# IMPROVING VARIATIONAL AUTOENCODER WITH DEEP FEATURE CONSISTENT AND GENERATIVE ADVERSAR-IAL TRAINING

## Xianxu Hou, <sup>1,2</sup> Guoping Qiu <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK <sup>2</sup> Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China xianxu.hou@nottingham.edu.cn guoping.giu@nottingham.ac.uk

#### Abstract

We present a new method for improving the performances of variational autoencoder (VAE). In addition to enforcing the deep feature consistency principle thus ensuring the VAE output and its corresponding input images to have similar deep features, we also implement an adversarial generative training mechanism to force the VAE to output realistic and natural images. We present experimental results to show that the VAE trained with our new method outperform state of the art in generating face images with much clearer and more natural noses, eyes, teeth, hair textures as well as reasonable backgrounds. We also show that the VAE trained with the new method can extract more effective features that outperform state of the art in facial attribute recognition.

#### **1** INTRODUCTION

Generative models, as a branch of unsupervised learning technique in machine learning, have become an area of active research in recent years. A generative model trained with a given image database can be useful in several ways. One is to learn the essence of a dataset and generate realistic images similar to those in the dataset from random vectors. The other is to learn reusable feature representations from unlabeled image datasets for a variety of supervised learning tasks such as image classification. In this paper, we propose a new method to train the variational autoencoder (VAE) (Kingma & Welling, 2013) to improve its performances in the aforementioned two applications. First, we use a deep feature consistent principle to ensure that the output image of the VAE to have deep features that are consistent with those of the input, and this is called DFC-VAE (Hou et al., 2017). Second, we use the principle of generative adversarial network (GAN) (Goodfellow et al. (2014)) to enforce the VAE output to resemble natural real images. We introduce several techniques to improve convergence of GAN training in this context. We present experimental results to show that our new method can generate face images with much clearer facial features such as eyes, nose, mouth, teeth, ears and hairs. We also show that the VAE trained by our method can extract much more effective features that outperform state of the art in facial attribute recognition.

### 2 RELATED WORK

Several methods have been proposed to improve the performance of VAE. Kingma et al. (2014) proposes to build variational autoencoders by conditioning on either class labels or on a variety of visual attributes. Ridgeway et al. (2015) and Hou et al. (2017) consider replacing per-pixel loss with perceptual similarities using either multi-scale structural similarity score or a perceptual loss based on deep features extracted from pretrained deep networks. In addition, several recent papers (Denton et al., 2015; Radford et al., 2015; Im et al., 2016; Salimans et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2016; Arjovsky et al., 2017) have focused on improving the perceptual quality of the output of GAN and the training stability of GAN through architectural innovation and new training techniques. Our model combines the advantages of deep feature consistent VAE (DFC-VAE) (Hou et al., 2017) and Wasserstein GAN (WGAN) (Arjovsky et al., 2017) to improve the variational autoencoder.



Figure 1: Model overview. From left to right: The Variational autoencoder (VAE), the VGGNet used for computing perceptual loss to enforce deep feature consistency between the VAE's output and the input images, the discriminator trained based on the principle of WGAN. Note that the inputs to the discriminator come from the first convolutional layer of the VGGNet.

#### 3 DEEP FEATURE CONSISTENCY, VAE AND WGAN

As shown in Figure 1, our model consists of three components: a variational autoencoder, a pretrained VGGNet (Simonyan & Zisserman, 2014) for feature extraction and a classifier network used as discriminator. It is used to improve the VAE using the deep features extracted by the VGGNet and use the principle of GAN to enforce the VAE to output natural and realistic images. Both the encoder and the decoder are deep residual convolutional neural networks with a 100-dimensional latent vector. The encoder compresses the input image into the latent feature which is decoded to an output image. The VGGNet is used to extract deep features to construct the perceptual loss. The VAE also serves as a generator and works with discriminator to perform the GAN game. Instead of feeding the pixels to the discriminator, we use the first layer output of the VGGNet as the input of the discriminator and found that this can significantly improve the stability of the GAN training.

Similar to Johnson et al. (2016), our feature reconstruction loss is also defined as the Euclidean distance between the feature maps of a reconstruction image and a reference image. Instead of only using a single layer features, we leverage visual features at multiple scales and use the outputs of the five convolutional layers of the VGGNet, i.e.,  $L_{rec} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{100}{C_i^2} L_i$ , where  $L_i$  and  $C_i$  are the feature loss and the number of filters at *i*<sup>th</sup> layer, respectively.

