

Document Intelligence in the Era of Large Language Models: A Survey

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

Document AI (DAI) has emerged as a vital application area, and is significantly transformed by the advent of large language models (LLMs). While earlier approaches relied on encoder-decoder architectures, decoder-only LLMs have revolutionized DAI, bringing remarkable advancements in understanding and generation. This survey provides a comprehensive overview of DAI's evolution, highlighting current research attempts and future prospects of LLMs in this field. We explore key advancements and challenges in multimodal, multilingual, and retrieval-augmented DAI, while also suggesting future research directions, including agent-based approaches and document-specific foundation models. This paper aims to provide a structured analysis of the state-of-the-art in DAI and its implications for both academic and practical applications.

1 Introduction

Documents serve as diverse formats for conveying information, playing crucial roles in both research and industry (Stage and Manning, 2003). Document AI (DAI) leverages natural language processing and computer vision techniques to automate document-related tasks in two categories: understanding (Pfitzmann et al., 2022; Mathew et al., 2021) and generation (Wiseman et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018). However, traditional rule-based (Bourgeois et al., 1992) and learning-based (Marinai et al., 2005) approaches often prove cost-prohibitive and time-consuming, motivating the shift towards language models for more efficient and scalable solutions.

Among these models, *encoder-only* models (Hong et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022; Luo et al., 2023) are widely used to capture nuanced, document-specific information, while *encoder-decoder* architectures excel in generation tasks involving variable-length and multimodal inputs and

outputs (Tanaka et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2023), though they remain constrained by their training data. Recent advancements in *decoder-only* Large Language Models (LLMs) have spurred the development of document-focused LLMs trained on diverse multimodal datasets, such as image segmentation (Hu et al., 2024a), layout (Wang et al., 2024a; Luo et al., 2024), and geometric information (Luo et al., 2023; Lamott et al., 2024), demonstrating promising performance in both understanding and generating document content. Despite recent successes, LLMs struggle to interpret documents accurately (Liu et al., 2024a), often relying on Optical Character Recognition (OCR) engines or neglecting the rich textual information within documents. Such limitations hinder the learning of a unified representation across multiple document-specific modalities. Moreover, there remain pervasive challenges in multilingualism and linguistic diversity (Joshi et al., 2020), as data scarcity and the lack of high-quality training data restrict the performance of multilingual LLMs. While the effectiveness of cross-lingual generalization has been explored for translation-equivalent tasks (Zhang et al., 2023), DAI often requires complex contextual information, including document structure and language-specific knowledge (Zhao et al., 2024c; Xing et al., 2024), which can further limit LLM performance in multilingual document processing.

The development and application of LLMs in DAI have emerged as a key research area. Multilingual and multimodal capabilities are essential for enabling these models to effectively handle diverse, real-world scenarios (Xu et al., 2024b; Zhu et al., 2024b). Recent efforts have focused on integrating multilingualism and multimodality to enhance unified document representation learning, thereby improving the versatility and robustness of LLMs (Hu et al., 2024a). Given the rapid evolution of LLM-based DAI, there is an increasing need for a comprehensive overview of their capa-

bilities in document processing. To address this need, we survey recent advancements in state-of-the-art (SoTA) multilingual and multimodal LLMs, highlighting their progress in DAI, the challenges they encounter, and future prospects.

In this in-depth survey paper, we systematically categorize relevant research on LLM-based DAI into five key tasks. Our contributions include:

- We introduce the detailed definition and trace the evolution of document intelligence, along with an overview of relevant benchmarks in Section 2.
- We comprehensively review recent advancements in integrating multimodal capabilities in LLMs, emphasizing their effectiveness on diverse DAI applications in Section 3.
- We systematically analyze the advancements in the multilingual capabilities (Section 4) and retrieval-augmented paradigm (Section 5), exploring their impact on improving context-aware document intelligence.
- We summarize key technical areas addressing current challenges in developing reliable document-specific foundation models, outlining actionable prospects for future developments in DAI.

2 Task Definitions and Benchmarks

Task Definitions Research in DAI has gained significant attention and can be broadly categorized into document-related *understanding* and *generation* tasks. We define understanding tasks in DAI as those aimed at extracting and analyzing information from existing documents, while generation tasks in DAI involve creating new content and responses based on given documents and instructions. In essence, understanding focuses on encoding information within documents, while generation emphasizes decoding and producing content and responses. Notably, these two categories often overlap. Multilingual and multi-document pose additional challenges to DAI. However, given their frequent occurrence in real-world applications, they are crucial for real-world DAI (Xu et al., 2021b; Hu et al., 2024b). Relevant research works in the LLM era will be discussed in Section 3-5, while early work and evolution are provided in Appendix A.

For the understanding tasks, Key Information Extraction (KIE) involves extracting essential information from unstructured or semi-structured documents (Abdallah et al., 2024b). This process typically comprises two components: OCR for converting images of text into machine-readable format, and named entity recognition (NER) for classifying

ing entities into predefined categories (Li et al., 2022a). Document Layout Analysis (DLA) focuses on analyzing the spatial arrangement of documents to understand their structure and layout, which is crucial for various downstream applications (Bin-Makhashen and Mahmoud, 2020). For Document-level tasks, Document Sentiment Analysis (DSA) determines the sentiment expressed in documents, providing insights into the emotional tone of the context, while Document Classification (DC) categorizes documents based on their content.

In the generation category, Document Summarization (DS) aims to create concise summaries of documents (ge Yao et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2020). Document Content Generation (DCG) involves generating new document content based on existing materials, such as generating textual continuations or creating figures and tables derived from the provided documents (Biswas et al., 2024). Question Answering (QA) focuses on generating accurate natural language responses to a given question, based on the context of documents (Zhu et al., 2021; Mathew et al., 2021). However, QA can involve both understanding and generation tasks, *i.e.*, extractive and generative QA. Given the focus LLMs, we classify it primarily as a generation task.

Benchmarks and Datasets Numerous comprehensive benchmarks have been established to evaluate DAI. A summary of these benchmarks, detailing languages, document counts, modalities, tasks, and open-source availability, is presented in Appendix B.

3 Multimodal Document AI (DAI)

DAI has evolved significantly with the advent of multimodal AI, incorporating various information sources to comprehensively understand documents.

Textual modality remains the primary information for most documents, whether extracted through OCR from scanned images or directly accessed from digital formats like PDFs. Early approaches treat text as a logical sequence (Niyogi and Srihari, 1986), forming the foundation for diverse document processing tasks. *Visual modalities* contain rich signals, including fonts, pictures, handwriting, and background designs (Viana and Oliveira, 2017; Yi et al., 2017). These elements are essential for tasks like logo identification, signature verification, and analysis of non-textual layout components. Modern vision-language models have revolutionized document processing by integrating image features alongside text (Huang et al., 2022).

This integration enables end-to-end processing that transcends the limitations of traditional OCR-based methods. Moreover, *layout modalities* focus on the spatial arrangement of document content, including bounding box coordinates, column structures, and region segmentation. This information is crucial for understanding relationships between document segments, such as key-value pairs within form regions. Advanced approaches either embed spatial information directly into the token sequence (Wang et al., 2023a; He et al., 2023; Perot et al., 2024) or utilize separate encoders for bounding boxes (Wang et al., 2024a; Luo et al., 2024; Liao et al., 2024), enabling models jointly processing textual and spatial information. Additionally, many documents also incorporate additional elements, *e.g.*, tables (Herzig et al., 2020) and charts (Luo et al., 2021).

Building on these multimodal capabilities, the next sections explore understanding and generation tasks in DAI, highlighting how LLMs are reshaping multimodal DAI to meet real-world needs.

3.1 Multimodal Understanding in DAI

Multimodal understanding in DAI involves *analyzing*, *extracting*, and *classifying* document information by combining diverse modalities (Srihari et al., 1986; Taylor et al., 1994). Leveraging LLMs, this field has evolved through two primary methods: *prompt/instruction-based* and *unified encoding* approaches, each offering unique advantages in fusing textual, visual, and layout modalities.

Document Layout Analysis (DLA) focuses on detecting and classifying structural elements within documents, such as text blocks, headers, and tables, as well as understanding their relationships. DLA is also crucial as an auxiliary training objective to support other tasks like KIE and QA. Fan et al. (2024a) has benchmarked various LLMs (*e.g.*, GPT-3.5, GPT-4o¹, and LLaMA2 (Touvron et al., 2023)) on document element relation extraction, revealing that general-purpose LLMs underperform those featured models based on RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019b). Meanwhile, In Context Learning (ICL) and fine-tuning are insufficient in enhancing LLMs’ document hierarchy parsing capabilities (Xing et al., 2024; Luo et al., 2023). Simple *Prompt/Instruction-based approaches* leverage the capabilities of LLMs, directly integrating layout information into text prompts. To this end, layout-aware Chain-of-Thoughts (CoT) have demonstrated efficacy in enhancing spatial rea-

soning capabilities, during both pretraining and fine-tuning stages (Liao et al., 2024). LLM document layout understanding capabilities are improved through layout-aware prompting (Lamott et al., 2024) without requiring additional learning and fine-tuning with layout-specific instructions (Luo et al., 2024). On the other hand, *Unified Encoding approaches* can deeply integrate text, spatial coordinates, and image features, capturing layout patterns for document segmentation and classification through large-scale pretraining (Xu et al., 2021a; Huang et al., 2022). LayoutLLM (Luo et al., 2024) and DocLayLLM (Liao et al., 2024) adopt LayoutLMv3 (Huang et al., 2022) as the image and layout encoders, and train their parameters with Document Layout Analysis objectives. Inspired by human reading strategies, Nguyen et al. (2021) further propose to selectively focus on key document regions rather than processing all tokens equally. While, Wang et al. (2024a) incorporates a disentangled spatial attention mechanism and an infilling pretraining objective, effectively capturing relationships between various document fields.

Key Information Extraction (KIE) focuses on identifying and extracting specific elements from documents, such as form fields and key-value pairs. *Prompt-based approaches* have explored various methods of incorporating spatial information within prompts (Lamott et al., 2024; He et al., 2023) besides textual information, including bounding boxes, geometric formats and HTML markup. Similarly, Perot et al. (2024) propose to leverage horizontal and vertical coordinates to capture spatial information. Additionally, LayTextLLM (Lu et al., 2024) introduces "box tokens" to represent bounding boxes, aligning them with text using LoRA (Hu et al., 2022) for improved layout parsing. However, coordinate-as-token approaches significantly increase token length, leading to higher computational costs. To enhance spatial understanding, few-shot demonstrations (He et al., 2023) or fine-tuning (Perot et al., 2024) are often required, further exacerbating sequence length and GPU constraints. *Unified Encoding approach* is an efficient way with encoding multiple modalities into hidden vectors, allowing robust KIE from complex layout patterns (Appalaraju et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024a). For example, InstructDoc (Tanaka et al., 2024) features an extra "Document-former" encoder that fuses OCR tokens with learnable tokens before forwarding them to the main LLM, enhancing field detection and entity extraction. Addition-

¹<https://chat.openai.com/chat>

ally, visually guided generative text-layout pretraining offers a hierarchical approach that functions as a native OCR model while simultaneously modeling text and layout (Mao et al., 2024). Meanwhile, DoCo(Li et al., 2024c) utilizes contrastive learning to align fine-grained document-object features with holistic visual representations, addressing the challenge of feature collapse in text-rich scenarios.

Document Classification (DC) identifies the category, type, or domain of a document (e.g., invoice vs. resume), often leveraging textual, visual, and structural cues. *Prompt/Instruction-Based approaches* have leveraged LLMs to classify documents based on natural language instructions, offering flexible adaptation to unseen document types without retraining. Recent research on LLM-based methods has demonstrated the effectiveness of LLMs in extracting core document information (Liu and Healey, 2023; Hewapathirana et al., 2023), adapting for document classification by identifying features of each document type. Moreover, *Unified Encoding approaches* integrate multiple modalities (e.g., OCR-based text, layout, and images) into a unified transformer model (Xu et al., 2021a; Huang et al., 2022). These approaches excel in tasks where document layout (e.g., form structures) is a strong signal for classification (Wang et al., 2024a), improving classification accuracy and efficiency. In this context, Powalski et al. (2021) employs a decoder capable of integrating textual, visual, and layout-based information for document understanding, achieving SoTA performance on classification and retrieval tasks while simplifying the end-to-end processing pipeline. And LayoutXLM (Xu et al., 2021b) integrates text, layout, and image modalities to classify visually-enriched documents across different languages, demonstrating significant improvements in multilingual document contexts. By unifying multiple document-related modalities, the dependency on external OCR engines is mitigated. These OCR-free approaches can further reduce computational overhead and text-based bottlenecks (Kim et al., 2022) by directly processing document images using a Transformer model. By leveraging the strengths of prompt-based, unified encoding, these models are pushing the boundaries of document analysis and classification capabilities.

3.2 Multimodal Generation in DAI

Multimodal document generation refers to the creation of new information based on the document

input provided, either in the form of *question-answers*, *summaries*, or *document elements*. With the advent of modern LLM-based techniques, they can be used as black-box tools for information generation via prompting to integrate OCR; or other methods as white-box models, embedding multimodal representations directly into the more controllable generation process.

Document Question Answering (QA) focuses on answering questions based on documents, involving complex table lookups, referencing figures, or extracting text from specific regions. Leveraging the efficacy of *Prompt/Instruction-based approaches*, Ye et al. (2023) extends a vision-language LLM with document-specific instructions, utilizing strong image-text alignment learned during pretraining. It enables QA on scanned documents without explicit OCR. While Wang et al. (2023a) demonstrates that LLMs can effectively capture layout information through manufactured prompts using spaces and line breaks, highlighting the potential of simple yet effective prompt engineering techniques. Similarly, LATIN (Wang et al., 2023a) preserves layout cues by inserting strategic spaces and line breaks in text prompts, significantly improving few-shot QA performance. Moreover, *Unified Encoding approaches* integrate multiple modalities into a single and cohesive framework, supporting end-to-end QA without separate structure-parsing steps (Mathew et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2023c). Kim et al. (2023) employs a BART-style (Lewis et al., 2020a) encoder to process text and bounding box coordinates, coupled with an LLM decoder for answer generation. In contrast, UDOP (Tang et al., 2023) unifies vision, text, and layout features in a single Transformer, utilizing a generative mask-prediction framework to infill coherent answers conditioned on both textual and visual cues. Inspired by DocLLM (Wang et al., 2024a), enabling the model to locate and interpret relevant regions to address questions in documents with irregular or complex layouts. Layout-aware decoding strategies have demonstrated the potential of integrating spatial and visual information directly into the decoding process, enhancing the model’s ability to understand and reason about document structure for accurate answer generation.

Document Summarization (DS) aims to create concise overviews while preserving essential content, where recent advancements have explored various pathways to achieve this goal. *Visually-Enriched approaches* leverage large-scale

document-level representations (Zhang et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2022) to enhance summarization performance, capturing comprehensive semantic information. Models like PaLI-X (Chen et al., 2023c) demonstrate versatility by encoding entire pages, including text and images, to generate structured summaries. While image-text alignment techniques strengthen cross-modal coherence through pseudo image captions (Jiang et al., 2023), effectively integrating visual and textual information. Moreover, *Structure-Aware approaches* incorporate additional modalities beyond text-image integration to enrich document summaries, where Liu et al. (2022) integrates tabular data into financial and business reports, ensuring table-aware insights are effectively reflected in summaries. And layout-aware summarization utilizes document layout analysis to capture both physical and logical structures, maintaining the integrity of the original document’s information flow. Besides the aforementioned approaches, strategies have been developed to address challenges while handling *longer or multiple documents*. Techniques focus on distilling key information (Xiao et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2024b), reducing redundancy across multiple resources. Chen et al. (2023b) have employed position interpolation, extending context windows to maintain coherence across large volumes of text within long documents. A monotone-submodular content selection approach has emerged to prioritize essential events across multiple sources (Kurisinkel and Chen, 2023). These developments reflect the ongoing evolution of producing more coherent, concise, and comprehensive overviews of complex materials.

Document Content Generation (DCG) focuses on automatically generating structured document layouts and textual content, emphasizing design and coherence in document composition. *Layout synthesis* seeks to dynamically structure documents based on input semantics. Advanced from heuristic rules to learning implicit relationships between textual and visual elements, deep generative models for content-aware graphic design modeling (Zheng et al., 2019) generate adaptable layouts aligned with content themes. LLM-based autoregressive document modeling goes beyond layout-specific generation, incorporating sequential dependencies between textual and structural elements. In this context, Biswas et al. (2024) jointly models document structure and content, synthesizing cohesive documents without relying solely on visual com-

ponents. *Multimodal document synthesis* aims to generate textual, visual, and structural modalities with richer document representations. Methods like UDOP (Tang et al., 2023) leverage large-scale pre-training to unify vision, text, and layout, enabling comprehensive document understanding and generation across diverse domains. Similarly, StrucTexTv2 (Yu et al., 2023) introduces masked visual-textual pretraining, improving document structure modeling while eliminating OCR dependencies, achieving robust document processing. Meanwhile, some methods tailored for specialized document elements, such as ChartLlama (Han et al., 2023), focus on improving chart understanding and generation by leveraging multimodal instruction tuning.

