Universal Sharpness Dynamics in Neural Network Training: Fixed Point Analysis, Edge of Stability, and Route to Chaos

Anonymous Author(s) Affiliation Address email

Abstract

 In gradient descent dynamics of neural networks, the top eigenvalue of the loss Hessian (sharpness) displays a variety of robust phenomena throughout training. This includes early time regimes where the sharpness may decrease during early periods of training (sharpness reduction), and later time behavior such as pro- gressive sharpening and edge of stability. We demonstrate that a simple 2-layer linear network (UV model) trained on a single training example exhibits all of the essential sharpness phenomenology observed in real-world scenarios. By analyzing the structure of dynamical fixed points in function space and the vector field of function updates, we uncover the underlying mechanisms behind these sharpness trends. Our analysis reveals (i) the mechanism behind early sharpness reduction and progressive sharpening, (ii) the required conditions for edge of stability, (iii) the crucial role of initialization and parameterization, and (iv) a period-doubling route to chaos on the edge of stability manifold as learning rate is increased. Finally, we demonstrate that various predictions from this simplified model generalize to real-world scenarios and discuss its limitations.

1 Introduction

 Over the last several years, it has been observed that the training dynamics of neural networks exhibits a rich and robust set of unexpected phenomena, stemming from the non-convexity of the loss landscape. These phenomena not only challenge our existing understanding of loss landscapes but also open avenues for significantly enhancing model performance through improved optimization techniques. In particular, the unexpected and robust phenomenology is mainly associated with the evolution of the Hessian of the loss function, which provides a measure of the local curvature of the loss landscape and plays an important role in understanding generalization performance [\[18,](#page-7-0) [11,](#page-7-1) [16\]](#page-7-2).

 On the one hand, it has been observed that at late training times, gradient descent (GD) typically exhibits "progressive sharpening," where the top eigenvalue of the loss Hessian λ^H , referred to as 26 the sharpness, gradually increases with time, until it reaches roughly $2/\eta$, where η is the learning 27 rate. Once the sharpness reaches roughly $2/\eta$, it stops increasing and typically oscillates near $2/\eta$, a late-time training phenomenon referred to as the "edge of stability (EoS)" [\[7\]](#page-6-0). On the other hand, during early training, a decrease in sharpness is observed —referred to as "sharpness reduction" [\[17\]](#page-7-3) —before hitting a temporary plateau.

For large enough learning rates, training temporarily destabilizes early on, and the network "catapults"

 out of its local basin, leading to a temporary sudden increase in the loss in the first few steps, before eventually settling down in a flatter region of the loss landscape characterized by lower sharpness [\[22\]](#page-7-4).

Similar to the loss, sharpness may also spike within the first few steps of training and quickly decrease

Submitted to the Mathematics of Modern Machine Learning Workshop at NeurIPS 2024. Do not distribute.

Figure 1: Training loss and sharpness trajectories of ReLU FCNs trained on a 5k subset of CIFAR-10 examples using MSE loss and GD: (a, d) SP with $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$, (b, e) SP with $\sigma_w^2 = 2.0$, (c, f) μ P with $\sigma_w^2 = 2.0$. The dashed lines in the sharpness figures show the $2/\eta$ threshold.

³⁵ (sharpness catapult). A rich phase diagram as a function of network depth, width and learning rate ³⁶ summarizes the early training dynamics [\[17\]](#page-7-3).

 The discovery of these intriguing sharpness phenomena has attracted significant attention, with an emphasis on various toy models that exhibit similar phenomenology. Yet, the specific conditions and reasons why these phenomena occur still remain elusive. In this paper, we analyze a simple toy model, a 2-layer linear network trained on one example, referred to as the UV model. We show that all of the phenomena described above can be observed in the UV model for appropriate choices of learning rate, initialization, parameterization, and choice of training example. Through this exploration, we provide novel insights into the mechanisms at play and offer predictions that we validate in realistic architectures with both real and synthetic datasets.

Our Contributions. We revisit the four training regimes identified by Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-3) (early time transient, intermediate saturation, progressive sharpening, and late time EoS) in Section [3,](#page-2-0) focusing on the crucial role of initializations and parameterizations. Our findings reveal that models in Standard Parameterization (SP) with large initializations do not exhibit EoS, even at late training times. 49 Moreover, we show that models in Maximal Update Parameterization (μ P) [\[35\]](#page-8-0) do not experience an early sharpness reduction. This result also holds for models in SP with small initializations.

 We show the UV model exhibits all four training regimes and also captures the effect of initializations and parameterization discussed above. Through fixed-point analysis of the UV model in the function space, we analyze the origins of the various dynamical phenomena exhibited by the sharpness. Specifically, we demonstrate in Sections [4](#page-3-0) and [5:](#page-3-1) (i) the emergence of various sharpness phenomena 55 arising from the stability and position of the dynamical fixed points, (ii) a critical learning rate η_c , above which the model exhibits EoS on a sub-quadratic manifold, and (iii) a period-doubling route to chaos of sharpness fluctuations as learning rate is increased in the EoS regime. In Appendix [A,](#page-8-1) we verify various non-trivial predictions from the UV model in realistic architectures with real and synthetic datasets. Our findings reveal: (i) a sharpness-weight norm correlation before the training enters the EoS regime, (ii) a phase diagram of EoS, revealing initializations and parameterizations that do not exhibit EoS, and (iii) a period-doubling route to chaos in real architectures trained on synthetic datasets, while those trained on real datasets exhibit long-range correlations at the EoS, with a remnant of the period doubling route to chaos.

⁶⁴ Given that our analysis spans the entire training trajectory, it relates to numerous studies. Hence, we ⁶⁵ defer a comprehensive discussion of related works to Appendix [B.](#page-10-0)

⁶⁶ 2 Notations and Preliminaries

⁶⁷ This section describes the fundamental concepts and notations that form the basis of our analysis.

69 $M(\theta_t)$. A fixed point θ^* of the dynamics satisfies $M(\theta^*) = \dot{\theta}^*$. The linear stability of a fixed point θ^* is determined by analyzing the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i^{J^*}\}\$ of the Jacobian $J_M(\theta^*) := \nabla_\theta M(\theta) \big|_{\theta=\theta^*}$. 71 An eigendirection $u_i^{J^*}$ of a fixed point θ^* is stable if $|\lambda_i^{J^*}| < 1$ and unstable if $|\lambda_i^{J^*}| > 1$ [\[25\]](#page-7-5). The 72 dynamics is captured by the vector field of updates $G(\theta) := M(\theta) - \theta$. The corresponding unit vector *is denoted* $\hat{G}(\theta) := G(\theta)/||G(\theta)||$. Nullclines refer to curves where one of the variables, θ_i , remains 74 invariant, i.e., $\theta_{i;t} = M_i(\theta_t)$. ⁷⁵ Parameterizations in Neural Networks: Sharpness phenomena in neural networks are intrinsically ⁷⁶ tied to network parameterization. Standard Parameterization (SP) [\[29\]](#page-8-2) and Neural Tangent Parame-

68 Dynamical Systems and Fixed Points: Consider a discrete dynamical system described by $\theta_{t+1} =$

⁷⁷ terization (NTP) [\[14\]](#page-7-6) are two commonly used parameterizations, which converge to kernel methods 78 at infinite width. Ref. [\[35\]](#page-8-0) proposed Maximal update Parameterization (μP) , which allows for feature ⁷⁹ learning at infinite width. For implementation details, see Appendix C.2.1.

so **UV Model:** The UV model refers to a 2-layer linear network *f* : ℝ^{*d*} → ℝ trained on a single example.
We parameterize *f* as $f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} v^T Ux$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the input, *n* is the network width 81 We parameterize f as $f(x;\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^{1-p}}} v^T Ux$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the input, n is the network width,

s2 and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n, U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ are trainable parameters, with each component drawn i.i.d. at initialization

ss from a normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2/n^p)$. Here, $p \in [0, 1]$ is a parameter that interpolates between 84 NTP and μ P, and $n_{\text{eff}} := n^{1-p}$ is referred to as the effective width. We consider the network trained

on a single training example (x, y) using MSE loss $\ell(f(x; \theta), y) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x; \theta) - y)^2$.

86 3 Review of The Four Regimes of Training

⁸⁷ Typical training trajectories of neural networks can be categorized into four training regimes [\[17\]](#page-7-3), as 88 shown in Figure $1(a, d)$:

 (T1) *Early time transient:* This corresponds to the first few steps of training. At small learning rates 90 ($\eta < \eta_{\text{loss}}$), loss and sharpness decrease monotonically. At larger learning rates ($\eta > \eta_{\text{loss}}$), training catapults out of the initial basin, temporarily increasing the loss, and finally converges to a flatter region [\[22\]](#page-7-4). By the end of this regime, sharpness has decreased from initialization for all learning rates, and more substantially at larger learning rates.

⁹⁴ (T2) *Intermediate saturation:* Following the initial transient regime, sharpness approximately plateaus ⁹⁵ before gradually increasing.

96 (T3) *Progressive sharpening:* In this regime, sharpness continues to increase until it reaches $λ^H ≈ 2/η$
97 [15, 7]. At large effective widths or small learning rates, training may conclude before reaching thi

[\[15,](#page-7-7) [7\]](#page-6-0). At large effective widths or small learning rates, training may conclude before reaching this ⁹⁸ threshold.

⁹⁹ (T4) *Late-time dynamics (EoS):* After progressive sharpening, for MSE loss, sharpness oscillates 100 around $2/\eta$. For cross-entropy loss, the sharpness oscillates when reaching approximately $2/\eta$, while ¹⁰¹ decreasing over longer time scales [\[7\]](#page-6-0).

 In this work, we show that the sharpness dynamics heavily depends on the initialization and param- eterization of the network and not every training trajectory shows all four regimes. For instance, Figure [1\(](#page-1-0)b, e) shows that FCNs in SP with large initialization (or large effective width) do not exhibit EoS, even when loss decreases to a value below 10^{-5} . Following the early transient regime, sharpness monotonically decreases, with only a nominal increase towards late training. In contrast, Figure [1\(](#page-1-0)c, 107 f) shows that FCNs in μ P (or small effective width) do not experience an initial sharpness reduction at small learning rates ($η < η_{loss}$). Rather, sharpness continues to increase until it reaches $2/η$ and then 109 oscillates around it. At large learning rates ($\eta > \eta_{\text{sharp}}$), sharpness catapults and eventually settles into the same trend as above. In Appendix [D,](#page-15-0) we show that these trends remain consistent when NTP is used instead of SP. Given this similarity in the training dynamics between SP and NTP, we use NTP for theoretical analysis for clarity and SP in realistic experiments for implementation convenience.

 Figure [2](#page-4-0) (and Figure [8](#page-17-0) in Appendix [E.5\)](#page-17-1) demonstrates that the UV model displays all four training regimes. It also captures the cases where sharpness reduction or EoS is not observed. Therefore, the simplified UV model can serve as an effective model for understanding these universal behaviors in the sharpness dynamics. In the subsequent section, we perform fixed point analysis of the UV model and probe the origin of these complex phenomena in later sections.

¹¹⁸ 4 Fixed Point Analysis of the UV model

119 Under GD, the parameters of the UV model are updated as $U_{t+1} = U_t - \eta \frac{\Delta f_t v_t x^T}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}$, $v_{t+1} =$ 120 $v_t - \eta \frac{\Delta f_t U_t x}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}$, where η is the learning rate and $\Delta f_t := f(x; \theta_t) - y$ is the residual at training step 121 t. In function space, the dynamics can be completely described using the residual Δf_t and trace of the loss Hessian $\lambda := \text{Tr} \dot{H} = \frac{1}{n_{\text{eff}}} (x^T U^T U x + v^T v x^T x)$, which is also the scalar neural tangent ¹²³ kernel in this case. The function space dynamics of the UV model can be fully described using two ¹²⁴ coupled non-linear equations (for derivation, see Appendix [E.1\)](#page-15-1):

$$
\Delta f_{t+1} = \Delta f_t \left(1 - \eta \lambda_t + \frac{\eta^2 ||\mathbf{x}||^2}{n_{\text{eff}}} \Delta f_t (\Delta f_t + y) \right),\tag{1}
$$

$$
\lambda_{t+1} = \lambda_t + \frac{\eta \, \|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2}{n_{\text{eff}}} \Delta f_t^2 \left(\eta \lambda_t - 4 \frac{(\Delta f_t + y)}{\Delta f_t} \right),\tag{2}
$$

125 with effectively three parameters: η , $||x||/\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}$ and y. While similar equations have been considered ¹²⁶ in previous works [\[22,](#page-7-4) [36,](#page-8-3) [1\]](#page-6-1), the generalization to generic parameterizations is novel and would be 127 crucial in observing different sharpness phenomena such as EoS. The $y = 0$ case has been analyzed 128 in prior works [\[22,](#page-7-4) [17\]](#page-7-3) for understanding catapult dynamics. Here, λ can only decrease with time, as 129 can be seen from Equation [\(2\)](#page-3-2) with $\eta < \eta_{\text{max}} = 4/\lambda_0$ (training diverges if $\eta > \eta_{\text{max}}$). As a result, the 130 model does not exhibit progressive sharpening and EoS. Below we focus on the case $y > 0$, which 131 allows for λ to increase in time and consequently, much richer dynamics.

