LAU: A NOVEL TWO-PARAMETER LEARNABLE LOG-MOID ACTIVATION UNIT

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

Abstract

In this work, we proposed a novel learnable Logmoid Activation Unit (LAU), $f(x) = x \ln(1 + \alpha \operatorname{sigmoid}(\beta x))$ by parameterizing Logmoid with two hyperparameters α and β that are optimized via back-propagation algorithm. We design quasi-interpolation neural network operators with Logmoid-1 for approximating any continuous function in closed spaces. Our simulations show end-to-end learning deep neural networks with learnable Logmoids increase the predictive performances beyond all well-known activation functions for different tasks.

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, deep learning has achieved remarkable success in various classification problems (LeCun et al., 2015; Sriperumbudur et al., 2010). The main reason is the powerful abilities of deep neural networks (DNNs) in representation and learning for the unknown structures. One of most important components in DNNs is activation function. A well-designed activation function can greatly improve the predictive performances (Krizhevsky et al., 2017). This has intrigued growing interests in exploring activation functions (Nwankpa et al., 2018; Liang & Srikant, 2016; Shen et al., 2019).

Activation functions are generally classified into linear, nonlinear monotonic and nonlinear nonmonotonic functions. Although linear functions including Step Function (Klein et al., 2009), Sign Function (Huang & Babri, 1998) and Identity Function have been widely used in early results, they are useless in practical applications because of the discontinuous derivatives, or lack of biological motivation and classification ability. These problems are further addressed by using nonlinear monotonic functions such as Sigmoid, Tanh and ReLU families. While small derivatives of Sigmoid (Hassell et al., 1977) and Tanh (Kalman & Kwasny, 1992) may cause the gradient to disappear (He & Xu, 2010; Klambauer et al., 2017). Softplus and ReLU functions are designed for solving this problem. Meanwhile, ReLU shows other characters such as the educed saturation, sparsity, efficiency, and ease of use, but the neural network may lose some valid information because of all negative values of ReLU being zero. This intrigues designing new activation functions such as Leaky ReLU (Maas et al., 2013), RReLU (Xu et al., 2015), ELU (Clevert et al., 2015) and Swish (Ramachandran et al., 2017).

In general, designing good activation function is still an open question. One method is to find new activation functions by combining different units, such as Mish (Misra, 2019) and TanhExp (Liu & Di, 2020). The second is to parameterize some well-known activation functions Biswas et al. (2020); Zhou et al. (2020), which may show better performance beyond parameter-free functions. One example is Logish Zhu et al. (2021) which exhibits better performance and Top-1 accuracy. Another is Padé Activation Unit (PAU) Molina et al. (2019) using learnable hyper-parameter(s) and back-propagation algorithm (LeCun et al., 1989).

In this work, we proposed a new family of activation functions by parameterizing Logmoid with fewer trainable parameters for each network layer. It is given by

$$f(x;\alpha,\beta) = x\ln(1 + \alpha \text{Sigmoid}(\beta x)) \tag{1}$$

where the logarithmic operation can reduce the range of Sigmoid, and α and β are trainable parameters. The main contributions are summarized as follows:

1. A new family of activation functions called Logmoid family is proposed.

Parameter($\alpha = 1$)	Top-1	Parameter($\beta = 1$)	Top-1
10	76.93%	10	68.48%
5	• 78.2 %	5	73.99%
1	77.5%	1	•77.5%
0.01	36.2%	0.01	-
-0.01	41.28%	-0.01	-
-1	77.11%	-1	-
-10	77.06%	-10	-

Table 1: The Top-1 accuracy of Logmoid with parameters on CIFAR10.

- 2. Two quasi-interpolation type operators with Logmoid-1 are constructed to approximate univariate continuous functions.
- 3. The trainable Logmoid Activation Unit performs better than others in simulations.

The rest is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly describes some relative activation functions. Section 3 proposes new activation functions by parameterizing Logmoid. Section 4 analyzes the performances of the subfamily Lgomoid-1. In Section 5, we introduce a learnable activation unit called LAUs, and implement several simulations to verify its effectiveness, while the last section concludes the results.

2 RELATED WORKS

This section devotes some related activation functions including Swish, TanhSoft and PAU.

