VE-KD: Vocabulary-Expansion Knowledge-Distillation for Training Smaller Domain-Specific Language Models

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

We propose VE-KD, a novel method that balances knowledge distillation and vocabulary expansion with the aim of training efficient domain-specific language models. Compared with traditional pre-training approaches, VE-006 KD exhibits competitive performance in downstream tasks while reducing model size and 007 using fewer computational resources. Additionally, VE-KD refrains from overfitting in domain adaptation. Our experiments with dif-011 ferent biomedical domain tasks demonstrate that VE-KD performs well compared with mod-012 els such as BioBERT (+1% at HoC) and Pub-MedBERT (+1% at PubMedQA), with about 96% less training time. Furthermore, it outperforms DistilBERT and Adapt-and-Distill, showing a significant improvement in document-017 level tasks. Investigation of vocabulary size and tolerance, which are hyperparameters of our method, provides insights for further model optimization. The fact that VE-KD consistently maintains its advantages, even when the corpus size is small, suggests that it is a practical approach for domain-specific language tasks and is transferrable to different domains for broader applications.

1 Introduction

027

Language models such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) have provided significant performance improvements in solving natural language processing (NLP) tasks, enabling many practical applications that have increased productivity, understanding, and accessibility in diverse industries.

These traditional models still hold value in terms of cost-effectiveness and ease of deployment, even though large language models (LLMs) demonstrate remarkable few-shot capabilities in NLP tasks. One reason is that training or fine-tuning LLMs such as GPT-3 requires an immense amount of data and computational resources. Another reason is the growing demand for artificial intelligence (AI) applications that run on local machines because some applications require independence from network connectivity or have concerns about information security and confidentiality when using LLM-based application programming interface (API) services such as GPT-4.

041

042

043

044

045

047

049

052

053

055

059

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

077

078

081

Many industrial and academic fields use specialized terminology and concepts that general language models might not fully understand. These potential gaps in understanding may result in less effective or even erroneous solutions, making it essential to adapt language models to specific domains.

However, LLMs such as GPT-3 and GPT-4 are difficult to use because it is expensive and challenging to obtain high-quality labeled data for additional pre-training and because domain knowledge must be added through the API. In contrast, general BERT models have the advantage of easy of finetuning and specialization in different domains. For example, BERT performs better in Named-entity recognition tasks compared with GPT-family models such as BioGPT (Luo et al., 2022).

In industrial applications, operational efficiency is often the primary concern. For example, high latency can be detrimental for applications that require real-time response or that process large amounts of input data, such as monitoring systems or predictive analytics. Larger models need more powerful and thus more expensive hardware setups but typically have capacity constraints imposed to manage costs. This also limits the model size that can feasibly be realized. Therefore, reducing resource consumption by compressing a model improves its deployment adaptability.

Although the need for domain adaptation and model compression is particularly prominent in industrial applications within a specific domain, given the complexities inherent in these processes,



Figure 1: Architecture of VE-KD. New and original tokens are processed separately during tokenization, masking, and loss calculation. The student model soaks up two types of knowledge: common knowledge via original tokens and domain-specific knowledge via new tokens.

a simplistic sequential approach may not yield the best results. First, both tasks require high-quality data, which can be difficult to obtain. Second, using general methods such as domain-adaptation followed by distillation or distilling an already domain-adapted model requires two or more steps or training and hyperparameter tuning (Yao et al., 2021), which makes the learning process difficult to optimize.

During the domain-adaptation phase (secondarystage unsupervised pre-training), there is a significant risk of losing general knowledge due to overfitting when a small corpus is used. Moreover, 2-step training requires more computational resources and time, possibly requiring further iterations to achieve the most effective outcomes. Therefore, a method that can proficiently perform domain adaptation and model compression simultaneously is needed to overcome these issues.

In this paper, we propose VE-KD, a novel method that can simultaneously perform domain adaptation and model compression from a teacher model such as BERT. We also show that our method significantly outperforms the teacher model on related tasks with a corpus, is robust and easy to optimize, and has lower requirements in terms of computational resources and time.

2 Related Work

090

094

098

101

102

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

Large pre-trained models such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) have become ubiquitous in the NLP field (Ramponi and Plank, 2020). In terms of domain shifts, secondarystage unsupervised pre-training on a new domain has proven to be advantageous. Contextualized tokenizations are adapted to text from the target domain through masked language modeling, as introduced by Han and Eisenstein (2019) and Gururangan et al. (2020). Meanwhile, Lee et al. (2020) performed continual pre-training to adapt the BERT model to the biomedical domain, utilizing both PubMed abstracts and PMC full-text resources. The use of contrastive learning also increases the representation ability for specific domains. Xu et al. (2023) investigated the use of contrastive learning to develop discriminative entity representations in the field of cross-domain named entity recognition.

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

145

However, many specialized domains contain unique terms that are not included in the vocabulary of pre-trained language models. Gu et al. (2021) proposed a biomedical pre-trained model called PubMedBERT in which the vocabulary was constructed from scratch and the model was pretrained from scratch. Furthermore, in many specialized domains, sufficiently large corpora may not be available to support pre-training from scratch. General domain vocabulary can be extended with in-domain vocabulary (Yao et al., 2021) in order to solve this out-of-vocabulary issue.

Knowledge distillation (KD) (Hinton et al., 2015) aims to transfer the knowledge from a large teacher model to a small student model. Distil-BERT (Sanh et al., 2019) uses soft labels and embedding outputs to supervise the student model.

