L³B – <u>Lies Lie in Linguistic Behavior: Learning Verbal Indicators for</u> Content Veracity Classification

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

Unverified content poses significant challenges by disrupting content veracity and integrity, thereby making effective content classification approaches crucial. Currently, content veracity classification methods primarily use supervised machine learning models, which, despite high accuracy, lack generalizability due to heavy reliance on raw content data. To address this issue, we propose a behavior-aware classification model (L³B) leveraging latent linguistic behavior and external social context to extract contextually grounded features, reducing reliance on content data and sensitivity to data biases. First, we extract the verbal features from news content as linguistic behavior features and capture nuanced behavior indicators of content veracity. Then, a knowledge-based linking scheme is designed to incorporate social context, aligning extracted verbs with those derived from linked social context using semantic similarity. Finally, we feed the textual, behavioral, and contextual features into a Transformer-based classifier to fuse these features and then classify the content veracity (i.e., high or low veracity). Experimental results on public datasets demonstrate that our model outperforms most advanced classification approaches and has improved generalizability across diverse datasets, highlighting the effectiveness and robustness of our proposed model.

1 Introduction

003

005

009

011

013

017

022

026

035

040

042

043

Nowadays, with the rapid rise of social media platforms, such as X (Twitter), Instagram, and TikTok, an increasing number of individuals heavily rely on these online platforms for communication, information dissemination, and education, especially during the pandemic (Tsao et al., 2021). Though the conveniences brought by social media, the content veracity of information disseminated still falls short of media standards and social expectations, compared to traditional media platforms, e.g., television and newspapers (Shu et al., 2017; Zhou and Zafarani, 2020). A large volume of unverified or distorted content is easily produced and propagated through social media platforms (Ahmed et al., 2022), especially using artificial intelligence tools to fabricate news content (Zhou et al., 2023). Given that content veracity refers to the degree to which a news or article aligns with authenticity, it plays a critical role in maintaining content integrity, where low-veracity content (e.g., spam, rumor, false information, etc.) has significant negative impacts on individual and society, such as social trust, government authority, and information credibility (Thorson et al., 2010; Bhattarai et al., 2021; Mazzeo et al., 2021). Consequently, addressing low-veracity content propagation has become crucial in the areas of social media, mass communication, and public health. Technically, automatic models are developed to identify and classify the low-veracity content on social media platforms, thereby mitigating the negative impacts brought by low-veracity content (Guo et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023; Shi et al., 2023).

044

045

046

047

051

055

058

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

078

079

081

While content veracity classification methods have achieved significant advancements, these methods still struggle with feature complexity, dataset biases, and generalizability issues across different application scenarios (Zubiaga et al., 2018; Abdali et al., 2024). High-quality annotated data is scarce (Bondielli and Marcelloni, 2019), leading to existing models compromising classification performance on unseen content data. To overcome these limitations, efficient, unbiased, and scalable classification frameworks for content veracity are needed, which are capable of adapting to new instances and social contexts.

To address these issues, in this paper, we integrate social content and context features for developing an efficient and scalable content veracity classification model ($L^{3}B$). By exploring these additional features, our model can reduce bias and reliance across different datasets. Specially, our 5 contributions are summarized below:

092

100

101

102

103

104

105

107

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

121

122

123

124

125

126

128

129

130

131

132

- Firstly, we extract the verb features from news content as linguistic behavior features and capture nuanced behavior indicators of content veracity.
 - Secondly, a knowledge-based linking scheme is designed to incorporate social context, further refining linguistic behavior features and mitigating classification bias and distribution shifts.
 - Finally, we feed the text, linguistic behavior, and social context features into a transformerbased classifier to fuse these features and classify content veracity.
 - Experimental results on public datasets demonstrate that our model outperforms most advanced classification approaches, highlighting the effectiveness and scalability of our proposed model.

2 Related Work

2.1 Content-based methods

Traditional classification methods focus on internal news content features and external fact-checking resources to detect content veracity (Vlachos and Riedel, 2014; Hassan et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2022). For instance, the fact-checking approaches can identify and classify the low-veracity content by using the external knowledge sources to fact-check the news content (Etzioni et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2014; Shi and Weninger, 2016; Vo and Lee, 2018). However, these fact-checking approaches are timeconsuming and demand human annotations, limiting the scalability and efficiency in content veracity classification.

Today, machine learning (ML) and natural language processing (NLP) methods (Kadhim, 2019; Su et al., 2020) have emerged as advanced tools to classify news text into one or more predefined classes, such as true or false. Traditional ML methods, such as support vector machine, random forest, and decision tree, are commonly used in news content classification; however, these methods usually require hand-crafted features and struggle with complex text features, thus compromising performance (Minaee et al., 2021). Along with neural networks being boosted, deep learning frameworks have further enhanced the classification performance by extracting complex content features and capturing nuanced semantic features, such 133 as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) (Kim, 134 2014; Wang, 2017; Ruchansky et al., 2017; Guo 135 et al., 2019; Kaliyar et al., 2020), recurrent neu-136 ral networks (RNNs) (Ruchansky et al., 2017; Ma 137 et al., 2016), and long short-term memory (LSTM) 138 (Sachan et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020). Kaliyar et al. 139 (Kaliyar et al., 2020) proposed a deep CNN model 140 for binary classification (i.e., true or false) of news 141 content compared to classical CNN and LSTM 142 structures, where it explores pre-trained word em-143 bedding and multiple hidden layers to extract text 144 features. In addition, attention networks integrated 145 different features extracted from different latent as-146 pects of news articles to improve classification ac-147 curacy (Yang et al., 2016; Mishra and Setty, 2019; 148 Linmei et al., 2019; Sun and Lu, 2020; Yun et al., 149 2023; Kim and Hwang, 2024). For example, Yang 150 et al. (Yang et al., 2016) proposed a hierarchi-151 cal attention network (HAN) to capture the hier-152 archical structure of documents and employ the 153 word-level and sentence-level attentions. Kim et 154 al. (Kim and Hwang, 2024) employed attention 155 mechanisms to identify semantically similar words 156 within sentences and then augment these sentences 157 using synonym replacements. Additionally, graph 158 convolutional networks (GCNs) (Yao et al., 2018; 159 Haider Rizvi et al., 2025) have been applied to tex-160 tual content classification tasks, which construct 161 document-level and corpus-level graphs to learn re-162 lationships among words, documents, and corpus. 163

