10^{6})$	Recall	RAG	Re-rank	ICL	QA	Summ.	Avg.	Avg.	
64	98.8	57.8	30.4	82.2	38.2	38.3	57.6	68.3	
128	98.8	57.4	30.7	80.0	40.4	38.8	57.7	68.6	
256	98.8	56.8	33.8	79.8	37.9	39.7	57.8	68.4	

## 1226 C.2 DOCUMENT MASKS

We experiment whether to use document masks in attention in Table 20. Standard training concatenates multiple short documents into a single sequence (in our case, a 64K sequence), uses a special token to separate documents, and performs full attention over the whole sequence. When the document masks are used, we do not allow the attention to cross the document boundaries. We find that using document masks in continual long-context training leads to both better long-context results and short-context performance. For all our other ablations and the main experiment, we use document masks.

Table 20: Impact of using document masks in attention.

237	Attention Long-Context							Short-Context	
238 239	Attention	Recall	RAG	Re-rank	ICL	QA	Summ.	Avg.	Avg.
240	No doc masks	97.4	53.6	20.4	76.6	37.2	36.3	53.6	64.9
241	Document masks	96.0	54.9	29.4	73.9	35.7	37.9	54.6	65.5

## 1242 C.3 INITIALIZATION

We use the base model for Llama-3-8B as the initialization for all our ablations to make sure the findings are generalizable and are not confounded by the Llama instruction tuning. However, for our final ProLong model, we use Llama-3-8B-Instruct as the initialization to achieve the best performance. We see in Table 21 (using the ablation setting from §B.4) that using Llama-3-8B-Instruct as the initialization achieves slightly better long-context performance and much stronger short-context performance.

Table 21: Differences of using the base Llama-3-8B model vs. Llama-3-8B-Instruct.

Base Model	Base Model Long-Context Short-Context							
Dase Woder	Avg.	HellaS.	MMLU	ARC-c	WG	GSM8K	Avg.	
Llama-3-8B-Base	54.6	81.6	65.3	58.0	76.2	46.6	65.5	
Llama-3-8B-Instruct	55.0	80.8	66.1	58.5	75.6	57.7	67.7	

#### 1259 C.4 Instruction-tuning datasets

Initialized from the ProLong base model, we experiment with different public, short-context SFT datasets. All runs use the same SFT hyperparameters as specified in Table 9. Table 22 shows that using UltraChat leads to the best overall results. Note that this does not necessarily mean that UltraChat is the best SFT dataset for all base models or applications.

Table 22: Ablations on using different short-context SFT datasets. We report the 32K-and-64Kaveraged performance except tasks marked with <sup>†</sup>, which are evaluated at 512K for stress testing.

SFT Data	Long-Context								
SI'I Data	$Recall^{\dagger}$	RAG	Re-rank	ICL	$\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{A}^{\dagger}$	Summ. <sup>†</sup>	Avg.		
UltraChat	65.7	58.1	38.5	80.3	49.7	42.1	55.7		
Tulu v2	61.5	45.4	25.1	81.8	40.4	40.3	49.1		
ShareGPT	40.5	47.5	26.7	79.6	42.7	34.4	45.2		

# 1275 D FULL EVALUATION RESULTS

We provide all the evaluation results, including specific numbers for each dataset and evaluation length, at https://shorturl.at/pULbx.

## E EXPERIMENTS FOR REBUTTAL

We show additional results in Table 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 to address the concerns raised by the reviewers.

Using the provided passage, answer the following question. What k of job application did Eudora Welty submit to The New Yorker in 19 {Letters of Note: Correspondence Deserving of a Wider Audience} <u>Response</u> Eudora Welty submitted a job application to The New Yorker in 1933
Response
Eudora Welty submitted a job application to The New Yorker in 1933
offering to write reviews of picture galleries and 15¢ movies, and expressing her ability to drum up an opinion after voracious reading with a willingness to work from daylight to dark and even draw like Mr. Thurber if needed.

Table 23: An example of our synthetic data. The generated question and answer are fluent and faithful

to the original book. One can refer to the content of the letter here to check the answer's correctness.

Table 24: Effect of different ratios of synthetic SFT data (mixed with UltraChat). We report the 32K-and-64K-averaged performance except tasks marked with <sup>†</sup>, which are evaluated at 512K for stress testing. The number of percentage is based on #tokens, not #samples. "(8B)" and "(70B)" indicate that the synthetic data are generated by Llama-3-8B-Instruct or Llama-3-70B-Instruct. Even though using synthetic data from a stronger model leads to slightly better performance than using a weaker model, only using short-context SFT data still achieves the best result in our setting. 

