000 COMPELLING RELU NETWORKS TO EXHIBIT EXPO-NENTIALLY MANY LINEAR REGIONS AT INITIALIZA-TION AND DURING TRAINING

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

A neural network with ReLU activations may be viewed as a composition of piecewise linear functions. For such networks, the number of distinct linear regions expressed over the input domain has the potential to scale exponentially with depth, but it is not expected to do so when the initial parameters are chosen randomly. Therefore, randomly initialized models are often unnecessarily large, even when approximating simple functions. To address this issue, we introduce a novel training strategy: we first reparameterize the network weights in a manner that forces the network to exhibit a number of linear regions exponential in depth. Training first on our derived parameters provides an initial solution that can later be refined by directly updating the underlying model weights. This approach allows us to learn approximations of convex, one-dimensional functions that are several orders of magnitude more accurate than their randomly initialized counterparts. We further demonstrate how to extend our approach to multidimensional and non-convex functions, with similar benefits observed.

025 026 027

028

001

002 003

004

006

008 009 010

011 012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

024

1 INTRODUCTION

029 Beyond complementary advances in areas like hardware, storage, and networking, the success of neural networks is primarily due to their ability to efficiently capture and represent nonlinear func-031 tions (Gibou et al., 2019). In a neural network, the goal of an activation function is to introduce nonlinearity between the network's layers so that the network does not simplify to a single linear function. The rectified linear unit (ReLU) has a unique interpretation in this regard. Since it either 033 deactivates a neuron or acts as an identity, the resulting transformation on each individual input re-034 mains linear. However, each possible configuration of active and inactive neurons can produce a unique linear transformation over a particular region of input space. The number of these activation patterns and their corresponding linear regions provides a way to measure the expressivity of a 037 ReLU network¹ and can theoretically scale exponentially with the depth of the network (Montufar et al., 2014a; Serra et al., 2018). Hence deep architectures may outperform shallow ones.

Surprisingly, though, a sophisticated theory of how to best encode functions into ReLU networks is 040 lacking, and in practice, adding depth is often observed to help less than one might expect from this 041 exponential intuition. Lacking more advanced theory, practitioners typically use random parameter 042 initialization and gradient descent, the drawbacks of which often lead to extremely inefficient solu-043 tions. Hanin & Rolnick (2019) show a rather disappointing bound pertaining to randomly initialized 044 networks: they prove that the average number of linear regions formed upon initialization is entirely independent of the configuration of the neurons, so depth is not properly utilized. They observed 046 that gradient descent has a difficult time creating new activation regions and that their bounds ap-047 proximately held after training. As we will discuss later, the number of linear regions is not actually 048 a model property that gradient descent can directly optimize. Gradient descent is also prone to re-049 dundancy; Frankle & Carbin (2019) show how around 95% of weights may ultimately be eliminated from a network without significantly degrading accuracy. 050

051 The present work aims to begin eliminating these inefficiencies, starting in a simple one-dimensional 052 setting. Drawing inspiration from existing theoretical ReLU constructions, our novel contributions

¹See Appendix A.2 for definitions of terms like linear regions, activation patterns, and activation regions.

054 include a special reparameterization of a ReLU network that forces it to maintain an exponential 055 number of activation patterns over the input domain. We then demonstrate a novel pretraining strategy, which trains these derived parameters before manipulating the underlying matrix weights. This 057 allows the network to discover solutions that are more accurate and unlikely to be found other-058 wise. Our technique directly rectifies the issues raised by Hanin & Rolnick (2019). Firstly, we initialize immediately with an exponential number of linear regions, which would not be expected to happen otherwise. Secondly, we are not reliant on gradient descent to "discover" new regions; 060 we only need to maintain the existing ones, which is already guaranteed by the reparameterization 061 during the pretraining stage. Additionally, this pretraining step can avoid areas of the loss landscape 062 where unassisted gradient descent might make short-sighted optimizations that eliminate activation 063 regions. Our results demonstrate that minimizing the reliance of network training on unassisted 064 gradient descent can reliably produce error values orders of magnitude lower than a traditionally-065 trained network of equal size. Although preliminary numerical demonstrations in this theoretical 066 study pertain to relatively low-dimensional functions, the paper concludes with our views on ex-067 tending these theoretical exponential benefits for ReLU networks to arbitrary smooth functions with 068 arbitrary dimensionality, which would have significant practical utility.

2 Related Work

This work is primarily concerned with a novel training methodology, but it also possesses a significant approximation theoretic component. In one dimension, where we initially focus, our work is a generalization of the approximation to x^2 we review in this section. The reparameterization we employ modifies this method to become trainable to represent other convex one-dimensional functions, and then converts that result back into a matrix representation. The goal of this method is not to re-express the full set of neural network weights, but to constrain them into efficient patterns.

079

069

071 072

073

074

075

076

077

2.1 FUNCTION APPROXIMATION

081 Infinitely wide neural networks are 082 known to be universal function ap-083 proximators, even with only one hid-084 den layer (Hornik et al., 1989; Cy-085 benko, 1989). Infinitely deep networks of fixed width are universal ap-087 proximators as well (Lu et al., 2017; 880 Hanin, 2019). In finite cases, one may study trade-offs between width 089 and depth to assess a network's abil-090 ity to approximate (learn) a function. 091

092 Notably, there exist functions that can be represented with a subexponential number of neurons in a 094 deep architecture, yet which require an exponential number of neurons 096 in a wide and shallow architecture. For example, Telgarsky (2015) shows 098 that deep neural networks with ReLU activations on a one-dimensional in-100 put are able to generate symmetric 101 triangle waves with an exponential 102 number of linear segments (shown in 103 Figure 1 as the ReLU network T(x)).



Figure 1: (Top to bottom) Composed triangle waves; using collections of the above function to approximate x^2 ; derivatives of the above approximations.

This network functions as follows: each layer takes a one-dimensional input on [0, 1], and outputs a one-dimensional signal also on [0, 1]. The function they produce in isolation is a single symmetric triangle. Together in a network, each layer inputs its output to the next, performing function composition. Since each layer converts lines from 0 to 1 into triangles, it doubles the number of linear segments in its input signal, exponentially scaling with depth. 108 The same effect can be achieved with non-symmetric triangle waves (Huchette et al., 2023) (or any 109 shape that sufficiently "folds" the input space (Montufar et al., 2014b)). Our reparameterization 110 strategy focuses on non-symmetric triangle waves. The location on (0, 1) of a triangular function's 111 peak acts as an alternative parameterization of the function, instead of its weight matrix representa-112 tion. Unlike the raw weights of a depth d ReLU network, any values chosen for the triangle peak parameters will result in the creation of 2^d linear regions. Furthermore, this parameterization is 113 trainable. By using the peak location to set the raw weights of a layer, the gradients can backprop-114 agate through the raw weights to update the triangle peaks, effectively confining the network to a 115 subspace of weights that generates many linear regions. 116

117 The dilated triangular waveforms produced in this manner are not particularly useful on their own. 118 Their oscillations quickly become excessively rapid, and their derviatives do not exist everywhere (especially in the infinite depth limit). But these problems can be rectified by taking a sum over the 119 layers of a network. Yarotsky (2017) and Liang & Srikant (2016) construct $y = x^2$ on [0, 1] with 120 exponential accuracy using symmetric triangle waves. To produce their approximation, one begins 121 with $f_0(x) = x$, then computes $f_1(x) = f_0(x) - T(x)/4$, $f_2(x) = f_1(x) - T(T(x))/16$, $f_3(x) = f_1(x) - T(T(x))/16$ 122 $f_2(x) - T(T(T(x)))/64$, and so forth, as pictured in Figure 1. As these successive approximations 123 are computed, Figure 1 plots their convergence to x^2 , as well as the convergence of the derivative to 124 2x. Our reparameterization generalizes this approximation to use non-symmetric triangle waves to 125 approximate a wider class of convex, differentiable, one-dimensional functions. 126

The x^2 approximation is used by other theoretical works as a building block to guarantee exponential 127 convergence rates in more complex systems. Perekrestenko et al. (2018) construct a multiplication 128 gate via the identity $(x+y)^2 = x^2 + y^2 + 2xy$. The squared terms can all be moved to one side, ex-129 pressing the product xy as a linear combination of squared terms. They then further assemble these 130 multiplication gates into an interpolating polynomial, which can have an exponentially decreasing 131 error when the interpolation points are chosen to be the Chebyshev nodes. Polynomial interpo-132 lation does not scale well into high dimensions, so this and papers with similar approaches will 133 usually come with restrictions that limit function complexity: Wang et al. (2018) requires low input 134 dimension, Montanelli et al. (2020) uses band limiting, and Chen et al. (2019) approximates low-135 dimensional manifolds. These works all make use of a fixed representation of x^2 . If our networks were substituted in for the x^2 approximation, these works would provide theoretical guarantees 136 about the capabilities of the resulting model. Even though the approximation rates will not scale 137 well with input dimension, they serve as a bound that can be improved upon. In Section 5 we further 138 elaborate on how to use our networks to represent higher-dimensional or non-convex functions. 139

140 Other works focus on showing how ReLU networks can encode and subsequently surpass traditional 141 approximation methods (Lu et al., 2021; Daubechies et al., 2022), including spline-type methods 142 (Eckle & Schmidt-Hieber, 2019). Interestingly, certain fundamental themes from above like composition, triangles, or squaring are still present. Another interesting comparison of the present work 143 is to Ivanova & Kubat (1995), which uses decision trees as a means to initialize sigmoid neural 144 networks for classification. Similar to the spirit of our work, which restricts parameterizations 145 of ReLU networks, Elbrächter et al. (2019) explores theoretical aspects of the conditionining of 146 ReLU network training and provides constructive results for a parameterization space that is well-147 conditioned. Chen & Ge (2024) present a creative approach where they explore reparameterizing 148 the direction of weight vectors using hyperspherical coordinates to improve training dynamics. Un-149 like their reparameterization, ours will restrict the network's expressivity in order to prevent it from 150 learning inefficient weight patterns. Lastly, Park et al. (2021) approaches the problem of linear 151 region maximization from an information theory perspective and uses a loss penalty rather than a 152 reparameterization to increase the number of linear regions.

