

---

# Adaptation of Kruskal’s Uniqueness Conditions to multiview CP

---

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

## Abstract

1 Several uniqueness conditions have been formulated for the Cande-  
2 comp/Parafac(CP) model. Though a single condition which is both sufficient and  
3 necessary is yet to be discovered, there exist several necessary conditions and a  
4 few sufficient ones as well. Here we observe the adaptation of the most general  
5 known necessary as well as sufficient conditions of CP uniqueness to the multiview  
6 CP case.

## 7 1 Introduction

### 8 CP Decomposition and its Uniqueness

$$\mathcal{X} = [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}] \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{X} = \sum_{r=1:R} \mathbf{a}_r \circ \mathbf{b}_r \circ \mathbf{c}_r \quad (2)$$

9 Explain the main uniqueness concept by Harshman [1970] and Kruskal [1977]. This is the most  
10 important concept in Parafac and was the reason for creating Parafac.

$$\mathcal{X} = [\tilde{\mathbf{A}}, \tilde{\mathbf{B}}, \tilde{\mathbf{C}}] = [\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{\Lambda}_a, \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{\Lambda}_b, \mathbf{C}\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{\Lambda}_c] \quad (3)$$

11 where  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  is a permutation matrix and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{a,b,c}$  are non-singular diagonal scale matrices such that  
12  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_a\mathbf{\Lambda}_b\mathbf{\Lambda}_c = \mathbf{I}$ .

### 13 1.1 Necessary Conditions

14 Several attempts have been made at identifying necessary conditions of CP uniqueness. The three  
15 required conditions are as follows:

#### 16 No Zero Column by Stegeman2007

17 Condition: None of the loading matrices  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$  should have a zero column, for the reason that if a  
18 column is zero - then the corresponding column in other two matrices can take arbitrary values.

#### 19 Minimum k-rank by Stegeman2007

20 Condition:

$$k_A \geq 2, \quad k_B \geq 2, \quad k_C \geq 2 \quad (4)$$

21 is a necessary condition for essential uniqueness.

22 **Full Rank Khatri-Rao by Sidiropoulos2001**

23 CP can be re-written as:

$$\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B})\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{C})\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{B} \circ \mathbf{C})\mathbf{A} \quad (5)$$

24 Condition:

$$(\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B}), \quad (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{C}), \quad (\mathbf{B} \circ \mathbf{C}), \quad \text{have full column rank} \quad (6)$$

25 As otherwise there exists a vector  $\mathbf{n}$  such that  $(\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B})\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}$ . Adding  $\mathbf{n}$  to any column of  $\mathbf{C}$  preserves  
26 the model  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B})\mathbf{C}$ , but changes  $\mathbf{C}$ . Hence not unique.

27 **1.2 Sufficient Condition**

28 The most general sufficient condition of CP uniqueness is by Kruskal [1977]. We will consider only  
29 this one, as it encompasses all other sufficient conditions. It involves the use of a variant of matrix  
30 rank concept(k-rank or the kruskal-rank) he introduced. The k-rank of a matrix is defined as the  
31 largest value  $k$  such that every  $k$  subset of columns of the matrix is linearly independent. Kruskal  
32 showed that a CP solution is essentially unique if

$$2R + 2 \leq k_A + k_B + k_C \quad (7)$$

33 **2 Two-view CP and Uniqueness**

34 **2.1 Two-view CP**

35 Two-view CP decomposition should be seen as two separate CP's, one for each view:

$$\mathcal{X}^1 = [\mathbf{Z}^1, \mathbf{U}^1, \mathbf{W}^1] \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{X}^2 = [\mathbf{Z}^2, \mathbf{U}^2, \mathbf{W}^2] \quad (9)$$

36 The multiview model assumes  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{U}$  have two parts each,  $\mathbf{Z}_S, \mathbf{U}_S$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_P, \mathbf{U}_P$  which capture the  
37 shared and specific components, such that  $\mathbf{Z}^1$  is a concatenation of  $\mathbf{Z}_S$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_P^1$ , leading to the form:

$$\mathcal{X}^1 = [\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_P^1, \mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_P^1, \mathbf{W}^1] \quad (10)$$

$$\mathcal{X}^2 = [\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_P^2, \mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_P^2, \mathbf{W}^2] \quad (11)$$

38 Equations 11 and 12 represent two instances of CP decomposition with the additional  $\mathbf{Z}_S, \mathbf{U}_S$  shared  
39 constraint. Allowing the following adjustments of the CP decomposition to its multi-view case.

