ANYEXPRESS: ONE ADAPTER ENABLING HIGHLY FLEXIBLE AUDIO-DRIVEN PORTRAIT ANIMATION

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Portrait animation, particularly audio-driven portrait animation, requires flexibility in facial expressions, head movement, and dynamic contexts. However, existing diffusion-based methods rely heavily on the design of ReferenceNet, leading to increased training complexity and incompatibility with other custom base models or adapters, also limiting face position, view changes, and animated context generation. To address these challenges, we propose *AnyExpress*, a lightweight, modular framework that eliminates the need for ReferenceNet, reducing the number of trainable parameters by 7 times. By training one plug-and-play audio-motion *adapter*, it allows freeform, expressive audio-driven portrait animation with any face pose and any animated context, while supporting text-driven modifications. In the context of character generation, there are two primary methods to control the desired character attributes. First, if a specific ID needs to be assigned, this can be achieved through ID controls (e.g., IP-Adapter-Face). Alternatively, the character's attributes can be controlled through textual descriptions. Through comprehensive qualitative and quantitative analyses, AnyExpress demonstrates unprecedented freedom in generating videos with dynamic background, lower training demand, and seamless integration with evolving custom models and control adapters, providing a flexible solution for diverse generation needs. The demo is available at https://anyexpress-alpha.github.io/Any, and we will release our code, encouraging further improvement.

032

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

025

026

027

1 INTRODUCTION

The human face talking video is not merely a static object in the context of multimedia and communication; rather, it is a dynamic, vibrant object in which the background changes and the speaker's face can appear from front-facing to side profiles and other poses (Wang et al., 2021a;b; Zhong et al., 2023b; Zhang et al., 2023a). Recently, advanced methods built on ReferenceNet-based diffusion frameworks (Hu, 2024) have demonstrated superior performance in audio-driven portrait animation (Chen et al., 2024; Tian et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024a; Wei et al., 2024; Xu et al., 2024a).

However, we argue that this design has two limitations. First, as shown in Fig. 1 middle top, reliance
on a ReferenceNet increases the model's parameter count and complicates the training procedure.
This added 2D-UNet results in a highly coupled framework, additional training stages, and greater
resource requirements, making the approach less scalable and generalizable under other control
adapters. Second, as shown in Fig. 1 right top, current methods overly constrain video outputs,
with the pose tightly bound to the reference image, limiting view change through large angles or
outpainting generation. Additionally, these methods can only produce a static background as the
reference image, which fails to reflect the dynamic environments of the real-world.

Considering this, we argue that highly flexible, audio-driven talking face generation is crucial for real-world applications. We term this *Freeform Portrait Animation*, which aims to generate talking faces in any configuration (Fig. 1 right): **①** Any face pose, allowing for faces to be generated from any angle or position, extending beyond the reference image; **②** Any animated context, seamlessly integrating talking faces with animated elements and backgrounds; **③** Any control via text instructions, enabling users to modify background and identity attributes through text prompts.

053 To address these limitations and achieve *Freeform Portrait Animation*, we design a solution centered on the principle of "*Low Coupling, High Cohesion*", enabling seamless integration with other



Figure 1: Left: control signals (identity, portrait position, text, audio) used in audio-driven portrait animation. Middle: ReferenceNet paradigm (highly coupled, large model with constrained animation) and our *AnyExpress* (lightweight, plug-and-play framework with flexible controls). Right: the *Freeform Portrait Animation* task, enabling any face poses, animated contexts, and text control.

077 personalized diffusion models and compatibility with additional adapters for various control condi-078 tions. To achieve this, we propose to train a self-contained "Audio-Motion Adapter" with a single, 079 well-defined purpose: learning audio-driven portrait animation priors and aligning them with the 080 internal knowledge of text-to-image(T2I) models. This design overcomes the limited generalizability of the ReferenceNet-based paradigm and eliminates the need to duplicate knowledge across modules, 081 particularly ReferenceNet. The audio-motion adapter is optimized not only for lip-sync and speech 082 animation but also for preserving the intrinsic capabilities of video diffusion models (Ho et al., 2022). 083 These capabilities include dynamic background animations, unrestricted movement and positioning 084 of the subject, and generating more flexible and realistic talking face animations. 085

Given these limitations and design objectives, we propose AnyExpress, a scalable audio-driven portrait animation framework featuring two key innovations. 1) ReferenceNet-Free: Unlike prior 087 methods, AnyExpress eliminates the reliance on ReferenceNet and its excessively strong control. Instead, it uses an optional, weak but flexible Face-ID control signal to maintain consistency of a 089 target identity across frames, reducing computational load while still preserving facial features. 2) 090 Audio-Motion Adapter: This modular adapter focuses solely on motion dynamics and controls 091 the generation of talking faces based on audio input without retraining the entire UNet architecture. 092 Its modularity allows seamless integration with various custom T2I models and other adapters. Furthermore, we extend a Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy under the ReferenceNet-Free 094 paradigm, to ensure smooth transitions between segments in long video sequences by inheriting prefix 095 frames, thus maintaining continuity in motion and appearance. Our contributions are summarized as:

096 097 098

099

100

101

073

074

075

- *Limitations Identification*: We identify the limitations of current audio-driven portrait animation methods, particularly their reliance on ReferenceNet, which adds computational complexity and limits flexibility in face poses and backgrounds. To address this, we introduce *Freeform Portrait Animation*, a task focused on greater flexibility and real-world applicability, and to the best of our knowledge, we are the first to introduce this task.
- Methodology: We present AnyExpress, a plug-and-play solution for flexible portrait animation using a lightweight Audio-Motion Adapter. This adapter efficiently handles motion dynamics and lip-sync, seamlessly integrating with personalized diffusion models and supporting various control conditions (e.g., IP-Adapter-Face (Ye et al., 2023), text instructions).
- Superiority: Extensive experiments show that our method generates flexible, high-quality portrait animation videos across diverse conditions, while maintaining satisfactory identity consistency. These conditions can be easily combined for multi-condition control without further fine-tuning.

108 2 RELATED WORK

110 Diffusion-Based Portrait Animation. Recent diffusion-based portrait animation methods focus 111 on different control modalities—audio, visual signals, or multi-modal combinations. Audio-driven 112 methods synchronize lip movements and head motions but struggle with large-scale head movements, 113 limiting expressiveness (Wang et al., 2021a; Yu et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023c). 114 Visual-driven methods use facial landmarks and poses to guide animations but fail to incorporate audio dynamics and often introduce distortions when driving signals differ significantly from the reference 115 image in identity or proportions (Xie et al., 2024; Ma et al., 2024). Several multi-modal methods 116 attempt to combine audio and visual signals for more nuanced control (Drobyshev et al., 2024; Wang 117 et al., 2024a; Yang et al., 2024a). However, these existing methods primarily rely on strong control 118 via ReferenceNet, resulting in video generation being overly constrained by the reference image. This 119 not only limits flexibility in adapting to dynamic external conditions, such as backgrounds or facial 120 poses, but also complicates the training processes and prevents adaptive evolution alongside new 121 developments in diffusion models (Chen et al., 2023a; Sauer et al., 2023; 2024; Esser et al., 2024). In 122 this work, we address these limitations by introducing a lightweight adapter that supports *Freeform* 123 *Portrait Animation*. Our approach enables face generation from various angles and positions within 124 dynamic environments, providing a more flexible and natural animation experience.

125 Adapter. Adapters were introduced to make fine-tuning large pre-trained models more efficient, 126 enabling transfer learning with compact modules instead of full-model fine-tuning (Houlsby et al., 127 2019; Li et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2023b; Hu et al., 2021). As large-scale datasets have grown 128 (Schuhmann et al., 2022), diffusion models now have billions of parameters. Fine-tuning all these 129 for each task (Rombach et al., 2022; Peebles & Xie, 2023; Podell et al., 2024; BlackForestLabs, 130 2024; Yang et al., 2024c) is computationally expensive and can cause issues like catastrophic 131 forgetting (Smith et al., 2024; Gao & Liu, 2023), where models lose previously learned knowledge. To address this, adapter-based methods (Mou et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2023b; Zhong et al., 2023a; 132 Xing et al., 2024) insert lightweight modules into diffusion models for task-specific adaptation. 133 However, current portrait animation methods heavily rely on fine-tuning the entire diffusion model, 134 with some approaches even replicating the UNet, which dramatically increases computation and 135 hinders transferability, highlighting the necessity of lightweight and scalable solutions. 136

3 Method

3.1 PREREQUISITE

Latent Diffusion Models. Latent diffusion models represent a class of diffusion models that operate within the encoded latent space produced by an autoencoder (Van Den Oord et al., 2017), which converts images X_0 into latent representation $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{H_z \times W_z \times D_z}$. In this work, we choose Stable Diffusion (SD) (Rombach et al., 2022) as our base model, which incorporates condition embeddings

 $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_c}$ during the diffusion process. The training objective for Stable Diffusion is encapsulated by:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{c}, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), t} \left[\left\| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_t, t, \mathbf{c}) \right\|_2^2 \right],$$

with t uniformly sampled from $\{1, \ldots, T\}$. Here, ϵ_{θ} denotes the denoising U-Net, which includes Spatial Transformer layers that facilitate both self-attention and cross-attention.

