# DRIVINGWORLD: CONSTRUCTING WORLD MODEL FOR AUTONOMOUS DRIVING VIA VIDEO GPT

Anonymous authors

000

001

002 003 004

006

008

021

023

024 025 026

027

028

029

031

032

034

038

039

040

041

042

043

Paper under double-blind review



Figure 1: An example of controllable generation. We design two trajectories for the same scene to generate different driving scenarios, *i.e.*, one moving straight forward and the other with a curved path.

#### ABSTRACT

Recent successes in autoregressive (AR) generation models, such as the GPT series in natural language processing, have motivated efforts to replicate this success in visual tasks. Some research aims to extend this approach to autonomous driving by building video-based world models capable of generating realistic future video sequences and predicting the ego states. However, the prior works tend to produce unsatisfactory results, since the classic GPT framework is designed to handle 1D contextual information, such as text, and lacks the inherent capability to model the spatial and temporal dynamics necessary for video generation. In this paper, we present DrivingWorld, a GPT-style world model for autonomous driving with several spatial-temporal fusion mechanisms. This design allows for effective modeling of both spatial and temporal dynamics, enabling high-fidelity, long time video generation. Specifically, we first propose next-state-prediction strategy to model temporal coherence between consecutive frames and then apply nexttoken-prediction strategy to capture spatial information within a frame. To further enhance generalization ability, we propose a novel masking strategy and reweight strategy for token prediction to mitigate long time drifting issues and enable precise control. Our work is capable of producing high-fidelity and consistent video clips with long-time duration. Experiments demonstrate that, in contrast to prior works, our method delivers superior visual quality and significantly more accurate controllable future video generation. Visit our project page at https: //anonymous.4open.science/r/DrivingWorld-5714.

048

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, autoregressive (AR) learning schemes have achieved significant success in natural language processing, as demonstrated by models like the GPT series (Radford, 2018; Radford et al., 2019; Brown, 2020). These models predict future text responses from past data, making AR approaches as leading candidates in the pursuit of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI). Inspired by these advancements, many researchers have sought to replicate this success in visual tasks, such as building vision-based world models for autonomous driving (Hu et al., 2023).

054 A critical capability in autonomous driving systems is future event prediction (Guan et al., 2024). 055 However, many prediction models rely heavily on large volumes of labeled data, making them 056 vulnerable to out-of-distribution and long-tail scenarios (Santana & Hotz, 2016; Wang et al., 2023; 057 Lu et al., 2023). This is especially problematic for rare and extreme cases, such as accidents, where 058 obtaining sufficient training data is challenging. A promising solution lies in autoregressive world models, which learn comprehensive information from unlabeled data like massive videos through unsupervised learning. This enables more robust decision-making in driving scenarios. These 060 world models have the potential to reason under uncertainty and reduce catastrophic errors, thereby 061 improving the generalization and safety of autonomous driving systems. 062

The prior work, GAIA-1 (Hu et al., 2023), was the first to extend the GPT framework from language to video, aiming to develop a video-based world model. Similar to natural language processing, GAIA transforms 4D temporally correlated frames into a sequence of 1D feature tokens and employs the next-token prediction strategy to generate future video clips. However, the classic GPT framework, primarily designed for handling 1D contextual information, *lacks the inherent capability to effectively model the spatial and temporal dynamics necessary for video generation*. As a result, the videos produced by GAIA-1 often suffer from low quality and noticeable artifacts, highlighting the challenge of achieving high fidelity and coherence within a GPT-style video generation framework.

071 In this paper, we introduce *DrivingWorld*, a driving world model built on a GPT-style video generation framework. Our primary goal is to enhance the modeling of temporal coherence in an autoregressive 072 framework to create more accurate and reliable world models. To achieve this, our model incorporates 073 three key innovations: 1) Temporal-Aware Tokenization: We propose a temporal-aware tokenizer 074 that transforms video frames into temporally coherent tokens, reformulating the task of future video 075 prediction as predicting future tokens in the sequence. 2) Hybrid Token Prediction: Instead of 076 relying solely on the next-token prediction strategy, we introduce a next-state prediction strategy to 077 better model temporal coherence between consecutive states. Afterward, the next-token prediction strategy is applied to capture spatial information within each state. 3) Long-time Controllable 079 Strategies: To improve robustness, we implement random token dropout and balanced attention strategies during autoregressive training, enabling the generation of longer-duration videos with more 081 precise control.

Overall, our work enhances temporal coherence in video generation using the AR framework, learning meaningful representations of future evolution. Experiments show that the proposed model achieves good generalization performance, is capable of generating long-duration video sequences, and provides accurate next-step trajectory predictions, maintaining a reasonable level of controllability.

087 088

# 2 RELATED WORK

World Model. The world model (LeCun, 2022) captures a comprehensive representation of the 090 environment and forecasts future states based on a sequence of actions. World models has been 091 extensively explored in both game (Hafner et al., 2019; 2020; 2023) and laboratory environments (Wu 092 et al., 2023). Dreamer (Hafner et al., 2019) trains a latent dynamics model using past experiences to forecast state values and actions within a latent space. DreamerV2 (Hafner et al., 2020) builds upon 094 the original Dreamer model, reaching human-level performance in Atari games. DreamerV3 Hafner 095 et al. (2023) employs larger networks and successfully learns to acquire diamonds in Minecraft from 096 scratch. DayDreamer (Wu et al., 2023) extends Dreamer (Hafner et al., 2019) to train four robots 097 directly in the real world, successfully tackling locomotion and manipulation tasks. Recently, world 098 models for driving scenarios have garnered significant attention in both academia and industry. Most previous works (Argenson & Dulac-Arnold, 2020; Diehl et al., 2021; 2023; Henaff et al., 2019) have been limited to simulators or well-controlled lab environments. Drive-WM (Wang et al., 2024b) 100 explores real-world driving planners using diffusion models. GAIA-1 (Hu et al., 2023) investigates 101 real-world driving planners based on autoregressive models, but GAIA-1 has large parameters and 102 computational demands, which increase as the number of condition frames grows. In this paper, we 103 propose an efficient world model in an autoregressive framework for autonomous driving scenarios. 104

VQVAE. VQVAE (Van Den Oord et al., 2017) learns a discrete codebook representation via vector quantization to model image distributions. VQGAN (Esser et al., 2021) improves realism by incorporating LPIPS loss (Zhang et al., 2018) and adversarial PatchGAN loss (Isola et al., 2017). MoVQ (Zheng et al., 2022) tackles VQGAN's spatially conditional normalization issue by embedding

 $1 \quad 2 \quad \cdots \quad T$ 

....