In order to improve the stability of WGAN training (Arjovsky et al., 2017), unlike traditional GAN that directly feeds the raw real images and the generated images to a discriminator, we first extract the first layer features of the pretrained VGGNet and feed them to the discriminator network. Another technique is to further relax the constraint on the output of the discriminator network. WGAN proposes to remove the last Sigmoid layer in the generator and use 1 and -1 as ground-truth for real and generated images. In our experiments, we found that GAN training could collapse and the VAE training tends to dominate when using the default setting of WGAN. Instead, by simply using bigger values to represent ground-truth (10 and -10), we can achieve a good balance between the VAE and GAN training, producing better results.

#### 4 EXPERIMENT

**Image generation.** Our model is evaluated on the CelebA dataset (Liu et al., 2015). Figure 2 (a) gives some qualitative examples of the generated images by DCGAN (Radford et al., 2015), DFC-VAE (Hou et al., 2017) and our new VAE-WGAN from random vectors. We can see that our new method can generate more consistent and realistic human faces with much clearer noses, eyes, teeth, hair textures as well as reasonable background. Furthermore, we have conducted experiments to manipulate the facial attributes in the learned latent space. For a given attribute like *smiling*, 2,000 smiling face samples are fed into the trained encoder to generate 2000 latent vectors. The average of these 2000 latent features forms latent feature  $z_{smiling+}$ . Similarly, we use 2000 non smiling face samples to generate a non-smiling latent vector  $z_{smiling-}$ . Finally the difference  $z_{smiling} = z_{smile+} - z_{smile-}$ , which in effect takes away any non-smiling attributes from the smiling latent feature, is used as the semantic representation for the attribute *smiling*. Similarly, we use the same approach to constructing other semantic attribute latent features for *Bald*, *Black hair*, *Eyeglass*,



Figure 2: Qualitative results. (a) shows the faces generated from random vectors. (b) shows the results for facial attributes manipulation in the latent space, e.g.  $z = z + \alpha z_{smiling}$ .