Cross Attention as Condition Guidance. The cross-attention in U-Net ensures that generated images are contextually aligned with the input auxiliary conditions, which can be expressed as:

137 138

139 140

146 147 148

$$CrossAttn(\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{c}) = Attention(\mathbf{Q}_z, \mathbf{K}_c, \mathbf{V}_c),$$
(1)

with
$$\mathbf{Q}_z = \mathbf{W}_Q \mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{K}_c = \mathbf{W}_K \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{V}_c = \mathbf{W}_V \mathbf{c},$$
 (2)

where W_Q , W_K , and W_V are learnable projection matrices. For the choice of conditions c, SD employs a CLIP text encoder (Radford et al., 2021) to transform the input text prompt into a conditional text embedding c_{text} . For portrait animation, a pretrained Wav2Vec encoder (Baevski et al., 2020) is usually utilized to encode audio into condition embeddings c_{aud} .

Model Architecture. Previous methods for audio-driven portrait animation typically rely on the ReferenceNet-based framework (*Appendix B*) with tightly coupled, jointly trained modules, which



Figure 2: Left: Only a lightweight, self-contained *Audio-Motion Adapter* is fine-tuned with prefix frames and driving audio for smooth transitions. Right: The adapter allows seamless integration with personalized T2I models and compatibility with various control conditions (*e.g.*, face keypoints, text instructions). This removes redundant modules from previous methods, supporting audio-driven portrait animation while preserving the creativity and generalizability of diffusion models.

178

179

181

complicates training processes and limits flexibility. These modules include: *Control Modules* (C) for additional control signals like keypoints, face mesh, and audio; a *Motion Module* (\mathcal{M}) to ensure cross-frame coherence; a *Reference 2D U-Net* (\mathcal{R}) that extracts reference image features as a strong control for the backbone 3D U-Net; a *Backbone 3D U-Net* (\mathcal{B}) to integrate all control signals. However, this coupled framework struggles with personalized tasks like style transfer, as the U-Net cannot be easily replaced with a personalized T2I model, and adapting it requires costly retraining.

We believe a lightweight adapter model can effectively address these challenges by aligning control signals with the existing knowledge of T2I models, without the need to learn new generative abilities. To solve this "alignment" issue, the proposed *AnyExpress* eliminates the need for joint training across all modules. Instead, it focuses on an *Audio Control Module* (C_{aud}) and a *Motion Module* (\mathcal{M}), forming the "Audio-Motion Adapter" (Fig. 2, left). This design enables the adapter to learn the necessary audio-driven portrait animation priors, allowing it to animate any personalized T2I model without additional training (Fig. 2, right), making it a versatile, one-time solution for various tasks.

197

206 207 208

3.2 LESS IS MORE: REFERENCENET LIMITS GENERATION FLEXIBILITY

In portrait animation, prior methods rely heavily on ReferenceNet to ensure identity consistency by imitating the reference image. However, this severely restricts generation freedom, limiting facial expressions, head movements, and background dynamics. Moreover, without further joint training, these methods struggle to adapt to out-of-domain control signals such as text prompts or face keypoints. In this work, we challenge this reliance on ReferenceNet by replacing it with a weaker control mechanism, Text-FaceID control, which combines text descriptions with face features of reference identities. The Text-FaceID control is formulated as:

$$CrossAttn_{ID}(\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{c}_{text}, \mathbf{c}_{id}) = Attention(\mathbf{Q}_z, \mathbf{K}_c^{text}, \mathbf{V}_c^{text}) + Attention(\mathbf{Q}_z, \mathbf{K}_c^{id}, \mathbf{V}_c^{id}), \quad (3)$$

with
$$\mathbf{K}_{c}^{\text{text}} = \mathbf{W}_{K}^{\text{text}} \mathbf{c}_{\text{text}}, \mathbf{V}_{c}^{\text{text}} = \mathbf{W}_{V}^{\text{text}} \mathbf{c}_{\text{text}}, \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\text{id}} = \mathbf{W}_{K}^{\text{id}} \mathbf{c}_{\text{id}}, \mathbf{V}_{c}^{\text{id}} = \mathbf{W}_{V}^{\text{id}} \mathbf{c}_{\text{id}},$$
 (4)

where, \mathbf{c}_{id} is the face features of the reference identity; $\mathbf{W}_{K}^{\text{text}}$, $\mathbf{W}_{V}^{\text{text}}$, $\mathbf{W}_{K}^{\text{id}}$, and $\mathbf{W}_{V}^{\text{id}}$ are pretrained projection matrices. In this work, we choose IP-Adapter-Face (Ye et al., 2023) as the identity controller to initialize $\mathbf{W}_{K}^{\text{id}}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{V}^{\text{id}}$, and keep them frozen throughout.

In Fig. 3, we compare the exploration behavior of *Strong Control* (ReferenceNet) with *Weak Control* (Text-FaceID) in U-Net self-attention heads. This comparison aims to highlight the differences in diversity and focus among attention heads, which are critical for supporting *Freeform Portrait Animation*. We randomly sampled 30 identities and 50 audio clips from evaluation datasets, performing inference with all other settings identical to compare strong and weak control. By examining



Figure 3: **Strong vs. Weak Control in Portrait Animation**. Top: portrait sequences generated with identity control only, with Weak Control enabling more expressive and varied outputs. Bottom: entropy difference across attention heads for the 3rd (shallow) and 12th (deep) U-Net blocks, representing high- and low-level semantics. The solid line represents the average of the distribution.

the entropy difference across attention heads, we plot the distribution of all samples as shown in Fig. 3, providing insights into how these control methods encourage exploration and adaptability during the generation process: 1) Early Plateau of Strong Control (ReferenceNet): Strong control (blue) plateaus early, indicating that the attention heads quickly converge on similar patterns, rigidly focusing on the reference image. This leads to reduced attention diversity, limiting the model's ability to explore different features and adapt to out-of-domain control signals. 2) Gradual Increase in Entropy for Weak Control (Text-FaceID): In contrast, weak control (red) shows a gradual increase, reflecting more dynamic and adaptive attention heads. This enables the model to explore a broader range of patterns and possibilities throughout the denoising process, resulting in more flexible and varied output. 3) Larger Exploration Area for Weak Control: The wider red heatmap in the weak control case demonstrates greater exploration across attention heads. This flexibility supports the generation of more dynamic facial expressions, backgrounds, and better adaptability to additional control signals. Moreover, in the experiments part (Sec. 4), we validate that, without relying on the strong control of ReferenceNet, this shift to weak identity control still maintains identity consistency, offering a more flexible and efficient solution for audio-driven talking face generation.

Proposition 3.2 (Enhanced Generation Flexibility with Weak Control) The Weak Control mechanism enables broader exploration in attention heads compared to Strong Control, resulting in more dynamic, freeform animations and better adaptability to new control signals. We argue that replacing ReferenceNet with Text-FaceID can enhance generative freedom while maintaining identity consistency, paving the way for generating expressive and flexible talking face videos.

3.3 MORE FROM LESS: PROGRESSIVE PREFIX CONDITIONING

Generating long, temporally consistent videos with smooth transitions across extended frames is a
 significant challenge. *Progressive Fusion* strategy is widely adopted in prior methods (Fig. 4 left),
 which tackles this by averaging overlapping latents between adjacent windows. However, this naive
 approach often results in non-smooth transitions at window boundaries, as each window is processed
 independently, and direct averaging lacks meaningful semantic alignment. In ReferenceNet-based
 methods, strong control signals minimize variance between frames, making transition issues less
 noticeable. In contrast, our ReferenceNet-free design, with its greater variance in face poses and

278 279

280

281

282 283

284



Figure 4: **Comparison of long video generation strategies**. Left: *Progressive Fusion* averages overlapped frames, causing non-smooth transitions. Right: *Progressive Prefix Conditioning* uses prefix frames and anchor alignment for natural, consistent transitions across windows.

backgrounds, amplifies the inconsistencies of the progressive fusion strategy. This leads to unnatural transitions and visible artifacts between windows, as shown in our ablation studies (Sec. 4.4).

To overcome these limitations, we propose *Progressive Prefix Conditioning* (Fig. 4 right). This 286 method builds consistency among windows by conditioning each new window on prefix frames taken 287 from the end of the previous window. This is similar to how, in life, each moment informs the next, 288 creating a cohesive and natural result. The prefix frames serve as a "guiding thread," informing the 289 generation of upcoming frames and ensuring seamless continuity. However, even with prefix frames, 290 deviations in texture, color, and other attributes can still arise across windows. To mitigate this, we 291 further introduce anchor alignment. During the denoising of the first window (the anchor), we store the mean and variance of its latents across U-Net blocks at each timestep. In subsequent windows, 292 we align their latents with the stored anchor's statistics at every timestep, ensuring that the generated 293 frames remain consistent with those of the first window. Unlike Progressive Fusion, which focuses on static averaging, Progressive Prefix Conditioning allows for dynamic refinement and adjustment, 295 promoting smooth transitions. Refer to Algorithm C.1 for the formulated procedure. 296

297 298

3.4 HARMONY IN DIVERSITY: A VERSATILE AUDIO-MOTION ADAPTER

299 **Training.** In our design, we fine-tune only the audio and motion modules, using a two-stage strategy 300 to prevent overfitting in the motion module. Since the temporal module is pretrained and requires less 301 training time than the randomly initialized audio module, fine-tuning both with the same learning 302 schedule can cause the motion module to overfit the downstream training datasets. To address this, 303 in stage I, we perform longer fine-tuning of both modules to enable the audio module to drive 304 lip synchronization and ensure smooth transitions. In stage II, we restore the motion module from 305 pretrained weights and apply **shorter fine-tuning** with the audio module from stage I, achieving 306 proper integration of these two modules without identity overfitting.