 $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{q}_t$ 

Tokenizer

Vehicle

Pose

Tokenizer

Temporal-

aware VQ

Tokenizer

108 109 110

111

112 113

114 115  $\{\mathbf{I}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ 

- 116
- 117
- 118

119 120

and an image sequence  $\{\mathbf{I}_t\}_{t=1}^T$  are taken as the conditional input, which are first tokenized as latent embeddings. Then our proposed multi-modal world model attempts to comprehend them and forecast the future states, which are detokenized to the vehicle orientation  $\theta_{T+1}$ , location  $(\hat{x}_{T+1}, \hat{y}_{T+1})$ , and the image  $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{T+1}$ . With the autoregressive process, we can generate over 30 seconds videos.

122 123

121

124 spatially variant information into quantized vectors. LlamaGen (Sun et al., 2024) further fine-tunes 125 VQGAN, showing that a smaller codebook vector dimension and a larger codebook size enhance 126 reconstruction performance. While VQGAN-based structures are widely used, some methods explore 127 more efficient architectures. ViT-VQGAN (Yu et al., 2021) replaces the convolutional encoderdecoder with a Vision Transformer (ViT), improving the model's ability to capture long-range 128 dependencies. VAR (Tian et al., 2024) employs a multi-scale structure to predict subsequent scales 129 based on previous ones, enhancing both generation quality and speed. However, these methods focus 130 on single-image processing, making it unable to capture temporal consistency. To address this, we 131 propose a temporal-aware tokenizer and decoder in this paper. 132

World Model

Temporal-multimodal

Fusion Module

Intra-state Autoregressive

Module

Figure 2: Pipeline of Driving World. The vehicle orientations  $\{\theta_t\}_{t=1}^T$ , ego locations  $\{(x_t, y_t)\}_{t=1}^T$ ,

Decoder

Vehicle

Pose

Decoder

Temporal

aware

Decoder

 $\hat{y}_T$ 

 $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{T+1}$ 

T + 1

 $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{T+1}$ 

133 Autoregressive Image Generation. Early autoregressive models for image generation operate at the pixel level, using CNNs (Van den Oord et al., 2016) or RNNs (Van Den Oord et al., 2016) to predict 134 unknown pixels in a zigzag manner. Inspired by language models and enabled by VQVAE (Van 135 Den Oord et al., 2017), images are encoded into discrete tokens. This allows autoregressive models 136 to shift from operating in pixel space to working in a discrete token space. VQGAN (Esser et al., 137 2021), DALL-E (Ramesh et al., 2021), and Parti (Yu et al., 2022a) introduce VQVAE to encode 138 continuous images to discrete tokens, and use autoregressive models to generate images in a way 139 of next token prediction. VAR (Tian et al., 2024) decomposes an image into residuals at multiple 140 resolutions, encoding each resolution's residuals into a different number of discrete tokens. During 141 the next scale prediction, all tokens at a specific resolution are predicted at once, reducing the 142 number of autoregressive steps. Llamagen (Sun et al., 2024) demonstrates that vanilla autoregressive 143 models, which use the same "next-token prediction" approach as language models, can also achieve 144 state-of-the-art performance in image generation. In this paper, we take it a step further by applying 145 autoregressive models to video generation.

146 Video Generation. Currently, there are three mainstream video generation models: GAN-based, 147 diffusion-based, and GPT-based methods. GAN-based methods (Yu et al., 2022b; Skorokhodov et al., 148 2022; Tian et al., 2021) often face several challenges, such as mode collapse, where the diversity of 149 the videos generated by the generator becomes limited. Additionally, the adversarial learning between 150 the generator and discriminator can lead to instability during training. One major issue with diffusionbased methods is their inability to generate precisely controlled videos because the stochastic nature 151 of the diffusion process introduces randomness at each step, making it difficult to enforce strict 152 control over specific attributes in the generated content. On the other hand, traditional GPT-based 153 methods (Yan et al., 2021; Han et al., 2022) allow for a certain level of control, but their computational 154 cost grows quadratically with the sequence length, significantly impacting model efficiency. In this 155 paper, we propose a decoupled spatio-temporal world model framework, which ensures precise 156 control while significantly reducing computational cost and improving model efficiency. 157

### 158 159

160

#### 3 METHOD

Our proposed world model, DrivingWorld, leverages a GPT-style architecture to predict future states 161 with high efficiency, capable of extending predictions beyond 30 seconds at a frequency of 6Hz. This 162 model is designed to comprehend past real-world states and forecast future video content and vehicle 163 motions. Driving World is specifically focused on predicting the next frame status at time T + 1 based 164 on the historical states from time 1 to T, and we can generate long videos by sequentially predicting future states one by one. Each state at time t is represented as  $[\theta_t, (x_t, y_t), \mathbf{I}_t]$ , where  $\theta_t$  is the 165 vehicle's orientation,  $(x_t, y_t)$  is its location, and  $I_t$  is the current image. Figure 2 presents the pipeline. 166 Our proposed *DrivingWorld* not only generates future states  $[\theta_{T+1}, (x_{T+1}, y_{T+1}), \mathbf{I}_{T+1}]$  based on past observations  $\{[\theta_t, (x_t, y_t), \mathbf{I}_t]\}_{t=1}^T$ , but also supports controllable simulation of complex driving scenarios by manipulating the vehicle's location and orientation. Section 3.1 details our proposed 167 168 169 170 tokenizers for encoding temporal multimodal information into the unified latent space. To model the relationships between long time sequential states, we introduce a GPT-style temporal multimodel 171 decoupled world model in Section 3.2. To extract the state from the tokens predicted by the world 172 model, we also introduce a temporal decoder, which is discussed in detail in Section 3.3. Additionally, 173 we introduce long-time controllable strategies in Section 3.4 to address the drift problem and enhance 174 the robustness of the proposed world model. 175

176 3.1 TOKE

177

3.1 TOKENIZER

Tokenization (Zheng et al., 2022; Van Den Oord et al., 2017) converts continuous data into discrete tokens, enabling integration with language models and enhanced multimodal sequence modeling.
In our approach, the tokenizer maps multimodal states into a unified discrete space, which enables accurate and controllable multimodal generation. To produce temporally consistent embeddings for images, we propose a temporal-aware vector quantized tokenizer. Our proposed vehicle pose tokenizer discretizes pose trajectories and integrates them into our *DrivingWorld*.

184 **Prelimilary: Single Image Vector Quantized Tokenizer.** The single image vector quantized (VQ) 185 tokenizer, as described in Van Den Oord et al. (2017), is designed to convert an image feature map 186  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$  to discrete tokens  $\mathbf{q} \in [K]^{H \times W}$ . The quantizer utilizes a learned discrete codebook 187  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times C}$ , containing K vectors, to map each feature  $\mathbf{f}^{(i,j)}$  to the index  $\mathbf{q}^{(i,j)}$  of the closest code 188 in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . This method enables the conversion of continuous image data into discrete tokens.