With the aid of pre-trained knowledge embeddings, the transformer-based models have advanced the classification accuracy of low-veracity content in news articles (Liu et al., 2019; Croce et al., 2020; Kaliyar et al., 2021; Xiong et al., 2021; Van Nooten and Daelemans, 2025). Combining the bidirectional encoder representations from transformers (BERT) (Devlin et al., 2019) with a CNN structure, Kaliyar et al. (Kaliyar et al., 2021) proposed a BERT-based news classification model, where it inputs the BERT embeddings into one-dimensional CNN layers and thus classifies news documents using local features and global dependencies. Along with the data structure and modality extending, multimodal approaches are proposed to handle more intricate classification tasks for content veracity across text, image, video, audio data, or multiple languages (Conneau and Lample, 2019; Segura-Bedmar and Alonso-Bartolome, 2022; Abdali et al., 2024; Wu et al., 2024; Zeng et al., 2024). For example, Wu et al. (Wu et al., 2024) emphasized the sub-

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

stantive content over stylistic features, using Large
Language Models (LLMs) to reframe news articles and focus on content veracity. Though LLMs
emerged with powerful capability of processing
multimodal features, LLMs still require a large volume of data to update the known knowledge and
maintain performance and reliability.

2.2 Context-based methods

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

206

207

210

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

221

222

226

235

For further exploiting the source and content features to classify content veracity, the credibilitybased methods (Popat, 2017; Zhang et al., 2018; Deng et al., 2025) were proposed, which could extract the source and content credibility features to identify high-veracity news from unreliable ones, thereby enhancing model performance. To explore the user behavior, engagements, and interactions on social media, the social relationship-aware approaches (Shu et al., 2019; Ghenai and Mejova, 2018; Shu et al., 2020; Dou et al., 2021; Teng et al., 2022; Su et al., 2023) were proposed, which can capture the users' relationships, news content, and dissemination patterns to improve classification accuracy. For instance, Shu et al. (Shu et al., 2019) presented a tri-relationship-based veracity classification framework of false news content (TriFN), where TriFN explores the tri-relationship among publishers, news pieces, and users to differentiate false and true articles. Zhang et al. (Zhang et al., 2024) explored the heterogeneous subgraph transformer (HeteroSGT) to classify articles via the heterogeneous graph by unearthing the relationships among news topics, entities, and content.

To understand the propagation patterns of low-veracity content within social networks, the network-based methods (Zhou and Zafarani, 2019) were suggested, where these methods focus on the interactions among spreaders and their influence on information propagation. Ma et al. (Ma et al., 2018) presented tree-structured recursive neural networks to model the propagation pattern of tweets for detecting rumors on social media. Typically, graphbased approaches were proposed (Bian et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2022) to explore the potential of graph structure in modeling social context structures, including knowledge-driven (Wang et al., 2018; Dun et al., 2021), propagation-based (Zhu et al., 2024), and context-aware approaches (Shang et al., 2024; Li et al., 2025). For instance, the propagation-based models (Zhu et al., 2024) focus on the dynamics of information dissemination within social networks, therefore identifying content veracity based on dissemination patterns.

3 Methodology

In this section, we first introduce the fundamental framework of our proposed $L^{3}B$ model, as shown in Figure 1. Next, the verb-extraction module will be presented for extracting verbs from news content and then deriving the verb-based linguistic behavior features. Then, we adopt a knowledge-based linking scheme to incorporate social context features for refining linguistic behavior features, based on the similarity between the extracted verbs and social context verbs. Finally, the combined features, including content features, behavior features, and context features, are input into a transformer-based classifier to classify content veracity, where these features will be fused and then fed into the final layer.

3.1 Definitions

News articles usually involve most of the practical elements, such as sentiment, behavior, interaction, etc. Generally, these elements will be represented by nouns, verbs, adjectives, etc., or hidden behind the words and sentences in the article content. In addition, these elements will cover rich local semantic features and global context information.

