
Information Extraction: An application to the domain of hyper-local financial data on developing countries

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

Abstract

1 Despite the need for financial and economic data in developing countries for
2 development research and economic analysis, such data remains limited and silo-
3 ed. In this paper, we develop and evaluate two Natural Language Processing
4 (NLP) based approaches to address this issue. First, we curate a custom dataset
5 specific to the domain of financial text data on developing countries and explore
6 multiple approaches for information extraction. We then explore a text-to-text
7 approach leveraging the transformer-based T5 model with the goal of undertaking
8 simultaneous Named Entity Recognition and relation extraction. We find that
9 this model is able to learn the custom text structure output data corresponding to
10 the entities and their relations, resulting in an accuracy of 92.44%, a precision
11 of 68.25% and a recall of 54.20% from our best T5 model on the combined task.
12 Secondly, we explore an approach with sequential NER and relation extraction. For
13 the NER, we run pre-trained and fine-tuned models using SpaCy, and we develop
14 a custom relation extraction model using SpaCy's Dependency Parser output and
15 some heuristics to determine entity relationships. We obtain an accuracy of 84.72%,
16 a precision of 6.06% and a recall of 5.57% on this sequential task. Overall, LLMs
17 such as T5 show tremendous promise in bridging the identified data gap.

1 Motivation and Related Work

19 Over 1 billion people around the world lack access to formal financial services and are either
20 underbanked or unbanked [1]. In particular, the United Nations has identified financial inclusion
21 as a direct enabler and target of at least 8 of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals[2][3]. Access
22 to investment capital is also a significant issue in many parts of the world. Many activities such as
23 progress monitoring of financial inclusion efforts, capital deployment to accelerate it, and economic
24 impact assessment are highly dependent on the availability of hyper-local financial data in various
25 countries. Such data is currently extremely limited in developing countries [4].

26 The use of natural language processing (NLP) techniques to extract and curate financial data on
27 companies is a familiar one that is expected to accelerate due to advancements in large language
28 models. Companies such as Bloomberg use information extraction techniques such as named entity
29 recognition and relation extraction models to track organization activity such as how much capital
30 companies have raised among other activities from a variety of online text sources [5]. Despite this
31 progress, there are several limitations in the advancement of models for this type of application.
32 For example, there is a gap in literature on annotated financial datasets for developing countries
33 for Named Entity Recognition (NER) and relation extraction tasks. Second, there is a dearth of
34 research on the performance of state-of-the-art NLP-based NER and information extraction models
35 on financial data generated by non-Western countries. This is important because named entities and

36 financial news writing/reporting linguistic styles may vary significantly as we move from western
37 English-speaking sources to non-Western/developing country sources.

38 1.1 Named Entity Recognition

39 Information extraction, task of identifying critical details like entity relationships from texts, has
40 been extensively explored in NLP. This task can be unsupervised, semi-supervised, supervised or
41 rule-based. Rule-based extraction, exemplified by works like Mykowiecka et al., relies on human-
42 crafted rules[6]. Its limitations notwithstanding, it is widely applied in areas such as clinical data,
43 with some studies like Hanafi et al. attempting automated rule creation[7]. On the other hand,
44 supervised approaches, especially deep learning ones, have garnered attention for tasks such as
45 extracting scientific information, as discussed in Han and Oleynik (2020)[8].

46 A central aspect of information extraction is Named Entity Recognition (NER). Exceptional perfor-
47 mance in NER has been noted, with models like the one by Lample et al. achieving F-1 scores around
48 90% on English-NER[9]. Other works, like Chiu and Nichols (2016), also tout high performance
49 with simpler architectures[10]. Notably, while supervised NER techniques dominate, there have been
50 unsupervised learning approaches with varying success.

51 State-of-the-art performances in NLP are largely attributed to large language models such as GPT,
52 T5, which are versatile across multiple NLP tasks[11]. While there are numerous examples of their
53 applications in various domains, their utilization for simultaneous NER and relation extraction in
54 finance has not been extensively documented.

55 Data availability is pivotal for model evaluation. Although datasets like CoNLL-2003 and OntoNotes-
56 5 are staples for NER, finance-focused datasets, especially for developing countries, are scant[12][13].

57 Recognizing these gaps, our paper explores focuses on hyper-local financial data on developing
58 countries and explores two extraction approaches:

- 59 • T5 LLM for simultaneous entity detection and relation extraction.
- 60 • A method based on a pre-trained CNN-based NER, Dependency Parsing, and heuristic
61 extraction.

62 Our results, particularly with the T5 model, are promising and detailed in this paper’s results section.

63 2 Approach

64 For our task, for a given sentence, we want the following:

65 **Sample Input:** "Apple had a net income of \$9.4 million"

66 **Sample Output:** (Company:"Apple"), (Monetary_variable: "a net income"), (Monetary_value: "\$9.4
67 million")

68 We explored two main approaches to this information extraction task: 1) a text-to-text t5 model
69 for simultaneous entity extraction and relationship tags, and 2) a custom approach which combines
70 outputs from a pre-trained NER model with those from a dependency parser with some heuristics.