 Equations [\(1\)](#page-3-3) and [\(2\)](#page-3-2) have four distinct fixed points/lines (referred to as I-IV) as detailed in Table [1](#page-17-2) 133 of Appendix [E.3.](#page-16-0) The fixed line I defines a zero-loss line, meaning $\ell = 0$ for all points in I; the points 134 in I are stable for $\eta \lambda < 2$ and unstable otherwise. Fixed point II at $(-y, 0)$ corresponds to the origin 135 in parameter space $(U, \mathbf{v} = 0)$ and it is a saddle point of the dynamics for convergent learning rates in parameter space $(U, v = 0)$ and it is a saddle point of the dynamics for convergent learning rates η . Both I and II are also fixed points of the GD optimization, i.e., critical points of the loss. The loss Hessian at I is positive definite, while fixed point II is a saddle point in the loss landscape. The remaining two fixed points III and IV are unstable and exist only in function space, representing non-trivial parameter space dynamics that leave the function space dynamics invariant.

Figure [2](#page-4-0) shows the fixed points and the vector field $\hat{G}(\Delta f, \lambda)$ determined by Equations [\(1\)](#page-3-3) and [\(2\)](#page-3-2), ¹⁴¹ which illustrates the direction of the updates at each point. Note that the stability of the fixed line 142 (I) does not follow from \tilde{G} alone, as the magnitude G is required to determine stability. Figure [2](#page-4-0) 143 also shows training trajectories for various parameter values. Using λ as a proxy for sharpness, we 144 see there are regions where λ increases (colored yellow) and decreases (colored green) along the ¹⁴⁵ flow, which we refer to as progressive sharpening and sharpness reduction, respectively. It follows 146 from Equation [\(2\)](#page-3-2) that the condition $\eta \lambda \Delta f = 4(\Delta f + y)$ separates these regions. Importantly, the 147 parameters η , $\|x\|/\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}$ and y influence the position of the fixed points. This, in turn, affects the extent 148 of different regions and the vector field \tilde{G} , as illustrated in Figure [2.](#page-4-0) In particular, on decreasing effective width n_{eff} , or increasing learning rate η , fixed points III and IV move inward (see fixed point ¹⁵⁰ expressions in Table [1\)](#page-17-2), which relatively enlarges the progressive sharpening region while shrinking ¹⁵¹ the overall convergent region. Overall, these illustrations demonstrate how the local stability and ¹⁵² relative position of the fixed points collectively impact the dynamics. In the subsequent section, we ¹⁵³ discuss the dynamics in detail.

¹⁵⁴ 5 Understanding Sharpness Dynamics in the UV model

¹⁵⁵ In this section, we describe the origin of different robust phenomena in the dynamics of sharpness ¹⁵⁶ using the fixed point and linear stability analysis from the previous section. This explains the four ¹⁵⁷ training regimes observed in the UV model. We will discuss the influence of effective width and 158 initializations, shedding light on the differences between NTP and μ P. For simplicity, we assume ¹⁵⁹ $||x|| = 1$, while allowing n_{eff} to vary continuously. Note that we use $\lambda := \text{Tr } H$ from the previous section as a proxy for sharpness; we have verified that the top eigenvalue of the Hessian of the loss section as a proxy for sharpness; we have verified that the top eigenvalue of the Hessian of the loss 161 also follows λ (see Appendix [E.5\)](#page-17-1), although it is more difficult to analyze analytically.

Figure 2: Training trajectories of the UV model with $||x|| = 1$ and $y = 2$ in the $(\Delta f, \lambda)$ plane for different values of n, n_{eff} and η . The columns show initializations with different n and n_{eff} , while the rows represent increasing learning rates for fixed initializations. The horizontal dash-dot line $\eta\lambda = 2$ separates the stable (solid black vertical line) and unstable (dashed black vertical line) fixed points along the zero loss fixed line I. Forbidden regions, $2||x|| |\Delta f + y| / \sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}} > \lambda$, (see Appendix [E.2\)](#page-16-1) are shaded gray. The nullclines $\Delta f_{t+1} = \Delta f_t$ and $\lambda_{t+1} = \lambda_t$ are shown as orange and white dashed curves, respectively. Sharpness reduction, progressive sharpening, and divergent regions are colored green, yellow, and blue. The gray arrows indicate the local vector field $\hat{G}(\Delta f, \lambda)$, which is the direction of the updates. The training trajectories are depicted as black lines with arrows, with the star marking the initialization. In all cases, $\eta_c = \sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}/2$ (introduced in Section [5.2\)](#page-5-0).

¹⁶² 5.1 Understanding Sharpness Trends Throughout Training

¹⁶³ Figure [2](#page-4-0) shows that the training dynamics can exhibit different behavior depending on the initial ¹⁶⁴ region. Below we summarize these based on empirical observations.

 (R1) *Progressive sharpening region*: As shown in Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)a, d), initialization in this region experi- ences an upward push due to the flow originating from fixed point II, resulting in a steady increase in 167 λ. Depending on η relative to a critical learning rate η_c (introduced in Section [5.2\)](#page-5-0) different late-time 168 dynamics arises. For $\eta < \eta_c$, training converges to stable fixed points on the zero-loss line (I), as 169 shown in Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)a). When $\eta > \eta_c$, all points along the zero-loss line (I) become unstable, as shown in Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)d). In this case, the network eventually converges to a line segment joining fixed points II and IV (the EoS manifold), where it continues to oscillate indefinitely between these fixed points, leading to the EoS phenomena. This will be analyzed in more depth in the subsequent section.

¹⁷³ (R2) *Sharpness reduction region between fixed points II and III*: Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)b, e) show that initializations 174 in this region undergo a decrease in λ as the flow is towards saddle point II. On approaching this ¹⁷⁵ saddle point, the dynamics slows down, resulting in the intermediate saturation regime. Eventually, ¹⁷⁶ training moves away from this saddle and enters the progressive sharpening region. From here on, 177 the dynamics becomes akin to the case (R1).

¹⁷⁸ (R3) *Sharpness reduction region b/w fixed line I and point IV*: Initializations in this region either 179 converge to the nearby zero-loss solution for $(\eta < \eta_c)$ or enter the progressive sharpening region for 180 ($\eta > \eta_c$). In the latter case, the dynamics resembles those of case (R1).

¹⁸¹ So far, we have described the resultant dynamics when training is initialized in different regimes. ¹⁸² Below, we describe the conditions which typically exhibit initialization these regimes.

183 **Neural Tangent Parameterization:** In NTP, Δf and λ follow normal distributions: $\Delta f_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(-y, \sigma_w^4)$ and $\lambda_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(2\sigma_w^2, 4\sigma_w^4/n)$. Hence, the model can be initialized in any of the three 184 $\mathcal{N}(-y, \sigma_w^4)$ and $\lambda_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(2\sigma_w^2, 4\sigma_w^4/n)$. Hence, the model can be initialized in any of the three ¹⁸⁵ regions described above. Moreover, fixed points III and IV move outward with increasing width, 186 affecting the local vector field $\hat{G}(\Delta f, \lambda)$. At large widths $n \gg 1$, $\hat{G}(\Delta f_0, \lambda_0)$ at initialization points 187 along $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ towards the zero-loss line. For small learning rates $(\eta < 2/\lambda_0)$, training exponentially 188 converges to the nearest zero-loss solution (see Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)c)). Regardless of the initialization region,

Figure 3: (left) Bifurcation diagram depicting limiting values of λ obtained by simulating Equation [\(3\)](#page-5-1). (right) Bifurcation diagram of the UV model. In both figures, $||x|| = 1$, $y = 2$, $n_{\text{eff}} = 1$ and $\eta_c = 0.5$.

the change in λ is minimal, receiving $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$ updates as per Equation [\(2\)](#page-3-2). For large learning rates $(\eta > 2/\lambda_0)$, the nearby zero-loss solution becomes unstable. Consequently, training catapults to a $(\eta > 2/\lambda_0)$, the nearby zero-loss solution becomes unstable. Consequently, training catapults to a 191 region with smaller λ , while bouncing between fixed points III and IV. This is the catapult effect ¹⁹² studied in [\[22\]](#page-7-4) and Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)f) demonstrates such a trajectory. By comparison, at small widths, the

¹⁹³ dynamics follows cases (R1-R3) discussed above.

194 **Maximal Update** (μ **P) Parameterizations:** In contrast to NTP, the position of fixed points III-195 IV do not change with width n, and Δf_0 follows the distribution: $\Delta f_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(-y, \sigma_w^4/n)$, while 196 λ_0 distribution remains unchanged. Consequently, at large widths, the model is initialized at 197 (-y, $2\sigma_w^2$), right above fixed point II in the progressive sharpening region (R1), satisfying the 198 condition $\eta\lambda_0\Delta f_0 < 4(\Delta f_0 + y)$. Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)a, d) shows such a trajectory. At small widths, fluctua-199 tions increase, making it plausible for μ P networks to start in the sharpness reduction regions. In this 200 case, the dynamics follow case $(R2)$ or $(R3)$.

²⁰¹ 5.2 Understanding Edge of Stability

 This section analyzes the EoS behavior in the UV model, particularly from the fixed point perspective. As discussed in the previous section, the EoS behavior in the UV model arises when all fixed points along the zero-loss line (I) become unstable wrt the learning rate. Yet, the gradients updates (shown as gray arrows in Figure 2) continue to point towards the zero loss line. As a result training is trapped in this region, converging to the line segment that joins fixed points II and IV —referred to as the EoS manifold —where it oscillates indefinitely.

 EoS Manifold is an Attractor: By examining the two-step dyanmics akin to Ref. [\[1,](#page-6-1) [5\]](#page-6-2), we show 209 in Appendix [E.7](#page-19-0) that training converges to the EoS manifold above a critical learning rate η_c . For $\eta < \eta_c$, training converges to the stable fixed points on the zero-loss line. By comparison, for $\eta > \eta_c$, all points along the zero-loss line become unstable and the EoS manifold becomes a dynamical 212 attractor. The critical η_c for which all points on the zero-loss line become unstable thus gives a necessary condition for EoS:

214 **Result 1.** A necessary condition for the UV model to exhibit EoS is $\eta > \eta_c = \sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}/\|x\|$ (see 215 Appendix [E.8](#page-19-1) for details). It is useful to scale the learning rate as $\eta = c/\lambda_0$, in which case this 216 condition becomes $\lambda_0 < \sqrt{c} ||x||_y / \sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}$. For learning rates $\eta > 2/\lambda_0$, training can catapult to regions 217 with $\lambda_T < \lambda_0$. In such cases, the condition $\lambda_T < c||x||/(\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}$ also applies.

