A Recipe For Arbitrary Text Style Transfer with Large Language Models

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

In this paper, we leverage large language models (LMs) to perform zero-shot text style transfer. We present a prompting method that we call *augmented zero-shot learning*, which frames style transfer as a sentence rewriting task and requires only a natural language instruction, without model fine-tuning or exemplars in the target style. Augmented zero-shot learning is simple and demonstrates promising results not just on standard style transfer tasks such as sentiment, but also on arbitrary transformations such as "make this melodramatic" or "insert a metaphor."

1 Introduction

002

013

014

019

024

Text style transfer is the task of rewriting text to incorporate additional or alternative stylistic elements while preserving the overall semantics and structure. Although style transfer has garnered increased interest due to the success of deep neural models, these approaches usually require a substantial amount of labeled training examples, either as parallel text data (Zhu et al., 2010; Rao and Tetreault, 2018) or non-parallel text data of a single style. (Li et al., 2018; Jin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Krishna et al., 2020). Even bleedingedge approaches that tackle the challenging problem of label-free style transfer are limited in that they require at least several exemplar sentences that dictate a given target style (Xu et al., 2020; Riley et al., 2021). Hence, recent survey papers have identified a need for new methods that both reduce the training data requirements and expand the scope of styles supported (Jin et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020).

In this work, we present *augmented zero-shot learning*, a prompting method that allows large language models to perform text style transfer to arbitrary styles, without any exemplars in the target style. Our method builds on prior work showing



Figure 1: Zero-shot, few-shot, and augmented zeroshot prompts for style transfer. See all our outputs at https://bit.ly/3fLDuci. The full prompts used in this paper are shown in Table 7.

that sufficiently large LMs such as GPT-3 can perform various tasks ranging from classification to translation, simply by choosing a clever prompt to prepend to the input text for which the model is asked to continue (Brown et al., 2020; Branwen, 2020). Using a single prompt that provides several demonstrations of sentences being "rewritten" to meet a desired condition, language models can extrapolate and rewrite text in unseen styles. We are thus able to perform style transfer to arbitrary styles such as "*make this sentence more comic*" or "*include the word balloon*."

Augmented zero-shot learning is simple and compares favorably to more complicated trained approaches on smaller models, thereby facilitating the application of style transfer to a wider range of styles than existing work. Our contributions are the

084

094

100

102

103

104

105

106

following.

- 1. We propose a recipe for style transfer using large LMs that is label-free, training-free, and intuitively controllable.
- 2. Via human evaluation, we find that our method achieves strong performance on both standard and non-standard style transfer tasks. We also compare our approach for sentiment transfer with prior methods using automatic evaluation.
- 3. We explore real-world desired style transfers generated from users of a text editing UI that implements our method.

We encourage readers to examine the outputs of our model at https://bit.ly/3fLDuci.

2 Augmented zero-shot learning

Although large LMs are trained only for continuation, recent work has shown that they can perform a variety of NLP tasks by expressing the task as a prompt that encourages the model to output the desired answer (Puri and Catanzaro, 2019; Weller et al., 2020; Brown et al., 2020; Schick and Schütze, 2021, inter alia). The simplest approach, zero-shot learning, directly uses natural language to ask the large LM to perform a task, as shown in Figure 1a. Zero-shot learning, however, can be prone to failure modes such as not returning well-formatted or logical outputs (see Appendix \S A). Few-shot prompting, as shown in Figure 1b, has been shown to achieve higher performance, but requires exemplars for the exact task that we want the model to perform. Such few-shot examples can be easily obtained if the desired style transformation is known ahead of time, but this ultimately limits style transfer to a set of pre-specified styles.

To remove the need for these labeled exemplars in few-shot prompting, we propose augmented zeroshot learning. Instead of using exemplars of the exact style transfer task we wish to perform, we prompt the model via related style transfer tasks in the same format, as shown in Figure 1c. This intuition is inspired by Reynolds and McDonell (2021)'s observation that successful prompts constrain the behavior of the large LM away from failure modes-in our case, we aim to preserve the flexibility of a zero shot prompt while still encouraging the model to produce outputs obeying a specific template. We keep the exemplars constant and insert any desired style transformation in the final continuation, e.g., "more melodramatic," "insert a metaphor," or "include the word balloon." As we

Original	There, in the middle of the street, stood an old man in a weatherbeaten brown coat.
More descriptive	The man's brown trenchcoat was coated with snow, and as he leaned against the telephone booth, he cast a long shadow across the road in the late afternoon sun.
Include a metaphor	There, in the middle of the street, stood an old man whose face resembled the weatherworn bark of a gnarled tree.
Include the word " <i>park</i> "	There, in the middle of Central Park, stood an old man in a weatherbeaten brown coat.
Include the word "balloon"	There, in the middle of the street, stood an old man with several colourful balloons tied to the straps of his coat.
More comic	There, in the middle of the street, stood an old man in a raggedy brown coat that was much too big for him.
More melodra- matic	There, in the middle of the road, stood a grizzled old man, the light of life faded from his sunken eyes.

