COMD: Training-free Camera Motion Transfer With Camera-Object Motion Disentanglement

Anonymous Authors



Zoom In+Object Still

Figure 1: Flexible and diverse camera motion control of our training-free COMD. COMD can control (a) one camera motion or (b) combine several camera motions in one video. Moreover, COMD enables control of different camera motions in different regions, which can achieve professional Dolly Zoom with zooming motions in the background and fixed motion in the foreground (c).

ABSTRACT

The emergence of diffusion models has greatly propelled the progress in image and video generation. Recently, some efforts have been made in controllable video generation, including text-to-video, image-to-video generation, video editing, and video motion control, among which camera motion control is an important topic. However, existing camera motion control methods rely on training a temporal camera module, and necessitate substantial computation resources due to the large amount of parameters in video generation models. Moreover, existing methods pre-define camera motion types during training, which limits their flexibility in camera control, preventing the realization of some specific camera controls, such as various camera movements in films. Therefore, to reduce training costs and achieve flexible camera control, we propose COMD, a novel training-free video motion transfer model, which disentangles camera motions and object motions in source videos,

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

55 ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

57 https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnn

and transfers the extracted camera motions to new videos. We first propose a one-shot camera motion disentanglement method to extract camera motion from a single source video, which separates the moving objects from the background and estimates the camera motion in the moving objects region based on the motion in the background by solving a Poisson equation. Furthermore, we propose a few-shot camera motion disentanglement method to extract the common camera motion from multiple videos with similar camera motions, which employs a window-based clustering technique to extract the common features in temporal attention maps of multiple videos. Finally, we propose a motion combination method to combine different types of camera motions together, enabling our model a more controllable and flexible camera control. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our training-free approach can effectively decouple camera-object motion and apply the decoupled camera motion to a wide range of controllable video generation tasks, achieving flexible and diverse camera motion control.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies → Computer vision.

KEYWORDS

Video Generation, Video Motion, Camera Motion, Disentanglement

^{56 © 2024} Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-x/YY/MM

1 INTRODUCTION

117

118

119

120

121

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

174

In recent years, the rapid development of generative models [20, 27] has led to significant advancements in the field of image and video generation. Among video generation, diffusion models [3, 7, 18, 30] have emerged as powerful tools for generating high-quality videos with high diversity. Meanwhile, the demand for controllable video generation has grown significantly, especially in applications such as film production, virtual reality, and video games, where researchers have devoted much effort to controllable generation tasks including text-to-video generation [6, 7, 18, 30], image-to-video generation [3, 18], video motion control [5, 8, 33, 44], and video editing [1, 26]. Since video is composed of a sequence of images with consistent and fluent motions, the control of video motion has become an important topic in controllable video generation.

131 For video motion control, 1) most of the existing methods [1, 5, 132 8, 42] focus on modeling the object motion and use trajectory or 133 a source video to guide the movement of the objects, but usually 134 lack the ability to model the camera motion. 2) To enable the con-135 trol of the camera motion, AnimateDiff [18] trains temporal LoRA 136 modules [22] on a collected set of videos with the same camera 137 motion. To control different camera motions using one model, Mo-138 tionCtrl [40] labels a large number of videos with corresponding 139 camera pose parameters to train a camera motion control module. 140 In contrast, Direct-a-video [44] utilizes a self-supervised training 141 process by manually constructing camera motions along x, y, and 142 z axis, reducing the training resources to some extent. However, 143 all the existing camera motion control methods rely on training 144 a temporal camera module to control the camera motion, which 145 poses a significant requirement to the computational resources 146 due to the large number of parameters in video generation models. 147 Moreover, these methods can only achieve simple camera motion 148 control and cannot handle some complex and professional camera 149 motions in films, such as Dolly Zoom (zoom in or out the camera 150 while keeping the object still) and Variable-Speed Zoom (zoom with 151 variable speed).

152 To achieve complex camera motion control and reduce the train-153 ing costs, we propose COMD, a novel training-free camera mo-154 tion transfer model, which disentangles camera motions and 155 object motions in source videos and then transfers the extracted 156 camera motions to new videos. Firstly, we observe that the temporal 157 attention maps in diffusion-based video generation models contain 158 the information of video motions, and find that the motions are 159 composed of two motion types, camera motions and object motions. 160 We then propose two methods to disentangle the camera motions 161 and object motions in temporal attention maps. 1) In one-shot 162 camera motion disentanglement, we decompose camera and 163 object motions from a single source video. We regard the motion 164 in the background as only containing camera motion, while the 165 motion in the foreground as containing both camera and object 166 motions. We employ a segmentation model to separate the mov-167 ing objects and background regions, and then predict the camera 168 motion in foreground region from background motion by solving a 169 Poisson equation. 2) To further enhance the disentanglement abil-170 ity, we propose a few-shot camera motion disentanglement 171 method to extract the common camera motion from several videos 172 with similar camera motions, which employs a novel window-based 173

175

176

177

178

clustering method to extract the common features from temporal attention maps of multiple videos. Finally, we investigate the additivity and positional composition ability of camera motions, and propose a camera motion combination method to achieve flexible camera control, which can enable combining different kinds of camera motions into a new motion, and apply different camera motions in different regions.

Extensive experiments demonstrate the superior performance of our model in both one-shot and few-shot camera motion transfer. With the camera motion combination and the disentanglement between the camera motion and position, our model substantially improve the controllability and flexibility of camera motions.

