ScissorBot: Learning Generalizable Scissor Skill for Paper Cutting via Simulation, Imitation, and Sim2Real

Jiangran Lyu^{1,2}, Yuxing Chen^{1,2}, Tao Du³, Feng Zhu², Huiquan Liu², Yizhou Wang^{1,†}, He Wang^{1,2,†} ¹CFCS, School of Computer Science, Peking University ²Galbot ³IIIS, Tsinghua University



Figure 1: The objective is to drive scissors to accurately cut curves drawn on the paper, which is hung with the top edge fixed. Our execution follows an action primitive sequence, namely *Rotate*, *Close*, *Open*, *Push*. The meticulous action, visualized as scissors before (orange) and after (green) each action, ensures accurate cutting in the real world. During execution, large deformation of paper and severe occlusion between scissors and target curves occasionally occurs.

Abstract:

This paper tackles the challenging robotic task of generalizable paper cutting using scissors. In this task, scissors attached to a robot arm are driven to accurately cut curves drawn on the paper, which is hung with the top edge fixed. Due to the frequent paper-scissor contact and consequent fracture, the paper features continual deformation and changing topology, which is diffult for accurate modeling.

To deal with such versatile scenarios, we propose ScissorBot, the first learningbased system for robotic paper cutting with scissors via simulation, imitation learning and sim2real. Given the lack of sufficient data for this task, we build PaperCutting-Sim, a paper simulator supporting interactive fracture coupling with scissors, enabling demonstration generation with a heuristic-based oracle policy. To ensure effective execution, we customize an action primitive sequence for imitation learning to constrain its action space, thus alleviating potential compounding errors. Finally, by integrating sim-to-real techniques to bridge the gap between simulation and reality, our policy can be effectively deployed on the real robot. Experimental results demonstrate that our method surpasses all baselines in both simulation and real-world benchmarks and achieves performance comparable to human operation with a single hand under the same conditions.

Keywords: Deformable Object Manipulation, Imitation Learning, Sim-to-Real

1 Introduction

Paper cutting, an ancient craft dating back to at least the 6th century [1], has evolved alongside human civilization, serving as a medium for emotional and symbolic expression [2]. In modern society, it has applications in decorative art [3], education, and advanced manufacturing [4, 5]. Humans exhibit dexterity using scissors for this task, but robots have yet to master this skill, largely due

8th Conference on Robot Learning (CoRL 2024), Munich, Germany.

⁰[†]Corresponding Authors



Figure 2: **An overview of the learning system.** The system first generates expert demonstrations in our built simulation which supports interactive fracture of the paper. These demonstrations are then used to train a vision-based imitation learning policy that inputs multi-frame point clouds (Blade point cloud is highlighted in green only for visualization) and outputs parameters of action primitive. Meanwhile, Deviation Correction and Visual Artifact Mimicry provide data augmentation to imitation learning which ensures a robust transfer from simulation to real world.

to the intricate interaction between paper and scissors, characterized by continual deformation and changing topology. Accurately modeling these dynamics using traditional control methods poses significant challenges. In contrast, learning-based methods offer a promising alternative, leveraging data-driven approaches to achieve generalization across diverse cutting tasks.

We introduce ScissorBot, the first learning-based robotic system for paper cutting with scissors, employing a combination of simulation, imitation learning, and sim-to-real techniques. To mitigate data scarcity, we develop PaperCutting-Sim, a paper-cutting simulator that supports interactive fracture coupling with scissors, facilitating large-scale demonstration generation through a heuristicbased oracle policy. For real-world deployment, we further train a student policy with multi-frame point clouds to capture occluded and underlying dynamics. To bridge the physical and visual gaps between simulation and reality, we propose data augmentation techniques for deviation correction and artifact mimicry. The former adaptively corrects errors using out-of-distribution data, while the latter simulates edge bleeding artifacts to align visuals with reality.

Through extensive experiments in both simulation and real world, we evaluate the efficacy and generalizability of our learning policy. Our method improves cutting accuracy by at least fivefold compared to the best alternative methods, measured by Chamfer distance. Notably, our approach to achieve a Chamfer distance of 2mm for curves in real-world scenarios, comparable to human performance with single-hand operation. Our research opens new opportunities for contact-rich and fine manipulation of deformable objects.

2 Method

2.1 PaperCutting-Sim

We build a paper-cutting simulator, PaperCutting-Sim, to support the modeling of both paper and scissors, as well as their contacts and the consequent fracture. The simulator is implemented in Python and Taichi [6], which supports parallel computation on GPUs. For deformable dynamics, we compute the elastic energy of the paper as the sum of stretching elastic energy and bending elastic energy. To model the contact between the paper and the scissors, we represent the scissors using a signed distance field, utilize the cubic of the signed distance to calculate collision energy, and apply Coulomb friction. We perform spatial discretization using the Finite Element Method [7], and update positions and velocities through implicit time integration [8].