4 Multilingual Document AI

There are over 7,000 spoken languages worldwide (Joshi et al., 2020) and a significant portion of online content is written in languages other than English (Xu et al., 2021b). This poses challenges to support multilingual DAI. Models trained on monolingual data unsurprisingly struggle with multilingual tasks due to their limited capacity to capture cross-linguistic nuances and cultural intricacies. In contrast, LLMs, trained on vast multilingual data, exhibit remarkable capabilities in DAI tasks (Bandarkar et al., 2024; Lee et al., 2022; Scao et al., 2022; García-Ferrero et al., 2024), though challenges still remain.

4.1 Multilingual Prompt-Based Approaches

Many studies examine LLMs’ zero-shot prompting capabilities across languages, revealing that they often outperform fine-tuned small models in KIE and DSA. However, their superiority is not consistently observed in other tasks (Abdallah et al., 2023; Bhat and Varma, 2023). Factors such as prompt quality and supplementary instructions may limit performance. Lai et al. (2023) find ChatGPT underperforms fine-tuned models on multilingual tasks but excels when prompted in English, a trend also seen in (Deshpande et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2024b). Cross-lingual thought prompts further explore self-translating instructions into English (Huang et al., 2023; Etxaniz et al., 2024).

ICL with non-English examples boosts KIE and DC performance (Abdallah et al., 2023), though the effectiveness is inconsistent (Engländer et al., 2024). To address this, strategies such as incorrect examples (Mo et al., 2024), multilingual semantic similarity (Tanwar et al., 2023), and word-level code-switching (Shankar et al., 2024) have been

employed. Other prompt-based approaches include soft prompt tuning for cross-lingual relation extraction (Hsu et al., 2023), automated prompt construction from relation triples (Chen et al., 2022), and multi-turn QA for zero-shot KIE (Wei et al., 2024). Despite these efforts, challenges persist in multilingual DAI, such as prompt sensitivity and representation gaps, which are constrained by LLMs’ inherent multilingual capabilities.

4.2 Multilingual Training Strategies

English-centric LLMs often struggle with capturing cultural and linguistic nuances, leading to limitations in DAI (Hershcovich et al., 2022; Navigli et al., 2023). To address this, PersianLLaMA (Abasi et al., 2023) and JASMINE (Nagoudi et al., 2023) incorporate curated document collections for better alignment, while ArabianGPT (Koubaa et al., 2024) improves Arabic morphological processing through an optimized tokenizer. Additionally, preference-tuning techniques including reinforcement learning with AI feedback (RLAIF) (Lee et al., 2024) and direct preference optimization (DPO) (Rafailov et al., 2023) have been applied to align on cultural and linguistic preferences (Huang et al., 2024a; Jinnai, 2024). Lai et al. (2024) propose to translate English instructions and inputs into multiple target languages and align with human preference, showing promising performance on knowledge-intensive DAI tasks.

On the other hand, training on large-scale multilingual documents may introduce language interference, *i.e.*, the curse of multilinguality (Chang et al., 2024). To address this, multi-stage training paradigms are adopted, selectively fine-tuning different model components at various stages (Li et al., 2024b; Wang et al., 2023c), providing more accurate KIE capabilities. Other approaches (Zhang et al., 2024e; Kojima et al., 2024) apply language-specific neurons (Zhao et al., 2024a) to train with each monolingual data while preserving high-resource languages performance. Also, parameter-efficient techniques such as LoRA and adapters (Li et al., 2024b; Whitehouse et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2024b) enable language-sensitive adaptation.

Another core challenge in multilingual DAI is achieving effective cross-lingual alignment. Nooralahzadeh and Sennrich (2023) improves alignment through similarity-based loss and synthetic code-mixing. PLUG (Zhang et al., 2024g) enhances linguistic structure alignment via pivot-language instructions. Contrastive learning, par-

ticularly effective for retrieval tasks (Wang et al., 2022b; Tan et al., 2023), improves alignment by clustering positive examples while separating negatives. Another approach leverages external parallel data, aligning text at the sentence (Zhu et al., 2023; Heffernan et al., 2022) or word level (Zhao et al., 2021; Chi et al., 2021b). Additionally, data augmentation strengthens alignment by generating synthetic data via translation (Zhang et al., 2024c) or self-distillation (Zhang et al., 2024f). Joshi et al. (2024) propose to use balanced synthetic corpora to continue pretraining LLMs.

These advancements underscore the potential of multilingual LLMs in DAI, though challenges in representation and effective cross-lingual generalization remain open research directions.

5 Retrieval-Augmented Paradigm

The retrieval-augmented paradigm has been widely studied in LLM research and industry. Many studies (Gao et al., 2023; Ram et al., 2023; Fan et al., 2024b; Hui et al., 2024) have shown that retrieving reliable external knowledge can mitigate challenges associated with outdated training data and limited domain expertise. Documents, encompassing multiple modalities like text, tables, and images, can be essentially integrated into this paradigm to support DAI (Zhao et al., 2023; Soman and Roychowdhury, 2024). For example, in business document applications, retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) is integrated into a document intelligence service, leveraging semantic chunking and layout information (Laujan, 2024). Similarly, it has been utilized to provide document grounding capabilities, allowing users to leverage extra documents for QA (Sebastienb, 2024).

5.1 Text-Based Retrieval Augmentation

Text, as the core component of documents, holds a wealth of information. Text-based retrieval augmentation can provide LLMs with precise and reliable contextual information, supporting them in understanding and generating tasks. Guu et al. (2020) introduce a pretrained retrieval-augmented language model (REALM). RAG is proposed around the same time, combining a pretrained model with a non-parametric memory storing a dense vector index of the external database (Lewis et al., 2020b). Subsequently, many studies have been conducted to enhance the performance and robustness of document-related tasks (Borgeaud et al., 2022; Izacard et al., 2023; Ram et al., 2023; Yu et al., 2024b; Yan et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024d).

For *KIE*, specifically event argument extraction, Li et al. (2021) propose a conditional model based on a predefined template, designed to handle missing arguments and enable cross-sentence inferences. Retrieve-and-Sample (Ren et al., 2023) is proposed to address the challenge of similar inputs leading to inconsistent outputs, which utilizes a hybrid RAG that samples pseudo demonstrations. DS and QA also benefit from RAG, *e.g.*, Edge et al. (2024) propose Graph RAG for query-focused summarization and DR-RAG (Hei et al., 2024) is introduced for QA by evaluating the dynamic relevance between query and documents.

For *long-context* DAI tasks, Xu et al. (2024a) find that using RAG can achieve comparable performance to fine-tuned LLM while taking much less computation. To improve long-context document retrieval, RAPTOR (Sarathi et al., 2024) is introduced to integrate information from a tree structure with differing levels of summarization to improve holistic document understanding. Dong et al. (2024) introduce a document-graph-based re-ranker to improve RAG. Besides, to evaluate the performance of long-document retrieval, Laban et al. (2024) introduce a synthetic testbed derived from summarization tasks and demonstrated that it plays a central role in assessing RAG effectiveness in long-context scenarios. Despite these efforts, long-context document retrieval remains a challenge, particularly in understanding how retrieval augmentation affects LLMs and how retrieved documents can be effectively incorporated into LLMs (Chen et al., 2023a).

5.2 Multimodal Retrieval Augmentation

Multimodal retrieval augmentation goes beyond using only text information, incorporating images, charts, and tables as retrieval targets (Zhao et al., 2023). By interpreting and leveraging varied data modalities, it aims to boost the performance of LLMs in downstream tasks (Fan et al., 2024b).

Heuristic approaches use different parsing tools for various modalities to obtain corresponding embeddings from given documents. RA-VQA (Lin and Byrne, 2022) integrate OCR, object detection, and image captioning to convert target images into textual data for subsequent retrieval. Meanwhile, tables in documents often appear in a semi-structured format, which requires table detection and parsing techniques (Ma et al., 2023a; Lin, 2024). For structured tabular data, T-RAG (Pan et al., 2022) utilizes vector index jointly with

BART (Lewis et al., 2020a) to address QA. By leveraging table-to-table relevance and knowledge graphs to represent relationships between structured data, Chen et al. (2024a) and Sepasdar et al. (2024) enhance structured document retrieval.

Recently, advancements in vision-language models (VLMs) (OpenAI, 2023; Liu et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2024c) have enabled *end-to-end multimodal retrieval*, which reduces information loss and minimizes error accumulation. DSE (Ma et al., 2024a) is introduced to capture all information within a document by using screenshots and leveraging VLMs to encode these into retrieval representations. Similarly, ColPali (Faysse et al., 2024) utilizes ColBERT (Khattab and Zaharia, 2020) representations to index page-level documents, while VisRAG (Yu et al., 2024a), built on MiniCPM-V (Yao et al., 2024), supports page concatenation to improve retrieval performance. For visual document QA, VisDoMRAG (Suri et al., 2024) incorporates evidence curation and CoT to facilitate textual and visual RAGs, where the outputs are aligned to ensure consistency. Despite the significant development in multimodal retrieval, multimodal foundation models, effective embedding and representation methods, and comprehensive evaluation approaches remain open research directions.

6 Future Directions

AI agents are intelligent systems that exhibit a range of cognitive capabilities, including perception, learning, memory, planning, decision-making, action execution, and collaboration with other agents or humans (Huang, 2024; Huang et al., 2024b). Recent research has integrated reasoning and action within language models to tackle complex decision-making tasks (Yao et al., 2023), while advancements in learning from mistakes (Shinn et al., 2023) have further enhanced the performance of LLM agents. These developments have motivated applications across various fields, such as healthcare (Li et al., 2024a; Mehandru et al., 2024) software (Zhang et al., 2024b; Qian et al., 2024; Tang et al., 2024), and finance (Li et al., 2024d; Yang et al., 2024), demonstrating the transformative potential of AI agents. The rise of multilingual and multimodal LLMs (OpenAI, 2023) has positioned AI agents for document processing as a promising research area, offering numerous opportunities for innovation in handling complex document-related tasks.

Building on these insights, Document LLM

agents (DocAgents) hold great potential for revolutionizing document processing. We define an autonomous DocAgent as an intelligent system designed to proficiently manage document understanding and generation tasks, achieving a level of expertise comparable to human specialists.

6.1 Collaborative DocAgent Framework

Documents typically combine rich textual representations, visual elements, and dynamic layouts (Ding et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024a). Managing these modalities often requires a specialized multi-agent framework, where effectively navigating these diverse modalities necessitates domain-expert agents. In this context, LLM-based multi-agent systems can collaborate by leveraging domain-specific knowledge, external tools, system feedback, and human inputs (Sprigler et al., 2024).

While existing frameworks have shown promising results in document simplification (Anonymous, 2024), they still encounter significant challenges in comprehending visually rich documents. Overcoming these obstacles requires integrating collaborative image-text retrieval capabilities and enhanced representations for complex document layouts, enabling a more comprehensive and nuanced approach to address complicated document-related tasks. Recent research indicates that even advanced multimodal LLMs struggle with structured data tasks, such as table representations (Deng et al., 2024). To advance DocAgent frameworks, future research endeavors should focus on: (1) *Enhanced Reasoning and Generalization*: Developing systems that produce documents tailored to specific input constraints, such as layout templates and natural language instructions. (2) *Complex Layout Handling*: Improving capabilities to manage dynamic, multimodal information within diverse document layouts. (3) *Efficient Information Retrieval*: Enabling global and local information searches with open-world interactions to capture relevant semantic nuances. Addressing these long-term challenges is key to developing reliable, explainable DocAgents for diverse document processing tasks.

6.2 DocAgent Foundation Model

While LLMs have emerged as general-purpose foundation models (Bommasani et al., 2021), they face two notable limitations: (1) *Domain-Specific Knowledge*: LLMs trained on broad web data often underperform on specialized tasks (Xie et al., 2024; Deng et al., 2024). (2) *Cross-Modal Alignment*: Current methods that utilize noisy web-text

pairs and frozen encoder-decoder architectures can lead to misalignment across different modalities (Li et al., 2022b; Alayrac et al., 2022). These limitations can hinder the model’s ability to integrate and process information from documents.

Recent efforts have introduced interactive foundation models that adapt to specific domains, such as robotics and healthcare (Durante et al., 2024). To address document-specific challenges, future work should prioritize: (1) *Cross-Modal Datasets*: Developing high-quality paired datasets that capture text, visual elements, and layout dynamics (Zhang et al., 2024a; Xing et al., 2024; Li et al., 2024e). (2) *Template-Based Information Extraction*: Leveraging question templates to extract key information with greater precision (Zmigrod et al., 2024). (3) *Enhanced Alignment Techniques*: Incorporating domain-specific question-answer agents to verify and refine multimodal data, ensuring consistency and accuracy across different modalities.

Developing a domain-aware DocAgent foundation model, supported by continuously evolving datasets, paves the way for an end-to-end solution for complex document processing tasks.

7 Conclusions

This survey provides a comprehensive review of recent advancements in Document AI (DAI), with a particular focus on LLM-based approaches. We have systematically outlined the evolving landscape of DAI, categorizing current tasks into two categories: understanding and generation. Our exploration reveals significant progress in integrating textual, layout, and visual modalities through prompt engineering or unified encoding strategies to handle DAI tasks. We also highlight the transformative impact of multilingual LLMs and retrieval-augmented methods on document processing capabilities, while also identifying remaining challenges in cross-lingual generalization, structural comprehension, and efficient multimodal learning. Last but not least, we discuss emerging trends, such as agent-based frameworks, as a promising path toward more robust and adaptable DAI systems.

Limitations

While we have strived to provide a comprehensive overview of recent advancements in the field of Document AI, certain limitations are inevitable.

First, our selection of works primarily focuses on cutting-edge LLM-based methods published in major conferences such as ACL, EMNLP, NAACL, NeurIPS, ICLR, and preprint repositories like arXiv over the last three years due to space constraints. While this focus reflects current trends, it may inadvertently lead to the exclusion of relevant contributions published in other venues or emerging close to or after the completion of this survey.

Second, while this survey emphasizes LLM-based approaches to align with recent developments in Document AI, non-LLM methods remain highly relevant and, in certain tasks and domains, may even be more effective. However, due to our focus, these approaches are not comprehensively covered, and their comparative advantages warrant further exploration.

Last but not least, the benchmarks discussed in this survey are among the most widely adopted in the Document AI community. While they provide a representative view of current evaluation practices, we acknowledge that other important benchmarks may not be fully captured. Future work could benefit from a broader investigation of evaluation metrics and benchmark datasets.

References

Mohammad Amin Abbasi, Arash Ghafouri, Mahdi Firouzmandi, Hassan Naderi, and Behrouz Minaei-Bidgoli. 2023. [PersianLLaMA: Towards building first persian large language model](#). *CoRR*, abs/2312.15713.

Abdelrahman Abdallah, Mahmoud Abdalla, Mohamed Elkasaby, Yasser Elbendary, and Adam Jatowt. 2023. [AMuRD: Annotated multilingual receipts dataset for cross-lingual key information extraction and classification](#). *CoRR*, abs/2309.09800.

Abdelrahman Abdallah, Mahmoud Abdalla, Mahmoud SalahEldin Kasem, Mohamed Mahmoud, Ibrahim Abdelhalim, Mohamed Elkasaby, Yasser Elbendary, and Adam Jatowt. 2024a. [CORU: comprehensive post-OCR parsing and receipt understanding dataset](#). *CoRR*, abs/2406.04493.

Abdelrahman Abdallah, Daniel Eberharter, Zoe Pfister, and Adam Jatowt. 2024b. [Transformers and language models in form understanding: A comprehensive review of scanned document analysis](#). *CoRR*, abs/2403.04080.

Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, Antoine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, Karel Lenc, Arthur Mensch, Katherine Millican, Malcolm Reynolds, Roman Ring, Eliza Rutherford, Serkan Cabi, Tengda Han, Zhitao Gong, Sina Samangooei, Marianne Monteiro, Jacob L. Menick, Sebastian Borgeaud, Andy Brock, Aida Nematzadeh, Sahand Sharifzadeh, Mikolaj Binkowski, Ricardo Barreira, Oriol Vinyals, Andrew Zisserman, and Karén Simonyan. 2022. [Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022*.

Abdullah Almutairi and Meshal Alfarhood. 2019. [Instance segmentation of newspaper elements using mask R-CNN](#). In *18th IEEE International Conference On Machine Learning And Applications, ICMLA 2019, Boca Raton, FL, USA, December 16-19, 2019*, pages 1371–1375. IEEE.

Dhiraj Amin, Sharvari Govilkar, and Sagar Kulkarni. 2023. [Question answering using deep learning in low resource Indian language Marathi](#). *CoRR*, abs/2309.15779.

Anonymous. 2024. [Expertease: A multi-agent framework for grade-specific document simplification with large language models](#). In *Submitted to ACL Rolling Review - June 2024*. Under review.

Srikar Appalaraju, Peng Tang, Qi Dong, Nishant Sankaran, Yichu Zhou, and R. Manmatha. 2024. [DocFormerv2: Local features for document understanding](#). In *Thirty-Eighth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2024, Thirty-Sixth Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2024, Fourteenth Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2014, February 20-27, 2024, Vancouver, Canada*, pages 709–718. AAAI Press.

