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Abstract

Deep reinforcement learning (DRL) for combinatorial optimization has drawn attention as an alternative for human-designed solvers. However, training DRL solvers for large-scale tasks remains challenging due to combinatorial optimization problems’ NP-hardness. This paper proposes a novel scale-conditioned adaptation (SCA) scheme that improves the transferability of the pre-trained solvers on larger-scale tasks. The main idea is to design a scale-conditioned policy by plugging a simple deep neural network, denoted as scale-conditioned network (SCN), into the existing DRL model. SCN extracts a hidden vector from a scale value, and then we add it to the representation vector of the pre-trained DRL model. The increment of the representation vector captures the context of scale information and helps the pre-trained model effectively adapt the policy to larger-scale tasks. Our method is verified to improve the zero-shot and few-shot performance of DRL-based solvers in various large-scale combinatorial optimization tasks.

1 Introduction

Combinatorial Optimization (CO) is a research field that deals with various important problems. A representative CO problem is the traveling salesman problem (TSP) [1] which aims to find the shortest path of the Hamiltonian cycle: the salesman must visit every city and get back to the initial city. TSP can extend to several practical problems such as capacitated vehicle routing problems (CVRP) [2]. However, TSP is proven to be NP-hard [1] so that it is intractable to find an optimal solution in a practical time budget. To this end, several heuristic methods were suggested to find sub-optimal solutions on a reasonable budget [3, 4]. However, these methods are handcrafted by domain experts and are hard to be extended to a similar class of CO problems.

Related Works. Deep reinforcement learning (DRL) methods [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] are drawing considerable attention to replace handcrafted heuristic methods because they can generate design solvers using the high expression power of deep neural network (DNN), which can be trained without a labeled optimal solution. Remarkably, some studies [8, 10, 11, 12] already proposed that a DRL-based solver, a general purpose method, outperforms problem-specialized handcrafted heuristics. However, DRL-based methods suffer from scalability issues; it has only been verified in small-scale CO problems. To tackle this issue, an Effective Active Search (EAS) [12], a transfer learning method for a DRL-based CO solver, was proposed. However, the EAS was verified on insufficiently larger scales \( N = 125, 150, 200 \) than the previous method \( N = 100 \), where \( N \) is the number of cities to visit in TSP and CVRP.

Contribution. This paper proposes a scale-conditioned adaptation (SCA), a fast adaptation scheme combining the EAS with a novel scale-conditioned network (SCN). The SCN reduces the number of

∗Equal Contribution.

We propose a scale-conditioned adaptation (SCA) method by combining EAS with a novel scale-conditioned network (SCN). The SCN is a simple MLP model $f_\theta(N)$ where the input is $N$ and output is an increment vector for the pre-trained DRL model’s hidden representation vector. With the implementation of SCN to a pre-trained DRL model, the overall policy becomes a scale-conditioned policy that can effectively adapt to larger-scale tasks using the EAS. According to the experimental results, our SCA consistently improved the transfer-ability of two representative DRL models (POMO and Sym-NCO) in large-scale ($N = 500, 1000$) CO tasks (TSP and CVRP).

2 Preliminary

2.1 Problem Description with Target DRL models

The Policy Optimization for Multiple Optima (POMO) [9] is a DRL method that trains the attention model (AM) [7], which is a transformer-based encoder-decoder [13] model. The POMO-trained AM using the REINFORCE [14] with their novel shared baseline scheme leverages the TSP’s symmetric nature. The Symmetric Neural Combinatorial Optimization (Sym-NCO) [11] is an expansion of the POMO scheme for the general purpose symmetricity learning that achieved higher performance on various TSP variants including CVRP.

Both POMO and Sym-NCO have a similar structure to generate an instance-conditioned policy $p(\pi|x)$ (see Fig. 1 for encoder-decoder processing of policy). They encode a $N$-scaled instance $x = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$, which contains 2D euclidean coordinates, into high dimensional hidden vector $h = \{h_i\}_{i=1}^N$. Then, the decoder auto-regressively generates permutation index $\pi = \{\pi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of input indices (i.e., $\pi_i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$) exploiting $h$. The permutation index $\pi$ becomes the order of visiting the cities in $x$. The decoder architecture has a multi-head attention (MHA) layer, which processes three different vectors from $h$: query $q$, key $k$, value $v$ similar to the transformer. The query $q$ is carefully designed to capture the contextual information of CO instances: $q = g_1(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N h_i) + g_2(h_{prev})$ where $g_1$ and $g_2$ are linear-projections. The $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N h_i$ is designed to capture the global feature of instances. The $h_{prev}$ is a hidden vector of a previously selected city to facilitate the auto-regressive process of the decoder. See [7] for detailed process. Note that both POMO and Sym-NCO are trained on a fixed scale of $N = 100$.