Interactive Fracture. Different from cutting simulation with predefined fracture surfaces [9], interactively handling fracture coupled with contact is a non-trivial problem. To address this, we design a two-phase geometry-based approach, as illustrated in Fig. 3. First, during the closing process of the scissors, we propose using edge-edge detection and vertex-face detection to detect the intersection points between the cutting trajectory and the paper mesh. Then these intersection points are added to the paper mesh and related edges are connected and split according to the vertex position relationship inside triangles. We refer the reader to Appendix E for more details.



Figure 3: Interactive Fracture in our PaperCutting-Sim. (1): As the scissors close, the fracture occurs along the cutting direction. Intersection points (red star) can be computed from edge-edge detection and vertex-face detection between the cutting direction (orange dashed) and the paper mesh. (2): (a) The original paper mesh (blue triangles). (b) Intersection point (red star) and cutting direction (orange dashed). (c) According to the intersection points, new vertices are added on the existing edges and the endpoint is inserted inside the triangle. The new edges (green solid) are connected between the new inserted vertex and the opposite vertex in the triangle. (d) The edges between these newly added vertices are split into two pieces (black solid).

2.2 Demonstration Generation

We devise an action primitive sequence and a heuristic-based Oracle policy for large-scale demonstration generation. For distillation, we preserve high-quality demonstrations measured by chamfer distance. The four action primitives, namely *Open*, *Push*, *Rotate*, and *Close* are elaborated in detail in the supplementary. The oracle policy initially discretizes the target smooth curve into several line segments, with this approximation scarcely impacting visual appearance. Subsequently, the entire curve can be cut by multiple action sequences for line segments iteratively.

2.3 Vision-based Imitation Learning

Our learning framework use multi-frame point clouds as input and action parameters as ouput, which is depicted in Fig. 2. We first pre-process raw single-view point cloud using bounding-box cropping and FPS sampling. Then sequential *L*-frame point clouds $\{P_{t-i-1}\}_{i=1}^{L}$, along with a binary mask indicating whether a visible point originates from the target curve, are fed into a shared PointNet++ encoder [10] to obtain features and then concatenated and passed through a shallow MLP to regress actions parameters. The output action parameters are associated with the designed action primitives mentioned in Sec. 2.2. These actions are recurrently executed for each stage which keeps the order of *Push*, *Rotate*, *Close*, *Open* repeatedly. We employ Mean Squared Error loss for the *Push* and *Close* terms, and 9D L1 Loss [11] for *Rotate*. The overall loss is formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda_p (p - \hat{p})^2 + \lambda_c (c - \hat{c})^2 + \lambda_\mathbf{R} \sum_{i,j} |\mathbf{R}_{ij} - \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}|$$
(1)

where λ_p , λ_c , λ_R are respective weights, and \hat{p} , \hat{c} , $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$ are ground truth action values.

2.4 Sim-to-Real Transfer

Deviation Correction. We introduce deviation correction to enhance the robustness for drifting scenarios which somestimes occurs in the real world. In this approach, we fine-tune the trained model using correction data, which comprises out-of-distribution states paired with corrective actions. These data are generated by introducing random rotation perturbances to the action during oracle policy execution.



Visual Artifact Mimicry. We propose a simple yet effective method to mimic *edge bleeding artifact* in the simulation. To create continuous value at the edge between

Figure 4: Point cloud in simulation with scissors blade **highlighted** w/o (a) and with (b) our proposed visual artifact mimicry. (c) Real-world point cloud.

foreground and background in simulated depth image, we preprocess the depth with an average pooling kernel and add random noise perpendicular to the surface of the paper to the point cloud of the blade.



Figure 5: Visualization of Cutting Results on UV plane of the paper. Target curves are in red while cropped lines by scissors are in green.

Methods	Easy		Middle		Hard	
	Finished Rate	Chamfer (mm)	Finished Rate	Chamfer (mm)	Finished Rate	IoU
Human	10/10	2 ± 1	10/10	2 ± 1	10/10	92 ± 3
Ours w/o Visual Artifact Mimicry Ours w/o Deviation Correction Ours	0/10 7/10 9/10	$2 \pm 1 \\ 2 \pm 1$	0/10 7/10 8/10	3 ± 1 2 ± 1	0/10 7/10 8/10	-84 ± 8 89 ± 5

Table 1: Quantitative results in the real world.