Table 1: Example style transfer outputs from augmented zero-shot learning for non-standard styles.

show in our evaluations, this augmented zero-shot formulation works for a range of arbitrary styles.

107

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

3 Experimental Setup

Style transfer tasks. We consider six style transfer tasks that we deem non-standard, listed in Table 1. These styles were chosen based on style adjustments requested by users of an AI-assisted text editor that uses our method (discussed further in $\S5$). As source sentences, we use 50 sentences randomly drawn from the Reddit Writing Prompts validation set (Fan et al., 2018), excluding those that already clearly exhibited one of the styles or were ungrammatical/incoherent. We use human evaluation for these styles, since not all styles have readily available classifiers.

We also evaluate our method on two standard sentiment transfer tasks: sentiment and formality. We use the Yelp polarity dataset (Zhang et al., 2015) for sentiment, and Grammarly's Yahoo Answers Formality Corpus (GYAFC) dataset for formality (Rao and Tetreault, 2018).¹ These datasets allow us to evaluate performance of augmented zero-shot learning in the context of prior supervised methods which have been used on these tasks.

Model. For our large LM, we use a 128B parameter language model similar to GPT-3 that has been finetuned for dialog, which we refer to as *LLM*-*Dialog*. For sentiment transfer, we also evaluate on said model without dialog finetuning, which we

¹Hosted by Luo et al. (2019a).

will refer to as the *LLM*.² To show that the success of augmented zero-shot learning is not restricted to these two large LMs, we also perform an experiment using GPT-3 models of various sizes.

For *LLM* and GPT-3, we use the prompts shown in Figure 1 (see 7a for the unabbreviated prompts). For *LLM-Dialog*, the prompt is formulated as a conversation between one agent who is requesting rewrites and another who is performing the rewrites (see Table 7b in the appendix.)

4 Results

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

153

154

155

156

157

159

160

162

164

165

166

167

168

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

182

183

4.1 Non-Standard Styles

For our six non-standard styles, we asked six professional raters who are fluent in English to asses a total of 7,200 < input sentence, target style, output sentence> tuples. Each output was scored by three raters on the following three axes: (1) transfer strength (the amount that the output actually matches the target style), (2) semantic presentation (whether the underlying meaning of the output text, aside from style, matches that of the input), and (3) fluency (whether the text is coherent and could have been written by a proficient English speaker). Following Sakaguchi and Van Durme (2018), transfer strength and semantic preservation were rated on a scale from 1-100. A screenshot of the evaluation UI is shown in Figure 5 in the Appendix. We use *dialog-LLM*, and compare it with three other methods: (1) zero-shot (a baseline), (2) paraphrase (our normal augmented zero shot prompt, but with the target style of "paraphrased", as a control) and (3) human (ground-truth transformations written by the authors).

Figure 2 shows these results. We found that the outputs of our method were rated almost as highly as the human-written ground truth for all three evaluations. The zero-shot baseline performed the worst in all categories: 25.4% of the time, it did not return a valid response at all (see Appendix §A), compared with 0.6% for augmented zero shot. For a full discussion of failure modes, see Appendix §A. The strong performance of the paraphrase baseline at fluency and semantic similarity shows that large LMs are capable of generating high quality text that remains true to the input sentence's meaning.

For a subset of the tasks, some automatic evaluation was also possible. We found that the "*balloon*" and "*park*" transformations successfully inserted



Figure 2: Human evaluation of style transfer for six atypical styles. Our method is rated comparably to the human-written ground truth. Error bars show SEM. Evaluation of fluency is shown in Figure 4 in the Appendix.

the target word 85% of the time. For "*more descriptive*" and "*include a metaphor*" the transformed text was, as expected, longer than the original (by 252% and 146% respectively, compared with 165% and 146% for human baselines). 184

185

186

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

4.2 Standard Styles

To better contextualize the performance of our method with prior methods, we also generated outputs for two standard style transfer tasks: sentiment and formality. Figure 3 shows human evaluations for our outputs as well as the outputs from two popular prior style transfer methods, Unsup MT (Prabhumoye et al., 2018) and Dual RL (Luo et al., 2019b). The outputs from our method were rated comparably to both human generated responses and the two prior methods.