For content veracity classification, in this paper, we model content veracity classification as a binary classification function:

$$f(n_i) \to y_i \tag{1}$$

using a set of labeled training news content data, i.e.,

$$D_{\text{train}} = \{(n_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^{|D_{\text{train}}|}$$
(2)

 y_i is the veracity label of the news article n_i , i.e., 0 for low-veracity content and 1 for high-veracity content. *i* is the *i*-th article, and $|D_{\text{train}}|$ is the total number of articles in the training dataset. We aim at learning the classification function:

$$f(n_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{y}_i \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{y}_i \in \{0, 1\}$ denotes the predicted probability274of the article content being 1 (i.e., high-veracity275content) and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is the learnable parameter vector.276By minimizing the following objective function,277our proposed model can predict the veracity of278

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

266

267

268

269

270

271

272



Figure 1: An illustration of our proposed L³B pipeline

unseen content instances:

279

281

287

290

291

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{|D_{\text{train}}|} \sum_{i=1}^{|D_{\text{train}}|} \left[y_i \log(\hat{y}_i) + (1-y_i) \log(1-\hat{y}_i) \right]$$
(4)

3.2 Linguistic behavior extraction

To extract and represent the linguistic behaviors from article content, we define verbs as the indicators of linguistic behavior. For each article n_i , the verb set V_i is extracted using the spaCy toolkit: $V_i = \{v_i^1, v_i^2, \dots, v_i^m\}$, where v_i^j is the *j*-th verb vector in n_i and *m* is the number of extracted verbs in article n_i . Technically, these extracted verbs are explored to explicitly represent linguistic behaviors inherent in n_i . To quantify the verb feature, we adopt TF-IDF to represent the importance of each verb.

$$TF-IDF(\boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{j}) = TF(\boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{j}, n_{i}) \times \log\left(\frac{|D_{train}|}{|\{n_{k} \in D_{train} : \boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{j} \in n_{k}\}| + 1}\right)$$
(5)

296 Where TF-IDF (v_i^j) is the frequency of verb v_i^j in 297 news article n_i .

3.3 Social context incorporation

To incorporate social context information, we design a knowledge-based linking scheme to embed the social context features. Here, the Sentence-Transformer model (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019) is exploited to generate contextual embeddings and then access the similarity between extracted verbs V_i and contextual verbs in a predefined social context verb set V_{context} . We adopt cosine similarity to quantify the semantic similarity between V_i and $V_{context}$:

$$s_{ij} = \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_{\text{context}}^j}{\|\boldsymbol{v}_i\| \|\boldsymbol{v}_{\text{context}}^j\|}$$
(6)

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

where $v_i \in V_i$ is the embedding of extracted verbs in the content from article n_i . $v_{\text{context}}^j \in V_{\text{context}}$ is the embedding of *j*-th verb in the verb set V_{context} . Then, the top-*k* embedding features from linked knowledge base with the highest s_{ij} values for each article n_i , i.e.,

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(n_i) = \operatorname{Top}_k\left(\{s_{ij}\}_{j=1}^k\right) \tag{7}$$

Here, $k \in |V_{\text{context}}|$, and $|V_{\text{context}}|$ is the total number of verbs in the context verb set V_{context} .

3.4 Feature fusion scheme

In this section, we introduce the feature fusion 320 scheme in the proposed model L³B. Multifaceted 321

- 325
- 327

332

335

336

- 339
- 340 341

342

343

344

345

354



where $oldsymbol{\phi}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_\phi}$ and d_ϕ is the dimension of the

(8)

3.5 **Transformer-based classifier**

fused feature vector.

To classify content veracity, the fused features ϕ_i are fed into a Transformer-based classifier, where a multi-head self-attention mechanism is employed to capture the local features and global relations among different features. More specifically, multihead attention is derived as follows:

$$Multihead(\phi_i) = Concat(head_1, \dots, head_H)\boldsymbol{W},$$
(9)

features are fused before content veracity classifi-

• Content feature ϕ_c : extracted from raw con-

• Behavior feature $\phi_{\rm b}$: TF-IDF vectors of V_i derived from the extracted verbs from article

• Context feature ϕ_k : embedding features

from social context knowledge linking.

Combining these feature representations for each

article n_i , we form the fused feature embeddings

 $\boldsymbol{\phi}_i = [\boldsymbol{\phi}_c, \boldsymbol{\phi}_b, \boldsymbol{\phi}_k],$

cation, which includes:

tent text.

content.

as:

where each head is computed by:

head_h = Attention(
$$Q_h, K_h, V_h$$
)
= softmax $\left(\frac{Q_h K_h^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right) V_h$ (10)

In which $Q_h = ZW_h^Q$, $K_h = ZW_h^K$, and $V_h = ZW_h^V$ are the query, key, and value matrices, respectively, and W, W_h^Q, W_h^K , and W_h^V are the learnable parameter matrices. h is the hth attention head, and H is the total number of attention heads used in the multi-head attention mechanism.

Following the Transformer encoder, the final hidden representation is passed through a fully connected (FC) layer to generate the prediction logits:

$$\hat{y}_i = \sigma(\text{FC}(\text{Transformer}(\boldsymbol{\phi}_i)))$$
(11)

Content veracity classification 3.6

Finally, \hat{y}_i is obtained by setting a threshold of 0.5 to the predicted label probability, i.e.,

$$y_i^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \hat{y}_i < 0.5, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(12) 38

360

361

362

364

365

366

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

377

378

380

381

382

383

384

386

387

388

390

391

392

393

394

395

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

Here, y_i^* is the predicted label of news content. We employ the cross-entropy loss to optimize the training process, using the Adam optimizer, mixedprecision training, and early stopping to effectively reach convergence.

4 **Experiments**

In this section, we conduct extensive comparison experiments on four public datasets collected from real-world scenarios, and experimental results demonstrate that our models have superior performance and efficiency than most tested models. We first introduce the experimental setup, including the datasets and tested models. Then, we report the experiment results and analyze these results for further exploration. Furthermore, the ablation study shows the modules contributing to the performance improvement.