3 Experiments

3.1 Policy Evaluation in Simulation

Our method significantly outperforms non-learning based approaches in cutting accuracy. As shown in Fig. 5, the cutting trajectories of *Open-loop Planning* deviate considerably from the target curve due to its inability to adapt to environmental changes, leading to accumulated errors during the complex scissors-paper interaction. Although *Online Fitting* adapts its actions based on current observations, it struggles with optimal decision-making, especially during occlusions. In contrast, our learning policy exhibits remarkable adaptability to dynamic conditions, achieving a chamfer distance of 1.1 mm, significantly better than 10.8 mm for *Open-loop Planning* and 5.5 mm for *Online Fitting*. Additionally, our policy demonstrates strong generalization to novel curves and patterns, effectively handling the more complex deformations and fractures presented by *Middle* and *Hard* targets, despite being trained only on *Easy* curves. This success highlights the robustness of our design, allowing performance comparable to the oracle policy.

3.2 Policy Evaluation in the Real World

We evaluate the performance of our sim2real model on a real-world platform. As evidenced in Table 1, our policy consistently fails without any sim2real, as a result of confused perception with the interactions between blades and paper. Our mimicry strategy mitigates the visual gap and minimize erratic action prediction, thereby achieve successful deployment. However, the performance is still still unsatisfactory. As illustrated in Fig. 9, policies sometimes experience drifting failures (Fig. 9a) or persistently exhibit errors (Fig. 9b). To this end, the correction mechanism addaptively corrects the deviation and enhances the stability and accuracy of the deployed policy. For example, it enhances the finished rate from 7/10 to 9/10 in the "Easy" track and reducing deviation by 1 mm in the Middle track. Combining the above sim2real techniques, our system achieves comparable performance to human single-hand manipulation under same condition, which has only 2 mm error from the target curve. Furthermore, it achieves an IoU of 89 on the *Hard* track, which is particularly challenging due to more drastic bending.

4 Conclusion

We introduce ScissorBot, the first learning-based robotic system for generalizable paper cutting using scissors. The system utilizes demonstrations collected in our newly developed paper-cutting simulator to train a primitive-based imitation learning policy and combines sim2real techniques to achieve robust deployment in the real world. Extensive experiments exhibit the generalizability and accuracy of our system on simple smooth curves which cover most of cutting scenarios.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our deepest gratitude to Ruihai Wu for his invaluable guidance during the early stages of this work, which was crucial to its success. We also thank Jiayi Chen for providing many valuable discussions, offering insightful feedback, and pointing out several key issues and potential improvements. We are grateful to Mi Yan, Jiazhao Zhang, Songlin Wei, Wenbo Cui, and Weikang Wan for their constructive feedback on the writing, figures, and tables in this paper. We also appreciate the help of Xiaomeng Fang and Hao Shen with the camera calibration, as well as the support and guidance of Jilong Wang on the robot workspace and motion planning. Additionally, we acknowledge Jiqiao Li, Mingdong Lu, Xinzhe Jin, Yuanchen Cao, Zhiqiang Xu, and Chenxu Zhao for their care and encouragement throughout this work.

References

- M. Sullivan. Art and Artists of Twentieth-Century China. University of California Press, 2023. ISBN 9780520911611. URL https://books.google.com.hk/books?id=G0_ hEAAAQBAJ.
- [2] T. Shen. Analysis of symbolic meanings of folk paper-cut from the perspective of semiotics. In Proceedings of the 2018 2nd International Conference on Management, Education and Social Science (ICMESS 2018), pages 67–69. Atlantis Press, 2018/06. ISBN 978-94-6252-520-7. doi:10.2991/icmess-18.2018.14. URL https://doi.org/10.2991/icmess-18.2018.14.
- [3] F. Temko. Kirigami Home Decorations. Tuttle Publishing, 2012.
- [4] Y. Yang, K. Vella, and D. P. Holmes. Grasping with kirigami shells. *Science Robotics*, 6(54): eabd6426, 2021.
- [5] M. Cianchetti, C. Laschi, A. Menciassi, and P. Dario. Biomedical applications of soft robotics. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 3(6):143–153, 2018.
- [6] Y. Hu, T.-M. Li, L. Anderson, J. Ragan-Kelley, and F. Durand. Taichi: a language for highperformance computation on spatially sparse data structures. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 38(6):201, 2019.
- [7] T. Pfaff, R. Narain, J. M. De Joya, and J. F. O'Brien. Adaptive tearing and cracking of thin sheets. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 33(4):1–9, 2014.
- [8] D. Baraff and A. Witkin. Large steps in cloth simulation. In Seminal Graphics Papers: Pushing the Boundaries, Volume 2, pages 767–778. 2023.
- [9] E. Heiden, M. Macklin, Y. Narang, D. Fox, A. Garg, and F. Ramos. Disect: A differentiable simulation engine for autonomous robotic cutting. *Robotics: Science and Systems XVII*, 2021.
- [10] C. R. Qi, L. Yi, H. Su, and L. J. Guibas. Pointnet++: Deep hierarchical feature learning on point sets in a metric space. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30, 2017.
- [11] J. Levinson, C. Esteves, K. Chen, N. Snavely, A. Kanazawa, A. Rostamizadeh, and A. Makadia. An analysis of svd for deep rotation estimation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:22554–22565, 2020.
- [12] X. Lin, Z. Huang, Y. Li, J. B. Tenenbaum, D. Held, and C. Gan. Diffskill: Skill abstraction from differentiable physics for deformable object manipulations with tools. In *10th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2022*, 2022.
- [13] X. Lin, C. Qi, Y. Zhang, Z. Huang, K. Fragkiadaki, Y. Li, C. Gan, and D. Held. Planning with spatial-temporal abstraction from point clouds for deformable object manipulation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 1640–1651. PMLR, 2023.