The main contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We propose COMD, a training-free camera motion transfer method based on Camera-Object Motion Disentanglement, which can transfer the camera motion from source videos to newly generated videos.
- We propose a novel one-shot camera-object motion disentanglement method. By separating the moving objects and the background regions and estimating the camera motion in the moving objects region by solving a Poisson equation, our model can effectively disentangle the camera motion from object motion in a single video.
- We further propose a few-shot camera-object motion disentanglement method, which employs a novel window-based clustering method to extract the common camera motion from several given videos with similar camera motions, effectively dealing with scenarios with overly complex and diverse object motions.
- We propose a camera motion combination method to achieve flexible camera motion control, which enables the model to combine different camera motions into a new motion and apply different camera motions in different regions.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Text-to-Video Generation

Generative models have rapidly advanced and achieved tremendous success in text-driven video generation tasks, which mostly rely on generative adversarial networks (GANs) [29, 36, 38, 47] and diffusion models [3, 4, 6, 7, 16, 18, 21, 30] Among these methods, diffusion models have emerged as a powerful tool due to their ability to generate diverse and high-quality contents. Early text-driven video generation models [19, 21, 30] perform diffusion in pixel space, requiring cascaded generation and significant computational resources to generate high-resolution videos. Recent research papers have implemented diffusion in the latent space [3, 4, 18, 28, 37, 49], achieving high-quality and long-duration video generation. Additionally, researchers are exploring more controllable video generation approaches. For instance, [9, 11, 17] introduce spatial and geometric constraints to generative models, [41] generates videos of desired subject, and [8, 40] govern motion in generated videos. These methods enable users to finely control various attributes of videos, resulting in generated outcomes that better align with user preferences and requirements.

227

228

229

230

231

232

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

2.2 Motion Controllable Video Generation

234 Object Motion Control. Many researches [23, 24, 32, 33, 41, 43, 48] 235 have been conducted to control object motions to better align with 236 user preferences. Some methods [5, 44] enable users to control the 237 motion of objects by dragging bounding boxes, while some other 238 works [23, 40] allow control over the trajectory of the object. Video-239 Composer [39] provides global motion guidance by conditioning 240 on pixel-wise motion vectors. Besides, some video editing methods 241 [1, 10, 26, 33] also enable motion editing through text-driven or 242 manually specified motions, which requires motion consistency be-243 tween adjacent frames. In summary, all these works focus more on 244 controlling the object motions rather than camera motions, which 245 operates at a local, high semantic level.

246 Camera Motion Control. There have been relatively few re-247 searches in camera motion control. AnimateDiff [18] employs tem-248 poral LoRA modules [22] trained on a collected set of videos with 249 similar camera motion. Thus a single LoRA module is capable of 250 controlling only a specific type of camera motion. MotionCtrl [40] 251 constructs a video dataset annotated with camera poses to learn 252 camera motions, but requires substantial manual effort. Direct-a-253 video [44] adds camera motion along coordinate axes to existing 254 videos, which can reduce annotation costs. However, all of these 255 works require fine-tuning pretrained video generation models, con-256 suming a large amount of computation resources and limiting the 257 style of camera motion to the training data. In contrast, our model 258 enables flexible camera motion control with any target camera mo-259 tions without re-training the model, which brings a much wider 260 application for camera control in video generation. 261

3 METHOD

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

273

274

275

290

233

Our COMD model aims to **disentangle the camera motion and object motion** in a single or several videos, and then **transfer the disentangled camera motion** to the newly generated videos. We first observe that the temporal attention maps in diffusion-based video generation models contain the information of videos motions, and find that the motion are composed of two motion types, camera motions and object motions. We then propose two methods to decompose the temporal attention map Attn into object motion $Attn^o$ and camera motion $Attn^c$, as shown in Fig. 2. By substituting the temporal attention map with the temporal attention of the target camera motion, we can enable the video generation models to generate videos with the desired camera motion.

Specifically, to disentangle the camera motion from the object 276 277 motion, we propose to extract the camera motions from either a single video or a few (5-10) videos. 1) In one-shot camera mo-278 tion disentanglement, we aim to extract camera motion from a 279 single video (Fig. 2 top). Considering the motion in background re-280 gion only contains camera motion, while motion in the foreground 281 region contains both camera motion and object motion, we first 282 separate background and foreground regions. We employ SAM [25] 283 to segment the moving objects, and decompose the given video 284 into moving object region M and background region M = 1 - M. 285 Then we regard the motion in the background region \tilde{M} as only 286 containing camera motion. With the observation that the camera 287 288 motion is smooth and continuous, and the neighboring pixels share 289 similar motions [14, 15, 46, 50], we construct a Poisson equation

to estimate the camera motions in the moving objects region M based on the given camera motions in the background region \tilde{M} , achieving camera-object motion disentanglement for a single video.

2) When the object motions are too complex to disentangle from a single video, we propose a **few-shot camera motion disentanglement** method to extract common camera motion from *m* (5-10) videos with similar camera motions (Fig. 2 bottom). To extract common camera motion of *m* videos, we regard the common feature of the temporal attention maps of these videos as the feature of the common camera motion. We then propose a window-based clustering method for each pixel of the temporal attention map to extract the common camera motion and filter out outliers. Specifically, we regard the neighboring pixels in a $k \times k$ window share similar camera motions and cluster the k^2 -neighboring pixels of each pixel in the *m* temporal attention maps with DBSCAN clustering method, where the centroid of the largest cluster can be used to represent the common camera motion.