²¹⁸ Dynamics on the EoS Manifold and Route to Chaos: The dynamics on the EoS manifold satisfies 219 $\lambda = 2||x||(\Delta f + y)/\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}$, coupling Δf and λ together. This yields the map $\Delta f_{t+1} = M_f(\Delta f_t)$ 220 describing the dynamics on the EoS manifold, with M_f defined as

$$
M_f(\Delta f_t) := \Delta f_t + \frac{\eta \Delta f_t}{\eta_c y} \left(\frac{\eta \Delta f_t}{\eta_c y} - 2\right) (\Delta f_t + y). \tag{3}
$$

221 Figure [3\(](#page-5-2)left) shows the limiting values of λ (i.e. the values of λ that the network jumps between at ²²² late times) as a function of learning rate, obtained by simulating Equation [\(3\)](#page-5-1). We refer to this as the 223 bifurcation diagram. As mentioned before, for $\eta > \eta_c$, the zero-loss solution becomes unstable with 224 λ oscillating around $2/\eta$ instead of converging. These fluctuations exhibit a fractal structure, as the ²²⁵ system undergoes a series of period-doubling transitions with an increasing learning rate. This is the ²²⁶ well-known *period-doubling route to chaos* [\[25\]](#page-7-5). Figure [3\(](#page-5-2)right) shows the bifurcation diagram of 227 the UV model for $y = 2$. The bifurcation diagram diagram extends up to $\eta \approx 0.8$ before diverging at higher learning rates. This leads us to the following corollary of Result 1. higher learning rates. This leads us to the following corollary of Result [1.](#page-5-3) 229 **Corollary 5.1.** Let η_{max} be the maximum trainable learning rate for a given initialization. The

230 *bifurcation diagram is observed up to* $\eta < \eta_{\text{max}}$. If $\eta_{\text{max}} < \eta_c$, the UV model does not exhibit EoS.

231 These results suggest that models with small λ_0 and n_{eff} are more prone to show EoS behavior. As a 232 result, μ P networks or those with small initial weight variance are more likely to exhibit EoS. On the other hand, large-width NTP networks may not show EoS behavior at all. In Appendix [A,](#page-8-1) we validate this prediction in real-world scenarios.

 Connections to sub-quadratic loss: Ref. [\[23\]](#page-7-8) demonstrated that GD on sub-quadratic loss with large learning rates inherently results in EoS behavior. Here, we show that the loss on the EoS manifold of the UV model is sub-quadratic near its minimum. As noted above, the dynamics on 238 the EoS manifold satisfies $\lambda = 2||\vec{x}||(\Delta f + y)/\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}$. The loss on the EoS manifold is then given by $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta f^2 = \frac{y^2}{2}$ 239 $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta f^2 = \frac{y^2}{2}(\frac{\eta_c \lambda}{2} - 1)^2$, where θ denotes the parameters. Since $\lambda \sim \mathcal{O}(\|\theta\|^2)$, the loss is 240 of the form $\mathcal{L}(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{2}(a||\theta||^2 - b)^2$ and is sub-quadratic near its minimum. The GD dynamics near the minimum is given by a cubic map, which is known to show the period-doubling route to chaos [\[26\]](#page-7-9). Ref. [\[6\]](#page-6-3) showed a similar route to chaos by considering a two-layer network with quadratic 243 activation, with the last layer vector v fixed through training and each entry set to one. In this model, 244 the loss is sub-quadratic by *construction* $((\|Ux\|^2 - y)^2$ and the dynamics is given by a cubic map.

6 Discussion

 The applicability of the fixed point analysis extends well beyond the UV model and can be employed in settings involving complex architectures and adaptive optimizers. A prerequisite for applying this method is the closure of the dynamical equations describing the model. By analyzing the fixed points of such equations in broader classes of models, we can gain significant insights into their training dynamics, thereby advancing our understanding of non-convex optimization in neural networks.

 Various results such as the phase diagram of EoS, the bifurcation diagram, and the late-time sharpness analysis depend on the training time. Nevertheless, we found that training the models longer does not impact the conclusions presented. In Appendix [F,](#page-20-0) we show our results are robust for reasonably 254 small batch sizes ($B \approx 512$). For even smaller batch sizes, the dynamics becomes noise-dominated, 255 and separating the inherent dynamics from noise becomes challenging and separating the inherent dynamics from noise becomes challenging.

References

- [1] Atish Agarwala, Fabian Pedregosa, and Jeffrey Pennington. A second-order regression model shows edge of stability behavior. In *OPT 2022: Optimization for Machine Learning (NeurIPS 2022 Workshop)*, 2022.
- [2] Kwangjun Ahn, Sébastien Bubeck, Sinho Chewi, Yin Tat Lee, Felipe Suarez, and Yi Zhang. Learning threshold neurons via the "edge of stability". *ArXiv*, abs/2212.07469, 2022.
- [3] Sanjeev Arora, Zhiyuan Li, and Abhishek Panigrahi. Understanding gradient descent on the edge of stability in deep learning. In Kamalika Chaudhuri, Stefanie Jegelka, Le Song, Csaba Szepesvari, Gang Niu, and Sivan Sabato, editors, *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 162 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 948–1024. PMLR, 17–23 Jul 2022.
- [4] James Bradbury, Roy Frostig, Peter Hawkins, Matthew James Johnson, Chris Leary, Dougal Maclaurin, George Necula, Adam Paszke, Jake VanderPlas, Skye Wanderman-Milne, and Qiao Zhang. JAX: composable transformations of Python+NumPy programs, 2018.
- [5] Lei Chen and Joan Bruna. Beyond the edge of stability via two-step gradient updates. *arXiv*, 2206.04172, 2023.
- [6] Xuxing Chen, Krishnakumar Balasubramanian, Promit Ghosal, and Bhavya Agrawalla. From stability to chaos: Analyzing gradient descent dynamics in quadratic regression. 2310.01687, 2023.
- [7] Jeremy Cohen, Simran Kaur, Yuanzhi Li, J Zico Kolter, and Ameet Talwalkar. Gradient descent on neural networks typically occurs at the edge of stability. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- [8] Alex Damian, Eshaan Nichani, and Jason D. Lee. Self-stabilization: The implicit bias of gradient descent at the edge of stability. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- [9] Li Deng. The mnist database of handwritten digit images for machine learning research. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 29(6):141–142, 2012.
- [10] Darshil Doshi, Tianyu He, and Andrey Gromov. Critical initialization of wide and deep neural networks through partial jacobians: General theory and applications. *arXiv*, 2111.12143, 2023.
- [11] Gintare Karolina Dziugaite and Daniel M Roy. Computing nonvacuous generalization bounds for deep (stochastic) neural networks with many more parameters than training data. *arXiv*, 1703.11008, 2017.
- [12] Kaiming He, X. Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 770–778, 2016.
- [13] Jonathan Heek, Anselm Levskaya, Avital Oliver, Marvin Ritter, Bertrand Rondepierre, Andreas Steiner, and Marc van Zee. Flax: A neural network library and ecosystem for JAX, 2020.
- [14] Arthur Jacot, Franck Gabriel, and Clement Hongler. Neural tangent kernel: Convergence and generalization in neural networks. In S. Bengio, H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, K. Grauman, N. Cesa-Bianchi, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 31. Curran Associates, Inc., 2018.
- [15] Stanislaw Jastrzebski, Maciej Szymczak, Stanislav Fort, Devansh Arpit, Jacek Tabor, Kyunghyun Cho*, and Krzysztof Geras*. The break-even point on optimization trajectories of deep neural networks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020.
- [16] Yiding Jiang, Behnam Neyshabur, Hossein Mobahi, Dilip Krishnan, and Samy Bengio. Fantastic generalization measures and where to find them. *arXiv*, 1912.02178, 2019.
- [17] Dayal Singh Kalra and Maissam Barkeshli. Phase diagram of early training dynamics in deep neural networks: effect of the learning rate, depth, and width. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023.
- [18] Nitish Shirish Keskar, Dheevatsa Mudigere, Jorge Nocedal, Mikhail Smelyanskiy, and Ping Tak Peter Tang. On large-batch training for deep learning: Generalization gap and sharp minima. *ArXiv*, 1609.04836, 2016.
- [19] Lingkai Kong and Molei Tao. Stochasticity of deterministic gradient descent: Large learning rate for multiscale objective function. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M.F. Balcan, and H. Lin, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 2625–2638. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020.
- [20] Itai Kreisler, Mor Shpigel Nacson, Daniel Soudry, and Yair Carmon. Gradient descent monoton- ically decreases the sharpness of gradient flow solutions in scalar networks and beyond. *ArXiv*, 2305.13064, 2023.
- [21] Alex Krizhevsky. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. 2009.
- [22] Aitor Lewkowycz, Yasaman Bahri, Ethan Dyer, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, and Guy Gur-Ari. The large learning rate phase of deep learning: the catapult mechanism. *ArXiv*, 2003.02218, 2020.
- [23] ChaoKunin Ma, Lie Wu, and Lexing Ying. Beyond the quadratic approximation: The multiscale structure of neural network loss landscapes. *Journal of Machine Learning*, 1(3):247–267, 2022.
- [24] Lorenzo Noci, Alexandru Meterez, Thomas Hofmann, and Antonio Orvieto. Why do learning rates transfer? reconciling optimization and scaling limits for deep learning, 2024.
- [25] Edward Ott. *Chaos in Dynamical Systems*. Cambridge University Press, 2 edition, 2002.
- [26] Thomas D. Rogers and David C. Whitley. Chaos in the cubic mapping. *Mathematical Modelling*, 4(1):9–25, 1983.
- [27] Mihaela Rosca, Yan Wu, Chongli Qin, and Benoit Dherin. On a continuous time model of gradient descent dynamics and instability in deep learning. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*, 2023.
- [28] Vaishaal Shankar, Alexander W. Fang, Wenshuo Guo, Sara Fridovich-Keil, Ludwig Schmidt, Jonathan Ragan-Kelley, and Benjamin Recht. Neural kernels without tangents. In *ICML*, 2020.
- [29] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Roman Novak, Samuel S. Schoenholz, and Jaehoon Lee. On the infinite width limit of neural networks with a standard parameterization. *arXiv*, 2001.07301, 2020.
- [30] Minhak Song and Chulhee Yun. Trajectory alignment: Understanding the edge of stability phenomenon via bifurcation theory. *arXiv*, 2307.04204, 2023.
- [31] Yuqing Wang, Zhenghao Xu, Tuo Zhao, and Molei Tao. Good regularity creates large learning rate implicit biases: edge of stability, balancing, and catapult. *arXiv*, 2310.17087, 2023.
- [32] Zixuan Wang, Zhouzi Li, and Jian Li. Analyzing sharpness along GD trajectory: Progressive sharpening and edge of stability. In Alice H. Oh, Alekh Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, and Kyunghyun Cho, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022.
- [33] Jingfeng Wu, Vladimir Braverman, and Jason D. Lee. Implicit bias of gradient descent for logistic regression at the edge of stability. *arXiv*, 2305.11788, 2023.
- [34] Han Xiao, Kashif Rasul, and Roland Vollgraf. Fashion-mnist: a novel image dataset for benchmarking machine learning algorithms, 2017. cite arxiv:1708.07747Comment: Dataset is freely available at https://github.com/zalandoresearch/fashion-mnist Benchmark is available at http://fashion-mnist.s3-website.eu-central-1.amazonaws.com/.
- [35] Greg Yang and Edward J. Hu. Tensor programs iv: Feature learning in infinite-width neural networks. In Marina Meila and Tong Zhang, editors, *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 139 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 11727–11737. PMLR, 18–24 Jul 2021.
- [36] Libin Zhu, Chaoyue Liu, Adityanarayanan Radhakrishnan, and Mikhail Belkin. Quadratic models for understanding neural network dynamics. *ArXiv*, 2205.11787, 2022.
- [37] Xingyu Zhu, Zixuan Wang, Xiang Wang, Mo Zhou, and Rong Ge. Understanding edge-of- stability training dynamics with a minimalist example. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.

A Predictions and Verifications in Real-world Scenarios

 The preceding analysis offers broader insights and predictions for optimization in real-world models. In this section, we study realistic architectures with real and synthetic datasets and examine the extent to which insights from the UV model generalize.

358 Experimental Setup: Consider a network $f(x; \theta)$, with trainable parameters θ , initialized using 359 normal distribution with zero mean and variance σ_w^2 in appropriate parameterization. In this section, 360 we use the interpolating parameterization with $s \in [0, 1]$ (detailed in Appendix [C.2.1\)](#page-12-0), where networks 361 with $s = 0$ are equivalent to networks in SP as width n goes to infinity and those with $s = 1$ are in μ P. with $s = 0$ are equivalent to networks in SP as width n goes to infinity and those with $s = 1$ are in μ P. 362 The network is trained on a dataset D with P examples using MSE loss and GD. The learning rate is $\frac{\text{363}}{\text{363}}$ scaled as $n = c/\lambda_c^H$, where c is the learning rate constant, and λ_c^H is the sharpness at initial 363 scaled as $\eta = c/\lambda_0^H$, where c is the learning rate constant, and λ_0^H is the sharpness at initialization. Additional details provided in figure captions and Appendix [C.2.](#page-12-1)

 Implications of Initialization and Parameterization for Real-world Models: The analysis in Section [5.1](#page-4-1) unveils crucial insights into the implicit biases of parameterization in real-world networks. 367 Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)a, d) shows that μ P networks begin training in a flat region of the landscape, where gradients point towards increasing sharpness, and approach the zero loss line while maintaining a low sharpness bias. In contrast, networks in NTP (or equivalently SP), characterized as large initializations, experience sharpness reduction during early training and might not approach with a minimal sharpness bias. The agreement of these observations with networks trained on real-world datasets (Figure [1\)](#page-1-0) suggests that these inherent biases hold in practical scenarios.