Temporal-aware Vector Quantized Tokenizer. Single image VQ tokenizers often struggle to produce temporally consistent embeddings, leading to discontinuous video predictions and hindering the training of the world model. The image sequence  $\{\mathbf{I}_t\}_{t=1}^T$  is encoded as  $\{\mathbf{f}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ , where each feature is processed independently and thus lacks temporal information.

To address this issue, we propose a temporal-aware vector quantized tokenizer designed to ensure 194 consistent embeddings over time. Specifically, to capture temporal dependencies, we insert a self-195 attention layer both before and after VQGAN quantization, where the attention operates along the 196 temporal dimension. This allows our model to capture long-range temporal relationships between 197 frames, improving coherence and consistency in the generated sequences. Our model builds upon the open-source VQGAN implementation from LlammaGen (Sun et al., 2024). The integration of 199 our straightforward yet effective temporal self-attentions can be seamlessly incorporated into the 200 original framework, followed by fine-tuning to develop a robust and generalizable temporal-aware VQ tokenizer.  $\{f_t\}_{t=1}^T$  are fed into temporal self-attention  $\mathcal{H}(\cdot)$  before performing quantization, thus 201 the tokens are: 202

$$\mathbf{q}_t^{(i,j)} = \underset{k \in [K]}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left\| \operatorname{lookup}(\mathcal{Z}, k) - \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{f}_1^{(i,j)}, ..., \mathbf{f}_T^{(i,j)})[t] \right\|_2, \quad \mathbf{q}_t^{(i,j)} \in [K],$$
(1)

where lookup( $\mathcal{Z}, k$ ) means taking the k-th vector in codebook  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

**Vehicle Pose Tokenizer.** To accurately represent the vehicle's ego status, including its orientation  $\theta$ and location (x, y), we adopt a coordinate system centered at the ego vehicle, as depicted in Figure 2. Instead of global poses, we adopt the relative poses between adjacent frames. This is because that global poses present a significant challenge due to the increasing magnitude of absolute pose values over long-term sequences, making normalization difficult and reducing model robustness. As the model generates longer sequences, these large pose values become harder to manage, ultimately complicating the handling of long-term video generation.

For the sequence of the vehicle's orientation  $\{\theta_t\}_{t=1}^T$  and location  $\{(x_t, y_t)\}_{t=1}^T$ , we propose to compute relative values for each time step with respect to the previous one. The relative location and orientation at the first time step is initialized as zero. The ego-centric status sequence is given

by  $\{\Delta \theta_t\}_{t=1}^T$  and  $\{(\Delta x_t, \Delta y_t)\}_{t=1}^T$ . To tokenize them, we discretize the ego's surrounding space. Specifically, we discretize the orientation into  $\alpha$  categories, and the X and Y axes into  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  bins, respectively. Thus, the relative trajectory at time t is tokenized as follows:

226

227

241

242

258

263

$$\phi_t = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta \theta_t - \theta_{min}}{\theta_{max} - \theta_{min}} \alpha \right\rfloor, \quad v_t = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta x_t - x_{min}}{x_{max} - x_{min}} \beta \right\rfloor \cdot \gamma + \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta y_t - y_{min}}{y_{max} - y_{min}} \gamma \right\rfloor. \tag{2}$$

Finally, we process the past T real-world states  $\{[\theta_t, (x_t, y_t), \mathbf{I}_t]\}_{t=1}^T$  and tokenize them into a discrete sequence  $\{[\phi_t, v_t, \mathbf{q}_t]\}_{t=1}^T$ , where each token is a discrete representation of the vehicle's state at each time step.

### 3.2 WORLD MODEL

228 The world model aims to comprehend past inputs, mimic real-world dynamics, and predict future 229 states. In our context, it forecasts upcoming driving scenarios and plans a feasible future trajectory. 230 To do this, the world model concatenates historical state tokens  $\{[\phi_t, v_t, \mathbf{q}_t]\}_{t=1}^T$  into a long sequence, where the 2D image tokens are unfolded into a 1D form in zig-zag order. Thus the objective is to predict next-state status  $\mathbf{r}_{T+1} = (\phi_{T+1}, v_{T+1}, \mathbf{q}_{T+1}^1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{T+1}^{H \times W})$  based on the sequence of past 231 232 233 observations  $\{\mathbf{r}_t\}_{t=1}^T$ , capturing both temporal and multimodal dependencies. Note that all discrete 234 tokens from different modalities are mapped into a shared latent space by their respective learnable 235 embedding layers before being fed to the world model, i.e.  $\mathbf{h}_t = Emb(\mathbf{r}_t)$ . All subsequent processes 236 are conducted within this latent space. 237

Prelimilary: Next-Token Prediction. A straightforward method is to use the GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019) structure for 1-D sequential next-token prediction. Figure 3 (a) shows a simplified example. The causal attention is applied for long-text prediction and the *i*-th token in T + 1 is modeled as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1}^{i} = \mathcal{G}([sos], \mathbf{r}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{T}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1}^{1}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1}^{i-1})$$
(3)

where [sos] denotes the start-of-sequence token, **r** is the ground truth tokens,  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  is the predict tokens, and  $\mathcal{G}$  represent GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019) model. However, such a 1-D design is inadequate for our specific scenarios. Predicting long videos requires generating tens of thousands of tokens, which is significantly time-consuming. Additionally, it overlooks the spatially structured image features inherent in images.

Therefore, we propose a next-state prediction pipeline, which consists of two modules: one integrates
temporal and multimodal information for next-state feature generation (i.e. *Temporal-multimodal Fusion Module*), and the other is an autoregressive module (i.e. *Intra-state Autoregressive Module*)
for high-quality intra-state token generation.

**Temporal-multimodal Fusion Module.** Our temporal-multimodal module is composed of a separate temporal layer and a multimodal layer. This decouples the processing of temporal and multimodal information, thereby improving both training and inference speed while also reducing GPU memory consumption. As shown in the Figure 3, We propose to employ a causal attention mask in the temporal transformer layer  $\mathcal{F}_a(\cdot)$ , where each token only attends to itself and tokens at the same sequential position from all previous frames. This is designed to fully leverage temporal information.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_t^i = \mathcal{F}_a(\mathbf{h}_1^i, \dots, \mathbf{h}_t^i), \ i \in [1, H \times W + 2], \ t \in [1, T].$$

$$\tag{4}$$

In the multimodal information fusion layer  $\mathcal{F}_b(\cdot)$ , we employ a bidirectional mask in the same frame, which is designed to fully integrate intra-state multimodal information and facilitates interactions between modalities. Each token attends to other tokens from the same time step,

$$\mathring{\mathbf{h}}_t = \mathcal{F}_b(\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_t), \ t \in [1, T].$$
(5)

The temporal and multimodal layers are alternately stacked for N layers to form this module.