153 154

155

2.2 NEURAL NETWORK INITIALIZATION

Our work seeks to improve network initialization by making use of explicit theoretical constructs.
 This stands in sharp contrast the current standard approach, which treats neurons homogeneously.
 Two popular initialization methods implemented in PyTorch are the Kaiming (He et al., 2015) and
 Xavier initialization (Glorot & Bengio, 2010). They use weight values that are sampled from distributions defined by the input and output dimension of each layer. Aside from sub-optimal approximation power associated with random weights, a common issue is that the initial weights and biases in a ReLU network can cause every neuron in a particular layer to output a negative value.

162 The ReLU activation then sets the output of that layer to 0, blocking any gradient updates. This is 163 referred to as the dying ReLU phenomenon (Qi et al., 2024; Nag et al., 2023). Worryingly, as depth 164 goes to infinity, the dying ReLU phenomenon becomes increasingly likely (Lu et al., 2020). Sev-165 eral papers propose solutions: Shin & Karniadakis (2020) use a data-dependent initialization, while 166 Singh & Sreejith (2021) introduce an alternate weight distribution called RAAI that can reduce the likelihood of the issue and increase training speed. We observed during our experiments that 167 RAAI greatly reduces, but does not eliminate the likelihood of dying ReLU. Our approach enforces 168 a specific network structure that does not collapse in this manner. 169

- 170
- 171 172

3 INITIALIZATION AND PRETRAINING CONSTRUCTION

173 We begin by discussing how to deliberately architect the weights of a 4-neuron-wide, depth d ReLU 174 network to induce a number of linear segments exponential in d. As alluded to in Section 2.1, our 175 initialization algorithm is simply to associate a nonsymmetric triangle function with each layer, and 176 then to randomize the location of each triangle's peak in (0, 1); any choice produces 2^d regions. This yields a reparameterization of the ReLU network in terms of the triangle peak locations, a_i , rather 177 than in terms of the network's weights. The pretraining step of our algorithm then involves learning 178 and updating these parameters. This is done by setting individual neuron weights in accordance 179 with the procedures described in this section, and then backpropagating the gradients to update the 180 triangle peak parameters. Pseudocode for our entire algorithm is provided in Appendix A.1. 181

To illustrate how we set weights, we first describe the mathematical functions that arise in our analysis. Triangle functions are defined as

184 185

186 187

$$T_i(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{a_i} & 0 \le x \le a_i \\ 1 - \frac{x - a_i}{1 - a_i} & a_i \le x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

where $0 < a_i < 1$. This produces a triangular shape with a peak at $x = a_i$ and with both endpoints satisfying y = 0. Each layer considered in isolation would compute these if directly fed the input signal. $T_i(x)$'s derivatives are the piecewise linear functions:

$$T'_{i}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{a_{i}} & 0 < x < a_{i} \\ \frac{1}{1-a_{i}} & a_{i} < x < 1 \end{cases}$$
(1)

(2)

In a deep network, the layers feed into each other, composing their respective triangle functions:

196

199

200

201

These triangle waves will have 2^i linear regions, doubling with each layer. The output of the network in pretraining will be a weighted sum over the triangle waves formed at each layer. Assuming the

 $W_i(x) = \bigcap_{j=0}^i T_j(x) = T_i(T_{i-1}(...T_0(x)))$

network to be infinitely deep, we have $F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i W_i(x) \tag{3}$

202 203 204

205

where s_i are scaling coefficients on each of the composed triangular waveforms W_i .

206 To encode these functions into the weights of a ReLU network, we begin with triangle functions 207 (see the right subnetwork in Figure 2). Its maximum output is 1 at the peak location $a \in (0, 1)$. 208 Neuron t_1 simply preserves the input signal. Meanwhile, t_2 is negatively biased, deactivating it for 209 inputs less than a. Subtracting t_2 from t_1 changes the slope at the point where t_2 begins outputting 210 a nonzero signal. The weight $-1/(a-a^2) = -(1/a + 1/(1-a))$ is picked to completely negate t_1 's positive influence, and then produce a negative slope. When these components are combined 211 into a deep network, the individual triangles they form will be composed with those earlier in the 212 network, but the two neurons will still behave analogously. Considering the output waveform of t_1 213 and t_2 neurons over the entire input domain [0, 1] and at arbitrary depth, we have that t_1 neurons are 214 always active, outputting complete triangle waves. In contrast, t_2 neurons are deactivated for small 215 inputs, so they output an alternating sequence of triangles and inactive regions (see Figure 3).



Figure 2: On the right is a network representation of a triangle function. The middle shows that triangle function as a hidden layer of a network. The one-dimensional input and output of a triangle function is converted into shared weights. A full pretraining network is assembled on the left.

230 Naively using the output of one trian-231 gle generator (from Figure 2) as input 232 to the next would form a $1 \times 2 \times 1 \times$ 233 $2 \times 1...$ shape, but this is unnecessar-234 ily deep. We can replicate the one-235 dimensional function composition in 236 the hidden layers on the left side of Figure 2 by using weight sharing in-237 stead. Any outgoing weight from t_1 238 or t_2 is shared; every neuron taking 239 in a triangle wave as input does so 240 by combining t_1 and t_2 in the same 241 proportion. In this way, we can avoid 242 having to use the extra intermediate 243 neurons. This is evident in Figure 3 244 where the waves output by t_1 and t_2 245 neurons posses identical slopes in re-246 gions where both neurons are active.

There are two other neurons in the

pretraining network's layers, each



Figure 3: Each colored line shows the output signal of a neuron with respect to the input to the network. Colors match the corresponding neurons in Figure 2.

249 with a specific role. The accumulation neuron (marked as "sum" in Figure 2) maintains a weighted 250 sum of all previous triangle waves through each layer. This is similar to a residual connection (He 251 et al., 2016), except that no other neurons take the sum as input (its output is convex, and therefore not rapidly oscillating, and detrimental to making more linear regions). If the sum neuron were naively implemented, it would multiply the t_1 and t_2 weights by the sum coefficients. Based on 253 Theorem 3.1, these coefficients will be exponentially decaying, so learning these weights directly 254 may cause conditioning issues. Instead, all weights in each layer are multiplied by the ratios be-255 tween successive scaling coefficients, so that the outputs of t_1 and t_2 neurons decay in amplitude 256 in each layer. A conventional bias will have no connections to prior layers, so it will be unable to 257 adjust to the rescaling neuron outputs without having to learn exponentially small values. Therefore, 258 a fourth neuron is configured to output a constant signal, so the weight other neurons place on this 259 output can replace their bias. The bias neuron will connect to the previous layer's bias neuron so 260 that the constant signal can scale down gradually with each layer. We handle this scaling explicitly, 261 rather than relying on regularizers like batch norm (Ioffe & Szegedy, 2015) or layer norm (Lei Ba 262 et al., 2016), partially in order to preserve the mathematical transparency of our model, and partially 263 because this solution arises naturally from our derivations.

264 265

266

247

248

226

227

228 229

3.1 DIFFERENTIABLE MODEL OUTPUT

Given the rapid oscillations of the triangle waves formed at each layer, the network will output a
 fractal with many choices of scaling parameters. This would be poorly predictive of unseen data
 points generated from a smooth curve. Our main mathematical result addresses this issue by forcing
 differentiability of the network output. To achieve differentiability, it turns out that for this class of

functions, the peak locations of the triangle waves uniquely determine the scales with which to sum them. For a broader mathematical discussion, as well as sufficient conditions for differentiability in the limit, see the appendix.
The sector of the triangle waves uniquely determine the scales with which to sum them. For a broader mathematical discussion, as well as sufficient conditions for differentiability in the limit, see the appendix.