Finally, we investigate the additivity and positional composition ability of camera motions. We propose a camera motion combination method to achieve flexible camera motion control, which can combine different camera motions into a new motion and apply different camera motions in different regions, substantially improving the controllability and flexibility of camera motions.

3.1 Camera Motion Extraction Based on Temporal Attention

Preliminaries of temporal attention module. Most of the current video generation models [3, 4, 18] are built on a pretrained text-to-image diffusion model [27], which employs spatial attention module to model the image generation process. To extend the image generation models to generate videos, temporal attention module [4, 18] is proposed to enable the pretrained image generation models with the ability to model the temporal relationship between each frame of the video. Specifically, the temporal attention mechanism is a self-attention module, which takes the feature map f_{in} of t frames ($b \times t \times c \times h \times w$) as input, and reshapes it to a ($b \times h \times w$) × $t \times c$ feature map f. Then, a self-attention module is employed to capture the temporal relationships between each frames, and output a feature map with temporal relationships between each frame, which is formulated as follows:

$$Attn = Softmax(\frac{QK^{I}}{\sqrt{c}}), \ f_{out} = AttnV,$$
(1)

where $Q = W_Q f$, $K = W_K f$ and $V = W_V f$, and W_Q , W_K and W_V are learnable query, key and value matrices.

Extracting motion information from temporal attention map. UniEdit [1] found that the temporal attention modules model the inter-frame dependency and motion information¹, and use the temporal attention for video motion editing tasks, where the global motion of video is edited guided by text. However, it lacks a deep analysis of how the temporal attention module models the inter-frame dependency. In this paper, we find that the attention maps *Attn* of the temporal attention layer are composed of **two motion types**, which are **camera motions** and **object motions**. We propose two methods to decouple motion in temporal attention

¹Our experiments also validate this finding, shown in #Suppl.

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

Anonymous Authors



Figure 2: *Main framework of our method*: Our model can extract the camera motion from a single video or several videos that share similar camera motions. 1) *One-shot camera motion disentanglement*: We first employ SAM [25] to segment the moving objects in the source video and extract the temporal attention maps from the inverted latents. To disentangle the camera and object motions, we mask out the object areas of the attention map and estimate the camera motion inside the mask by solving a Poisson equation. 2) *Few-shot camera motion disentanglement*: we extract the common camera motion from the temporal attention maps of several given videos. For each position (x, y), we employ all of its k-neighboring attention map values across each video for clustering. Then, we use the centroid of the largest cluster to represent the camera motions in position (x, y).

map into camera and object motions (Sec. 3.2 and 3.3), where we disentangle the temporal attention map Attn extracted from a video into camera motion attention $Attn^c$ and object motion attention $Attn^o$. After decoupling camera motion from object motion, we can easily transfer camera motion from a source video v_s to a target video v_t , by replacing the temporal attention map of v_t with the temporal attention map $Attn_s^c$ that corresponds to the camera motion of v_s :

 $f_{out} = Attn_s^c V. \tag{2}$

3.2 One-shot Camera Motion Disentanglement

In this section, we propose a method to disentangle camera motions from object motions in a single source video. A video can usually be divided into two parts: the foreground region and the background region. Considering the motion in background region mainly contains camera motion, while the motion in foreground region contains both camera motion and object motion, we first extract camera motion in background region, and then predict camera motion in foreground based on the background camera motion.

Specifically, we first employ segment-anything model to segment
the moving objects and the background, and then take the temporal
attention map from the background region as the camera motions.
Based on the observation that the camera motions are continuous
and the neighboring pixels have similar camera motions, we construct a Poisson equation to estimate the camera motions inside the

moving object region based on the camera motions outside, thereby achieving the camera-object motion disentanglement.

Obtaining temporal attention map of a video by DDIM inversion. First of all, to obtain the temporal attention map of the source video v_s , we apply DDIM inversion on the source video to invert it into a *T*-step latent x_T . Then, by denoising x_T with the video diffusion model, we obtain a series of attention maps $\{Attn_T, Attn_{t-1} \cdots Attn_1\}$ in different timesteps. Different from the spatial attention maps (in spatial attention modules), which model different spatial relationships in different timesteps, the temporal attention maps model the temporal motion of the video, and we find they are similar in different timesteps. Therefore, we can use one representative temporal attention map $Attn = Attn_t$ at timestep t to model the temporal motion, which can effectively reduce the computation resources to $\frac{1}{T}$ of using all timesteps. We adopt a medium timestep t, since when t is large, there are too many noises in the video feature; while when t is small, the denoising has almost been completed and the overall motion has already been determined, thus the motion information in the temporal attention map at small *t* is not sufficient.

Extracting camera motion in background region. With the obtained temporal attention map *Attn* from the source video, we employ segment anything model (*SAM*) to obtain the mask of the moving objects in each frame $M_i = SAM(v_i), i = 1, \dots, t$, where v_i denotes the *i*-th frame of the source video v_s . Then, we merge the masks of *t* frames into one mask $M = U(M_1, M_2 \cdots M_t)$. Since

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

465 the motion in the background region mainly comes from the camera motion, we regard the masked temporal attention map in the 466 467 background region $Attn_m = Attn \odot (1 - M)$ as the camera motion attention map that only controls the camera motion. Although cur-468 469 rently the masked attention map $Attn_m$ has no value inside the moving objects mask M, we can estimate the camera motion inside 470 the mask based on the camera motion outside. To estimate the cam-471 era motion inside the mask M, we transform the motion estimation 472 473 problem into solving a Poisson equation, which is introduced below.