**Intra-state Autoregressive Module.** After the temporal-multimodal module, we obtain features for future frame state prediction. A naive approach is to predict next-state tokens  $h_t$  at the same time. Recently, multiple image-generation works (Sun et al., 2024) propose that an autoregressive pipeline for next-token prediction generates better images, and even outperforms diffusion methods. Inspired by this, we propose an intra-state autoregressive module for next-time action and image generation,



Figure 3: Inference illustration of Vanilla GPT and temporal-aware GPT (ours). For simplicity, 287 we assume a video clip only has 3 frames and each frame consists of only 3 tokens, where  $x_2^1$ 288 denotes  $1^{st}$  token of the  $2^{nd}$  video frame. (a) The vanilla GPT places all tokens in a 1D sequence 289 and employ the causal attention, which can autoregressively predicts next tokens. (b) We propose 290 a temporal-multimodal fusion module to meld multi-modal information  $\{\mathbf{h}_{i}^{i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$  and obtain the 291 next-state feature  $\{\mathbf{h}_{t+1}^i\}_{i=1}^n$ . To generate high-quality next-state videos and vehicle tokens, we 292 employ the causal attention, thus such tokens  $(\{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{t+1}^i\}_{i=1}^n)$  are autoregressively predicted.  $Emb(\cdot)$ 293 denotes the embedding of corresponding tokens. In the temporal layer, each token only attends to itself and tokens at the same sequential position from all previous frames. The multi-modal layer and 295 intra-state autoregressive module are separately operated to the tokens per frame. 296

see Figure 3. Specifically, to predict  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1} = (\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1}^1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1}^{H \times W+2})$ , we add the temporal-multimodal output feature  $\mathring{\mathbf{h}}_T = (\mathring{\mathbf{h}}_T^1, \dots, \mathring{\mathbf{h}}_T^{H \times W+2})$  with the sequential tokens  $([sos], \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1}^1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1}^{H \times W+1})$ . Then they are input to the intra-state autoregressive transformer layers  $\mathcal{F}_c(\cdot)$ . The causal mask is employed in these layers, thus each token can only attend itself and prefix intra-state tokens. The autoregressive process is present in Eq. 6. As our pipeline incorporates both the next-state prediction and the next intra-state token prediction, we enforce two teacher-forcing strategies in training, i.e. one for the frame level and the other one for the intra-state level.

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1}^{i} = \mathcal{G}(Emb([sos]) + \mathring{\mathbf{h}}_{T}^{1}, Emb(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1}^{1}) + \mathring{\mathbf{h}}_{T}^{1}, \dots, Emb(\hat{\mathbf{r}})_{T+1}^{i-1} + \mathring{\mathbf{h}}_{T}^{i}), \ i \in [1, H \times W + 2].$$
(6)

We use cross-entropy loss for training, as

$$\mathcal{L}_{WorldModel} = -\sum_{t=1}^{T+1} \sum_{j=1}^{H \times W+2} \log P(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_t^j | \mathbf{r}_{< t}, \mathbf{r}_t^1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_t^{j-1}).$$
(7)

where **r** is the ground truth tokens, and  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  is the predict tokens.

#### 3.3 Decoder

304 305

306 307 308

310

311 312

313

318

319

320 321 322

By predicting the next-state tokens  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1} = (\hat{\phi}_{T+1}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{T+1}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{T+1})$  using the world model, we can then leverage the decoder to generate the corresponding relative orientation  $\Delta \hat{\theta}_{T+1}$ , relative location  $(\Delta \hat{x}_{T+1}, \Delta \hat{y}_{T+1})$ , and the reconstructed image  $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{T+1}$  for that state. This process allows us to map the predicted latent representations back into physical outputs, including both spatial and visual data.

**Vehicle Pose Decoder.** For the predicted relative orientation token  $\phi_{T+1}$  and relative location token  $\hat{v}_{T+1}$ , we can obtain the corresponding values through the inverse function of the Eq. 2 as follows:

$$\Delta \theta_t = \theta_{min} + \frac{\phi_t}{\alpha} \left( \theta_{max} - \theta_{min} \right),$$

$$\Delta x_t = x_{min} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left\lfloor \frac{v_t}{\gamma} \right\rfloor \left( x_{max} - x_{min} \right), \Delta y_t = y_{min} + \left( \frac{v_t}{\gamma} v_t - \left\lfloor \frac{v_t}{\gamma} \right\rfloor \right) \left( y_{max} - y_{min} \right).$$
(8)

330 331 332

333

334

335 336

337

338

339340341342343

344



Figure 4: **Example of autoregressive drift.** Without our proposed masking strategy in training, when generating only 10 frames, the scene will quickly corrupt.

**Temporal-aware Decoder.** For the predicted image tokens  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{T+1}$ , we retrieve the corresponding feature from the codebook  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times C}$  in the Temporal-aware Vector Quantized Tokenizer. Note that after the quantization layer we insert a temporal self-attention to enhance the temporal consistency.

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{T+1}^{i,j} = \text{lookup}(\mathcal{Z}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{T+1}^{i,j}), \ i \in [1, H], \ j \in [1, W].$$
(9)

### 3.4 LONG-TIME CONTROLLABLE GENERATION

Token Dropout for Drifting-free Autoregression. During training, the world model uses past 345 ground-truth tokens as conditioning to predict the next tokens. However, during inference, the model 346 must rely on previously generated tokens for conditioning, which may contain imperfections. Training 347 solely with perfect ground-truth images can lead to a content drifting problem during inference, 348 causing rapid degradation and eventual failure in the generated outputs. To address this, we propose a 349 random masking strategy (RMS), where some tokens from ground-truth tokens are randomly dropped 350 out. Each token has a 50% chance of being replaced by another random token in this frame, and this 351 dropout is applied to the entire conditioning image sequence with a probability of 30%. As shown in 352 Figure 4, this dropout strategy significantly mitigates the drifting issue during inference.