307 **Inference.** During inference, a single reference image and driving audio are taken as input to generate 308 an audio-driven portrait animation video. To ensure visual consistency in long videos, we use the last 309 4 frames of the previous window as the prefix frames for the next (Sec. 3.3). Additionally, the base 310 model parameters remain unchanged throughout. After fine-tuning, the audio-motion adapter can 311 animate any personalized T2I models without needing extra data collection or further training. As shown in Fig. 6b, 11, 12, our design seamlessly supports various functionalities already present in the 312 base model and the broader adapter ecosystem. It can integrate with identity-image control (Ye et al., 313 2023; Wang et al., 2024b; Guo et al., 2024c; Song et al., 2024), pose control (Zhang et al., 2023b; 314 Mou et al., 2024), and text control. This adaptability allows for versatile multi-modal control without 315 the need for retraining large diffusion models, making it highly scalable for portrait animation tasks. 316

317 318

4 EXPERIMENTS

320 4.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETTINGS

321

319

Implementation Details. The training process used 8 NVIDIA V100 GPUs over two stages: 180,000
 steps in stage 1 and 30,000 steps in stage 2, with a batch size of 16 and a video resolution of 512
 × 512. Each instance generated 16 video frames, and noise latents are concatenated with the first 4

ground truth frames for prefix conditioning. Both stages had a learning rate of 1e-6, with the motion
 module initialized using Animatediff weights (Guo et al., 2024b). A 0.1 dropout was applied to
 reference images, text instructions, and audio during training. For inference, sequence continuity was
 ensured by concatenating noisy latents with the last 4 motion frames from the previous window.

Datasets. AnyExpress is trained by HDTF (Zhang et al., 2021) (28k clips, 42.58 hours), and other collected videos (171k clips, 263.23 hours). The facial regions in these videos are cropped and resized to 512×512. The total training dataset comprises approximately 300 hours of video. We cleaned the data by retaining single-person speaking videos with strong lip-audio consistency while excluding those with scene changes, significant camera movements, or excessive noise. Moreover, the quantitative evaluation (Table. 2) was performed on the HDTF, CelebV (Zhu et al., 2022) datasets.

334 Evaluation Metrics. Unlike ReferenceNet-based methods that mainly reconstruct the reference 335 image with minor modifications and rely on metrics like FID and FVD, our Freeform Portrait 336 Animation focuses on personalizing or re-contextualizing the talking face identity. Thus, we introduce 337 evaluation metrics tailored to measure the effectiveness for this task. For portrait animation quality, 338 *Pose Diversity Score* (ΔP) measures head motion intensity, with higher scores indicating more diverse 339 movements and flexibility (Xu et al., 2024a); and Sync-C and Sync-D evaluate lip synchronization 340 with the audio, with higher Sync-C and lower Sync-D indicating more natural lip movements (Chung & Zisserman, 2017). For video quality, DOVER Score (Wu et al., 2022; 2023) represents the overall 341 quality of videos from aesthetic and technical perspectives. For identity preservation, FaceID 342 Consistency calculates cosine similarity between generated faces and the reference image using a 343 face recognition model, while CLIP-I Score (Radford et al., 2021) measures structural similarity to 344 ensure consistent facial features and alignment. Refer to Appendix A.2 for details. 345

Methods	Open-Source		Cont	Control Freedom		
Withous	open source	Audio-Driven	Face Pose	Animated Context	Text-Driven	
SadTalker (2023c)	V	~	×	×	×	
Hallo (2024a)	V	V	×	×	×	
LivePortarit (2024a)	 ✓ 	×	v	×	×	
FollowEmo (2024)	V	×	 ✓ 	×	×	
X-Portrait (2024)	 ✓ 	×	v	×	×	
EMO (2024)	×	 Image: A set of the set of the	v	×	×	
VASA-1 (2024b)	×	 ✓ 	V	×	×	
AniPortrait (2024)	 Image: A set of the set of the	 Image: A set of the set of the	V	×	×	
MegActor (2024a)	V	V	 Image: A set of the set of the	×	×	
V-Express (2024a)	V	V	 Image: A set of the set of the	×	×	
EchoMimic (2024)	V	V	 Image: A set of the set of the	×	×	
MegActor- Σ (2024b)	×	 Image: A set of the set of the	 Image: A set of the set of the	×	×	
AnyExpress (Ours)	v	×	 Image: A set of the set of the	 ✓ 	~	

Table 1: Comparison of various portrait animation methods and their control freedom.

Baselines. Currently, no open-source methods support text instruction control for audio-driven portrait animation. While some methods incorporate face pose control, they do not accommodate the text control needed for our *Freeform Portrait Animation* task. Thus, our comparison focuses on the **Any Face Pose** aspect, where pose control is directly relevant. We selected *AniPortrait, MegActor, EchoMimic*, and *V-Express* for comparison due to their public availability and support for audio and face control signals, while other methods lack the multi-modal control needed for a fair comparison.

362

363

364

366

346

347

4.2 COMPARISON OF ANY FACE POSE GENERATION

In the Any Face Pose task, most previous methods struggle to generate flexible face poses while
maintaining identity consistency and video quality (Table 2). When face pose control signals
deviate significantly from the reference image, these methods often introduce artifacts, limited
outpainting, and non-smooth transitions (Fig. 5). This is due to the excessive strong control
imposed by ReferenceNet, which limits the generative flexibility of diffusion models. *V-Express*and *AniPortrait* can extend beyond the face to generate upper body parts like shoulders, but this
often introduces artifacts that degrade video quality, reflecting the rigid, unnatural results from strong
control. On the other hand, *EchoMimic* and *MegActor*, fail to extend beyond the face, resulting in

Mathada	Donoma	P	ortrait Anin	nation	Video Quality	ID Preservation	
Methods	Params	$\Delta \mathbf{P} \uparrow$	Sync-C↑	Sync-D \downarrow	DOVER Score ↑	FaceSim ↑	CLIP-I↑
V-Express	2.2B	0.371	6.371	8.424	0.593	0.360	0.690
EchoMimic	2.1B	0.402	6.621	8.132	0.690	0.353	0.694
MegActor	2.1B	0.411	5.745	8.923	0.657	0.386	0.745
AniPortrait	2.5B	0.438	6.303	8.541	0.762	0.418	0.786
AnyExpress	0.3B	0.425	<u>6.552</u>	8.397	0.804	0.453	0.812

Table 2: Performance of audio-driven portrait animation methods under **Any Face Pose** conditions. Bold values indicate the best results, while underlined values denote the second-best results.



Figure 5: Comparison on **Any Face Pose** generation. ① *V-Express* and *AniPortrait* extend beyond the face but introduce significant artifacts; ② *EchoMimic* and *MegActor* fail to extend upper body movements, resulting in constrained and unsatisfactory facial angles; ③ *AnyExpress* achieves superior face pose flexibility with high video quality and identity preservation.

constrained facial movements and unsatisfactory pose angles, with lower *FaceSim* and *CLIP-I* scores
(Table 2). These methods remain tightly bound to the reference image, limiting pose diversity and
dynamism. In contrast, *AnyExpress* generates diverse, natural face poses with high video quality and
identity preservation. By using a lighter, more flexible control mechanism, *AnyExpress* avoids artifact
issues in upper-body outpainting and allows for greater pose and body movement flexibility while
maintaining video quality and identity consistency. More results are provided in *Appendix* D.3.

- 4.3 EVALUATION OF ANIMATED CONTEXTS AND TEXT-BASED CONTROL
- We evaluate AnyExpress in two areas where traditional methods fall short: animated backgrounds and text-based control, showcasing its unique flexibility and creativity in portrait animation.

Any Animated Contexts. Previous methods typically produce static backgrounds that fail to reflect
 the dynamic environments of the real-world, as they are tightly bound to the reference image.
 AnyExpress, by contrast, enables the seamless integration of animated backgrounds into portrait
 animations. As shown in Fig. 6a, high-quality animations are generated where the background
 elements are dynamic and interact naturally with the foreground subject. See *Appendix* D.4 for more.

Any Text-Based Control. A key limitation of existing methods is their inability to handle text prompts
 for controlling identity or background attributes, while AnyExpress addresses this by maintaining
 base models' inherent text-based control. As shown in Fig. 6b, AnyExpress: 1) accurately generates



(b) AnyExpress generating portrait animations based solely on text instructions (w/o reference image), demonstrating its ability to handle complex text-based control and generate diverse, customized animations.

Figure 6: Evaluation of AnyExpress on Any Animated Contexts and Any Text-Based Control.

identities from text descriptions; 2) combines identity and background for cohesive animations. The upper and lower rows use a realistic base and an Asian-style model, respectively, demonstrating seamless integration with personalized T2I models. See Appendix D.1 and D.5 for more.