Matheus Araújo, Júlio Cesar dos Reis, Adriano C. M. Pereira, and Fabrício Benevenuto. 2016. [An evaluation of machine translation for multilingual sentence-level sentiment analysis](#). In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, Pisa, Italy, April 4-8, 2016*, pages 1140–1145. ACM.

Lucas Bandarkar, Davis Liang, Benjamin Muller, Mikel Artetxe, Satya Narayan Shukla, Donald Husa, Naman Goyal, Abhinandan Krishnan, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Madian Khabsa. 2024. [The Belebele benchmark: a parallel reading comprehension dataset in 122 language variants](#). In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand, August 11-16, 2024*, pages 749–775. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Savita Bhat and Vasudeva Varma. 2023. [Large language models as annotators: A preliminary evaluation for annotating low-resource language content](#).

899	In <i>Proceedings of the 4th Workshop on Evaluation and Comparison of NLP Systems, Eval4NLP 2023, Bali, Indonesia, November 1, 2023</i> , pages 100–107. Association for Computational Linguistics.	
900		
901		
902		
903	Abhik Bhattacharjee, Tahmid Hasan, Wasi Uddin Ahmad, Yuan-Fang Li, Yong-Bin Kang, and Rifat Shahriyar. 2023. CrossSum: Beyond English-centric cross-lingual summarization for 1, 500+ language pairs . In <i>Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)</i> , ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023, pages 2541–2564. Association for Computational Linguistics.	
904		
905		
906		
907		
908		
909		
910		
911		
912	Galal M. BinMakhashen and Sabri A. Mahmoud. 2020. Document layout analysis: A comprehensive survey . <i>ACM Comput. Surv.</i> , 52(6):109:1–109:36.	
913		
914		
915	Sanket Biswas, Ayan Banerjee, Josep Lladós, and Umada Pal. 2022. DocSegTr: An instance-level end-to-end document image segmentation transformer . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2201.11438.	
916		
917		
918		
919	Sanket Biswas, Rajiv Jain, Vlad I. Morariu, Jiuxiang Gu, Puneet Mathur, Curtis Wigington, Tong Sun, and Josep Lladós. 2024. DocSynthv2: A practical autoregressive modeling for document generation . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2406.08354.	
920		
921		
922		
923		
924	Rishi Bommasani, Drew A. Hudson, Ehsan Adeli, Russ B. Altman, Simran Arora, Sydney von Arx, Michael S. Bernstein, Jeannette Bohg, Antoine Bosselut, Emma Brunskill, Erik Brynjolfsson, Shyamal Buch, Dallas Card, Rodrigo Castellon, Niladri S. Chatterji, Annie S. Chen, Kathleen Creel, Jared Quincy Davis, Dorottya Demszky, Chris Donahue, Moussa Doumbouya, Esin Durmus, Stefano Ermon, John Etchemendy, Kawin Ethayarajh, Li Fei-Fei, Chelsea Finn, Trevor Gale, Lauren Gillespie, Karan Goel, Noah D. Goodman, Shelby Grossman, Neel Guha, Tatsunori Hashimoto, Peter Henderson, John Hewitt, Daniel E. Ho, Jenny Hong, Kyle Hsu, Jing Huang, Thomas Icard, Saahil Jain, Dan Jurafsky, Pratyusha Kalluri, Siddharth Karamcheti, Geoff Keeling, Fereshte Khani, Omar Khattab, Pang Wei Koh, Mark S. Krass, Ranjay Krishna, Rohith Kudipudi, and et al. 2021. On the opportunities and risks of foundation models . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2108.07258.	
925		
926		
927		
928		
929		
930		
931		
932		
933		
934		
935		
936		
937		
938		
939		
940		
941		
942		
943	Sebastian Borgeaud, Arthur Mensch, Jordan Hoffmann, Trevor Cai, Eliza Rutherford, Katie Millican, George van den Driessche, Jean-Baptiste Lespiau, Bogdan Damoc, Aidan Clark, Diego de Las Casas, Aurelia Guy, Jacob Menick, Roman Ring, Tom Hennigan, Saffron Huang, Loren Maggiore, Chris Jones, Albin Cassirer, Andy Brock, Michela Paganini, Geoffrey Irving, Oriol Vinyals, Simon Osindero, Karen Simonyan, Jack W. Rae, Erich Elsen, and Laurent Sifre. 2022. Improving language models by retrieving from trillions of tokens . In <i>International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2022, 17-23 July 2022, Baltimore, Maryland, USA</i> , volume 162 of <i>Proceedings of Machine Learning Research</i> , pages 2206–2240. PMLR.	
944		
945		
946		
947		
948		
949		
950		
951		
952		
953		
954		
955		
956		
957		
	Frank Le Bourgeois, Zbigniew Bublinski, and Hubert Emptoz. 1992. A fast and efficient method for extracting text paragraphs and graphics from unconstrained documents . In <i>11th IAPR International Conference on Pattern Recognition, ICPR 1992. Conference B: Pattern Recognition Methodology and Systems, The Hague, Netherlands, August 30-September 3, 1992</i> , pages 272–276. IEEE.	958
		959
		960
		961
		962
		963
		964
		965
	Jaime Carbonell and Jade Goldstein. 1998. The use of MMR, diversity-based reranking for reordering documents and producing summaries. In <i>Proceedings of the 21st annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval</i> , pages 335–336.	966
		967
		968
		969
		970
		971
	Ilias Chalkidis, Manos Fergadiotis, and Ion Androutsopoulos. 2021. MultiEURLEX - A multi-lingual and multi-label legal document classification dataset for zero-shot cross-lingual transfer . In <i>Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2021, Virtual Event / Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 7-11 November, 2021</i> , pages 6974–6996. Association for Computational Linguistics.	972
		973
		974
		975
		976
		977
		978
		979
		980
	Tyler A. Chang, Catherine Arnett, Zhuowen Tu, and Ben Bergen. 2024. When is multilinguality a curse? Language modeling for 250 high- and low-resource languages . In <i>Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2024, Miami, FL, USA, November 12-16, 2024</i> , pages 4074–4096. Association for Computational Linguistics.	981
		982
		983
		984
		985
		986
		987
		988
	Hung-Ting Chen, Fangyuan Xu, Shane A. Arora, and Eunsol Choi. 2023a. Understanding retrieval augmentation for long-form question answering . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2310.12150.	989
		990
		991
		992
	Peter Baile Chen, Yi Zhang, and Dan Roth. 2024a. Is table retrieval a solved problem? Exploring join-aware multi-table retrieval . In <i>Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)</i> , ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand, August 11-16, 2024, pages 2687–2699. Association for Computational Linguistics.	993
		994
		995
		996
		997
		998
		999
		1000
	Shouyuan Chen, Sherman Wong, Liangjian Chen, and Yuandong Tian. 2023b. Extending context window of large language models via positional interpolation . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2306.15595.	1001
		1002
		1003
		1004
	Xi Chen, Josip Djolonga, Piotr Padlewski, Basil Mustafa, Soravit Changpinyo, Jialin Wu, Carlos Riquelme Ruiz, Sebastian Goodman, Xiao Wang, Yi Tay, Siamak Shakeri, Mostafa Dehghani, Daniel Salz, Mario Lucic, Michael Tschannen, Arsha Nagrai, Hexiang Hu, Mandar Joshi, Bo Pang, Ceslee Montgomery, Paulina Pietrzyk, Marvin Ritter, A. J. Piergiovanni, Matthias Minderer, Filip Pavetic, Austin Waters, Gang Li, Ibrahim Alabdulmohsin, Lucas Beyer, Julien Amelot, Kenton Lee, Andreas Peter Steiner, Yang Li, Daniel Keysers, Anurag Arnab,	1005
		1006
		1007
		1008
		1009
		1010
		1011
		1012
		1013
		1014
		1015

1131	Leon Engländer, Hannah Sterz, Clifton Poth, Jonas Pfeiffer, Ilia Kuznetsov, and Iryna Gurevych. 2024. M2QA: multi-domain multilingual question answering . In <i>Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024, Miami, Florida, USA, November 12-16, 2024</i> , pages 6283–6305. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1188
1132		1189
1133		1190
1134		1191
1135		1192
1136		1193
1137		
1138	Julen Etxaniz, Gorka Azkune, Aitor Soroa, Oier Lopez de Lacalle, and Mikel Artetxe. 2024. Do multilingual language models think better in English? In <i>Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies: Short Papers, NAACL 2024, Mexico City, Mexico, June 16-21, 2024</i> , pages 550–564. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1194
1139		1195
1140		1196
1141		
1142		1197
1143		1198
1144		1199
1145		1200
1146		1201
		1202
		1203
1147	Shengda Fan, Yanting Wang, Shasha Mo, and Jianwei Niu. 2024a. LogicST: A logical self-training framework for document-level relation extraction with incomplete annotations . In <i>Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2024, Miami, FL, USA, November 12-16, 2024</i> , pages 5496–5510. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1204
1148		1205
1149		1206
1150		
1151		1207
1152		1208
1153		1209
1154		1210
		1211
1155	Wenqi Fan, Yujuan Ding, Liangbo Ning, Shijie Wang, Hengyun Li, Dawei Yin, Tat-Seng Chua, and Qing Li. 2024b. A survey on RAG meeting LLMs: Towards retrieval-augmented large language models . In <i>Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD 2024, Barcelona, Spain, August 25-29, 2024</i> , pages 6491–6501. ACM.	1212
1156		1213
1157		
1158		1214
1159		1215
1160		1216
1161		
1162		1217
		1218
1163	Manuel Faysse, Hugues Sibille, Tony Wu, Bilel Omrani, Gautier Viaud, Céline Hudelot, and Pierre Colombo. 2024. ColPali: Efficient document retrieval with vision language models . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2407.01449.	1219
1164		1220
1165		
1166		1221
1167	Matthieu Futral, Armel Zebaze, Pedro Ortiz Suarez, Julien Abadji, Rémi Lacroix, Cordelia Schmid, Rachel Bawden, and Benoît Sagot. 2024. mOSCAR: A large-scale multilingual and multimodal document-level corpus . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2406.08707.	1222
1168		1223
1169		1224
1170		
1171		1225
		1226
1172	Yunfan Gao, Yun Xiong, Xinyu Gao, Kangxiang Jia, Jinliu Pan, Yuxi Bi, Yi Dai, Jiawei Sun, Qianyu Guo, Meng Wang, and Haofen Wang. 2023. Retrieval-augmented generation for large language models: A survey . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2312.10997.	1227
1173		1228
1174		
1175		1229
1176		1230
		1231
1177	Iker García-Ferrero, Rodrigo Agerri, Aitziber Atutxa Salazar, Elena Cabrio, Iker de la Iglesia, Alberto Lavelli, Bernardo Magnini, Benjamin Molinet, Johanna Ramirez-Romero, German Rigau, Jose Maria Villa-Gonzalez, Serena Villata, and Andrea Zaninello. 2024. Medical mT5: An open-source multilingual text-to-text LLM for the medical domain . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2404.07613.	1232
1178		1233
1179		1234
1180		1235
1181		
1182		1236
1183		1237
1184		1238
		1239
1185	Lukasz Garncarek, Rafal Powalski, Tomasz Stanislawek, Bartosz Topolski, Piotr Halama, Michal Turski, and Filip Gralinski. 2021. LAMBERT: layout-aware language modeling for information extraction . In <i>16th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, ICDAR 2021, Lausanne, Switzerland, September 5-10, 2021, Proceedings, Part I</i> , volume 12821 of <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , pages 532–547. Springer.	1240
1186		1241
1187		1242
		1243
	Jin ge Yao, Xiaojun Wan, and Jianguo Xiao. 2017. Recent advances in document summarization . <i>Knowledge and Information Systems</i> , 53:297–336.	1194
		1195
		1196
	Ross B. Girshick, Jeff Donahue, Trevor Darrell, and Jitendra Malik. 2014. Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation . In <i>2014 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2014, Columbus, OH, USA, June 23-28, 2014</i> , pages 580–587. IEEE Computer Society.	1197
		1198
		1199
		1200
		1201
		1202
		1203
	Albert Gu and Tri Dao. 2023. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2312.00752.	1204
		1205
		1206
	Zhangxuan Gu, Changhua Meng, Ke Wang, Jun Lan, Weiqiang Wang, Ming Gu, and Liqing Zhang. 2022. XYLayoutLM: Towards layout-aware multimodal networks for visually-rich document understanding . In <i>IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022</i> , pages 4573–4582. IEEE.	1207
		1208
		1209
		1210
		1211
		1212
		1213
	Poonam Gupta and Vishal Gupta. 2012. A survey of text question answering techniques. <i>International Journal of Computer Applications</i> , 53(4).	1214
		1215
		1216
	Kelvin Guu, Kenton Lee, Zora Tung, Panupong Pasupat, and Ming-Wei Chang. 2020. REALM: retrieval-augmented language model pre-training . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2002.08909.	1217
		1218
		1219
		1220
	Guangzeng Han, Jack Tsao, and Xiaolei Huang. 2024. Length-aware multi-kernel transformer for long document classification . <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.07052</i> .	1221
		1222
		1223
		1224
	Yucheng Han, Chi Zhang, Xin Chen, Xu Yang, Zhibin Wang, Gang Yu, Bin Fu, and Hanwang Zhang. 2023. ChartLlama: A multimodal LLM for chart understanding and generation . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2311.16483.	1225
		1226
		1227
		1228
	Adam W. Harley, Alex Ufkes, and Konstantinos G. Derpanis. 2015. Evaluation of deep convolutional nets for document image classification and retrieval . In <i>13th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, ICDAR 2015, Nancy, France, August 23-26, 2015</i> , pages 991–995. IEEE Computer Society.	1229
		1230
		1231
		1232
		1233
		1234
		1235
	Tahmid Hasan, Abhik Bhattacharjee, Md. Saiful Islam, Kazi Samin Mubasshir, Yuan-Fang Li, Yong-Bin Kang, M. Sohel Rahman, and Rifat Shahriyar. 2021. XL-Sum: Large-scale multilingual abstractive summarization for 44 languages . In <i>Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL/IJCNLP 2021, Online Event, August 1-6, 2021</i> , volume ACL/IJCNLP 2021 of <i>Findings of ACL</i> ,	1236
		1237
		1238
		1239
		1240
		1241
		1242
		1243

1244	pages 4693–4703. Association for Computational Linguistics.	2020, Barcelona, Spain (Online), December 8-13,	1301
1245		2020, pages 6609–6625. International Committee on Computational Linguistics.	1302
1246	1246		1303
1247	1247		1304
1248	1248		1305
1249	1249		1306
1250	1250		1307
1251	1251		1308
1252	1252		1309
1253	1253		1310
1254	1254		1311
1255	1255		1312
1256	1256		1313
1257	1257		1314
1258	1258		1315
1259	1259		1316
1260	1260		1317
1261	1261		1318
1262	1262		1319
1263	1263		1320
1264	1264		1321
1265	1265		1322
1266	1266		1323
1267	1267		1324
1268	1268		1325
1269	1269		1326
1270	1270		1327
1271	1271		1328
1272	1272		1329
1273	1273		1330
1274	1274		1331
1275	1275		1332
1276	1276		1333
1277	1277		1334
1278	1278		1335
1279	1279		1336
1280	1280		1337
1281	1281		1338
1282	1282		1339
1283	1283		1340
1284	1284		1341
1285	1285		1342
1286	1286		1343
1287	1287		1344
1288	1288		1345
1289	1289		1346
1290	1290		1347
1291	1291		1348
1292	1292		1349
1293	1293		1350
1294	1294		1351
1295	1295		1352
1296	1296		1353
1297	1297		1354
1298	1298		1355
1299	1299		1356
1300	1300		1357