353 Balanced Attention For Precise Control. The world model utilizes extensive attention operations 354 to exchange and fuse information among tokens. However, each video frame in our experiments 355 contains 512 tokens, while only 2 tokens represent the ego pose (orientation and location). This 356 imbalance can cause the model to overlook pose signals, leading to unsatisfactory controllable 357 generation. To address this, we propose a balanced attention operation to achieve more precise 358 control by prioritizing ego state tokens in the attention mechanism, rather than attending to all tokens 359 equally. Specifically, we manually increase the weights of the orientation and location tokens in the 360 attention map (before the softmax layer), adding constant weights of 0.4 and 0.2, respectively, to 361 these tokens. Additionally, we incorporate QK-norm (Henry et al., 2020) and 2D-rotary positional encoding (Su et al., 2024) to further stabilize training and enhance performance. 362

363 364

365

# 4 EXPERIMENTS

# 366 4.1 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS367

368 Tokenizer and Decoder. The video tokenizer has 70M trainable parameters. The images are with size of  $256 \times 512$  and tokenized into 512 tokens. Considering 2 tokens for orientation and location 369 respectively, each state consists of 514 tokens. The size of adopted codebook is set to 16,384. The 370 model is trained for 1,000k steps with a total batch size of 128 distributed across 32 NVIDIA 4090 371 GPUs. The traing images are selected from Openimages (Kuznetsova et al., 2020), COCO (Lin et al., 372 2014), YoutubeDV (Zhang et al., 2022), and NuPlan (Caesar et al., 2021) datasets. We train the 373 temporal-aware VQ tokenizer and decoder using a combination of three loss functions: charbonnier 374 loss (Lai et al., 2018), perceptual loss from LPIPS (Zhang et al., 2018), and codebook loss (Van 375 Den Oord et al., 2017) (see Apendix for more details). 376

**World Model.** The world model module has 1 billion parameters and is trained on video sequences consisting of 16 frames. The first 15 frames serve as conditional inputs, with the final frame used for



Figure 5: Long time video generation. We present some videos generated by our method, each with 640 frames at 5Hz, i.e. 128 seconds. Please notice the coherent 3D scene structures captured by our method across different frames (please see the digital version).

supervision. All video clips are sampled at 5 Hz. With 514 tokens per image, the sequence consists of a total of 7,710 tokens. Training is conducted over 9 days, spanning 300k iterations with a fixed learning rate of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  and a batch size of 64, distributed across 64 NVIDIA A100 80GB GPUs. The model is trained on the NuPlan (Caesar et al., 2021) dataset, which comprises 120 hours of human driving data downsampled to 5 Hz. We segment each scene into 75-frame clips, discarding any that fall short of this length, resulting in a training set of 28,000 clips.

Evaluation Dataset and Metrics. We use 200 video clips from the NuPlan (Caesar et al., 2021)
 test dataset as our test set, with each clip containing 100 frames sampled at 5 Hz. Additionally, we include 150 video clips from the NuScenes (Caesar et al., 2020) test set as part of our evaluation. The quality of video generation is assessed using the Frechet Video Distance (FVD), and we also report the Frechet Inception Distance (FID) to evaluate image generation quality.

## 4.2 LONG TIME VIDEO GENERATION

One of the key advantages of our method is its ability to generate long-duration videos. As shown in Figure 5, we visualize one long-duration video generated by our model. By conditioning on just 15 frames, our model can generate up to 640 future frames at 5 Hz, resulting in 128-second videos with strong temporal consistency. These results demonstrate that our model maintains high video fidelity and preserves 3D structural integrity across the generated frames. In contrast, previous methods often struggle with drifting or degradation in long-duration videos. The ability to generate extended video sequences underscores our model's potential for tasks that require long-term predictions, such as autonomous driving or video synthesis in complex dynamic environments. 

## 4.3 COMPARISON WITH OTHER METHODS

Quantitative Comparison of Generated Videos. We provide the quantitative comparison with
several methods on the NuScenes (Caesar et al., 2020) dataset in Table 1. Since most methods are not
publicly available, we use the results reported in their respective papers for comparison. Our model
achieves competitive performance with a FID of 16.4 and an FVD of 174.4, despite being trained
exclusively on the NuPlan dataset (Caesar et al., 2021). Although Drive-WM and GenAN report
slightly better FID and FVD scores, they were both trained on the NuScenes dataset (Caesar et al., 2020). Moreover, our method is capable of generating significantly longer videos than them.

**Qualitative Comparison of Generated Videos.** We provide a qualitative comparison with Stable Video Diffusion (Blattmann et al., 2023), a well-known public video diffusion model, on the Nu-

Metric	DriveGAN (Santana & Hotz, 2016)	DriveDreamer (Wang et al., 2023)	WoVoGen (Lu et al., 2023)	Drive-WM (Wang et al., 2024a)	GenAD (Yang et al., 2024)	DrivingWorld Ours
FID ↓	73.4	52.6	27.6	15.8	15.4	16.4
FVD↓	502.3	452.0	417.7	122.7	184.0	174.4
Generation Length (s)	N/A	4	2.5	8	4	30
Zero-shot	X	X	X	X	×	1

### Table 1: Generation comparison on the NuScenes validation set.



Figure 6: **Comparison of SVD and ours.** We compare our method with SVD for generating 26 frames on a zero-shot NuScenes scene. In these moderately long-term videos, our method better preserves street lane details and maintains car identity more effectively.

Plan (Caesar et al., 2021) dataset. As shown in Figure 6, our generated videos demonstrate superior temporal consistency, particularly in maintaining details like street lanes and vehicles.

Quantitative Comparison of Image Tokenizers. We further evaluate our temporal-aware image tokenizer against those proposed in other works. Since the image tokenizer is part of a VQVAE, we assess the encoding-decoding performance of these VQVAEs instead. The experiments, conducted on the NuPlan (Caesar et al., 2021) dataset, are summarized in Table 2. The VQVAE models from VAR (Tian et al., 2024) and VQGAN (Esser et al., 2021) demonstrate reasonable image quality in terms of PSNR and LPIPS scores, but both fall short on FID and FVD metrics. In contrast, Llama-Gen's VQVAE (Sun et al., 2024) shows significant improvements in FID and FVD scores. After fine-tuning it on driving scenes, we observe further enhancements in FVD performance. Ultimately, our temporal-aware VOVAE outperforms all others, enhancing temporal consistency and achieving the best scores across all four metrics.

Table 2: Quantitative comparison of different VQVAE methods. The evaluations are performed on the  $256 \times 512$  NuPlan datasets.

VQVAE Methods	$ $ FVD <sub>12</sub> $\downarrow$	$FID\downarrow$	$PSNR \uparrow$	LPIPS $\downarrow$
VAR	164.66	11.75	22.35	0.2018
VQGAN	156.58	8.46	21.52	0.2602
Llama-Gen	57.78	5.99	22.31	0.2054
Llama-Gen Finetuned	20.33	5.19	22.71	0.1909
Temporal-aware (Ours)	14.66	4.29	23.82	0.1828

4.4 Ablation Study

**Setting.** Due to the prolonged training time and computational costs, we experiment on a smaller dataset for the ablation study. We extract 12 hours of video data from the NuPlan (Caesar et al., 2021)

486 dataset for training, and select 20 videos from NuPlan (Caesar et al., 2021) test sets to create our 487 testing data. All ablation experiments are conducted on 32 NVIDIA A100 80GB GPUs with a total 488 batch size of 3. Each model is trained from scratch for 50,000 iterations, requiring approximately 96 489 GPU hours.