- [14] P. M. Scheikl, N. Schreiber, C. Haas, N. Freymuth, G. Neumann, R. Lioutikov, and F. Mathis-Ullrich. Movement primitive diffusion: Learning gentle robotic manipulation of deformable objects. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2024.
- [15] R. Wu, C. Ning, and H. Dong. Learning foresightful dense visual affordance for deformable object manipulation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10947–10956, 2023.
- [16] R. Wu, H. Lu, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, and H. Dong. Unigarmentmanip: A unified framework for category-level garment manipulation via dense visual correspondence. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 16340–16350, 2024.
- [17] C. Chi, B. Burchfiel, E. Cousineau, S. Feng, and S. Song. Iterative residual policy: for goal-conditioned dynamic manipulation of deformable objects. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 43(4):389–404, 2024.
- [18] X. Lin, Y. Wang, J. Olkin, and D. Held. Softgym: Benchmarking deep reinforcement learning for deformable object manipulation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 432–448. PMLR, 2021.
- [19] C. Zhao, C. Jiang, J. Cai, M. Y. Wang, H. Yu, and Q. Chen. Flipbot: Learning continuous paper flipping via coarse-to-fine exteroceptive-proprioceptive exploration. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pages 10282–10288. IEEE, 2023.
- [20] A. Namiki and S. Yokosawa. Robotic origami folding with dynamic motion primitives. In 2015 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pages 5623–5628. IEEE, 2015.
- [21] H. Shigemune, S. Maeda, Y. Hara, U. Koike, and S. Hashimoto. Kirigami robot: Making paper robot using desktop cutting plotter and inkjet printer. In 2015 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS), pages 1091–1096. IEEE, 2015.
- [22] R. Liu, J. Liang, S. Sudhakar, H. Ha, C. Chi, S. Song, and C. Vondrick. Paperbot: Learning to design real-world tools using paper, 2024.
- [23] X. Mu, Y. Xue, and Y.-B. Jia. Robotic cutting: Mechanics and control of knife motion. In 2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pages 3066–3072. IEEE, 2019.
- [24] X. Mu, Y. Xue, and Y.-B. Jia. Dexterous robotic cutting based on fracture mechanics and force control. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 2023.
- [25] Z. Xu, Z. Xian, X. Lin, C. Chi, Z. Huang, C. Gan, and S. Song. Roboninja: Learning an adaptive cutting policy for multi-material objects. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.11553, 2023.
- [26] S. Chen, Y. Xu, C. Yu, L. Li, X. Ma, Z. Xu, and D. Hsu. Daxbench: Benchmarking deformable object manipulation with differentiable physics. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- [27] C. Gan, J. Schwartz, S. Alter, D. Mrowca, M. Schrimpf, J. Traer, J. De Freitas, J. Kubilius, A. Bhandwaldar, N. Haber, et al. Threedworld: A platform for interactive multi-modal physical simulation. In *Thirty-fifth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track (Round 1)*, 2021.
- [28] Y. Wang, J. Zheng, Z. Chen, Z. Xian, G. Zhang, C. Liu, and C. Gan. Thin-shell object manipulations with differentiable physics simulations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.00451, 2024.