TinyBERT (Jiao et al., 2020) and MobileBERT (Sun et al., 2020) includes self-attention distributions and hidden-states for training the student model. MiniLM (Wang et al., 2020) avoids restrictions on the number of student layers and supervises the student model by using the self-attention distributions and value relation of the teacher's last transformer layer. The AD-KD approach (Wu et al., 2023) explores the token-level rationale behind the teacher model based on Integrated Gradients and transfers attribution knowledge to the student model.

Several frameworks for general knowledge distillation using LLMs have also been proposed. GKD (Tan et al., 2023) is a general-knowledge distillation framework that supports distillation on larger-scale PLMs using various distillation methods and *f*-DISTILL (Wen et al., 2023) formulates sequence level knowledge distillation through minimization of a generalized f-divergence function. Hsieh et al. (2023) and Li et al. (2023) have proved that distillation using extracted rationales from black box LLMs is effective.

In this paper, we focus on task-agnostic knowledge distillation approaches, where a smaller distilled pre-trained model can be directly fine-tuned on downstream tasks.

3 Methods

146

147

148

149

151

152

153

154

155

157

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

183

184

185

187

190

191

192

193

195

In this study, we propose VE-KD, a knowledge distillation method with vocabulary expansion, as shown in Figure 1. Unlike Adapt-and-Distill (Yao et al., 2021), which requires 4-step training, our approach simultaneously lightens the model and resolves the adaptability issues of special domains, which have been a problem in general-purpose models pre-trained on large corpora. By continually distilling knowledge from the teacher model, VE-KD effectively avoids overfitting, a common issue that arises during the domain adaptation phase, especially when working with small corpora.

In the knowledge distillation aspect of VE-KD, a larger BERT model serves as the teacher model, instructing a smaller student model. Through the distillation process, the student model learns to mimic the behavior of the larger teacher model in general terms. Simultaneously, the vocabulary expansion aspect broadens the model's vocabulary to capture domain-specific terms, thereby enhancing the method's ability to adapt to domain-specific tasks.

3.1 Vocabulary Expansion

We add domain-specific terms (which we call "new tokens") through vocabulary expansion, which distinguishes between general and domain knowledge by separating the new tokens from the original tokens. By processing them separately, such as through different masking and loss functions, we enable simultaneous learning of domain knowledge from the corpus as well as general knowledge from the teacher model via two separate pathways. 196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

207

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

The vocabulary of the student model V_s is expanded based on the teacher model's vocabulary V_t . We use tensor2tensor's WordPiece generation script¹ to perform vocabulary expansion. Building on the research of Yao et al. (2021), we chose a vocabulary size of 60,000 words.

3.2 Tokenization and Separate Token Masking

The process of separating two terms is accomplished through tokenization and token masking. Typically, model distillation necessitates that both the teacher and student models possess identical dictionaries. However, because of vocabulary expansion, new tokens emerge that cannot be incorporated into the teacher model.

As shown in Figure 1, we employ text tokenization with an expanded vocabulary V_s . There are new tokens that cannot be accommodated in the teacher model. To circumvent this, we designed the unique mask method shown below.

We denote the input sequence as $x = [x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]$, where *n* is the sequence length and each x_i represents a token that has been tokenized by expanded vocabulary V_s . Let us suppose that x_1 and x_3 are new tokens and thus not included in V_t . We replace them with a [MASK] token as new input, as follows:

$$x_{\text{input}} = [[\text{MASK}], x_2, [\text{MASK}]..., x_n].$$

We simultaneously acquire the position information of new tokens $P_{\text{newtoken}}(i) = 1$ if $x_i \notin V_t$ else 0, and use it to calculate the loss function.

In areas other than new tokens, tokens are masked and swapped at random by the same rule, similar to BERT's MLM (masked language model) task. The tokens used for replacement are picked from the vocabulary of the teacher model.

¹https://github.com/tensorflow/tensor2tensor

3.3 Loss Functions

242

243

244

246

247

250

251

256

258

259

260

263

264

265

267

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

278

279

284

This section explains the mechanism of calculating the loss function by separating new tokens from general terms. In the right half of Figure 1, we input the two entries into the teacher model (t) and the student model (s) and obtain the hidden-state vectors $H_{t,s}$ from the final layer as well as the token prediction logits $L_{t,s}$.

At the new token position, the output logits and the hidden-state vectors of the teacher model conflict with the student model because the student model has a bigger vocabulary and new knowledge. To learn the knowledge of the teacher model successfully, similarity calculations are made only within the scope of general terms (without the new token position). The new $H'_{t,s}$ and $L'_{t,s}$ are formulated as follows:

$$H'_{t,s} = \{H_{t,s}(i) | P_{\text{newtoken}}(i) = 0\},\$$
$$L'_{t,s} = \{L_{t,s}(i) | P_{\text{newtoken}}(i) = 0\}.$$

Following DistilBERT (Sanh et al., 2019), the loss function is calculated using measures such as cosine similarity, Kullback-Leibler divergence (KL), and mean squared error (MSE), which are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Cosine}}(H'_t, H'_s) = \frac{H'_t \cdot H'_s}{\|H'_s\| \|H'_t\|},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{KL}}(L'_t, L'_s) = \sum_i L'_t(i) \log \frac{L'_t(i)}{L'_s(i)},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{MSE}}(L'_t, L'_s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (L'_t(i) - L'_s(i))^2$$

By doing so, we facilitate learning of the teacher model's knowledge.