Theorem 3.1. F(x) is continuously differentiable on [0,1] only if the scaling coefficients s_i are selected based on the triangle peaks a_i according to:

$$s_{i+1} = s_i(1 - a_{i+1})a_{i+2} \tag{4}$$

277 278 279

280

281

274

275 276

4 ONE-DIMENSIONAL EXPERIMENTS

282 The goal of deep learning is to train a network to approximate a (generally unknown) nonlinear function. Accordingly, in this section, we implement our method to learn several convex one-dimensional 283 curves. These nonlinear functions are known-so that we can measure error-and we remark and 284 demonstrate results on more difficult functions in Section 5, Section 6, and Appendix A.9. The aim 285 of these experiments is twofold: (1) we would like to determine how to learn the most effective 286 function representations possible, and (2) to explore how the utilization of an increased number of 287 linear regions can affect a network's ability to capture underlying nonlinearity in its training data. 288 To demonstrate that our networks can learn function representations that better utilize depth, we 289 benchmark against PyTorch's (Paszke et al., 2019) default settings (nn.linear() uses Kaiming 290 initialization), as well as the RAAI distribution from Singh & Sreejith (2021), and produce errors 291 that are *orders of magnitude lower than both*. For our experimental networks, we use a common set 292 of initialization points where the triangle peaks and scaling parameters are chosen according to our 293 main theorem (Equation 3.1). We compare the effect of reparameterized pretraining against skipping immediately to standard gradient descent (from a reparameterized initialization as in Section 294 3). We also compare training the scaling parameters freely during pretraining, instead of choosing 295 them to achieve differentiability. The intent of both comparisons is to see if pretraining and further 296 differentiability constraints facilitate smoother navigation of the loss landscape. Lastly, we conduct 297 a second round of tests to determine if pretrained networks display an enhanced predictive capacity 298 on unseen data points, as might be expected if they can leverage greater nonlinearity in their outputs. 299

300 301

4.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

302 All models are trained using Adam (Kingma & Ba, 2017) as the optimizer with a learning rate of 303 0.001 for 1,000 epochs to ensure convergence (see Appendix for a brief analysis of different learning 304 rates). Each network is four neurons wide with five hidden layers, along with a one-dimensional 305 input and output. The loss function used is the mean squared error, and the average and minimum 306 loss are recorded for 30 models of each type. The networks unique to this paper share a common set 307 of starting locations using the construction in Section 3, so that the effects of each training regimen are directly comparable. As in related papers (Perekrestenko et al., 2018; Daubechies et al., 2022), 308 we focus for the moment on one-dimensional examples, which are sufficient to demonstrate our 309 proposed theory and methodology. The four curves we approximate are x^3 , x^{11} , tanh(3x), and a 310 quarter period of a sine wave. The curves are chosen to capture a variety of convex one-dimensional 311 functions. To approximate the sine and the hyperbolic tangent, the triangle waves are added to the 312 line y = x. For the other approximations, the waves are subtracted. This requires the first scaling 313 factor to be $a_0 * a_1$ instead of $(1 - a_0) * a_1$. The first set of data is 500 evenly spaced points on the 314 interval [0, 1] for each of the curves. This is chosen to be very dense deliberately, to try to evoke the 315 most accurate representations from each network. We determine from these tests that pretraining 316 with differentiability enforced produces the best results, so we compare it to standard networks in 317 our second set of experiments. We use a second set of data consisting of only 10 points, with a test 318 set of 10 points spaced in between so as to be as far away from learned data as possible. The goal of this set of experiments is to compare the predictive capacities of the networks on unseen data. 319

320

322

321 4.2 NUMERICAL RESULTS

Our first set of results are shown in Tables 1 and 2, wherein we observe several important trends. First, the worst performing networks are the Default Networks that rely on randomized (Kaiming) 324 325

Table 1: Minimum and mean (30 samples) MSE error approximating $y = x^3$ and x^{11} .

326					
327	Training Type	Min x^3	Min x^{11}	Mean x^3	Mean x^{11}
328					
329	Default Network (Kaiming)	2.11×10^{-5}	2.19×10^{-5}	7.20×10^{-2}	2.82×10^{-2}
330	RAAI Distribution	$2.14 imes 10^{-5}$	$4.40 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.97 imes 10^{-2}$	$4.12 imes 10^{-2}$
331	Pretraining Skipped	7.63×10^{-7}	1.86×10^{-5}	3.89×10^{-5}	$3.56 imes 10^{-4}$
332	Differentiability Not Enforced	1.64×10^{-7}	3.20×10^{-6}	1.02×10^{-5}	3.73×10^{-5}
333	Differentiability Enforced	$f 7.86 imes 10^{-8}$	$8.86 imes \mathbf{10^{-7}}$	$5.27 imes10^{-7}$	$7.87 imes10^{-6}$
334					

335 336

Table 2: Minimum and mean (30 samples) MSE error approximating $y = \sin(x)$ and $y = \tanh(3x)$.

337					
338	Training Type	Min $\sin(x)$	Min $tanh(3x)$	Mean $\sin(x)$	Mean $tanh(3x)$
339					
340	Default Network (Kaiming)	$4.50 imes 10^{-5}$	$5.75 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.15 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.96 imes 10^{-1}$
341	RAAI Distribution	3.59×10^{-5}	1.09×10^{-5}	3.63×10^{-2}	2.31×10^{-2}
342	Pretraining Skipped	1.96×10^{-7}	1.07×10^{-6}	1.93×10^{-5}	8.38×10^{-5}
343	Differentiability Not Enforced	$4.41 imes10^{-8}$	1.49×10^{-7}	$1.47 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.81 imes 10^{-4}$
344	Differentiability Enforced	5.06×10^{-8}	$f 6.82 imes 10^{-8}$	$2.21 imes \mathbf{10^{-7}}$	$\mathbf{8.42 imes 10^{-7}}$

345 346

360

347 initialization. Even the networks that forgo pretraining benefit from initializing with many activation 348 regions. When pretraining constraints are used, they are able to steer gradient descent to the best solutions, resulting in reductions in minimum error of three orders of magnitude over default networks. 349 Pretraining with differentiability enforced also closes the gap between the minimum and mean errors 350 compared to other setups. This indicates that these loss landscapes are indeed the most reliable to 351 traverse. Enforcing differentiability during pretraining can impart a bias towards smoother solutions 352 during subsequent unassisted gradient descent. 353

354 The last trend to observe is the poor average performance of default networks. In a typical run of 355 these experiments, around half of the default networks collapse. RAAI is able to eliminate most, but not all of the dying ReLU instances due to its probabilistic nature, so it, too, has high mean error. 356

357 Our second set of results is shown in Table 3 and in Figure 4. Here the most important impact 358 of utilizing exponentially many linear regions is demonstrated. Not only can more accurate repre-359





Figure 4: Comparison between standard Kaiming initialization/gradient descent and pretraining with 377 differentiablity enforced. Using more linear regions allows the curve to better predict the test points.

Table 3: Minimum errors on unseen	points from training	on sparse data.
	points non naming	on openoe anten

380	Training Type	Min x^3	Min x^{11}	Min $\sin(x)$	Min $tanh(3x)$
381 382	Default Network (Kaiming)	2.41×10^{-4}	2.14×10^{-3}	2.27×10^{-5}	1.60×10^{-4}
383 384	Differentiable Pretraining	$5.65 imes10^{-6}$	$6.53 imes10^{-4}$	$7.92 imes 10^{-7}$	$5.09 imes10^{-6}$

sentations of training data be learned, but more linear regions allow the network to better capture underlying nonlinearity to enhance its predictive power in regression tasks. This result is especially significant because it indicates that even in cases where there are fewer data points than linear regions, having the additional regions can still provide performance advantages.

4.3 GRADIENT DESCENT DOES NOT DIRECTLY OPTIMIZE EFFICIENCY

393 Figure 5 shows the interior of a default network. The layers here are 394 shown before applying ReLU. The 395 default networks fail to make efficient 396 use of ReLU to produce linear re-397 gions, even falling short of 1 bend per 398 neuron, which can easily be attained 399 by forming a linear spline (1 hidden 400 layer) that interpolates some of the 401 data points. Examining the figure, the 402 first two layers are wasted. No neu-403 ron's activation pattern crosses y =404 0, so ReLU is never used. Layer 3 could be formed directly from the in-405 put signal. Deeper in the network, 406 more neurons remain either strictly 407 positive or negative. Those that in-408 tersect y = 0 are monotonic, only 409 able to introduce one bend at a time. 410 The core issue is that while more 411 bends leads to better accuracy, net-





412 works that have few bends are not locally connected in parameter space to those that have many. 413 This is problematic since gradients can only carry information about the effects of infinitesimal pa-414 rameter modifications. If a bend exists, gradient descent can reposition it. But for a neuron that 415 always outputs a strictly positive value (such as the red in layer 2), bends cannot be introduced by infinitesimal weight or bias adjustments. Therefore, bend-related information will be absent from 416 its gradients. Gradient descent will only compel a network to bend by happenstance; indirectly re-417 lated local factors must guide a neuron to begin outputting negative values. Occasionally, these local 418 incentives are totally absent, and the network outputs a bend-free line of best fit. 419

420

378 379

385 386

387

388

389

390 391

392

421

4.4 EFFECT OF REPARAMETERIZED INITIALIZATION VS PRETRAINING

422 Figure 6 compares the top performing reparameterized models for x^3 with and without pretraining. 423 We observe that without the guidance of the pretraining, gradient descent usually loses the triangle 424 generating structure around layer 4 or 5, devolving into noisy patterns and resulting in higher errors. 425 Pretraining maintains structure at greater depths. This behavior of gradient descent we observe is 426 problematic since theoretical works often rely on specific constructions within networks to prove 427 their results. Gradient descent greedily abandons any such structure during its optimization in favor 428 of models that can be worse in the long term. A theoretical result that shows a certain representation 429 exists in the set of neural networks will thus have a hard time actually learning it without a subsequent plan to control training. This phenomenon highlights the need for theoretical work to derive 430 more expressive training controls, which can give better guidance for the optimization of functions 431 of greater dimension or complexity.



Figure 6: Pretraining (right) results in better structural retention in deeper layers.