474 Predicting camera motion in foreground region. Video processing tasks such as video compression, optical flow estima-475 476 tion, and video interpolation, share a common assumption that the changes between video frames are smooth and continuous [14, 477 15, 46, 50], and the motions of the pixels in a local neighborhood 478 are similar. Based on this assumption, we posit that the camera 479 480 motion is also continuous and has local coherence, *i.e.*, the camera motions in a local region are almost the same. Therefore, 481 we assume the gradient of the camera motion attention map inside 482 483 the mask region is quite small, and the values of the attention map on both sides of the mask boundary are almost the same. Denote 484 the camera motion attention map inside the mask M as A_{in} (to be 485 486 estimated), and the camera motion attention map outside the mask as A_{out} (which we already have $A_{out} = Attn_m$). And we denote the 487 positions of each pixel inside the mask as $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and the mask 488 boundary as $\partial \Omega$. Then, we have $\nabla A_{in} \approx 0$, and $A_{in}|_{\partial \Omega} = A_{out}|_{\partial \Omega}$. 489 Since we already know A_{out} , we can estimate A_{in} by solving the 490 following optimization problem: 491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

522

$$A_{in}^{*} = \underset{A_{in}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \int \int_{\Omega} \|\nabla A_{in}\|^{2}.$$

$$s.t. A_{in}|_{\partial\Omega} = A_{out}|_{\partial\Omega}.$$
(3)

Therefore, the camera-motion estimation problem is converted into a Poisson blending problem. By setting the gradient inside the mask to be 0, we can employ Successive Over Relaxation algorithm [45] for Poission Blending to find the optimal solution A_{in}^* . Finally, we obtain the complete camera motion attention map $Attn^c = \{A_{in}^*, A_{out}\}$, which is disentangled with the object motion. With the disentangled $Attn^c$, we can employ the camera motion transfer method in Sec. 3.1 to transfer the camera motion from a single source video to target videos.

3.3 Few-shot Camera Motion Disentanglement

When the object motions are overly complex to disentangle, *e.g.*, moving objects may occupy nearly all the pixels, it may be difficult to disentangle camera motion and object motion from a single video. To improve the disentanglement performance for videos with complex object motions, we relax the input conditions from one shot to few shot. I.e., we aim to extract the common camera motion from several videos $\{v_1, v_2 \cdots v_m\}$ with similar camera motions.

Extracting common feature in temporal attention as common camera motion. In Sec. 3.2, we decompose the temporal attention maps of a single video into camera motion and object motion. Since the given *m* videos $\{v_1, v_2 \cdots v_m\}$ share similar camera motions, we regard the common feature of the temporal attention maps as the feature of camera motion. Therefore, we calculate common camera motion by extracting a common feature from the temporal attention maps of *m* videos. Since the motion at different locations may be different (*e.g.*, zoom in/out), we model the motion at pixel level. Denote the temporal attention map of each video as $\{A_1, A_2 \cdots A_m\}$, where $A_i \in \mathcal{R}^{W \times H \times t \times t}$ and *t* is the number of frames. For each pixel (x, y) in video v_i , we denote its motion as $A_i(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}^{t \times t}$. Next, we aim to extract the common feature for each pixel (x, y) from *m* temporal attention maps.

Local coherence assumption for camera motion. To extract the common feature for each pixel (x, y), only using the attention values at the location (x, y) in *m* temporal attention maps may not be adequate, especially when the object motions in the given *m* video are complex and diverse. Therefore, based on the assumption of local coherence, we regard that the neighboring pixels in a window centered at pixel (x, y) share similar camera motion as the center pixel. In other words, we extract the common camera motion for the pixel (x, y) by considering the attention values of neighboring pixels in a $k \times k$ window $N_k(x, y)$ in each of the *m* temporal attention maps $(m \times k^2$ pixels in total), whose attention values form a tensor $\mathcal{A}(x, y) = \{A_i(N_k(x, y)), i = 1 \cdots m\} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k^2 \times t \times t}$.

Extracting common camera motion by window-based clus**tering.** For each pixel (x, y), to extract the common camera motion from the attention values $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ in its $k \times k$ neighboring window, we first reshape the attention values $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{(m \times k^2) \times (t \times t)}$. We then employ t-SNE [35] to reduce the dimension from $(t \times t)$ to 2, for better clustering in the subsequent steps. After dimension reduction, we compute the centroid of the $m \times k^2$ pixels as the representation of the common camera motion. Directly computing the mean value of all the $m \times k^2$ pixels is a possible solution to compute the centroid, but has inferior accuracy of the extracted motion when the camera motions in some of the samples are severely entangled with object motion. Therefore, we employ DBSCAN [12] to cluster all the pixels, which can effectively distinguish the outliers. After clustering, we have n_c clusters, with each cluster containing part of the attention values. We regard the centroid of the largest cluster as the common camera motion, since it is the most common motion among the $m \times k^2$ pixels. With the extracted camera motion map Attn^c, we can transfer the camera motions to new videos.

3.4 Camera Motion Combination

Camera motion combination. In Sec. 3.2 and 3.3, we extract the camera motion $Attn^c$ from a single or several videos. These camera motions can work separately by transferring one extracted camera motion to a target video. One natural question is whether we can combine different camera motions to enable a more complex and flexible camera motion control. To achieve this, in this section, we explore different ways to combine camera motions, which enables **1**) combining different camera motions into a new motion; **2**) applying different camera motions in different areas; and **3**) preserving part of the contents while transferring the camera motion.