Next, similar to BERT, we calculate the MLM loss function \mathcal{L}_{MLM} in order to estimate the masked words, using the student model's Logits L_s and labels L_{label} .

The new token may lead to conflict between the KD loss and MLM loss even if the calculation range is split. . Knowledge about general terms may differ between the teacher model and student model because the meaning or grammar of general terms around the new token may differ. Because taking 100% of the knowledge from the teacher model might have adverse effects on creating new domain knowledge for the student model, we use tolerance to control the KD loss as follows:

Here,
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{KD}}$$
 refers to each KD loss, \mathcal{W}_{KD} represents
the weight for each KD loss, and ε denotes the toler-
ance for the KD loss. This implies that after being
multiplied by the weight, if the value is smaller
than ε , the model will consider the KD loss to be
0 and refrain from further optimization for lower
loss. If a conflict arises, the student model will first
optimize the MLM loss. Thereby ensuring that the
student model learns the new domain knowledge
the teacher model without straying too far from it.

289 290

292

294

295

298

299

300

301

302

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

329

330

331

The final loss $\mathcal{L}_{\text{final}}$ is obtained by calculating the sum of the above individual losses, as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{final}} = \mathcal{L}'_{\text{Cosine}} + \mathcal{L}'_{\text{KL}} + \mathcal{L}'_{\text{MSE}} + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{\text{MLM}}.$$

Here, α is the positive weight parameter for the loss in the MLM task and is used to control the intensity of learning new tokens.

4 Experiment Details and Results

In this section, we conduct our experiments in the biomedical domain.

4.1 Datasets

We collected a PubMed abstract corpus for distillation, and used BLURB² (see Appendix A) for performance evaluation.

For the biomedical domain, we gathered a small corpus from PubMed (1.3GB) abstracts and compared it with PubMedBERT, which used a 21-GB corpus for pre-training. We omitted any abstracts containing fewer than 128 words in order to reduce noise.

We evaluate downstream tasks by using 12 tasks of the BLURB benchmark (excluding BIOSSES, a sentence similarity task that employs the [CLS] token, which is not well trained with this method).

4.2 Implementation

We use the uncased version of $\text{BERT}_{\text{BASE}}^3$ (12 layers, 768 dimensions) as the teacher model and the baseline. We perform distillation of BERT to a small student model⁴ (6 layers, 768 dimensions) with vocabulary expansion. The weights of the student model's layers is initialized with those of the teacher model's layers 0, 2, 4, 7, 9, and 11. Additionally, we perform distillation of BERT by following the normal method which uses the same corpus

²https://microsoft.github.io/BLURB/leaderboard.html

³https://github.com/google-research/bert

⁴Our models, evaluation data and training code are available at: https://github.com/pZvfkv3t8PA9vAc/VE-KD

	DistilBERT BERT-base_DA ⁵ PubMed			Adapt-and-Distill	VE-KD
Layers Number	12	12	6	6	6
NER					
BC5CDR-chem	89.25	90.96	88.81	89.40	89.83
BC5CDR-disease	81.44	82.90	78.94	82.25	81.65
NCBI-disease	85.67	85.64	84.07	85.01	86.50
BC2GM	80.90	80.91	79.94	79.61	80.03
JNLPBA	77.69	77.20	76.64	76.57	76.34
PICO extraction					
ebmnlp	72.34	73.26	71.22	71.03	72.08
Relation extraction					
chemprot	71.86	72.64	70.77	68.16	69.28
DDI	80.04	80.64	74.20	76.78	76.69
GAD	80.41	79.40	78.29	79.31	77.82
Document classification					
HoC	80.20	81.37	80.76	81.64	83.21
Question answering					
Pubmedqa	51.62	56.20	53.40	54.00	55.80
BioASQ	70.36	66.43	67.86	72.86	75.71
Average of all tasks	76.82	77.30	75.41	76.38	77.08
Macro-average	74.79	75.41	73.74	74.68	75.70

Table 1: Comparison with distillation models trained by the PubMed corpus. DistilBERT_{PubMed}: using the same method with DistilBERT, Adapt-and-Distill: using the same method with Yao et al. (2021), VE-KD: using our method. Bold indicates the best performance of 6-layer models.

and hyperparameters as a DistilBERT_{PubMed} (Sanh et al., 2019) and a Adapt-and-Distill model (Yao et al., 2021) (Appendix C). The mid product of Adapt-and-Distill method, BERT-base_DA⁵ obtained by domain adaptation from BERT-base is also the baseline.

Additionally, we chose some small (6 layers) BERT or distilled BERT models for general purposes, including $\text{BERT}_{\text{L6H768}}^3$ (6 layers, 768 dimensions), TinyBERT, MiniLM and DistilBERT_{wiki}. For comparison with domain adaptation ability, we additionally trained these models using the PubMed corpus with an MLM task.

We also performed distillation experiments across different dimensions, such as from BERTlarge to a smaller student model (6 layers, 384 dimensions) as shown in Appendix D.