5 EXTENSION TO NON-CONVEX FUNCTIONS AND HIGHER DIMENSIONS

The results presented so far are lim-450 ited to one-dimensional convex func-451 tions, but these are not limitations of 452 our method. Our constructed net-453 works can be applied to approximate 454 higher-dimensional functions by us-455 ing these networks as activation functions in a larger network (see Figure 456 9 in the Appendix for a schematic). 457 For instance, in two dimensions, we 458 take two or more copies of our net-459 work and estimate a target function as 460 a linear combination of the networks' 461 outputs. Because the weights of the 462 linear combination are randomized, 463 each copy of the network will tend to 464 learn different lower-dimensional as-465 pects of the target function, whereby 466 the combination can accurately capture the higher-dimensional function. 467

The same approach can address non-



Figure 7: Approximation of $y = x^3 - x$ by difference of pretrained components, achieving a loss of 4×10^{-7} . A standard 8×5 network yielded a larger loss of 8×10^{-6} .

convex functions since many non-convex functions (including every continuous function with a
bounded second derivative (Hessian)) can be expressed as the difference of two convex functions
(see Zhang et al. (2018), which also describes how every ReLU network can be decomposed into a
difference of two piecewise linear convex functions). We do not claim that two copies of our network
are sufficient for learning every pathological function, but preliminary results in this section are
surprisingly promising. In fact, we highly encourage reviewing Appendix A.9, which demonstrates
our method on real-world datasets and problems with up to eight input dimensions.

476 We note that the approach in this section bears similarity to the recently popularized Kolmogorov-477 Arnold Networks (KAN) (Liu et al., 2024), which use spline functions as activations of a network where every weight is 1. This is based on the Kolomogorov-Arnold representation theorem (Kol-478 mogorov, 1957; Arnold, 1957; 1959), which gives a guarantee that every continuous multivari-479 ate function can be represented by a neural network-like structure with one-dimensional activation 480 functions. But by restricting the activation functions to splines, the KAN abandons its theoretical 481 guarantees. Using our networks as activations, however, maintains the theoretical ability to perform 482 polynomial interpolation since they retain the ability to approximate x^2 , and the multiplication gate 483 discussed in Section 2.1 is based on a linear combination of squared terms. 484

Figures 7 and 8 show learning the non-convex function $y = x^3 - x$ and the two-dimensional function $z = r^3$ on [-1, 1], each by using two of our convex function blocks. Rather than directly using our



Figure 8: Approximations of $z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}^3$ using an 8×5 regular network (left) and a union of two of our pretrained components (right). Losses are 1.5×10^{-4} and 3.5×10^{-6} , respectively, demonstrating a nearly two orders of magnitude improvement using our techniques.

networks as activation functions, we instead encode each of their layers as a block diagonal structure in one combined matrix (which has the same effect). Two slight modifications are made to each of the component networks to better interact with ReLU. First, two neurons are used for the sum so that a negative component may be maintained in the case of $x^3 - x$, which would otherwise be zeroed out by ReLU. Second, the triangular functions are generated upside-down, preventing ReLU from zeroing the function outside of the approximation region.

512 For both $x^3 - x$ and r^3 , we substantially outperform standard initialization and training procedures. 513 Especially striking is the increased number of linear regions in Figure 8. Visible in Figure 7 is the 514 gradient descent-induced destructuring discussed in Section 4.4. This likely occurs due to the block 515 diagonal structure of the larger weight matrices, which results in many zeros for the second pass of 516 gradient descent to fill in unassisted. This could be addressed either by limiting training only to the 517 block diagonal entries, or by deriving a more advanced pretraining method that makes use of the full matrix. Note that since $x^3 - x$ is twice differentiable we can write it as $(x^3 - x + 3x^2) - 3x^2$, 518 a difference of functions convex on [-1, 1] obtained by finding a parabola based on trying to make 519 the derivative of $x^3 - x$ monotone. Note that this is not a unique decomposition. 520

521 522

523 524

501

502

503 504 505

6 CONCLUDING REMARKS

This paper focused on exploiting the potential computational complexity advantages neural networks offer for the problem of efficiently learning nonlinear functions; in particular, compelling 526 ReLU networks to approximate functions with exponential accuracy as network depth is linearly 527 increased. Our results showed improvements of one to several orders of magnitude in using our 528 initialization and pretraining strategy to train ReLU networks to learn various nonlinear functions, 529 including non-convex and multi-dimensional functions. This finding is particularly powerful since 530 random initialization and gradient descent are not likely to produce an efficient solution on their 531 own, even if it can be proven to exist in the set of sufficiently sized ReLU networks. Although we 532 proposed one preliminary strategy for extending our network for higher-dimensional functions, this 533 strategy has limitations, such as leaving many weights to be filled in by gradient descent (which it 534 generally does not do effectively). We anticipate continuing investigating strategies for improving 535 the generalizability of our work, which we believe is critically important since it offers the possibility 536 of an exponentially more accurate drop-in replacement of linear layers in any architecture. We note 537 that there are other metrics for evaluating a neural network's expressivity (e.g., Raghu et al. (2017)), which would be interesting to measure for our networks in future work. Overall, we are hopeful 538 that future works by our group and others will help illuminate a complete theory for harnessing the potential exponential power of depth in ReLU and other classes of neural networks.

540 REFERENCES

546

542	Vladimir Arnold.	On functions of three	variables. Doklady	Akademii Nauk SSSR,	114(4):679–681,
543	1957.				

- Vladmir Arnold. On the representation of continuous functions of many variables by superposition of continuous functions of one variable and addition. *Matematicheskii Sbornik*, 48(1):3–74, 1959.
- Minshuo Chen, Haoming Jiang, Wenjing Liao, and Tuo Zhao. Efficient approximation of deep ReLU networks for functions on low dimensional manifolds. In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 32. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2019/ file/fd95ec8df5dbeea25aa8e6c808bad583-Paper.pdf.
- Wenlin Chen and Hong Ge. Neural characteristic activation analysis and geometric parameterization
 for reLU networks. In *The Thirty-eighth Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=7HFQfRjdcn.
- Adrian Chmielewski-Anders. Activation regions as a proxy for understanding neural networks. Master's thesis, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, July 2020.
- 559 George Cybenko. Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function. *Mathematics of control, signals and systems*, 2(4):303–314, 1989.
- Ingrid Daubechies, Ronald DeVore, Simon Foucart, Boris Hanin, and Guergana Petrova. Nonlinear
 approximation and (deep) relu networks. *Constructive Approximation*, 55(1):127–172, 2022.
- Konstantin Eckle and Johannes Schmidt-Hieber. A comparison of deep networks with relu activation
 function and linear spline-type methods. *Neural Networks*, 110:232–242, 2019.
- Dennis Maximilian Elbrächter, Julius Berner, and Philipp Grohs. How degenerate is the parametrization of neural networks with the relu activation function? In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 32. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2019/file/04115ec378e476c56d19d827bcf8db56-Paper.pdf.
- Jonathan Frankle and Michael Carbin. The lottery ticket hypothesis: Finding sparse, trainable neural networks, 2019.
- Frederic Gibou, David Hyde, and Ron Fedkiw. Sharp interface approaches and deep learning techniques for multiphase flows. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 380:442–463, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jcp.2018.05.031. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2018.05.031.
- Xavier Glorot and Yoshua Bengio. Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks. In Yee Whye Teh and Mike Titterington (eds.), *Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, volume 9 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 249–256, Chia Laguna Resort, Sardinia, Italy, 13–15 May 2010. PMLR. URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v9/glorot10a.html.
- Boris Hanin. Universal function approximation by deep neural nets with bounded width and ReLU activations. *Mathematics*, 7(10), 2019. ISSN 2227-7390. doi: 10.3390/math7100992. URL https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7390/7/10/992.
- Boris Hanin and David Rolnick. Deep ReLU networks have surprisingly few activation patterns. In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 32. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2019/file/9766527f2b5d3e95d4a733fcfb77bd7e-Paper.pdf.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Delving deep into rectifiers: Surpassing
 human-level performance on imagenet classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, December 2015.