Furthermore, following Li et al. (2018); Sudhakar et al. (2019), we perform automatic evaluation for sentiment style transfer. We note that there is evidence that automatic evaluations can diverge from human ratings; however, they can still be a good proxy. We automatically evaluate (1) transfer strength using a sentiment classifier from HuggingFace Transformers (Wolf et al., 2020), (2) semantic similarity to human examples provided by Luo et al. (2019b) via BLEU score, and (3) fluency via perplexity, as measured by GPT-2 (117M).

Table 2 shows these automatic evaluations, with four main takeaways. First, augmented zero-shot prompting achieves high accuracy and low perplexity compared with baselines. The BLEU scores, however, are low, which we believe is because it tends to add additional information to generated

²These two models will be described in detail in an upcoming paper.



Figure 3: Human evaluation of style transfer for sentiment and formality transfer. Our method is rated comparably to the human-written ground truth as well as prior methods. Error bars show SEM. Unsup. MT: Prabhumoye et al. (2018); Dual RL: Luo et al. (2019b).

sentences (see Appendix C for a deeper analysis). Second, we apply augmented zero-shot learning to GPT-3 175B; these results indicate that augmented zero-shot learning generalizes to another large language model. Third, we vary model size for GPT-3 models, finding that larger size greatly improves style transfer. Fourth, for *LLM* and *LLM-dialog*, we find that augmented zero-shot learning substantially outperforms vanilla zero-shot learning and almost reaches the accuracy of five-shot learning.

In addition, because the performance of prompting can vary depending on the exact language of the prompt (Reynolds and McDonell, 2021), we compare four variations of prompts for sentiment: "more positive/negative," "happier/sadder," "more optimistic/pessimistic," and "more cheerful/miserable." As shown in Table 4 in the Appendix, performance differed across the four prompts, but we found them comparable.

5 Potential of Arbitrary Styles

One promising application of augmented zero-shot learning is an AI-powered writing assistant that can allow writers to transform their text in arbitrary ways that the writer defines and controls. As a qualitative case study to explore what arbitrary re-write styles may be requested, we built an AI-assisted story-writing editor with a "rewrite as" feature that uses our augmented few-shot method. Our editor has a freeform text box for users to specify how they would like a selection of their story to be rewritten (see Figure 6 in the Appendix). We asked 30 people from a creative writing group to use our

	Acc	BLEU	PPL
SUPERVISED METHODS			
Cross-alignment (Shen et al., 2017)	73.4	17.6	812
Backtrans (Prabhumoye et al., 2018)	90.5	5.1	424
Multidecoder (Fu et al., 2018)	50.3	27.7	1,703
Delete-only (Li et al., 2018)	81.4	28.6	606
Delete-retrieve (Li et al., 2018)	86.2	31.1	948
Unpaired RL (Xu et al., 2018)	52.2	37.2	2,750
Dual RL (Luo et al., 2019b)	85.9	55.1	982
Style transformer (Dai et al., 2019)	82.1	55.2	935
INFERENCE-ONLY METHODS			
GPT-3 ada, aug zero-shot	31.5	39.0	283
GPT-3 curie, aug zero-shot	53.0	48.3	207
GPT-3 da vinci, aug zero-shot	74.1	43.8	231
LLM: zero-shot	69.7	28.6	397
five-shot	83.2	19.8	240
aug zero-shot	79.6	16.1	173
LLM-dialog: zero-shot		17.6	138
five-shot	94.3	13.6	126
aug zero-shot	90.6	10.4	79

Table 2: Comparing augmented zero-shot prompting with supervised style transfer methods on the Yelp sentiment style transfer dataset using automatic evaluation. Acc: accuracy; PPL: perplexity. The inference-only table shows our method applied to 3 different sizes of GPT-3, plus our own LLM.

to be a little less angsty \bullet to be about mining \bullet to be better written \bullet to be less diabolical \bullet to be more absurd \bullet to be more adventurous \bullet to be more Dickensian \bullet to be more emotional \bullet to be more magical \bullet to be more melodramatic \bullet to be more philosophical \bullet to be more revolutionary \bullet to be more surprising \bullet to be more suspenseful \bullet to be more technical \bullet to be more whimsical \bullet to be warmer \bullet to fit better grammatically with the rest of the story \bullet to make more sense

Table 3: Requests in the form of "*Rewrite this...*" made by real users to a large LM-powered text editor. For the full set of unique requests, see Table 5 in the Appendix.

our UI to write a 100-300 word story, collecting 333 rewrite requests in total. Table 3 shows a subset of these, which were as diverse as asking for the text "*to be about mining*" or "*to be less diabolical*."