4.3 Comparison with BERT, DistilBERT and Adapt-and-Distill

The results for the performance comparison of the distillation model using the same PubMed corpus are shown in Table 1. VE-KD outperformed teacher model BERT on 6 tasks, and showed an improved performance of 0.3% on average and 0.9% on Macro-average. VE-KD outperformed DistilBERT_{PubMed} on 10 tasks, showed an increased absolute performance of 1.6% on average and 2.0% on Macro-average. VE-KD outperformed Adapt-and-Distill on 8 tasks, showed an increased absolute performance of 0.7% on average and 1.0% on Macro-average. VE-KD obtained the highest Macro-average score including BERTbase_DA⁵ (+0.3%). 359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

370

372

373

374

376

378

379

380

381

383

384

386

387

Moreover, we observed significantly larger improvements on document-level tasks compared with BERT-base, document classification (+3%)on HoC) and question answering (+4% on Pub-MedQA, +5% on BioASQ), as well as significant improvements in document classification (+2% on HoC) and question answering (+6% on Pub-MedQA, +13% on BioASQ) compared with Distil-BERT, document classification (+2% on HoC) and question answering (+2% on PubMedQA, +3% on BioASQ) compared with Adapt-and-Distill. Document classification and question answering are tasks that require a deep understanding of sentences. We propose that our method excels in tasks that focus on understanding the meaning of sentences, rather than tasks that involve token-level information extraction.

BERT-base_DA⁵ which is the mid product of Adapt-and-Distill potentially suffered from overfitting due to small corpora. Compare with BERTsmall_DA⁵ in Table 2, we observed that the larger model yields worse performance. This could be attributed to the fact that larger models require larger

353

354

⁵"DA" indicates that the model is under domain adaptation.

	BERT	-small	TinyI	BERT	Min	iLM		BERT iki		BERT Med	VE-KD
Domain Adaptation	0	w	0	w	0	w	0	w	0	w	
NER											
BC5CDR-chem	88.64	90.51	87.98	90.34	88.93	90.13	88.81	90.34	88.97	89.83	89.83
BC5CDR-disease	80.27	81.90	79.20	80.60	80.04	80.24	78.94	80.60	80.84	80.74	81.65
NCBI-disease	85.53	85.54	84.16	84.77	83.81	84.37	84.07	84.77	86.05	84.52	86.50
BC2GM	79.64	80.22	79.56	80.17	80.09	80.18	79.94	80.17	79.96	79.83	80.03
JNLPBA	76.53	77.27	76.83	76.75	75.92	76.65	76.64	76.75	76.86	76.60	76.34
PICO extraction											
EBM PICO	71.09	72.21	70.41	72.31	71.29	72.53	71.22	72.31	71.56	72.16	72.08
Relation extraction											
ChemProt	69.74	69.97	69.87	70.09	69.50	70.64	70.77	70.09	69.68	71.11	69.28
DDI	75.91	77.57	75.01	75.95	74.91	76.92	74.20	75.95	75.96	75.48	76.69
GAD	78.79	79.60	76.87	78.98	79.05	79.74	78.29	78.98	76.66	79.53	77.82
Doc classification											
HoC	81.73	82.66	73.98	81.21	77.72	81.41	80.76	81.21	81.41	82.20	83.21
Question answering											
PubMedQA	50.40	51.80	54.00	51.80	52.60	54.60	53.40	51.80	50.00	53.80	55.80
BioASQ	75.71	80.00	80.00	67.86	67.14	76.43	67.86	67.86	62.86	72.14	75.71
Average of all tasks	76.16	77.44	75.66	75.90	75.08	76.99	75.41	75.90	75.07	75.98	77.08
Macro-average	74.56	75.91	73.37	74.18	73.03	75.51	73.74	74.18	73.26	75.04	<u>75.70</u>

Table 2: Comparison of small models, where w indicates domain adaptation and o indicates no domain adaptation. Bold indicates the best performance, and underline indicates the second best.

corpora to avoid overfitting. Consequently, when dealing with smaller corpora, the performance of larger models may be compromised. In contrast, VE-KD, offers improved stability because it does not require multiple phases like Adapt-and-Distill. This stability enables VE-KD to better address the challenges of overfitting in domain adaptation scenarios.

388

394

396

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

VE-KD did not perform as well in the relationextraction task as the other 6-layer models did, experiencing an average performance decrease of 3% compared with BERT-base. This might be attributable to the divergence between the datasets used in tasks such as DDI and GAD (which were not built from the PubMed corpus), and the PubMed corpus we used to train VE-KD. Therefore, we postulate that the performance of VE-KD is significantly influenced by the gap between the training corpus and the downstream task.

4.4 Comparison with Models Having the Same Layer Size

Table 2 shows the results of performance compari-409 son versus the small model having the same layers 410 and hidden-state size as VE-KD. Compared with 411 412 small models without domain adaptation, VE-KD achieves the highest performance on average. Even 413 after domain adaption, VE-KD is still the second-414 highest model just behind BERT-small. Compared 415 with DistilBERT_{PubMed}_DA⁵, which uses the same 416

corpus, VE-KD also attains a 1.1% performance increase on average and 0.7% on Macro-average, and in particular obtains a 2% increase for PubMedQA and 3.6% in BioASQ tasks. Our results suggest that a vocabulary expansion distillation method using one-time training can achieve or exceed the performance of adaptation followed by distillation. 417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

5 Analysis

In this section, we analyze the impact of training time and various settings on performance.

5.1 Impact of Training Time

Pre-training and fine-tuning typically require substantial computational resources. We benchmark our model against BioBERT and PubMedBERT, using the HoC and PubMedQA tasks. To facilitate a fair comparison, we equate the training time of BioBERT and PubMedBERT to the duration it would potentially take with the same computational resources used in this study (8 A100 GPUs).