594 595 596	Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Identity mappings in deep residual net- works. In <i>Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Nether-</i> <i>lands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part IV 14</i> , pp. 630–645. Springer, 2016.
597 598	Kurt Hornik, Maxwell Stinchcombe, and Halbert White. Multilayer feedforward networks are uni-
599	versal approximators. Neural Networks, 2(5):359-366, 1989. ISSN 0893-6080. doi: https:
600	//doi.org/10.1016/0893-6080(89)90020-8. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/
601	science/article/pii/0893608089900208.
602	Joey Huchette, Gonzalo Muñoz, Thiago Serra, and Calvin Tsay. When deen learning meets polyhe-
603	dral theory: A survey, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.00241.
604	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
605 606	Sergey Ioffe and Christian Szegedy. Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift, 2015. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.03167.
607	
608 609	Irena Ivanova and Miroslav Kubat. Initialization of neural networks by means of decision trees. <i>Knowledge-Based Systems</i> , 8(6):333–344, 1995.
610 611	Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization, 2017.
612	Andrei Kolmogorov. On the representation of continuous functions of many variables by superposi-
613	tion of continuous functions of one variable and addition. <i>Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR</i> , 114(5):
614	953–956, 1957.
615	
616	Jimmy Lei Ba, Jamie Ryan Kiros, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Layer normalization. ArXiv e-prints, pp.
617	arXiv-1607, 2016.
618	Shivu Liang and R. Srikant. Why deep neural networks? <i>CoRR</i> , abs/1610.04161, 2016. URL
619	http://arxiv.org/abs/1610.04161.
620	
621	Ziming Liu, Yixuan Wang, Sachin Vaidya, Fabian Ruehle, James Halverson, Marin Soljačić,
622 623	Thomas Y. Hou, and Max Tegmark. Kan: Kolmogorov-arnold networks, 2024. URL https: //arxiv.org/abs/2404.19756.
624	Jianfeng Lu, Zuowei Shen, Haizhao Yang, and Shijun Zhang. Deep network approximation for
625 626 627	smooth functions. <i>SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis</i> , 53(5):5465–5506, jan 2021. doi: 10.1137/20m134695x. URL https://doi.org/10.1137/20m134695x.
628	Lu Lu Veoniong Shin Yanhui Su and George Em Karniadakis Dving Rel II and initializa-
629	tion: Theory and numerical examples. Communications in Computational Physics, 28(5):
630 631	1671-1706, 2020. ISSN 1991-7120. doi: https://doi.org/10.4208/cicp.OA-2020-0165. URL http://global-sci.org/intro/article_detail/cicp/18393.html.
632	
633	Zhou Lu, Hongming Pu, Feicheng Wang, Zhiqiang Hu, and Liwei Wang. The ex-
634	Luxburg S Bengio H Wallach P Fergus S Visbwarathan and P Garnett (eds.) Ad
635	vances in Neural Information Processing Systems volume 30 Curran Associates Inc
636	2017. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper files/paper/2017/
637	file/32cbf687880eb1674a07bf717761dd3a-Paper.pdf.
638	
639	Hadrien Montanelli, Haizhao Yang, and Qiang Du. Deep ReLU networks overcome the curse of
640	dimensionality for bandlimited functions, 2020.
641	Guido F Montufar, Razvan Pascanu, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. On the number of linear
642	regions of deep neural networks. In Z. Ghahramani, M. Welling, C. Cortes, N. Lawrence, and
643	K.Q. Weinberger (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 27. Curran
644	Associates, Inc., 2014a. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/
645	paper/2014/file/109d2dd3608f669ca17920c511c2a41e-Paper.pdf.
646	Guido E Montufor Dozuon Dozonu Vinnahun Cho, and Vachur Densie. On the must be fille
647	regions of deep neural networks. Advances in neural information processing systems, 27, 2014b.

648 649	Sayan Nag, Mayukh Bhattacharyya, Anuraag Mukherjee, and Rohit Kundu. Serf: Towards better training of deep neural networks using log softplus error activation function. In <i>Proceedings of</i>
650	the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), pp. 5324–5333,
651	January 2023.
652	
653	Yookoon Park, Sangho Lee, Gunhee Kim, and David M. Blei. Unsupervised representation learning
654	via neural activation county, 2021. UKL https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.04014.
655	Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor
656 657	Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, et al. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-
658	performance deep learning library. Advances in neural information processing systems, 32, 2019.
659	Dmytro Perekrestenko, Philipp Grohs, Dennis Elbrächter, and Helmut Bölcskei. The universal an-
660 661	proximation power of finite-width deep relu networks, 2018.
662	Xuan Oi Vi Wei Xue Mei Ryad Chellali and Shinin Yang. Comparative analysis of the linear
663	regions in ReLU and LeakyReLU networks. In Biao Luo, Long Cheng, Zheng-Guang Wu, Hongyi
664 665	Li, and Chaojie Li (eds.), <i>Neural Information Processing</i> , pp. 528–539, Singapore, 2024. Springer Nature Singapore. ISBN 978-981-99-8132-8.
666	
667	Maithra Raghu, Ben Poole, Jon Kleinberg, Surya Ganguli, and Jascha Sohl-Dickstein. On the
668	expressive power of deep neural networks. In Doina Precup and Yee Whye Teh (eds.), Pro-
669	ceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning, volume 70 of Proceed-
670	ings of Machine Learning Research, pp. 2847–2854. PMLR, 06–11 Aug 2017. URL https:
671	//proceedings.mir.press/v/0/ragnur/a.numr.
672	David Rolnick and Konrad Kording. Reverse-engineering deep ReLU networks. In Hal Daumé III
673	and Aarti Singh (eds.), Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning,
674	volume 119 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, pp. 8178-8187. PMLR, 13-18 Jul
675	2020. URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/rolnick20a.html.
676	
677	ragions of deep neural networks 2018 LIPL https://arviv.org/aba/1711_02114
678	regions of deep neural networks, 2018. OKL https://arxiv.org/abs/1/11.02114.
679	Yeoniong Shin and George Em Karniadakis. Trainability of ReLU networks and data-dependent
680	initialization. Journal of Machine Learning for Modeling and Computing, 1(1):39–74, 2020.
681	ISSN 2689-3967.
682	
683 684	Dayal Singh and G J Sreejith. Initializing ReLU networks in an expressive subspace of weights, 2021.
685	
686	Matus Telgarsky. Representation benefits of deep feedforward networks, 2015.
687	
688	functions arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.00207. 2019
689	Tunctions. <i>urxiv preprint urxiv</i> .1007.00297, 2018.
690	Dmitry Yarotsky. Error bounds for approximations with deep ReLU networks. Neural
691	<i>Networks</i> , 94:103–114, 2017. ISSN 0893-6080. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.
692	2017.07.002. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
693	S0893608017301545.
694	
695	I-Cheng Yen. Concrete Compressive Strength. UCI Machine Learning Repository, 1998. DOI: https://doi.org/10.24422/C5DK67
696	nups.//uoi.org/10.24452/C5PK0/.
697	Liwen Zhang, Gregory Naitzat, and Lek-Heng Lim. Tropical geometry of deep neural networks
698	In Jennifer Dy and Andreas Krause (eds.), Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on
699	Machine Learning, volume 80 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, pp. 5824-5832.
700	PMLR, 10-15 Jul 2018. URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v80/zhang18i.
701	html.

APPENDIX А



Figure 9: Extending our results into a larger network. ReLU should not be used in the layers between the blocks, as creating nonconvex functions this way will tend to make a lot of negative values.

A.1 INITIALIZATION AND TRAINING ALGORITHM

The initialization step of our algorithm is to generate a vector $A = [a_0, a_1, ..., a_n]^T$, where each a_i is randomized in (0, 1). Given this A, the pretraining step of our algorithm sets the weights of the input (I), hidden $(H_i, 1 \le i \le n-1)$, and output (O) layers of the network as follows:

$$I(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x \\ x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -a_0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_{i}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & S_{i}/a_{i} & -S_{i}/(a_{i}-a_{i}^{2}) & 0\\ 0 & S_{i}/a_{i} & -S_{i}/(a_{i}-a_{i}^{2}) & 0\\ 0 & S_{i}/a_{i} & -S_{i}/(a_{i}-a_{i}^{2}) & -S_{i}a_{i+1}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & S_{i} \end{bmatrix} \times ReLU(H_{i-1}(x))$$

$$O(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & S_n/a_n & -S_n/(a_n - a_n^2) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times ReLU(H_{n-1}(x))$$

where S_i can either be chosen independently, or chosen based on A. In the latter case, Equation 3.1 gives $S_i = s_i/s_{i-1} = (1 - a_i)a_{i+1}$. This assignment of I, H, and O is used in each iteration of the pretraining algorithm.

$A \leftarrow \text{Random}((0,1)^n)$	
while Epochs > 0 do	
Network \leftarrow Set_Weights(A)	▷ Set weights as above each iteration
Loss $\leftarrow (\operatorname{Network}(x) - y)^2$	-
Network-Gradient \leftarrow Derivative(Loss, Network)	Regular Backpropagation
A-Gradient \leftarrow Derivative(Network, A)	Backpropagate through weight setting
$Gradient \leftarrow Network-Gradient \times A$ -Gradient	
$A \leftarrow A - \epsilon \times \text{Gradient}$	\triangleright Update A, Not the network
end while	

The network weights can then be initialized once more based on the learned vector A, to then update the weights via regular gradient descent. In our experiments, both phases of training (pretraining and gradient descent) ran for 1000 epochs. Full pseudocode is listed in Algorithm 1.

A.2 TERMINOLOGY

Before presenting further formal mathematical details of our method, we first briefly review a few pieces of basic ReLU network terminology used in the paper. The reader is referred to the Chmielewski-Anders (2020) for an excellent alternative presentation of these terms.

761 An activation pattern is a boolean mask that tracks which neurons in a network have their output 762 zeroed by ReLU activations. The activation regions of a ReLU network are connected (and can be 763 shown to be convex) sets of inputs on which the activation pattern is constant. Since the action of the 764 ReLU activation function is constant, the network output over an activation region is equivalent to the 765 case where there is no activation function and the associated zeroed neurons are absent; therefore, the network output behaves linearly over the inputs in the activation region. Relatedly, a *linear region* 766 is a set of inputs on which the network output behaves linearly with respect to its inputs. It may 767 consist of multiple neighboring activation regions. Another important concept is the boundary of a 768 neuron, as described in Rolnick & Kording (2020): the set of inputs for which the neuron outputs 769 0, independently of ReLU. The boundary of a neuron is precisely the boundary of the activation 770 regions it adds to the network. Chen & Ge (2024) refers to this set as the characteristic activation 771 boundary since these are the boundaries of the activation regions. 772

We note that although works like ours are theoretical papers that leverage these concepts, studying
these ideas can lead to interesting applied learning research. For instance, Rolnick & Kording (2020)
leverages theoretical works like those cited in our related work discussion for an exciting privacy
application: it is proven that a ReLU network's output can often be provably used to reverse engineer
the architecture of a ReLU network, up to isomorphism.