Swish–Swish (Ramachandran et al., 2017) is defined by

$$f(x) = x \operatorname{Sigmoid}(x). \tag{2}$$

This function shows new features of unsaturation, smooth and nonmonotonicity. It can potentially present problems in gradient-based optimization because of not continuously differentiable.

TanhSoft-TanhSoft family is indexed by four hyper-parameters as

$$f(x;\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta) = \tanh(\alpha x + \beta e^{\gamma x})\ln(\delta + e^x).$$
(3)

Based on simulations on CIFAR10 dataset, two sub-families of TanhSoft-1 and TanhSoft-2 are defined as

TanhSoft-1:
$$f(x; \alpha, 0, \gamma, 1) = \tanh(\alpha x) \ln(1 + e^x), \tag{4}$$

TanhSoft-2:
$$f(x; 0, \beta, \gamma, 0) = x \tanh(\beta e^{\gamma x}).$$
 (5)

TanhSoft-1 ($\alpha = 0.87$) and TanhSoft-2 ($\beta = 0.6, \gamma = 1$) may show higher Top-1 performance than others Biswas et al. (2020).

PAU–Padé Activation Units (PAU) is a learnable activation function based on rational function. The padé is a rational function of the form

$$F(x) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_m x^m}{1 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \dots + b_n x^n}$$
(6)

PAU allows free parameters which can be optimized end-to-end in neural networks.

3 LOGMOID ACTIVATION FUNCTION FAMILY

In this section we present a new family of activation function by parameterizing Logmoid with two hyper-parameters. Specially, we propose a family of functions with two hyper-parameters as

$$f(x;\alpha,\beta) = x\ln(1+\alpha\sigma(\beta x)) \tag{7}$$

where σ refers to Sigmoid $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$. Table 1 shows its Top-1 accuracy. For $\alpha = 1$, the accuracy decreases faster for $-1 < \beta < 1$, and reaches to 78.2% for $\beta = 5$. For $\beta = 1$, it decreases faster with the gradual increase of α . This means Logmoid with $\alpha = 1$ has a better performance.



Fig. 1: (a) Numerical Logmoid (solid line) and its derivative (dashed) in terms of β and $\alpha = 1$. (b) Numerical Logmoid (solid line) and its first derivative (dashed line) with respect to α and $\beta = 1$.



Fig. 2: Comparison between the output landscapes of ReLU, Swish, TanhExp and Logmoid-1 on a 5-layer network.

Fig.1(a) shows Logmoid and its derivative in terms of β . For $\alpha = 1$, Logmoid is a scaled linear function f(x) = kx if $\beta \to 0$. Logmoid is then regarded as an interpolation between linear function and ReLU. Here, β shows how fast the first derivative changes asymptotically. When $\beta = 1$, it keeps the gradient property of ReLU for solving the dying problem. From Fig.1(b), Logmoid is far away from the linear function f(x) = x in the positive part of x-axis for large α and $\beta = 1$. For practical applications, one may choose hyper-parameters from $1 \leq \alpha \leq 5$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq 5$. This is further regarded as sub-family Logmoid-1 f(x; 1, 1).

4 CONTINUOUS FUNCTION APPROXIMATIONS BY LOGMOID-1

In this section the sub-family Logmoid-1 will be used to approximate any continuous function on a compact set. Logmoid-1 is a smooth and non-monotonic activation function beyond ReLU. Meanwhile, it inherits the self-gated property of Swish where the self-gated refers to the input itself and a function with the input as its argument, i.e., f(x) = xg(x).

The output landscapes of a five-layer fully connected network are shown in Fig.2. While ReLU has lot of sharp transitions. Logmoid-1 shows a continuous and fluent transition shape. This is then useful for optimization and generalization (Li et al., 2018).

Next, we construct a bell-shaped function with Logmoid-1 and present main theorems for approximate functions.

