A Low-Resource Training Strategy for Cell Segmentation using Patch-Based Attention U-Net

Kai-Lin Chen¹ Yu-Nong Lin¹ Pen-hsiu Grace Chao¹ Kevin T. Chen¹ B11508002@ntu.edu.tw LINYUNONG@ntu.edu.tw PGCHAO@ntu.edu.tw CHENKT@ntu.edu.tw

¹ Department of Biomedical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

Editors: Accepted for publication at MIDL 2025

Abstract

Segmentation is an essential tool for cell biologists and involves isolating cells or cellular features from microscopy images. An automated segmentation pipeline with high precision and accuracy can significantly reduce manual labor and subjectivity. Frequently, researchers would seek for a validated model available online and fine-tune it to meet their segmentation requirements. However, the established fine-tuning approach may involve online training or computationally intensive offline training. To address this, we propose an offline training pipeline requiring only tens of samples that are morphologically distinct from pre-training data. Specifically, we employed a patch-based attention U-Net trained with a threshold-based custom loss function. Finally, we evaluated this workflow along with two other state-of-the-art models, Stardist and Cellpose, on three different tasks. Our method improves image segmentation performance by 32.60% and 35.62% over Stardist and Cellpose, respectively, using the same amount of training samples. The code is available on our GitHub page: https://github.com/NTUMMIO/PAULow.

Keywords: Low-resource learning, Cell segmentation, Microscopy imaging, Custom loss

1. Introduction

Training a robust model for image segmentation can significantly shorten the time spent on image analysis. However, deep learning models would require a substantial amount of labeled data, often difficult and time-consuming to acquire (Román et al., 2023; Kemeter et al., 2024); without sufficient training data, the model may suffer from overfitting (Li et al., 2019; Ye et al., 2022). Recently, multiple deep learning-based cell microscopy image segmentation models trained on large datasets, such as Cellpose and Stardist (Schmidt et al., 2018; Stringer et al., 2020; Pachitariu and Stringer, 2022; Stringer and Pachitariu, 2025), are available online for cell biologists. Although these works allow users to fine-tune the models to a specific dataset, current fine-tuning strategies were mostly applicable to segmentation on whole cells with relatively round or regular morphology (Kleinberg et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2024). Moreover, successful fine-tuning often depends on the sample size and morphology of the target dataset (Tinn et al., 2021; Davila et al., 2024). To establish a lowresource training strategy adaptive to morphological variability in regions of interest (ROI), we design a unified training framework that integrates a patch-based cropping mechanism for normalization of input dimensions and augment data diversity. The proposed framework utilized an attention-based U-Net architecture and its generalizability and robustness was evaluated through a 5-fold cross-validation scheme across datasets with diverse ROIs.



Figure 1: The overview of the proposed training method. Training images and masks were cropped to 128×128 to ensure consistent input tensor sizes. WSConv (Weight Standard Convolution) enhances Conv (Standard convolution) layers by normalizing weights to improve training stability. Our designed dynamic loss function enables the model to distinguish background-dominant and foreground-dominant patches.

2. Methodologies

2.1. Dataset Introduction and Preprocessing

In this study, we selected three datasets ranging from trivial to challenging, consisting of fluorescently labeled cell nuclei (Task 1), phase-contrast images of cells (Task 2), and fluorescently labeled actin filaments (Task 3), acquired on inverted Leica DMI3000B or Nikon Ti2E systems, equipped with an sCMOs camera (Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash4.0 LT), and a $20 \times$ objective (Wen et al., 2022). Ground truths are labelled by an experienced cell biologist. Each dataset has a different mean signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) value and image dimensions as presented in Table 1. Representative images from various ROIs are illustrated in Figure 2, each showing a different region of interest. The original image and mask were zero-padded such that their edges were multiples of 128 pixels and then cropped into 128×128 patches.

Table 1	: Dataset	Overview
---------	-----------	----------

Task	Mean SNR Value	Image Dimensions	Training Samples	Test Samples
Task 1	28.9020	$192\times436\times1$	21	10
Task 2	10.4773	$136\times 558\times 1$	21	10
Task 3	2.8844	$1024\times250\times1$	10	4



Figure 2: Sample images and masks from each task, each showing a different region of interest.

2.2. Network Design and Data-Efficient Training

Our network architecture is depicted in Figure 1. We adopted the Attention U-Net structure (Oktay et al., 2018), utilizing the gated attention mechanisms to focus on relevant regions. To maximize the utility of the dataset, we propose to apply a patch-based approach (Ullah et al., 2023) that enables precise pixel-wise segmentation and data augmentation. A threshold-based dynamic loss function was employed to address foreground-background imbalance in the cropped dataset (see below). In contrast, the benchmark models were fine-tuned following the optimal settings recommended by their respective authors.

2.3. Dynamic Threshold-based Loss Function Selection

To address the foreground-background imbalance in the cropped dataset while retaining all training patches, we proposed an adaptive, dynamic, threshold-based loss function strategy. Predicted masks were categorized into three types: background patches, small ROI patches, and large ROI patches. A patch was classified as a small ROI patch if the predicted foreground area was less than 6.25% of the patch. The overall loss framework combined three loss functions: Binary Cross-Entropy (BCE) loss, Tversky loss, and Focal Tversky loss. Background patches used only BCE loss to encourage true negative predictions. Small ROI patches were optimized using a combination of BCE and Tversky loss to penalize false positives while reinforcing true negatives. In contrast, large ROI patches used a combination of Tversky loss and Focal Tversky loss to intensify penalties on both false positives and false negatives, promoting pixel-wise segmentation in the training patches.