4.1 Experimental setup

Datasets. For conducting the extensive experiments, we use four datasets to broadly test our model, compared to other advanced models, including health datasets (MM COVID (Li et al., 2020) and RoCOVery (Zhou et al., 2020)), news content dataset (LIAR (Wang, 2017)), and multi-domain dataset (MC Fake(Min et al., 2022)). The statistics of these four datasets are listed in Table 1.

Experimental Models. To fairly conduct the comparison experiments, we compared our proposed model with five other models, only using textual data without any additional modalities. The tested methods include a CNN-based model (Kim, 2014), a GCN-based model (Yao et al., 2018), HAN (Yang et al., 2016), BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), and HeteroSGT (Zhang et al., 2024). More specifically, the CNN-based model employs CNN layers to extract text features from article content and then uses the extracted features to classify content veracity. The GCN-based model explores the weighted graph built on news articles, which uses a GCN for content classification. HAN applies word-level and sentence-level features in news content for content veracity classification. BERT is

where σ denotes the sigmoid activation function. 359

Dataset	# Label 0 #	Label 1	# Total A	Avg. Length (words)
MM COVID	1,888	1,162	3,048	25
RoCOVery	605	1,294	1,899	500
LIAR	2,507	2,053	4,560	17
MC Fake	2,671	12,621	15,292	300

Table 1: Statistics of the datasets used in our experiments.

Dataset	CNN		GCN		BERT		HAN		HeteroSGT		L ³ B	
	Acc	Pre	Acc	Pre	Acc	Pre	Acc	Pre	Acc	Pre	Acc	Pre
MM COVID	0.582±0.035	0.478±0.170	0.717±0.156	0.735±0.236	0.730±0.093	0.727±0.094	0.855±0.005	0.854±0.005	0.925±0.004	0.921±0.006	0.902±0.116	0.902±0.110
ReCOVery	0.658±0.011	0.460 ± 0.104	0.718±0.037	0.691±0.178	0.682±0.030	0.441±0.213	0.722±0.021	0.462±0.197	0.909±0.002	0.902 ± 0.002	0.879 ± 0.017	0.865 ± 0.028
MC Fake	0.825±0.001	0.544±0.156	0.724±0.138	0.516±0.169	0.827±0.006	0.713±0.271	0.825±0.005	0.463±0.098	0.883±0.002	0.812±0.003	0.887 ± 0.051	0.827±0.016
LIAR	0.546±0.019	0.432±0.181	0.487±0.039	0.493 ± 0.047	0.537±0.007	0.513±0.017	0.546±0.025	0.493±0.036	0.581±0.002	0.580 ± 0.003	0.605 ± 0.041	0.601 ± 0.045
Dataset	Rec	F1	Rec	F1	Rec	F1	Rec	F1	Rec	F1	Rec	F1
MM COVID	0.547±0.039	0.474±0.101	0.685±0.178	0.621±0.184	0.722±0.101	0.720±0.103	0.854±0.006	0.853±0.005	0.915±0.005	0.918±0.005	0.898±0.142	0.900±0.132
ReCOVery	0.501±0.020	0.422±0.107	0.609±0.102	0.516±0.021	0.722±0.081	0.416±0.032	0.506±0.002	0.457±0.013	0.865 ± 0.006	0.893±0.003	0.843±0.203	0.854 ± 0.208
MC Fake	0.501±0.002	0.455 ± 0.004	0.552±0.169	0.470 ± 0.039	0.502 ± 0.001	0.451±0.002	0.500 ± 0.004	0.453±0.001	0.762 ± 0.002	0.783±0.003	0.700±0.099	0.738±0.109
LIAR	0.502±0.005	0.377±0.049	0.494±0.029	0.423 ± 0.055	0.510 ± 0.012	0.483 ± 0.014	0.502±0.018	0.445 ± 0.053	0.575 ± 0.002	0.571 ± 0.003	0.595 ± 0.037	0.595 ± 0.037

Table 2: Classification performance on four datasets (best in red, second-best in blue).

a transformer-based language model, similar to our transformer-based classifier, where we explore BERT to classify false content (i.e., low-veracity content). HeteroSGT explores the heterogeneous subgraph transformer to classify articles via the heterogeneous graph.

4.2 Experiment Settings

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

Model Configuration. Our detection pipeline em-413 ploys a transformer-based classifier, which effec-414 tively integrate the textual, linguistic behavior, and 415 contextual features. Each input token is repre-416 sented by a 128-dimensional embedding vector. In 417 418 the transformer-based classifier module, our model consists of multiple stacked transformer encoder 419 layers with a multi-head attention scheme. In the 420 feature fusion function, we pool the transformer 421 outputs and concatenate these features with content 422 features, verb-based linguistic behavior features, 423 and social context embeddings using semantic link-424 ing. For the fully-connected layer, we employ 425 ReLU as an activation function and set dropout 426 regularization to 0.1. The final output layer with a 427 sigmoid function is designed to provide the prob-428 ability scores indicating the likelihood of the con-429 tent being labeled 1 (i.e., high veracity). Here, we 430 431 use Adam optimizer with learning rate 1×10^{-5} and cross-entropy loss to train our model, where 432 we employ the mixed precision training and early-433 stopping to tune the hyperparameters. For training 434 and testing our proposed model, we split all the 435

datasets into train, validation, and test datasets us-436 ing a ratio of 80%, 10%, and 10%, respectively. To 437 validate the generalizability of tested methods, we 438 perform 10 rounds of tests with random seeds for 439 each model and then record the averaged results 440 and standard deviation. Here, all the experiments 441 are conducted on 1 NVIDIA A100 GPU with 64G 442 RAM. 443

444

445

446

447

448

449

Evaluation Metrics. We quantitatively evaluate our model's performance compared to the other five tested models, using classification metrics such as accuracy (Acc), Macro-precision (Pre), Macro-F1 (F1), and Macro-recall (Rec).