71 2.1 Data

72 We scraped a prominent African financial news website (Tech Cabal) to retrieve 400+ news articles
73 from 2019 and 2020 [14]. We then conducted other pre-processing steps on the dataset to change it
74 to a format suitable for our models. We decided to structure our input at the paragraph-level instead
75 of the sentence-level in order to capture relationships between entities that spanned several sentences.
76 In order to use the T5 model, we manually labeled over 6,000 paragraphs in the following format:

77 *company name, variable name, variable value, variable date*

78 where variable name could be one of founder, country, revenue, customers/users, or investment.

79 In the cases where there were more than one piece of information in the text, we concatenated them
80 all using a separator in the middle. The following shows one sample input and its corresponding
81 target text:

82 Input text: f target text: *Jumia, revenue, €41 million, Q4 2020* | *Jumia, revenue, €33.7 million, Q3*
83 *2020*

84 For our test set, we selected 20% of the training samples, ensuring no overlap with the training set
85 to prevent model evaluation on memorized data. To assess model performance, we compared each
86 word in the target output with its counterpart in the predicted output, employing both exact and fuzzy
87 similarity scoring (above 90

88 2.2 LLM-based Simultaneous Entity Detection and Relation Extraction

89 For our t5-based model, we conducted three experiments:

- 90 • 1) Determining the best training set composition,
- 91 • 2) Evaluating the optimal model size, and
- 92 • 3) Hyper-parameter tuning.

93 Initially, we tested the small, base, and large t5 models for a single epoch. While there are bigger t5
94 models available, we could not use them due to our virtual machine constraints.

95 From the first experiment, optimal results came from training on the full set, then fine-tuning using a
96 subset of informative samples balanced with non-informative ones.

97 The large t5 model stood out in performance, guiding our hyper-parameter adjustments. We varied
98 batch size and epochs, keeping a consistent learning rate at 1e-4. Observing both the training and test
99 set, we noted a stable 93% accuracy, even after 10 epochs spanning 5 hours. Pushing for 20 epochs
100 with early stopping, however, resulted in overfitting and declined test performance.

101 2.3 Sequential CNN-based NER + Dependency Parsing and custom heuristics

102 Here, our aim was to tailor pre-trained NER and dependency parsing models to our specific task.
103 We employed SpaCy - an open source model which uses residual convolutional neural networks
104 and incremental parsing with bloom embeddings. Spacy's en_core_web_md" pipeline was used for
105 primary entity detection and dependency parsing. With a focus on five entities: Companies, Persons,
106 Country, Money, and Date, our goal was to align our outputs with the T5 model format.

107 Our extraction process concentrated on discerning the relationship between detected entities, primarily
108 companies. For instance, a recognized Money entity would be categorized as 'investment' or 'revenue'
109 if linked to a company. Similarly, a Person entity would be tagged as a 'founder' if related to the
110 founding of the company.

111 We honed heuristics for these pairwise relations:

- 112 1. company - money
- 113 2. company - date
- 114 3. company - country
- 115 4. company - person.

116 To provide an example: in the statement, "*Apple had a net income of \$9.4 million*", the Money entity
117 "\$9.4 million" is connected to "Apple". To ascertain whether it is an investment or revenue, our
118 classifier function uses embeddings of the phrase "a net income", comparing them to embeddings
119 of revenue and investment-related words. With SpaCy's similarity function, we label the type if
120 similarity surpasses 0.5.

121 We employed a similar approach for person entities and introduced a wrapper function for consolidat-
122 ing pairwise relations into a singular T5-compatible output.

123 Building on these foundational heuristics, we moved on to more intricate rules for relation detection
124 using SpaCy's parser and NER tools. We iteratively refined our heuristics on a dataset subset and
125 subsequently benchmarked against the full test data with T5.

126 Two distinct heuristics emerged: * Money-Company mapping:

- From a "MONEY" token, we probed its left ancestors for a related "ORGANIZATION".
 - For absent subjects, we examined its verb's children for 'ORGS'.
- * Company-Date mapping:
- For an "ORGANIZATION" token, we inspected neighboring prepositions for "DATE" entities.
- Other detailed heuristics were also explored but not described here due to space constraints.

3 Results and Analysis

The following table summarizes the performance of our select models on the test set. We are only reporting the results from the evaluation based on exact matching.