- [29] H. Lu, Y. Li, R. Wu, C. Ning, Y. Shen, and H. Dong. Unigarment: A unified simulation and benchmark for garment manipulation. In *ICRA Workshop on Deformable Object Manipulation*, 2024.
- [30] K. Niskanen. Strength and fracture of paper. Products of Papermaking, 2:641–725, 1993.
- [31] R. Seth and D. Page. Fracture resistance of paper. *Journal of Materials Science*, 9:1745–1753, 1974.
- [32] T. Xin, P. Marris, A. Mihut, G. Ushaw, and G. Morgan. Accurate real-time complex cutting in finite element modeling. In VISIGRAPP (1: GRAPP), pages 183–190, 2018.
- [33] Z. Qi, D. K. Campbell, and H. S. Park. Atomistic simulations of tension-induced large deformation and stretchability in graphene kirigami. *Physical Review B*, 90(24):245437, 2014.
- [34] Q. Zhang, J. Wommer, C. O'Rourke, J. Teitelman, Y. Tang, J. Robison, G. Lin, and J. Yin. Origami and kirigami inspired self-folding for programming three-dimensional shape shifting of polymer sheets with light. *Extreme Mechanics Letters*, 11:111–120, 2017.
- [35] D. A. Pomerleau. Alvinn: An autonomous land vehicle in a neural network. Advances in neural information processing systems, 1, 1988.
- [36] C. Chi, S. Feng, Y. Du, Z. Xu, E. Cousineau, B. Burchfiel, and S. Song. Diffusion policy: Visuomotor policy learning via action diffusion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04137, 2023.
- [37] T. Z. Zhao, V. Kumar, S. Levine, and C. Finn. Learning fine-grained bimanual manipulation with low-cost hardware. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.13705, 2023.
- [38] S. Belkhale, Y. Cui, and D. Sadigh. Data quality in imitation learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [39] Z. Wang, J. Chen, Z. Chen, P. Xie, R. Chen, and L. Yi. Genh2r: Learning generalizable human-to-robot handover via scalable simulation, demonstration, and imitation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.00929, 2024.
- [40] D. Seita, A. Ganapathi, R. Hoque, M. Hwang, E. Cen, A. K. Tanwani, A. Balakrishna, B. Thananjeyan, J. Ichnowski, N. Jamali, et al. Deep imitation learning of sequential fabric smoothing from an algorithmic supervisor. in 2020 ieee. In *RSJ International Conference* on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pages 9651–9658.



Figure 6: Hardware System



Figure 7: Example curves for Easy, Middle and Hard tracks.

A Related Works

A.1 Deformable Object Manipulation

The manipulation of deformable objects, such as dough [12, 13], cloth [14, 15, 16] and rope [17, 18] has been extensively studied in the in the scentific and engineering disciplines. Zhao et al. [19] train robots in the real world to learn paper-flipping skills, and Namiki et al. [20] explore paper folding using motion primitives. Other studies focus on kirigami [21, 22], the traditional art of folding and cutting paper to create intricate designs. For paper cutting, these studies typically use desktop cutting plotters rather than fully automated robotic systems. Additionally, various robotic systems have been developed for cutting deformable objects in different domains, such as vegetables [23, 24], dough [12, 13], and soft objects with rigid cores [25]. However, these systems generally employ tabletop knife cutting, which differs from our approach of using scissors for cutting.

A.2 Simulation Environments for Paper Cutting

One line of works build simulators to boost robotic skill learning for thin-shell materials [18, 26, 27, 28, 29], however they couldn't simulate the fracture during the scissors cutting. Some works focus on simulating the cutting process of soft materials [9, 25]. Other works studies paper fracture process either from the theoretical analysis [30, 31], re-meshing algorithm [7, 32] or its application in kirigami [33, 34]. Overall, none of the existing works implements the paper cutting simulation for robot learning, which combines dynamic modeling of paper and interactive fracture interweaving paper remeshing according to scissor motion.

A.3 Imitation Learning

Imitation Learning (IL) [35, 36, 37, 38] is a supervised learning methodology for training embodied agents using expert demonstrations. The commonly used Behavior Cloning (BC) [35] strategy directly trains the policy to imitate expert actions. Despite its simplicity, this approach has demonstrated remarkable effectiveness in robotic manipulation [39, 40]. In this paper, we adopt imitation learning and ultilze action primitive sequence to ensure robustness during execution.

B Hardware System Design

We design a hardware system for the paper-cutting task, as Fig. 6 shown. The setup includes a Realman robot equipped with a scissor extension for manipulation and a single Kinect DK camera to capture RGBD observations. To secure the paper, we use plastic clips to fix the top edge, leaving the lower edge free. The target curves are drawn in red on white paper, with corresponding binary masks obtained through simple RGB-based segmentation. We use A4 printer paper ($210 \text{ mm} \times 297 \text{ mm}$, 75 g/m^2) as the material for the following experiments.

C Benchmark

C.1 Task Datasets

We focus on simple smooth curve cutting and split it into three distinct tracks, illustrated in Fig. 7. In each track, curves are generated using Bézier curves parameterized by four control points. By manipulating the positional relationship of these control points, we can control the second-order derivative of the curve, which in turn determines the complexity of the scissors' motion. The discussion on non-smooth and non-simple curves can be found in the Sec. 4.

- Easy: In this track, the second-order derivatives of curves are consistently positive or negative.
- Middle: Curves in this track exhibit varying positive and negative second-order derivatives.
- *Hard*: This track comprises several patterns, each composed of two curves from the *Easy* track. In real-world settings, this track can be further required to cut the origami sheet to obtain an axisymmetric closed-shape pattern.