4.3 Experimental Results

In Table 2, we report the experimental results of all 450 the tested models across the four datasets. From 451 Table 2, one can see that our model achieves su-452 perior performance across all the metrics on the 453 LIAR dataset, and suboptimal performance on the 454 datasets MM COVID, ReCOVery, and MC Fake. It 455 shows that the linguistic behavior features can im-456 prove the model performance and have a significant 457 impact on classifying content veracity. Addition-458 ally, we can see that our model achieves higher 459 recall values on all four datasets, typically on the 460 LIAR dataset. A higher recall indicates that less 461 low-veracity content is missed when classifying 462 the high- and low-veracity content. Furthermore, 463 it should be noted that our model has robust and 464 consistent performance across all the datasets, com-465

509 510 511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

pared with other tested models.

466

487

488 489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

502

503

506

For the five comparison models, CNN has poor 467 performance on all the datasets, which may result 468 from its fixed convolutional kernels. Due to these 469 470 kernels focusing on local features, the global features or dependencies might not be effectively ex-471 plored in news articles and social contexts. GCN 472 presents different results across multiple datasets 473 and receives better detection accuracy on MC Fake 474 dataset. HAN and BERT are transformer-based 475 models with attention mechanisms, and thus, the 476 performance is comparable between HAN and 477 BERT. Though HeteroSGT achieves optimal re-478 479 sults on most datasets due to its subgraph structure, it still drops performance by 0.4% on Acc 480 and 1.5% on Pre, 2.4% on Acc and 2.1% on Pre, 481 respectively, compared to our proposed model on 482 MC fake and LIAR datasets. Typically, on the 483 MM COVID dataset, our model achieves consis-484 485 tent performance across seeds, with a low standard deviation (± 0.116). 486

Table 3: Ablation results on the ReCOVery dataset. We report Acc, Pre, Rec, and F1. ϕ_c : content (TF-IDF); ϕ_b : behavioral (verbs); ϕ_k : knowledge (context features).

Model Variant	Acc	Pre	Rec	F1
Full Model $(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{c} + \boldsymbol{\phi}_{b} + \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k})$	0.857	0.861	0.829	0.840
No Content $(\phi_{\rm b} + \phi_{\rm k})$	0.813	0.835	0.763	0.779
No Knowledge ($\phi_{\rm c} + \phi_{\rm b}$)	0.808	0.803	0.776	0.785
Raw Text Only (ϕ_c)	0.783	0.767	0.770	0.769

4.4 Ablation Study

We conducted an ablation study on the ReCOVery dataset to evaluate the performance of three feature modules in our model: content feature (ϕ_c), behavioral feature ($\phi_{\rm b}$), and knowledge-based context feature (ϕ_k). From Table 3, we can see that the $L^{3}B$ model incorporating all three modules achieved the best performance, i.e., accuracy of 0.857, Pre of 0.861, Rec of 0.829, and F1 score of 0.840. When removing the content features, it leads to the largest drop in recall (from 0.829) to 0.763) and a significant drop in F1 score (to 0.779), showing the importance of capturing nuanced textual features. Without knowledge features (ϕ_k) , it also reduces the overall performance, such as F1-score from 0.840 to 0.785, indicating the significance of external social context in contextually grounding content for extracted verbal features from news articles. Additionally, using only textual content (ϕ_c), our model achieves an accuracy

of 0.783, demonstrating the performance of the transformer-based baseline model. From these results, it can be seen that behavioral indicators and contextual features provide complementary gains beyond content-based representations alone.

5 Conclusion

Low-veracity content significantly disrupts content quality and integrity, and therefore, it's increasingly important to develop efficient and robust content classification models. In this work, we introduce the L³B, a behavior-aware classification model, which leverages linguistic behaviors to classify high- or low-veracity content, alongside textual and contextual data. Compared to traditional ML-based approaches that rely heavily on content data, L³B effectively mitigates the limitations of data dependency and bias through multi-faceted feature extraction. Firstly, the verb features are extracted from news articles as linguistic behavioral features. Then, a knowledge-based linking scheme is introduced to align the extracted verbal features with those derived from social context categories and further refine the behavioral features. Finally, the text, behavior, and context features are fused and fed into a transformer-based classifier to flag the content veracity. Experimental results show that L³B outperforms most advanced classification models both in accuracy and generalizability, indicating the merits of integrating linguistic behavior features and behavior-context features into the classification and detection frameworks of content veracity on social media platforms.

Limitations

Though our proposed L^3B framework has superior performance in the content veracity classification task by integrating textual, behavioral, and contextual features, several limitations remain. First, our model demands the verbal features, which leads to poor performance in verb-sparse stances. Secondly, we incorporate the predefined knowledge base to model the social context for extracted verbal features, lacking adaptability to newly emerging social topics and content styles. Additionally, L^3B does not incorporate social credibility or propagation patterns into the content classification pipeline. Finally, our proposed model is restricted to text data and can not analyze multimodal content, such as images or videos.

For future studies, we aim to incorporate be-

660

661

662

609

havior credibility and reliability into the content veracity pipeline and also plan to explore more inherent features in news content, further improving model performance and generalizability across diverse datasets. In addition, we plan to introduce the multi-modality modules in the $L^{3}B$ pipeline to capture text and image features and identify the consistency between textual and visual features for content veracity detection and classification of multimodal data.