40 **2.2 Uniquess**

41 **2.2.1 Necessary Conditions**

42 **No Zero Column**

43 For similar reasons as in CP, the projection matrices in two-view CP should not have any zero column.

44 **Minimum k-rank**

45 CP conditions can now be represented as:

$$k_{\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_P^1} \geq 2, \quad k_{\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_P^2} \geq 2, \quad k_{\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_P^1} \geq 2, \quad k_{\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_P^2} \geq 2, \quad k_{\mathbf{W}^1} \geq 2, \quad k_{\mathbf{W}^2} \geq 2 \quad (12)$$

46 **Full Rank Khatri-Rao**

47 Two-view CP can be re-written as:

$$\mathcal{X}^1 = (\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_P^1 \circ \mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_P^1) \mathbf{W}^1 \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{X}^2 = (\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_P^2 \circ \mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_P^2) \mathbf{W}^2 \quad (14)$$

48 Condition:

$$(\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^1 \odot \mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^1), \quad (\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^1 \odot \mathbf{W}^1), \quad (\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^1 \odot \mathbf{W}^1), \quad \text{have full column rank} \quad (15)$$

49 Where  $(\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^1 \odot \mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^1)$  is full rank iff  $(\mathbf{Z}_S \odot \mathbf{U}_S)$  and  $(\mathbf{Z}_p^1 \odot \mathbf{U}_p^1)$  are full rank.

50 And similarly for  $m=2$ .

### 51 2.2.2 Sufficient Condition

52 Applying Kruskal's uniqueness condition we get:

$$2(R_S + R_p^1) + 2 \leq k_{\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^1} + k_{\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^1} + k_{\mathbf{W}^1} \quad (16)$$

$$2(R_S + R_p^2) + 2 \leq k_{\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^2} + k_{\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^2} + k_{\mathbf{W}^2} \quad (17)$$

53 where  $R_S$  is the matrix rank due to shared components and  $R_p$  due to view specific components.

54 Combining the total rank  $R$  of the two-view CP decomposition and some algebra we get

$$2(2R_S + R_p^1 + R_p^2 + 2) \leq k_{\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^1} + k_{\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^2} + k_{\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^1} + k_{\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^2} + k_{\mathbf{W}^1} + k_{\mathbf{W}^2} \quad (18)$$

$$2(R_S + R + 2) \leq \text{as above} \quad (19)$$

$$(2R + 2) + (2R_S + 2) \leq k_{\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^1} + k_{\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^2} + k_{\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^1} + k_{\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^2} + k_{\mathbf{W}^1} + k_{\mathbf{W}^2} \quad (20)$$

### 55 Specific Cases:

56 • All Specific Components:  $\mathbf{Z}_S$  and  $\mathbf{U}_S$  vanish, resulting in 2 independent CP decompositions.

$$2R + 2 \leq k_{\mathbf{Z}_p^1} + k_{\mathbf{Z}_p^2} + k_{\mathbf{U}_p^1} + k_{\mathbf{U}_p^2} + k_{\mathbf{W}^1} + k_{\mathbf{W}^2} \quad (21)$$

57 • All Shared Components:  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbf{U}_p$  vanish, resulting in 2 independent CP decompositions.

$$2R + 2 = 2R_S + 2 \leq k_{\mathbf{Z}_S} + k_{\mathbf{U}_S} + (k_{\mathbf{W}^1} + k_{\mathbf{W}^2})/2 \quad (22)$$

### 58 2.2.3 Empirical Validation

59 Empirical Validation with test data shows that an  $8 \times 8 \times 8$  a single view CP can identify unique  
60 solutions upto  $R \leq 11$ , while for  $M = 2$  datasets of  $8 \times 8 \times 8$  each, multiview CP can uniquely  
61 identify 5 shared and 6 specific components in each view, i.e. a total of  $R=17$ .

## 62 3 Conclusion

63 Necessary conditions of CP uniqueness extend to two-view case also, while the sufficient CP condition  
64 by Kruskal:

$$2R + 2 \leq k_A + k_B + k_C \quad (23)$$

65 becomes

$$(2R + 2) + (2R_S + 2) \leq k_{\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^1} + k_{\mathbf{Z}_S \mathbf{Z}_p^2} + k_{\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^1} + k_{\mathbf{U}_S \mathbf{U}_p^2} + k_{\mathbf{W}^1} + k_{\mathbf{W}^2} \quad (24)$$

66 for two-view CP decomposition, accomodating View-Shared and Specific Components. Extension to  
67 multiview CP will be notationally complicated as components shared between a subset of views will  
68 make the equation cluttered.

## 69 References

70 Richard A Harshman. Foundations of the parafac procedure: models and conditions for an explanatory  
71 multimodal factor analysis. *UCLA Working Papers in Phonetics*, 16:1–84, 1970.

72 Joseph B. Kruskal. Three-way arrays: rank and uniqueness of trilinear decompositions, with  
73 application to arithmetic complexity and statistics. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 18(2):95 –  
74 138, 1977. ISSN 0024-3795.