490 Model Structure w/ and w/o Random Masking Strategy. To evaluate the impact of our random 491 masking strategy on model robustness, we experiment model training with and without random token 492 masking. This masking process simulates potential prediction errors during inference, enhancing the 493 model's ability to handle noise. As shown in Table 3, the model trained without masking experiences 494 a significant performance decline on NuPlan (Caesar et al., 2021) dataset, particularly in long term 495 videos where inference errors are more prevalent as we can see from the  $FVD_{40}$  scores. Generally 496 speaking, disabling masking results in a substantial increase in FVD, with a rise of 4 to 32 percent across different scenarios, indicating poor generalization and reduced robustness against noisy inputs. 497

498 Table 3: Comparison of w/ and w/o Random Mask- Table 4: Performance comparison between 499 ing Strategy. Removing the random masking strategy our method and GPT-2. Our method not 500 during training ("w/o Masking") leads to drifting, re- only improves efficiency but also produces sulting in degraded performance on NuPlan dataset. better results on NuPlan dataset. 502

Methods	$\text{FVD}_{10}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{FVD}_{25}\downarrow$	$\text{FVD}_{40}\downarrow$	Methods	$FVD_{10}\downarrow$	$\text{FVD}_{25}\downarrow$	$FVD_{40}\downarrow$
<i>w/o</i> Masking	449.40	595.49	662.60	GPT-2	2976.97	3505.22	4017.15
Ours	<b>445.22</b>	<b>574.57</b>	<b>637.60</b>	Ours	<b>445.22</b>	<b>574.57</b>	<b>637.60</b>

Table 5: Memory usage (GB) analysis of our method and vanilla GPT. Our method consumes much lower GPU memory than vanilla GPT structure.

Num. of frames	5	6	7	8	9	10	15
vanilla GPT	31.555	39.305	47.237	55.604	66.169	77.559	OOM
Ours	21.927	24.815	26.987	29.877	31.219	34.325	45.873

513 **Discussion With Vanilla GPT structure.** We compare the memory usage of our Driving-514 Worldstructure with the vanilla GPT architecture, specifically GPT-2, which processes tokens sequen-515 tially across all frames during inference. GPT-2's serial token prediction slows down performance, 516 significantly increasing computational burden and memory usage. As shown in Table 5, GPT-2's 517 memory consumption grows quadratically with sequence length, making it inefficient for long se-518 quences. In contrast, our method separates temporal and multimodal dependencies, allowing for 519 more efficient representation and computation. As sequence lengths increase, our model maintains 520 stable computational costs and memory usage, avoiding the sharp scaling seen in GPT-2. Moreover, our approach not only enhances efficiency but also improves result quality. As shown in Table 4, our model outperforms GPT-2 in FVD scores on NuPlan (Caesar et al., 2021) dataset. 522

#### 5 **CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK**

526 In conclusion, DrivingWorld addressed the limitations of previous video generation models in 527 autonomous driving by leveraging a GPT-style framework to produce longer, high-fidelity video 528 predictions with improved generalization. Unlike traditional methods that struggle with coherence 529 in long sequences or rely heavily on labeled data, DrivingWorld generated realistic, structured video sequences while enabling precise action control. Compared to the classic GPT structure, our 530 proposed spatial-temporal GPT structure adopts next-state-prediction strategy to model temporal 531 coherence between consecutive frames and then apply next-token-prediction strategy to capture spatial 532 information within a frame. Looking ahead, we plan to incorporate more multimodal information 533 and integrate multiple visual inputs. By fusing data from various modalities and viewpoints, we 534 aim to improve action control and video generation accuracy, enhancing the model's ability to 535 understand complex driving environments and further boosting the overall performance and reliability 536 of autonomous driving systems.

537 538

508

521

523 524

# 540 REFERENCES

547

567

- Arthur Argenson and Gabriel Dulac-Arnold. Model-based offline planning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.05556, 2020.
- Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Sumith Kulal, Daniel Mendelevitch, Maciej Kilian, Dominik
  Lorenz, Yam Levi, Zion English, Vikram Voleti, Adam Letts, et al. Stable video diffusion: Scaling
  latent video diffusion models to large datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15127*, 2023.
- Tom B Brown. Language models are few-shot learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14165*, 2020.
- Holger Caesar, Varun Bankiti, Alex H Lang, Sourabh Vora, Venice Erin Liong, Qiang Xu, Anush Krishnan, Yu Pan, Giancarlo Baldan, and Oscar Beijbom. nuscenes: A multimodal dataset for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 11621–11631, 2020.
- Holger Caesar, Juraj Kabzan, Kok Seang Tan, Whye Kit Fong, Eric Wolff, Alex Lang, Luke Fletcher,
   Oscar Beijbom, and Sammy Omari. nuplan: A closed-loop ml-based planning benchmark for
   autonomous vehicles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.11810*, 2021.
- Christopher Diehl, Timo Sievernich, Martin Krüger, Frank Hoffmann, and Torsten Bertram. Umbrella:
   Uncertainty-aware model-based offline reinforcement learning leveraging planning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.11097*, 2021.
- Christopher Diehl, Timo Sebastian Sievernich, Martin Krüger, Frank Hoffmann, and Torsten Bertram.
   Uncertainty-aware model-based offline reinforcement learning for automated driving. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 8(2):1167–1174, 2023.
- Patrick Esser, Robin Rombach, and Bjorn Ommer. Taming transformers for high-resolution image
   synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*,
   pp. 12873–12883, 2021.
- Yanchen Guan, Haicheng Liao, Zhenning Li, Jia Hu, Runze Yuan, Yunjian Li, Guohui Zhang, and
   Chengzhong Xu. World models for autonomous driving: An initial survey. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, 2024.
- Danijar Hafner, Timothy Lillicrap, Jimmy Ba, and Mohammad Norouzi. Dream to control: Learning behaviors by latent imagination. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.01603*, 2019.
- 574 Danijar Hafner, Timothy Lillicrap, Mohammad Norouzi, and Jimmy Ba. Mastering atari with discrete 575 world models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02193*, 2020.
- Danijar Hafner, Jurgis Pasukonis, Jimmy Ba, and Timothy Lillicrap. Mastering diverse domains through world models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.04104*, 2023.
- Ligong Han, Jian Ren, Hsin-Ying Lee, Francesco Barbieri, Kyle Olszewski, Shervin Minaee, Dimitris
  Metaxas, and Sergey Tulyakov. Show me what and tell me how: Video synthesis via multimodal
  conditioning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 3615–3625, 2022.
- 583
   584
   584
   585
   Mikael Henaff, Alfredo Canziani, and Yann LeCun. Model-predictive policy learning with uncertainty regularization for driving in dense traffic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.02705*, 2019.
- Alex Henry, Prudhvi Raj Dachapally, Shubham Pawar, and Yuxuan Chen. Query-key normalization
   for transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.04245*, 2020.
- Anthony Hu, Lloyd Russell, Hudson Yeo, Zak Murez, George Fedoseev, Alex Kendall, Jamie Shotton, and Gianluca Corrado. Gaia-1: A generative world model for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17080*, 2023.
- Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A Efros. Image-to-image translation with
   conditional adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 1125–1134, 2017.