References

566

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

584

585

589

591

592

594

595

599

600

604

- Sara Abdali, Sina Shaham, and Bhaskar Krishnamachari. 2024. Multi-modal misinformation detection: Approaches, challenges and opportunities. ACM Computing Surveys, 57(3):1–29.
- Sajjad Ahmed, Knut Hinkelmann, and Flavio Corradini. 2022. Combining machine learning with knowledge engineering to detect fake news in social networks-a survey. *ArXiv*, abs/2201.08032.
- Bimal Bhattarai, Ole-Christoffer Granmo, and Lei Jiao. 2021. Explainable tsetlin machine framework for fake news detection with credibility score assessment. *ArXiv*, abs/2105.09114.
- Tian Bian, Xi Xiao, Tingyang Xu, Peilin Zhao, Wenbing Huang, Yu Rong, and Junzhou Huang. 2020.
 Rumor detection on social media with bi-directional graph convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 549–556.
- Alessandro Bondielli and Francesco Marcelloni. 2019. A survey on fake news and rumour detection techniques. *Information sciences*, 497:38–55.
 - Alexis Conneau and Guillaume Lample. 2019. Crosslingual language model pretraining. In Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 7059–7069.
- Danilo Croce, Giuseppe Castellucci, and Roberto Basili. 2020. GAN-BERT: Generative adversarial learning for robust text classification with a bunch of labeled examples. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2114–2119, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Boyi Deng, Wenjie Wang, Fengbin Zhu, Qifan Wang, and Fuli Feng. 2025. Cram: Credibility-aware attention modification in llms for combating misinformation in rag. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 39, pages 23760– 23768.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the*

North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, volume 1 (long and short papers), pages 4171– 4186.

- Yingtong Dou, Kai Shu, Congying Xia, Philip S. Yu, and Lichao Sun. 2021. User preference-aware fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '21, page 2051–2055, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Yaqian Dun, Kefei Tu, Chen Chen, Chunyan Hou, and Xiaojie Yuan. 2021. Kan: Knowledge-aware attention network for fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 81–89.
- Oren Etzioni, Michele Banko, Stephen Soderland, and Daniel S Weld. 2008. Open information extraction from the web. *Communications of the ACM*, 51(12):68–74.
- Dongqi Fu, Yikun Ban, Hanghang Tong, Ross Maciejewski, and Jingrui He. 2022. Disco: Comprehensive and explainable disinformation detection. *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, pages 4848–4852.
- Amira Ghenai and Yelena Mejova. 2018. Fake cures: user-centric modeling of health misinformation in social media. In *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, volume 2, pages 1–20. ACM New York, NY, USA.
- Bao Guo, Chunxia Zhang, Junmin Liu, and Xiaoyi Ma. 2019. Improving text classification with weighted word embeddings via a multi-channel textcnn model. *Neurocomputing*, 363:366–374.
- Bin Guo, Yasan Ding, Lina Yao, Yunji Liang, and Zhiwen Yu. 2020. The future of false information detection on social media: New perspectives and trends. *ACM Computing Survery*, 53(4).
- Zhijiang Guo, Michael Schlichtkrull, and Andreas Vlachos. 2022. A survey on automated fact-checking. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10:178–206.
- Syed Mustafa Haider Rizvi, Ramsha Imran, and Arif Mahmood. 2025. Text classification using graph convolutional networks: A comprehensive survey. *ACM Computing Survery*, 57(8).
- Naeemul Hassan, Chengkai Li, and Mark Tremayne. 2015. Detecting check-worthy factual claims in presidential debates. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM International on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, CIKM '15, page 1835–1838, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

663

- 681 682 683 684 685 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696
- 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704
- 705 706 707 708 709 710
- 711

- 716
- 717
- 718

- Ammar Ismael Kadhim. 2019. Survey on supervised machine learning techniques for automatic text classification. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 52:273–292.
- Rohit Kumar Kaliyar, Anurag Goswami, and Pratik Narang. 2021. Fakebert: Fake news detection in social media with a bert-based deep learning approach. *Multimedia tools and applications*, 80(8):11765– 11788.
- Rohit Kumar Kaliyar, Anurag Goswami, Pratik Narang, and Soumendu Sinha. 2020. Fndnet–a deep convolutional neural network for fake news detection. *Cognitive Systems Research*, 61:32–44.
- Junehyung Kim and Sungjae Hwang. 2024. All you need is attention: Lightweight attention-based data augmentation for text classification. In *Findings* of the Association for Computational Linguistics: *EMNLP 2024*, pages 12866–12873, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yoon Kim. 2014. Convolutional neural networks for sentence classification. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1746–1751, Doha, Qatar. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Guoyi Li, Die Hu, Zongzhen Liu, Xiaodan Zhang, and Honglei Lyu. 2025. Semantic reshuffling with LLM and heterogeneous graph auto-encoder for enhanced rumor detection. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 8557–8572, Abu Dhabi, UAE. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yichuan Li, Bohan Jiang, Kai Shu, and Huan Liu. 2020. Mm-covid: A multilingual and multimodal data repository for combating covid-19 disinformation. ArXiv, abs/2011.04088.
- Hu Linmei, Tianchi Yang, Chuan Shi, Houye Ji, and Xiaoli Li. 2019. Heterogeneous graph attention networks for semi-supervised short text classification. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, pages 4821–4830, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chao Liu, Xinghua Wu, Min Yu, Gang Li, Jianguo Jiang, Weiqing Huang, and Xiang Lu. 2019. A two-stage model based on bert for short fake news detection. In *Knowledge Science, Engineering and Management:* 12th International Conference, KSEM 2019, Athens, Greece, August 28–30, 2019, Proceedings, Part II 12, pages 172–183. Springer.
- Jing Ma, Wei Gao, Prasenjit Mitra, Sejeong Kwon, Bernard J. Jansen, Kam-Fai Wong, and Meeyoung Cha. 2016. Detecting rumors from microblogs with recurrent neural networks. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, IJCAI'16, page 3818–3824. AAAI Press.