As shown in Table 3 for the HoC and Pub-MedQA tasks, VE-KD outperforms BERT in the HoC task after 3 h of training and surpasses BioBERT and PubMedBERT after 6 and 9 h of training, respectively. For the PubMedQA task, VE-KD outperforms BERT and PubMedBERT after 6 and 9 h of training, respectively. These observations highlight the efficiency of our method, which can match or surpass the performance of 445 models pre-trained from scratch, all while using
446 less than 10% of the computational resources and
447 corpus.

The training time for VE-KD is mostly analogous to the distillation phase time of the Adapt-and-Distill method. Compared with fine-tuned Distil-BERT and BERT, VE-KD achieves a higher performance while using only about half the training time. In comparison with Adapt-and-Distil, VE-KD achieves a higher performance while using only about 15% the training time.

Model	Training Time	Corpus Words	НоС	PubMed QA
	3 h	0.2B	81.64	54.00
VE-KD	6 h	0.2B	81.74	55.30
	9 h	0.2B	82.64	56.60
DistilBERT	9 h	0.2B	80.76	53.40
DistilBERT_DA	⁵ 19 h	0.2B	81.21	53.80
BERT_DA ⁵	25 h	0.2B	81.37	56.20
Adapt-and-Disti	l 62 h	0.2B	81.64	54.00
BERT	0 h	3.3B	80.20	51.62
BioBERT	240 h	4.5B	81.54	60.24
PubMedBERT	240 h	3.1B	82.32	55.84

Table 3: Results with different model training. Bold and underline indicate the first best and the second best, respectively.

5.2 Impact of Vocabulary Size

To understand the impact of vocabulary size, we conduct several experiments using varying vocabulary sizes in the biomedical domain. We use the same experimental conditions with two types of models: with or without tolerance setting. Figure 2 shows the performance of the model for different vocabulary sizes.

We observe that both types of models deliver the best results with a vocabulary size of 60,000 words in our study. Interestingly, models with larger vocabularies of 70,000 and 80,000 words do not exhibit better performance but instead exhibit a significant performance loss. A reasonable explanation for these results is that a larger vocabulary set might potentially include more complex but less common tokens, which cannot be sufficiently learned through continuous pre-training, especially in a small-scale corpus.

5.3 Impact of Tolerance

To understand the impact of tolerance, we conducted several experiments in which the tolerance is adjusted within a 60,000-word vocabulary by



Figure 2: Average performance of VE-KD with different vocabulary sizes.

utilizing HoC, PubMedQA, and BioASQ and then averaged across all 12 tasks.

As shown in Figure 3, there is a noticeable change in performance between the model without tolerance setting and each task, and the average over the 12 tasks exhibits a peak performance when the tolerance is set to 0.5. We observe that as the tolerance increases up to 1.0 and 2.0, the performance continually decreases compared with the model without tolerance setting. This implies that when the tolerance is excessively high, the instructional knowledge from the teacher model may not be effectively assimilated by the student model. Given that the current tolerance setting might be too restrictive for this method, we are considering modifying as a softer approach in the future.



Figure 3: HoC, PubMedQA, BioASQ and the average performance of VE-KD with different tolerances.

5.4 Smaller Corpus

To understand the potential of our method on smaller corpora, we conducted several experiments on VE-KD (with 40,000 and 60,000-word vocabularies) and DistilBERT trained on various percentages of the PubMed corpus.

Figure 4 shows the performance-evaluation re-

494

479

456

457

458

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

463

464

468

471 472

473 474

475

476

477

478

496 497

495

498 499

sults for the average score and the PubMedQA task. 502 We observe that VE-KD_40k⁶ and VE-KD_60k⁶ 503 trained on more than 20% of the corpus, and VE-504 KD_40k⁶ had larger fluctuations in average score compared with VE-KD_60k⁶ at the same time. Interestingly, for the PubMedQA task, VE-KD_60k⁶ 507 performed worse than VE-KD 40k⁶ up until reach-508 ing 100% of the dataset. One potential explanation for this is that the VE-KD_60k⁶ has more param-510 eters, implying that it requires additional training 511 to achieve comparable performance. However, a 512 model that implements a smaller vocabulary expan-513 sion may offer greater potential when applied to a 514 small corpus. 515



(b) PubMedQA score

Figure 4: Performance on varying percentages of the PubMed corpus.

5.5 Inference Speed and Model Size

516

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

We compare the parameter size and inference speed of VE-KD with the BERT model and DistilBERT, and the results are shown in Table 4. Compared with BERT-base, the half-layers of DistilBERT and VE-KD are about 0.5 times faster. We find that vocabulary expansion delivers only marginal improvements on the model's inference speed, in line with the results of Yao et al. (2021).

For the VE-KD_40k⁶ and VE-KD_60k⁶ yields about 8 million and 22 million parameters, respectively, in the tokenization weights. The model lightening effect is thus smaller. For further model lightening, it may be necessary to have smaller hidden dimensions, few layers, or lower numbers of attention heads.

Model	Parameters	Speedup
BERT	110 M	$\times 1.00$
DistilBERT	67 M	×1.48
VE-KD_40k ⁶	75 M	×1.50
VE-KD_60k ⁶	90 M	×1.56

Table 4: Comparison of parameter size and inference speed. The inference speed was tested by the EBM PICO task and evaluated on a single RTX 6000 GPU.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed VE-KD, a novel method that merges vocabulary expansion and knowledge distillation. We also showed that our method achieves competitive performance on various downstream tasks. Our experimental results demonstrate that VE-KD is effective; that is, its performance is competitive with well-known models such as BioBERT and PubMedBERT, and its training efficiency is noteworthy. It outperforms Distil-BERT and Adapt-and-Distill method, especially in document-level tasks. Furthermore, VE-KD is more robust compared to general domain adaptation. VE-KD using distillation mechanism which can avoid overfitting, especially work with small corpora.