Bell-shaped functions–From Eq.(7) Logmoid-1 with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ is defined as

$$g(x) = x \ln(1 + \sigma(x)), x \in \mathbb{R}$$
(8)

According to ref.(Cardaliaguet & Euvrard, 1992), define a new bell-shaped function as

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} \psi(x) + 2|Y_1|, & \text{if } T_0 \le x < T_1; \\ \lambda(\psi(x) + 2|Y_2|), & \text{if } T_2 < x < T_0; \\ -\psi(x), & \text{if } x \ge T_1; \\ -\lambda\psi(x), & \text{if } x \le T_2. \end{cases}$$
(9)

where $\psi(x)$ is defined by $\psi(x) = \varphi(x + \frac{1}{2}) - \varphi(x - \frac{1}{2})$ with a bounded function $\varphi(x) = g(x + \frac{1}{2}) - g(x - \frac{1}{2})$, $\lambda = 0.934$, $T_0 = -0.2536$, $T_1 = 3.5025$ and $T_2 = -3.7326$ are extreme points, and $Y_1 = -0.0181$ and $Y_2 = -0.0307$ are the values of $\psi(x)$ at points T_1 and T_2 . Here, λ is used to ensure the continuity of $\Psi(x)$ at T_0 .

And then, we define another bell-shaped function as

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\xi} \Psi(x) \tag{10}$$

where ξ is defined by $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(x-k) = \xi$. It implies that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x-k) = 1$. We further define

$$\Gamma(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } x > 0; \\ e^{\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Approximation errors–As universal approximation operators, Feed-forward neural networks (FNNs) (Hornik et al., 1989; Cybenko, 1989) with a hidden layer and n + 1 neurons is represented by

$$N_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j \varsigma(\langle a_j \cdot x \rangle + b_j) + d_j, x \in \mathbb{R}^s, s \in \mathbb{N}$$
(11)

where ς is an activation function, $j \in [0, n]$ and $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are thresholds of the hidden layer, $a_j \in \mathbb{R}^s$ are the connection weights between the input and hidden layers, $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are the connection weights between the hidden and output layers, $d_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are the thresholds of the output layer, and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product.

In general, any continuous function on a compact set can be theoretically approximated up to any precision by increasing hidden neurons (Cybenko, 1989; Hornik et al., 1990; Hornik, 1991; Funahashi, 1989; Leshno et al., 1993). We present a tighter estimation of approximation error by Logmoid-1. Let C[-1,1] be the space of continuous functions on [-1,1]. For any function $f \in C[a, b]$, define

$$w(f,\delta) := \max_{\substack{a \le x, y \le b \\ |x-y| \le \delta}} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

$$(12)$$

f is (L, α) -Lipschitz continuous with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ (e.g., $f \in Lip(L, \alpha)$) if there is a constant L > 0 such that $w(f, \delta) \leq L\delta^{\alpha}$.

For any function $f \in \mathcal{C}[-1, 1]$, we construct a FNN operator by $\Phi(x)$ as

$$G_{Logmoid-1}(f,x) := \sum_{k=-n}^{n} f(\frac{k}{n}) \Phi(nx-k)$$
(13)

Theorem 1 For the operator $G_{Logmoid-1}(f, x)$ we have

$$|f(x) - G_{Logmoid-1}(f,x)| \le w(f,\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}) + (8e^{-\frac{1}{2}n^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{4}{e})||f||_{\infty}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the uniform norm.

This means the approximation error of f(x) by $G_{Logmoid-1}(f, x)$ can be controlled by n and α . The proof is shown in Appendix A.

Moreover, denote $C_B(\mathbb{R})$ as the set of continuous and bounded function on \mathbb{R} . For any function $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R})$ define a FNN operator as

$$\overline{G}_{Logmoid-1}(f,x) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\frac{k}{n}) \Phi(nx-k).$$
(14)

Theorem 2 For the FNN operator $\overline{G}_{Logmoid-1}(f, x)$ we have

$$|f(x) - \overline{G}_{Logmoid-1}(f,x)| \le w(f,\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}) + 8e^{-\frac{1}{2}n^{1-\alpha}} ||f||_{\infty}$$



Fig. 3: Test of Logmoid-1, TanhExp, Swish, and ReLU with a 15-layer basic network on MNIST. (a) Test accuracy. (b) Test loss.



Fig. 4: (a) Test accuracy of Logmoid-1, TanhExp, Swish, and ReLU with the basic network on MNIST. (b) The test accuracy vs Batch size for LAUs, Logmoid-1, ReLU, Swish, Mish.