Additivity of the camera motions. We first explore how to combine different camera motions together. We are delighted to discover that the camera motions extracted from Sec. 3.2 and 3.3 are additive. By adding the attention maps $\{Attn_i^c\}_{i=1}^n$ corresponding to different camera motions, we can obtain a new camera motion that includes all the combined camera motions at the same time. And by assigning different weights $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^n$ to different camera motions,



Figure 3: The comparison on one-shot and few-shot camera motion transfer with AnimateDiff+Lora [18] and MotionCtrl [40]. AnimateDiff+Lora tends to overfit to the training data while MotionCtrl suffers from shape distortions and logical inconsistencies when controlling camera motion, even though it is trained on large-scale data. In contrast, our COMD generates high-quality videos with accurate camera motions.

we can control the intensity of each camera motion by:

$$Attn_{new}^{c} = \sum_{i \in Sub(\{1 \cdots n\})} w_i \times Attn_i^{c}, \tag{4}$$

where $Sub(\{1 \cdots n\})$ is an arbitrary subset of $\{1 \cdots n\}$.

Position-specified motion transfer. The camera motion transfer methods in previous sections can only transfer the camera motions in a global manner, while lacking the ability to transfer the camera motions in a local region. Therefore, to enable our model with the ability to control the camera motions in a local manner, we propose a segmentation-based local camera motion control method. We segment local regions by SAM, and assign different camera motions to different local regions of the generated video, by applying the mask M_i on the camera motion attention map $Attn_i^c$ as follows:

$$Attn_{new}^c = \sum_i M_i \odot Attn_i^c.$$
(5)

Local content-preserving camera motion transfer. To better preserve specific content within the target video, we first utilize SAM to segment the object region M we aim to keep unchanged and then modify the temporal attention calculation. We find that in diffusion-based video generation models, the appearance and motions are well disentangled in the temporal attention modules, where the temporal attention maps represent the temporal motions, while the Value V represents the appearance. Therefore, when we need to transfer the camera motions from a source video v_s to a target video v_t while keeping the appearance in region M of v_t unchanged, we modify the temporal attention calculation by keeping the Value inside M the same as the Value V_t of the target video, and substituting the temporal attention map by the camera motion attention map $Attn_s^c$ of the source video, which can be formulated as follows:

$$V' = V_t \odot M + V \odot (1 - M), \ f_{out} = Attn_s^c V'.$$
(6)

4 EXPERIMENTS

4.1 Implementation Details

Experiment details and hyperparameters. In our experiments, we adopt AnimateDiff [18] as the baseline method for motion disentanglement and control, which is one of the state-of-the-art text-to-video models. The generated video size is 512×512 , with each video composed of 16 frames with 8 FPS. When generating videos, we employ 25-step DDIM [31] for inference and choose the temporal attention maps in the 15-th step to extract the camera motions. Moreover, for few-shot camera motion extraction, we compute the neighborhood size k by $k = \lceil \frac{size}{16} \rceil \times 2 + 1$, where *size* is the width and height of the temporal attention maps.

Evaluation metrics. To evaluate the generation quality, diversity and camera motion accuracy, we employ three evaluation metrics: 1) FVD [34]: Fréchet Video Distance measures the quality and authenticity by calculating the Fréchet distance between real and generated videos; 2) FID-V [2]: Video-level FID uses a 3D Resnet-50 model to extract video features for video-level FID scoring, measuring the quality and diversity of the generated videos; and 3) Optical Flow Distance [13] assesses the camera movement accuracy by computing distance between the flow maps from the generated and ground truth videos.

4.2 Camera Motion Transfer

Qualitative comparison with the state-of-the-arts. To validate the effectiveness of our model, we compare our model with the state-of-the-art camera motion control methods on four types of basic camera motions: 1) zoom in, 2) zoom out, 3) pan left, and 4) pan right (in #Suppl). We compare with two motion control methods: 1) AnimateDiff [18] employs the temporal LoRA [22] module to learn the motions from given videos with target camera motions. We train motion LoRA modules on AnimateDiff with one-shot and few-shot data, and compare them with our model. 2) Moreover, we

COMD: Training-free Camera Motion Transfer With Camera-Object Motion Disentanglement

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia



Figure 4: Camera motion combination results: The extracted camera motions can be combined to form new camera motions. The newly constructed camera motions in this figure contain both zoom and pan camera motions at the same time.

also compare with MotionCtrl [40]. Since the training code is not open-sourced, we employ the officially provided model, which is pretrained on a large scale of camera-labeled data.

The comparison results are shown in Fig. 3 (video comparison results are provided in #Suppl). It can be seen that in one-shot condition, AnimateDiff tends to overfit to the given video; while in the few-shot condition, AnimateDiff tends to mix the features of the training videos, which cannot generate correct videos corresponding to the given prompts. MotionCtrl can generate videos that better align with the prompts, but may cause shape distortions and logical inconsistencies when controlling camera motion. In contrast, our model can generate high-quality and diverse videos with only one-shot or few-shot data, without the need for training.