We thoroughly investigated the effects of vocabulary size and tolerance and obtained insights that can help us configure more efficient models. Because of its efficiency across various domainspecific language processing tasks, VE-KD lays the groundwork for further research in task-specific model optimization and application across diverse domains.

One limitation of our study is that we did not evaluate the model's generalization abilities on out-of-domain tasks, and not apply it to the other model architecture such as GPT-family models (using "[unk]" instead of "[mask]"), which might be essential for some applications. Further evaluation with such tasks and distillation with different architecture will be performed in future work.

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

525

526

527

528

529

530

⁶VE-KD_40k and VE-KD_60k denote VE-KD with 40,000 and 60,000-word vocabulary sizes, respectively.

References

564

569

570

573

574

575

580

584

586

588

593

594

595

599

607

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

- Simon Baker, Ilona Silins, Yufan Guo, Imran Ali, Johan Högberg, Ulla Stenius, and Anna Korhonen. 2016. Automatic semantic classification of scientific literature according to the hallmarks of cancer. *Bioinformatics*, 32(3):432–440.
 - Kevin G Becker, Kathleen C Barnes, Tiffani J Bright, and S Alex Wang. 2004. The genetic association database. *Nature genetics*, 36(5):431–432.
- Nigel Collier, Tomoko Ohta, Yoshimasa Tsuruoka, Yuka Tateisi, and Jin-Dong Kim. 2004. Introduction to the bio-entity recognition task at JNLPBA. In Proceedings of the International Joint Workshop on Natural Language Processing in Biomedicine and its Applications (NLPBA/BioNLP), pages 73–78, Geneva, Switzerland. COLING.
 - Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Rezarta Islamaj Doğan, Robert Leaman, and Zhiyong Lu. 2014. Ncbi disease corpus: a resource for disease name recognition and concept normalization. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 47:1–10.
- Yu Gu, Robert Tinn, Hao Cheng, Michael Lucas, Naoto Usuyama, Xiaodong Liu, Tristan Naumann, Jianfeng Gao, and Hoifung Poon. 2021. Domain-specific language model pretraining for biomedical natural language processing. *ACM Transactions on Computing for Healthcare (HEALTH)*, 3(1):1–23.
- Suchin Gururangan, Ana Marasović, Swabha Swayamdipta, Kyle Lo, Iz Beltagy, Doug Downey, and Noah A. Smith. 2020. Don't stop pretraining: Adapt language models to domains and tasks. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 8342–8360, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xiaochuang Han and Jacob Eisenstein. 2019. Unsupervised domain adaptation of contextualized embeddings for sequence labeling. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 4238–4248, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- María Herrero-Zazo, Isabel Segura-Bedmar, Paloma Martínez, and Thierry Declerck. 2013. The ddi corpus: An annotated corpus with pharmacological substances and drug–drug interactions. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 46(5):914–920.

Geoffrey Hinton, Oriol Vinyals, and Jeff Dean. 2015. Distilling the knowledge in a neural network. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1503.02531. 620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

- Cheng-Yu Hsieh, Chun-Liang Li, Chih-kuan Yeh, Hootan Nakhost, Yasuhisa Fujii, Alex Ratner, Ranjay Krishna, Chen-Yu Lee, and Tomas Pfister. 2023. Distilling step-by-step! outperforming larger language models with less training data and smaller model sizes. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 8003–8017, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xiaoqi Jiao, Yichun Yin, Lifeng Shang, Xin Jiang, Xiao Chen, Linlin Li, Fang Wang, and Qun Liu. 2020. TinyBERT: Distilling BERT for natural language understanding. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020*, pages 4163– 4174, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Qiao Jin, Bhuwan Dhingra, Zhengping Liu, William W Cohen, and Xinghua Lu. 2019. Pubmedqa: A dataset for biomedical research question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.06146*.
- Jinhyuk Lee, Wonjin Yoon, Sungdong Kim, Donghyeon Kim, Sunkyu Kim, Chan Ho So, and Jaewoo Kang. 2020. Biobert: a pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining. *Bioinformatics*, 36(4):1234–1240.
- Jiao Li, Yueping Sun, Robin J Johnson, Daniela Sciaky, Chih-Hsuan Wei, Robert Leaman, Allan Peter Davis, Carolyn J Mattingly, Thomas C Wiegers, and Zhiyong Lu. 2016. Biocreative v cdr task corpus: a resource for chemical disease relation extraction. *Database*, 2016.
- Liunian Harold Li, Jack Hessel, Youngjae Yu, Xiang Ren, Kai-Wei Chang, and Yejin Choi. 2023. Symbolic chain-of-thought distillation: Small models can also "think" step-by-step. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2665– 2679, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692.
- Renqian Luo, Liai Sun, Yingce Xia, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhang, Hoifung Poon, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2022. Biogpt: generative pre-trained transformer for biomedical text generation and mining. *Briefings* in *Bioinformatics*, 23(6):bbac409.
- Benjamin Nye, Junyi Jessy Li, Roma Patel, Yinfei Yang, Iain J Marshall, Ani Nenkova, and Byron C Wallace. 2018. A corpus with multi-level annotations of patients, interventions and outcomes to support

760

761

732

language processing for medical literature. In *Proceedings of the conference. Association for Computational Linguistics. Meeting*, volume 2018, page 197. NIH Public Access.