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

6 Conclusions

We introduce a novel prompting method, augmented zero-shot learning, which we find shows shows strikingly promising performance considering its simplicity. This prompting paradigm moves the needle in text style transfer by expanding the range of possible styles beyond the currently limited set of styles for which annotated data exists. More broadly, we also hope that the strategy of prompting a large LM with non-task specific examples can inspire new inference-only methods for other NLP tasks.

244

245

246

247

217

218

References

265

266

267

269

270

273

274

275

276

277

281

290

291

293

296

297

298

299

300

307

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

Emily M. Bender, Timnit Gebru, Angelina McMillan-Major, and Shmargaret Shmitchell. 2021. On the dangers of stochastic parrots: Can language models be too big? In Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, FAccT '21, page 610–623, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

Gwern Branwen. 2020. GPT-3 creative fiction.

- Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam Mc-Candlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *CoRR*, abs/2005.14165.
 - Ning Dai, Jianze Liang, Xipeng Qiu, and Xuanjing Huang. 2019. Style transformer: Unpaired text style transfer without disentangled latent representation. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 5997–6007, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
 - Angela Fan, Mike Lewis, and Yann Dauphin. 2018. Hierarchical neural story generation. In *Proceedings* of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 889–898, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
 - Zhenxin Fu, Xiaoye Tan, Nanyun Peng, Dongyan Zhao, and Rui Yan. 2018. Style transfer in text: Exploration and evaluation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
 - Zhiqiang Hu, Roy Ka-Wei Lee, and Charu C. Aggarwal. 2020. Text style transfer: A review and experiment evaluation. *CoRR*, abs/2010.12742.
 - Di Jin, Zhijing Jin, Zhiting Hu, Olga Vechtomova, and Rada Mihalcea. 2020. Deep learning for text style transfer: A survey. *CoRR*, abs/2011.00416.
 - Zhijing Jin, Di Jin, Jonas Mueller, Nicholas Matthews, and Enrico Santus. 2019. IMaT: Unsupervised text attribute transfer via iterative matching and translation. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 3097–3109, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
 - Kalpesh Krishna, John Wieting, and Mohit Iyyer. 2020. Reformulating unsupervised style transfer as paraphrase generation. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language*

Processing (EMNLP), pages 737–762, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

322

323

324

325

326

327

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

339

340

341

342

343

345

346

350

351

352

353

354

356

357

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

376

- Juncen Li, Robin Jia, He He, and Percy Liang. 2018. Delete, retrieve, generate: a simple approach to sentiment and style transfer. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 1865–1874, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Dayiheng Liu, Jie Fu, Yidan Zhang, Chris Pal, and Jiancheng Lv. 2020. Revision in continuous space: Unsupervised text style transfer without adversarial learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 8376–8383.
- Ruibo Liu, Chenyan Jia, and Soroush Vosoughi. 2021. A transformer-based framework for neutralizing and reversing the political polarity of news articles. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, 5(CSCW1).
- Fuli Luo, Peng Li, Jie Zhou, Pengcheng Yang, Baobao Chang, Zhifang Sui, and Xu Sun. 2019a. A dual reinforcement learning framework for unsupervised text style transfer. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2019.*
- Fuli Luo, Peng Li, Jie Zhou, Pengcheng Yang, Baobao Chang, Xu Sun, and Zhifang Sui. 2019b. A dual reinforcement learning framework for unsupervised text style transfer. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2019, Macao, China, August 10-16, 2019*, pages 5116–5122. ijcai.org.
- Aman Madaan, Amrith Setlur, Tanmay Parekh, Barnabas Poczos, Graham Neubig, Yiming Yang, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Alan W Black, and Shrimai Prabhumoye. 2020. Politeness transfer: A tag and generate approach. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1869–1881, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shrimai Prabhumoye, Yulia Tsvetkov, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Alan W Black. 2018. Style transfer through back-translation. In *Proceedings of the* 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 866–876, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Raul Puri and Bryan Catanzaro. 2019. Zero-shot text classification with generative language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.10165*.
- Sudha Rao and Joel Tetreault. 2018. Dear sir or madam, may I introduce the GYAFC dataset: Corpus, benchmarks and metrics for formality style transfer. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers), pages 129–140,

New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computa-tional Linguistics.