The proof of Theorem 2 is shown in Appendix B. Similar to the bell-shaped function (10), a new bell-shaped function based on Swish and Eqs.(9) is defined as

$$\Phi_{Swish}(x) = \begin{cases} \xi(\phi(x) + 2|Y_1|), & \text{if } -T_1 \le x \le T_1; \\ -\xi\phi(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(15)

where $\lambda = 1.758$, T_1 is the extreme point, and $Y_1 = -0.034 = \phi(T_1)$. $\phi(x)$ is defined by

$$\phi(x) = \text{Swish}(x+1) - 2\text{Swish}(x) + \text{Swish}(x-1)$$
(16)

Similar to the FNN operator $\overline{G}_{Logmoid-1}(f, x)$, we may define a FNN operator $G_{Swish}(f, x)$ by $\Phi_{Swish}(x)$, in order to further verify the performance of Logmoid-1. Two examples are shown in Appendix C.

Table 2: The Top-1 accuracy (in %) of different learning rates (lr) for LAUs, Logmoid-1, ReLU, Swish, Mish.

lr	ReLU	Swish	Mish	Logmoid-1	LAUs
10^{-1}	87.42	87.62	87.7	87.18	• 88.9 7
10^{-2}	87.91	88.15	88.38	88.36	•88.58
10^{-3}	77.92	80.38	•80.99	80.17	80.44
10^{-4}	50.33	51.48	53.66	52.53	•55.36



Fig. 5: Test of Logmoid-1, TanhExp, Swish, and ReLU with a 15-layer basic network on KMNIST. (a) Test accuracy. (b) Test loss.



Fig. 6: Schematic VGG-8-Dilated network. DConv denotes the dilated convolutional.

5 EXPERIMENTS WITH LOGMOID ACTIVATION UNITS (LAU)

In this section we simulate new activation functions for different tasks. We denote Logmoid Activation Units (LAU) with parameters as

$$f(x;\alpha,\beta) = x\ln(1+\alpha\sigma(\beta x)) \tag{17}$$

where α and β are trainable hyper-parameters being optimized end-to-end to find good one at each layer automatically. Logmoid networks are feed-forward networks including convolutional and residual architectures with pooling layers. Inspired by PAUs, we suppose to learn one LAU per layer. There are 2L parameters with L layers.

5.1 INITIALIZING EXPERIMENTS WITH LOGMOID-1

This subsection is for exploring good initializations for all LAUs. We show that Logmoid-1 is good beyond random initializations. Several ablation experiments are performed to evaluate Logmoid-1 on MNIST (LeCun et al., 2010) and KMNIST (Clanuwat et al., 2018) with 10 classes. All the simulations used a basic network with 15 layers (Liu & Di, 2020). Each one of the last 12 layers contains a batch normalization (Ioffe & Szegedy, 2015), a dropout rate (Srivastava et al., 2014) of 0.25, an activation function, and a dense layer with 500 neurons.

Learning speed. We trained the basic 15 layers network with 15 epoches on MNIST and 30 epoches on KMNIST. As shown in Figs.3 and 5, Logmoid-1 performs better than Swish and ReLU both in the convergence speed and final accuracy, and has a similar performance with TanhExp. Logmoid-1 can update the parameters rapidly and force the network to fit the dataset in an efficient way.

Over-fitting. Experiments are performed with Logmoid-1, ReLU, Swish, and TanhExp on both MNIST and KMNIST using the basic network with blocks from 15 to 25. From Fig.4(a), the network suffers from over-fitting by ReLU and Swish because of a sharp decrease in accuracy. Logmoid-1 and TanhExp maintain a high accuracy in large models and then prevent from over-fitting.

5.2 EXPERIMENTS WITH LAUS

This subsection devotes to the simulation experiments with LAUs for different tasks. We simulate LAUs and other baseline functions including ReLU, Swish, TanhExp, ACONC (Ma et al., 2021) and Logmoid-1 using standard deep neural networks. We benchmark the results in the following datasets: MNIST (LeCun et al., 2010), Kuzushiji-MNIST (KMNIST) (Clanuwat et al., 2018), Fashion-MNIST (FMNIST) (Xiao et al., 2017), CIFAR (Krizhevsky et al., 2009), ImageNet (Russakovsky et al., 2015) and COCO (Lin et al., 2014).

Ablation experiments with LAUs–We demonstrate the image classification performance with LAUs and others. ShuffleNet-V2 network is used as the backbone. All the experiments are simulated on CIFAR-10 dataset.