Number	Approach	Model size	Epochs	Accuracy	Recall	Precision	Specificity	F1-score
1	Heuristic-Baseline	N/A	N/A	84.72%	5.57%	6.06%	92.03%	0.058
2	T5	Large	1	49.58%	55.99%	9.2%	48.98%	0.158
3	T5	Base	10	92.07%	67.41%	52.38%	94.34%	0.590
4	T5	Large	10	92.44%	68.25%	54.20%	94.68%	0.604
5	T5	Large	20	85.79%	32.09%	28.03%	91.40%	0.299

Our best model was the T5-based model run for 10 epochs.

Our T5-based model showcased commendable results on the test set, even with limited training data and exact matching criteria. We anticipate further improvements with more data. Upon comparing our T5 model's predictions with the baseline heuristic, we noticed that our evaluation may be overly rigorous. This stringency often penalized the model for minor deviations, like order or exactness.

For the baseline heuristic, it sometimes recognized company affiliates instead of founders, leading to undue penalties in our evaluation. The model's tendency to detect extra or fewer words also affected its score due to our strict evaluation parameters.

An assessment of both models unveiled issues in our data quality. There were instances where true relationships detected by the models were not labeled correctly in the training set. Enhancing our data quality would undoubtedly uplift both models' performance.

In conclusion, both methodologies present a promising avenue for information extraction in this context. Given the T5 model's effectiveness, we plan to integrate it into our main pipeline.

4 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we have highlighted a gap in the use of NLP information extraction techniques on financial data originating from developing countries. We have curated a labelled dataset of entities and relations from over 6000 paragraphs of financial news sources from developing country sources. We have also presented two different approaches to this task of information extraction in this domain: one a staggered pre-trained CNN-based NER with dependency parsing and heuristics approach, and the other, a text-to-text approach to achieving this task using T5 - a transformer-based model by Google AI. We find that with the T5 model, despite only training on 6000 paragraphs, we achieved an F-1 score of 0.604 despite using stringent exact matching on a custom string output format. We obtained less impressive results with our best sequential NER-Dependency parsing + heuristic model when run on the full data, however, our analysis of why it scored poorly has yielded interesting directions which we will explore. We will also continue collecting more training examples in order to further fine-tune our T5 model-based approach, while also seeing if there are other transformer based approaches (e.g. BERT) that perform well on this task. Finally, beyond this paper, we aim to also explore a supervised relation extraction based approach in which a classifier model will be trained to classify the relationship between two named entities as accurate or inaccurate. It is our hope that efforts such as this can gradually help improve efforts to track financial inclusion in developing countries.

References

- [1] Abraham Augustine. The limits of accelerating digital-only financial inclusion. Accessed: 2023-10-03.
- [2] UNSGSA. Igniting sdg progress through digital financial inclusion. 2018. Accessed: 2023-10-03.
- [3] John Kuada. Financial inclusion and the sustainable development goals. In *Extending financial inclusion in Africa*, pages 259–277. Elsevier, 2019.
- [4] Alper Kara, Haoyong Zhou, and Yifan Zhou. Achieving the united nations’ sustainable development goals through financial inclusion: A systematic literature review of access to finance across the globe. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 77:101833, 2021.
- [5] Fortune. How bloomberg used new language a.i. to ‘tame the terminal’, 6 2022. Accessed: 2023-10-03.
- [6] Agnieszka Mykowiecka, Małgorzata Marciniak, and Anna Kupść. Rule-based information extraction from patients’ clinical data. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 42(5):923–936, 2009.
- [7] Maeda F Hanafi, Azza Abouzied, Laura Chiticariu, and Yunyao Li. Seer: Auto-generating information extraction rules from user-specified examples. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 6672–6682, 2017.
- [8] Udo Hahn and Michel Oleynik. Medical information extraction in the age of deep learning. *Yearbook of Medical Informatics*, 29(1):208, 2020.
- [9] Guillaume Lample, Miguel Ballesteros, Sandeep Subramanian, Kazuya Kawakami, and Chris Dyer. Neural architectures for named entity recognition. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 260–270, San Diego, California, June 2016. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [10] Jason PC Chiu and Eric Nichols. Named entity recognition with bidirectional lstm-cnns. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 4:357–370, 2016.
- [11] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *CoRR*, abs/1910.10683, 2019.
- [12] Ralph Weischedel, Martha Palmer, Mitchell Marcus, Eduard Hovy, Sameer Pradhan, Lance Ramshaw, Nianwen Xue, Ann Taylor, Jeff Kaufman, Michelle Franchini, et al. Ontonotes release 5.0 ldc2013t19. *Linguistic Data Consortium, Philadelphia, PA*, 2013.
- [13] Erik F. Tjong Kim Sang and Fien De Meulder. Introduction to the CoNLL-2003 shared task: Language-independent named entity recognition. In *Proceedings of the Seventh Conference on Natural Language Learning at HLT-NAACL 2003*, pages 142–147, 2003.
- [14] Tech cabal. <http://www.techcabal.com>. Accessed: 2020-02-20.