4.4 ABLATION STUDY

How Progressive Prefix Conditioning Maintaining Consistency? As shown in Fig. 7a, the Pro-gressive Fusion method leads to inconsistencies across windows, resulting in non-smooth transitions and misaligned frames. Without anchor alignment, as seen in the middle row, there are visible color deviations and degraded video quality. However, Progressive Prefix Conditioning with anchor alignment (bottom row) significantly improves the temporal consistency and overall visual quality, maintaining color and texture uniformity across windows.



(a) Top: *Progressive Fusion* introduces video inconsis*ment*, there are color deviations and degraded quality.

(b) Top: training the audio module only results in poor tencies across windows; Middle: without anchor align- face pose control and non-smooth transitions; Middle: only stage 1 leads to identity overfitting.

Figure 7: Ablation studies on *Progressive Prefix Conditioning* and training strategies.



(a) Top: training only the last block of motion (b) Strong control from both ReferenceNet and Face-Adapter module leads to non-smooth transitions; Middle: leads to poor face pose control and limits flexibility, while training all blocks results in identity overfitting. IP-Adapter-Face allows for more natural pose generation.

Figure 8: Ablation Studies on motion module trainable blocks and the identity information controller.

Audio and Motion Module Training Strategy. As shown in Fig. 7b, without fine-tuning the motion module (top), the model fails to align face poses, audio signals and produces non-smooth transitions. Using only stage 1 (middle) leads to identity overfitting and visual inconsistencies. The two-stage strategy (bottom) resolves these issues, ensuring smooth transitions and identity preservation.

Motion Module Trainable Blocks. Each U-Net block has one motion module, with three motion blocks in both the encoder and decoder (Fig. 10). As shown in Fig. 8a, training only the last block (top) causes non-smooth transitions due to insufficient trainable parameters. Training all three blocks (middle) leads to identity overfitting, causing visual issues like the "hand problem." Training the first and last blocks (bottom) strikes a balance, achieving smooth transitions without overfitting.

Identity Information Controller. In Fig. 8b, replacing the IP-Adapter-Face with a ReferenceNet (top) or Face-Adapter (Han et al., 2024) (middle) shows overly strong control, leading to poor pose control and reduced flexibility, limiting the model's ability to generate natural, dynamic poses.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduced *AnyExpress*, a scalable framework for audio-driven portrait animation that eliminates the need for ReferenceNet, reducing computational complexity and enhancing compatibility with custom models. Key innovations include a flexible Face-ID control for identity consistency and an Audio-Motion Adapter for motion dynamics without retraining the entire U-Net architecture. A Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy also ensures smooth transitions in long video sequences. Comprehensive analyses show AnyExpress's ability to generate dynamic, expressive animations with lower training demands.

540 REFERENCES

559

560

561

562

566

567

568

569

581

582

583

- Alexei Baevski, Yuhao Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli. wav2vec 2.0: A framework
 for self-supervised learning of speech representations. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:12449–12460, 2020.
- BlackForestLabs. Flux: Flux latent rectified flow transformers. https://blackforestlabs.
 ai/announcing-black-forest-labs/, August 2024. Accessed: 15 September 2024.
- Di Chang, Yichun Shi, Quankai Gao, Hongyi Xu, Jessica Fu, Guoxian Song, Qing Yan, Yizhe Zhu,
 Xiao Yang, and Mohammad Soleymani. Magicpose: Realistic human poses and facial expressions
 retargeting with identity-aware diffusion. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2023.
- Junsong Chen, Jincheng Yu, Chongjian Ge, Lewei Yao, Enze Xie, Yue Wu, Zhongdao Wang, James Kwok, Ping Luo, Huchuan Lu, et al. Pixart-α: Fast training of diffusion transformer for photorealistic text-to-image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00426*, 2023a.
- Zhe Chen, Yuchen Duan, Wenhai Wang, Junjun He, Tong Lu, Jifeng Dai, and Yu Qiao. Vision
 transformer adapter for dense predictions. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023b.
 - Zhiyuan Chen, Jiajiong Cao, Zhiquan Chen, Yuming Li, and Chenguang Ma. Echomimic: Lifelike audio-driven portrait animations through editable landmark conditions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.08136*, 2024.
- Joon Son Chung and Andrew Zisserman. Out of time: automated lip sync in the wild. In *Computer Vision–ACCV 2016 Workshops: ACCV 2016 International Workshops, Taipei, Taiwan, November* 20-24, 2016, *Revised Selected Papers, Part II 13*, pp. 251–263. Springer, 2017.
 - Jiankang Deng, Jia Guo, Niannan Xue, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Arcface: Additive angular margin loss for deep face recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 4690–4699, 2019.
- Nikita Drobyshev, Antoni Bigata Casademunt, Konstantinos Vougioukas, Zoe Landgraf, Stavros
 Petridis, and Maja Pantic. Emoportraits: Emotion-enhanced multimodal one-shot head avatars. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 8498–8507, 2024.
- Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Andreas Blattmann, Rahim Entezari, Jonas Müller, Harry Saini, Yam Levi, Dominik Lorenz, Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, et al. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024.
- Rui Gao and Weiwei Liu. Ddgr: Continual learning with deep diffusion-based generative replay. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 10744–10763. PMLR, 2023.
 - Jianzhu Guo, Dingyun Zhang, Xiaoqiang Liu, Zhizhou Zhong, Yuan Zhang, Pengfei Wan, and Di Zhang. Liveportrait: Efficient portrait animation with stitching and retargeting control. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2407.03168, 2024a.
- Yuwei Guo, Ceyuan Yang, Anyi Rao, Zhengyang Liang, Yaohui Wang, Yu Qiao, Maneesh Agrawala,
 Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Animatediff: Animate your personalized text-to-image diffusion models
 without specific tuning. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*,
 2024b. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=Fx2SbBgcte.
- Zinan Guo, Yanze Wu, Zhuowei Chen, Lang Chen, and Qian He. Pulid: Pure and lightning id customization via contrastive alignment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16022*, 2024c.
- Yue Han, Junwei Zhu, Keke He, Xu Chen, Yanhao Ge, Wei Li, Xiangtai Li, Jiangning Zhang,
 Chengjie Wang, and Yong Liu. Face adapter for pre-trained diffusion models with fine-grained id and attribute control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.12970*, 2024.

611

618

619

620

621

625

594	Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. In NeurIPS 2021 Workshop on
595	Deep Generative Models and Downstream Applications, 2021. URL https://openreview.
596	net/forum?id=qw8AKxfYbI.
597	

- Jonathan Ho, Tim Salimans, Alexey Gritsenko, William Chan, Mohammad Norouzi, and David J
 Fleet. Video diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:8633–8646, 2022.
- Neil Houlsby, Andrei Giurgiu, Stanislaw Jastrzebski, Bruna Morrone, Quentin De Laroussilhe,
 Andrea Gesmundo, Mona Attariyan, and Sylvain Gelly. Parameter-efficient transfer learning for
 In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 2790–2799. PMLR, 2019.
- Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang,
 and Weizhu Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021.
- Li Hu. Animate anyone: Consistent and controllable image-to-video synthesis for character animation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 8153–8163, 2024.
- Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In International Conference on Learning Representations, 2015. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.
 6980.
- Yanghao Li, Hanzi Mao, Ross Girshick, and Kaiming He. Exploring plain vision transformer
 backbones for object detection. In *European conference on computer vision*, pp. 280–296. Springer, 2022.
 - Simian Luo, Yiqin Tan, Longbo Huang, Jian Li, and Hang Zhao. Latent consistency models: Synthesizing high-resolution images with few-step inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.04378*, 2023.
- Yue Ma, Hongyu Liu, Hongfa Wang, Heng Pan, Yingqing He, Junkun Yuan, Ailing Zeng, Chengfei
 Cai, Heung-Yeung Shum, Wei Liu, et al. Follow-your-emoji: Fine-controllable and expressive
 freestyle portrait animation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.01900*, 2024.
- Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Yanze Wu, Jian Zhang, Zhongang Qi, and Ying Shan. T2i adapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pp. 4296–4304, 2024.
- William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 4195–4205, 2023.
- Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe
 Penna, and Robin Rombach. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image
 synthesis. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024. URL
 https://openreview.net/forum?id=di52zR8xgf.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2021. URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID: 231591445.
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. Highresolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 10684–10695, 2022.
- Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar
 Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic
 text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:36479–36494, 2022.