Batch size. We test LAUs in the same network with the batch size of 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512, respectively. LAUs has the best performance compared with others as Fig.4(b).

Learning rate. Table 2 shows the Top-1 accuracy in ShuffleNet-V2 network. For the learning rate 10^{-1} , LAUs has the highest 88.97% test accuracy while three nonmonotonic functions have similar performance with 10^{-2} . LAUs is best for learning rate 10^{-4} . This means LAUs has good performance in almost all comparable learning rates. Meanwhile, its expression ability is good at a smaller learning rate.

Table 3: Performance comparison of activation functions on FMNIST.

Activation	LeNet(#0.06M)	VGG-8(#9.2M)	VGG-8-Dilated(#3.9M)
ReLU	○89.84	90.19	90.96
Swish	89.37	90.15	90.80
TanhExp	89.47	90.72	90.45
ACONC	89.27	o91.23	091.12
Lgomoid-1	88.99	90.56	90.25
LAUs	•89.90	•92.35	•91.84



Fig. 7: The estimated activation functions after training LeNet with LAUs on FMNIST.

Empirical results on Fashion-MNIST–We take use of two basic architectures of LeNet (Lecun et al., 1998) and VGG-8 (Simonyan & Zisserman, 2014) to evaluate Logmoid-1 on FMNIST. LeNet contains 61,706 trainable parameters while LAUs adds 8 additional parameters. We investigate whether there are smaller sub-networks with similar performances. Some blocks of VGG-8 will be replaced by dilated convolutions, as Fig.6, named as VGG-8-Dilated network.

From Table 3, LAUs improves 1.1% Top-1 accuracy compared with the runner-up result on VGG-8. Comparing the baseline functions on the VGG-8-Dilated and LeNet, LAUs always matches or outperforms the best performance. This shows LAU is a learnable activation function without requirement of additional suboptimal experiments. From Fig.7 some learnable activations are

smooth versions of Logmoid-1 by controlling hyper-parameters α and β . So, we initialize LAUs with Logmoid-1.

Empirical results on CIFAR–We explore the performance of LAUs on difficult datasets, CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100 (Krizhevsky et al., 2009). These 3-channel images require a neuron network with strong learning ability. We simulate LAUs as well as other baseline functions on ResNet-20 (He et al., 2016), (Howard et al., 2017), MobileNet-V2 (Sandler et al., 2018), ShuffleNet (Zhang et al., 2018), ShuffleNet-V2 (Zhang et al., 2018), SqueezeNet (Iandola et al., 2016), SeNet-18 (Hu et al., 2018) and EfficientNet-B0 (Tan & Le, 2019).

From Table 4 LAUs outperforms the baseline activation functions in most cases. LAUs improves the Top-1 accuracy by around 5% on EfficientNet-B0. The predictive performance of LAUs on ResNet-20 and SqueezeNet is still competitive. LAUs gets 2.49% improvement over ReLU on MobileNet while SRS (Zhou et al., 2020) gets 2.33% improvement.

Model	ReLU	Swish	ACONC	TanhExp	Logmoid-1	LAUs
ResNet-20	90.96	91.08	o91.16	•91.26	90.97	o91.12
MobileNet	86.04	87.93	°88.31	88.24	87.91	•88.53
MobileNet-V2	84.92	85.87	°86.54	85.83	86.39	•87.95
ShuffleNet	87.55	88.87	88.95	°89.2	87.22	•89.91
ShuffleNet-V2	87.42	87.62	°87.64	87.38	87.18	•88.97
SqueezeNet	•88.50	87.04	°88.35	87.86	87.63	°88.22
SeNet-18	88.81	90.53	90.37	90.19	o90.95	•91.43
EfficientNet-B0	76.17	77.35	078.55	77.19	78.23	•82.52

Table 4: Performances of activation functions on CIFAR-10.

Table 5: Performances of activation functions on CIFAR-100.