Method	5 Shadow	Arch. Eyebrows	Attractive	Bags Un. Eyes	Bald	Bangs	Big Lips	Big Nose	Black Hair	Blond Hair	Blurry	Brown Hair	Bushy Eyebrows	Chubby	Double Chin	Eyeglasses	Goatee	Gray Hair	Heavy Makeup	H. Cheekbones	Male
FaceTracer (Kumar et al., 2008)	85	76	78	76	89	88	64	74	70	80	81	60	80	86	88	98	93	90	85	84	91
PANDA-w (Zhang et al., 2014)	82	73	77	71	92	89	61	70	74	81	77	69	76	82	85	94	86	88	84	80	93
PANDA-l (Zhang et al., 2014)	88	78	81	79	96	92	67	75	85	93	86	77	86	86	88	98	93	94	90	86	97
LNets+ANet (Liu et al., 2015)	91	79	81	79	98	95	68	78	88	95	84	80	90	91	92	99	95	97	90	87	98
VAE-123 (Hou et al., 2017)	89	77	75	81	98	91	76	79	83	92	95	80	87	94	95	96	94	96	85	81	90
VAE-345 (Hou et al., 2017)	89	80	78	82	98	95	77	81	85	93	95	80	88	94	96	99	95	97	89	85	95
VAE-WGAN (ours)	90	80	79	82	98	95	77	81	86	94	95	82	89	95	96	98	95	97	88	85	94
THE TO GET (Ours)																					
(112) (10) ((0113)				-																	
Method	Mouth S. O.	Mustache	Narrow Eyes	No Beard	Oval Face	Pale Skin	Pointy Nose	Reced. Hairline	Rosy Cheeks	Sideburns	Smiling	Straight Hair	Wavy Hair	Wear. Earrings	Wear. Hat	Wear. Lipstick	Wear. Necklace	Wear. Necktie	Young	Average	
Method FaceTracer (Kumar et al., 2008)	28 Mouth S. O.	Mustache	28 Narrow Eyes	06 No Beard	P Oval Face	28 Pale Skin	Pointy Nose	92 Reced. Hairline	& Rosy Cheeks	8 Sideburns	88 Smiling	Straight Hair	Wavy Hair	22 Wear. Earrings	68 Wear. Hat	& Wear. Lipstick	& Wear. Necklace	% Wear. Necktie	80 Young	Average Average	
Method FaceTracer (Kumar et al., 2008) PANDA-w (Zhang et al., 2014)	.O. S unouth S. O. 87 87 82	Mustache 83	Narrow Eyes 62	No Beard 87	0val Face	Pale Skin 83	9 Pointy Nose	85 92 Reced. Hairline	12 Rosy Cheeks	06 8 1 06 06 06 06	88 Smiling	2 29 Straight Hair	Wavy Hair 22	22 Wear. Earrings	68 Wear. Hat	88 & Wear. Lipstick	2 8 Wear. Necklace	88 98 Wear. Necktie	gunoX 80 77	Average Average 81.13 79.85	
Method FaceTracer (Kumar et al., 2008) PANDA-w (Zhang et al., 2014) PANDA-I (Zhang et al., 2014)	0 .S upon Wonth S7 82 93	Mustache 83 83	<ul><li>Narrow Eyes</li></ul>	No Beard 87 87 87 87	Oval Face 65	Pale Skin 83 16	Pointy Nose	58 92 Reced. Hairline	Rosy Cheeks	Sideburns 66 83	89 89 <b>92</b>	69 29 Straight Hair	22 Vavy Hair 24 Vavy Hair	82 Edwar. Earrings	Mear. Hat 68	<b>56</b> 88 68 Wear. Lipstick	<ul><li>4.0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</li></ul>	16 Wear. Necktie	випод 80 77 84	Average Average 81.13 79.85 85.43	
Method FaceTracer (Kumar et al., 2008) PANDA-w (Zhang et al., 2014) PANDA-I (Zhang et al., 2014) LNets+ANet (Liu et al., 2015)	O.S. thom Would State St	Mustache 83 95	Narrow Eyes 62 83 84 81	No Beard 86 87 87 87 87 87 87	0val Face 65 66	Pale Skin 68 16 16	Pointy Nose 89	88 82 92 Reced. Hairline	Rosy Cheeks 66	94 93 96	suiling 89 <b>92</b> <b>92</b>	<ul><li>Contraight Hair</li><li>Contraight Hair</li></ul>	<b>08</b> 02 02 02 03 03 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04	82 24 Wear. Earrings	68 Wear. Hat	<b>56</b> 88 68 Wear. Lipstick	Vear. Necklace	Mear. Necktie	δumo 80 77 84 87	81.13 79.85 85.43 87.30	
Method FaceTracer (Kumar et al., 2008) PANDA-w (Zhang et al., 2014) PANDA-I (Zhang et al., 2014) LNets+ANet (Liu et al., 2015) VAE-123 (Hou et al., 2017)	0. S though a second se	Mustache 6 90 90 90	Narrow Eyes 88 81 88 88	No Beard 87 93 93 88	00val Face 65 66 73	Bale Skin 83 91 96	Pointy Nose 89 80 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	<b>56</b> 83 92 86 92 86 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92 92	Rosy Cheeks 18 18 06 <b>66</b>	800 Sideburns 800 Sideburns 900 95	<sup>50</sup> 89 89 92 92 87	<ul> <li>69</li> &lt;</ul>	. Mavy Hair 77 76 77 77 76 77 77	28 82 22 22 28 22 28 26 28 26 28 26 28 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	68 96 96 96 96	88 68 Wear. Lipstick	88 Wear. Necklace	88 88 08 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	sunoX 80 77 84 <b>87</b> 81	81.13 79.85 85.43 87.30 86.95	
Method FaceTracer (Kumar et al., 2008) PANDA-w (Zhang et al., 2014) PANDA-1 (Zhang et al., 2014) LNets+ANet (Liu et al., 2017) VAE-123 (Hou et al., 2017) VAE-345 (Hou et al., 2017)	O.S. thom Would be a second se	Wnstache 91 95 96 96	88 88 88 88 89 88	No Bcard 88 91	0val Face 64 65 66 73 <b>74</b>	Bale Skin 83 96 96	Bointy Nose Pointy Nose 23	<b>56</b> <b>68</b> <b>78</b> <b>79</b> <b>79</b> <b>79</b> <b>79</b> <b>79</b> <b>79</b> <b>79</b> <b>79</b>	Rosy Cheeks 84 86 66 78	96 Sideburns	builing 89 89 92 87 91	<b>80</b> 81 Hair	74 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	28 84 Kear. Earrings	86 96 86 86 86 86 86	88 68 Wear. Lipstick	88 88 12 29 89 Wear. Necklace	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	sumo 80 77 84 <b>87</b> 81 84	81.13 79.85 85.43 87.30 86.95 88.73	

Table 1: Performance comparison of 40 facial attributes prediction.