Quantitative comparison. We also compare with these models quantitatively, using FVD, FID-V, and Optical Flow distance to evaluate the generation quality, diversity, and camera motion accuracy. For each method, we generate 1,000 videos for each type of camera motion and compute FVD and FID-V with 1,000 collected high-quality videos. We also compute the average Optical Flow Distance between the generated videos and given videos. The results are shown in Tab. 1, where our model achieves the best FID-V and FVD, demonstrating superior generation quality and diversity. Since AnimateDiff overfits to the training data, it get a lower Flow distance, but suffers from the worst generation diversity. In summary, our model achieves the best FVD and FID-V, while also ensuring a good camera transfer accuracy compared to MotionCtrl.

4.3 Flexible Motion Control

Motion combination. In this section, we evaluate the additivity of our disentangled camera motion attention maps. We employ the extracted camera motions including zoom in, zoom out, pan left and pan right in Sec. 4.2 and combine two of them into a new camera motion by Eq.(4). The results are shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that when combining the zooming motions with the panning motions,

Table 1: Quantitative comparison results with the state-ofthe-art methods on FVD, FID-V and Optical Flow Distance. Note that AnimateDiff+Lora [18] overfits to the training data, thereby achieving the lowest flow distance. But FVD and FID-V demonstrate its worst generation diversity. In contrast, our model achieves the best FVD and FID-V, while also ensuring a good camera transfer accuracy compared to MotionCtrl [40].

Data and Method		Pan Right			Zoom In		
Data Scale	Method	FID-V↓	$\mathrm{FVD}\downarrow$	Flow Dis \downarrow	FID-V↓	$\mathrm{FVD}\downarrow$	Flow Dis \downarrow
One shot	AnimateDiff COMD (Ours)	382.40 54.45	4956.42 921.95	19.76 37.92	482.58 61.45	6322.46 863.24	6.91 <u>12.11</u>
Large Scale	MotionCtrl	95.83	1207.52	38.18	80.58	935.08	13.12
a) Comparison results on one-shot camera motion control.							

Data a	nd Method		Pan Righ	ıt	Zoom In		n
Data Scale	Method	FID-V↓	FVD ↓	Flow Dis \downarrow	FID-V↓	$\mathrm{FVD}\downarrow$	Flow Dis \downarrow
Few shot	AnimateDiff COMD (Ours)	268.29 61.38	4629.08 1092.09	14.76 <u>38.94</u>	251.44 52.90	3975.41 910.76	3.12 5.10
Large Scale	MotionCtrl	98.04	1196.54	55.25	80.12	928.41	7.88
(b) Comr	oarison res	ults or	ı few-s	shot can	iera m	otion	control

the camera zooms and pans at the same time, which demonstrates that our model can successfully combine different kinds of camera motions together while ensuring generation quality.

More professional camera motions. In this section, we show more professional camera motions in the real film industry, including variable-speed zoom and dolly zoom. For variable-speed zoom, where the camera firstly zooms in fast and then zooms in slowly, we crop a video clip from films with this kind of motion, and achieve this motion control by one-shot camera motion disentanglement (Sec. 3.2). For dolly zoom, where the camera in the background region zooms while the camera in the foreground fixes, we employ the local content-preserving camera motion transfer method (Sec. 3.4) to realize it. The results are shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that our model transfers the variable-speed zoom motion in the

	Zoom	In Fast	Zoom in Slowly			
Source Video with Variable- Speed Zoom						
Prompt: A charming village square with a bustling market						
Prompt: A peaceful tranquil with ponds and flowers						
		Dolloy 2	Zoom			
Source Video with Masks		8				
Dolly Zoom Out Prompt: A painter capturing a scenic landscape on canvas						
Dolly Zoom In Prompt: A family of deer grazing peacefully in a meadow	2		o lla			

Figure 5: Camera motion control results on professional camera motions, including variable-speed zoom and dolly zoom.



Figure 6: Ablation study on one-shot camera motion disentanglement. The model without motion disentanglement generated artifacts in the region of the moving rabbit.

given video well, and achieves good generation results in both dolly zoom in and dolly zoom out motion controls.

4.4 Ablation Study

Ablation on one-shot camera motion disentanglement. We first validate the effectiveness of our one-shot camera motion disentanglement method. We compare our model with the ablated version that directly transfers the temporal attention map from the source video to the target video, which does not disentangle the camera and object motions. The results are shown in Fig. 6. It

 Specific processing of the second procesing of the second processing of the se

Figure 7: Ablation study on few-shot camera motion disentanglement. All the ablated models generate videos with unnatural movements shown in the red boxes which are caused by the inaccurate extracted camera motions.

can be seen that when transferring the pan right camera motion entangled with the object motion of the moving rabbit, the model without motion disentanglement tends to generate artifacts in the region of the rabbit, which is clearer in the video of #Suppl.

Ablation on few-shot camera motion disentanglement. We then validate the effectiveness of our few-shot camera motion disentanglement method. We experiment on three ablated versions on zoom-out camera motion: 1) COMD with Average Attention: the model without DBSCAN clustering and directly averages the camera motions from all the videos; 2) COMD w/o Window: the model without the window-based clustering, which only uses the *m* pixels at the same location for clustering; and 3) COMD w/o Dimension Reduction: the model without t-SNE to reduce the dimension. The comparison results are shown in Fig. 7. It can be seen that all the ablated models generate unnatural movements shown in the red boxes where certain objects abruptly appear or vanish, or suffer from shape distortions. In contrast, our model achieves the highest generation quality and transfers the camera motions correctly.

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose COMD, a training-free camera motion transfer method based on camera-motion disentanglement. We find that the temporal attention map in the video diffusion model is composed of both camera motion and object motion. We then propose two methods to disentangle the camera motions from object motions for a single or several videos. Moreover, with the extracted camera motions, we further propose a camera motion combination method to enable our model a more flexible and controllable camera control. Extensive experiments demonstrate the superior camera motion transfer ability of our model and show our great potential in controllable video generation.