1358	In <i>Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 1: Long Papers)</i> , NAACL 2024, Mexico City, Mexico, June 16-21, 2024, pages 8139–8163. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1413
1359		1414
1360		1415
1361		1416
1362		1417
1363		1418
1364	Qiuyuan Huang, Naoki Wake, Bidipta Sarkar, Zane Durante, Ran Gong, Rohan Taori, Yusuke Noda, Demetri Terzopoulos, Noboru Kuno, Ade Famoti, Ashley J. Llorens, John Langford, Hoi Vo, Li Fei-Fei, Katsushi Ikeuchi, and Jianfeng Gao. 2024b. Position paper: Agent AI towards a holistic intelligence . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2403.00833.	1419
1365		1420
1366		1421
1367		1422
1368		1423
1369		1424
1370		1425
1371	Yu Huang. 2024. Levels of AI agents: from rules to large language models . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2405.06643.	1426
1372		1427
1373	Yupan Huang, Tengchao Lv, Lei Cui, Yutong Lu, and Furu Wei. 2022. LayoutLMv3: Pre-training for document AI with unified text and image masking . In <i>MM '22: The 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, Lisboa, Portugal, October 10 - 14, 2022</i> , pages 4083–4091. ACM.	1428
1374		1429
1375		1430
1376		1431
1377		1432
1378		1433
1379	Zheng Huang, Kai Chen, Jianhua He, Xiang Bai, Dimosthenis Karatzas, Shijian Lu, and C. V. Jawahar. 2019. ICDAR2019 competition on scanned receipt OCR and information extraction . In <i>2019 International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, ICDAR 2019, Sydney, Australia, September 20-25, 2019</i> , pages 1516–1520. IEEE.	1434
1380		1435
1381		1436
1382		1437
1383		1438
1384		1439
1385		1440
1386	Yulong Hui, Yao Lu, and Huanchen Zhang. 2024. UDA: A benchmark suite for retrieval augmented generation in real-world document analysis . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2406.15187.	1441
1387		1442
1388		1443
1389		1444
1390	Gautier Izacard, Patrick S. H. Lewis, Maria Lomeli, Lucas Hosseini, Fabio Petroni, Timo Schick, Jane Dwivedi-Yu, Armand Joulin, Sebastian Riedel, and Edouard Grave. 2023. Atlas: Few-shot learning with retrieval augmented language models . <i>J. Mach. Learn. Res.</i> , 24:251:1–251:43.	1445
1391		1446
1392		1447
1393		1448
1394		1449
1395		1450
1396	Guillaume Jaume, Hazim Kemal Ekenel, and Jean-Philippe Thiran. 2019. FUNSD: A dataset for form understanding in noisy scanned documents . In <i>2nd International Workshop on Open Services and Tools for Document Analysis, OST@ICDAR 2019, Sydney, Australia, September 22-25, 2019</i> , pages 1–6. IEEE.	1451
1397		1452
1398		1453
1399		1454
1400		1455
1401		1456
1402	Chaoya Jiang, Rui Xie, Wei Ye, Jinan Sun, and Shikun Zhang. 2023. Exploiting pseudo image captions for multimodal summarization . In <i>Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023</i> , pages 161–175. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1457
1403		1458
1404		1459
1405		1460
1406		1461
1407		1462
1408	Yuu Jinnai. 2024. Does cross-cultural alignment change the commonsense morality of language models? <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2406.16316.	1463
1409		1464
1410		1465
1411	Mandar Joshi, Eunsol Choi, Daniel S. Weld, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2017. TriviaQA: A large scale distantly supervised challenge dataset for reading comprehension . In <i>Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2017, Vancouver, Canada, July 30 - August 4, Volume 1: Long Papers</i> , pages 1601–1611. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1466
1412		1467
	Pratik Joshi, Sebastin Santy, Amar Budhiraja, Kalika Bali, and Monojit Choudhury. 2020. The state and fate of linguistic diversity and inclusion in the NLP world . In <i>Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2020, Online, July 5-10, 2020</i> , pages 6282–6293. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1468
		1469
	Raviraj Joshi, Kanishk Singla, Anusha Kamath, Raunak Kalani, Rakesh Paul, Utkarsh Vaidya, Sanjay Singh Chauhan, Niranjan Wartikar, and Eileen Long. 2024. Adapting multilingual LLMs to low-resource languages using continued pre-training and synthetic corpus . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2410.14815.	
	Anoop Raveendra Katti, Christian Reisswig, Cordula Guder, Sebastian Brarda, Steffen Bickel, Johannes Höhne, and Jean Baptiste Faddoul. 2018. Chargrid: Towards understanding 2D documents . <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.08799</i> .	
	Omar Khattab and Matei Zaharia. 2020. ColBERT: Efficient and effective passage search via contextualized late interaction over BERT . In <i>Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR 2020, Virtual Event, China, July 25-30, 2020</i> , pages 39–48. ACM.	
	Geewook Kim, Teakgyu Hong, Moonbin Yim, Jeongyeon Nam, Jinyoung Park, Jinyeong Yim, Wonseok Hwang, Sangdoo Yun, Dongyoon Han, and Seunghyun Park. 2022. OCR-free document understanding transformer . In <i>Computer Vision - ECCV 2022 - 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23-27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXVIII</i> , volume 13688 of <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , pages 498–517. Springer.	
	Geewook Kim, Hodong Lee, Daehee Kim, Haeji Jung, Sanghee Park, Yoonsik Kim, Sangdoo Yun, Taeho Kil, Bado Lee, and Seunghyun Park. 2023. Visually-situated natural language understanding with contrastive reading model and frozen large language models . In <i>Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023</i> , pages 11989–12010. Association for Computational Linguistics.	
	Stefan Klink and Thomas Kieninger. 2001. Rule-based document structure understanding with a fuzzy combination of layout and textual features . <i>Int. J. Document Anal. Recognit.</i> , 4(1):18–26.	
	Tomás Kociský, Jonathan Schwarz, Phil Blunsom, Chris Dyer, Karl Moritz Hermann, Gábor Melis, and Edward Grefenstette. 2018. The NarrativeQA reading	

comprehension challenge. *Trans. Assoc. Comput. Linguistics*, 6:317–328.

Takeshi Kojima, Itsuki Okimura, Yusuke Iwasawa, Hitomi Yanaka, and Yutaka Matsuo. 2024. [On the multilingual ability of decoder-based pre-trained language models: Finding and controlling language-specific neurons](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, NAACL 2024, Mexico City, Mexico, June 16-21, 2024, pages 6919–6971. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Anis Koubaa, Adel Ammar, Lahouari Ghouti, Omar Najar, and Serry Sibae. 2024. [ArabianGPT: Native Arabic GPT-based large language model](#). *CoRR*, abs/2402.15313.

Jianfeng Kuang, Wei Hua, Dingkan Liang, Mingkun Yang, Deqiang Jiang, Bo Ren, and Xiang Bai. 2023. [Visual information extraction in the wild: Practical dataset and end-to-end solution](#). In *Document Analysis and Recognition - ICDAR 2023 - 17th International Conference, San José, CA, USA, August 21-26, 2023, Proceedings, Part VI*, volume 14192 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 36–53. Springer.

Jayant Kumar, Peng Ye, and David S. Doermann. 2014. [Structural similarity for document image classification and retrieval](#). *Pattern Recognit. Lett.*, 43:119–126.

Litton J. Kurisinkel and Nancy F. Chen. 2023. [LLM based multi-document summarization exploiting main-event biased monotone submodular content extraction](#). *CoRR*, abs/2310.03414.

Tom Kwiakowski, Jennimaria Palomaki, Olivia Redfield, Michael Collins, Ankur P. Parikh, Chris Alberti, Danielle Epstein, Illia Polosukhin, Jacob Devlin, Kenton Lee, Kristina Toutanova, Llion Jones, Matthew Kelcey, Ming-Wei Chang, Andrew M. Dai, Jakob Uszkoreit, Quoc Le, and Slav Petrov. 2019. [Natural questions: a benchmark for question answering research](#). *Trans. Assoc. Comput. Linguistics*, 7:452–466.

Philippe Laban, Alexander R. Fabbri, Caiming Xiong, and Chien-Sheng Wu. 2024. [Summary of a haystack: A challenge to long-context LLMs and RAG systems](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2024, Miami, FL, USA, November 12-16, 2024*, pages 9885–9903. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Faisal Ladhak, Esin Durmus, Claire Cardie, and Kathleen R. McKeown. 2020. [Wikilingua: A new benchmark dataset for multilingual abstractive summarization](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2020, Online Event, 16-20 November 2020*, volume EMNLP 2020 of *Findings of ACL*, pages 4034–4048. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Viet Dac Lai, Nghia Trung Ngo, Amir Pouran Ben Veyseh, Hieu Man, Franck Dernoncourt, Trung Bui, and Thien Huu Nguyen. 2023. [ChatGPT beyond English: Towards a comprehensive evaluation of large language models in multilingual learning](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*, pages 13171–13189. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Wen Lai, Mohsen Mesgar, and Alexander Fraser. 2024. [LLMs beyond English: Scaling the multilingual capability of LLMs with cross-lingual feedback](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand and virtual meeting, August 11-16, 2024*, pages 8186–8213. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Marcel Lamott, Yves-Noel Weweler, Adrian Ulges, Faisal Shafait, Dirk Krechel, and Darko Obradovic. 2024. [LAPDoc: Layout-aware prompting for documents](#). In *Document Analysis and Recognition - ICDAR 2024 - 18th International Conference, Athens, Greece, August 30 - September 4, 2024, Proceedings, Part IV*, volume 14807 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 142–159. Springer.

Jordy Van Landeghem, Rafal Powalski, Rubèn Tito, Dawid Jurkiewicz, Matthew B. Blaschko, Lukasz Borchmann, Mickaël Coustaty, Sien Moens, Michal Pietruszka, Bertrand Anckaert, Tomasz Stanislawek, Pawel Józia, and Ernest Valeny. 2023. [Document understanding dataset and evaluation \(DUDE\)](#). In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2023, Paris, France, October 1-6, 2023*, pages 19471–19483. IEEE.

Laujan. 2024. [Retrieval-augmented generation \(RAG\) with Azure AI document intelligence](#).

Chia-Hsuan Lee and Hung-yi Lee. 2019. [Cross-lingual transfer learning for question answering](#). *CoRR*, abs/1907.06042.

Chia-Hsuan Lee, Aditya Siddhant, Viresh Ratnakar, and Melvin Johnson. 2022. [DOCmT5: Document-level pretraining of multilingual language models](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2022, Seattle, WA, United States, July 10-15, 2022*, pages 425–437. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Harrison Lee, Samrat Phatale, Hassan Mansoor, Thomas Mesnard, Johan Ferret, Kellie Lu, Colton Bishop, Ethan Hall, Victor Carbune, Abhinav Rastogi, and Sushant Prakash. 2024. [RLAIF vs. RLHF: scaling reinforcement learning from human feedback with AI feedback](#). In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2024, Vienna, Austria, July 21-27, 2024*. OpenReview.net.

Anton Leuski, Chin-Yew Lin, Liang Zhou, Ulrich Germann, Franz Josef Och, and Eduard H. Hovy. 2003. [Cross-lingual c*st*rd: English access to Hindi information](#). *ACM Trans. Asian Lang. Inf. Process.*, 2(3):245–269.

1585	Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Veselin Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020a. BART: denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension . In <i>Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2020, Online, July 5-10, 2020</i> , pages 7871–7880. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1642
1586		1643
1587		1644
1588		1645
1589		1646
1590		1647
1591		
1592		
1593		
1594	Patrick S. H. Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, Sebastian Riedel, and Douwe Kiela. 2020b. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive NLP tasks . In <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2020, NeurIPS 2020, December 6-12, 2020, virtual</i> .	1648
1595		1649
1596		1650
1597		1651
1598		1652
1599		1653
1600		1654
1601		1655
1602		
1603	Binxu Li, Tiankai Yan, Yuanling Pan, Zhe Xu, Jie Luo, Ruiyang Ji, Shilong Liu, Haoyu Dong, Zihao Lin, and Yixin Wang. 2024a. MMedAgent: Learning to use medical tools with multi-modal agent . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2407.02483.	1660
1604		1661
1605		1662
1606		1663
1607		1664
1608	Jing Li, Aixin Sun, Jianglei Han, and Chenliang Li. 2022a. A survey on deep learning for named entity recognition . <i>IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.</i> , 34(1):50–70.	1665
1609		1666
1610		1667
1611		1668
1612	Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Caiming Xiong, and Steven C. H. Hoi. 2022b. BLIP: bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified vision-language understanding and generation . In <i>International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2022, 17-23 July 2022, Baltimore, Maryland, USA</i> , volume 162 of <i>Proceedings of Machine Learning Research</i> , pages 12888–12900. PMLR.	1669
1613		1670
1614		1671
1615		1672
1616		
1617		
1618		
1619		
1620	Minghao Li, Lei Cui, Shaohan Huang, Furu Wei, Ming Zhou, and Zhoujun Li. 2020a. TableBank: Table benchmark for image-based table detection and recognition . In <i>Proceedings of The 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference, LREC 2020, Marseille, France, May 11-16, 2020</i> , pages 1918–1925. European Language Resources Association.	1673
1621		1674
1622		1675
1623		1676
1624		1677
1625		1678
1626		1679
1627		1680
1628	Minghao Li, Yiheng Xu, Lei Cui, Shaohan Huang, Furu Wei, Zhoujun Li, and Ming Zhou. 2020b. DocBank: A benchmark dataset for document layout analysis . In <i>Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics, COLING 2020, Barcelona, Spain (Online), December 8-13, 2020</i> , pages 949–960. International Committee on Computational Linguistics.	1681
1629		1682
1630		1683
1631		1684
1632		1685
1633		
1634		
1635	Sha Li, Heng Ji, and Jiawei Han. 2021. Document-level event argument extraction by conditional generation . In <i>Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL-HLT 2021, Online, June 6-11, 2021</i> , pages 894–908. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1686
1636		1687
1637		1688
1638		1689
1639		
1640		
1641		
	Tongliang Li, Zixiang Wang, Linzheng Chai, Jian Yang, Jiaqi Bai, Yuwei Yin, Jiaheng Liu, Hongcheng Guo, Liquan Yang, Hebboul Zine El Abidine, and Zhoujun Li. 2024b. mt4CrossOIE: Multi-stage tuning for cross-lingual open information extraction . <i>Expert Syst. Appl.</i> , 255:124760.	1690
		1691
		1692
	Xin Li, Yunfei Wu, Xinghua Jiang, Zhihao Guo, Mingming Gong, Haoyu Cao, Yinsong Liu, Deqiang Jiang, and Xing Sun. 2024c. Enhancing visual document understanding with contrastive learning in large visual-language models . In <i>IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2024, Seattle, WA, USA, June 16-22, 2024</i> , pages 15546–15555. IEEE.	1693
		1694
		1695
		1696
	Yuan Li, Bingqiao Luo, Qian Wang, Nuo Chen, Xu Liu, and Bingsheng He. 2024d. A reflective LLM-based agent to guide zero-shot cryptocurrency trading . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2407.09546.	1697
		1698
		1699
	Zichao Li, Shaojie He, Meng Liao, Xuanang Chen, Yaojie Lu, Hongyu Lin, Yanxiong Lu, Xianpei Han, and Le Sun. 2024e. Seg2act: Global context-aware action generation for document logical structuring . In <i>Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2024, Miami, FL, USA, November 12-16, 2024</i> , pages 18077–18088. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1700
		1701
		1702
	Zihao Li, Yucheng Shi, Zirui Liu, Fan Yang, Ninghao Liu, and Mengnan Du. 2024f. Quantifying multilingual performance of large language models across languages . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2404.11553.	1703
		1704
		1705
	Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Chulun Zhou, Jinan Xu, Yufeng Chen, Jinsong Su, and Jie Zhou. 2022. A variational hierarchical model for neural cross-lingual summarization . In <i>Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May 22-27, 2022</i> , pages 2088–2099. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1706
		1707
		1708
		1709
		1710
	Wenhui Liao, Jiapeng Wang, Hongliang Li, Chengyu Wang, Jun Huang, and Lianwen Jin. 2024. DocLayLLM: An efficient and effective multi-modal extension of large language models for text-rich document understanding . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2408.15045.	1711
		1712
		1713
		1714
	Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries . In <i>Text Summarization Branches Out</i> , pages 74–81, Barcelona, Spain. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1715
		1716
		1717
		1718
	Demiao Lin. 2024. Revolutionizing retrieval-augmented generation with enhanced PDF structure recognition . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2401.12599.	1719
		1720
	Guo-Shiang Lin, Jia-Cheng Tu, and Jen-Yung Lin. 2021. Keyword detection based on retinanet and transfer learning for personal information protection in document images. <i>Applied Sciences</i> , 11(20):9528.	1721
		1722