Considering the robotic arm workspace, scissors cannot undergo significant rotations, i.e., exceeding 90°, relative to the initial orientation along the moving trajectory. Empirically, we constrain the gradient of the first line to be within $[-\tan(40^\circ), \tan(40^\circ)]$ and the gradient of the last line within $[-\tan(60^\circ), \tan(60^\circ)]$. To demonstrate the generalization capability of our policy, our training set consists of approximately 5k trajectories solely from the Easy track. There are 100 curves of each track for testing.

C.2 Evaluation Metrics

In our evaluation process, we utilize various metrics to gauge the quality of our results across different difficulty levels. For the all three tracks, we employ the chamfer distance as a measure of deviation between the cropped curve and the target curve. Additionally, we report the Recall metric under different thresholds of chamfer distance, indicating the proportion of well-cut instances. For trials completing closed shapes in the *Hard* track, we further assess the quality by calculating the mean Intersection over Union (mIoU) between the cropped pattern and the target pattern, providing a comprehensive measure of similarity and accuracy.

C.3 Baselines

Non-learning Baselines

- *Open-loop Planning* detects the target goal curve prior to cutting. Then it discretizes the detected curve into isometric line segments and plans the scissor translation and rotation at each step.
- *Online Fitting* employs an step-by-step line fitting utilizing RANSAC. The fitted line target from the captured point cloud determines the movement distance and scissor rotation at each step.

Learning based Baselines

- *Direct Pose Regression*. This methodology directly regresses the 7 Degrees of Freedom (DoF) scissor pose (6D Pose and 1D joint angle).
- Action Chunking [37]. In this policy, actions for the next k timesteps are predicted. The current action to execute is determined from weighted averages across the previous overlapping action chunk. We adopt the implementation from [37].

C.4 Real-world Evaluation

To understand the capability of our system, we conduct a comprehensive user study to gather statistics on human performance. Specifically, we invite 10 subjects aged between 10 and 60 years, including both males and females. They are asked to cut paper using a daily scissor with one hand while the paper was hanging under the same conditions as our robot system. Each subject complete ten trials for each track. We define "finished" as the cut line having a chamfer distance of less than 3

Methods	Easy		Middle			Hard		
	Chamfer (mm)	Recall@1.5	Recall@5.0	Chamfer (mm)	Recall@1.5	Recall@5.0	Chamfer (mm)	mIoU
Open-loop Planing	10.8	9.3	25.0	6.8	10.5	36.3	18.1	31.2
Online Fitting	5.5	31.4	73.6	5.3	21.0	53.1	10.3	63.0
Ours	1.1	85.1	98.6	1.5	79.6	96.6	1.9	91.3
Oracle	1.4	83.1	98.2	1.4	80.1	98.2	1.9	92.2

Table 2: Comparison with non-learning based baselines in simulation.



Figure 8: Realworld cutting process on Easy, Middle and Hard tracks.

cm from the target curve, with no tearing or folding of the paper. After each trial for both policy and human, we capture the cropped paper using an RGBD camera and compute metrics such as chamfer distance and IoU. We report the median and extremum of these metrics in the form of $x \pm u$.

D More Experiments and Results



(a) Drifting Failure (b) Persistent Error (c) Deviation Correction

Figure 9: **Qualitative result of our Deviation Correction.** Without using Deviation Correction, policy trained from simulation frequently falls into drifting failures (a) or keeps perisitent errors (b). Our Deviation Correction (c) leads to a accurate and robust deployment.

D.1 Generalization to Different Materials

We highlight experiments that demonstrate the generalization to different materials. Specifically, we select six different materials for evaluation: cardboard, A3 printer paper, rice paper, plastic sheet, photo fabric, and aluminum foil. These materials exhibit varying physical properties, such as thickness, density, stiffness, and their bending and stretching characteristics. For example, cardboard is verythick and hardly bends during cutting, while rice paper is very thin and deforms significantly. A3 printer paper is larger in size than the A4 paper used in our previous experiments, and foil has an uneven surface. We conducted 10 trials for each material using the Easy track of curves.

By applying domain randomization to physical parameters of the paper including size, mass and Young's modulus, our policy successfully generalizes to these different materials without requiring customized training. We exhibit that learning to cut papers with scissors is more generalizable to different materials than specialized cutting machines. Videos can be found on our website.



Figure 10: Test on unseen materials.

Metric	Cardboard	A3 printer paper	Rice paper	Photo fabric	Plastic sheet	Aluminum foil
Finish rate	7/10	9/10	9/10	8/10	8/10	8/10
Chamfer	3±1	2±1	2±1	2±1	2±1	3±1

Table 3: Experiments on different materials.