Anonymous Authors

COMD: Training-free Camera Motion Transfer With Camera-Object Motion Disentanglement

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043 1044

929 **REFERENCES**

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

- Jianhong Bai, Tianyu He, Yuchi Wang, Junliang Guo, Haoji Hu, Zuozhu Liu, and Jiang Bian. 2024. UniEdit: A Unified Tuning-Free Framework for Video Motion and Appearance Editing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13185 (2024).
- [2] Yogesh Balaji, Martin Renqiang Min, Bing Bai, Rama Chellappa, and Hans Peter Graf. 2019. Conditional GAN with Discriminative Filter Generation for Text-to-Video Synthesis.. In IJCAI, Vol. 1. 2.
- [3] Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Sumith Kulal, Daniel Mendelevitch, Maciej Kilian, Dominik Lorenz, Yan Levi, Zion English, Vikram Voleti, Adam Letts, et al. 2023. Stable video diffusion: Scaling latent video diffusion models to large datasets. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15127 (2023).
- [4] Andreas Blattmann, Robin Rombach, Huan Ling, Tim Dockhorn, Seung Wook Kim, Sanja Fidler, and Karsten Kreis. 2023. Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 22563–22575.
- [5] Changgu Chen, Junwei Shu, Lianggangxu Chen, Gaoqi He, Changbo Wang, and Yang Li. 2024. Motion-Zero: Zero-Shot Moving Object Control Framework for Diffusion-Based Video Generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.10150 (2024).
- [6] Haoxin Chen, Menghan Xia, Yingqing He, Yong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoshu Yang, Jinbo Xing, Yaofang Liu, Qifeng Chen, Xintao Wang, et al. 2023. Videocrafter1: Open diffusion models for high-quality video generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19512 (2023).
- [7] Haoxin Chen, Yong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Menghan Xia, Xintao Wang, Chao Weng, and Ying Shan. 2024. Videocrafter2: Overcoming data limitations for high-quality video diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.09047 (2024).
- [8] Tsai-Shien Chen, Chieh Hubert Lin, Hung-Yu Tseng, Tsung-Yi Lin, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. 2023. Motion-conditioned diffusion model for controllable video synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14404 (2023).
- [9] Weifeng Chen, Jie Wu, Pan Xie, Hefeng Wu, Jiashi Li, Xin Xia, Xuefeng Xiao, and Liang Lin. 2023. Control-a-video: Controllable text-to-video generation with diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13840 (2023).
- [10] Yufan Deng, Ruida Wang, Yuhao Zhang, Yu-Wing Tai, and Chi-Keung Tang. 2023. Dragvideo: Interactive drag-style video editing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02216 (2023).
- [11] Patrick Esser, Johnathan Chiu, Parmida Atighehchian, Jonathan Granskog, and Anastasis Germanidis. 2023. Structure and content-guided video synthesis with diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 7346–7356.
- [12] Martin Ester, Hans-Peter Kriegel, Jörg Sander, Xiaowei Xu, et al. 1996. A densitybased algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. In kdd, Vol. 96. 226–231.
- [13] Gunnar Farnebäck. 2003. Two-frame motion estimation based on polynomial expansion. In Image Analysis: 13th Scandinavian Conference, SCIA 2003 Halmstad, Sweden, June 29–July 2, 2003 Proceedings 13. Springer, 363–370.
- [14] David Fleet and Yair Weiss. 2006. Optical flow estimation. In Handbook of mathematical models in computer vision. Springer, 237-257.
- [15] Zahra Gharibi and Sam Faramarzi. 2023. Multi-frame spatio-temporal superresolution. Signal, Image and Video Processing 17, 8 (2023), 4415–4424.
- [16] Rohit Girdhar, Mannat Singh, Andrew Brown, Quentin Duval, Samaneh Azadi, Sai Saketh Rambhatla, Akbar Shah, Xi Yin, Devi Parikh, and Ishan Misra. 2023. Emu video: Factorizing text-to-video generation by explicit image conditioning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10709 (2023).
- [17] Yuwei Guo, Ceyuan Yang, Anyi Rao, Maneesh Agrawala, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. 2023. Sparsectrl: Adding sparse controls to text-to-video diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16933 (2023).
- [18] Yuwei Guo, Ceyuan Yang, Anyi Rao, Yaohui Wang, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. 2023. Animatediff: Animate your personalized text-to-image diffusion models without specific tuning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04725 (2023).
- [19] Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Chitwan Saharia, Jay Whang, Ruiqi Gao, Alexey Gritsenko, Diederik P Kingma, Ben Poole, Mohammad Norouzi, David J Fleet, et al. 2022. Imagen video: High definition video generation with diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02303 (2022).
- [20] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in neural information processing systems 33 (2020), 6840–6851.
- [21] Jonathan Ho, Tim Salimans, Alexey Gritsenko, William Chan, Mohammad Norouzi, and David J Fleet. 2022. Video diffusion models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (2022), 8633–8646.
- [22] Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. 2021. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685 (2021).
- [23] Yash Jain, Anshul Nasery, Vibhav Vineet, and Harkirat Behl. 2023. PEEK-ABOO: Interactive Video Generation via Masked-Diffusion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.07509 (2023).
- [24] Hyeonho Jeong, Geon Yeong Park, and Jong Chul Ye. 2023. VMC: Video Motion Customization using Temporal Attention Adaption for Text-to-Video Diffusion Models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00845 (2023).