1697	Hui Lin and Jeff Bilmes. 2011. A class of submodular functions for document summarization. In <i>Proceedings of the 49th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics: human language technologies</i> , pages 510–520.	1753
1698		1754
1699		1755
1700		
1701		
1702	Weizhe Lin and Bill Byrne. 2022. Retrieval augmented visual question answering with outside knowledge . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2210.03809.	1756
1703		1757
1704		1758
1705		1759
1706		1760
1707	Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. 2023. Visual instruction tuning . In <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA, December 10 - 16, 2023</i> .	1761
1708		1762
1709		1763
1710		1764
1711		1765
1712	Linlin Liu, Bosheng Ding, Lidong Bing, Shafiq R. Joty, Luo Si, and Chunyan Miao. 2021. MulDA: A multilingual data augmentation framework for low-resource cross-lingual NER . In <i>Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, ACL/IJCNLP 2021, (Volume 1: Long Papers), Virtual Event, August 1-6, 2021</i> , pages 5834–5846. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1766
1713		1767
1714		1768
1715		1769
1716		1770
1717		1771
1718		
1719		1772
1720		1773
1721		1774
1722	Nelson F. Liu, Kevin Lin, John Hewitt, Ashwin Paranjape, Michele Bevilacqua, Fabio Petroni, and Percy Liang. 2024a. Lost in the middle: How language models use long contexts . <i>Trans. Assoc. Comput. Linguistics</i> , 12:157–173.	1775
1723		1776
1724		1777
1725		1778
1726		1779
1727		1780
1728	Ran Liu, Ming Liu, Min Yu, Jianguo Jiang, Gang Li, Dan Zhang, Jingyuan Li, Xiang Meng, and Weiqing Huang. 2024b. GLIMMER: incorporating graph and lexical features in unsupervised multi-document summarization . In <i>ECAI 2024 - 27th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 19-24 October 2024, Santiago de Compostela, Spain - Including 13th Conference on Prestigious Applications of Intelligent Systems (PAIS 2024)</i> , volume 392 of <i>Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications</i> , pages 3709–3716. IOS Press.	1781
1729		1782
1730		1783
1731		1784
1732		1785
1733		1786
1734		1787
1735		
1736		
1737	Sengjie Liu and Christopher G. Healey. 2023. Abstractive summarization of large document collections using GPT . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2310.05690.	1788
1738		1789
1739		1790
1740		1791
1741	Shuaiqi Liu, Jiannong Cao, Ruosong Yang, and Zhiyuan Wen. 2022. Long text and multi-table summarization: Dataset and method . In <i>Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2022, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December 7-11, 2022</i> , pages 1995–2010. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1792
1742		1793
1743		1794
1744		1795
1745		1796
1746		
1747	Xiaojing Liu, Feiyu Gao, Qiong Zhang, and Huasha Zhao. 2019a. Graph convolution for multimodal information extraction from visually rich documents . In <i>Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies</i> ,	1797
1748		1798
1749		1799
1750		1800
1751		
1752		
	<i>NAACL-HLT 2019, Minneapolis, MN, USA, June 2-7, 2019, Volume 2 (Industry Papers)</i> , pages 32–39. Association for Computational Linguistics.	1801
		1802
	Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019b. RoBERTa: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/1907.11692.	1803
		1804
		1805
		1806
		1807
	Antoine Louis, Vageesh Saxena, Gijs van Dijck, and Gerasimos Spanakis. 2024. ColBERT-XM: A modular multi-vector representation model for zero-shot multilingual information retrieval . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2402.15059.	1807
	Jinghui Lu, Haiyang Yu, Yanjie Wang, Yongjie Ye, Jingqun Tang, Ziwei Yang, Binghong Wu, Qi Liu, Hao Feng, Han Wang, et al. 2024. A bounding box is worth one token: Interleaving layout and text in a large language model for document understanding. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.01976</i> .	
	Hans Peter Luhn. 1958. The automatic creation of literature abstracts. <i>IBM Journal of research and development</i> , 2(2):159–165.	
	Chuwei Luo, Changxu Cheng, Qi Zheng, and Cong Yao. 2023. GeoLayoutLM: Geometric pre-training for visual information extraction . In <i>IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2023, Vancouver, BC, Canada, June 17-24, 2023</i> , pages 7092–7101. IEEE.	
	Chuwei Luo, Yufan Shen, Zhaoqing Zhu, Qi Zheng, Zhi Yu, and Cong Yao. 2024. LayoutLLM: Layout instruction tuning with large language models for document understanding . In <i>IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2024, Seattle, WA, USA, June 16-22, 2024</i> , pages 15630–15640. IEEE.	
	Junyu Luo, Zekun Li, Jinpeng Wang, and Chin-Yew Lin. 2021. ChartOCR: Data extraction from charts images via a deep hybrid framework. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF winter conference on applications of computer vision</i> , pages 1917–1925.	
	Chixiang Ma, Weihong Lin, Lei Sun, and Qiang Huo. 2023a. Robust table detection and structure recognition from heterogeneous document images . <i>Pattern Recognit.</i> , 133:109006.	
	Congbo Ma, W. Zhang, Mingyu Guo, Hu Wang, and Quan Z. Sheng. 2020. Multi-document summarization via deep learning techniques: A survey . <i>ACM Computing Surveys</i> , 55:1 – 37.	
	Jiefeng Ma, Jun Du, Pengfei Hu, Zhenrong Zhang, Jianshu Zhang, Huihui Zhu, and Cong Liu. 2023b. HRDoc: Dataset and baseline method toward hierarchical reconstruction of document structures . In <i>Thirty-Seventh AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2023, Thirty-Fifth Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI</i>	

1808	2023, <i>Thirteenth Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2023, Washington, DC, USA, February 7-14, 2023</i> , pages 1870–1877. AAAI Press.	1866
1809		1867
1810		1868
1811		1869
1812	Xueguang Ma, Sheng-Chieh Lin, Minghan Li, Wenhu Chen, and Jimmy Lin. 2024a. Unifying multimodal retrieval via document screenshot embedding . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2406.11251.	1870
1813		
1814		1871
1815		1872
1816		1873
1817	Yubo Ma, Yuhang Zang, Liangyu Chen, Meiqi Chen, Yizhu Jiao, Xinze Li, Xinyuan Lu, Ziyu Liu, Yan Ma, Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Liangming Pan, Yungang Jiang, Jiaqi Wang, Yixin Cao, and Aixin Sun. 2024b. MMLONGBENCH-DOC: benchmarking long-context document understanding with visualizations . In <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 38: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2024, NeurIPS 2024, Vancouver, BC, Canada, December 10 - 15, 2024</i> .	1874
1818		1875
1819		1876
1820		1877
1821		1878
1822		1879
1823		
1824		1880
1825		1881
1826	Ibrahim Souleiman Mahamoud, Mickaël Coustaty, Aurélie Joseph, Vincent Poulain D’Andecy, and Jean-Marc Ogier. 2024. CHIC: corporate document for visual question answering . In <i>Document Analysis and Recognition - ICDAR 2024 - 18th International Conference, Athens, Greece, August 30 - September 4, 2024, Proceedings, Part VI</i> , volume 14809 of <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , pages 113–127. Springer.	1882
1827		1883
1828		1884
1829		1885
1830		1886
1831		
1832		1887
1833		1888
1834		1889
1835		1890
1836		1891
1837		1892
1838		1893
1839		1894
1840		1895
1841		1896
1842		1897
1843		1898
1844		1899
1845		1900
1846		1901
1847		1902
1848		1903
1849		1904
1850		1905
1851		1906
1852		1907
1853		1908
1854		
1855		1909
1856		1910
1857		1911
1858		1912
1859		1913
1860		1914
1861		1915
1862		1916
1863		
1864		1917
1865		1918
		1919
		1920
		1921
		1922
		1923
		1924
		1925
		1926
		1927
		1928
		1929
		1930
		1931
		1932
		1933
		1934
		1935
		1936
		1937
		1938
		1939
		1940
		1941
		1942
		1943
		1944
		1945
		1946
		1947
		1948
		1949
		1950
		1951
		1952
		1953
		1954
		1955
		1956
		1957
		1958
		1959
		1960
		1961
		1962
		1963
		1964
		1965
		1966
		1967
		1968
		1969
		1970
		1971
		1972
		1973
		1974
		1975
		1976
		1977
		1978
		1979
		1980
		1981
		1982
		1983
		1984
		1985
		1986
		1987
		1988
		1989
		1990
		1991
		1992
		1993
		1994
		1995
		1996
		1997
		1998
		1999
		2000
		2001
		2002
		2003
		2004
		2005
		2006
		2007
		2008
		2009
		2010
		2011
		2012
		2013
		2014
		2015
		2016
		2017
		2018
		2019
		2020
		2021
		2022
		2023
		2024
		2025
		2026
		2027
		2028
		2029
		2030
		2031
		2032
		2033
		2034
		2035
		2036
		2037
		2038
		2039
		2040
		2041
		2042
		2043
		2044
		2045
		2046
		2047
		2048
		2049
		2050
		2051
		2052
		2053
		2054
		2055
		2056
		2057
		2058
		2059
		2060
		2061
		2062
		2063
		2064
		2065
		2066
		2067
		2068
		2069
		2070
		2071
		2072
		2073
		2074
		2075
		2076
		2077
		2078
		2079
		2080
		2081
		2082
		2083
		2084
		2085
		2086
		2087
		2088
		2089
		2090
		2091
		2092
		2093
		2094
		2095
		2096
		2097
		2098
		2099
		2100
		2101
		2102
		2103
		2104
		2105
		2106
		2107
		2108
		2109
		2110
		2111
		2112
		2113
		2114
		2115
		2116
		2117
		2118
		2119
		2120
		2121
		2122
		2123
		2124
		2125
		2126
		2127
		2128
		2129
		2130
		2131
		2132
		2133
		2134
		2135
		2136
		2137
		2138
		2139
		2140
		2141
		2142
		2143
		2144
		2145
		2146
		2147
		2148
		2149
		2150
		2151
		2152
		2153
		2154
		2155
		2156
		2157
		2158
		2159
		2160
		2161
		2162
		2163
		2164
		2165
		2166
		2167
		2168
		2169
		2170
		2171
		2172
		2173
		2174
		2175
		2176
		2177
		2178
		2179
		2180
		2181
		2182
		2183
		2184
		2185
		2186
		2187
		2188
		2189
		2190
		2191
		2192
		2193
		2194
		2195
		2196
		2197
		2198
		2199
		2200
		2201
		2202
		2203
		2204
		2205
		2206
		2207
		2208
		2209
		2210
		2211
		2212
		2213
		2214
		2215
		2216
		2217
		2218
		2219
		2220
		2221
		2222
		2223
		2224
		2225
		2226
		2227
		2228
		2229
		2230
		2231
		2232
		2233
		2234
		2235
		2236
		2237
		2238
		2239
		2240
		2241
		2242
		2243
		2244
		2245
		2246
		2247
		2248
		2249
		2250
		2251
		2252
		2253
		2254
		2255
		2256
		2257
		2258
		2259
		2260
		2261
		2262
		2263
		2264
		2265
		2266
		2267
		2268
		2269
		2270
		2271
		2272
		2273
		2274
		2275
		2276
		2277
		2278
		2279
		2280
		2281
		2282
		2283
		2284
		2285
		2286
		2287
		2288
		2289
		2290
		2291
		2292
		2293
		2294
		2295
		2296
		2297
		2298
		2299
		2300
		2301
		2302
		2303
		2304
		2305
		2306
		2307
		2308
		2309
		2310
		2311
		2312
		2313
		2314
		2315
		2316
		2317
		2318
		2319
		2320
		2321
		2322
		2323
		2324
		2325
		2326
		2327
		2328
		2329
		2330
		2331
		2332
		2333
		2334
		2335
		2336
		2337
		2338
		2339
		2340
		2341
		2342
		2343
		2344
		2345
		2346
		2347
		2348
		2349
		2350
		2351
		2352
		2353
		2354
		2355
		2356
		2357
		2358
		2359
		2360
		2361
		2362
		2363
		2364
		2365
		2366

1924	Shashi Narayan, Shay B Cohen, and Mirella Lapata.	Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher D	1981
1925	2018. Ranking sentences for extractive summariza-	Manning. 2014. Glove: Global vectors for word rep-	1982
1926	tion with reinforcement learning. <i>arXiv preprint</i>	resentation. In <i>Proceedings of the 2014 conference</i>	1983
1927	<i>arXiv:1802.08636</i> .	on empirical methods in natural language processing	1984
		(EMNLP), pages 1532–1543.	1985
1928	Roberto Navigli, Simone Conia, and Björn Ross. 2023.	Vincent Perot, Kai Kang, Florian Luisier, Guolong Su,	1986
1929	Biases in large language models: Origins, inventory,	Xiaoyu Sun, Ramya Sree Boppana, Zilong Wang,	1987
1930	and discussion . <i>ACM J. Data Inf. Qual.</i> , 15(2):10:1–	Zifeng Wang, Jiaqi Mu, Hao Zhang, Chen-Yu Lee,	1988
1931	10:21.	and Nan Hua. 2024. LMDX: language model-based	1989
1932	Khang Tan Tran Minh Nguyen. 2024. Uit-mlreceipts:	document information extraction and localization . In	1990
1933	A multilingual benchmark for detecting and recog-	<i>Findings of the Association for Computational Lin-</i>	1991
1934	nizing key information in receipts. <i>REV Journal on</i>	<i>guistics, ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand and virtual</i>	1992
1935	<i>Electronics and Communications</i> , 14(1).	<i>meeting, August 11-16, 2024</i> , pages 15140–15168.	1993
		Association for Computational Linguistics.	1994
1936	Laura Nguyen, Thomas Scialom, Jacopo Staiano, and	Birgit Pfitzmann, Christoph Auer, Michele Dolfi,	1995
1937	Benjamin Piwowarski. 2021. Skim-attention: Learn-	Ahmed S. Nassar, and Peter W. J. Staar. 2022.	1996
1938	ing to focus via document layout . In <i>Findings of the</i>	DocLayNet: A large human-annotated dataset for	1997
1939	<i>Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP</i>	document-layout analysis . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2206.01062.	1998
1940	<i>2021, Virtual Event / Punta Cana, Dominican Re-</i>		
1941	<i>public, 16-20 November, 2021</i> , pages 2413–2427.	Rafal Powalski, Lukasz Borchmann, Dawid Jurkiewicz,	1999
1942	Association for Computational Linguistics.	Tomasz Dwojak, Michal Pietruszka, and Gabriela	2000
1943	Son Lam Nguyen Vu, Tien Dong Nguyen, and Van Hai	Palka. 2021. Going full-tilt boogie on document un-	2001
1944	Pham. 2024. A robust component-based template	derstanding with text-image-layout transformer . In	2002
1945	matching approach using document layout graph for	<i>16th International Conference on Document Analysis</i>	2003
1946	extracting information. In <i>International Conference</i>	<i>and Recognition, ICDAR 2021, Lausanne, Switzer-</i>	2004
1947	<i>on Industrial, Engineering and Other Applications of</i>	<i>land, September 5-10, 2021, Proceedings, Part II</i> ,	2005
1948	<i>Applied Intelligent Systems</i> , pages 10–22. Springer.	volume 12822 of <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> ,	2006
		pages 732–747. Springer.	2007
1949	Debashish Niyogi and Sargur N. Srihari. 1986. A rule-	Peter Prettenhofer and Benno Stein. 2010. Cross-	2008
1950	based system for document understanding . In <i>Pro-</i>	language text classification using structural corre-	2009
1951	<i>ceedings of the 5th National Conference on Artificial</i>	spondence learning . In <i>ACL 2010, Proceedings of</i>	2010
1952	<i>Intelligence. Philadelphia, PA, USA, August 11-15,</i>	<i>the 48th Annual Meeting of the Association for Com-</i>	2011
1953	<i>1986. Volume 2: Engineering</i> , pages 789–793. Mor-	<i>putational Linguistics, July 11-16, 2010, Uppsala,</i>	2012
1954	gan Kaufmann.	<i>Sweden</i> , pages 1118–1127. The Association for Com-	2013
1955	Farhad Nooralahzadeh and Rico Sennrich. 2023. Im-	puter Linguistics.	2014
1956	proving the cross-lingual generalisation in visual		
1957	question answering . In <i>Thirty-Seventh AAAI Con-</i>	Le Qi, Shangwen Lv, Hongyu Li, Jing Liu, Yu Zhang,	2015
1958	<i>ference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2023, Thirty-</i>	Qiaoqiao She, Hua Wu, Haifeng Wang, and Ting	2016
1959	<i>Fifth Conference on Innovative Applications of Arti-</i>	Liu. 2022. DuReader_{vis}: A Chinese dataset for	2017
1960	<i>ficial Intelligence, IAAI 2023, Thirteenth Symposium</i>	on open-domain document visual question answering .	2018
1961	<i>on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence,</i>	In <i>Findings of the Association for Computational</i>	2019
1962	<i>EAAI 2023, Washington, DC, USA, February 7-14,</i>	<i>Linguistics: ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May 22-27,</i>	2020
1963	<i>2023</i> , pages 13419–13427. AAAI Press.	<i>2022</i> , pages 1338–1351. Association for Computa-	2021
1964	Sofia Ares Oliveira, Benoit Seguin, and Frédéric Kaplan.	tional Linguistics.	2022
1965	2018. dhSegment: A generic deep-learning approach	Chen Qian, Yufan Dang, Jiahao Li, Wei Liu, Zihao	2023
1966	for document segmentation . In <i>16th International</i>	Xie, Yifei Wang, Weize Chen, Cheng Yang, Xin	2024
1967	<i>Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition,</i>	Cong, Xiaoyin Che, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong	2025
1968	<i>ICFHR 2018, Niagara Falls, NY, USA, August 5-8,</i>	Sun. 2024. Experiential co-learning of software-	2026
1969	<i>2018</i> , pages 7–12. IEEE Computer Society.	developing agents . In <i>Proceedings of the 62nd An-</i>	2027
1970	OpenAI. 2023. GPT-4 technical report . <i>CoRR</i> ,	<i>nual Meeting of the Association for Computational</i>	2028
1971	abs/2303.08774.	<i>Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2024,</i>	2029
1972	Feifei Pan, Mustafa Canim, Michael R. Glass, Alfio	<i>Bangkok, Thailand, August 11-16, 2024</i> , pages 5628–	2030
1973	Gliozzo, and James A. Hendler. 2022. End-to-end ta-	5640. Association for Computational Linguistics.	2031
1974	ble question answering via retrieval-augmented gen-		
1975	eration . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2203.16714.	Rafael Rafailov, Archit Sharma, Eric Mitchell, Christo-	2032
1976	Seunghyun Park, Seung Shin, Bado Lee, Junyeop Lee,	pher D. Manning, Stefano Ermon, and Chelsea Finn.	2033
1977	Jaehung Surh, Minjoon Seo, and Hwalsuk Lee. 2019.	2023. Direct preference optimization: Your language	2034
1978	CORD: A consolidated receipt dataset for post-OCR	model is secretly a reward model . In <i>Advances in</i>	2035
1979	parsing. In <i>Document Intelligence Workshop at Neu-</i>	<i>Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual</i>	2036
1980	<i>ral Information Processing Systems</i> .	<i>Conference on Neural Information Processing Sys-</i>	2037
		<i>tems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA,</i>	2038
		<i>December 10 - 16, 2023</i> .	2039