Figure 4: (a) Heatmap of $n\bar{\lambda}^H/2$ of ReLU FCNs with $s = 0$ trained on a 5k subset of CIFAR-10 for 10k steps, with the weight variance σ_w^2 and learning rate multiplier $c = \eta \lambda_0^H$ as axes. $\bar{\lambda}^H$ is obtained by averaging λ_t^H over last 200 steps. As the color varies from blue to white, $\eta \bar{\lambda}^H/2$ increases, where the brightest white region indicates the EoS regime with $\eta \bar{\lambda}^H/2 \geq 1$. (b) Same heatmap with fixed σ_u^2

Figure 5: 2-layer linear FCNs trained on (first row) 5, 000 iid random examples with unit output dimension and (second row) 5, 000 CIFAR-10 examples. Different columns correspond to the bifurcation diagram, late-time sharpness trajectories, and the power spectrum of sharpness trajectories. The power spectrum is computed using the last 1000 steps of the trajectories.

373 Sharpness & Weight-Norm Correlation and the Origin of Four Regimes: Section [5.1](#page-4-1) revealed that, for a wide variety of initializations, at early times trajectories move closer to the saddle point 375 II, resulting in an interim decrease in λ (also proportional to the weight norm in this case), before eventually increasing. This critical point where all parameters are zero also exists in real-world models. We thus anticipate that in real-world models, the origin of the four training regimes may be related to a similar mechanism. This would predict a decrease in weight norm as training passes near the saddle point, followed by an eventual increase. In Appendix [G,](#page-23-0) we validate this hypothesis. During the sharpness reduction and intermediate saturation regimes, we see a decrease in the weight norm, followed by an increase in the weight norm as the network undergoes progressive sharpening, following the prediction from the UV model.

³⁸³ The Phase Diagram of Edge of Stability: Result [1](#page-5-3) presents a necessary condition for EoS to 384 occur in the UV model: $\lambda_0 \propto c ||x||/(\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}})$. In real-world models, the initial sharpness λ_0^H can be 384 occur in the OV model. $\Delta_0 \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{n_{\text{eff}}}$. In real-world models, the initial sharpness Δ_0 can be controlled using the initial variance of the weights σ_w^2 . Therefore, this result predicts that real-w 386 models with (i) small initial weight variance σ_w^2 , (ii) large interpolating parameter s, or (iii) large 387 learning constant c are more likely to exhibit EoS behavior. Figure [4](#page-9-0) shows the phase diagram of ³⁸⁸ EoS, validating these predictions. Additional phase diagrams in Appendix [H](#page-24-0) indicate an enhanced ³⁸⁹ tendency for CNNs and ResNets to exhibit EoS.

³⁹⁰ Route to Chaos and Bifurcation Diagrams: The analysis in Section [5.2](#page-5-0) unveiled structured 391 fluctuations in λ at the EoS, with a period-doubling route to chaos observed as the learning rate is tuned. This motivates us to analyze fluctuations at the EoS in real-world models trained on realistic and synthetic datasets. Figure [5](#page-9-1) shows the bifurcation diagram, late-time sharpness trajectories, and power spectrum of sharpness trajectories for a 2-layer linear FCN. In the first row, the model is trained on random synthetic data with 5, 000 iid examples with unit output dimension, whereas, in the second row, on a 5, 000 example subset of CIFAR-10. Similar to the UV model, FCNs trained on random data exhibit a period-doubling route to chaos, as shown in Figure [5\(](#page-9-1)a). By comparison, FCNs trained on CIFAR-10 only show dense bands in the sharpness rather than exhibiting a clear period-doubling route to chaos.

 On analyzing the sharpness trajectories at EoS, we observe long-range correlations in time in real 401 datasets, with fluctuations increasing with the learning rate (see Figure [5\(](#page-9-1)e)). By comparison, sharpness trajectories of models trained on random datasets exhibit short-period oscillations (see Figure [5\(](#page-9-1)b)). The power spectrum of these sharpness trajectories further quantifies these observations, as shown in Figure [5\(](#page-9-1)c, f). In the random dataset case, high-frequency modes corresponding to the period-doubling route to chaos emerge at EoS as shown in Figure [5\(](#page-9-1)c). In contrast, real datasets exhibit low-frequency modes at small learning rates. As the learning rate is increased, high-frequency modes, reminiscent of the period-doubling route to chaos, start emerging (see Figure [5\(](#page-9-1)f)). In Appendix [I.1,](#page-27-0) we demonstrate that CNNs and ResNets trained on image datasets show dense bands of sharpness similar to those in FCNs.

 To understand when the period-doubling route to chaos arises, we perform further analysis in Appendix [I.](#page-27-1) A key determining feature appears to be whether the singular value spectrum of the input-input and output-input covariance matrices are flat or have power-law decay. In Appendix [I.2,](#page-28-0) we show that a 2-layer FCNs trained on a random dataset with power-law singular value spectrum in the input exhibits dense sharpness bands. In Appendix [I.3](#page-29-0) we show that linear FCNs trained on synthetic datasets with random inputs, such as teacher-student settings and generative settings (details in Appendix [C.1\)](#page-12-2), exhibit the period-doubling route to chaos. In contrast, non-linear networks trained on these tasks exhibit dense sharpness bands as observed in real datasets. These observations shed some light on the nature of EoS observed in realistic settings. Nevertheless, a complete understanding of sharpness fluctuations at EoS requires a separate detailed examination.

B Further Discussion on Related Works

 [\[22\]](#page-7-4) examined the early training dynamics of wide networks at large learning rates. Using the top 422 eigenvalue of the Neural Tangent Kernel (NTK) λ^K at initialization ($t = 0$), they revealed a 'catapult 423 phase', $2/\lambda_0^K < \eta < \eta_{\text{max}}$, in which training converges despite an initial spike in training loss. [\[17\]](#page-7-3) analyzed early training dynamics for arbitrary depths and width and revealed a 'sharpness reduction ϕ as phase', $2/\lambda_0^H < \eta < \cos\left(\lambda_0^H\right)$, which opens up significantly as c_{loss} increases with depth and $1/\text{width}$.

 Beyond early training, sharpness continues to increase, until it reaches a break-even point [\[15\]](#page-7-7), beyond which GD dynamics typically enters the EoS regime [\[7\]](#page-6-0). This has motivated various theoretical studies to understand GD dynamics at large learning rates: [\[3,](#page-6-4) [27,](#page-8-4) [37,](#page-8-5) [33,](#page-8-6) [5,](#page-6-2) [2,](#page-6-5) [20,](#page-7-10) [30,](#page-8-7) [6\]](#page-6-3). In particular, Ma et al. [\[23\]](#page-7-8) showed that loss functions with sub-quadratic growth exhibit EoS behavior. [\[3\]](#page-6-4) show that normalized gradient descent reaches the EoS regime. [\[8\]](#page-7-11) analyze the dynamics of the cubic approximation of the loss. Assuming a negative correlation between the gradient direction and the top eigenvector of Hessian, they show that gradient descent dynamics enters a stable cycle in the EoS regime. [\[2\]](#page-6-5) analyze EoS in a single-neuron 2-layer network and a simplified three-parameter ReLU network assuming the existence of a 'forward invariant subset' near the minima. [\[20\]](#page-7-10) analyzed scalar linear networks to show that the sharpness attained by the gradient flow dynamics monotonically decreases in the EoS regime. [\[33\]](#page-8-6) demonstrate that gradient descent, with any learning rate in the EoS regime, optimizes logistic regression with linearly separated data over large time scales. Below, we discuss closely related works in detail and clarify the distinction with our work.

 [\[32\]](#page-8-8) analyze EoS in a 2-layer linear network using the norm of the last layer. They solely focus on cases that exhibit progressive sharpening right from initializations by considering assumptions (refer to Assumptions 4.1 and 4.2 of their paper) on the training dataset. Contrary to these assumptions, Fig- ure [1\(](#page-1-0)e) demonstrates that such assumptions are invalid in many realistic settings, where progressive sharpening is not observed at all.

 [\[1\]](#page-6-1) showed that a modified model exhibits progressive sharpening and two-step oscillations at EoS using NTK as the proxy. They state that the UV model does not exhibit EoS behavior (see Section 3.2.1 of the referenced paper). This is because their analysis is restricted to the Standard Parmaeterization corresponding to Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)c, f) (c.f. Figure 8 of [\[1\]](#page-6-1)). In contrast, we show that the UV model exhibits EoS behavior under the appropriate choice of parameterization and training example.

[\[37\]](#page-8-5) proved EoS convergence for the loss $\frac{1}{4}(x^2y^2 - 1)^2$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Additionally, they empirically demonstrated a bifurcation diagram in the space of abstract variables of x and y. It is worth noting that while these bifurcations arise from the same underlying behavior, they contrast with our route to chaos bifurcation diagrams which quantify sharpness fluctuations with learning rate.

 [\[5\]](#page-6-2) analyze two-step gradient updates of a single-neuron network and matrix factorization to gain insights into EoS. Similar to our work, they show a bifurcation diagram of sharpness against the learning rate for the matrix factorization problem. While the scalar matrix factorization problem can 457 be mapped to the UV model with a specific choice of $\frac{||x||}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}$, it is not straightforward to apply their conclusions to the neural network setting, as it requires the correct choice of parameterization. In 459 particular, the UV model under NTP parameterization, as shown in Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)c, f), does not display EoS behavior at considerable widths, a finding also noted by [\[1\]](#page-6-1). Observing EoS requires the correct 461 choice of the parameterization (μ P) and training example. Furthermore, although the scalar matrix factorization in [\[5\]](#page-6-2) can be mapped to a special case of the UV model considered in our work, we provide significant additional insights. In particular, with respect to the bifurcation phenomena in the UV model, we explain the existence of an attractor submanifold on which the EoS behavior occurs. We further show that on the EoS submanifold, the loss becomes subquadratic in nature and the gradient descent dynamics therefore become approximated by the cubic map, which is well-studied in the chaos literature. This makes clear the origin of the period-doubling route to chaos. Additionally, in Section 6, we extend the analysis of EoS beyond the UV model, comparing sharpness trajectories of synthetic and real datasets at EoS. In contrast to the synthetic datasets, sharpness trajectories of real datasets show long-range correlations in time. We take the first steps by attributing these long-range correlations to correlations in the dataset. Note that this setting cannot be mapped to the matrix factorization setting.

473 [\[30\]](#page-8-7) show that late-time trajectories oscillate around $2f/\eta\ell'$, where f is the network output and ℓ' is the derivative of the loss. They refer to the term bifurcation diagram to describe these phenomena, contrasting with the sharpness versus learning rate bifurcation diagrams presented in our study. We 476 quote Section 3 from their paper "..we plot the bifurcation diagram $q = r(p) = \ell'(p)/p$ and observe that GD trajectories tend to align with this curve.." Here, p and q correspond to Δf and $\frac{2}{\eta\lambda}$ in our 478 setting. They plot trajectories in the $(p, q) \equiv (\Delta f, \frac{2}{\eta \lambda})$ plane and their condition $q = r(p)$ simply 479 corresponds to the EoS condition $\lambda = \frac{2}{\eta}$ for MSE loss. In contrast, our work presents bifurcation diagrams resulting from how the sharpness fluctuations vary with the learning rate. Therefore, the bifurcation diagrams from these works are not directly related to the route-to-chaos bifurcation diagrams presented in our work.

 [\[19\]](#page-7-12) were the first ones to show that gradient descent dynamics becomes chaotic at large learning rates and converges to a statistical distribution instead of a minimum.