648 649 650	Axel Sauer, Dominik Lorenz, Andreas Blattmann, and Robin Rombach. Adversarial diffusion distillation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.17042</i> , 2023.
651 652 653	Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, Tim Dockhorn, Andreas Blattmann, Patrick Esser, and Robin Rombach. Fast high-resolution image synthesis with latent adversarial diffusion distillation. <i>arXiv preprint</i> <i>arXiv:2403.12015</i> , 2024.
654 655 656	Florian Schroff, Dmitry Kalenichenko, and James Philbin. Facenet: A unified embedding for face recognition and clustering. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 815–823, 2015.
657 658 659 660	Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, et al. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. <i>Advances in Neural</i> <i>Information Processing Systems</i> , 35:25278–25294, 2022.
662 663 664 665	Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. In Yoshua Bengio and Yann LeCun (eds.), 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings, 2015. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556.
666 667 668	James Seale Smith, Yen-Chang Hsu, Lingyu Zhang, Ting Hua, Zsolt Kira, Yilin Shen, and Hongxia Jin. Continual diffusion: Continual customization of text-to-image diffusion with c-loRA. <i>Transactions</i> on Machine Learning Research, 2024. ISSN 2835-8856.
669 670 671 672	Kunpeng Song, Yizhe Zhu, Bingchen Liu, Qing Yan, Ahmed Elgammal, and Xiao Yang. Moma: Multimodal llm adapter for fast personalized image generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.05674</i> , 2024.
673 674	Yang Song, Prafulla Dhariwal, Mark Chen, and Ilya Sutskever. Consistency models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01469</i> , 2023.
676 677 678	Linrui Tian, Qi Wang, Bang Zhang, and Liefeng Bo. Emo: Emote portrait alive-generating expressive portrait videos with audio2video diffusion model under weak conditions. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17485</i> , 2024.
679 680	Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30, 2017.
681 682 683	Cong Wang, Kuan Tian, Jun Zhang, Yonghang Guan, Feng Luo, Fei Shen, Zhiwei Jiang, Qing Gu, Xiao Han, and Wei Yang. V-express: Conditional dropout for progressive training of portrait video generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.02511</i> , 2024a.
685 686	Qixun Wang, Xu Bai, Haofan Wang, Zekui Qin, and Anthony Chen. Instantid: Zero-shot identity- preserving generation in seconds. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.07519</i> , 2024b.
687 688 689	Suzhen Wang, Lincheng Li, Yu Ding, Changjie Fan, and Xin Yu. Audio2head: Audio-driven one-shot talking-head generation with natural head motion. In <i>the 30th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-21)</i> , 2021a.
690 691 692 693	Ting-Chun Wang, Arun Mallya, and Ming-Yu Liu. One-shot free-view neural talking-head synthesis for video conferencing. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 10039–10049, 2021b.
694 695 696	Xiang Wang, Shiwei Zhang, Han Zhang, Yu Liu, Yingya Zhang, Changxin Gao, and Nong Sang. Videolcm: Video latent consistency model. ArXiv, abs/2312.09109, 2023. URL https://api. semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:266209871.
697 698 699	Huawei Wei, Zejun Yang, and Zhisheng Wang. Aniportrait: Audio-driven synthesis of photorealistic portrait animation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.17694</i> , 2024.
700 701	Haoning Wu, Chaofeng Chen, Jingwen Hou, Liang Liao, Annan Wang, Wenxiu Sun, Qiong Yan, and Weisi Lin. Fast-vqa: Efficient end-to-end video quality assessment with fragment sampling. In <i>Proceedings of European Conference of Computer Vision (ECCV)</i> , 2022.

702	Haoning Wu Erli Zhang Liang Liao. Chaofeng Chen, Jingwen Hou Hou, Annan Wang, Wenxiu Sun
703	Sun, Qiong Yan, and Weisi Lin. Exploring video quality assessment on user generated contents
704	from aesthetic and technical perspectives. In International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV),
705	2023.
706	You Xie, Hongyi Xu, Guoxian Song, Chao Wang, Yichun Shi, and Linije Luo, X-portrait: Expressive
707	portrait animation with hierarchical motion attention. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2024 Conference
709	Papers, pp. 1–11, 2024.
710	Zhan Ving, Oi Dai, Han Hu, Zuzuan Wu, and Yu Cang Jiang. Simda, Simple diffusion edenter for
711	afficient video generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVE Conference on Computer Vision and
712	Pattern Recognition, pp. 7827–7839, 2024.
713	Mingwang Xu, Hui Li, Oingkun Su, Hanlin Shang, Liwei Zhang, Ce Liu, Jingdong Wang, Luc
714 715	Van Gool, Yao Yao, and Siyu Zhu. Hallo: Hierarchical audio-driven visual synthesis for portrait
716	inage animation. arxiv preprint arxiv:2400.08801, 2024a.
717	Sicheng Xu, Guojun Chen, Yu-Xiao Guo, Jiaolong Yang, Chong Li, Zhenyu Zang, Yizhong Zhang,
718	Xin Tong, and Baining Guo. Vasa-1: Lifelike audio-driven talking faces generated in real time.
719	arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.10667, 2024b.
720	Zhongcong Xu, Jianfeng Zhang, Jun Hao Liew, Hanshu Van, Jia-Wei Liu, Chenyu Zhang, Jiashi
721	Feng, and Mike Zheng Shou. Magicanimate: Temporally consistent human image animation using
722	diffusion model. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern</i>
723	<i>Recognition</i> , pp. 1481–1490, 2024c.
724	Churche Vana Huadana Li Juhaa Wu Minhaa Jina Linza Li Danha Ji Jiainu Liana and Haagiana
726	Fan Megactor: Harpess the power of raw video for vivid portrait animation <i>arXiv preprint</i>
727	arXiv:2405.20851. 2024a.
728	
729	Shurong Yang, Huadong Li, Juhao Wu, Minhao Jing, Linze Li, Renhe Ji, Jiajun Liang, Haoqiang Fan,
730	and Jin Wang. Megactor- σ : Unlocking flexible mixed-modal control in portrait animation with diffusion transformer. arXiv pranrint arXiv:2408.14075, 2024b
731	
732	Tao Yang, Yuwang Wang, Yan Lu, and Nanning Zheng. Disdiff: Unsupervised disentanglement of
733 734	diffusion probabilistic models. In <i>Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 2023. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=3ofe0lpwQP.
735	
736	Zhuoyi Yang, Jiayan Teng, Wendi Zheng, Ming Ding, Shiyu Huang, Jiazheng Xu, Yuanming Yang, Wenyi Hong, Viashan Zhang, Guanyu Fong, et al. Coguidaoyi Tayt to video diffusion models
737 738	with an expert transformer. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.06072</i> , 2024c.
739	Hu Ye, Jun Zhang, Sibo Liu, Xiao Han, and Wei Yang. In-adapter: Text compatible image prompt
740	adapter for text-to-image diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06721, 2023.
741	Zhentao Yu, Zixin Yin, Devu Zhou, Duomin Wang, Finn Wong, and Baovuan Wang. Talking head
742	generation with probabilistic audio-to-visual diffusion priors. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF</i>
743 744	International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 7645–7655, 2023.
745	Bowen Zhang Chenyang Oi Pan Zhang Bo Zhang HsiangTao Wu Dong Chen Oifeng Chen
746	Yong Wang, and Fang Wen. Metaportrait: Identity-preserving talking head generation with fast
747	personalized adaptation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and
748	Pattern Recognition, pp. 22096–22105, 2023a.
749	Lymin Zhang Anyi Rao and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text to image
750	diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision
751	pp. 3836–3847, 2023b.
752	
753	Wenxuan Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Xuan Wang, Yong Zhang, Xi Shen, Yu Guo, Ying Shan, and Fei
754 755	wang. Sadtatker: Learning realistic 3d motion coefficients for stylized audio-driven single image talking face animation. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 8652–8661, 2023c.

756 757 758 750	Zhimeng Zhang, Lincheng Li, Yu Ding, and Changjie Fan. Flow-guided one-shot talking face generation with a high-resolution audio-visual dataset. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 3661–3670, 2021.
760 761 762	Shanshan Zhong, Zhongzhan Huang, Weushao Wen, Jinghui Qin, and Liang Lin. Sur-adapter: Enhancing text-to-image pre-trained diffusion models with large language models. In <i>Proceedings</i> of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia, pp. 567–578, 2023a.
763 764 765	Weizhi Zhong, Chaowei Fang, Yinqi Cai, Pengxu Wei, Gangming Zhao, Liang Lin, and Guanbin Li. Identity-preserving talking face generation with landmark and appearance priors. In <i>Proceedings</i> of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 9729–9738, 2023b.
766 767 768 769	Hao Zhu, Wayne Wu, Wentao Zhu, Liming Jiang, Siwei Tang, Li Zhang, Ziwei Liu, and Chen Change Loy. Celebv-hq: A large-scale video facial attributes dataset. In <i>European conference on computer vision</i> , pp. 650–667. Springer, 2022.
770	
771	
772	
773	
774	
775	
776	
777	
778	
779	
780	
782	
783	
784	
785	
786	
787	
788	
789	
790	
791	
792	
793	
794	
795	
796	
797	
799	
800	
801	
802	
803	
804	
805	
806	
807	
808	
809	

810 811	Part I
812	Annondiv
813	Appendix
814	
815	
816	Table of Contents
817	A Extended Experimental

Α	Extended Experimental Settings A.1 Implementation Details A.2 Design of Evaluation Metrics	17 17 17
В	Portrait Animation Paradigm Comparison	18
С	Algorithm C.1 Progressive Prefix Conditioning	20 20
D	Extended Experimental Results	20
	D.1 Compatibility with Personalization Base Models	21
	D.2 Compatibility with ControlNet	21
	D.3 Extended Results on Any Face Pose	21
	D.4 Extended Results on Any Animated Contexts	22
	D.5 Extended Results on Any Text Control	22
E	Limitations and Future Work	22
F	Broader Impacts	22

A EXTENDED EXPERIMENTAL SETTINGS

A.1 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

All primary experiments are conducted using Stable Diffusion v1.5¹, with an image size of 512x512x3 and a latent space of 64x64x4. For personalized models (Fig. 6b), Realistic V6² and TWingShadow³ are employed. Inference are conducted on a single Nvidia V100 GPU with 30 timesteps. Considering large guidance scale (Ho & Salimans, 2021) often leads to overly saturated and unnatural images. We employ *dynamic thresholding* (Saharia et al., 2022) to handle color issues by clipping outof-range pixel values, with guidance scale set to 5 and a mimic guidance scale set to 3.5. The learning rate is 1e-6 in two stages, and we optimize overall framework using Adam (Kingma & Ba, 2015).