Jing Ma, Wei Gao, and Kam-Fai Wong. 2018. Rumor detection on Twitter with tree-structured recursive neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1980–1989, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics. 719

720

721

723

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

- Qianli Ma, Zhenxi Lin, Jiangyue Yan, Zipeng Chen, and Liuhong Yu. 2020. MODE-LSTM: A parameterefficient recurrent network with multi-scale for sentence classification. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 6705–6715, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Valeria Mazzeo, Andrea Rapisarda, and Giovanni Giuffrida. 2021. Detection of fake news on covid-19 on web search engines. *Frontiers in Physics*, 9:685730.
- Erxue Min, Yu Rong, Yatao Bian, Tingyang Xu, Peilin Zhao, Junzhou Huang, and Sophia Ananiadou. 2022. Divide-and-conquer: Post-user interaction network for fake news detection on social media. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*, WWW '22, page 1148–1158, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Shervin Minaee, Nal Kalchbrenner, Erik Cambria, Narjes Nikzad, Meysam Chenaghlu, and Jianfeng Gao. 2021. Deep learning–based text classification: A comprehensive review. *ACM Computing Survery*, 54(3).
- Rahul Mishra and Vinay Setty. 2019. Sadhan: Hierarchical attention networks to learn latent aspect embeddings for fake news detection. In *Proceedings* of the 2019 ACM SIGIR International Conference on Theory of Information Retrieval, ICTIR '19, page 197–204, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Kashyap Popat. 2017. Assessing the credibility of claims on the web. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web Companion*, WWW '17 Companion, page 735–739, Republic and Canton of Geneva, CHE. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee.
- Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. Sentence-BERT: Sentence embeddings using Siamese BERTnetworks. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, pages 3982–3992, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Natali Ruchansky, Sungyong Seo, and Yan Liu. 2017. Csi: A hybrid deep model for fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, CIKM '17, page 797–806, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

886

887

775

Devendra Singh Sachan, Manzil Zaheer, and Ruslan

Salakhutdinov. 2019. Revisiting lstm networks for

semi-supervised text classification via mixed objec-

tive function. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference

on Artificial Intelligence, volume 33, pages 6940-

Isabel Segura-Bedmar and Santiago Alonso-Bartolome.

Lanyu Shang, Yang Zhang, Bozhang Chen, Ruohan

Zong, Zhenrui Yue, Huimin Zeng, Na Wei, and Dong

Wang. 2024. Mmadapt: A knowledge-guided multi-

source multi-class domain adaptive framework for

early health misinformation detection. In Proceed-

ings of the ACM Web Conference 2024, WWW '24,

page 4653-4663, New York, NY, USA. Association

Baoxu Shi and Tim Weninger. 2016. Fact checking

in heterogeneous information networks. In Proceed-

ings of the 25th International Conference Companion on World Wide Web, WWW '16 Companion, page

101-102, Republic and Canton of Geneva, CHE. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering

Chongyang Shi, Yijun Yin, Qi Zhang, Liang Xiao, Usman Naseem, Shoujin Wang, and Liang Hu. 2023.

Multiview clickbait detection via jointly modeling

subjective and objective preference. In Findings

of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023, pages 11807-11816, Singapore. Asso-

Kai Shu, Amy Sliva, Suhang Wang, Jiliang Tang, and

Kai Shu, Suhang Wang, and Huan Liu. 2019. Beyond

news contents: The role of social context for fake

news detection. In Proceedings of the 12nd ACM

International Conference on Web Search and Data

Mining, WSDM '19, page 312–320, New York, NY,

Kai Shu, Xinyi Zhou, Suhang Wang, Reza Zafarani,

and Huan Liu. 2020. The role of user profiles for

fake news detection. In Proceedings of the 2019

IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances

in Social Networks Analysis and Mining, ASONAM

'19, page 436–439, New York, NY, USA. Association

Qi Su, Mingyu Wan, Xiaoqian Liu, and Chu-Ren Huang.

Xing Su, Jian Yang, Jia Wu, and Yuchen Zhang. 2023.

Mining user-aware multi-relations for fake news de-

tection in large scale online social networks. In Pro-

Language Processing Research, 1(1):1–13.

2020. Motivations, methods and metrics of misin-

formation detection: an nlp perspective. Natural

USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

Huan Liu. 2017. Fake news detection on social media: a data mining perspective. SIGKDD Explor.

ciation for Computational Linguistics.

Newsl., 19(1):22-36.

for Computing Machinery.

2022. Multimodal fake news detection. Information,

6948.

13(6):284.

Committee.

for Computing Machinery.