A.3 MATHEMATICAL RESULTS

778

779 780

791 792

793 794

796

For convenience, we first restate the functions defined in the main body of the paper.

$$T_{i}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{a_{i}} & 0 \le x \le a_{i} \\ 1 - \frac{x - a_{i}}{1 - a_{i}} & a_{i} \le x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$T'_i(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{a_i} & 0 < x < a_i \\ \frac{1}{1-a_i} & a_i < x < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$W_i(x) = \bigcap_{j=0}^i T_j(x) = T_i(T_{i-1}(...T_0(x)))$$

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i W_i(x)$$

The goal of this section will be to show how to select the s_i based on a_i in a manner where the derivative F'(x) is defined and continuous on all of [0, 1]. We begin by assuming that

$$F'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i W'_i(x)$$

We will see that the resulting choice of s_i ensures uniform convergence of the derivative terms, so that the derivative of the infinite sum is indeed infinite sum of the derivatives. Fortunately, the left and right derivatives $F'_+(x)$ and $F'_-(x)$ already exist everywhere, since each bend in each W_i (where the full derivative of F is undefined) is assigned the slope of the line segment to its left or right respectively. The s_i scaling values will have to be chosen appropriately so that $F'_+(x)$ and $F'_-(x)$ are equal for all bend points.

Notationally, we will denote the sorted x-locations of the peaks and valleys of $W_i(x)$ by the lists $P_i = \{x : W_i(x) = 1\}$ and $V_i = \{x : W_i(x) = 0\}$. We will use the list B_i to reference the locations of all non-differentiable points, which we refer to as bends. $B_i := P_i \cup V_i$. $f_i(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{i-1} s_n W_n(x)$ will denote finite depth approximations up to but not including layer *i*. The error function $E_i(x) = \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} s_n W_n(x) = F(x) - f_i(x)$ will represent the error between the finite approximation and the infinite depth network. This odd split around layer *i* makes the proofs cleaner.

813

837

849

814 Figure 10 highlights some important prop-815 erties about composing triangle functions. 816 Peaks alternate with valleys. Peak loca-817 tions in one layer become valleys in the 818 Valleys in one layer remain valleys next. 819 in all future layers since 0 is a fixed point 820 of each T_i . To produce W_i , each line seg-821 ment of W_{i-1} becomes a dilated copy of T_i . Each triangle function has two distinct 822 slopes $1/a_i$ and $-1/(1 - a_i)$ which are 823 dilated by the chain rule during the com-824 position. On negative slopes of W_{i-1} , the 825 input to layer *i* is reversed, so those copies 826 of T_i are reflected. Due to the reflection, 827 the slopes of W_i on each side of a peak or 828 valley are proportional. Alternatively, one 829 could consider that on each side of a peak 830 in W_{i-1} , there is a neighborhood of points 831 that are greater than a_i , and are composed 832 with the same line segment of T_i that has 833 slope $-1/(1 - a_i)$. Either way, it's important to note that the slopes on each side of a 834 bend scale identically during each composi-835 tion. 836



Figure 10: Triangle functions T_0 and T_1 , and the triangle wave resulting from their composition. Note how T_1 is reflected in W_1 .

Before we begin reasoning about F'(x), it can simplify the analysis to only consider the derivative of the error function E'(x).

Lemma A.1. for $x \in P_i$, F'(x) is defined if and only if $E'_i(x)$ is defined.

Proof. All of $W_n(x)$ for n < i are differentiable at $x \in P_i$ since x will lie in the interior of a linear region of W_n . Therefore, $f'_i(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{i-1} s_n W'_n(x)$ exists at these points. Since $E'_i + f'_i = F'$, F'(x) is defined if and only if $E'_i(x)$ is defined.

Thanks to the previous lemma, we only need to work with E_i . Here we compute the right derivative $(E_i)'_+(x)$ of the error function at a point x. The left derivative will only be different by a constant factor.

Lemma A.2. For all $x \in P_i$, $E'_+(x)$ and $E'_-(x)$ are proportional to

$$s_{i} - \frac{1}{1 - a_{i+1}} \left(s_{i+1} + \sum_{n=i+2}^{\infty} s_{n} \prod_{k=i+2}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{k}} \right).$$
(5)

Proof. Let x_k be some point in P_i , and let k be its index in any list it appears in. To calculate the value of $E'_+(x_k) = \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} s_n(W_n)'_+(x_k)$, we will have to find the slope of the linear intervals to the immediate right of x_k for all W_i . We will use R_x to represent $W'_{i+}(x_k)$. The first term in the sum will be $R_x s_i$. Since the derivatives of composed functions will multiply from the chain rule, so the value of the next term is $W'_{i+1}(x_k) = T'_{i+1}(W_i(x_k))R_x$. T_{i+1} has two linear segments, giving two slope possibilities to multiply by. The correct one to choose is $-1/(1 - a_{i+1})$ because it's 'active' around x_k (x_k is a peak of W_i , so $W_i(x_k) > a_{i+1}$ for $x \in (B_{i+1}[k-1], B_{i+1}[k+1])$). This gives $W'_{i+1}(x_k) = -R_x \frac{s_{i+1}}{(1-a_{i+1})}$. Note that the second term has the opposite sign as the first.

For all remaining terms, since x_k was in P_i , it is in V_j for j > i. For $x \in (B_{j+1}[k-1], B_{j+1}[k+1])$, $W_j(x) < a_{j+1}$ and the chain rule applies the slope $1/a_{j+1}$. Since this slope is positive, every remaining term continues to have the opposite sign as the first term. Summing up all the terms with the coefficients s_i , and factoring out R_x will yield the desired formula. Note that this same derivation applies to the left sided derivatives as well because the 'active' slopes of $T_{i+1}(W_i)$ are all the same whether a bend in W_i is approached from the left or the right. The initial slope constant L_x will just be different.

Lemma A.3. If $E'_{+}(x) = E'_{-}(x)$, E'(x) must be equal to 0.

Proof. Let S represent Equation 5, and R and L be the constants of proportionality for the direc-tional derivatives. If $E'_+ = E'_-$, then $R_x S = L_x S$ for all $x \in P_i$. Since W_i is comprised of alternating positive and negatively sloped line segments, R_x and L_x have opposite signs. The only way to satisfy the equation then is if S = 0. Consequently, E'(x) = 0 for all $x \in P_i$.

The following lemma shows that to calculate the derivative at of F(x) for any bend point x, one needs only to compute the derivative of the finite approximation f_i (which excludes W_i). This will be useful later for proving other results.

Lemma A.4. For all $x \in P_i$:

 $F'(x) = f'_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{i-1} s_j W'_j(x)$ (6)

Proof. From the previous lemma we have E'(x) = 0 whenever the directional derivatives are equal. $F(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} s_j W_j(x) + E(x)$. The first i-1 terms are differentiable at the points P_i since those points lie between the discontinuities in B_{i-1} . Therefore F'(x) is defined and can be calculated using the finite sum. A visualization of this lemma is provided in Figure 11.

We now prove our main theorem, which shows that there is a way to sum the triangular waveforms W_i so that the resulting approximation converges to a continuously differentiable function. The idea of the proof is that much of the formula for E'(x) will be shared between two successive generations of peaks. Once they are both valleys, they will be treated the same by the remaining compositions, so the sizes of their remaining discontinuities will need to be proportional.

Theorem (3.1). F'(x) is defined on [0,1] only if the scaling coefficients are selected based on a_i according to:

 $s_{i+1} = s_i(1 - a_{i+1})a_{i+2}$

Proof. Rewriting Equation 5 (which is equal to 0) for layers i and i + 1 in the following way:

$$s_i(1 - a_{i+1}) = s_{i+1} + \frac{1}{a_{i+2}} \left(s_{i+2} + \sum_{n=i+3}^{\infty} s_n \prod_{k=i+3}^n \frac{1}{a_k} \right)$$

$$s_{i+1}(1-a_{i+2}) = s_{i+2} + \sum_{n=i+3}^{\infty} s_n \prod_{k=i+3}^{n} \frac{1}{a_k}$$

allows for a substitution to eliminate the infinite sum

which simplifies to the desired result.

$$s_i(1 - a_{i+1}) = s_{i+1} + \frac{1 - a_{i+2}}{a_{i+2}}s_{i+1}$$

 $s_{i+1} = \frac{s_i(1 - a_{i+1})}{1 + \frac{1 - a_{i+2}}{2}}$

Collecting all the terms gives



Figure 11: The derivatives of the first few stages of approximation. Notice that each time a constant segment "splits", the two neighboring segments adjacent to the split monotonically converge back to the original value (marked with a colored point corresponding to the step it's from)

SUFFICIENCY FOR DIFFERENTIABILITY 934 A.4

This form of the scaling equation derived in the previous section is rather interesting. Since the 936 ratio of two successive scaling terms is $(1 - a_{i+1})a_{i+2}$, factors of both a_i and $1 - a_i$ are present in s_i . This has a few implications, firstly if any a_i is 0 or 1, subsequent scales will all be 0, essentially 938 freezing the corresponding neural network at a finite depth. Secondly, having both a_i and $1 - a_i$ 939 will cancel whichever slope multiplier T_i contributes to W_i at each point x, leaving behind the other 940 term, which is less than 1.