- Ori Ram, Yoav Levine, Itay Dalmedigos, Dor Muhlgay, Amnon Shashua, Kevin Leyton-Brown, and Yoav Shoham. 2023. [In-context retrieval-augmented language models](#). *Trans. Assoc. Comput. Linguistics*, 11:1316–1331.
- Himashi Rathnayake, Janani Sumanapala, Raveesha Rukshani, and Surangika Ranathunga. 2022. [Adapter-based fine-tuning of pre-trained multilingual language models for code-mixed and code-switched text classification](#). *Knowl. Inf. Syst.*, 64(7):1937–1966.
- Johannes Rausch, Octavio Martinez, Fabian Bissig, Ce Zhang, and Stefan Feuerriegel. 2021. [Docparser: Hierarchical document structure parsing from renderings](#). In *Thirty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2021, Thirty-Third Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2021, The Eleventh Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2021, Virtual Event, February 2-9, 2021*, pages 4328–4338. AAAI Press.
- Johannes Rausch, Gentiana Rashiti, Maxim Gusev, Ce Zhang, and Stefan Feuerriegel. 2023. [DSG: an end-to-end document structure generator](#). In *IEEE International Conference on Data Mining, ICDM 2023, Shanghai, China, December 1-4, 2023*, pages 518–527. IEEE.
- Yubing Ren, Yanan Cao, Ping Guo, Fang Fang, Wei Ma, and Zheng Lin. 2023. [Retrieve-and-sample: Document-level event argument extraction via hybrid retrieval augmentation](#). In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023*, pages 293–306. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ranajit Saha, Ajoy Mondal, and C. V. Jawahar. 2019. [Graphical object detection in document images](#). In *2019 International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, ICDAR 2019, Sydney, Australia, September 20-25, 2019*, pages 51–58. IEEE.
- Parth Sarthi, Salman Abdullah, Aditi Tuli, Shubh Khanna, Anna Goldie, and Christopher D. Manning. 2024. [RAPTOR: recursive abstractive processing for tree-organized retrieval](#). In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria, May 7-11, 2024*. OpenReview.net.
- Teven Le Scao, Angela Fan, Christopher Akiki, Elie Pavlick, Suzana Ilic, Daniel Hesslow, Roman Castagné, Alexandra Sasha Luccioni, François Yvon, Matthias Gallé, Jonathan Tow, Alexander M. Rush, Stella Biderman, Albert Webson, Pawan Sasanka Ammanamanchi, Thomas Wang, Benoît Sagot, Niklas Muennighoff, Albert Villanova del Moral, Olatunji Ruwase, Rachel Bawden, Stas Bekman, Angelina McMillan-Major, Iz Beltagy, Huu Nguyen, Lucile Saulnier, Samson Tan, Pedro Ortiz Suarez, Victor Sanh, Hugo Laurençon, Yacine Jernite, Julien Launay, Margaret Mitchell, Colin Raffel, Aaron Gokaslan, Adi Simhi, Aitor Soroa, Alham Fikri Aji, Amit Alfassy, Anna Rogers, Ariel Kreisberg Nitzav, Canwen Xu, Chenghao Mou, Chris Emezue, Christopher Klam, Colin Leong, Daniel van Strien, David Ifeoluwa Adelani, and et al. 2022. [BLOOM: A 176b-parameter open-access multilingual language model](#). *CoRR*, abs/2211.05100.
- Holger Schwenk and Xian Li. 2018. [A corpus for multilingual document classification in eight languages](#). In *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation, LREC 2018, Miyazaki, Japan, May 7-12, 2018*. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).
- Thomas Scialom, Paul-Alexis Dray, Sylvain Lamprier, Benjamin Piwowarski, and Jacopo Staiano. 2020. [MLSUM: the multilingual summarization corpus](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2020, Online, November 16-20, 2020*, pages 8051–8067. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sebastienb. 2024. [Harness retrieval-augmented generation in Joule and Generative AI Hub](#).
- Abigail See, Peter J Liu, and Christopher D Manning. 2017. [Get to the point: Summarization with pointer-generator networks](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04368*.
- Zahra Sepasdar, Sushant Gautam, Cise Midoglu, Michael A Riegler, and Pål Halvorsen. 2024. [Enhancing structured-data retrieval with graphrag: Soccer data case study](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.17580*.
- Bhavani Shankar, Preethi Jyothi, and Pushpak Bhat-tacharyya. 2024. [In-context mixing \(ICM\): Code-mixed prompts for multilingual LLMs](#). In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 4162–4176, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Huawen Shen, Gengluo Li, Jinwen Zhong, and Yu Zhou. 2024. [LDP: Generalizing to multilingual visual information extraction by language decoupled pretraining](#). *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2412.
- Xiaoyu Shen, Akari Asai, Bill Byrne, and Adrià de Gispert. 2023. [xPQA: Cross-lingual product question answering across 12 languages](#). *CoRR*, abs/2305.09249.
- Noah Shinn, Federico Cassano, Ashwin Gopinath, Karthik Narasimhan, and Shunyu Yao. 2023. [Reflexion: language agents with verbal reinforcement learning](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA, December 10 - 16, 2023*.
- Stepán Simsa, Milan Sulc, Michal Uricár, Yash Patel, Ahmed Hamdi, Matej Kocián, Matyás Skalický, Jirí Matas, Antoine Doucet, Mickaël Coustaty, and Dimosthenis Karatzas. 2023. [DocILE benchmark for](#)

2154	document information localization and extraction. In	Weiting Tan, Kevin Heffernan, Holger Schwenk, and	2210
2155	<i>Document Analysis and Recognition - ICDAR 2023</i>	Philipp Koehn. 2023. Multilingual representation	2211
2156	- <i>17th International Conference, San José, CA, USA,</i>	distillation with contrastive learning . In <i>Proceedings</i>	2212
2157	<i>August 21-26, 2023, Proceedings, Part II</i> , volume	<i>of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of</i>	2213
2158	14188 of <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , pages	<i>the Association for Computational Linguistics, EACL</i>	2214
2159	147–166. Springer.	2023, <i>Dubrovnik, Croatia, May 2-6, 2023</i> , pages	2215
2160	Amanpreet Singh, Vivek Natarajan, Meet Shah,	1469–1482. Association for Computational Linguistics.	2216
2161	Yu Jiang, Xinlei Chen, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh,		2217
2162	and Marcus Rohrbach. 2019. Towards VQA models	Ryota Tanaka, Taichi Iki, Kyosuke Nishida, Kuniko	2218
2163	that can read. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference</i>	Saito, and Jun Suzuki. 2024. InstructDoc: A dataset	2219
2164	<i>on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> ,	for zero-shot generalization of visual document	2220
2165	pages 8317–8326.	understanding with instructions . In <i>Thirty-Eighth AAAI</i>	2221
2166	Sumit Soman and Sujoy Roychowdhury. 2024. Observations	<i>Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2024,</i>	2222
2167	on building RAG systems for technical documents .	<i>Thirty-Sixth Conference on Innovative Applications</i>	2223
2168	In <i>The Second Tiny Papers Track at ICLR 2024,</i>	<i>of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2024, Fourteenth Symposium</i>	2224
2169	<i>Tiny Papers @ ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria,</i>	<i>on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2014,</i>	2225
2170	<i>May 11, 2024</i> . OpenReview.net.	<i>February 20-27, 2024, Vancouver, Canada,</i>	2226
2171	Asher Sprigler, Alexander Drobek, Keagan Weinstock,	pages 19071–19079. AAAI Press.	2227
2172	Wendpanga Tapsoba, Gavin Childress, Andy Dao,	Ryota Tanaka, Kyosuke Nishida, Kosuke Nishida, Taku	2228
2173	and Lucas Gral. 2024. Synergistic simulations:	Hasegawa, Itsumi Saito, and Kuniko Saito. 2023.	2229
2174	Multi-agent problem solving with large language	SlideVQA: A dataset for document visual question	2230
2175	models . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2409.13753.	answering on multiple images . In <i>Thirty-Seventh</i>	2231
2176	Sargur N Srihari, Stephen W Lam, Venu Govindaraju,	<i>AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI</i>	2232
2177	R Srihari, and Jonathan J Hull. 1986. Document image	2023, <i>Thirty-Fifth Conference on Innovative Applications</i>	2233
2178	understanding. In <i>FJCC</i> , pages 87–95. Citeseer.	<i>of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2023, Thirteenth Symposium</i>	2234
2179	Frances K Stage and Kathleen Manning. 2003. Research	<i>on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2023,</i>	2235
2180	in the college context. <i>New York: Brunner</i> .	<i>Washington, DC, USA, February 7-14, 2023</i> , pages	2236
2181	Tomasz Stanislawek, Filip Gralinski, Anna Wróblewska,	13636–13645. AAAI Press.	2237
2182	Dawid Lipinski, Agnieszka Kaliska, Paulina Rosalska,	Ryota Tanaka, Kyosuke Nishida, and Sen Yoshida. 2021.	2238
2183	Bartosz Topolski, and Przemyslaw Biecek. 2021.	VisualMRC: Machine reading comprehension on document	2239
2184	Kleister: Key information extraction datasets involving	images . In <i>Thirty-Fifth AAAI Conference</i>	2240
2185	long documents with complex layouts . In <i>16th</i>	<i>on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2021, Thirty-Third</i>	2241
2186	<i>International Conference on Document Analysis and</i>	<i>Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial</i>	2242
2187	<i>Recognition, ICDAR 2021, Lausanne, Switzerland,</i>	<i>Intelligence, IAAI 2021, The Eleventh Symposium</i>	2243
2188	<i>September 5-10, 2021, Proceedings, Part I</i> , volume	<i>on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2021,</i>	2244
2189	12821 of <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , pages	<i>Virtual Event, February 2-9, 2021</i> , pages	2245
2190	564–579. Springer.	13878–13888. AAAI Press.	2246
2191	Hongbin Sun, Zhanghui Kuang, Xiaoyu Yue, Chen-	Daniel Tang, Zhengnan Chen, Kisub Kim, Yewei Song,	2247
2192	hao Lin, and Wayne Zhang. 2021. Spatial dual-	Haoye Tian, Saad Ezzini, Yongfeng Huang, and	2248
2193	modality graph reasoning for key information ex-	Jacques Klein Tegawende F Bissyande. 2024. Col-	2249
2194	traction . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2103.14470.	laborative agents for software engineering. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.02172</i> .	2250
2195	Manan Suri, Puneet Mathur, Franck Dernoncourt,		2251
2196	Kanika Goswami, Ryan A. Rossi, and Dinesh	Zineng Tang, Ziyi Yang, Guoxin Wang, Yuwei Fang,	2252
2197	Manocha. 2024. VisDoM: Multi-document QA with	Yang Liu, Chenguang Zhu, Michael Zeng, Cha	2253
2198	visually rich elements using multimodal retrieval-	Zhang, and Mohit Bansal. 2023. Unifying vision,	2254
2199	augmented generation . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2412.10704.	text, and layout for universal document process-	2255
2200	Hiroya Takamura and Manabu Okumura. 2009. Text	ing . In <i>IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision</i>	2256
2201	summarization model based on maximum coverage	<i>and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2023, Vancouver,</i>	2257
2202	problem and its variant. In <i>Proceedings of the 12th</i>	<i>BC, Canada, June 17-24, 2023</i> , pages 19254–19264.	2258
2203	<i>Conference of the European Chapter of the ACL</i>	IEEE.	2259
2204	<i>(EACL 2009)</i> , pages 781–789.	Eshaan Tanwar, Subhabrata Dutta, Manish Borthakur,	2260
2205	Alon Talmor, Ori Yoran, Amnon Catav, Dan Lahav,	and Tanmoy Chakraborty. 2023. Multilingual LLMs	2261
2206	Yizhong Wang, Akari Asai, Gabriel Ilharco, Han-	are better cross-lingual in-context learners with align-	2262
2207	nane Hajishirzi, and Jonathan Berant. 2021. Mul-	ment . In <i>Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of</i>	2263
2208	tiModalQA: Complex question answering over text,	<i>the Association for Computational Linguistics (Vol-</i>	2264
2209	tables and images. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.06039</i> .	<i>ume 1: Long Papers)</i> , <i>ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada,</i>	2265
		<i>July 9-14, 2023</i> , pages 6292–6307. Association for	2266
		Computational Linguistics.	2267

2268	Suzanne Liebowitz Taylor, Deborah A Dahl, Mark Lip-	Chenglong Wang, Kedar Tatwawadi, Marc	2327
2269	shutz, Carl Weir, Lewis M Norton, Roslyn Nilson,	Brockschmidt, Po-Sen Huang, Yi Mao, Olek-	2328
2270	and Marcia C Linebarger. 1994. Integrated text and	sandr Polozov, and Rishabh Singh. 2018. Robust	2329
2271	image understanding for document understanding.	text-to-SQL generation with execution-guided	2330
2272	In <i>Human Language Technology: Proceedings of</i>	decoding. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.03100</i> .	2331
2273	<i>a Workshop held at Plainsboro, New Jersey, March</i>		
2274	<i>8-11, 1994</i> .		
2275	Rubèn Tito, Dimosthenis Karatzas, and Ernest Val-	Dongsheng Wang, Natraj Raman, Mathieu Sibue,	2332
2276	veny. 2022. Hierarchical multimodal transformers	Zhiqiang Ma, Petr Babkin, Simerjot Kaur, Yulong	2333
2277	for multi-page DocVQA . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2212.05935.	Pei, Armineh Nourbakhsh, and Xiaomo Liu. 2024a.	2334
2278		DocLLM: A layout-aware generative language model	2335
2279	Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Al-	for multimodal document understanding . In <i>Proceed-</i>	2336
2280	bert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay	<i>ings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association</i>	2337
2281	Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajwal Bhargava, Shruti	<i>for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Pa-</i>	2338
2282	Bhosale, Dan Bikel, Lukas Blecher, Cristian Canton-	<i>pers)</i> , <i>ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand, August 11-16,</i>	2339
2283	Ferrer, Moya Chen, Guillem Cucurull, David Esiobu,	2024, pages 8529–8548. Association for Computa-	2340
2284	Jude Fernandes, Jeremy Fu, Wenyin Fu, Brian Fuller,	tional Linguistics.	2341
2285	Cynthia Gao, Vedanuj Goswami, Naman Goyal, An-		
2286	thony Hartshorn, Saghar Hosseini, Rui Hou, Hakan	Jiapeng Wang, Lianwen Jin, and Kai Ding. 2022a. LiLT:	2342
2287	Inan, Marcin Kardas, Viktor Kerkez, Madian Khabsa,	A simple yet effective language-independent layout	2343
2288	Isabel Kloumann, Artem Korenev, Punit Singh Koura,	transformer for structured document understanding .	2344
2289	Marie-Anne Lachaux, Thibaut Lavril, Jenya Lee, Di-	In <i>Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the</i>	2345
2290	ana Liskovich, Yinghai Lu, Yuning Mao, Xavier Mar-	<i>Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume</i>	2346
2291	tinet, Todor Mihaylov, Pushkar Mishra, Igor Moly-	<i>1: Long Papers)</i> , <i>ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May</i>	2347
2292	bog, Yixin Nie, Andrew Poulton, Jeremy Reizen-	22-27, 2022, pages 7747–7757. Association for Com-	2348
2293	stein, Rashi Rungta, Kalyan Saladi, Alan Schelten,	putational Linguistics.	2349
2294	Ruan Silva, Eric Michael Smith, Ranjan Subrama-		
2295	nian, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Binh Tang, Ross Tay-	Jiapeng Wang, Chongyu Liu, Lianwen Jin, Guozhi	2350
2296	lor, Adina Williams, Jian Xiang Kuan, Puxin Xu,	Tang, Jiaxin Zhang, Shuaitao Zhang, Qianying Wang,	2351
2297	Zheng Yan, Iliyan Zarov, Yuchen Zhang, Angela Fan,	Yaqiang Wu, and Mingxiang Cai. 2021a. Towards	2352
2298	Melanie Kambadur, Sharan Narang, Aurélien Ro-	robust visual information extraction in real world:	2353
2299	driguez, Robert Stojnic, Sergey Edunov, and Thomas	New dataset and novel solution . In <i>Thirty-Fifth AAAI</i>	2354
2300	Scialom. 2023. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-	<i>Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2021,</i>	2355
2301	tuned chat models . <i>CoRR</i> , abs/2307.09288.	<i>Thirty-Third Conference on Innovative Applications</i>	2356
2302		<i>of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2021, The Eleventh</i>	2357
2303	Mohit Tuteja and Daniel González Juclà. 2023. Long	<i>Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial In-</i>	2358
2304	text classification using transformers with paragraph	<i>teligence, EAAI 2021, Virtual Event, February 2-9,</i>	2359
2305	selection strategies. In <i>Proceedings of the Natural</i>	2021, pages 2738–2745. AAAI Press.	2360
2306	<i>Legal Language Processing Workshop 2023</i> , pages		
2307	17–24.	Jiawei Wang, Kai Hu, and Qiang Huo. 2024b.	2361
2308		DLAFormer: An end-to-end transformer for doc-	2362
2309	Daniel Varab and Natalie Schluter. 2021. Mas-	ument layout analysis . In <i>Document Analysis and</i>	2363
2310	siveSumm: a very large-scale, very multilingual,	<i>Recognition - ICDAR 2024 - 18th International Con-</i>	2364
2311	news summarisation dataset . In <i>Proceedings of the</i>	<i>ference, Athens, Greece, August 30 - September 4,</i>	2365
2312	<i>2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural</i>	2024, <i>Proceedings, Part IV</i> , volume 14807 of <i>Lecture</i>	2366
2313	<i>Language Processing, EMNLP 2021, Virtual Event</i>	<i>Notes in Computer Science</i> , pages 40–57. Springer.	2367
2314	<i>/ Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 7-11 November,</i>		
2315	2021, pages 10150–10161. Association for Computa-	Peng Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Shijie Wang, Zhi-	2368
2316	tional Linguistics.	hao Fan, Jinze Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin	2369
2317		Wang, Wenbin Ge, Yang Fan, Kai Dang, Mengfei	2370
2318	Matheus Palhares Viana and Dário Augusto Borges	Du, Xuancheng Ren, Rui Men, Dayiheng Liu,	2371
2319	Oliveira. 2017. Fast CNN-based document layout	Chang Zhou, Jingren Zhou, and Junyang Lin. 2024c.	2372
2320	analysis . In <i>2017 IEEE International Conference on</i>	Qwen2-VL: Enhancing vision-language model’s per-	2373
2321	<i>Computer Vision Workshops, ICCV Workshops 2017,</i>	ception of the world at any resolution . <i>CoRR</i> ,	2374
2322	<i>Venice, Italy, October 22-29, 2017</i> , pages 1173–1180.	abs/2409.12191.	2375
2323	IEEE Computer Society.		
2324		Runchuan Wang, Zhao Zhang, Fuzhen Zhuang, De-	2376
2325	Xuan-Son Vu, Quang-Anh Bui, Nhu-Van Nguyen, Thi	hong Gao, Yi Wei, and Qing He. 2021b. Adversarial	2377
2326	Tuyet Hai Nguyen, and Thanh Vu. 2021. MC-OCR	domain adaptation for cross-lingual information re-	2378
	challenge: Mobile-captured image document recog-	trieval with multilingual BERT . In <i>CIKM ’21: The</i>	2379
	nition for Vietnamese receipts . In <i>RIVF International</i>	<i>30th ACM International Conference on Information</i>	2380
	<i>Conference on Computing and Communication Tech-</i>	<i>and Knowledge Management, Virtual Event, Queens-</i>	2381
	<i>nologies, RIVF 2021, Hanoi, Vietnam, August 19-21,</i>	<i>land, Australia, November 1 - 5, 2021</i> , pages 3498–	2382
	2021, pages 1–6. IEEE.	3502. ACM.	2383
		Wenjin Wang, Yunhao Li, Yixin Ou, and Yin Zhang.	2384
		2023a. Layout and task aware instruction prompt	2385