*Male, Smiling, Mustache.* Thus, for a given image with latent vector z, we can manipulate the facial attribute with the corresponding attribute vector arithmetically, e.g.  $z = z + \alpha z_{smiling}$ . Figure 2 (b) shows the results for 6 attributes, i.e., *Bald, Black hair, Eyeglass, Male, Smiling*, and *Mustache*. We can see that our method can achieve smooth transitions for different facial attributes, demonstrating that the face attributes can be modeled linearly in the learned latent space.

**Facial attributes prediction.** We further evaluate the quality of the learned latent representations of the VAE by applying them to facial attributes prediction. Like Liu et al. (2015), 20,000 face images in the CelebA dataset (Liu et al., 2015) are used for testing while the remaining are used as training data. We used a multi-level feature extraction strategy to extract robust features that cover different image scales. Specifically, 5 VAE-WGAN models are trained independently, each using a different convolutional layer of the VGGNet for computing the perceptual loss. The latent vectors for all the 5 models are concatenated as the final extracted features which are used to train standard linear SVM classifiers to predict the 40 facial attributes in the dataset. Results are shown in Table 1. It is seen that our method outperforms all the previous methods.

### 5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, We propose a more stable architecture and several simple yet effective techniques to incorporate variational autoencoder in the framework of generative adversarial network. Our model can generate more consistent and realistic human faces with clearer noses, eyes, teeth, hair textures as well as reasonable background. In addition, we further study the quality of the learned representation and achieve new state-of-the-art performance for facial attribute prediction.

#### REFERENCES

- Martin Arjovsky, Soumith Chintala, and Léon Bottou. Wasserstein gan. arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.07875, 2017.
- Xi Chen, Yan Duan, Rein Houthooft, John Schulman, Ilya Sutskever, and Pieter Abbeel. Infogan: Interpretable representation learning by information maximizing generative adversarial nets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.03657*, 2016.
- Emily L Denton, Soumith Chintala, Rob Fergus, et al. Deep generative image models using a laplacian pyramid of adversarial networks. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pp. 1486–1494, 2015.
- Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pp. 2672–2680, 2014.
- Xianxu Hou, Linlin Shen, Ke Sun, and Guoping Qiu. Deep feature consistent variational autoencoder. In Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), 2017 IEEE Winter Conference on, pp. 1133– 1141. IEEE, 2017.
- Daniel Jiwoong Im, Chris Dongjoo Kim, Hui Jiang, and Roland Memisevic. Generating images with recurrent adversarial networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.05110*, 2016.
- Justin Johnson, Alexandre Alahi, and Li Fei-Fei. Perceptual losses for real-time style transfer and super-resolution. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.08155, 2016.
- Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013.
- Diederik P Kingma, Shakir Mohamed, Danilo Jimenez Rezende, and Max Welling. Semi-supervised learning with deep generative models. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pp. 3581–3589, 2014.
- Neeraj Kumar, Peter Belhumeur, and Shree Nayar. Facetracer: A search engine for large collections of images with faces. In *European conference on computer vision*, pp. 340–353. Springer, 2008.
- Ziwei Liu, Ping Luo, Xiaogang Wang, and Xiaoou Tang. Deep learning face attributes in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 3730–3738, 2015.
- Alec Radford, Luke Metz, and Soumith Chintala. Unsupervised representation learning with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06434*, 2015.
- Karl Ridgeway, Jake Snell, Brett Roads, Richard Zemel, and Michael Mozer. Learning to generate images with perceptual similarity metrics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06409*, 2015.
- Tim Salimans, Ian Goodfellow, Wojciech Zaremba, Vicki Cheung, Alec Radford, and Xi Chen. Improved techniques for training gans. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.03498*, 2016.
- Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556*, 2014.
- Ning Zhang, Manohar Paluri, Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Trevor Darrell, and Lubomir Bourdev. Panda: Pose aligned networks for deep attribute modeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 1637–1644, 2014.