941 942

947

953

959

960

966

967 968

969 970

929

930

931

932 933

935

937

If we ensure each a_i is bounded away from 0 or 1 by being drawn from the an interval such that 943 $c < a_i < 1 - c$ for some 0 < c < 0.5, then the maximum value of W'_i is always upper bounded 944 by $(1-c)^{i}$, which is sufficient for uniform convergence. This condition also means that the bends 945 points (and thus the activation regions of the corresponding neural network) will become dense in 946 [0, 1], as each region is partitioned (at worst) in a c: 1 - c ratio.

Lastly, we can show that bounds on a_i and our choice of scaling values are sufficient for the existence 948 of F' on the bend points, in addition to being necessary for the existence of the derivative on the 949 bend points, our choice of scaling is sufficient when a_i are bounded away from 0 or 1. 950

Theorem A.5. on bend points x, F'(x) exists if we can find c > 0 such that $c \le a_i \le 1 - c$ for all 951 *i* and choose all s_i according to Equation 3.1. 952

Proof. We begin by considering Equation 5 for layer *i* (it equals 0 by Theorem A.3).

$$s_i = \frac{1}{1 - a_{i+1}} \left(s_{i+1} + \sum_{n=i+2}^{\infty} s_n \prod_{k=i+2}^{n} \frac{1}{a_k} \right)$$

We will prove our result by substituting Equation 3.1 into this formula, and then verifying that the resulting equation is valid. First we would like to rewrite each occurrence of s in terms of s_i . Equation 3.1 gives a recurrence relation. Converting it to an non-recursive representation we have:

$$s_n = s_i \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^n 1 - a_j\right) \left(\prod_{k=i+2}^{n+1} a_k\right) \tag{7}$$

When we substitute this into Equation 5, three things happen: each term is divisible by s_i so s_i cancels out, every factor in the product except the last cancels, and $1 - a_{i+1}$ cancels. This leaves

$$1 = a_{i+2} + (1 - a_{i+2})a_{i+3} + (1 - a_{i+2})(1 - a_{i+3})a_{i+4} + \dots = \sum_{n=i+2}^{\infty} a_n \prod_{m=i+2}^{n-1} (1 - a_m)$$
(8)

This equation has a meaningful interpretation that is important to the argument. 1 is the full size 971 of the initial derivative discontinuity at a point in P_i , and each term on the other side represents

proportionally how much the discontinuity is closed for each triangle wave that is added. Every time a wave is added, it subtracts the first term appearing on the right hand side. The following argument shows that each term of the sum on the right accounts for a fraction (equal to a_i) of the remaining discontinuity, guaranteeing its disappearance in the limit. Inductively we can show:

$$1 - \sum_{n=i+2}^{j} a_n \prod_{m=i+2}^{n-1} (1 - a_m) = \prod_{m=i+2}^{j} (1 - a_m)$$
(9)

In words this means that as the first term appearing on the right in Equation 8 is repeatedly subtracted, that term is always equal to a_n times the left side. As a base case, we have $(1 - a_{i+2}) = (1 - a_{i+2})$. Assuming the above equation holds for all previous values of j

$$1 - \sum_{n=i+2}^{j+1} a_n \prod_{m=i+2}^{n-1} (1 - a_m) = 1 - \sum_{n=i+2}^{j} a_n \prod_{m=i+2}^{n-1} (1 - a_m) - a_{j+1} \prod_{m=i+2}^{j} (1 - a_m) = 0$$

using the inductive hypothesis to make the substitution

$$\prod_{m=i+2}^{j} (1-a_m)) - a_{j+1} \prod_{m=i+2}^{j} (1-a_m)) = \prod_{m=i+2}^{j+1} (1-a_m))$$

Since all $c < a_i < 1 - c$, the size of the discontinuity at the points P_i is upper bounded by the exponentially decaying series $(1 - c)^n$, which approaches zero.

980

981

992

997 998

999 1000 1001

1004

1008

1010

1019

Lemma A.6. The ratio s_{i+2}/s_i is at most 0.25.

Proof. by applying Equation 3.1 twice, we have

$$s_{i+2} = s_i(1 - a_{i+1})(1 - a_i + 2)a_{i+2}a_{i+3}$$

To maximize s_{i+2} we choose $a_{i+1} = 0$ and $a_{i+3} = 1$. The quantity $a_{i+2} - a_{i+2}^2$ is a parabola with a maximum of 0.25 at $a_{i+2} = 0.5$.

- Since each W_i takes values between 0 and 1, its contribution to F is bounded by s_i . Since the s_i decay exponentially, one could construct a geometric series to bound the error of the approximation and arrive at an exponential rate of decay.
 - 09 A.6 SECOND DERIVATIVES

Here we show that any function represented by one of these networks that is not $y = x^2$ does not have a continuous second derivative, as it will not be defined at the bend locations. To show this we will sample a discrete series of $\Delta y/\Delta x$ values from F'(x) and show that the limits of these series on the right and left are not the same (unless all $a_i = 0.5$), which implies that F''(x) does not exist (see Figure 12 below). First we will produce the series of Δx . Let x be the location of a peak of W_i , and let l_n and r_n be its immediate neighbors in B_{i+n} .

Lemma A.7. If $c < a_i < 1 - c$ for all *i*, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} l_n = x$. Furthermore, $r_n, l_n \neq x$ for any finite *i*.

1020 1021 Proof. Let R and L denote the magnitude of W'_i on the left and right of x. x is a peak location 1021 of W_i , so the right side slope is negative and the left is positive. Solving for the location of 1022 $T_{i+1}(W_i(x)) = 1$ on each side will give $l_1 = x - (1 - a_{i+1})/L$ and $r_1 = x + (1 - a_{i+1})/R$. 1023

1024 On each subsequent iteration i + n $(n \ge 2)$, x is a valley point and the Δx intervals get multiplied 1025 by a_{i+n} . Since x is a valley point the right slope is positive and the left is negative. The slope magnitudes are given by $\frac{1}{x-l_n}$ and $\frac{1}{r_n-x}$ since W_{i+n} ranges from 0 to 1 over these spans. Solving for the new peaks again will give $l_{n+1} = x - a_{i+1}(x - l_n)$ and $r_{n+1} = x + a_{i+1}(r_n - x)$. The resulting non-recursive formulas are:

$$x - l_n = \frac{1 - a_{i+1}}{L} \prod_{m=2}^n a_{i+m} \text{ and } r_n - x = \frac{1 - a_{i+1}}{R} \prod_{m=2}^n a_{i+m}$$
(10)

The right hand sides will never be equal to zero with a finite number of terms since a parameters are bounded away from 0 and 1 by c.



Figure 12: An illustration of attempting to calculate the second derivative. The points in the series approaching x from the right are marked. We rely on the fact that at bend points, the first derivative converges back to the value it had at a finite point in the approximation. $a_0 \neq 0.5$ and all other parameters are set to 0.5, which will cause the left and right sets of points to lie on lines with different slopes.

Next we derive the values of Δy to complete the proof.

Theorem A.8. F(x) cannot be twice differentiable unless $F(x) = x^2$.

Proof. The points l_n and r_n are all peak locations, Equation 6 gives their derivative values as $f'_{i+n}(r_n)$. In our argument for sufficiency, we reasoned about the sizes of the discontinuities in f' at x. Since l_n and r_n always lie on the linear intervals surrounding x as $n \to \infty$, we can get the value of $f'_i(x) - f'_{i+n}(r_n)$ using Equation 9 with the initial discontinuity size set to Rs_i rather than 1. Focusing on the right hand side we get:

$$f'_i(x) - f'_{i+n}(r_n) = R * s_i \prod_{m=2}^n (1 - a_{i+m})$$

 a_m

1062 taking $\Delta y / \Delta x$ gives a series:

$$rac{R^2 s_i}{(1-a_{i+1})} \prod_{m=i+2}^n rac{1-a_{i+1}}{a_{i+1}}$$

The issue which arises is that the derivation on the left is identical, except for a replacement of R^2 by L^2 . The only way for these formulas to agree then is for $R^2 = L^2$ which implies $a_i = 1 - a_i = 0.5$. Since this argument applies at any layer, then all *a* parameters must be 0.5 (which approximates $y = x^2$).

1071 A.7 MONOTONICITY AND CONTINUITY OF DERIVATIVES

Each of the f'_i are composed of constant value segments, we will show that those values are monotonically decreasing (this can be seen in Figure 11). This can extend into the limit to show that F' is monotone decreasing and that F is concave.

Lemma A.9. The function F(x) is concave when all s_i are chosen according to Equation 3.1.

1077

1029 1030 1031

1032

1033 1034 1035

1039

1041

1043 1044

1053

1059

1061

1064

1070

1072

Proof. To establish this we will introduce the list $Y'_i = [F'(V_i[0]), f'_i(V_i[n]), F'(V_{i+1}[2^i]]$ for 0 $\leq n \leq 2^i$, which tracks the values of F' at the *i*th set of valley points. All but the first and last points will have been peaks at some point in their history, so Equation 6 gives the value of those

derivatives as f'_i .

1082

We establish two inductive invariants. One is that the y-values in the list Y_i remain sorted in descending order. The other is that $Y'_i[n] \ge f'_i(x) \ge Y'_i[n+1]$ for $V_i[n] < x < V_i[n+1]$, indicating that the constant value segments of f_i lie in between the limits in the list Y_i . Together, these two facts imply that each iteration of the approximation f_i is concave. Which then be used to prove that their limit F is also concave.

As the base case f_0 is a line with derivative 0, V_0 contains its two endpoints. Y'_0 is positive for the left endpoint (negative for right) since on the far edges F' is a sum of a series of positive (or negative) slopes, Therefore both the points in Y' are in descending sorted order. The second part of the invariant is true since 0 is in between those values.