2386	for zero-shot document image question answering.		
2387	CoRR, abs/2306.00526.		
2388	Yau-Shian Wang, Ashley Wu, and Graham Neubig.		
2389	2022b. English contrastive learning can learn universal cross-lingual sentence embeddings .		
2390	In <i>Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2022, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December 7-11, 2022</i> ,		
2391	pages 9122–9133. Association for Computational Linguistics.		
2392			
2393			
2394			
2395			
2396	Yi Wang and Xiao-Jing Wang. 2005. A new approach		
2397	to feature selection in text classification. In <i>2005 International conference on machine learning and cybernetics</i> , volume 6, pages 3814–3819. IEEE.		
2398			
2399			
2400	Zilong Wang, Yiheng Xu, Lei Cui, Jingbo Shang, and		
2401	Furu Wei. 2021c. LayoutReader: Pre-training of text and layout for reading order detection .		
2402	In <i>Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2021, Virtual Event / Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 7-11 November, 2021</i> , pages 4735–4744. Association for		
2403	Computational Linguistics.		
2404			
2405			
2406			
2407			
2408	Zilong Wang, Yichao Zhou, Wei Wei, Chen-Yu Lee,		
2409	and Sandeep Tata. 2023b. VRDU: A benchmark for visually-rich document understanding .		
2410	In <i>Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD 2023, Long Beach, CA, USA, August 6-10, 2023</i> , pages		
2411	5184–5193. ACM.		
2412			
2413			
2414			
2415	Zixiang Wang, Jian Yang, Tongliang Li, Linzheng Chai,		
2416	Jiaheng Liu, Ying Mo, Jiaqi Bai, and Zhoujun Li.		
2417	2023c. Multilingual entity and relation extraction from unified to language-specific training .		
2418	In <i>Proceedings of the International Conference on Electronics, Computers and Communication Technology, CECCT 2023, Guilin, China, November 17-19, 2023</i> ,		
2419	pages 98–105. ACM.		
2420			
2421			
2422			
2423	Xiang Wei, Xingyu Cui, Ning Cheng, Xiaobin Wang,		
2424	Xin Zhang, Shen Huang, Pengjun Xie, Jinan Xu,		
2425	Yufeng Chen, Meishan Zhang, et al. 2024. ChatIE:		
2426	Zero-shot information extraction via chatting with		
2427	ChatGPT. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.10205</i> .		
2428			
2429	Chenxi Whitehouse, Fantine Huot, Jasmijn Bastings,		
2430	Mostafa Dehghani, Chu-Cheng Lin, and Mirella Lapata. 2024. Low-rank adaptation for multilingual summarization: An empirical study .		
2431	In <i>Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2024, Mexico City, Mexico, June 16-21, 2024</i> , pages		
2432	1202–1228. Association for Computational Linguistics.		
2433			
2434			
2435			
2436	Sam Wiseman, Stuart M Shieber, and Alexander M		
2437	Rush. 2017. Challenges in data-to-document generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.08052</i> .		
2438			
2439	Wen Xiao, Iz Beltagy, Giuseppe Carenini, and Arman		
2440	Cohan. 2022. PRIMERA: pyramid-based masked sentence pre-training for multi-document summarization .		
2441	In <i>Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of</i>		
2442	<i>the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)</i> , ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May 22-27, 2022, pages 5245–5263. Association for		
	Computational Linguistics.		
	Yong Xie, Karan Aggarwal, and Aitzaz Ahmad. 2024.		
	Efficient continual pre-training for building domain specific large language models . In <i>Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand and virtual meeting, August 11-16, 2024</i> , pages 10184–10201. Association for		
	Computational Linguistics.		
	Hangdi Xing, Changxu Cheng, Feiyu Gao, Zirui Shao,		
	Zhi Yu, Jiajun Bu, Qi Zheng, and Cong Yao. 2024.		
	DocHieNet: A large and diverse dataset for document hierarchy parsing . In <i>Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2024, Miami, FL, USA, November 12-16, 2024</i> , pages 1129–1142. Association for		
	Computational Linguistics.		
	Peng Xu, Wei Ping, Xianchao Wu, Lawrence McAfee,		
	Chen Zhu, Zihan Liu, Sandeep Subramanian, Evelina		
	Bakhturina, Mohammad Shoeybi, and Bryan Catanzaro. 2024a. Retrieval meets long context large language models . In <i>The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria, May 7-11, 2024</i> . OpenReview.net.		
	Ruochen Xu, Chenguang Zhu, Yu Shi, Michael Zeng,		
	and Xuedong Huang. 2020a. Mixed-lingual pre-training for cross-lingual summarization . In <i>Proceedings of the 1st Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 10th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, AACL/IJCNLP 2020, Suzhou, China, December 4-7, 2020</i> , pages 536–541. Association for Computational Linguistics.		
	Yang Xu, Yiheng Xu, Tengchao Lv, Lei Cui, Furu Wei,		
	Guoxin Wang, Yijuan Lu, Dinei A. F. Florêncio, Cha		
	Zhang, Wanxiang Che, Min Zhang, and Lidong Zhou.		
	2021a. LayoutLMv2: Multi-modal pre-training for visually-rich document understanding . In <i>Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, ACL/IJCNLP 2021, (Volume 1: Long Papers), Virtual Event, August 1-6, 2021</i> , pages 2579–2591. Association for Computational Linguistics.		
	Yiheng Xu, Minghao Li, Lei Cui, Shaohan Huang,		
	Furu Wei, and Ming Zhou. 2020b. LayoutLM: Pre-training of text and layout for document image understanding . In <i>KDD '20: The 26th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, Virtual Event, CA, USA, August 23-27, 2020</i> ,		
	pages 1192–1200. ACM.		
	Yiheng Xu, Tengchao Lv, Lei Cui, Guoxin Wang, Yi-		
	juan Lu, Dinei Florêncio, Cha Zhang, and Furu Wei.		
	2021b. Layoutxlm: Multimodal pre-training for multilingual visually-rich document understanding .		
	CoRR, abs/2104.08836.		

document image pre-training. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2023, Kigali, Rwanda, May 1-5, 2023*. OpenReview.net.

Klim Zaporozets, Johannes Deleu, Chris Develder, and Thomas Demeester. 2021. [DWIE: an entity-centric dataset for multi-task document-level information extraction](#). *Inf. Process. Manag.*, 58(4):102563.

Chong Zhang, Yi Tu, Yixi Zhao, Chenshu Yuan, Huan Chen, Yue Zhang, Mingxu Chai, Ya Guo, Huijia Zhu, Qi Zhang, and Tao Gui. 2024a. [Modeling layout reading order as ordering relations for visually-rich document understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2024, Miami, FL, USA, November 12-16, 2024*, pages 9658–9678. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Fangfang Zhang, Jin-ge Yao, and Rui Yan. 2018. [On the abtractiveness of neural document summarization](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Brussels, Belgium, October 31 - November 4, 2018*, pages 785–790. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Kechi Zhang, Jia Li, Ge Li, Xianjie Shi, and Zhi Jin. 2024b. [CodeAgent: Enhancing code generation with tool-integrated agent systems for real-world repo-level coding challenges](#). In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand, August 11-16, 2024*, pages 13643–13658. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Peng Zhang, Yunlu Xu, Zhazhan Cheng, Shiliang Pu, Jing Lu, Liang Qiao, Yi Niu, and Fei Wu. 2020. TRIE: end-to-end text reading and information extraction for document understanding. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 1413–1422.

Ruochen Zhang and Carsten Eickhoff. 2024. [CroCoSum: A benchmark dataset for cross-lingual code-switched summarization](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation, LREC/COLING 2024, 20-25 May, 2024, Torino, Italy*, pages 4113–4126. ELRA and ICCL.

Shaolei Zhang, Kehao Zhang, Qingkai Fang, Shoutao Guo, Yan Zhou, Xiaodong Liu, and Yang Feng. 2024c. [BayLing 2: A multilingual large language model with efficient language alignment](#). *CoRR*, abs/2411.16300.

Tianjun Zhang, Shishir G. Patil, Naman Jain, Sheng Shen, Matei Zaharia, Ion Stoica, and Joseph E. Gonzalez. 2024d. [RAFT: adapting language model to domain specific RAG](#). *CoRR*, abs/2403.10131.

Wenxuan Zhang, Hou Pong Chan, Yiran Zhao, Mahani Aljunied, Jianyu Wang, Chaogun Liu, Yue Deng, Zhiqiang Hu, Weiwen Xu, Yew Ken Chia, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. 2024e. [SeaLLMs 3: Open foundation and chat multilingual large language models for southeast asian languages](#). *CoRR*, abs/2407.19672.

Xiang Zhang, Senyu Li, Bradley Hauer, Ning Shi, and Grzegorz Kondrak. 2023. [Don’t trust ChatGPT when your question is not in English: A study of multilingual abilities and types of LLMs](#). In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*, pages 7915–7927. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Xingxing Zhang, Furu Wei, and Ming Zhou. 2019. [HiBERT: document level pre-training of hierarchical bidirectional transformers for document summarization](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2019, Florence, Italy, July 28- August 2, 2019, Volume 1: Long Papers*, pages 5059–5069. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Yuanchi Zhang, Yile Wang, Zijun Liu, Shuo Wang, Xiaolong Wang, Peng Li, Maosong Sun, and Yang Liu. 2024f. [Enhancing multilingual capabilities of large language models through self-distillation from resource-rich languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand, August 11-16, 2024*, pages 11189–11204. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Zhihan Zhang, Dong-Ho Lee, Yuwei Fang, Wenhao Yu, Mengzhao Jia, Meng Jiang, and Francesco Barbieri. 2024g. [PLUG: leveraging pivot language in cross-lingual instruction tuning](#). In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2024, Bangkok, Thailand, August 11-16, 2024*, pages 7025–7046. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ruochen Zhao, Hailin Chen, Weishi Wang, Fangkai Jiao, Do Xuan Long, Chengwei Qin, Bosheng Ding, Xiaobao Guo, Minzhi Li, Xingxuan Li, and Shafiq Joty. 2023. [Retrieving multimodal information for augmented generation: A survey](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*, pages 4736–4756. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Wei Zhao, Steffen Eger, Johannes Bjerva, and Isabelle Augenstein. 2021. [Inducing language-agnostic multilingual representations](#). In *Proceedings of *SEM 2021: The Tenth Joint Conference on Lexical and Computational Semantics, *SEM 2021, Online, August 5-6, 2021*, pages 229–240. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Xiaohui Zhao, Zhuo Wu, and Xiaoguang Wang. 2019. [CUTIE: learning to understand documents with convolutional universal text information extractor](#). *CoRR*, abs/1903.12363.

- Yiran Zhao, Wenxuan Zhang, Guizhen Chen, Kenji Kawaguchi, and Lidong Bing. 2024a. [How do large language models handle multilingualism?](#) *CoRR*, abs/2402.18815.
- Yiran Zhao, Wenxuan Zhang, Huiming Wang, Kenji Kawaguchi, and Lidong Bing. 2024b. [Adamergex: Cross-lingual transfer with large language models via adaptive adapter merging.](#) *CoRR*, abs/2402.18913.
- Zhiyuan Zhao, Hengrui Kang, Bin Wang, and Conghui He. 2024c. [DocLayout-YOLO: Enhancing document layout analysis through diverse synthetic data and global-to-local adaptive perception.](#) *CoRR*, abs/2410.12628.
- Xinru Zheng, Xiaotian Qiao, Ying Cao, and Rynson W. H. Lau. 2019. [Content-aware generative modeling of graphic design layouts.](#) *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 38(4):133:1–133:15.
- Victor Zhong, Caiming Xiong, and Richard Socher. 2017. Seq2SQL: Generating structured queries from natural language using reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.00103*.
- Xu Zhong, Jianbin Tang, and Antonio Jimeno-Yepes. 2019. [PubLayNet: Largest dataset ever for document layout analysis.](#) In *2019 International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, ICDAR 2019, Sydney, Australia, September 20-25, 2019*, pages 1015–1022. IEEE.
- Fengbin Zhu, Wenqiang Lei, Chao Wang, Jianming Zheng, Soujanya Poria, and Tat seng Chua. 2021. [Retrieving and reading: A comprehensive survey on open-domain question answering.](#) *ArXiv*, abs/2101.00774.
- Fengbin Zhu, Chao Wang, Fuli Feng, Zifeng Ren, Moxin Li, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2024a. [Doc2SoarGraph: Discrete reasoning over visually-rich table-text documents via semantic-oriented hierarchical graphs.](#) In *Proceedings of the 2024 Joint International Conference on Computational Linguistics, Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC-COLING 2024)*, pages 5119–5131, Torino, Italia. ELRA and ICCL.
- Junnan Zhu, Qian Wang, Yining Wang, Yu Zhou, Jiajun Zhang, Shaonan Wang, and Chengqing Zong. 2019. [NCLS: neural cross-lingual summarization.](#) In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, EMNLP-IJCNLP 2019, Hong Kong, China, November 3-7, 2019*, pages 3052–3062. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wenhao Zhu, Yunzhe Lv, Qingxiu Dong, Fei Yuan, Jingjing Xu, Shujian Huang, Lingpeng Kong, Jiajun Chen, and Lei Li. 2023. [Extrapolating large language models to non-English by aligning languages.](#) *CoRR*, abs/2308.04948.
- Zichen Zhu, Yang Xu, Lu Chen, Jingkai Yang, Yichuan Ma, Yiming Sun, Hailin Wen, Jiaqi Liu, Jinyu Cai, Yingzi Ma, Situo Zhang, Zihan Zhao, Liangtai Sun, and Kai Yu. 2024b. [MULTI: multimodal understanding leaderboard with text and images.](#) *CoRR*, abs/2402.03173.
- Ran Zmigrod, Pranav Shetty, Mathieu Sibue, Zhiqiang Ma, Armineh Nourbakhsh, Xiaomo Liu, and Manuela Veloso. 2024. [“What is the value of templates?” Rethinking document information extraction datasets for LLMs.](#) In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024*, pages 13162–13185, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.

A Evolution: Early Approaches

In this section, we review early multimodal and multilingual approaches for document-related understanding and generation tasks.

A.1 Understanding Tasks

A.1.1 Multimodal

Before the rise of LLMs, multimodal document understanding relied heavily on rule-based systems, template matching, and traditional machine learning. Key early models integrate text and layout information using feature engineering techniques.