 [\[6\]](#page-6-3) analyzed large learning rate dynamics of toy models which are characterized by a one-dimensional cubic map and demonstrated five different training phases: (a) monotonic, (b) catapult, (c) periodic, (d) chaotic, and (e) divergent. In particular, they considered a two-layer network with quadratic activation, where the last layer vector v is not trained and each entry is set to one. This model belongs 489 to a family that is effectively described by one variable Δf . In this model, the loss is sub-quadratic 490 by construction $(||Ux||^2 - y)^2$. In contrast, the UV model that we study is an effectively two-variable model and in these cases, training dynamically finds the attractive EoS manifold such that the loss has a sub-quadratic nature on this submanifold.

 Concurrent work by [\[31\]](#page-8-9) categorizes training trajectories into three stages: (i) sharpness reduction, (ii) progressive sharpening, and (iii) edge of stability. They argue that different large learning rate behavior depends on the 'regularity' of the loss landscape. Specifically, they generalize toy landscapes from existing studies with parameters controlling the regularity. They show that models with good regularity first experience a decrease in sharpness and then progressive sharpening and enter the edge of stability.

⁴⁹⁹ [\[24\]](#page-7-13) examined the sharpness dynamics of networks with with parameterization. They argue that 500 the learning rate transfer property of μ P is correlated with consistent sharpness trajectories ⁵⁰¹ across varying depths and widths.

⁵⁰² C Experimental details

⁵⁰³ C.1 Datasets

⁵⁰⁴ Standard image datasets: We considered the MNIST [\[9\]](#page-7-14), Fashion-MNIST [\[34\]](#page-8-10), and CIFAR-10 ⁵⁰⁵ [\[21\]](#page-7-15) datasets. The images are standardized to have zero mean and unit variance across the feature ⁵⁰⁶ dimensions, and target labels are represented as one-hot encodings.

507 **Random dataset:** We construct a random dataset $(X, Y) = \{(\mathbf{x}^{\mu}, \mathbf{y}^{\mu})\}_{\mu=1}^{P}$ with $\mathbf{x}^{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ 508 and $y^{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, both sampled independently. Note there is no correlation between inputs and outputs. outputs.

510 **Teacher-student dataset:** Consider a teacher network $f(x; \theta_0)$ with θ_0 initialized randomly as 511 described in Appendix [C.2.](#page-12-1) Then, we construct a teacher-student dataset $(X, Y) = \{(\mathbf{x}^{\mu}, \mathbf{y}^{\mu})\}_{\mu=1}^{P}$ 512 with $\mathbf{x}^{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ and $\mathbf{y}^{\mu} = f(\mathbf{x}^{\mu}; \theta_0)$.

513 **Random power-law dataset:** Starting with the random dataset (X', Y') , we utilize the singular ⁵¹⁴ value decomposition of the input and output matrices

$$
X' = P_x S_{x'} Q_x^T,
$$

\n
$$
Y' = P_y S_{y'} Q_y^T.
$$
\n(4)

515 Next, we rescale the k^{th} singular value of $S_{x'}$ and $S_{y'}$ as

$$
(S_x)_k = A_x (S_{x'})_k k^{-B_x} \t\t (S_y)_k = A_y (S_{y'})_k k^{-B_y}, \t\t (5)
$$

⁵¹⁶ and re-construct input and output matrices as below

$$
X = P_x S_x Q_x^T, \qquad Y = P_y S_y Q_y^T. \tag{6}
$$

517 The variables A_x, B_x, A_y , and B_y uniquely characterize the dataset.

518 **Generative image dataset:** Given a pre-trained network $f(x; \theta)$ on a standard image dataset listed 519 above, we construct a generative image dataset $(X, Y) = \{(\mathbf{x}^{\mu}, \mathbf{y}^{\mu})\}_{\mu=1}^{P}$ with $\mathbf{x}^{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ and 520 $\mathbf{y}^{\mu} = f(\mathbf{x}^{\mu}; \theta).$

- ⁵²¹ C.2 Models
- 522 **FCNs:** We considered ReLU FCNs without bias with uniform hidden layer width n.

⁵²³ CNNs: We considered Myrtle family ReLU CNNs [\[28\]](#page-8-11) without any bias with a fixed number of ⁵²⁴ channels in each layer, which we refer to as the width of the network.

525 ResNets: We adapted ResNet [\[12\]](#page-7-16) implementations from [Flax examples.](https://github.com/google/flax/blob/main/examples/imagenet/models.py) Our implementation 526 uses Layer norm and initialize the weights as $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2 / \text{fan}_m)$. For ResNets, we refer to the number of ⁵²⁷ channels in the first block as the width.

⁵²⁸ We implemented all models using the JAX [\[4\]](#page-6-6), and Flax libraries [\[13\]](#page-7-17).

⁵²⁹ C.2.1 Details of network parameterization

⁵³⁰ In this section, we describe different parameterizations used in the paper. For simplicity, we describe ⁵³¹ the parameterizations for FCNs. Nevertheless, these arguments generalize to other architectures.

532 **Standard Parameterization (SP):** Consider a neural network $f : \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{in}}} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{out}}}$ with d layers and 533 constant width n . Then, standard parameterization is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) &= W^{(1)}\mathbf{x}, \\ \mathbf{h}^{(l+1)}(\mathbf{x}) &= W^{(l+1)}\phi\left(\mathbf{h}^{(l)}(\mathbf{x})\right), \\ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x};\theta) &= W^{(d)}\phi\left(\mathbf{h}^{(d-1)}(\mathbf{x})\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7}
$$

534 where $W^{(1)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2/d_{\text{in}}), W^{(l)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2/n)$ for $1 < l < d$, and $W^{(d)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1/n)$; $\phi(\cdot)$ is the elementwise activation function. The input is normalized such that $||x||^2 = d_{\text{in}}$.

536 Neural Tangent Parameterization (NTP): Consider a neural network $f : \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{in}}} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{out}}}$ with d ⁵³⁷ layers and constant width n. Then, the Neural Tangent Parameterization is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\boldsymbol{h}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{d_{\rm in}}} W^{(1)} \boldsymbol{x}, \\
\boldsymbol{h}^{(l+1)}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{\sigma_w}{\sqrt{n}} W^{(l+1)} \phi\left(\boldsymbol{h}^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{x})\right), \\
\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}; \theta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} W^{(d)} \phi\left(\boldsymbol{h}^{(d-1)}(\boldsymbol{x})\right),\n\end{aligned} \tag{8}
$$

538 where $W^{(l)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ for $1 \le l \le d$ and $\phi(\cdot)$ is the elementwise activation function. The input is normalized such that $||x||^2 = d_{in}$. normalized such that $||x||^2 = d_{\text{in}}$.

⁵⁴⁰ Both SP and NTP are closely related parameterizations, as constant width networks in SP with 541 learning rate $\eta = \Theta(1/n)$ learning rate are equivalent to those in NTP [\[35\]](#page-8-0).

542 Interpolating Parameterization: Consider a neural network $f : \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{in}}} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{out}}}$ with d layers and 543 constant width n. Let $W^{(l)}$ denote the weight matrix at layer l. Then, "interpolating parameterization" ⁵⁴⁴ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) &= n^{s/2} W^{(1)} \mathbf{x}, \\ \mathbf{h}^{(l+1)}(\mathbf{x}) &= W^{(l+1)} \phi \left(\mathbf{h}^{(l)}(\mathbf{x}) \right), \\ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) &= \frac{1}{n^{s/2}} W^{(d)} \phi \left(\mathbf{h}^{(d-1)}(\mathbf{x}) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{9}
$$

545 Here, s is a parameter that interpolates between standard-like parameterization and maximal update parameterization. The weight matrices are sampled from Gaussian distributions: $W^{(1)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2/n^s)$, $W^{(l)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2/n)$ for $1 < l < d$, and $W^{(d)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1/n)$. We normalize the input such that 548 $||x|| = 1.$

549 Maximal update Parameterization (μP) : The maximal update parameterization corresponds to 550 the $s = 1$ case in the above setting.

⁵⁵¹ C.3 Details of Figures

552 Figure [1:](#page-1-0) Training loss and sharpness trajectories of 4-layer ReLU FCNs with $n = 512$, trained on 553 a subset of 5, 000 CIFAR-10 examples using MSE loss and GD: (a, d) SP with $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$, (b, e) SP 554 with $\sigma_w^2 = 2.0$, (c, f) μP with $\sigma_w^2 = 2.0$.

555 Figure [2](#page-4-0) Training trajectories of the UV model with $||x|| = 1$ and $y = 2$ in the $(\Delta f, \lambda)$ plane for the different values of n, n_{eff} and n. The columns show initializations with different n and n_{eff}, while the different values of n, n_{eff} and η . The columns show initializations with different n and n_{eff} , while the 557 rows represent increasing learning rates for fixed initializations. The horizontal dash-dot line $\eta \lambda = 2$ ⁵⁵⁸ separates the stable (solid black vertical line) and unstable (dashed black vertical line) fixed points along the zero loss fixed line I. Forbidden regions, $2||x|| |\Delta f + y| / \sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}} > \lambda$, (see Appendix [E.2\)](#page-16-1) 560 are shaded gray. The nullclines $\Delta f_{t+1} = \Delta f_t$ and $\lambda_{t+1} = \lambda_t$ are shown as orange and white dashed ⁵⁶¹ curves, respectively. Sharpness reduction, progressive sharpening, and divergent regions are colored green, yellow, and blue. The gray arrows indicate the local vector field $G(\Delta f, \lambda)$, which is the ⁵⁶³ direction of the updates. The training trajectories are depicted as black lines with arrows, with the star marking the initialization. In all cases, $\eta_c = \sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}/2$ (introduced in Section [5.2\)](#page-5-0).

⁵⁶⁵ Figure [3:](#page-5-2) *UV model dynamics on the EoS manifold*:(left) Bifurcation diagram depicting late-time 566 limiting values of λ obtained by simulating Equation [\(3\)](#page-5-1). (right) Bifurcation diagram of the UV 567 model. In both figures, $||x|| = 1$, $y = 2$ and $n_{\text{eff}} = 1$ and $\eta_c = 0.5$.

568 **Figure [4:](#page-9-0)** *Phase diagram of EoS*: (a) Heatmap of $n\bar{\lambda}^H/2$ of 3-layer ReLU FCNs with $s = 0$ trained 569 on a subset of 5,000 CIFAR-10 examples for 10k steps, with the weight variance σ_w^2 and learning 570 rate multiplier $c = \eta \lambda_0^H$ as axes. $\bar{\lambda}^H$ is obtained by averaging λ_t^H over last 200 steps. As the color 571 varies from blue to white, $n\bar{\lambda}^H/2$ increases, where the brightest white region indicates the EoS regime 572 with $\sqrt[n]{A^H/2} \ge 1$. (b) Same heatmap with fixed $\sigma_w^2 = 2.0$, but varying s continuously.

 Figure [5:](#page-9-1) *EoS in synthetic vs real-datasets:* 2-layer linear FCN trained on (first row) 5, 000 iid random examples with unit output dimension and (second row) 5, 000 CIFAR-10 examples. Different columns correspond to the bifurcation diagram, late-time sharpness trajectories, and the power spectrum of sharpness trajectories. Both models are trained for 10k steps using GD.

577 **Figure [10:](#page-19-2)** *Two-step phase portrait of UV model in* $(\Delta f, \beta)$ *phase plane:* These plots are equivalent ⁵⁷⁸ to Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)d-f), but with training trajectory and local are plotted for every other step.

579 **Figure [14:](#page-23-1)** Sharpness and Weight Norm of 3-layer ReLU FCNs in SP with $\sigma_w^2 = 1/3$ and width ⁵⁸⁰ 200, trained on a subset of CIFAR-10 with 5, 000 examples using GD.

⁵⁸¹ C.4 Sharpness measurement

582 We measure sharpness using the power iteration method with m iterations. Typically, $m = 20$ ⁵⁸³ iterations ensure convergence. Exceptions requiring more iterates are discussed separately.

⁵⁸⁴ C.5 Power spectrum analysis

585 For a given signal $x'(t)$, we standardize the signal

$$
x(t) = \frac{x'(t) - \mu}{\sigma},\tag{10}
$$

586 where μ is the mean and σ^2 is the variance of the signal. Subtracting the mean removes the zero 587 frequency component in the power spectrum. Next, consider the discrete Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\omega)$ of 588 $x(t)$: $x(t)$:

$$
\mathcal{F}(\omega) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} e^{-i2\pi\omega t/T} x(t),
$$
\n(11)

589 Then, the power spectrum is $P(\omega) = |\mathcal{F}(\omega)|^2$. The normalization by T in the Fourier transform Essay ensures that the sum of the power spectrum is equal to the variance of the signal, i.e., $\sum_{\omega} P(\omega) = \sigma^2$.