% Synthetic Data	$Json KV^{\dagger}$	RAG	Re-rank	ICL	$QA^{\dagger}$	$\text{Summ.}^{\dagger}$	Avg.
0%	65.7	58.1	38.5	80.3	49.7	42.1	55.7
1% (from 8B)	61.5	57.0	38.3	80.8	45.3	41.5	54.1
1% (from 70B)	64.7	57.3	37.4	78.4	47.0	40.8	54.2
3% (from 8B)	62.0	56.4	37.9	80.6	44.8	39.5	53.5
3% (from 70B)	65.7	57.4	38.0	80.1	48.7	42.5	55.4
10% (from 8B)	70.3	55.5	36.1	80.6	41.7	39.4	53.9
10% (from 70B)	66.3	57.0	33.4	81.2	45.3	38.4	53.6
50% (from 8B)	45.8	48.8	18.8	70.5	42.3	33.3	43.3
50% (from 70B)	55.8	53.9	23.5	74.1	50.7	39.9	49.7

Table 25: Comparison between Fu et al. (2024) and our model. For a fair comparison, we use the same initialization (Llama-3-8B), same amount of data (5B), and same hyperparameters (§B.4). The ProLong data mix significantly outperforms Fu et al. (2024) on both short and long-context tasks. 

Data		L	ong-Conte	Short-Context (Avg.)					
Data	Recall	RAG	Re-rank	ICL	QA	Summ.	Avg.	Before SFT	After SFT
Fu et al. (2024)	95.8	52.1	23.1	72.0	31.0	37.0	51.8	64.1	65.4
Our data mix	96.0	54.9	29.4	73.9	35.7	37.9	54.6	65.5	67.5

Table 26: Short-context performance of our model after SFT. We also report a baseline using Llama-3-8B as the initialization and data from Fu et al. (2024), trained with 5B tokens. ProLong is initialized from Llama-3-8B-Instruct. "Llama-3-8B-Instruct + UltraChat": for a more fair comparison to ProLong, we conduct SFT on top of Llama-3-8B-Instruct with UltraChat. ProLong largely retraines the short-context performance of Llama-3-8B-Instruct except MMLU and GSM8K. We hypothesize that the close-source instruction tuning data of Llama-3-8B-Instruct is heavily engineered to improve math and knowledge-intensive tasks, which we do not have access to. ProLong achieves comparable results to "Llama-3-8B-Instruct + UltraChat", which further demonstrates that our data mix effective retains short-context performance. 

Model	HellaSwag	MMLU	ARC-c	WinoGrande	GSM8K	Avg.
Llama-3-8B + Fu et al. (2024)	82.5	63.9	63.6	75.1	42.2	65.4
Llama-3-8B	82.1	66.5	59.4	77.1	44.7	66.0
Llama-3-8B-Instruct + UltraChat	82.1	65.1	64.3	75.5	60.7	69.5
ProLong	82.8	64.6	64.7	76.2	58.9	69.4
Llama-3-8B-Instruct	78.5	67.0	60.8	74.2	68.5	69.8

1381Table 27: Evaluation on additional benchmarks. Here we report the results on RULER (Hsieh et al.,<br/>2024) and  $\infty$ Bench (Zhang et al., 2024a) at 128K. As pointed out by Yen et al. (2024b), RULER and<br/> $\infty$ Bench cannot reliably reflect long-context performance as their domain coverage is narrow and<br/>their evaluation metrics are noisy—as a result, we see unintuitive trends such as Gemini-1.5-Pro and<br/>Llama-3.1 (70B) perform worse than Llama-3.1 (8B). Regardless, our model still achieves the best<br/>performance on  $\infty$ Bench among all 10B-scale models.

Model	RULER		$\infty$ Bench								
Wodel	Avg.	MC	QA	Sum	Diag	Calc	Find	Number	PassKey	KV	Avg
ProLong (8B)	71.9	65.1	22.0	19.8	4.5	0.0	27.4	100.0	100.0	92.8	48.0
MegaBeam-Mistral	78.9	53.7	18.5	24.8	12.0	0.0	24.3	99.7	100.0	36.4	41.0
Meta-Llama-3.1 (8B)	81.3	67.2	15.5	26.7	23.0	0.0	33.1	99.5	100.0	55.0	46.7
Qwen2	26.7	39.7	5.2	15.5	8.5	0.0	24.9	76.3	94.6	0.0	29.4
Phi-3-small	72.6	71.6	8.4	24.0	20.0	0.0	31.7	100.0	100.0	19.6	41.7
Mistral-Nemo	22.7	31.9	16.8	14.3	5.5	0.0	1.4	36.6	62.7	0.0	18.8
Jamba-1.5-Mini	87.8	76.0	17.9	0.0	3.5	0.0	31.1	100.0	100.0	45.6	41.0
Meta-Llama-3.1 (70B)	75.8	75.5	23.3	31.3	18.0	0.0	43.1	99.7	100.0	2.6	43.7
GPT-4o-mini	80.8	78.2	19.1	24.8	21.5	0.0	69.7	100.0	100.0	80.4	54.9
GPT-40	93.3	86.5	26.0	21.5	51.0	0.0	58.9	100.0	100.0	99.8	60.4
Gemini-1.5-Pro	65.3	77.5	27.7	29.0	97.5	0.0	58.0	100.0	100.0	70.4	62.2