594 595 596 597	Alina Kuznetsova, Hassan Rom, Neil Alldrin, Jasper Uijlings, Ivan Krasin, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Shahab Kamali, Stefan Popov, Matteo Malloci, Alexander Kolesnikov, et al. The open images dataset v4: Unified image classification, object detection, and visual relationship detection at scale. <i>International journal of computer vision</i> , 128(7):1956–1981, 2020.
598 599 600 601	Wei-Sheng Lai, Jia-Bin Huang, Narendra Ahuja, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Fast and accurate image super-resolution with deep laplacian pyramid networks. <i>IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence</i> , 41(11):2599–2613, 2018.
602 603	Yann LeCun. A path towards autonomous machine intelligence version 0.9. 2, 2022-06-27. <i>Open Review</i> , 62(1):1–62, 2022.
604 605 606 607 608	Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In <i>Computer Vision–</i> <i>ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings,</i> <i>Part V 13</i> , pp. 740–755. Springer, 2014.
609 610 611	Jiachen Lu, Ze Huang, Jiahui Zhang, Zeyu Yang, and Li Zhang. WoVoGen: World Volume-Aware Dif- fusion for Controllable Multi-Camera Driving Scene Generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02934</i> , 2023.
612 613	Alec Radford. Improving language understanding by generative pre-training. 2018.
614 615	Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. <i>OpenAI blog</i> , 1(8):9, 2019.
616 617 618	Aditya Ramesh, Mikhail Pavlov, Gabriel Goh, Scott Gray, Chelsea Voss, Alec Radford, Mark Chen, and Ilya Sutskever. Zero-shot text-to-image generation. In <i>International conference on machine learning</i> , pp. 8821–8831. Pmlr, 2021.
619 620 621	Eder Santana and George Hotz. Learning a Driving Simulator. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.01230</i> , 2016.
622 623 624	Ivan Skorokhodov, Sergey Tulyakov, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Stylegan-v: A continuous video generator with the price, image quality and perks of stylegan2. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 3626–3636, 2022.
625 626 627	Jianlin Su, Murtadha Ahmed, Yu Lu, Shengfeng Pan, Wen Bo, and Yunfeng Liu. Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. <i>Neurocomputing</i> , 568:127063, 2024.
628 629 630	Peize Sun, Yi Jiang, Shoufa Chen, Shilong Zhang, Bingyue Peng, Ping Luo, and Zehuan Yuan. Autoregressive model beats diffusion: Llama for scalable image generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06525</i> , 2024.
631 632 633	Keyu Tian, Yi Jiang, Zehuan Yuan, Bingyue Peng, and Liwei Wang. Visual autoregressive modeling: Scalable image generation via next-scale prediction. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.02905</i> , 2024.
634 635 636	Yu Tian, Jian Ren, Menglei Chai, Kyle Olszewski, Xi Peng, Dimitris N Metaxas, and Sergey Tulyakov. A good image generator is what you need for high-resolution video synthesis. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.15069</i> , 2021.
637 638 639 640	Aaron Van den Oord, Nal Kalchbrenner, Lasse Espeholt, Oriol Vinyals, Alex Graves, et al. Conditional image generation with pixelcnn decoders. <i>Advances in neural information processing systems</i> , 29, 2016.
641 642	Aäron Van Den Oord, Nal Kalchbrenner, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. Pixel recurrent neural networks. In <i>International conference on machine learning</i> , pp. 1747–1756. PMLR, 2016.
643 644 645	Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30, 2017.
646 647	Xiaofeng Wang, Zheng Zhu, Guan Huang, Xinze Chen, Jiagang Zhu, and Jiwen Lu. Drivedreamer: Towards real-world-driven world models for autonomous driving. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.09777</i> , 2023.

648	
010	Yuqi Wang, Jiawei He, Lue Fan, Hongxin Li, Yuntao Chen, and Zhaoxiang Zhang. Driving into the
649	Future: Multiview Visual Forecasting and Planning with World Model for Autonomous Driving.
650	In Proc. IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2024a.
651	

- Yuqi Wang, Jiawei He, Lue Fan, Hongxin Li, Yuntao Chen, and Zhaoxiang Zhang. Driving into the future: Multiview visual forecasting and planning with world model for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 14749–14759, 2024b.
- Philipp Wu, Alejandro Escontrela, Danijar Hafner, Pieter Abbeel, and Ken Goldberg. Daydreamer:
  World models for physical robot learning. In *Conference on robot learning*, pp. 2226–2240. PMLR, 2023.
- Wilson Yan, Yunzhi Zhang, Pieter Abbeel, and Aravind Srinivas. Videogpt: Video generation using vq-vae and transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.10157*, 2021.
- Jiazhi Yang, Shenyuan Gao, Yihang Qiu, Li Chen, Tianyu Li, Bo Dai, Kashyap Chitta, Penghao
  Wu, Jia Zeng, Ping Luo, Jun Zhang, Andreas Geiger, Yu Qiao, and Hongyang Li. Generalized
  Predictive Model for Autonomous Driving. In *Proc. IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2024.
- Jiahui Yu, Xin Li, Jing Yu Koh, Han Zhang, Ruoming Pang, James Qin, Alexander Ku, Yuanzhong Xu, Jason Baldridge, and Yonghui Wu. Vector-quantized image modeling with improved vqgan.
   *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.04627*, 2021.
- Jiahui Yu, Yuanzhong Xu, Jing Yu Koh, Thang Luong, Gunjan Baid, Zirui Wang, Vijay Vasudevan,
  Alexander Ku, Yinfei Yang, Burcu Karagol Ayan, et al. Scaling autoregressive models for contentrich text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.10789*, 2(3):5, 2022a.
- Sihyun Yu, Jihoon Tack, Sangwoo Mo, Hyunsu Kim, Junho Kim, Jung-Woo Ha, and Jinwoo Shin.
   Generating videos with dynamics-aware implicit generative adversarial networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.10571*, 2022b.
- Qihang Zhang, Zhenghao Peng, and Bolei Zhou. Learning to drive by watching youtube videos:
   Action-conditioned contrastive policy pretraining. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*,
   pp. 111–128. Springer, 2022.
- Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable
   effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 586–595, 2018.
- Chuanxia Zheng, Tung-Long Vuong, Jianfei Cai, and Dinh Phung. Movq: Modulating quantized vectors for high-fidelity image generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:23412–23425, 2022.