- [25] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, et al. 2023. Segment anything. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 4015–4026.
- [26] Chenyang Qi, Xiaodong Cun, Yong Zhang, Chenyang Lei, Xintao Wang, Ying Shan, and Qifeng Chen. 2023. Fatezero: Fusing attentions for zero-shot textbased video editing. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 15932–15942.
- [27] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2022. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 10684–10695.
- [28] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2022. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 10684–10695.
- [29] Masaki Saito, Eiichi Matsumoto, and Shunta Saito. 2017. Temporal generative adversarial nets with singular value clipping. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*. 2830–2839.
- [30] Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, et al. 2022. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14792 (2022).
- [31] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. 2020. Denoising diffusion implicit models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502 (2020).
- [32] Yao Teng, Enze Xie, Yue Wu, Haoyu Han, Zhenguo Li, and Xihui Liu. 2023. Drag-A-Video: Non-rigid Video Editing with Point-based Interaction. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02936 (2023).
- [33] Shuyuan Tu, Qi Dai, Zhi-Qi Cheng, Han Hu, Xintong Han, Zuxuan Wu, and Yu-Gang Jiang. 2023. MotionEditor: Editing Video Motion via Content-Aware Diffusion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.18830 (2023).
- [34] Thomas Unterthiner, Sjoerd Van Steenkiste, Karol Kurach, Raphael Marinier, Marcin Michalski, and Sylvain Gelly. 2018. Towards accurate generative models of video: A new metric & challenges. arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.01717 (2018).
- [35] Laurens Van der Maaten and Geoffrey Hinton. 2008. Visualizing data using t-SNE. Journal of machine learning research 9, 11 (2008).
- [36] Carl Vondrick, Hamed Pirsiavash, and Antonio Torralba. 2016. Generating videos with scene dynamics. Advances in neural information processing systems 29 (2016).
- [37] Jiuniu Wang, Hangjie Yuan, Dayou Chen, Yingya Zhang, Xiang Wang, and Shiwei Zhang. 2023. Modelscope text-to-video technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06571 (2023).
- [38] Ting-Chun Wang, Ming-Yu Liu, Andrew Tao, Guilin Liu, Jan Kautz, and Bryan Catanzaro. 2019. Few-shot video-to-video synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.12713 (2019).
- [39] Xiang Wang, Hangjie Yuan, Shiwei Zhang, Dayou Chen, Jiuniu Wang, Yingya Zhang, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, and Jingren Zhou. 2024. Videocomposer: Compositional video synthesis with motion controllability. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).
- [40] Zhouxia Wang, Ziyang Yuan, Xintao Wang, Tianshui Chen, Menghan Xia, Ping Luo, and Ying Shan. 2023. Motionctrl: A unified and flexible motion controller for video generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.03641 (2023).
- [41] Yujie Wei, Shiwei Zhang, Zhiwu Qing, Hangjie Yuan, Zhiheng Liu, Yu Liu, Yingya Zhang, Jingren Zhou, and Hongming Shan. 2023. Dreamvideo: Composing your dream videos with customized subject and motion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.04433 (2023).
- [42] Jay Zhangjie Wu, Yixiao Ge, Xintao Wang, Stan Weixian Lei, Yuchao Gu, Yufei Shi, Wynne Hsu, Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Mike Zheng Shou. 2023. Tune-a-video: One-shot tuning of image diffusion models for text-to-video generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 7623–7633.
- [43] Ruiqi Wu, Liangyu Chen, Tong Yang, Chunle Guo, Chongyi Li, and Xiangyu Zhang. 2023. Lamp: Learn a motion pattern for few-shot-based video generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10769 (2023).
- [44] Shiyuan Yang, Liang Hou, Haibin Huang, Chongyang Ma, Pengfei Wan, Di Zhang, Xiaodong Chen, and Jing Liao. 2024. Direct-a-Video: Customized Video Generation with User-Directed Camera Movement and Object Motion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03162 (2024).
- [45] David Young. 1954. Iterative methods for solving partial difference equations of elliptic type. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 76, 1 (1954), 92–111.
- [46] Heng Zhang, Daqing Liu, Qi Zheng, and Bing Su. 2023. Modeling video as stochastic processes for fine-grained video representation learning. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2225– 2234.
- [47] Jiangning Zhang, Chao Xu, Liang Liu, Mengmeng Wang, Xia Wu, Yong Liu, and Yunliang Jiang. 2020. Dtvnet: Dynamic time-lapse video generation via single still image. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part V 16. Springer, 300–315.

Anonymous Authors

arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.11018 (2022). [48] Rui Zhao, Yuchao Gu, Jay Zhangjie Wu, David Junhao Zhang, Jiawei Liu, Weijia Shili Zhou, Xuhao Jiang, Weimin Tan, Ruian He, and Bo Yan. 2023. MVFlow: Wu, Jussi Keppo, and Mike Zheng Shou. 2023. Motiondirector: Motion cus-[50] tomization of text-to-video diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08465 Deep Optical Flow Estimation of Compressed Videos with Motion Vector Prior. In (2023). Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia. 1964–1974. [49] Daquan Zhou, Weimin Wang, Hanshu Yan, Weiwei Lv, Yizhe Zhu, and Jiashi Feng. 2022. Magicvideo: Efficient video generation with latent diffusion models.