A.2 DESIGN OF EVALUATION METRICS

881

882

883

885

886

887

888 889

890

891

892

893

894 895

896

897

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907 908

910

876

866

867

• **Portrait Animation Quality.** These metrics measure how well the generated animations capture natural motion, head movement diversity, and lip synchronization.

Perspective Diversity Score (ΔP) measures head motion intensity by calculating the average pose angle differences (yaw, pitch, roll) between adjacent frames. It provides an indication of the overall diversity and range of head movements generated in the video. Higher ΔP scores reflect the ability to generate a wider range of head motions, indicating flexibility in animation.

- *Sync-C* and *Sync-D* measures the synchronization between audio input and lip movements in the generated videos. Higher Sync-C scores and lower Sync-D scores indicate better alignment with the audio, reflecting natural and accurate lip movements.
- Video Quality. These metrics assess the technical and aesthetic quality of the generated videos, focusing on aspects like facial structure similarity and overall visual appeal.

Dover Score represents the overall quality of the generated video, considering both technical aspects (sharpness, frame continuity) and aesthetic elements (visual appeal). A higher Dover score indicates superior video quality, reflecting a smooth, sharp, and visually pleasing animation.

- **Identity Preserving Ability.** These metrics evaluate how well the model retains the facial identity of the subject across various frames and conditions.
- *Face Similarity* uses a face recognition model (*e.g.*, VGG Simonyan & Zisserman (2015), FaceNet (Schroff et al., 2015), ArcFace (Deng et al., 2019)) to compute the cosine similarity between the generated faces and the reference image's face across frames. A higher score indicates consistent preservation of the subject's identity, even when generating faces from different perspectives.

CLIP-I Score also serves to measure facial feature similarity across frames, ensuring that the generated faces align with the reference identity. It measures the structural similarity between the face in the reference image and the faces in each frame of the generated video using a CLIP encoder pretrained on the LAION-Face dataset. A higher CLIP-I score indicates that the facial structure in the generated video remains consistent with the reference image, contributing to higher video quality.

909 A.2.1 Face Perspective Diversity Score (ΔP)

911Head Pose Estimation. For each frame i in a video sequence of length N, estimate the head pose912angles (yaw, pitch, roll) using a facial landmark detection model. Let the head pose at frame i be913represented as a tuple:

 $\mathbf{P}_i = (yaw_i, pitch_i, roll_i)$

914 915

916

⁸⁷⁷ 878 879

¹https://huggingface.co/runwayml/stable-diffusion-v1-5

²https://civitai.com/models/4201/realistic-vision-v60-b1

³https://civitai.com/models/105935/twing-shadow

Pose Angle Differences. For each consecutive pair of frames (i, i + 1), compute the absolute differences in yaw, pitch, and roll:

$$\Delta yaw_i = |yaw_{i+1} - yaw_i|$$
$$\Delta pitch_i = |pitch_{i+1} - pitch_i$$
$$\Delta roll_i = |roll_{i+1} - roll_i|$$

Average Pose Difference for Each Pair of Frames. Calculate the average of these differences for each frame pair:

$$\Delta P_i = \frac{\Delta \text{yaw}_i + \Delta \text{pitch}_i + \Delta \text{roll}_i}{3}$$

Overall Perspective Diversity Score (Δ **P**). The final Perspective Diversity Score is the mean of all pose differences across the video:

$$\Delta P = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \Delta P_i$$

A.2.2 FACE SIMILARITY METRICS

FaceSim (Face Similarity) Calculation. FaceSim measures the cosine similarity between the generated faces and the reference face across frames. The cosine similarity between two face embeddings f_{gen} (generated face) and f_{ref} (reference face) is given by:

$$\mathsf{FaceSim} = \frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{gen}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{ref}}}{\|\mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{gen}}\| \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{ref}}\|},$$

where \mathbf{f}_{gen} and \mathbf{f}_{ref} are the feature vectors representing the generated and reference faces, respectively. $\|\mathbf{f}\|$ represents the norm (magnitude) of the feature vector \mathbf{f} . This cosine similarity score will be between -1 and 1, where a higher score indicates greater similarity between the generated and reference faces.

949 CLIP-I Score Calculation. CLIP-I measures the structural similarity between the reference face and
 950 the generated faces across frames, using embeddings from the CLIP model. The cosine similarity for
 951 CLIP-I is calculated similarly:

$$\text{CLIP-I} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{\text{gen}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\text{ref}}}{\|\mathbf{e}_{\text{gen}}\| \|\mathbf{e}_{\text{ref}}\|},$$

where \mathbf{e}_{gen} is the embedding of the generated face from the CLIP model, and \mathbf{e}_{ref} is the embedding of the reference face from the CLIP model. A higher CLIP-I score reflects better structural alignment between the reference and generated faces.

B PORTRAIT ANIMATION PARADIGM COMPARISON

ReferenceNet-based Framework. The main feature of ReferenceNet-based framework is its "*Mutual Self-Attention*" mechanism within the Reference U-Net block (Chang et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2024c; Hu, 2024), as shown in Fig. 9 right. This structure tightly couples the reference image with the generated animation, within the following workflow:

- A reference image and video frames are passed through a VAE encoder, which encodes them into latent features.
- Latent of the reference image is processed by the Reference U-Net and transmitted to the Denoising U-Net to interact with the latents of the video frames.
- The key process happens in the Denoising U-Net Block where *Mutual Self-Attention* replaces standard spatial self-attention in the denoising U-Net, combining various features like audio cross-attention, and motion module features.



Figure 9: ReferenceNet-based framework. The ReferenceNet framework generates video animations by tightly coupling a reference image and video frames through a Denoising U-Net. It uses Mutual Self-Attention to integrate audio, motion, and identity controls, ensuring consistency but limiting flexibility in pose and background changes. Strong control over reference images drives the animation process.



Figure 10: AnyExpress framework. AnyExpress eliminates the ReferenceNet, while a modular Audio-Motion Adapter allows flexible animation with any face pose, animated backgrounds, and text-based controls, offering more versatility and reduced training complexity.

The denoising process is heavily guided by the reference image, making the generated animation strongly constrained by the reference, which limits flexibility in pose and background changes.

Detailed Framework of AnyExpress. Fig. 10 showcases a detailed framework of AnyExpress compared to Fig. 2. In this version, we adapt the T2I-Adapter for face pose control, demonstrating AnyExpress's ability to freely control face positions and angles. Unlike the tightly coupled, specialized ReferenceNet, AnyExpress offers a modular design with interchangeable components (e.g., Audio-Motion Adapter, Keypoints Encoder), enabling greater flexibility and adaptability.