⁵⁹¹ C.6 Estimation of Computational Resources Used

⁵⁹² Most of our experiments, aside from the phase diagrams, required minimal computational resources, ⁵⁹³ estimated to be less than 50 A100 hours. In contrast, each phase diagram required 50 A100 hours,

⁵⁹⁴ totaling 500 A100 hours for all phase diagrams. Including initial experiments, we expect our total

⁵⁹⁵ usage to be under 600 A100 hours.

⁵⁹⁶ D Sharpness dynamics of NTP networks

Figure 6: Training loss and sharpness trajectories of ReLU FCNs in NTP trained on a 5k subset of CIFAR-10 examples using MSE loss and GD: (a, c) $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$, (b, d) $\sigma_w^2 = 2.0$.

⁵⁹⁷ Figure [6](#page-15-2) shows that the sharpness dynamics of FCNs in NTP aligns with the behavior of FCNs in SP ⁵⁹⁸ demonstrated in Figure [1.](#page-1-0)

⁵⁹⁹ E Properties of the UV model

⁶⁰⁰ E.1 Derivation of the Function Space Dynamics

⁶⁰¹ Equations [\(1\)](#page-3-3) and [\(2\)](#page-3-2) can be derived using the gradient descent update equations:

$$
U_{t+1} = U_t - \eta \frac{\Delta f_t \mathbf{v}_t \mathbf{x}^T}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}},
$$
\n(12)

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{v}_t - \eta \frac{\Delta f_t U_t \boldsymbol{x}_t}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}.
$$
\n(13)

602 At step $t + 1$, the residual Δf_{t+1} can be written in terms of the gradient updates of U and v:

$$
\Delta f_{t+1} = f_{t+1} - y \tag{14}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}\boldsymbol{v}_{t+1}^T\boldsymbol{U}_{t+1}\boldsymbol{x}-y
$$
\n(15)

$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}} \left(\boldsymbol{v}_t - \eta \frac{\Delta f_t U_t \boldsymbol{x}}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}} \right)^T \left(U_t - \eta \frac{\Delta f_t \boldsymbol{v}_t \boldsymbol{x}^T}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}} \right) \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}
$$
(16)

$$
= \Delta f_t - \frac{\eta \Delta f_t}{n_{\text{eff}}} \left(\boldsymbol{x}^T U_t^T U_t \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{v}_t^T \boldsymbol{v}_t \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} \right) + \frac{\eta^2 ||\boldsymbol{x}||^2 \Delta f_t^2}{n_{\text{eff}}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}} \boldsymbol{x}^T U_t^T \boldsymbol{v}_t \right) \tag{17}
$$

$$
= \Delta f_t \left(1 - \eta \lambda_t + \frac{\eta^2 ||x||^2}{n_{\text{eff}}} \Delta f_t (\Delta f_t + y) \right). \tag{18}
$$

603 Here, Δf_{t+1} only depends on Δf_t and λ_t . Similarly, we write down the λ_{t+1} using the gradient ⁶⁰⁴ update equations:

$$
\lambda_{t+1} = \frac{1}{n_{\text{eff}}} \left(\boldsymbol{x}^T U_{t+1}^T U_{t+1} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{v}_{t+1}^T \boldsymbol{v}_{t+1} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} \right) \tag{19}
$$

$$
= \lambda_t - 4 \frac{\eta ||\mathbf{x}||^2}{n_{\text{eff}}} \Delta f_t (\Delta f_t + y) + \frac{\eta^2 ||\mathbf{x}||^2 \Delta f_t^2}{n_{\text{eff}}} \lambda_t
$$
\n(20)

$$
= \lambda_t + \frac{\eta ||\mathbf{x}||^2}{n_{\text{eff}}} \Delta f_t^2 \left(\eta \lambda_t - 4 \frac{\Delta f_t + y}{\Delta f_t} \right). \tag{21}
$$

605 Equations [\(18\)](#page-15-3) and [\(21\)](#page-16-2) form a closed system. This means that Δf_{t+1} and λ_{t+1} are completely 606 described using Δf_t and λ_t . As a result, the complete dynamics of the UV model can be fully described using only these two variables with three parameters effective parameters η , $\frac{||x||^2}{\eta}$ 607 described using only these two variables with three parameters effective parameters η , $\frac{||x||}{n_{\text{eff}}}$ and y.

⁶⁰⁸ E.2 Forbidden regions of the UV model

609 In this section, we utilize the non-negativity of λ to derive the condition for allowed regions within ⁶¹⁰ the phase plane for the UV model. Consider the function space equations written in terms of the 611 pre-activation $h(x) = Ux$:

$$
f(\mathbf{x};\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})
$$
\n(22)

$$
\lambda = \frac{1}{n_{\text{eff}}} \left(\| \boldsymbol{v} \|^2 \| \boldsymbol{x} \|^2 + \| \boldsymbol{h} \|^2 \right).
$$
 (23)

612 Let $\cos(h, v)$ denote the cosine similarity between v and h. Then, the network output is bounded as

$$
|\cos(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{v})| = \frac{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}|\Delta f + y|}{\|\boldsymbol{v}\| \|\boldsymbol{h}\|} \le 1
$$
 (24)

613 Next, using $(\|\mathbf{v}\| \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{h}\|)^2 \geq 0$, we can bound the product $\|\mathbf{v}\| \|\mathbf{h}\|$ using λ

$$
\frac{2\|\boldsymbol{x}\|}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}|\Delta f + y| \le \lambda. \tag{25}
$$

⁶¹⁴ The derived inequality describes the allowed phase plane regions for the UV model.

⁶¹⁵ E.3 Fixed Points and Line

616 To identify the fixed points, we set $\Delta f_{t+1} = \Delta f_t$ and $\lambda_{t+1} = \lambda_t$ in Equations [\(1\)](#page-3-3) and [\(2\)](#page-3-2). This yields the dynamical fixed points of the UV model. Table [1](#page-17-2) lists these fixed points along with their stability. Additionally, it provides the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Jacobian for the update maps described by Equations [\(1\)](#page-3-3) and [\(2\)](#page-3-2), evaluated at the fixed points.

620 E.4 The maximum learning rate η_{upper}

621 In Section [4,](#page-3-0) we stated that for $\eta > \eta_{\text{upper}} = 2\eta_c$, training diverges for all initializations except for ⁶²² those at the fixed points. Here, we justify this claim.

First, Figure [7](#page-17-3) shows that as η approaches η_{upper} , fixed point III merges with fixed point II, reducing ⁶²⁴ the convergence region to the EoS manifold. At this learning rate, the stability of fixed point II changes 625 from saddle to unstable as the corresponding eigenvalue $(1 - \frac{\eta}{\eta_c})^2 \Big|_{\eta=2\eta_c}$ surpasses 1. Consequently, ⁶²⁶ any initialization outside the EoS manifold results in divergence. Next, Figure [3\(](#page-5-2)left) shows that on 627 the EoS manifold training diverges for $η > η_{upper}$. This corroborates our initial claim.

	$(\Delta f^*, \lambda^*)$	eigenvalues	eigenvectors	Linear stability
1	$(0, \lambda)$ for $\lambda \geq \frac{2 \boldsymbol{x} y}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}$	$1, 1 - \eta\lambda$	$\frac{n_{\text{eff}}\lambda}{4\ \boldsymbol{x}\ ^2 y}$ $\bf{0}$	stable $\eta \lambda < 2$ $\eta \lambda > 2$ unstable
$_{\rm II}$	$(-y, 0)$	$(1-\frac{\eta\ \boldsymbol{x}\ y}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}})^2, (1+\frac{\eta\ \boldsymbol{x}\ y}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}})^2$	$\frac{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}{2\ \boldsymbol{x}\ }$ $\frac{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}{2\ \boldsymbol{x}\ }$	saddle
Ш	$\left(\frac{-2\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}{\ \boldsymbol{x}\ \eta},\frac{4}{\eta}-\frac{2\ \boldsymbol{x}\ y}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}\right)$	$9,5-\frac{2\eta\ \bm{x}\ y}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}$	$\frac{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}{2\left\Vert \bm{x}\right\Vert }$ $\frac{n_{\text{eff}}}{\eta\ \boldsymbol{x}\ ^2y}$	unstable
IV	$\left(\frac{2\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}{\ \boldsymbol{x}\ \eta}, \frac{4}{\eta} + \frac{2\ \boldsymbol{x}\ y}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}\right)$	9, 5 + $\frac{2\eta \ \boldsymbol{x}\ y}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}$	$\frac{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}{2\ \boldsymbol{x}\ }$ $\frac{n_{\text{eff}}}{\eta\ \boldsymbol{x}\ ^2y}$	unstable

Table 1: Fixed line (I) and points (II-IV) and corresponding eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Jacobian of the update map in Equations [\(1\)](#page-3-3) and [\(2\)](#page-3-2). The stability is determined for $\eta < \eta_{\text{upper}} =$ $2\eta_c = 2\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}/\Vert x \Vert$ y. Above this threshold, training diverges for all initializations except for those at the fixed points, as demonstrated in Appendix [E.4.](#page-16-3)

Figure 7: Phase portrait of the UV model for different learning rates η . The critical learning rate is $\eta_c = 0.5$ and the maximum learning rate is $\eta_{\text{upper}} = 1.0$.

⁶²⁸ E.5 Sharpness versus the trace of Hessian

Figure 8: Training trajectories of the UV model trained on a single example with $||x|| = 1$ and $y = 2$ using MSE loss and GD: (a, d) NTP with $n = 1$, $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$, (b, e) NTP with $n = 512$, $\sigma_w^2 = 1.0$, and (c, f) μ P with $n = 512$, $\sigma_w^2 = 1.0$.

629 In this section, we show that the trace of the Hessian λ (which is also the scalar NTK in this case), is 630 an adequate proxy for sharpness. Figure [9](#page-18-0) shows training trajectories of the UV model, with λ as a 631 proxy for sharpness and learning rate scaled as $\eta = k/\lambda_0$. These λ trajectories show similar trends to ϵ ₅₃₂ those of λ ^H observed in Figure [8,](#page-17-0) with one key difference: during early training, λ does not catapult

Figure 9: *UV model shows all four training regimes:* Training trajectories of the UV model trained on a single example (x, y) with $||x|| = 1$ and $y = 2$ using MSE loss and gradient: (a, d) NTP with $n = 1$ and $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$ (b, e) NTP with $n = 512$ and $\sigma_w^2 = 1.0$, and (c, f) μ P with $n = 1$ and $\sigma_w^2 = 1.0$.

633 during early training at large widths (compare Figure [8\(](#page-17-0)e) and Figure [9\(](#page-18-0)e)). Otherwise, λ effectively 634 captures other qualitative behavior of λ^H .

⁶³⁵ E.6 The distribution of residual and NTK at initialization

636 In this section, we compute the distribution of Δf and λ for the UV model at initialization. Consider 637 the UV model written in terms of the pre-activation $h(x) = Ux$,

$$
f(\boldsymbol{x};\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^{1-p}}} \boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{x})
$$
\n(26)

$$
\lambda = \frac{1}{n^{1-p}} \left(\| \mathbf{v} \|^2 \| \mathbf{x} \|^2 + \| \mathbf{h} \|^2 \right),\tag{27}
$$

638 with $v_i, U_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2/n^p)$. Then, each pre-activation h_i is normally distributed at initialization with ⁶³⁹ zero mean and variance

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[h_i^2] = \sum_{j,k=1}^{d_{\text{in}}} \langle U_{ij} U_{ik} \rangle x_j x_k = \sum_{j,k=1}^{d_{\text{in}}} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{n^p} \delta_{jk} x_j x_k = \frac{\sigma_w^2 ||\mathbf{x}||^2}{n^p}.
$$
 (28)

640 Hence, each pre-activation is distributed as $h_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2 ||\mathbf{x}||^2/n^p)$. It follows that the network output ⁶⁴¹ is also normally distributed at initialization with zero mean and variance

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[f_0^2] = \frac{1}{n^{1-p}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle v_i v_j \rangle \langle h_i h_j \rangle = \frac{1}{n^{1-p}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sigma_w^2}{n^p} \frac{\sigma_w^2 ||\mathbf{x}||^2}{n^p} = \frac{\sigma_w^4 ||\mathbf{x}||^2}{n^p}.
$$
 (29)

642 Hence, the residual at initialization is distributed as $\Delta f_0 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(-y, \sigma_w^4 ||x||^2/n^p\right)$. Similarly, we can 643 also compute the distribution of λ at initialization. The mean value of λ is given by

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\lambda_0] = \frac{1}{n^{1-p}} \left(||\mathbf{x}||^2 \langle ||\mathbf{v}||^2 \rangle + \langle ||\mathbf{h}||^2 \rangle \right) = 2\sigma_w^2 ||\mathbf{x}||^2, \tag{30}
$$

644 where we have used $\langle ||v||^2 \rangle = \sigma_w^2 n^{1-p}$ and $\langle ||h||^2 \rangle = \sigma_w^2 ||x||^2 n^{1-p}$. Using similar computations, 645 the second moment of λ is given by:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\lambda_0^2] = \frac{1}{n^{2-2p}} \left(\| \boldsymbol{x} \|^{4} \langle \| \boldsymbol{v} \|^{4} \rangle + \langle \| \boldsymbol{h} \|^{4} \rangle + 2 \| \boldsymbol{x} \|^{2} \langle \| \boldsymbol{v} \|^{2} \| \boldsymbol{h} \|^{2} \rangle \right) = \frac{4(n+1)}{n} \sigma_w^4 \| \boldsymbol{x} \|^{4}.
$$
 (31)

646 Hence, the λ at initialization is distributed as $\lambda_0 \sim \mathcal{N} \left(2\sigma_w^2 ||\mathbf{x}||^2, 4\sigma_w^4 ||\mathbf{x}||^4/n \right)$.