Model	ReLU	Swish	ACONC	TanhExp	Logmoid-1	LAUs
ResNet-20	66.16	67.73	•67.86	o67.79	67.68	o67.77
MobileNet	59.40	62.11	•62.35	o62.21	61.81	o62.05
MobileNet-V2	59.40	61.32	o62.13	60.76	60.09	•63.66
ShuffleNet	64.53	65.45	66.17	66.7	∘66.76	●68.01
ShuffleNet-V2	63.65	65.88	°65.94	●66.18	65.69	64.73
SqueezeNet	62.64	o63.97	63.45	62.38	63.64	•64.26
SeNet-18	66.26	067.85	67.78	67.68	67.45	•68.96
EfficientNet-B0	45.81	46.01	o48.26	47.52	47.08	•50.38

The CIFAR-100 has 100 classes with 500 training images and 100 test images per class. From Table 5 LAUs outperforms ReLU on all networks. It improves the top-1 accuracy by 4.6%, 3.3%, 4.4%, 2.1% and 2.9% compared with ReLU, Logmoid-1, Swish, ACONC and TanhExp on EfficientNet-B0. LAUs gets 2.65% improvement over ReLU on MobileNet and is smaller than its from SRS. LAUs shows smaller classification accuracy by 1.4% compared with the best on ShuffleNet-V2. This may be further improved by increasing simulation epochs.

Table 6: Comparison of different activations on the ImageNet-200 dataset. We report the Top-1 and Top-5 accuracies (in %) on ShuffleNet-V2 and MobileNet-V3 (Howard et al., 2019).

	Re	LU	Sw	vish	ACO	ONC	Tanl	hExp	Logr	noid-1	LA	Us
	Top-1	Top-5	Top-1	Top-5	Top-1	Top-5	Top-1	Top-5	Top-1	Top-5-1	Top-1	Top-5
ShuffleNet-V2	59.82	82.21	60.38	83.15	62.35	84.68	60.05	83.07	o63.07	o85.02	•63.83	•86.03
MobileNet-V3	45.56	72.15	46.49	73.88	47.52	74.79	46.21	73.36	048.65	076.15	•50.16	•77.35

Empirical results on ImageNet-200–We compared LAUs with other baseline activation functions on ImageNet 2012 (Russakovsky et al., 2015). For a quick comparison, we randomly choose 200 classes and extracted 500 training images, 50 testing images each class from ImageNet, named as ImageNet-200. From Table 6 LAUs leads in both the Top-1 and Top-5 accuracies. LAUs gets 4.6% Top-1 improvements over ReLU on MobileNet-V3 while PWLU (Zhou et al., 2021) gets 1.91% Top-1 improvements.

Activation	AP^{box}	AP_{50}^{box}	AP_{75}^{box}	AP^{mask}	AP_{50}^{mask}	AP_{75}^{mask}
ReLU	45.8	64.6	50.3	41.2	63.3	44.7
ACONC	47.4	66.3	50.9	42.4	65.2	45.5
LAUs	48.7	67.5	52.1	43.5	66.8	46.9

Table 7: Performances of activation functions on the COCO object detection task. We report results on Mask R-CNN with Swin Transformer backbone.

Object detection on COCO–Object detection is a fundamental branch of computer vision. We implement simulation experiments on COCO 2017 (Lin et al., 2014), which contains 118K training, 5K validation and 20K test-dev images. We choose the Mask R-CNN (He et al., 2017) as the detector and Swin Transformer (Liu et al., 2021) with different activations as the backbone. We choose a batch size of 2, AdamW optimizer (initial learning rate of 0.0001, weight decay of 0.05, and 3x schedual). From Table 7, LAUs gets $2.9\% AP^{box}$ and $2.3\% AP^{mask}$ improvements respectively over ReLU while PWLU (Zhou et al., 2021) gets $1.42\% AP^{box}$ and $1.83\% AP^{mask}$ improvements.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we presented a novel family of nonmonotonic activation function, named as Logmoid family. We constructed a class of FNN operators with Logmoid-1 to approximate any continuous function. We proposed a learnable Logmoid Activation Unit (LAU), which is initialized using Logmoid-1, trainable in an end-to-end fashion. One can replace standard activation functions with LAU units in any neural networks. Simulations shows LAUs has the best performance across all activation functions and architectures. Further work will be performed to apply LAUs to other related tasks.