Rule-based systems rely on manually defined sets of rules and logic to interpret and process data. Niyogi and Srihari (1986) introduces a rule-based system that analyzes digitized document images. The system identifies various printed regions within the document and classifies them into logical “blocks” of information. It employs a goal-directed, top-down approach, utilizing a three-level rule hierarchy to guide its control strategy. Klink and Kieninger (2001) presents a rule-based system that combines layout and textual features to understand document structure. The system uses fuzzy logic to merge these features, allowing for adaptable rule bases that can be tailored to new domains. It processes documents in two stages: document analysis and document understanding. Markovic and Gostojic (2020) proposes a knowledge-based method for assembling legal documents. It utilizes a machine-readable representation of legal professionals’ knowledge, comprising a rule base for formal legal norms and document templates for tacit knowledge. The system collects input data through an interactive interview, performs legal reasoning over this data, and generates the output document. It also creates an argument graph to explain the reasoning process, providing users with an interpretation of how input data and the rule base influence the output document.

Template Matching is a technique where predefined patterns or “templates” are compared to input data (such as text or images) to identify similarities. Dhakal et al. (2019) introduces a novel one-shot template-matching algorithm designed to automatically capture data from business documents, aiming to minimize manual data entry. The algorithm utilizes a set of engineered visual and textual features, enabling it to be invariant to changes in position and value. Nguyen Vu et al. (2024) presents a

component-based template matching approach that leverages document layout analysis. This method involves sub-graph mining to extract significant sub-graphs from a training set, identifying recurrent patterns. A deep graph neural network is then employed to align these sub-graphs with segments in the query document, enhancing the robustness of the template matching algorithm.

Traditional Machine Learning involves algorithms that learn patterns from data without being explicitly programmed with rules. In the visual domain, Viana and Oliveira (2017) and Yi et al. (2017) first introduce R-CNN (Girshick et al., 2014) into DLA task, followed by studies (Biswas et al., 2022; Saha et al., 2019; Yang and Hsu, 2022; Wang et al., 2024b) adopting more advanced detection and segmentation models. The progress also fuels research interest in applications for diverse document types and specific document components such as element segmentation for newspaper (Almutairi and Alfarhood, 2019) and historical documents (Oliveira et al., 2018), keyword detection in Chinese documents (Lin et al., 2021) and graphical object detection (Saha et al., 2019) for mathematical equations and figures. To handle lengthy text, LSTM and transformer module are widely adopted (Wang et al., 2021c; Rausch et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2024b). More recent works design specific module to interact with modalities uniquely present in documents, such as layout and chart. Chargrid (Katti et al., 2018) is one of the first models to process documents as 2D grids, treating document content as both text and spatial layout. TRIE (Zhang et al., 2020) combined text recognition with information extraction, leveraging end-to-end methods but still using handcrafted features for layout understanding. Yu et al. (2020) and Liu et al. (2019a) treat documents as a graph and embed width and height of text segment as well as vertical and horizontal distance between two segments as graph embedding. With the advancement of the transformer-based model, coordinate encoding gradually becomes the default paradigm. LayoutLM (Xu et al., 2020b) pre-trains BERT models with coordinate information and image embeddings integrated with text and positional embeddings. Follow-up works either incorporate additional pre-training tasks (Xu et al., 2021a; Hong et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022) and reading order (Gu et al., 2022) from layout information or inject 2D token position into transformer’s atten-

tion bias (Powalski et al., 2021; Garncarek et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2023). DocMamba (Hu et al., 2024c) explores Mamba (Gu and Dao, 2023) in DAI tasks, making use of layout segment and token coordinates to derive 1D text tokens and 2D position embeddings.

A.1.2 Multilingual

Language Model Pre-training leverages advancements in transformer-based unsupervised pre-training on large-scale multilingual datasets, employing a training objective specifically designed to optimize performance on multilingual tasks. Chi et al. (2021a) pre-trained a cross-lingual model InfoXLM by maximizing the mutual information at multi-granularity level, including monolingual token-sequence, cross-lingual token-sequence and cross-lingual sequence-level. LayoutXLM (Xu et al., 2021b) combines the multimodal and multilingual pre-training techniques from LayoutLMv2 (Xu et al., 2021a) and InfoXLM respectively. During the pre-training stage, aside from text-image alignment and matching, a novel multilingual masked visual-language modeling objective is proposed. Evaluation on language-specific finetuning, zero-shot transfer learning and multitask finetuning showed significant improvement over XLM-RoBERTa and InfoXLM. In the cross-lingual information retrieval (CLIR) task, Wang et al. (2021b) fine-tunes mBERT (Devlin et al., 2019) with deep relevant matching objective on a home-made CLIR training data derived from parallel corpora, showing promising results on the retrieval of Lithuanian documents against short English queries.

Language-specific Training The imbalance of data distribution in multilingual training often leads to poorer performance on Low-resource Languages (LRLs) than High-resource Languages (HRLs) (Li et al., 2024f). While the models are trained on a variety of languages, the performance in downstream tasks declines, a phenomenon known as the curse of multilinguality (Chang et al., 2024). ColBERT-XM (Louis et al., 2024) applies language-specific adapters in pre-training. Adapters and embedding layers were frozen during monolingual fine-tuning to force learning in the shared weights from high-resourced languages. Low-resource languages can benefit from data-efficient training via updating their adapters only. Similar work (Yang et al., 2022) on CLIR shows that models trained with monolingual data are more effective than fine-tuning the

entire model.

Language-independent Approach assumes features other than text could remain invariant across documents in different languages. LiLT (Wang et al., 2022a) separates text and layout features during the pre-training stage, enhancing layout structure learning using monolingual data. Multilingual fine-tuning further boosts cross-lingual capabilities while requiring less supervised data. Inspired by LiLT, Shen et al. (2024) further decouples visual features during pre-training. Since image contains language information, they adopt a text edit diffusion model to replace the original texts with fictional words.

Translation-aided Approach Liu et al. (2021) introduces a data augmentation framework via translation for low-resource named entity recognition (NER) task. The sentences are input into the translation system in two modified forms of the original source sentence. In the first form, entity words are replaced with corresponding entity tags and indexes. In the second form, brackets are used to include word span information alongside the entity words. After translation, the entity tags in the output are replaced with the translations extracted from the bracketed spans.

A.2 Generation Tasks

A.2.1 Multimodal

While effective for understanding document structure, **statistical methods** often fall short in generating new content, as they primarily rely on existing textual patterns. Instead, early works reply to the following approaches.

Heuristic-based Approaches rely on manually designed rules or strategies to generate content. To generate summarization, these approaches analyze specific text features (Carbonell and Goldstein, 1998), such as keyword frequency (Luhn, 1958) (using metrics like TF or TF-IDF to identify key sentences), sentence length, positional importance (prioritizing content at the beginning or end of a document), and title matching (selecting sentences with title-related keywords) (Edmundson, 1969). To generate answers, heuristic systems answer the questions from predefined patterns within a closed domain (Gupta and Gupta, 2012). Similarly, to generate document classification tags, feature engineering techniques like tokenization, stop-word removal, and stemming (Wang and Wang, 2005) are

used to identify linguistic patterns. While straightforward, these methods often lack the flexibility of more advanced approaches.

Optimization-based Approaches aim to mathematically identify the “best” unseen content under specific constraints. To generate summarization, these methods optimize objectives such as maximizing information coverage (Takamura and Okumura, 2009) or minimizing redundancy (Hirao et al., 2013) to achieve an ideal compression ratio. Some notable techniques include linear programming (McDonald, 2007) for selecting sentence subsets that satisfy predefined criteria and sub-modular optimization (Lin and Bilmes, 2011) to balance diversity and coverage. In question answering, optimization frameworks enhance the precision and recall of retrieved answers, while in document classification, they are used to refine feature selection and representation, enabling the effective handling of lengthy and complex documents.

Traditional Machine Learning has advanced document generation through deep learning and pre-trained models. To generate summarization, supervised learning trains neural networks on document-summary pairs (Nallapati et al., 2017; Narayan et al., 2018; See et al., 2017), using word embeddings like Word2Vec (Mikolov, 2013) and GloVe (Pennington et al., 2014). To generate answers against document-related questions, more modalities are taken into consideration, such as images and charts. Datasets like OCR-VQA (Mishra et al., 2019), TextVQA (Singh et al., 2019), and DocVQA (Mathew et al., 2021) focus on single-image QA, while MultiModalQA (Talmor et al., 2021) and MP-DocVQA (Tito et al., 2022) emphasize reasoning across multiple pages or images. Generative models in table-based QA directly generate answers, such as Seq2Seq (Dong and Lapata, 2016; Zhong et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018) and graph-based approaches (Mueller et al., 2019). Seq2Seq models focusing on non-database tables and free-form QA. Graph-based methods represent tables as graphs but are limited to table-only tasks. To generate document tags for classification, machine learning pipelines (Han et al., 2024) utilize tokenization, vectorization, and embedding to model high-dimensional text data. Neural networks, particularly transformers, excel at handling lengthy and multi-paragraph documents (Tuteja and Juclà, 2023).

A.2.2 Multilingual

Pipeline Method decomposes the original task into sub-tasks and involves machine translation either as the first step or the last step to avoid resource-intensive multilingual annotation. Leuski et al. (2003) first translates Hindi documents to English using a statistical machine translation system, after which important English sentences are selected to create a summary. Araújo et al. (2016) compares twenty-one methods and two language-specific models on nine language-specific dataset. The finding suggests that simply translating the input text to English and leveraging English-based methods is better than language-specific methods. Duh et al. (2011) argues that cross-lingual errors are not caused by machine translation errors, and would occur even with a perfect translation model. A better cross-lingual algorithm is needed to mitigate the errors.

Pre-trained Model Approach The emergence of pre-trained models has benefited almost every area of NLP, including multilingual document generation tasks. By simply fine-tuning on large-scale cross-lingual summarization datasets, it’s able to outperform many multi-task models (Liang et al., 2022). Similar work (Amin et al., 2023) also shows the effectiveness of mBERT on cross-lingual QA tasks.

Cross-lingual Transfer explores the language transfer capability of models with limited multilingual corpora. Lee and Lee (2019) explores cross-lingual transfer learning for question answering by leveraging a source language with abundant annotations to improve performance in a target language with limited data. A GAN-based approach is proposed to incorporate a language discriminator to learn language-universal feature representations, and consequentially transfer knowledge from the source language. Xu et al. (2020a) proposes a mixed-lingual pre-training approach that leverages both cross-lingual tasks, such as translation, and monolingual tasks, like masked language modeling, to enhance cross-lingual summarization. The model effectively utilizes massive monolingual data to improve language modeling and achieves significant improvements in ROUGE (Lin, 2004) scores over state-of-the-art results on the NCLS dataset (Zhu et al., 2019).

B Benchmark

The rapid advancement in DAI would not be possible without the variety of carefully curated datasets. We summarize them in Table 1 along 5 aspects: language, quantity, open-source availability, modality, and task. We hope that this information could make a valuable contribution to future multimodal and multilingual research in DAI.

C Usage of AI Assistant

We mainly use AI assistant or tools such as ChatGPT and Grammarly to check the grammar errors.

Dataset	Language	#Languages	#Documents	Open-Source	Modality			Task						
					Text	Visual	Layout	KIE	DLA	DSA	DC	DS	DCG	QA
SROIE (Huang et al., 2019)	EN	1	973	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					
FUNSD (Jaume et al., 2019)	EN	1	199	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
CORD (Park et al., 2019)	EN	1	1,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					
CUTIE (Zhao et al., 2019)	ES	1	4,484	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓						
Kleister NDA (Stanislawek et al., 2021)	EN	1	540	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
Kleister Charity (Stanislawek et al., 2021)	EN	1	2,788	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
DWIE (Zaporojets et al., 2021)	EN	1	802	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					
WildReceipt (Sun et al., 2021)	EN	1	1,768	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					
MC-OCR (Vu et al., 2021)	Multi	2	2,436	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
EPHOIE (Wang et al., 2021a)	CN	1	1,494	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
XFUND (Xu et al., 2022)	Multi	7	1,393	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
VRDU Registration (Wang et al., 2023b)	EN	1	1,915	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
VRDU Ad-buy (Wang et al., 2023b)	EN	1	641	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
DocILE (Simsa et al., 2023)	EN	1	6,680	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
POIE (Kuang et al., 2023)	EN	1	3,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓						
AMuRD (Abdallah et al., 2023)	Multi	2	47,720	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				✓		
UIT-MLReceipts (Nguyen, 2024)	Multi	2	2,147	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓						
CORU (Abdallah et al., 2024a)	Multi	2	20,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓					
DocRED (Yao et al., 2019)	EN	1	5,053	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
PubLayNet (Zhong et al., 2019)	N/A	N/A	358,353	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
TableBank (Li et al., 2020a)	Multi	N/A	18,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
DocBank (Li et al., 2020b)	EN	1	500,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
ReadingBank (Wang et al., 2021c)	EN	1	500,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
arXivdocs-target (Rausch et al., 2021)	EN	1	362	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
DocLayNet (Pfitzmann et al., 2022)	Multi	4	80,863	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
HRDoc (Ma et al., 2023b)	EN	1	2,500	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
E-Periodica (Rausch et al., 2023)	Multi	4	542	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
DocHieNet (Xing et al., 2024)	Multi	2	1,673	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
Amazon Sentiment Polarity (Prettenhofer and Stein, 2010)	Multi	4	6,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓			
Sinhala-English-Code-Switched-Dataset (Rathnayake et al., 2022)	Multi	2	10,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓			
AfriSenti (Muhammad et al., 2023)	Multi	14	112,506	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓			
Tobacco-3482 (Kumar et al., 2014)	EN	1	3,482	✓		✓					✓			
RVL-CDIP (Harley et al., 2015)	EN	1	400,000	✓		✓					✓			
MLDoc (Schwenk and Li, 2018)	Multi	8	6,000	✓	✓						✓			
MultiEURLEX (Chalkidis et al., 2021)	Multi	23	65,000	✓	✓						✓			
En2ZhSum (Zhu et al., 2019)	Multi	2	370,687	✓	✓							✓		
Zh2EnSum (Zhu et al., 2019)	Multi	2	1,699,713	✓	✓							✓		
MLSUM (Scialom et al., 2020)	Multi	5	1,571,041	✓	✓							✓		
Wikilingua (Ladhak et al., 2020)	Multi	18	770,000	✓	✓							✓		
XL-Sum (Hasan et al., 2021)	Multi	44	1,005,292	✓	✓							✓		
MassiveSumm (Varab and Schluter, 2021)	Multi	92	31,940,180	✓	✓							✓		
CrossSum (Bhattacharjee et al., 2023)	Multi	45	1,678,466	✓	✓							✓		
CroCoSum (Zhang and Eickhoff, 2024)	Multi	2	42,000	✓	✓							✓		
GlobeSumm (Ye et al., 2024)	Multi	26	4,687	✓	✓							✓		
Crello (Yamaguchi, 2021)	N/A	N/A	23,322	✓		✓	✓						✓	
PubGenNet (Biswas et al., 2024)	N/A	N/A	346,948	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	
TriviaQA (Joshi et al., 2017)	EN	1	662,659	✓	✓									✓
HotpotQA (Yang et al., 2018)	EN	1	112,779	✓	✓									✓
NarrativeQA (Kociský et al., 2018)	EN	1	1,572	✓	✓									✓
TextVQA (Singh et al., 2019)	EN	1	28,408	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
OCR-VQA (Mishra et al., 2019)	EN	1	207,572	✓	✓									✓
Natural Questions (Kwiatkowski et al., 2019)	EN	1	307,373	✓	✓									✓
2WikiMultiHopQA (Ho et al., 2020)	EN	1	192,606	✓	✓									✓
VisualMRC (Tanaka et al., 2021)	EN	1	10,234	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
WebSRC (Chen et al., 2021)	EN	1	6,400	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
MultiModalQA (Talmor et al., 2021)	EN	1	57,713	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
DocVQA (Mathew et al., 2021)	EN	1	12,767	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
InfographicsVQA (Mathew et al., 2022)	EN	1	5,485	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
MP-DocVQA (Tito et al., 2022)	EN	1	5,928	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
DuReader _{vis} (Qi et al., 2022)	CN	1	158,000	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
DUDE (Landeghem et al., 2023)	EN	1	5,019	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
SlideVQA (Tanaka et al., 2023)	EN	1	2,619	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
xPQA (Shen et al., 2023)	Multi	12	18,000	✓	✓									✓
TAT-DQA (Zhu et al., 2024a)	EN	1	2,758	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
MMLongbench-Doc (Ma et al., 2024b)	EN	1	135	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
M-LongDoc (Chia et al., 2024)	EN	1	851	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
VisDoMBench (Suri et al., 2024)	EN	1	1,277	✓	✓	✓		✓						✓
ViDoRe (Fayssse et al., 2024)	Multi	2	8,310	✓	✓	✓								✓
X-AlpacaEva (Zhang et al., 2024g)	Multi	5	4,025	✓	✓	✓								✓
CHIC (Mahamoud et al., 2024)	Multi	5	774	✗	✓	✓		✓						✓
Belebele (Bandarkar et al., 2024)	Multi	122	109,800	✓	✓									✓
mOSCAR (Futeral et al., 2024)	Multi	163	314,827,611	✓	✓	✓								✓

Table 1: Benchmark datasets for DAI tasks. Datasets are grouped by task, followed by publication year and language.