386

387

390

391

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407 408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417 418

419 420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429 430

431

432

433 434

435

- Laria Reynolds and Kyle McDonell. 2021. Prompt programming for large language models: Beyond the few-shot paradigm.
- Parker Riley, Noah Constant, Mandy Guo, Girish Kumar, David C. Uthus, and Zarana Parekh. 2021.
 Textsettr: Label-free text style extraction and tunable targeted restyling. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association of Computational Linguistics (ACL).*
- Keisuke Sakaguchi and Benjamin Van Durme. 2018. Efficient online scalar annotation with bounded support. In Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 208–218, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
 - Timo Schick and Hinrich Schütze. 2021. It's not just size that matters: Small language models are also few-shot learners. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 2339–2352, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tianxiao Shen, Tao Lei, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi Jaakkola. 2017. Style transfer from non-parallel text by cross-alignment. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Akhilesh Sudhakar, Bhargav Upadhyay, and Arjun Maheswaran. 2019. "Transforming" delete, retrieve, generate approach for controlled text style transfer. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 3269–3279, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Orion Weller, Nicholas Lourie, Matt Gardner, and Matthew E. Peters. 2020. Learning from task descriptions. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1361–1375, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander M. Rush. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Jingjing Xu, Xu Sun, Qi Zeng, Xiaodong Zhang, Xuancheng Ren, Houfeng Wang, and Wenjie Li. 2018. Unpaired sentiment-to-sentiment translation: A cycled reinforcement learning approach. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 979–988, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics. 436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

- Peng Xu, Yanshuai Cao, and Jackie Chi Kit Cheung. 2020. On variational learning of controllable representations for text without supervision. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML).*
- Xiang Zhang, Junbo Zhao, and Yann LeCun. 2015. Character-level convolutional networks for text classification. *Proceedings of the Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Zhemin Zhu, Delphine Bernhard, and Iryna Gurevych. 2010. A monolingual tree-based translation model for sentence simplification. In *Proceedings of the* 23rd International Conference on Computational Linguistics (COLING 2010), pages 1353–1361, Beijing, China. Coling 2010 Organizing Committee.

460

Appendix

Model / prompt wording	Acc	Bleu	PPL
LLM			
"more positive/negative"	76.3	14.8	180
"happier/sadder"	62.6	15.5	173
"more optimistic/pessimistic"	69.7	14.1	143
"more cheerful/miserable"	74.5	15.7	186
LLM-Dialog			
"more positive/negative"	90.5	10.4	79
"happier/sadder"	85.9	9.6	90
"more optimistic/pessimistic"	85.8	10.2	79
"more cheerful/miserable"	88.8	11.4	93

Table 4: Comparing variations of augmented zero-shot learning prompt wording for sentiment style transfer.

A Limitations and Failure Modes

Unparsable answers A frequent problem that 461 arises when using large LMs for other NLP tasks 462 463 is their outputs cannot be automatically parsed into usable answers. For example, when given a prompt 464 like "Here is some text: that is an ugly 465 dress. Here is a rewrite of the text, 466 467 which is more positive" LLM-Dialog might return something like "Sounds like you are a 468 great writer!" Similar error modes exist for 469 LLM, which might output something like "Here 470 are more writing tips and tricks." Other 471 times, the response contains correct information, 472 but it cannot be automatically parsed (e.g., "a 473 good rewrite might be to say that the 474 475 dress is pretty.") In hindsight, these outputs make a lot of sense: most of the training data of 476 large LMs is not well-formatted pairs of inputs and 477 outputs (Reynolds and McDonell, 2021). See §B 478 for how we dealt with these issues. 479

Hallucinations Large LMs are known to hallucinate text content; we saw this happen frequently for
style transfer. While this is an advantage in some contexts like creative writing, it is undesirable for applications like summarization.

Inherent style trends We also noticed that even our "*paraphrase*" baseline was rated highly for style strength for a few styles ("*more formal*" and "*more melodramatic*"). This implies that the method outputs generally trend toward these style. A direction for future work would be to see what styles and qualities of text our method (and large LMs in general) are inherently more likely to produce. Large LM safety concerns Large LMs themselves come with their own host of difficulties, barriers to entry, and potential safety concerns as discussed by Bender et al. (2021), which are also valid for this style transfer method. However, we also think that this method can be a useful tool in exploring and exposing the safety and boundaries of these models themselves: what happens if we try to force the large LM to make a text "more racist", "more sexist", or "more incendiary"? It is important to keep pushing these models to their boundaries to see where they fail and where problems arise, and specific use cases that show a broader range of the model's capabilities also show a broader range of its failure modes. 493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