REFERENCES

- Koushik Biswas, Sandeep Kumar, Shilpak Banerjee, and Ashish Kumar Pandey. Tanhsoft–a family of activation functions combining tanh and softplus. *arXiv:2009.03863*, 2020.
- Pierre Cardaliaguet and Guillaume Euvrard. Approximation of a function and its derivative with a neural network. *Neural Networks*, 5(2):207–220, 1992.
- Tarin Clanuwat, Mikel Bober-Irizar, Asanobu Kitamoto, Alex Lamb, Kazuaki Yamamoto, and David Ha. Deep learning for classical japanese literature. *arXiv:1812.01718*, 2018.
- Djork-Arné Clevert, Thomas Unterthiner, and Sepp Hochreiter. Fast and accurate deep network learning by exponential linear units (elus). *arXiv:1511.07289*, 2015.
- George Cybenko. Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function. *Mathematics of Control, Signals and Systems*, 2(4):303–314, 1989.
- Ken-Ichi Funahashi. On the approximate realization of continuous mappings by neural networks. *Neural Networks*, 2(3):183–192, 1989.
- M.P. Hassell, J.H. Lawton, and J.R. Beddington. Sigmoid functional responses by invertebrate predators and parasitoids. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, pp. 249–262, 1977.
- Kai-Ming He, Xiang-Yu Zhang, Shao-Qing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 770–778, 2016.
- Kaiming He, Georgia Gkioxari, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Mask r-cnn. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pp. 2961–2969, 2017.
- Xin-Gui He and Shao-Hua Xu. *Process neural networks: Theory and applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.

- Kurt Hornik. Approximation capabilities of multilayer feedforward networks. *Neural Networks*, 4 (2):251–257, 1991.
- Kurt Hornik, Maxwell Stinchcombe, and Halbert White. Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators. *Neural Networks*, 2(5):359–366, 1989.
- Kurt Hornik, Maxwell Stinchcombe, and Halbert White. Universal approximation of an unknown mapping and its derivatives using multilayer feedforward networks. *Neural Networks*, 3(5):551–560, 1990.
- Andrew Howard, Mark Sandler, Grace Chu, Liang-Chieh Chen, Bo Chen, Mingxing Tan, Weijun Wang, Yukun Zhu, Ruoming Pang, Vijay Vasudevan, et al. Searching for mobilenetv3. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision, pp. 1314–1324, 2019.
- Andrew G Howard, Meng-Long Zhu, Bo Chen, Dmitry Kalenichenko, Wei-Jun Wang, Tobias Weyand, Marco Andreetto, and Hartwig Adam. Mobilenets: Efficient convolutional neural networks for mobile vision applications. arXiv:1704.04861, 2017.
- Jie Hu, Li Shen, and Gang Sun. Squeeze-and-excitation networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 7132–7141, 2018.
- Guang-Bin Huang and Haroon A Babri. Upper bounds on the number of hidden neurons in feedforward networks with arbitrary bounded nonlinear activation functions. *IEEE transactions on neural networks*, 9(1):224–229, 1998.
- Forrest N Iandola, Song Han, Matthew W Moskewicz, Khalid Ashraf, William J Dally, and Kurt Keutzer. Squeezenet: Alexnet-level accuracy with 50x fewer parameters and ¡0.5mb model size. *arXiv:1602.07360*, 2016.
- Sergey Ioffe and Christian Szegedy. Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 448–456. PMLR, 2015.
- Barry L Kalman and Stan C Kwasny. Why tanh: choosing a sigmoidal function. In [Proceedings 1992] IJCNN International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, volume 4, pp. 578–581. IEEE, 1992.
- Günter Klambauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Andreas Mayr, and Sepp Hochreiter. Self-normalizing neural networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 30, 2017.
- Stefan Klein, Josien PW Pluim, Marius Staring, and Max A Viergever. Adaptive stochastic gradient descent optimisation for image registration. *International journal of computer vision*, 81(3):227– 239, 2009.
- Alex Krizhevsky, Geoffrey Hinton, et al. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. 2009.
- Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E. Hinton. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Commun. ACM*, 60(6):84–90, may 2017. ISSN 0001-0782. doi: 10.1145/3065386.
- Y. Lecun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 86(11):2278–2324, 1998.
- Yann LeCun, Bernhard Boser, John S Denker, Donnie Henderson, Richard E Howard, Wayne Hubbard, and Lawrence D Jackel. Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition. *Neural Computation*, 1(4):541–551, 1989.
- Yann LeCun, Corinna Cortes, and J.C. Burges. Mnist handwritten digit database, 2010.
- Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio, and Geoffrey Hinton. Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553):436–444, 2015.