676

686

691

697

701

702

703

704

706

708

710

711

715

716

717

718

719

722

723

724

725 726

727

728

731

- Alan Ramponi and Barbara Plank. 2020. Neural unsupervised domain adaptation in NLP—A survey. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 6838–6855, Barcelona, Spain (Online). International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Victor Sanh, Lysandre Debut, Julien Chaumond, and Thomas Wolf. 2019. Distilbert, a distilled version of bert: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.01108*.
- Larry Smith, Lorraine K Tanabe, Rie Johnson nee Ando, Cheng-Ju Kuo, I-Fang Chung, Chun-Nan Hsu, Yu-Shi Lin, Roman Klinger, Christoph M Friedrich, Kuzman Ganchev, et al. 2008. Overview of biocreative ii gene mention recognition. *Genome biology*, 9:1–19.
 - Zhiqing Sun, Hongkun Yu, Xiaodan Song, Renjie Liu, Yiming Yang, and Denny Zhou. 2020. MobileBERT: a compact task-agnostic BERT for resource-limited devices. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 2158–2170, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Olivier Taboureau, Sonny Kim Nielsen, Karine Audouze, Nils Weinhold, Daniel Edsgärd, Francisco S Roque, Irene Kouskoumvekaki, Alina Bora, Ramona Curpan, Thomas Skøt Jensen, et al. 2010. Chemprot: a disease chemical biology database. *Nucleic acids research*, 39(suppl_1):D367–D372.
- Shicheng Tan, Weng Lam Tam, Yuanchun Wang, Wenwen Gong, Shu Zhao, Peng Zhang, and Jie Tang. 2023. GKD: A general knowledge distillation framework for large-scale pre-trained language model. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 5: Industry Track)*, pages 134–148, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- George Tsatsaronis, Georgios Balikas, Prodromos Malakasiotis, Ioannis Partalas, Matthias Zschunke, Michael R Alvers, Dirk Weissenborn, Anastasia Krithara, Sergios Petridis, Dimitris Polychronopoulos, et al. 2015. An overview of the bioasq large-scale biomedical semantic indexing and question answering competition. *BMC bioinformatics*, 16(1):1–28.
- Wenhui Wang, Furu Wei, Li Dong, Hangbo Bao, Nan Yang, and Ming Zhou. 2020. Minilm: Deep selfattention distillation for task-agnostic compression of pre-trained transformers. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:5776–5788.
- Yuqiao Wen, Zichao Li, Wenyu Du, and Lili Mou. 2023. f-divergence minimization for sequence-level knowledge distillation. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational*

Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 10817–10834, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Siyue Wu, Hongzhan Chen, Xiaojun Quan, Qifan Wang, and Rui Wang. 2023. AD-KD: Attribution-driven knowledge distillation for language model compression. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 8449–8465, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jingyun Xu, Changmeng Zheng, Yi Cai, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2023. Improving named entity recognition via bridge-based domain adaptation. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL* 2023, pages 3869–3882, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yunzhi Yao, Shaohan Huang, Wenhui Wang, Li Dong, and Furu Wei. 2021. Adapt-and-distill: Developing small, fast and effective pretrained language models for domains. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages 460–470, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Michihiro Yasunaga, Jure Leskovec, and Percy Liang. 2022. LinkBERT: Pretraining language models with document links. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 8003–8016, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.

781 786

776

790

762

763

770

771

772

774

A **Fine-tuning Dataset**

BLURB benchmark consists of five namedentity-recognition tasks (BC5-Chemical, BC5-Disease, NCBI-disease, BC2GM and JNLPBA), a PICO (population, intervention, comparison, and outcome) extraction task (EBM PICO), three relation-extraction tasks (ChemProt, DDI and GAD), a document-classification task (HoC), and two question-answering tasks (PubMedQA and BioASQ). We adhere to the same fine-tuning method and evaluation metrics as those used by PubMedBERT, following Yasunaga et al. (2022). We list the statistics of those tasks in Table 5.

Dataset	Train	Dev	Test
BC5-chem (2016)	5,203	5,347	5,385
BC5-disease (2016)	4,182	4,244	4,424
NCBI-disease (2014)	5,134	787	960
BC2GM (2008)	15,197	3,061	6,325
JNLPBA (2004)	46,750	4,551	8,662
EBM PICO (2018)	339,167	85,321	16,364
ChemProt (2010)	18,035	11,268	5,745
DDI (2013)	25,296	2,496	5,716
GAD (2004)	4,261	535	534
HoC (2016)	1,295	186	371
PubMedQA (2019)	450	50	500
BioASQ (2015)	670	75	140

Table 5: numbers of instances included in the BLURB biomedical NLP benchmark datasets we used.

Hyperparameter Details B

For all distillations, including the baseline with the same default training seed, we train for 5 epochs by using batch size of 240 and a peak learning rate of 5e-4, which is warmed up in the first 10% of steps and then is decayed linearly.

For all domain adaptation, including the baseline with same defaults training seed, we train for 100,000 steps by using batch size of 80 and a peak learn rate of 5e-4, which is warmed up in the first 10% of steps and then is decayed linearly.