⁶⁴⁷ E.7 EoS manifold is a dynamical attractor

648 To demonstrate that late time trajectories for $\eta > \eta_c$ converge to the EoS manifold, we define ⁶⁴⁹ := $\frac{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}{2\|\bm{x}\|}$ λ – (Δ $f + y$). β lies on the direction orthogonal to the EoS manifold, such that $\beta = 0$ 650 corresponds to the manifold itself, while $\beta < 0$ is forbidden. Under this transformation, β updates as $\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t (1 + \frac{\eta ||\boldsymbol{x}|| \Delta f_t}{\sqrt{p_{\text{off}}}})$ 651 $\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t (1 + \frac{\eta ||x|| \Delta f_t}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}})^2$. It follows that $\beta^* = 0$ stays invariant under the dynamics and defines a ⁶⁵² nullcline.

653 Due to oscillations in Δf near convergence, it is instructive to examine the two-step dynamics [\[1,](#page-6-1) [5\]](#page-6-2), 654 compactly denoted as $(\Delta f_{t+2}, \lambda_{t+2}) := M^{(2)}(\Delta f_t, \lambda_t)$. Figure [10](#page-19-2) shows the two-step trajectories and the corresponding vector field $\hat{G}^{(2)}(\Delta f, \beta)$ in the $(\Delta f, \beta)$ plane.

656 We observe that there exists a critical η_c such that for $\eta < \eta_c$, $\hat{G}^{(2)}(\Delta f, \beta)$ points towards the 657 stable zero-loss line (see Figure [10\(](#page-19-2)a)). By comparison, for $\eta > \eta_c$, all points along the zero-loss 658 line become unstable and the vector field directs towards points on the $\beta = 0$ line, as shown in 659 Figure [10\(](#page-19-2)b). The critical η_c for which all points on the zero-loss line become unstable thus gives a ⁶⁶⁰ necessary condition for EoS:

Figure 10: These plots are equivalent to Figure [2\(](#page-4-0)a, d) ($\eta_c = 0.5$), but with training trajectory and local vector field plotted for every other step in $(\Delta f, \beta)$ plane. The tilted dash-dotted line indicates the $\eta \lambda = 2$ line.

⁶⁶¹ E.8 Critical learning rate for edge of stability

⁶⁶² In this section, we estimate the required condition on the learning rate for the UV model to exhibit 663 EoS. We specifically focus on the case with $y > 0$ as for $y = 0$, λ can only decrease. As a result, ⁶⁶⁴ the model does not exhibit progressive sharpening and EoS. In Section [5,](#page-3-1) we observed that the EoS 665 occurs as the zero-loss minima with the smallest λ becomes unstable. From Equation [\(25\)](#page-16-4) it follows 666 that the smallest λ with zero loss is

$$
\lambda_{\min} = \frac{2\|\mathbf{x}\|y}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}.\tag{32}
$$

This minimum becomes unstable if the learning rate η exceeds a critical value η_c , given by

$$
\eta_c = \frac{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|y} \tag{33}
$$

668 It is worth noting that this is a necessary condition for λ to oscillate around $2/\eta$. Otherwise, training 669 converges to the zero-loss minimum with $\lambda = \lambda_{\min}$ for $\eta < \eta_{\max}$.

⁶⁷⁰ We can also derive the exact same result by analyzing the dynamics on the EoS manifold. As 671 discussed in Section [5.2,](#page-5-0) the dynamics on the EoS manifold is given by the map $\Delta f_{t+1} = M(\Delta f_t)$, ⁶⁷² where

$$
M(\Delta f) = \Delta f \left(1 - \frac{2\eta ||\mathbf{x}||}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}} (\Delta f + y) + \left(\frac{\eta ||\mathbf{x}||}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}} \right)^2 \Delta f (\Delta f + y) \right). \tag{34}
$$

⁶⁷³ As demonstrated in Section [5.2,](#page-5-0) EoS in the UV model follows the period doubling route to chaos, ⁶⁷⁴ with the period two cycle marking the onset. Hence, the conditions required for emergence of the ⁶⁷⁵ period two cycle are also the necessary conditions for EoS. Consider the two-step dynamics on the ϵ_{676} EoS manifold given by the map $M^2(\Delta f) := M(M(\Delta f))$. This map has six fixed points (excluding 677 three fixed points of the map M) summarized below

$$
\Delta f^* = \frac{\eta \tilde{x} (1 - \eta \tilde{x} y) \pm \sqrt{\eta^2 \tilde{x}^2 (\eta \tilde{x} y - 1)(3 + \eta \tilde{x} y)}}{2\eta^2 \tilde{x}^2}
$$
(35)

$$
\Delta f^* = \frac{3 + h(\eta, \tilde{x}, y) \pm \eta \tilde{x} (\pm y + \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{-5 + h(\eta, \tilde{x}, y) + \eta \tilde{x} y (-2 - \eta \tilde{x} y + h(\eta, \tilde{x}, y))}{\eta^2 \tilde{x}^2}})}{4\eta \tilde{x}}.
$$
(36)

678 Here $\tilde{x} = \frac{\Vert \boldsymbol{x} \Vert}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}$ and $h(\eta, \tilde{x}, y) = \sqrt{-7 + \tilde{x}y\eta(2 + \tilde{x}y\eta)}$. For the fixed points to exist, we require the ⁶⁷⁹ expressions inside the square root to be non-negative, i.e.,

$$
\left(\frac{\eta\Vert\boldsymbol{x}\Vert\boldsymbol{y}}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}-1\right)\left(\frac{\eta\Vert\boldsymbol{x}\Vert\boldsymbol{y}}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}+3\right)\geq 0\implies\eta\geq\eta_1=\frac{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}{\Vert\boldsymbol{x}\Vert\boldsymbol{y}}
$$
\n(37)

$$
\frac{\eta \|\boldsymbol{x}\| \boldsymbol{y}}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}} \left(\frac{\eta \|\boldsymbol{x}\| \boldsymbol{y}}{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}} + 2 \right) - 7 \ge 0 \implies \eta \ge \eta_2 = \frac{(\sqrt{32} - 2)}{2} \frac{\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\| \boldsymbol{y}}.
$$
 (38)

680 As $\eta_1 < \eta_2$, the necessary condition for the period two cycle to emerge is $\eta > \eta_1 = \sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}}/\|x\|$ y, which ⁶⁸¹ coincides with the condition obtained earlier in this section.

682 F The effect of batch size on the four training regimes

683 In this section, we examine the effect of batch size B on the results presented in the main text. We 684 find that our conclusions are robust for reasonable batch sizes around $B \approx 512$. For even smaller 685 batch sizes, the dynamics becomes noise-dominated, and separating the inherent dynamics from noise batch sizes, the dynamics becomes noise-dominated, and separating the inherent dynamics from noise ⁶⁸⁶ becomes challenging. This observation further supports the use of SGD to reduce the computational ⁶⁸⁷ cost of experiments in the subsequent sections involving CNNs and ResNets.

⁶⁸⁸ Figure [11](#page-21-0) shows that SGD trajectories of FCNs in SP begin to deviate from their GD counterpart 689 significantly for batch sizes around $B \approx 128$. In contrast, for μ P networks this deviation begins at a
690 larger batch size of $B \approx 512$ as shown in Figure 12. Figure 13 show training trajectories of CNNs 690 larger batch size of $B \approx 512$ as shown in Figure [12.](#page-22-0) Figure [13](#page-22-1) show training trajectories of CNNs and ResNets trained SGD with batch size $B = 512$. These results further exemplify that four regimes and ResNets trained SGD with batch size $B = 512$. These results further exemplify that four regimes

⁶⁹² of training are generically observed for reasonable batch sizes.

Figure 11: Comparison of SGD trajectories with their GD counterpart for a 3-layer FCNs in SP with $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$ trained on a subset of CIFAR-10 consisting of 5,000 training examples with MSE loss. The learning rate is scaled as $\eta = c/\lambda_0^H$ and batch sizes (a-c) $B = 512$, (d-f) $B = 128$, (g-i) $B = 32$ are considered. GD trajectories are plotted using solid lines with transparency.

Figure 12: Same setting as Figure [11,](#page-21-0) except we used 3-layer FCNs in μ P with $\sigma_w^2 = 2.0$.

Figure 13: Training trajectories of (a, b) a 5-layer CNN in SP with $n = 64$, and (c, d) ResNet-18 with LayerNorm in SP, also with $n = 64$. Both models are trained on the CIFAR-10 dataset with MSE loss using SGD. The learning rate is scaled as $\eta = c/\lambda_0^H$ and batch size is $B = 512$. In panel (d), λ_t^H becomes negative during early training. This is due to the power iteration method returning the largest eigenvalue by magnitude.

⁶⁹³ G Sharpness-weight norm correlation

Figure 14: Sharpness and Weight Norm of 3-layer ReLU FCNs in SP with $\sigma_w^2 = 1/3$, trained on a subset of CIFAR-10 with 5, 000 examples using GD.

 Section [5.1](#page-4-1) reveals that several aspects of the training dynamics are controlled by the fact that, for a wide variety of initializations, at early times trajectories move closer to the saddle point II, resulting 696 in an interim decrease in λ (also proportional to the weight norm in this case), before eventually increasing. This critical point where all parameters are zero also exists in real-world models. We thus anticipate that in real-world models, the origin of the four training regimes may be related to a similar mechanism. This would predict a decrease in weight norm as training passes near the saddle point, followed by an eventual increase.

 Figure [14](#page-23-1) validates this hypothesis. During the sharpness reduction and intermediate saturation regimes, we see a decrease in the weight norm, followed by an increase in the weight norm as the network undergoes progressive sharpening, following the prediction from the UV model. Similar correlations between the last layer weight norm and sharpness are utilized by [\[32\]](#page-8-8) to analyze the EoS phase. By comparison, we focus on the correlation between sharpness and weight norm during early training to attribute the emergence of four regimes to the critical point corresponding to all parameters being zero. In Appendix [G,](#page-23-0) we provide further evidence for this correlation between sharpness and weight norm, extending this relationship to CNNs and ResNets.

⁷⁰⁹ This section presents additional results for Appendix [A,](#page-8-1) further supporting the relationship between ⁷¹⁰ sharpness and weight norm during training.

⁷¹¹ Figure [15](#page-24-1) is an extended version of Figure [14,](#page-23-1) where we plotted the whole training trajectories and ⁷¹² measured Pearson correlation

$$
Cor(\|\theta_t\|, \lambda_t^H/\lambda_0^H) := \frac{\sum_{t'=1}^t (\theta_{t'} - \bar{\theta}_t) \left(\lambda_{t'}^H/\lambda_0^H - \overline{(\lambda^H/\lambda_0^H})_t\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{t'=1}^t (\theta_{t'} - \bar{\theta}_t)^2 \sum_{t'=1}^t \left(\lambda_{t'}^H/\lambda_0^H - \overline{(\lambda^H/\lambda_0^H})_t\right)^2}}
$$
(39)

713 Here $t \geq 2$ and $\bar{\theta}_t = (\sum_{t'=1}^t \theta_{t'})/t$.

⁷¹⁴ Figure [16](#page-24-2) shows the weight norm of each layer separately for the experiment in Figure [14.](#page-23-1) This result ⁷¹⁵ shows a high correlation between weight norm and sharpness through training.