2.2 Effective Active Search: Transfer Learning of Pre-trained DRL model

The Effective Active Search (EAS) [12] is a transfer learning method that was validated to improve the POMO’s performance on larger scale tasks, $N = 125, 150, 200$. EAS has three different variations; the EAS-lay gives the most powerful performance. EAS-lay adds one multi-layer perceptron (MLP) to process the hidden vector of $h$. The MLP is trained to adapt to larger-scale tasks, whereas other the pre-trained layers are not updated during the adaptation. See [12] for detailed process of EAS.

3 Scale-Conditioned Adaptation

We propose a scale-conditioned adaptation (SCA) method by combining EAS with a novel scale-conditioned network (SCN). The SCN is a simple MLP model $f_\theta(N)$ where the input is $N$ and output...
We freeze the pre-trained models’ parameters and train scale-conditioned network \( f_{\theta}(N) \) to give additional scale information to the original models. We randomly generated 1,000 TSP and CVRP instances for each size \( N = 125, 150, 200 \) with the same instance generation rule as Kool et al.\cite{Kool2018} to train \( f_{\theta}(N) \). Using the same rule, 100 instances of TSP and CVRP with \( N = 500, 1000 \) were generated for evaluation.
Table 1: Performance evaluation on K shot adaptation to large-scale CVRP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVRP (N = 500)</th>
<th></th>
<th>CVRP (N = 1,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K = 0</td>
<td>K = 1</td>
<td>K = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POMO</td>
<td>150.93</td>
<td>131.39</td>
<td>81.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POMO + SCA (ours)</td>
<td>111.17</td>
<td>95.52</td>
<td>76.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sym-NCO</td>
<td>79.61</td>
<td>72.44</td>
<td>71.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sym-NCO + SCA (ours)</td>
<td>68.76</td>
<td>68.65</td>
<td>68.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Performance evaluation on K shot adaptation to large scale TSP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TSP (N = 500)</th>
<th></th>
<th>TSP (N = 1,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K = 0</td>
<td>K = 1</td>
<td>K = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POMO</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>22.10</td>
<td>21.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sym-NCO</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>21.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sym-NCO + SCA (ours)</td>
<td>21.80</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>21.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ablation Study. We experimentally demonstrate the effectiveness of the additional information extracted from scale values via SCN \( f_\theta(N) \) by evaluating models with different input values in [100, 900]. We evaluate zero-shot performances using 10 instances of TSP and CVRP with various sizes (N = 300, 500, 800). As shown in Fig. 2, the average cost tends to increase when the input scale values are not aligned with N. In Fig. 2a, Fig. 2b, and Fig. 2d, the average costs are minimized when the input scale values are approximately at 500 for N = 800. We conjecture that SCN suffers from extrapolation since we trained \( f_\theta(N) \) with \( N \leq 200 \) and tested in \( N = 800 \). This shows that \( f_\theta(N) \) captures meaningful contextual features of N, which make the pre-trained models adapt well to different Ns.

Performance Evaluation. We measure the performance of zero-shot and few-shot to demonstrate whether SCA improves the performance of the original model. The performance is calculated as the average cost. We employ EAS-lay described in Section 2.2 to implement the few-shot adaptation. We used \( K = 0, 1, 5, 10 \), where \( K \) refers to the number of transfer iterations (see [12] in details).

As shown in Table 1 and Table 2, SCA successfully improves the performance when \( K \in \{0, 1\} \) for TSP task and \( K \in \{0, 1, 5, 10\} \) for CVRP task. We observe that SCA is more effective in the early adaptation phase (i.e., \( K \) is small) because we conduct EAS with \( K = 0 \) during the SCA training phase (see Appendix C for additional analysis). Therefore, in the current phase, the SCA can be positioned as an effective adaptation scheme for zero-shot (\( K = 0 \)) and few-shot (\( K \leq 10 \)) adaptation but has limitations on large-shot adaptation (\( K > 100 \)).

5 Future Direction

In this paper, we proposed a new strategy, the scale-conditioned adaptation (SCA) for solving large-scale routing problems which are hard to address due to their combinatorial nature. The SCA was effective few shot adaptation (small \( K \)) but had limitations on large shot adaptation (large \( K \)). To resolve this limitation, we suggest the below strategy as a future direction:

1. Expands the scale-conditioned network (SCN) to have input \( N \) and \( K \) together: i.e. expands \( f_\theta(N) \) as \( f_\theta(N, K) \).
2. Train \( f_\theta(N, K) \) with variation of \( N \) and variation of \( K \) (using EAS).
3. Pre-trained \( f_\theta(N, K) \) infers \( q_{\text{scale}} \) adaptively not only with \( N \) but also with \( K \).
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A  Detail of SCA Process

SCA has three phases (1) pre-training the model, which is plugged with scale conditioned network, (2) training the scale conditional network (3) combining with SCA and EAS strategy. We provide the details of this procedure in this section.