696 697

699 700

# 702 A APPENDIX

We present more experiments in the appendix and provide additional video results on the website
(Click here to see more videos).

A.1 DIFFERENT CONDITION FRAMES

To investigate the effect of varying the number of condition frames on model performance, we conduct a series of experiments by gradually increasing the length of the condition frames used during training 710 and inference. We extract 12 hours of video data from the NuPlan dataset for training, and select 711 20 videos from each of the NuScenes and NuPlan test sets to create our testing data, referred to 712 as NuScenes-Small and NuPlan-Small, respectively. As shown in Table 6, the model consistently 713 improves as the number of condition frames increases. Specifically, when fewer condition frames 714 are used, the model struggles to capture long-term dependencies. In contrast, with longer condition 715 frames, the model has more temporal context to work with, allowing it to better understand the 716 environment and generate more precise outputs. 717

Table 6: Ablation the amount of condition frames. DrivingWorld generates better videos (lower FVD error) when conditioning more frames.

Number of	N	uscene-Sma	.11	Nuplan-Small				
Condition Frames	$\text{FVD}_{10}\downarrow$	$FVD_{25}\downarrow$	$\text{FVD}_{40}\downarrow$	$FVD_{10}\downarrow$	$FVD_{25}\downarrow$	$FVD_{40}\downarrow$		
5	475.14	802.35	1113.81	494.86	597.95	679.05		
10	448.93	719.57	965.62	449.29	577.54	646.60		
15	440.27	695.26	933.13	445.22	574.57	637.60		
25	360.55	546.11	721.56	400.94	512.73	580.10		

718

719

708

# A.2 THE EFFECT OF INTRA-STATE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODULE

To assess the impact of the final intra-state autoregressive (AR) module on our DrivingWorld's overall 729 performance, we perform an ablation study by removing this module from the model structure. Thus 730 future state's tokens are predicted simultaneously. The experimental results, as summarized in Table 7, 731 indicate that the absence of the AR module leads to a noticeable decrease in performance across 732 FVD metric. Note that 'Baseline-w/o AR' and 'Ours' have comparable model size. Specifically, 733 removing the AR module results in an increase from 18% to 71% in FVD metric, which suggests 734 that the module plays a crucial role in capturing sequential dependencies and refining the final output 735 predictions in the long-term generation. 736

Table 7: Ablation the effect of intra-state autoregressive module. 'Baseline-w/o AR' removes the
intra-state autoregressive module and generates all next-state tokens simultaneously, while 'Ours'
autoregressively generates next-state tokens, which have much lower FVD error.

Methods	N	uscene-Sma	մll	Nuplan-Small			
	$FVD_{10}\downarrow$	$\text{FVD}_{25}\downarrow$	$\text{FVD}_{40}\downarrow$	$FVD_{10}\downarrow$	$FVD_{25}\downarrow$	$FVD_{40}\downarrow$	
Baseline-w/o AR Ours	523.53 440.27	1052.30 695.26	1601.36 933.13	525.04 445.22	729.75 574.57	1007.91 637.60	

# A.3 SCALING LAW OF OUR DrivingWorld.

To investigate the scaling law of our model, we conducted a series of ablation experiments by progressively scaling up the number of parameters in the model. As shown in Table 8, increasing the model size consistently leads to improved performance. In smaller models, the limited capacity hinders the ability to fully capture the complexity of the data, resulting in suboptimal performance, especially on long-term generation.

752 753

754

746

A.4 MODEL STRUCTURE *w*/ AND *w*/*o* RANDOM MASKING STRATEGY

To evaluate the impact of our random masking strategy on model robustness, we experiment model training with and without random token masking. This masking process simulates potential prediction

Table 8: Experiment of scaling law of our model. We compare three different model sizes (i.e. 10M, 100M, 1B). Larger model can generate much better videos on Nuscense and Nuplan-small datasets.

Methods	N	uscene-Sma	d1	Nuplan-Small			
	$FVD_{10}\downarrow$	$FVD_{25}\downarrow$	$\text{FVD}_{40}\downarrow$	$FVD_{10}\downarrow$	$FVD_{25}\downarrow$	$\text{FVD}_{40}\downarrow$	
10M	654.95	1248.53	1817.82	816.39	1003.03	1262.31	
100M	463.72	809.02	1120.30	481.25	609.20	915.01	
1B	440.27	695.26	933.13	445.22	574.57	637.60	

errors during inference, enhancing the model's ability to handle noise. As shown in Table 9, the model trained without masking experiences a significant performance decline on Nuscene dataset, particularly in long term videos where inference errors are more prevalent as we can see from the FVD<sub>40</sub> scores.

#### A.5 DISCUSSION WITH VANILLA GPT STRUCTURE

We compare the performance of our DrivingWorldstructure with the vanilla GPT architecture, specifi-cally GPT-2, which processes tokens sequentially across all frames during inference. As shown in Table 10, our model outperforms GPT-2 in FVD scores on Nuscene dataset. 

Table 9: Effect of Random Masking Strategy. Re- Table 10: Performance comparison be-moving the random masking strategy during training tween our method and GPT-2. Our method ("w/o Masking") leads to drifting, resulting in degraded not only improves efficiency but also pro-performance on Nuscene dataset. 

duces better results on Nuscense dataset.

Methods	$\text{FVD}_{10}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{FVD}_{25}\downarrow$	$\text{FVD}_{40}\downarrow$	Methods	$FVD_{10}\downarrow$	$\text{FVD}_{25}\downarrow$	$\text{FVD}_{40}\downarrow$
<i>w/o</i> Masking	450.04	711.01	965.16	GPT-2	2122.24	3200.29	4063.26
Ours	<b>440.27</b>	<b>695.26</b>	<b>933.13</b>	Ours	<b>440.27</b>	<b>695.26</b>	<b>933.13</b>

### A.6 LONG-TERM GENERATION

To demonstrate the long-time generation ability of our *DrivingWorld*, we create more long-time generation videos, which are enclosed in the supplementary materials. Condition frames are marked with white borders, while generated frames are in red borders.