⁷¹⁶ We also confirm these correlations between weight norm and sharpness in CNNs for the experiment 717 in Figure [13\(](#page-22-1)a, b).

Figure 15: Sharpness and Weight Norm of 3-layer ReLU FCNs in SP with $\sigma_w^2 = 1/3$, trained on a subset of CIFAR-10 with 5, 000 examples using GD.

Figure 16: Weight Norm of each layer in 3-layer ReLU FCNs (same experiments as Figure [14\)](#page-23-1): (a, b, c) SP with $\sigma_w^2 = 1/3$. All results are obtained by training on a subset of CIFAR-10 with 5,000 examples using GD.

Figure 17: Weight Norm of each layer for 5-layer CNNs in SP (same experiments as Figure [13\(](#page-22-1)a, b): (a) Total weight norm; (b-f) Weight norm of each layer. We see that for $c = 16$, the initial catapult in sharpness $\lambda^{\bar{H}}$ (Figure [13\(](#page-22-1)b)) is accompanied by a catapult in total weight norm. Notably, the total weight norm and per-layer weight norm, whether catapults (a, c-e) or not (b, f), show a decreasing trend during the early sharpness decreasing stage, followed by an eventual increase.

⁷¹⁸ H Additional Phase diagrams of EoS

⁷¹⁹ This section demonstrates additional phase diagrams of EoS and quantifies the effect of batch size in ⁷²⁰ the EoS regime. Figure [18](#page-25-0) shows phase diagrams of EoS for FCNs trained on CIFAR-10 with MSE

Figure 18: Phase diagram of EoS for 3-layer FCNs trained on CIFAR-10 with MSE loss using SGD with three different batch sizes: (a, b) $\overline{B} = 512$, (c, d), $B = 128$, and (e, f) $B = 32$. The color indicates the value of $\eta \bar{\lambda}^H/2$, where $\bar{\lambda}^H$ is obtained by averaging λ_t^H over the last 200 steps. Except for the batch size, all settings are identical to Figure [4.](#page-9-0) Black dash-dotted lines indicate the phase boundary $\eta \bar{\lambda}^H/2 = 1$. For clarity, these lines are generated from data smoothed with a Gaussian kernel.

 loss using SGD for 10, 000 steps for three different batch sizes. We observe that as the batch size τ ²² decreases, λ_t^H oscillates at a value different from $2/\eta$ depending on σ_w^2 and s. For large σ_w^2 and small λ^H favors a smaller value for smaller batch size, which is in agreement with the observation in [\[7\]](#page-6-0). 724 In contrast, λ^H can be larger than $2/\eta$ for small σ_w^2 and large s at late training times.

 Figures [19](#page-26-0) and [20](#page-26-1) show the phase diagrams of EoS for CNNs and ResNets trained on the CIFAR-10 726 dataset with MSE loss using SGD for 10,000 steps with learning rate $\eta = c/\lambda_0^H$ and batch size $727 \quad B = 512$. In contrast to the FCN phase diagrams, these architectures exhibit EoS behavior at smaller τ za values of s and larger values of σ_w^2 , indicating their implicit bias towards EoS. Moreover, we observe τ ₂₉ in ResNets, that EoS is less sensitive to change σ_w^2 , likely due to a combination of LayerNorm and residual connections [\[10\]](#page-7-18).

 It is worth noting that EoS boundaries in these phase diagrams are time-dependent. For instance, models close to the EoS boundary may eventually reach EoS on training longer (see Figure [13\(](#page-22-1)b) $733 \quad c = 1.0$ for example), causing a shift in the EoS boundary. Nevertheless, models with small learning 734 rates, large σ_w^2 , and small s may never show EoS behavior, regardless of training duration, as predicted by the UV model and seen in Figure [1\(](#page-1-0)e).

Figure 19: Phase diagram of EoS for 5-layer CNNs in SP with width $n = 64$ trained with MSE loss using SGD for 10,000 steps with learning rate $\eta = c/\lambda_0^H$ and batch size $B = 512$.

Figure 20: Phase diagram of EoS for ResNet-18 in SP with width $n = 64$ trained with MSE loss using SGD for 10, 000 steps with learning rate $\eta = c/\lambda_0^H$ and batch size $B = 512$. For $s = 1$, the average eigenvalue $\bar{\lambda}^H$ is observed to be less than $^2/\eta$. Upon detailed investigation of the trajectories, we found that λ_t^H oscillates around a value lower than $2/\eta$. We leave this anomalous behavior as an observation.

⁷³⁶ I Route to chaos

⁷³⁷ I.1 Route to EoS in real datasets

 This section presents additional bifurcation diagrams for different architectures and datasets. Fig- ures [21](#page-27-2) to [23](#page-28-1) show the bifurcation diagrams, sharpness trajectories and the associated power spectrum of 4-layer ReLU FCNs in SP trained on MNIST, Fashion-MNIST and CIFAR-10 datasets with MSE loss using GD. Similarly, Figures [24](#page-28-2) and [25](#page-28-3) show these results for CNNs and ResNets trained on 742 CIFAR-10 with MSE loss using SGD with batch size $B = 512$. These results show the reminiscent of the period-doubling route to chaos observed in different architectures and datasets. In all figures, we choose the smallest and largest learning rate exhibiting EoS for plotting the trajectories and power spectrum. The structured route to chaos in realistic experiments can be disrupted due to a variety of reasons. Below, we discuss a few of them.

 Measurement of only the top eigenvalue of Hessian: In our experiments, we only measured the top eigenvalue of the Hessian. However, when multiple eigenvalues of Hessian enter EoS, plotting only the top eigenvalue of Hessian is a projection that could obscure all the structured routes to chaos that the system may exhibit.

⁷⁵¹ The effect of correlations in real-world datasets: Real-world datasets inherently contain 752 correlations between different samples (x, y) . These correlations can be quantified using the 753 input-input covariance matrix $\Sigma_{XX} = XX^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{in}} \times d_{\text{in}}}$ and output-input covariance matrices $\Sigma_{YX} = YX^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{out}} \times d_{\text{in}}}$. In Appendix [I.2,](#page-28-0) we find that a key determining factor in observing 755 route-to-chaos is whether the power spectrum of Σ_{XX} is flat or exhibits power law decay. We show 756 that power-law decay in the singular values of the Σ_{XX} results in long-range correlations in time and ⁷⁵⁷ dense sharpness bands observed in real datasets.

Figure 21: 4-layer FCN in SP with width $n = 512$ trained on a subset of 5000 examples of MNIST with MSE loss using GD. Both power spectrums are computed using the last 1000 steps of the corresponding trajectories.

Figure 22: 4-layer FCN in SP with width $n = 512$ trained on a subset of 5000 examples of Fashion-MNIST with MSE loss using GD. Both power spectrums are computed using the last 1000 steps of the corresponding trajectories.

Figure 23: 4-layer FCN in SP with width $n = 512$ trained on a subset of 5000 examples of CIFAR-10 with MSE loss using GD. Both power spectrums are computed using the last 1000 steps of the corresponding trajectories.

Figure 24: 5-layer CNN in SP with width $n = 32$ trained on a subset of 1000 examples of CIFAR-10 with MSE loss using GD.

Figure 25: ResNet-18 in SP with width $n = 32$ trained on a subset of 1000 examples of CIFAR-10 with MSE loss using GD.

⁷⁵⁸ I.2 The effect of power-law trends in data on sharpness trajectories

⁷⁵⁹ In this section, we analyze a 2-layer linear FCN trained on the power law dataset described in ⁷⁶⁰ Appendix [C.1](#page-12-2) to understand the origin of long-range correlations in sharpness trajectories and dense ⁷⁶¹ sharpness bands in realistic datasets.

⁷⁶² Figure [26](#page-29-1) shows the bifurcation diagram, late time trajectories, and the associated power spectrum 763 of the network trained on the power-law dataset with the same $A_x = 1.0$ and $A_y = 1.0$, for four 764 different combinations of power-law exponents: (i) $B_x = 0.0$, $B_y = 0.0$, (ii) $B_x = 1.0$, $B_y = 0.0$, 765 (iii) $B_x = 0.0$, $B_y = 1.0$, and (iv) $B_x = 1.0$, $B_y = 1.0$. We observe that a power-law trend to the ⁷⁶⁶ singular values of the input matrix results in dense sharpness bands observed in real datasets. It is ⁷⁶⁷ worth noting that this is one way to obtain dense sharpness bands and in general, there can be many ⁷⁶⁸ other methods.

Figure 26: Bifurcation diagrams, late-time sharpness trajectories, and power spectrum of a 2-layer linear network trained on the power-law dataset for different parameter values: (a-c) $B_x = 0.0$, $B_y = 0.0$ 0.0, (d-f) $B_x = 1.0$, $B_y = 0.0$, (g-i) $B_x = 0.0$, $B_y = 1.0$, and (j-l) $B_x = 1.0$, $B_y = 1.0$. All power spectrums are computed using the last 1000 steps of the corresponding trajectories.

⁷⁶⁹ I.3 Route to chaos in synthetic datasets

⁷⁷⁰ In this section, we analyze the route to chaos in synthetic datasets to gain insights into the dense ⁷⁷¹ sharpness bands in realistic datasets. We considered two datasets, defined as follows:

Teacher-student dataset: Consider a teacher FCN $f : \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{in}}} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{out}}}$ with $d_{\text{in}} = 3072$, $d_{\text{out}} = 10$, 773 depth d, and width $n = 512$ in Standard Paramaterization. Then, we construct a teacher-student $d\tau$ dataset (X, Y) consisting of $P = 5000$ examples with $x^{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ and $y^{\mu} = f(x^{\mu}; \theta_0)$. Next, 775 we train a student FCN with the same depth d and depth n as the teacher FCN on this dataset.

⁷⁷⁶ Figures [27](#page-30-0) and [28](#page-30-1) show the bifurcation diagram, late time sharpness trajectories and the associated

⁷⁷⁷ power spectrum of linear and ReLU FCNs trained on the teacher-student task. These figures show

Figure 27: 2-layer linear FCN in μ P trained on the teacher-student task. Both power spectrums are computed using the last 1000 steps of the corresponding trajectories.

Figure 28: 4-layer ReLU FCNs in μ P trained on the teacher-student task. Both power spectrums are computed using the last 1000 steps of the corresponding trajectories.

⁷⁷⁸ that while linear FCN shows the period-doubling route to chaos, ReLU FCN shows long-range ⁷⁷⁹ correlations as observed in real datasets.

780 Generative dataset: Consider a 5-layer CNN $f(x, \theta)$ in SP with $n = 64$, trained on the CIFAR-10 ⁷⁸¹ dataset with MSE loss using SGD with learning rate $\eta = \frac{12}{\lambda_0^H}$ and momentum $m = 0.9$ for 100k ⁷⁸² steps. This model achieves a test accuracy of 76.9%. Then, we construct a generative image dataset 783 (X, Y) consisting of $P = 5000$ examples with $x^{\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ and $y^{\mu} = f(x^{\mu}; \theta)$. Next, we train an 784 FCN in SP with depth d, width n, and weight variance $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$ on the generated dataset.

Figure 29: 4-layer linear FCNs in SP with $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$ trained on the generative CIFAR-10 task with MSE loss using GD. Both power spectrums are computed using the last 1000 steps of the corresponding trajectories.

- ⁷⁸⁵ Figures [29](#page-30-2) and [30](#page-31-0) show the bifurcation diagram, late time trajectories and the associated power
- ⁷⁸⁶ spectrum of a 4-layer ReLU FCN with linear and ReLU activations, trained on the generative CIFAR-⁷⁸⁷ 10 dataset. We observe that while the linear network shows a period doubling route to chaos, the
-
- ⁷⁸⁸ ReLU shows long range correlations as observed in real-datasets.

Figure 30: 4-layer ReLU FCNs in SP with $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$ trained on the generative CIFAR-10 task with MSE loss using GD. Both power spectrums are computed using the last 1000 steps of the corresponding trajectories.