1	The fully strengthered and the second strengthered and the second strengthered and the second strengthered and the second strengthered and str
1	nput : \mathbf{z}_i^j : The latent feature of <i>j</i> -th frame in <i>i</i> -th video window; c : combinations of control
con	ditions; O : the number of overlapped frames; \mathbf{z}_{anchor} : Anchor latents (first window) for setting
stati	stics (mean, variance).
(Dutput : z': A long sequence of latent features of video frames.
1:	$\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$; {Random initialization of video latent features.}
2:	for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ do
3:	if $i \neq 0$ then
4:	Initialize \mathbf{z}^{j} with prefix frames from the last Q frames of window $i-1$.
5:	end if
6:	for $t = T$ to 1 do
7:	if $i = 0$ then
8:	$\mathbf{z}_{i}^{j} \leftarrow \mathrm{DM}(\mathbf{z}_{i}^{j}, \mathbf{c}, t)$ {Denoise the first (anchor) window.}
٩.	Store mean and variance of \mathbf{z}^{j} as \mathbf{z}_{maker} for future windows
10·	else
10. 11·	$\mathbf{z}^{j} \leftarrow \mathrm{DM}(\mathbf{z}^{j} \mathbf{c} t)$ {Denoise each subsequent window }
11.	$\mathbf{z}_i \in Dir(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c})$ (Denoise even subsequent without) Align \mathbf{z}^j with \mathbf{z}_i using stored mean and variance. (Another diamont for consistence)
12: 13:	Angli \mathbf{z}_i with \mathbf{z}_{anchor} using stored mean and variance. (Anchor augment for consistency.)
1 <i>3</i> . 1 <i>4</i> .	end for
14. 15.	end for
15. 16.	return $\mathbf{z}' = \text{Merge}(\mathbf{z})$: <i>Merge latents across all windows refer to Algo</i> 2
10.	$\mathbf{Ictum } \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Mclgc}(\mathbf{Z}), \{\mathbf{Mclgc} \mathbf{ucms} \mathbf{ucms} $
\mathbf{C}	
C	ALGORITHM
C.1	Progressive Prefix Conditioning
Alg	orithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows
Alg I	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames
Alg I (prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z : 2D list of video windows, O : Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_{p} : Merged list of video windows
Algo I (prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p : Merged list of video windows $z_p \leftarrow z_0^{[0]}$ { <i>Initialize with the first video window.</i> }
Alg I (1: 2:	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}[0]$ { <i>Initialize with the first video window.</i> } for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} = 1$ do
Alg I 1: 2: 3:	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}[0]$ { <i>Initialize with the first video window.</i> } for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ { <i>Extend by non-overlapping part of window.</i> }
Alg I 1: 2: 3: 4:	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}[0]$ { <i>Initialize with the first video window</i> .} for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ { <i>Extend by non-overlapping part of window</i> .} end for
Alg I 1: 2: 3: 4: 5:	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}[0]$ { <i>Initialize with the first video window</i> .} for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ { <i>Extend by non-overlapping part of window</i> .} end for return \mathbf{z}_p
Alg 1: 2: 3: 4: 5:	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O : Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}[0]$ { <i>Initialize with the first video window.</i> } for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ { <i>Extend by non-overlapping part of window.</i> } end for return \mathbf{z}_p
Alg 1 1: 2: 3: 4: 5:	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windowsnput: z: 2D list of video windows, O : Number of overlapping framesDutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}[0]$ {Initialize with the first video window.}for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.}end forreturn \mathbf{z}_p
Alg 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O : Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}[0]$ {Initialize with the first video window.} for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end forreturn \mathbf{z}_p prithm 1 outlines the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This
Alg I (1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O : Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}[0]$ { <i>Initialize with the first video window.</i> } for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ { <i>Extend by non-overlapping part of window.</i> } end for return \mathbf{z}_p brithm 1 outlines the <i>Progressive Prefix Conditioning</i> strategy for long video generation. This hod generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned on
Alg I 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O : Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}[0]$ { <i>Initialize with the first video window.</i> } for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ { <i>Extend by non-overlapping part of window.</i> } end for return \mathbf{z}_p brithm 1 outlines the <i>Progressive Prefix Conditioning</i> strategy for long video generation. This hod generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned on 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically:
Alg I 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_{[0]}$ {Initialize with the first video window.} for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return \mathbf{z}_p brithm 1 outlines the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This hod generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned on "prefix frames" from the previous window. Specifically:
Alg I (1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O : Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_{[0]}$ {Initialize with the first video window.} for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return \mathbf{z}_p brithm 1 outlines the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This hod generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned on "prefix frames" from the previous window. Specifically: • For each window \mathbf{z}_i^j , the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding
Alg 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met	 prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p prithm 1 outlines the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This had generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned or 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized)
Alg I 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met the	prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: \mathbf{z}_p : Merged list of video windows $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_{[0]}$ {Initialize with the first video window.} for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $ \mathbf{z} - 1$ do $\mathbf{z}_p \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_p \cup \mathbf{z}[i][O:]$ {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return \mathbf{z}_p porithm 1 outlines the <i>Progressive Prefix Conditioning</i> strategy for long video generation. This hod generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned of "prefix frames" from the previous window. Specifically: • For each window \mathbf{z}_i^j , the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized).
Alg I 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met the	 prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p prithm 1 outlines the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This had generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned or 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are stored
Alg I 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met the	 porithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p porithm 1 outlines the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This had generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned or 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are stored as an anchor (z_{anchor}).
Alg I 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met the	 porithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p For ithm 1 outlines the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This had generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned o "prefix frames" from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the precedin window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are store as an anchor (z_{anchor}). For subsequent windows, the denoising process aligns the latent features with the store.
Algo 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Algo metithe	 porithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p For ithm 1 outlines the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This had generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned o 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are store as an anchor (z_{anchor}). For subsequent windows, the denoising process aligns the latent features with the store anchor statistics to ensure consistency.
Alg 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met	 prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p return z_p orithm 1 outlines the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This hod generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned or 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are stored as an anchor (z_{anchor}). For subsequent windows, the denoising process aligns the latent features with the stored anchor statistics to ensure consistency.
Alg. I 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg. met	 prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p provide frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned of 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are stored as an <i>anchor</i> (z_{anchor}). For subsequent windows, the denoising process aligns the latent features with the stored <i>anchor</i> statistics to ensure consistency. Finally, the latents from each window are merged into a continuous sequence.
Alg. I 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg. met	 prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p provide the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This had generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned or 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are stored as an anchor (z_{anchor}). For subsequent windows, the denoising process aligns the latent features with the stored anchor statistics to ensure consistency. Finally, the latents from each window are merged into a continuous sequence.
Alg I 1: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met the	 prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p provide the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This nod generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned or 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are stored as an anchor (z_{anchor}). For subsequent windows, the denoising process aligns the latent features with the stored anchor statistics to ensure consistency. Finally, the latents from each window are merged into a continuous sequence.
Alg I I: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met the	 prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows mput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p proton overlapping strategy for long video generation. This had generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned or 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically: For each window zⁱ_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are stored as an <i>anchor</i> (z_{anchor}). For subsequent windows, the denoising process aligns the latent features with the stored <i>anchor</i> statistics to ensure consistency. Finally, the latents from each window are merged into a continuous sequence.
Alg I I: 2: 3: 4: 5: Alg met the D	 prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows mput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O:] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p portishin 1 outlines the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This nod generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned on "prefix frames" from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j_i, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are stored as an anchor (z_{anchor}). For subsequent windows, the denoising process aligns the latent features with the stored anchor statistics to ensure consistency. Finally, the latents from each window are merged into a continuous sequence.
Algo I I: 2: 3: 4: 5: Algo net he	 prithm 2 Merge algorithm for combining overlapped video windows nput: z: 2D list of video windows, O: Number of overlapping frames Dutput: z_p: Merged list of video windows z_p ← z[0] {Initialize with the first video window.} for i ← 1 to z - 1 do z_p ← z_p ∪ z[i][O :] {Extend by non-overlapping part of window.} end for return z_p Provide the Progressive Prefix Conditioning strategy for long video generation. This had generates video frames in windows, ensuring that frames in later windows are conditioned or 'prefix frames'' from the previous window. Specifically: For each window z^j, the first O frames are initialized with the last O frames of the preceding window (except the first window, whose latents are all randomly initialized). In the first window, the latent features are denoised, and their mean and variance are stored as an anchor (z_{anchor}). For subsequent windows, the denoising process aligns the latent features with the stored anchor statistics to ensure consistency. Finally, the latents from each window are merged into a continuous sequence. EXTENDED EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS Extended Experimental Results section highlights the scalability and adaptability of AnyExpress integrates compared by with different sequences.

1079 Text-to-Image models (D.1) and external adapters like ControlNet (D.2), while maintaining precise control and identity consistency. Moreover, it reinforces the framework's flexibility in handling the

three key tasks of *Freeform Portrait Animation*: generating diverse face poses (D.3), integrating dynamic animated backgrounds (D.4), and accurately following text-based control (D.5).

- 1082
- 1083

D.1 COMPATIBILITY WITH PERSONALIZATION BASE MODELS

We evaluate how well AnyExpress integrates with various Text-to-Image (T2I) personalization models, ensuring that the flexibility of the AnyExpress framework does not compromise the quality or personalization of generated content. The evaluation is split into two key aspects: generating animations with a reference image and generating animations solely based on textual descriptions.

Fig. 11 showcases the results of various T2I models when using a reference image to generate different animation styles such as Photorealism (Realistic V6), Cartoonish (ChunkyCat⁴), Semi-Realism (LusterMix v15⁵), and Digital Paint (Toonyou beta6⁶). It demonstrates that AnyExpress is capable of maintaining the identity of the subject across different animation styles while adapting to the stylistic variations introduced by each personalized model.

Fig. 12 expands on this by removing the reference image and instead generating animations based on detailed text descriptions, with extended personalized models⁷⁸⁹¹⁰. This demonstrates the framework's flexibility in handling both identity and background details (*e.g.*, "flames swirling inside a fireplace" vs. "cars moving along a highway"). The results indicate that the personalization base models can interpret textual descriptions to generate visually distinct outputs while maintaining consistency with the text-based identity information.

1100

1101 D.2 COMPATIBILITY WITH CONTROLNET

We examine how the AnyExpress framework integrates with ControlNet to enhance controlled generation through the use of face landmark sequences. The primary goal is to determine how well AnyExpress can maintain identity consistency, lip synchronization, and facial expressions while employing other off-the-shelf adapters for controlling the driven videos.

Fig. 13 and 14 demonstrate the compatibility of AnyExpress with ControlNet, using landmark sequences to guide the facial expressions of various animated subjects. The driven videos (*e.g.*, Mona Lisa and Joker) show how facial landmarks are transferred across different subjects, retaining their identity and lip-sync precision. These results validate that ControlNet can be effectively integrated with AnyExpress to produce controlled animations with high consistency across various facial poses, identities, and styles, demonstrating the flexibility of AnyExpress in controlled settings.

1113 D.3 EXTENDED RESULTS ON ANY FACE POSE

We further explore the ability of AnyExpress to generate diverse facial orientations and movements
while preserving identity consistency across different scenarios. The evaluation is performed through
various comparisons with baseline methods, different identities, and control signal scaling.