1093

1088

Consider an arbitrary interval $(V_i[n], V_i[n + 1])$ of f_i , this entire interval is between two valley points, so f'_i (which hasn't added W_i yet) is some constant value, which we know from the second inductive hypothesis is in between $Y'_i[n]$ and $Y'_i[n + 1]$. The point $x \in P_i \cap (V_i[n], V_i[n + 1])$ will have $F'(x) = f'_i(x)$, and it will become a member of V_{i+1} . This means we will have $Y_{i+1}[2n] > Y_{i+1}[2n + 1] > Y_{i+1}[2n + 2]$, maintaining sorted order of Y'.

1099

Adding $s_i W_i$ takes f_i to $f_i + 1$ splitting each constant valued interval in two about the points P_i , increasing the left side, and decreasing the right side. Recalling from the derivation of Equation 5 all terms but the first in the sum have the same sign, so the limiting values in Y'_i are approached monotonically. Using the first inductive hypothesis, we have on the left interval $Y'_i[n] = Y'_{i+1}[2n] > f'_{i+1} > f'_i = Y'_{i+1}[2n + 1]$ and on the right we have $f'_i = Y'_{i+1}[2n + 1] > f_{i+1} > Y'_i[n + 1] = Y'_i[2n + 2]$. And so each constant interval f_{i+1} remains bounded by the limits in Y'_{i+1} .

1106 1107

We will now show by contradiction that the limit F of the sequence of concave f_i is also concave. 1108 Assume that F is non-concave. Then there exist points a, b, and c such that F(b) lies strictly below 1109 the line connecting the points (a, F(a)) and (c, F(c)). Lets say it's below the line by an amount 1110 ϵ . Since at each point f_i converges to F, we can find i_a such that $f_{ia}(a) - F(a) < \epsilon/2$, etc..., 1111 we take $i = \max(i_a, i_b, i_c)$. Since $f_i(a)$ and $f_i(c)$ are no more than $\epsilon/2$ lower than their limiting 1112 values, the entire line connecting $(a, f_i(a))$ and $(c, f_i(c))$ is no more than $\epsilon/2$ lower than the line 1113 between (a, F(a)) and (c, F(c)). $f_i(b)$ is also no more than $\epsilon/2$ higher than F(b), thus $f_i(b)$ must still lie below the line between $(a, f_i(a))$ and $(c, f_i(c))$, making f_i non-concave and producing a 1114 contradiction. \square 1115

1116

Lastly we briefly sketch out why F' is continuous. It relies on some of our earlier reasoning. Mono-1117 tonicity of the derivative makes continuity easy to show, because when x_1 within δ of x_2 has $f(x_1)$ 1118 within ϵ of $f(x_2)$, so do all intermediate values of x. We can establish continuity of F' at bend 1119 points x easily by using Equation 9. We can pick an i so that the constant value segments of f'_i are 1120 within ϵ of F'(x) and then use the next iteration of bend points (since the constant intervals split, 1121 but the new segments near x_n converge monotonically towards it) to find δ . In the case of continuity 1122 for non bend points x, they sit inside a constant-valued interval of f_i for each i. We can choose i 1123 such that $\sum_{n=i}^{\infty} (1-c)^n < \epsilon/2$ because this series constrains how far derivative values can move in 1124 the limit, and then use the constant interval x is situated in to find δ . 1125

1126 A.8 LEARNING RATES

All results in the main body of the paper used a constant learning rate of 10^{-3} . In this appendix, we considered an ablation study on the learning rate for the task of learning $y = x^3$. As seen in Figure 13, the learning rate we selected was approximately optimal for both our method as well as default network training. We note that for this ablation study, a constant 1000 epochs were run, which explains why both methods perform worse as the learning rate becomes minuscule. At small learning rates, what is really measured is how close of a guess the initialization is to the target function. Our networks are preforming better here simply because they are always outputting convex



Figure 13: Learning $y = x^3$ at various learning rates for 1000 epochs. The figure shows the log losses of the best model of 30 for networks trained according to the methods in this paper versus random initialization and gradient descent ("Default Network"). For high learning rates, neither learns because the steps are too large. For low learning rates (below 10^{-5}), the steps are too small, and our networks are likely deriving an implicit advantage from being forcibly initialized to a convex function. Both methods are able to converge for learning rates in between 10^{-4} and 10^{-2} ; one could run for more epochs to see a similar advantage of our method for smaller learning rates, if desired.

1161

functions. But this only accounts for losses on the order of 10^{-4} , which indicates that in the more reasonable learning rate ranges, our pretraining is performing a meaningful function and enabling order-of-magnitude improvements over default network training.

1165 1166

A.9 REAL-WORLD DATA AND CLASSIFICATION PROBLEMS

Here we present a few preliminary results on extending our pretraining technique to classification problems and real-world datasets. The classification problem we chose is the classic two spirals dataset, and we selected the UCI dataset "Concrete Compressive Strength" (Yeh, 1998) for our real-world regression task. The concrete dataset has 8 numerical features that can be used to predict the compressive strength of a concrete sample.

The networks are set up as described before, with our 4-neuron-wide networks acting as 1D to 1D activation functions inside randomly initialized standard linear layers. In these experiments, we use one "hidden layer" of our networks (which we choose to have depth 5 in our tests). The geometric interpretation of this is that we are setting up one-dimensional convex functions oriented in random directions on the input space, and then taking a linear combination of them as the network output. In the case of classification, the loss function is simply swapped for cross entropy.

1179 After our pretraining phase, there are two choices of how to conduct the second phase of training 1180 (training matrix entries directly). All the parameters could be freed from constraints ("dense"), 1181 or the smaller 4-neuron subnetworks could be kept isolated from each other (but otherwise have 1182 their parameters freed). In the case where the 4-neuron networks remain in isolation, the weight 1183 matrices of the hidden layers will have a block diagonal structure (block size 4---the width of each 1184 subnetwork). Thus, we consider two fair (same number of free parameters) comparisons in this subsection: (1) dense versions of our and Kaiming-initialized networks, and (2) block diagonal 1185 versions of our and Kaiming-initialized networks. As discussed below and in the figure captions, we 1186 find that while the dense variant of our method ties or is slightly worse than a regular fully-connected 1187 network of the same dimensions, in the block diagonal case, our experimental networks significantly

1188 outperform their Kaiming-initialized counterparts. This makes sense in light of the experiments from 1189 the main body, where we can effectively shape the output of 4-neuron-wide networks better than 1190 random initializations, but where ensembles of our networks (see approximation of $x^3 - x$ in Figure 1191 7) get filled in with noise by gradient descent during the second training stage. This again highlights the need for more mathematical developments to provide a better extension into higher-dimensional 1192 nonconvex functions. 1193



1208 Figure 14: Train/test loss plots for Kaiming-initialized ("ordinary") fully-connected networks and 1209 our method ("experimental"). Our method has two steps: pretriaining on triangle peaks, followed by the optimization of the raw matrix weights. The switch-off is at epoch 500, hence the associated 1210 visible change in the loss curves. The block diagonal variants of the networks (right) are generally 1211 worse at the task (test losses 30.1 (ours) and 40.8 (Kaiming)) than the dense variants (losses of 22.3 1212 (ours) and 21.2 (Kaiming)). Our experimental networks outperform by up to an order of magnitude 1213 in the block diagonal case. We used 32 of our convex blocks (i.e., all weight matrices are size 128, 1214 including for standard comparison networks). 1215



1229 1230

1216

1231 Figure 15: Class predictions of block diagonal networks on a standard two-spiral dataset; ours (left) and Kaiming-initialized (right). While the cross-entropy losses are comparable for the dense-matrix 1232 variants of both networks, when a block diagonal structure is imposed, Kaiming initialization fails 1233 to learn the spiral. Note that the colors of the network class predictions are inverted for visibility. Cross-entropy losses are 0.0032 and 0.63 respectively. We used 16 of our convex blocks, so all weight matrices are 64 by 64. 1236

1237

Nonetheless, while we believe there is much room for future work to improve higher-dimensional results, Figures 14 and 15 already show impressive results using our current approach. In the case 1239 of the concrete problem (Figure 14), our experimental network outperforms a standard Kaiming-1240 initialized network when block diagonality is enforced. In the case of the two-spiral classification 1241 task (Figure 15), our network is able to learn an accurate decision boundary (left subfigure), whereas a standard network constrained to be block diagonal fails to learn (right subfigure). When dense weight matrices are trained, our networks are almost able to tie with the standard initializations, although convergence can take longer. These results suggest that our method might be quite powerful when its parameter budget is spent on greater width and depth of convex function blocks (as in Figure 9) instead of filling in dense matrices.

Our two-step training approach adds some practical challenges, such as deciding when to switch parameterizations for optimal convergence time. Additionally, since the optimization variables are different, the optimizer will have to restart any momentum or adaptive learning rates when the switch is made, which can sometimes cause loss to temporarily spike. We lowered the learning rate on the second step to avoid this. Further interesting optimizations of our approach (e.g., training another network to inform our algorithm when to switch parameterizations) are imagined as future work.

1254 POTENTIAL BROADER IMPACT

This paper presents work whose goal is to enable more efficient neural networks. While the present work is largely theoretical, future advances in this line of research could enable the use of much smaller networks in many practical applications, which could substantially mitigate the rapidly growing issue of energy usage in large learning systems.