Model	Task 1	Task 2	Task 3	Average	Task Coverage	Final Score
Stardist	0.8232	0.5904	0.5164	0.6433	1.0000	0.6433
Cellpose	0.9834	0.9036	N/A	0.9435	0.6667	0.6290
Proposed Method	0.9908	0.9327	0.6357	0.8531	1.0000	0.8531

Table 2: Model Segmentation Performance (Dice Score) Across Three Tasks

3. Results and Conclusions

Segmentation performance was evaluated using the mean Dice score across three tasks, excluding shape-incompatible cases, with scores weighted by task coverage for fairness. As shown in Table 2, our method outperformed Cellpose and Stardist across all three tasks, achieving a score of 0.8531(Stardist = 0.6433 and Cellpose = 0.6290) without the need for large-scale pretraining. Using the same number of training samples, our patch-based attention training network showed improvements in terms of final score by 32.60% and 35.62% compared to Stardist and Cellpose, respectively. Overall, our proposed method achieved a higher final score which suggested its greater adaptability to diverse target morphologies as compared to benchmark models. In conclusion, this study demonstrated that the proposed patch-based attention mechanism can serve as a lightweight and adaptable alternative among existing cell image segmentation models.

References

- Ana Davila, Jacinto Colan, and Yasuhisa Hasegawa. Comparison of fine-tuning strategies for transfer learning in medical image classification. *Image and Vision Computing*, 146: 105012, 2024. ISSN 0262-8856. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imavis.2024.105012. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0262885624001161.
- Lukas Malte Kemeter, Rasmus Hvingelby, Paulina Sierak, Tobias Schön, and Bishwajit Gosswam. Towards reducing data acquisition and labeling for defect detection using simulated data, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.19175.
- Giona Kleinberg, Sophia Wang, Ester Comellas, James R. Monaghan, and Sandra J. Shefelbine. Usability of deep learning pipelines for 3d nuclei identification with stardist and cellpose. *Cells & amp; Development*, 172:203806, Dec 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.cdev.2022.203806.
- Zeju Li, Konstantinos Kamnitsas, and Ben Glocker. Overfitting of neural nets under class imbalance: Analysis and improvements for segmentation, 2019. URL https://arxiv. org/abs/1907.10982.
- Ping Liu, Jun Li, Jiaxing Chang, Pinli Hu, Yue Sun, Yanan Jiang, Fan Zhang, and Haojing Shao. Software tools for 2d cell segmentation. *Cells*, 13(4):352, Feb 2024. doi: 10.3390/ cells13040352.
- Ozan Oktay, Jo Schlemper, Loic Le Folgoc, Matthew Lee, Mattias Heinrich, Kazunari Misawa, Kensaku Mori, Steven McDonagh, Nils Y Hammerla, Bernhard Kainz, Ben Glocker, and Daniel Rueckert. Attention u-net: Learning where to look for the pancreas, 2018. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.03999.
- Marius Pachitariu and Carsen Stringer. Cellpose 2.0: How to train your own model. Nature Methods, 19(12):1634–1641, Nov 2022. doi: 10.1038/s41592-022-01663-4.
- Krishna Román, José Llumiquinga, Stalyn Chancay, and Manuel Eugenio Morocho-Cayamcela. Hyperparameter tuning in a dual channel u-net for medical image segmentation. In Jorge Maldonado-Mahauad, Jorge Herrera-Tapia, Jorge Luis Zambrano-Martínez, and Santiago Berrezueta, editors, *Information and Communication Technologies*, pages 337–352, Cham, 2023. Springer Nature Switzerland. ISBN 978-3-031-45438-7.
- Uwe Schmidt, Martin Weigert, Coleman Broaddus, and Gene Myers. Cell detection with star-convex polygons. In Alejandro F. Frangi, Julia A. Schnabel, Christos Davatzikos, Carlos Alberola-López, and Gabor Fichtinger, editors, *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2018*, pages 265–273, Cham, 2018. Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-030-00934-2.
- Carsen Stringer and Marius Pachitariu. Cellpose3: One-click image restoration for improved cellular segmentation. Nature Methods, 22(3):592–599, Feb 2025. doi: 10.1038/s41592-025-02595-5.
- Carsen Stringer, Tim Wang, Michalis Michaelos, and Marius Pachitariu. Cellpose: A generalist algorithm for cellular segmentation. *Nature Methods*, 18(1):100–106, Dec 2020. doi: 10.1038/s41592-020-01018-x.

- Robert Tinn, Hao Cheng, Yu Gu, Naoto Usuyama, Xiaodong Liu, Tristan Naumann, Jianfeng Gao, and Hoifung Poon. Fine-tuning large neural language models for biomedical natural language processing, 2021. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.07869.
- Faizan Ullah, Abdu Salam, Mohammad Abrar, and Farhan Amin. Brain tumor segmentation using a patch-based convolutional neural network: A big data analysis approach. *Mathematics*, 11(7):1635, Mar 2023. doi: 10.3390/math11071635.
- Shin-Min Wen, Wen-Cih Wen, and Pen hsiu Grace Chao. Zyxin and actin structure confer anisotropic yap mechanotransduction. Acta Biomaterialia, 152:313-320, 2022. ISSN 1742-7061. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2022.08.079. URL https://www. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S174270612200561X.
- Han-Jia Ye, Hong-You Chen, De-Chuan Zhan, and Wei-Lun Chao. Identifying and compensating for feature deviation in imbalanced deep learning, 2022. URL https: //arxiv.org/abs/2001.01385.