For BLURB fine-tuning, including the baseline with same defaults training seed, we set max_seq_length to 512 and choose learning rates from 1e-5, 2e-5, 3e-5, 5e-5, 6e-5, batch sizes from 16, 32, 64 and epochs from 1 to 120.

С **Experiment Using Adapt-and-Distill** Method

To comparison with Adapt-and-Distill (Yao et al., 2021) method, we conducted a comparative experiment using same method. The Adapt-and-Distill method comprises four steps:

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

799

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

- 1. perform domain adaptation for the teacher model T to T'.
- 2. perform distillation from the teacher model T to the student model S.
- 3. perform domain adaptation for the student model S to S'.
- 4. perform distillation from the teacher model T' to S", using the intermediate student model S' as initialization.

Distillation with Different Dimensions D

When the output dimensions of the teacher model and the student model differ, we add a learnable transformation $W_h \in \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d}$ to convert hiddenstate vectors to the same dimensions as

$$H'_s = H'_s W_h.$$

But initialization from teacher to student is not available because of different dimensions. We randomly initial student model.

D.1 Distillation from BERT-large

To investigate the effect of this method on larger models, we also conducted a comparative experiment on BERT-large.

BERT-large has 24 layers of 1,024 hidden dimensions. We distilled it to 6 layers of 768 and 384 dimensions, respectively. using VE-KD method and DistilBERT method.

The results for the performance comparison using the same PubMed corpus are shown in Table 6, which shows that VE-KD (768) outperforms teacher model BERT-large only on 2 tasks. VE-KD (768) outperforms DistilBERT on 8 tasks, achieving an increased absolute performance of 1.5% on average. VE-KD (768) obtained the highest Macroaverage score. VE-KD (384) exhibited a considerable drop in performance, possibly due to the simplicity of the transformation method that was used.

Compared with the performance of BERT-base, BERT-large is harder to distill, implying that it

	BERT-large	DistilBERT	VE-KD	VE-KD
Hidden dimension	1024	768	768	384
NER				
BC5CDR-chem	90.45	88.43	88.75	87.18
BC5CDR-disease	82.17	79.27	79.10	78.09
NCBI-disease	85.57	84.09	82.64	82.37
BC2GM	81.23	77.75	77.64	76.27
JNLPBA	77.89	75.26	75.27	72.96
PICO extraction				
ebmnlp	72.23	70.51	70.98	70.10
Relation extraction				
chemprot	72.52	65.73	68.28	64.08
DDI	82.35	70.28	72.96	67.97
GAD	75.00	79.09	78.99	76.68
Document classification				
HoC	78.37	80.54	80.62	77.14
Question answering				
Pubmedqa	50.80	53.60	52.80	50.00
BioASQ	67.14	65.71	80.00	62.86
Average of all tasks	76.31	74.19	75.67	72.14
Macro-average	73.93	72.67	74.42	70.52

Table 6: Comparison of models distilled from BERT-large. Bold indicates the best performance, and underline indicate the second best.

requires a larger corpus to achieve comparable performance.

836

837

839

841

847

852

856

857

859

861

D.2 Distillation to Smaller Hidden Dimensions

We investigated the effect of this method on smaller models, and conducted a comparative experiment to 6 layers of 384 hidden dimensions from BERTbase, using VE-KD method, DistilBERT method, and Adapt-and-Distill method.

Table 7 shows the results for the performance comparison using the same PubMed corpus. Compared with BERT-base with 768 dimensions, the model with 384 dimensions presents a significant challenge to surpass. Both our 1-step VE-KD method and the 4-step Adapt-and-Distill method outperforms DistilBERT.

The Adapt-and-Distill method outperforms VE-KD by about 1% on average, and by 0.5% on Macro-average. This difference in performance could potentially be attributed to the initialization of the student model. Further investigation into the initialization process may help shed light on this performance difference between the two methods.

By incorporating an additional domain adaptation to VE-KD using same hyperparameter with third step of Adapt-and-Distill method, we obtain VE-KD_DA⁵ (2-step), which achieved performance comparable to the Adapt-and-Distill model with less training time and fewer computational resources. It suggesting that VE-KD may be underfitting across different dimensions. Further exploration and analysis could provide insights into the underlying factors contributing to this underfitting in VE-KD. 865

866

867

868

	BERT-base	DistilBERT	VE-KD	VE-KD_DA ⁵	Adapt-and-Distill
Hidden dimension	768	384	384	384	384
NER					
BC5CDR-chem	89.25	86.95	87.39	88.05	89.00
BC5CDR-disease	81.44	77.42	78.53	79.36	80.49
NCBI-disease	85.67	82.75	83.19	82.09	83.58
BC2GM	80.90	76.47	77.12	77.28	77.93
JNLPBA	77.69	73.88	72.92	74.16	75.16
PICO extraction					
ebmnlp	72.34	69.02	69.72	70.62	70.75
Relation extraction					
chemprot	71.86	62.04	64.03	65.29	66.67
DDI	80.04	67.80	67.10	68.95	68.24
GAD	80.41	76.60	76.14	78.99	77.60
Document classification					
HoC	80.20	75.90	79.40	78.69	78.56
Question answering					
Pubmedqa	51.62	50.40	53.60	52.60	49.00
BioASQ	70.36	66.43	67.86	67.86	70.71
Average of all tasks	76.82	72.14	73.08	73.66	73.97
Macro-average	74.79	70.33	71.75	72.16	72.25

Table 7: Comparison of models with smaller hidden dimensions. Bold indicates the best performance, and underline indicate the second best.