B Prompt Selection

A promising new area of prompt engineering has arisen to address the failure modes discussed above, specifically the invalid or unparseable answers. Reynolds and McDonell (2021) find that prompting a model for a task is more akin to locating an already-learned task than truly learning a new one. Moreover, they emphasize that that prompt engineering is mostly about avoiding various failure cases such as those described above. In this work, we use delimiters ("{" and "}") to help avoid these types of errors, giving gave scores of zero when there was no valid responses with such delimiters. There are other delimiters that could be used (e.g., quotes, "(" and ")", "<" and ">", newlines with a colon (as used by GPT-3), etc. We chose curly braces as they were 1) likely to occur in the training data as delimiters in other contexts and 2) not frequently part of the input sentence itself. We also use a second person prompt template for the dialog, which yielded better results as it was more similar to the training data. Exploring these options more quantitatively would be an interesting direction for future work.

C Low BLEU for LLM-128B Outputs

As we saw in 2, the outputs of our model had low BLEU scores with respect to human generated outputs, while simultaneously having high semantic similarity in human evaluations. Based on qualitative examination of outputs, we believe that this is because model outputs often, despite having high semantic similarity with the source sentence, used different language from human annotations. For instance, for transferring the sentiment of "*ever* into paragraphs • to be a bit clearer • to be a little less angsty • to be a word for a song • to be about mining • to be about vegetables • to be better written • to be less descriptive • to be less diabolical • to be more absurd • to be more adventurous • to be more angry • to be more cheerful • to be more descriptive • to be more Dickensian • to be more emotional • to be more fancy • to be more flowery • to be more interesting • to be more joyful • to be more magical • to be more melodramatic • to be more scary • to be more subtle • to be more surprising • to be more suspenseful • to be more technical • to be more violent • to be more whimsical • to be warmer • to fit better grammatically with the rest of the story • to make more sense • to use a more interesting word • with a few words

Table 5: Full results for requests in the form of *"Rewrite this..."* made by users to a large LM-powered text editor.

since joes has changed hands it's just gotten worse and worse" to positive sentiment, our zero-shot augmented learning model outputed "the establishment has continued to provide excellent service, improving steadily since its change of ownership." This will have low BLEU with the ground truth with respect to human references, which is simply "ever since joes has changed hands it's just gotten better and better." (See all our model outputs at https://bit.ly/3fLDuci.)

Though we do not see this as an inherent problem, increasing the BLEU for the purposes of comparison can be done in an easy way via candidate selection, as our model returns sixteen possible continuations. In some application for which we prefer model outputs to have high lexical similarity to the source sentence, we could select the candidate of the sixteen with the highest BLEU score compared with the original source sentence. We find that this candidate selection step can substantially improve the BLEU score with the ground truth target sentences, as we show in Table 8.

D Further Related Work

Style transfer has gained increasing attention in the NLP landscape, for which neural models have been trained to perform style transfer for styles including sentiment, formality, politeness, gender, and political slant (Prabhumoye et al., 2018; Madaan et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021). We will briefly summarize the primary approaches to style transfer here, and refer the involved reader to either (Jin et al., 2020) or (Hu et al., 2020) for a survey.

Most text style transfer approaches fall in two categories. Early approaches tend to require *parallel* text data (Zhu et al., 2010; Rao and Tetreault, 2018), where every input in the source style has a corresponding output in the target style. Though this formulation elegantly fits the standard encoderdecoder paradigm, the availability of a parallel text corpus is a stringent requirement. Hence, recent text style transfer approaches have instead used non-parallel monostyle data (no one-to-onemapping between instances in the source and target styles). Such methods include latent representation manipulation (Liu et al., 2020), prototype-based text editing (Li et al., 2018), and pseudo-parallel corpus construction (Jin et al., 2019). However, even non-parallel monostyle data can be hard to collect for arbitrary styles. As such, surveys have called for more research on approaches that expand the scope of supported styles and reduce the training data requirements for style transfer systems (Jin et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020).

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

Several new methods tackle the challenging problem of label-free style transfer, which does not require a full corpus of labeled data, but rather just a few exemplars that define a style. Xu et al. (2020) use variational autoencoders for unsupervised learning of controllable representations for text. Riley et al. (2021) extract a style vector from a set of target texts and use this vector to condition the decoder to perform style transfer to a target style. These approaches have a similar goal to ours in terms of expanding the scope of possible style transfers. However, they are different in two main ways. First, they require a fully specialized model, where our method can be applied out-of-the-box with something like GPT-3. This can either be a strength or weakness, depending on the availability of such a model. Second, they require exemplars to define a style rather than a plain text description.