- 790
- 797 798
- 799
- 803 804

809 810

811 812 813

815 816

- 817
- 819 820
- 821
- 822

823 824 825

827

ceedings of the 16th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, WSDM '23, page 831

51-59, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

- Xiaobing Sun and Wei Lu. 2020. Understanding attention for text classification. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 3418–3428, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xian Teng, Yu-Ru Lin, Wen-Ting Chung, Ang Li, and Adriana Kovashka. 2022. Characterizing user susceptibility to covid-19 misinformation on twitter. In Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, volume 16, pages 1005–1016.
- Kjerstin Thorson, Emily Vraga, and Brian Ekdale. 2010. Credibility in context: How uncivil online commentary affects news credibility. Mass Communication and Society, 13(3):289-313.
- Shu-Feng Tsao, Helen Chen, Therese Tisseverasinghe, Yang Yang, Lianghua Li, and Zahid A Butt. 2021. What social media told us in the time of covid-19: a scoping review. The Lancet Digital Health, 3(3):e175-e194.
- Jens Van Nooten and Walter Daelemans. 2025. Jump to hyperspace: Comparing Euclidean and hyperbolic loss functions for hierarchical multi-label text classification. In Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 4260– 4273, Abu Dhabi, UAE. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Andreas Vlachos and Sebastian Riedel. 2014. Fact checking: Task definition and dataset construction. In Proceedings of the ACL 2014 Workshop on Language Technologies and Computational Social Science, pages 18-22.
- Nguyen Vo and Kyumin Lee. 2018. The rise of guardians: Fact-checking url recommendation to combat fake news. In The 41st International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research & Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR '18, page 275-284, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- William Yang Wang. 2017. "liar, liar pants on fire": A new benchmark dataset for fake news detection. In Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers), pages 422–426, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yaqing Wang, Fenglong Ma, Zhiwei Jin, Ye Yuan, Guangxu Xun, Kishlay Jha, Lu Su, and Jing Gao. 2018. Eann: Event adversarial neural networks for multi-modal fake news detection. In Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, KDD '18, page 849-857, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Jiaying Wu, Jiafeng Guo, and Bryan Hooi. 2024. Fake news in sheep's clothing: Robust fake news detection

- 891 892 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 919 922 923 925 926 928 931 932

940 941

942

- 935

916 917 918

against llm-empowered style attacks. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '24, page 3367–3378, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

- You Wu, Pankaj K. Agarwal, Chengkai Li, Jun Yang, and Cong Yu. 2014. Toward computational factchecking. In Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment, volume 7, page 589-600.
- Yijin Xiong, Yukun Feng, Hao Wu, Hidetaka Kamigaito, and Manabu Okumura. 2021. Fusing label embedding into BERT: An efficient improvement for text classification. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021, pages 1743-1750, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chang Yang, Peng Zhang, Wenbo Qiao, Hui Gao, and Jiaming Zhao. 2023. Rumor detection on social media with crowd intelligence and ChatGPT-assisted networks. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 5705-5717, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zichao Yang, Diyi Yang, Chris Dyer, Xiaodong He, Alex Smola, and Eduard Hovy. 2016. Hierarchical attention networks for document classification. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 1480-1489, San Diego, California. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Liang Yao, Chengsheng Mao, and Yuan Luo. 2018. Graph convolutional networks for text classification. ArXiv, abs/1809.05679.
- Jungmin Yun, Mihyeon Kim, and Youngbin Kim. 2023. Focus on the core: Efficient attention via pruned token compression for document classification. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023, pages 13617-13628, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Fengzhu Zeng, Wengian Li, Wei Gao, and Yan Pang. 2024. Multimodal misinformation detection by learning from synthetic data with multimodal LLMs. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024, pages 10467-10484, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Amy X. Zhang, Aditya Ranganathan, Sarah Emlen Metz, Scott Appling, Connie Moon Sehat, Norman Gilmore, Nick B. Adams, Emmanuel Vincent, Jennifer Lee, Martin Robbins, Ed Bice, Sandro Hawke, David Karger, and An Xiao Mina. 2018. A structured response to misinformation: Defining and annotating credibility indicators in news articles. In Companion Proceedings of the The Web Conference 2018, WWW '18, page 603-612, Republic and Canton of Geneva, CHE. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee.

- Yuchen Zhang, Xiaoxiao Ma, Jia Wu, Jian Yang, and Hao Fan. 2024. Heterogeneous subgraph transformer for fake news detection. In Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2024, WWW '24, page 1272-1282, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Jiawei Zhou, Yixuan Zhang, Qianni Luo, Andrea G Parker, and Munmun De Choudhury. 2023. Synthetic lies: Understanding ai-generated misinformation and evaluating algorithmic and human solutions. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '23, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Xinyi Zhou, Apurva Mulay, Emilio Ferrara, and Reza Zafarani. 2020. Recovery: A multimodal repository for covid-19 news credibility research. In Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management, CIKM '20, page 3205–3212, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Xinyi Zhou and Reza Zafarani. 2019. Network-based fake news detection: A pattern-driven approach. ArXiv, abs/1906.04210.
- Xinyi Zhou and Reza Zafarani. 2020. A survey of fake news: Fundamental theories, detection methods, and opportunities. ACM Comput. Surv., 53(5).
- Junyou Zhu, Chao Gao, Ze Yin, Xianghua Li, and Juergen Kurths. 2024. Propagation structure-aware graph transformer for robust and interpretable fake news detection. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '24, page 4652–4663, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Arkaitz Zubiaga, Ahmet Aker, Kalina Bontcheva, Maria Liakata, and Rob Procter. 2018. Detection and resolution of rumours in social media: A survey. ACM Computing Survey, 51(2).

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

946

947

948

949