D.2 More Qualatative Results

D.3 Ablation Studies

In this section, we conduct experiments to analyze the effects of critical parameters and design choices.

Discretization Granularity. During the generation of expert data, smooth curves are discretized into line segments as an approximation. We aim to study the impact of discretization granularity on efficiency and quality, as reported in Table 4. It's evident that as the length of each line segment decreases, the number of execution steps increases while the quality improves. When the basic length decreases from 15 mm to 10 mm, the reduction in chamfer distance is marginal, merely 0.1 mm. Thus, we opt for a trade-off choice of 15 mm, with a balance between efficiency and quality.

Observation Horizon (*L*). We analyze the effect of different observation horizons, as detailed in Table 5. Policies with 4 or 6 frame horizons outperform that without temporal inputs by approximately 10 points on Recall@1.5. The superiority of our spatial-temporal encoding lies in its robustness to occlusion and deformable dynamics. Although increasing the horizon from 4 to 6 yields marginal improvements in cutting quality, e.g., 0.3 for Recall@1.5, we opt for a horizon of 4 in our system to maintain a favorable balance between performance and efficiency.

Filtering Threshold (τ). We train our imitation policy using various demonstration filtering thresholds ranging from 0.7 to 1.6, as well as no filtering, as summarized in Table 6. A stricter threshold yields better performance and a lower data usage rate, necessitating the generation of more demonstrations for distillation. In our system, we select $\tau = 1.0$ to strike a balance between data quality and efficiency.

Effect of the Primitive Learning. The comparison with learning-based baselines exhibits the effectiveness of our primitive learning. As shown in Fig. 5(c), the alternative frequently causes separation between scissors and paper and thus fails to perform the paper-cutting tasks to the end. We only report its chamber distance with the first one-third of the target curve in

Method	Chamfer (mm)	Recall@1.5	Recall@5.0
Direct Pose Regression	$ 11.2^* \\ 11.4^* $	9.0^{*}	24.4^{*}
Action Chunking [37]		8.9^{*}	25.1^{*}
Ours	1.1	85.1	98.6
Ours + Action Chunking [37]	1.2	84.2	98.5

Table 7: Comparison with learning-based baselines in simulation. For *Direct pose regression* and its variance, we only calculate its chamber distance with the first one-third of the target curve (*).

Tab.7. Even incorporating Action Chunking, designed to mitigate compounding errors, there is no



Figure 11: **Realworld results on patterns from Hard tracks.** The cropped pattern from our system has a accurate overlap with the target pattern.



Figure 12: Cutting process of our method in the simulation on Middle and Hard tracks.

substantial improvement in completing the target curves. This is due to the drastic state transitions at each step, which complicate the accurate prediction of future actions. These results highlight the highly nonlinear nature of the task. In contrast, our designed primitive constraints the action space of scissors, which minimizes possible errors during execution.

E Details of PaperCutting-Sim

We consider the occurrence of paper fractures due to the intersection of the scissor blades. As the scissors close, the intersection point of the two blades, denoted as \mathbf{P} , moves along the paper surface. The trajectory of this movement forms the fracture line on the paper. At each time step, we detect this moving trajectory on the paper mesh and perform remeshing, which includes vertex insertion, edge connection, and edge splitting.

New Vertex Insertion: For each time step t, the movement of \mathbf{P} can be represented as a segment $E = (\mathbf{P}_t, \mathbf{P}_t - \alpha \mathbf{V}_t)$, where α is the velocity and \mathbf{V} is the cutting direction of the scissors. Performing edge-edge detection between E and the paper mesh M will yield most intersection points. For the endpoints of E, they may not be located at an existing edge, so we also perform vertex-face detection to get the intersection points. We insert all the intersection points as new vertices in order.

New Edge Connection. With the insertion of vertices, we perform edge connection. For a newly inserted vertex on an existing edge, we connect it to the opposite vertices in all triangles containing that edge. For a newly inserted vertex inside a triangle but not on the edge, we connect it with three vertices of the triangle.

Edge Splitting: For each newly connected edge, if its two endpoints are newly inserted vertices, then this edge need to be splitter.

Basic length (mm)	Steps	Chamfer (mm)
20	~ 29	1.9
15	~ 37	1.4
10	~ 53	1.3

Table 4: Effects of Discretization Granularity for curves, i.e, the basic length of line segments.

Horizon	Chamfer(mm)	Recall@1.5	Recall@5.0	
w/o multi-frame	1.5	74.4	94.2	
L = 2	1.6	70.4	93.1	
L = 4	1.1	85.1	98.6	
L = 6	1.1	85.4	98.9	

Table 5: Ablation study of Temporal encoding and Observation Horizon.