Further Comparison on Any Face Pose. Fig. 15 compares AnyExpress with baseline methods following the same setting as Fig. 5. The results show that AnyExpress outperforms the baselines in preserving facial identity and following the guidance signals accurately across varying face poses.

Robust Identity Preservation. Fig. 16 further demonstrates AnyExpress's ability to maintain identity consistency while following the same face guidance signals across multiple subjects. This robustness across various identities proves that AnyExpress can handle a wide range of facial characteristics and styles without losing the unique aspects of each individual face.

Face Control Signal Intensity. Fig. 17 focuses on scaling the KeyPoints control signals to adjust facial expressions and orientations from scale 0.6 to 1.1. The results show that AnyExpress is scalable

^{1128 &}lt;sup>4</sup>https://huggingface.co/Yntec/ChunkyCat

^{1129 &}lt;sup>5</sup>https://civitai.com/models/85201/lustermix

^{1130 &}lt;sup>6</sup>https://civitai.com/models/30240/toonyou

^{1131 &}lt;sup>7</sup>https://civitai.com/models/43331/majicmix-realistic

^{1132 &}lt;sup>8</sup>https://huggingface.co/Yntec/AnimephilesAnonymous

^{1133 &}lt;sup>9</sup>https://huggingface.co/Yntec/Genesis

¹⁰https://huggingface.co/Yntec/GrandPrix

and adapts well to different control signal intensities, maintaining facial coherence and dynamic
 movements without introducing distortions or identity misalignments.

- 1136 1137
- D.4 EXTENDED RESULTS ON ANY ANIMATED CONTEXTS

Extending from Fig. 6a, we further demonstrate the ability of AnyExpress to integrate dynamic animated backgrounds into generated videos in Fig. 18, showcasing its flexibility beyond static settings. The reference images are paired with various animated background scenes, including sails billowing, waves crashing, volcano eruption, flames dancing, and rivers flowing. AnyExpress adapts seamlessly to each background while maintaining the identity and expression of the subjects, indicating its robustness in handling complex animated environments without sacrificing facial identity or motion synchronization.

- 1146
- 1147 D.5 EXTENDED RESULTS ON ANY TEXT CONTROL

Extending from Fig. 6b, we further demonstrate how AnyExpress generates animations based on textual descriptions for both the subject's identity and the animated background, without relying on reference images. In Fig. 19 and 20, these texts include details on the subject's physical features (*e.g.*, hair color, skin tone, clothing) and the background scene (*e.g.*, flames swirling, waves crashing, birds taking flight). These results confirm AnyExpress's ability to effectively translate complex textual prompts into visually distinct animations, ensuring consistency in both the identity and the corresponding background as described in the text.

1155

E LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

1157 1158

Since AnyExpress relies on a highly scalable and modular audio-motion adapter, it provides a flexible 1159 foundation that can easily integrate with more advanced models and techniques in future work. This 1160 modularity ensures that only lightweight modules are trained, allowing for seamless adaptation to 1161 new developments without the need for extensive re-training. This scalability makes AnyExpress 1162 ideal for incorporating state-of-the-art models and methodologies. As the field of diffusion models 1163 continues to evolve, this architecture can be enhanced with new capabilities while maintaining 1164 efficiency and adaptability. These following aspects underscore where future research can refine and augment the methodology presented in *AnyExpress*: (1) Incorporating Advanced Base Models: 1165 The architecture of AnyExpress is currently built on SD1.5. However, emerging advanced models 1166 like SDXL, DiT, SD3, and FLUX offer enhanced capabilities for more complex tasks, holding the 1167 potential to significantly improve the quality and flexibility of portrait animation. (2) Enhancing 1168 Identity Control: Integrating advanced identity controllers like PuLID (Guo et al., 2024c) and 1169 MoMA (Song et al., 2024) can improve identity consistency. Techniques like InstantID (Wang 1170 et al., 2024b), which offers finer identity control, are promising, though currently incompatible due 1171 to the difference in base models (SDXL vs. SD1.5). Resolving these compatibility issues would 1172 unlock significant gains in identity preservation. (3) Improved Audio-Visual Synchronization: 1173 Incorporating more sophisticated synchronization techniques, such as advanced audio analysis 1174 and cross-modal learning, could further enhance the alignment of facial movements with audio, especially in nuanced or emotionally expressive contexts. (4) Enhancing Temporal Coherence: 1175 Advanced temporal coherence mechanisms are required to address inconsistencies in fast or intricate 1176 sequences. Leveraging long-term dependencies or recurrent neural networks could help achieve 1177 smoother transitions and eliminate flickering. (5) Boosting Computational Efficiency: Optimizing 1178 computational efficiency and reducing the steps (Song et al., 2023; Luo et al., 2023; Wang et al., 1179 2023) would make AnyExpress more suitable for real-time applications. 1180

1181

F BROADER IMPACTS

1182 1183

There are broader social implications tied to the development of portrait animation technologies, particularly when driven by audio inputs. One significant concern is the potential misuse of such technologies for deceptive purposes, including deepfakes. This poses ethical risks related to the creation of highly realistic, animated portraits that could be used for malicious intent. To mitigate these risks, it is crucial to establish clear ethical guidelines and promote responsible usage of the technology.

1188		1 CALL	- CAL		- ALL	- Port	1 Port
1189			9.0 H	The Hard			a pella
1190	(Peolistic v6)	DOM:	1 CAR	ne ni	he it	ne an	
1191	(Realistic VO)					A	
1192							
1193							
1194	Cartoonish			20)		REAL	201
1195	(ChunkyCat)						
1196		- V-100-	10		CAN-		00
1197							
1198							
1199	Semi-Realism			200			2.00
1200	(LusterMix v15)						
1201			1 Spice	AT ST	CORA .	With a free	and the state
1202		HE VAL					103 - 1038 -
1203							
1204	Digital Paint						
1205	(Toonyou)						
1206		in the in	CAR H		A Prove	man of the	
1207		Carl Space	the state of the	KANS			all she
1208							
1208 1209	Dhotorooliom		68		63	2.E	60
1208 1209 1210	Photorealism				1	8	
1208 1209 1210 1211	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>)					E	
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1213 1214	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>)				A.P		
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>) Cartoonish (<i>ChunkyCat</i>)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>) Cartoonish (<i>ChunkyCat</i>)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>) Cartoonish (<i>ChunkyCat</i>)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>) Cartoonish (<i>ChunkyCat</i>)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>) Cartoonish (<i>ChunkyCat</i>) Semi-Realism						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>) Cartoonish (<i>ChunkyCat</i>) Semi-Realism (<i>LusterMix v15</i>)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>) Cartoonish (<i>ChunkyCat</i>) Semi-Realism (<i>LusterMix v15</i>)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>) Cartoonish (<i>ChunkyCat</i>) Semi-Realism (<i>LusterMix v15</i>)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>) Cartoonish (<i>ChunkyCat</i>) Semi-Realism (<i>LusterMix v15</i>)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224	Photorealism (<i>Realistic v6</i>) Cartoonish (<i>ChunkyCat</i>) Semi-Realism (<i>LusterMix v15</i>) Digital Paint						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225	Photorealism (Realistic v6) Cartoonish (ChunkyCat) Semi-Realism (LusterMix v15) Digital Paint (Toonyou)						
1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 1226	Photorealism (Realistic v6) Cartoonish (ChunkyCat) Semi-Realism (LusterMix v15) Digital Paint (Toonyou)						

Figure 11: Results from various personalized T2I models using a reference image. The models—Realistic v6, ChunkyCat, LusterMix v15, and Toonyou—demonstrate Photorealism, Cartoonish, Semi-Realism, and Digital Paint styles, respectively, while maintaining the subject's identity across frames.

Furthermore, issues around privacy and consent must be addressed, especially regarding the use of
individuals' likenesses and voices in animated outputs. Ensuring transparent data policies, obtaining
informed consent, and protecting individuals' privacy rights are essential steps. By proactively
tackling these challenges, *AnyExpress* aims to contribute to the ethical and responsible advancement
of portrait animation technology within society.



Figure 12: Results from various personalized T2I models generated from textual descriptions. The identity and background are described purely through text, with different models interpreting the descriptions to generate visually distinct yet consistent outputs.



Reference

Audio-driven Portrait Animation Sequence

Figure 13: Face landmark sequence with ControlNet, showing audio-driven portrait animation using Mona Lisa as the driven video.



Reference

Audio-driven Portrait Animation Sequence

Figure 14: Face landmark sequence with ControlNet, showing audio-driven portrait animation using the Joker as the driven video.



Figure 15: Extended comparison between AnyExpress and baseline methods on **Any Face Pose** generation.



Figure 16: Adaptability of AnyExpress to various identities with the **same facial guidance signals**. Across a range of different facial characteristics, the identity consistency is maintained while accurately following the given pose and expression transitions.



Figure 17: Scalability of AnyExpress by adjusting the KeyPoints control signals from scale 0.6 to 1.1.



Figure 18: AnyExpress integrates **dynamic animated backgrounds** with consistent subject identity and motion. The backgrounds vary from sails billowing and waves crashing to volcano eruptions and flames dancing, showcasing the flexibility of AnyExpress in diverse animated contexts.







Figure 20: AnyExpress generates **animations based on textual descriptions** of identity and background.