573

574

576

542

Input	Model suggestion
You honor me," said Bruno. As he spoke, he began to laugh. His laugh was merry sounding and melodic. He took a deep breath. When he spoke again, his voice was low and strong. "I take this great task on and with my brothers shall lead us on to victory! Rewrite it to be about mining.	You honor me, said Bruno. As he spoke, he began to laugh. His laugh was deep and gravely, as if from the bowels of the earth. He took a deep breath. When he spoke again, his voice was deep and dark.'I take this great task on and with my brothers shall delve into the mines!"
I reckon,' smiled Toe, 'you'd have to say the same for icicles, wouldn't you? Rewrite it to be more interesting.	'I reckon,' smiled Toe, 'you'd have to say the same for icicles, wouldn't you?' These words were barely out of his mouth before the others realized exactly what they meant.
with its southern perfume Rewrite it to be more descriptive	A mixture of sweet honeysuckles and jasmine with a hint of tobacco and warm mesquite smoke. It was his southern perfume, with a hint of a memory of simpler times.
But Sally remained unmoved–and lonely. Rewrite it to be more emotional	Her tears rolled and rolled down her cheek, mixing with the saltwater around her, until the water was a rich mixture of the two. She wanted her poem to be cheerful like it used to be, but couldn't find the right words.

Table 6: Examples of users' arbitrary style transfer requests for which the model suggestion was accepted.



Figure 4: Human evaluation of fluency for style transfer for six atypical styles. Error bars show standard error of the mean.

Augmented Zero-shot Prompt: LLM "Here is some text: {When the doctor asked Linda to take the medicine, he smiled and gave her a lollipop.}. Here is a rewrite of the text, which is more scary. (When the doctor told Linda to take the medicine, there had been a malicious gleam in her eye that Linda didn't like at all.} Here is some text: {they asked loudly, over the sound of the train.}. Here is a rewrite of the text, which is more intense. (they yelled aggressively, over the clanging of the train) Here is some text: {When Mohammed left the theatre, it was already dark out}. Here is a rewrite of the text, which is about the movie itself. {The movie was longer than Mohammed had expected, and despite the excellent ratings he was a bit disappointed when he left the theatre.} some text: {next to the path}. Here is a rewrite of the text, which is about France. Here is {next to la Seine} {The man stood outside the grocery store, ringing the bell.}. Here is a rewrite of the text, Here is some text: which is about clowns. {The man stood outside the circus, holding a bunch of balloons.} Here is some text: {the bell ringing}. Here is a rewrite of the text, which is more flowery. {the peales of the jangling bell} Here is some text: {against the tree}. Here is a rewrite of the text, which is includes the word `snow'. {against the snow-covered bark of the tree} Here is a rewrite of the text, which is more positive." Here is some text: {That is an ugly dress}. Augmented Zero-shot Prompt: LLM-dialog "Here is some text: {When the doctor asked Linda to take the medicine, he smiled and gave her a lollipop.}. Rewrite it to be more scary." "{When the doctor told Linda to take the medicine, there had been a malicious gleam in her eye that Linda didn't like at all.}", "Here is some text: {they asked loudly, over the sound of the train.}. Rewrite it to be more intense.", "{they yelled aggressively, over the clanging of the train}", "Here is some text: {When Mohammed left the theatre, it was already dark out}.", "Rewrite it to be more about the movie itself., {The movie was longer than Mohammed had expected, and despite the excellent ratings he was a bit disappointed when he left the theatre.}", "Here is some text: {next to the path}. Rewrite it to be about France.", "{next to la Seine}", "Here is some text: {The man stood outside the grocery store, ringing the bell.}. Rewrite it to be about clowns.", "{The man stood outside the circus, holding a bunch of balloons.}" "Here is some text: {the bell ringing}. Rewrite it to be more flowery.", "{the peales of the jangling bell}", "Here is some text: {against the tree}. Rewrite it to be includes the word 'snow'.", "{against the snow-covered bark of the tree}" {That is an ugly dress}. Rewrite it to be more positive." Here is some text:

Table 7: The exact augmented-zero shot prompts used in our experiments. For *LLM-Dialog*, we replaced "Here is a rewrite of the text, which is" with "Rewrite it to be", and fed each line of the input to the model as individual dialog turns. The blue text is an example of a templated input text and style that would produce the final model output. Note that we can achieve high accuracy even though the prompt formulation resulted in some minor grammitical errors for some styles (e.g., "rewrite it to be include the word 'snow")