- Moshe Leshno, Vladimir Ya Lin, Allan Pinkus, and Shimon Schocken. Multilayer feedforward networks with a nonpolynomial activation function can approximate any function. *Neural Networks*, 6(6):861–867, 1993.
- Hao Li, Zheng Xu, Gavin Taylor, Christoph Studer, and Tom Goldstein. Visualizing the loss landscape of neural nets. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 31, 2018.
- Shi-Yu Liang and Rayadurgam Srikant. Why deep neural networks for function approximation? *arXiv:1610.04161*, 2016.
- Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *European conference on computer vision*, pp. 740–755. Springer, 2014.
- Xin-Yu Liu and Xiao-Guang Di. Tanhexp: A smooth activation function with high convergence speed for lightweight neural networks. *arXiv:2003.09855*, 2020.
- Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 10012–10022, 2021.
- Ningning Ma, Xiangyu Zhang, Ming Liu, and Jian Sun. Activate or not: Learning customized activation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 8032–8042, 2021.
- Andrew L Maas, Awni Y Hannun, Andrew Y Ng, et al. Rectifier nonlinearities improve neural network acoustic models. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 30, pp. 3. Citeseer, 2013.
- Diganta Misra. Mish: A self regularized non-monotonic activation function. *arXiv:1908.08681*, 2019.
- Alejandro Molina, Patrick Schramowski, and Kristian Kersting. Padé activation units: End-to-end learning of flexible activation functions in deep networks. *arXiv*:1907.06732, 2019.
- Chigozie Nwankpa, Winifred Ijomah, Anthony Gachagan, and Stephen Marshall. Activation functions: Comparison of trends in practice and research for deep learning. arXiv:1811.03378, 2018.
- Prajit Ramachandran, Barret Zoph, and Quoc V Le. Searching for activation functions. arXiv:1710.05941, 2017.
- Olga Russakovsky, Jia Deng, Hao Su, Jonathan Krause, Sanjeev Satheesh, Sean Ma, Zhi-Heng Huang, Andrej Karpathy, Aditya Khosla, Michael Bernstein, et al. Imagenet large scale visual recognition challenge. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 115(3):211–252, 2015.
- Mark Sandler, Andrew Howard, Meng-Long Zhu, Andrey Zhmoginov, and Liang-Chieh Chen. Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 4510–4520, 2018.
- Zuo-Wei Shen, Hai-Zhao Yang, and Shi-Jun Zhang. Deep network approximation characterized by number of neurons. arXiv:1906.05497, 2019.
- Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. arXiv:1409.1556, 2014.
- Bharath K Sriperumbudur, Arthur Gretton, Kenji Fukumizu, Bernhard Schölkopf, and Gert RG Lanckriet. Hilbert space embeddings and metrics on probability measures. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11:1517–1561, 2010.
- Nitish Srivastava, Geoffrey Hinton, Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15(1):1929–1958, 2014.
- Ming-Xing Tan and Quoc Le. Efficientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 6105–6114. PMLR, 2019.

- Han Xiao, Kashif Rasul, and Roland Vollgraf. Fashion-mnist: a novel image dataset for benchmarking machine learning algorithms. *arXiv:1708.07747*, 2017.
- Bing Xu, Nai-Yan Wang, Tian-Qi Chen, and Mu Li. Empirical evaluation of rectified activations in convolutional network. *arXiv:1505.00853*, 2015.
- Xiang-Yu Zhang, Xin-Yu Zhou, Meng-Xiao Lin, and Jian Sun. Shufflenet: An extremely efficient convolutional neural network for mobile devices. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 6848–6856, 2018.
- Yuan Zhou, Dandan Li, Shuwei Huo, and Sun-Yuan Kung. Soft-root-sign activation function. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2003.00547, 2020.
- Yucong Zhou, Zezhou Zhu, and Zhao Zhong. Learning specialized activation functions with the piecewise linear unit. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 12095–12104, 2021.
- Hegui Zhu, Huimin Zeng, Jinhai Liu, and Xiangde Zhang. Logish: A new nonlinear nonmonotonic activation function for convolutional neural network. *Neurocomputing*, 458:490–499, 2021.