A.1  Pre-training the model.

We use the models which are POMO[9] and Sym-NCO[11]. These models are trained on instances with \( N = 100 \), where \( N \) is the number of cities to visit, made available by the POMO authors.

A.2  Training scale conditional network.

The next phase is training the scale conditioned network \( f_\theta \). The purpose of this phase is to train scale conditioned network to capture the context information from the scale. Scale conditioned network consists of two layers of MLP with ReLU activation function. This network’s input \( N \), the scale of corresponding problem instances, goes into the network; then, the output with the context of scale comes out. As we describe in Section 2.1 POMO and Sym-NCO have encoder-decoder structures. In the model’s decoder, there is a query which is one of the components of decoder architecture and contains contextual information of instance. While we train the scale conditioned network, we freeze the model’s parameter and only update two layers of MLP, which are composed of scale conditioned network.(see Fig. 3) We use various scale instances for training \( f_\theta \) and get \( q_{scale} \) which adds to model’s query \( q \) to get new query \( q_{new} \) from each scale of instance.

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{x} &= \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\} \\
N &= 5 \\
\mathbf{v} &= \{1, 2, 5, 4, 3\}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 3: The procedure of training scale conditioned network.

A.3  Combining with SCA and EAS-lay

After training \( f_\theta \), we solve the target problem \( K \) (number of adaptation) times by employing EAS-lay method. For detail, the trained scale conditioned network is plugged with the model and adjust the model’s query \( q \) to \( q_{new} \) by adding \( q_{scale} \), which is extracted from the target instance’s scale \( N \). From the \( q_{new} \), model infers the solution \( \pi \), which is the order of visiting the city and MLP provided by EAS-lay is updated \( K \) times by utilizing the EAS-lay method. Note that the model and scale conditioned network are not updated during the EAS-lay. (see Fig. 4)
Figure 4: The procedure of adaptation combine with EAS and SCA.
B Implementation of Details of Proposed Method

B.1 Hyperparameter for training scale conditioned network

We set the same hyperparameters to train the scale conditioned model which is plugged to POMO and Sym-NCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TSP</th>
<th>CVRP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batch size</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning rate</td>
<td>3.2e-4</td>
<td>4.2e-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight decay</td>
<td>1e-6</td>
<td>1e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epochs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epoch size</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Hyperparameter setting of training scale conditioned network.

B.2 Hyperparameter for SCA with EAS-lay

We set the same hyperparameters to employ EAS-lay with SCA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TSP</th>
<th>CVRP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning rate</td>
<td>3.2e-4</td>
<td>4.2e-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight decay</td>
<td>1e-6</td>
<td>1e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imitation rate</td>
<td>1.2e-3</td>
<td>1.3e-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Hyperparameter setting of utilizing EAS-lay.
When we compare the parameters of SCN, however, SCN has the potential to adapt less effectively when the number of adaptations increases since SCN is trained for zero-shot adaptation. Thus, we conjecture that SCN is more effective when the cost-minimizing input scale values are shifted to the left as $N = 500$ increases, which means SCN gives higher average costs than EAS for large input scale values in both $N = 500$ and 800, but it still gives lower costs with input scale values less than 600. It is noticeable that the cost-minimizing input scale values are shifted to the left as $K$ increases, which means SCN achieves better performances with mismatched input scale values. Thus, we conjecture that SCN is less effective when the number of adaptations increases since SCN is trained for zero-shot adaptation. However, SCN has the potential to adapt $K$ by extending $f_0(N)$ as $f_0(N, K)$: i.e., conditioning both $N$ and $K$ for shot-adaptive adaptation.

Figure 5: The few-shot performance for various $K$ of TSP and CVRP.

C Analysis on $K$-shot Adaptation

We compare $K$-shot adaptation performances of TSP and CVRP for various $K$ to analyze the effects of SCN in few-shot adaptation. Experiments are conducted on TSP and CVRP with $N = 500, 800$. The parameters of SCN $f_0(N)$ trained in Section 4 are used without additional training. Fig. 5 illustrates that SCN with any input scale value outperforms EAS without SCN in zero-shot ($K = 0$). When $K$ increases, SCN gives higher average costs than EAS for large input scale values in both $N = 500$ and 800, but it still gives lower costs with input scale values less than 600. It is noticeable that the cost-minimizing input scale values are shifted to the left as $K$ increases, which means SCN achieves better performances with mismatched input scale values. Thus, we conjecture that SCN is less effective when the number of adaptations increases since SCN is trained for zero-shot adaptation. However, SCN has the potential to adapt $K$ by extending $f_0(N)$ as $f_0(N, K)$: i.e., conditioning both $N$ and $K$ for shot-adaptive adaptation.