	Acc	BLEU	PPL
LLM-128B			
Zero-shot	69.7	28.6	397
+ cand. select.	31.4	61.5	354
Five-shot	83.2	19.8	240
+ cand. select.	61.5	55.6	306
Augmented zero-shot	79.6	16.1	173
+ cand. select.	65.0	49.3	292
LLM-128B-dialog			
Zero-shot	59.1	17.6	138
+ cand. select.	46.8	24.2	166
Five-shot	94.3	13.6	126
+ cand. select.	81.3	47.6	345
Augmented zero-shot	90.6	10.4	79
+ cand. select.	73.7	40.6	184

Table 8: Sentiment style transfer results with candidate selection (cand. select.). Candidate selection means that of the sixteen examples returned by our model, we choose the one with the highest BLEU with the source sentence.

Instructions: In this task, your goal is to identify whether a desired transformation has been successfully applied to a sentence, without changing the overall meaning of the sentence. Each question contains a sentence marked "original sentence," a desired transformation, and an output sentence where the transformation has been applied.

Each of these questions relates to the same original text and desired transform, but each has a different output transformed sentence. Please rate each transformed sentence along the following three axes:

1) Transferred Style Strength: Does the transformed text has the applied style/transform compared to the original text? For example, if the original text is "I went to the store" and the style is "more angry":

example	score	reasoning
"The store is where I went"	0	The transformed text is no more angry than the original text.
"I went to the stupid store"	50	The transformed text somewhat relates to the style.
"When I went to the store, I couldn't believe how rude the storekeeper was to me!"	100	The text is clearly more angry.

2) Meaning: Does the transformed sentence still have the same overall meaning as the original? It is OK if extra information is added, as long as it doesn't change the underlying people, events, and objects described in the sentence. You should also not penalize for meaning transformations which are necessary for the specified transformation. For example, if the original text is "I love this store" and the style is "more angry":

example	score	reasoning
"it is raining today"	0	the transformed text is about something totally different. It would be hard to tell that the texts are related at all.
"they were out of chicken at the store"	50	The transformed text is mostly related to original some modifications of the meaning have been made but they are not egregious
"I adore the store." or "The store was really horrible; it took forever to do my shopping."	100	The text talks about the same concepts as the original, just with different or more words

3) Fluency: Is this sentence fluent english and does it make sense?

example	score	reasoning
"who said that? I thought we were going to go together!"	Yes	This text makes sense
"who, she said it up to me and to me together!"	No	The text is incoherent

Original text: "Everyone in my world had different eye colours."			
Desired transformation: more melodramatic			
Transformed text: "Everyone in my world had the most intensly colorful eyes, and no one in this world can possibly understand how			
beautiful they were."			
1) Transferred Style Strength: The transformed text has the applied style/transform.			
50			
2) Meaning: The meaning is preserved between the original and transformed texts (ignoring the ways that the style/transform would change the meaning)			
50			
3) Fluency: the transformed text is fluent English and it makes sense.			
⊖ Yes			
O No			

Figure 5: The rating UI used for human evaluation. The user may be shown a number of blue squares at once with the same original text and different outputs.

I first met Dean not long after my wife and I split up. I had just gotten over a serious illness that I won't bother to talk about, except that it had something to do with the miserably weary split-up and my feeling that everything was dead.

✦[‡] Controls

rewrite the text : to be more melodramatic				
choose enter	cancel esc			
refresh tab	refine cmd + e			

★ to cycle through choices (1/14)

I first laid eyes on Dean not long after my wife and I had split - a bitter parting - I had recovered from a most serious illness, I was a bitter and weary man, everything was cold and dead within me. That is, until Dean came along.

and after i got over my illness, i felt dead on the inside, like something from a Greek Tragedy. So when Dean came by and asked if I was in, i felt the life come back into my heart, as if i'd been waiting for him and we'd spent an entire lifetime with our eyes on each other.

I first met Dean not long after my wife left me. I was just getting over a serious illness that had something to do with the miserably weary and painful split-up, and my feeling that everything was dead inside. And the sadness. So much sadness.

It was a dark and stormy night when I first met Dean.

The long, lonely walk home along the dark road is never far from my mind. As the black dog howls in the distance, I remember the bleak, dark mood that pervaded everything during that terrible time. I remember, too, the first time I met Dean...

Figure 6: Screenshot AI-assisted editor with 'Rewrite as' feature.