F Details of Demonstration Generation

The action parameters are computed through relative pose between scissors (blade intersection P_t and cutting direction \mathbf{V}_t) and target line segment $(\mathbf{Tar}_t^k = (s_t^k, s_t^{k+1}))$ for each step t.

When t is the step for *Push*, the pushing distance p_t is computed as :

$$p_t = \frac{(s_t^k - P_t) \cdot \mathbf{V}_t}{\|\mathbf{V}_t\|^2} \mathbf{V}_t$$
(2)

When t is the step for *Rotate*, the Rotation \mathbf{R}_t is computed using Rodrigues' rotation formula:

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{V}_t \times \mathbf{Tar}_t^k \tag{3}$$

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}(\mathbf{V}_t \cdot \mathbf{Tar}_t^k) \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -w_z & w_y \\ w_z & 0 & -w_x \\ -w_y & w_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

$$\mathbf{R}_t = \mathbf{I} + \sin\theta \mathbf{K} + (1 - \cos\theta)\mathbf{K}^2 \tag{6}$$

where I is the identity matrix. When t is the step for *Close*, the closed angle c_t is computed as :

$$c_t = \text{Distance2Angle}\left(\frac{(s_t^{k+1} - P_t) \cdot \mathbf{V}_t}{\|\mathbf{V}_t\|^2} \mathbf{V}_t\right)$$
(7)

where Distance2Angle is a function to map the cutting distance to the closed angle. The function is depended on the mechanical structure of scissors and we obtain it via real-world calibration.

G Implementation of Policy Learning

G.1 Point Cloud Pre-processing

We preprocess the visual input by cropping the global point cloud into a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ cm³ local patch centered around the scissors. This means that the policy only "sees" the local pattern rather than the entire curve. Since the local structures are generally consistent across curves, this approach helps the model generalize across different tracks.

G.2 Vision Encoder

The sequential point cloud features from the PointNet++ encoder are concatenated and fed into three action heads. Each action head is a shallow MLP with the shape of $[L \times 512 - 256 - 64 - a]$, where L is the length of the observation horizon and a is the dimension of the action parameter. We train the model from scratch for 120,000 iterations. The learning rate is initialized at 1×10^{-4} and decays by a factor of 0.1 at 60,000 and 110,000 iterations, respectively.

Filter	Data usage	Chamfer(mm)	Recall@1.5	Recall@5.0
w/o filtering	100%	1.7	74.5	92.5
$\tau = 1.6$	$\sim 90\%$	1.4	81.8	97.8
$\tau = 1.0$	$\sim 70\%$	1.1	85.1	98.6
$\tau = 0.7$	$ \sim 30\%$	1.1	85.0	98.6

Table 6: Ablation study on the Filtering threshold τ .

G.3 Primitive Parameterization and Learning

The *push* primitive is parameterized by a 1D distance, indicating the amount of pushing along the current direction. The *rotate* primitive is parameterized using a rotation matrix, indicating the delta rotation in the scissors current frame. The *close* primitive is parameterized by a 1D distance, which represents the length it aims to cut. The *open* primitive is not parameterized separately because it shares a 1DoF with the close action. Essentially, we fix the open action to a predefined position (e.g., maximum opening) and do not need separate parameterization.

The model outputs parameters for all three primitives (rotate, close, push) in a single forward pass, but only selects one action to execute based on the predefined order and discards the other two outputs. The sequence of four action primitives is repeated until the curve is completed, which is referred to as **Recurrent Execution** in Fig. 2. For hyperparameter in the loss function, we simply choose $\lambda_p = \lambda_c = \lambda_R = 1$, and we find it is effective enough.

G.4 Action Chunking

For Action Chunking, we follow the implementation of [37], which predicts k future actions using an exponential weighting scheme where $w_i = e^{-m \times i}$, with w_0 representing the weight for the most recent action. In our experiments, we set k = 4 and m = 0.01.

H Limitations

As we claim in the main paper, scissor cutting for non-simple or non-smooth curves is still an open problem. We adopt the definitions from MathWorld (https://mathworld.wolfram.com/). A curve is simple if it does not cross itself. A smooth curve is a continuous map from a one-dimensional space to an n-dimensional space that has continuous derivatives up to a desired order on its domain. Curves that do not meet these definitions are considered non-simple or non-smooth, respectively.

The limitation arises from the relatively long length of the scissors compared to regular end effectors. Due to the limited workspace, our small 6-DoF arm typically cannot rotate the scissors by more than 90 degrees while maintaining their position unchanged. As a result, non-smooth curves with significant turning angles are not achievable. Additionally, non-simple curves involve loops in the scissors' trajectory, which are also unattainable due to the constraints of the hardware setup, not the learning method itself. Addressing these limitations might require designing a dexterous dual-arm cooperation system, which could be a direction for future research.