Color4E: Event Demosaicing for Full-color Event Guided Image Deblurring

ABSTRACT

1

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

54

56

57

Neuromorphic event sensors are novel visual cameras that feature high-speed illumination-variation sensing and have found widespread application in guiding frame-based imaging enhancement. This paper focuses on color restoration in the event-guided image deblurring task, we fuse blurry images with mosaic color events instead of mono events to avoid artifacts such as color bleeding. The challenges associated with this approach include demosaicing color events for reconstructing full-resolution sampled signals and fusing bimodal signals to achieve image deblurring. To meet these challenges, we propose a novel network called Color4E to enhance the color restoration quality for the image deblurring task. Color4E leverages an event demosaicing module to upsample the spatial resolution of mosaic color events and a cross-encoding image deblurring module for fusing bimodal signals, a refinement module is designed to fuse full-color events and refine initial deblurred images. Furthermore, to avoid the real-simulated gap of events, we implement a display-filter-camera system that enables mosaic and full-color event data captured synchronously, to collect a real-captured dataset used for network training and validation. The results on the public dataset and our collected dataset show that Color4E enables high-quality event-based image deblurring compared to state-of-the-art methods.

CCS CONCEPTS

Computing methodologies → Computational photography.

KEYWORDS

Event camera, Color event demosaicing, Image deblurring

ACM Reference Format:

. 2024. Color4E: Event Demosaicing for Full-color Event Guided Image Deblurring. In *Proceedings of the 32st ACM International Conference on Multimedia (MM'24)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10 pages. https://doi.org/ XXXXXXX.XXXXXXXX

1 INTRODUCTION

Inspired by the mechanism of the human retina, neuromorphic event sensors have been designed as a novel type of camera to break the bottlenecks of traditional frame-based cameras by the advantages of low latency, low power, and high dynamic range (HDR) [4, 19, 29]. Event signals are asynchronously triggered by comparing the current and last light intensity states of the same pixel in

⁵⁰ Unpublished working draft. Not for distribution.

51 for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation 52 on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or 53 republic to poet on service or to redistribute to lists, requiring a programmer of the service of the service

```
and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.
```

55 MM'24, 28 October - 1 November, 2024, Melbourne, Australi

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/Y/MM...\$15.00





 (a) Frame-event hybrid imaging system with three event types

 Image
 Image

 Input blurry
 Existing method

 Image
 Existing method

 Image
 Existing method

 Image
 Image

 Image
 Existing method

 Image
 Existing method

 Image
 Image

 Image
 Image

(b) Image deblurring guided with different types of events

Figure 1: (a) We show an RGB frame sensor and an event sensor hybrid imaging system to shoot high-speed scenarios, and show the different signals of mono events, mosaic events with Bayer pattern, and full-color events. (b) Image deblurring task guided by mono events, mosaic events, and full-color events. The state-of-the-art method REFID [39] is chosen as the existing method and retrained with mosaic events. Our method demosaics the mosaic events and reconstructs full-color events to guide image deblurring.

log-scale, one binary-signed event will be triggered whenever the log-intensity variation exceeds the preset thresholds [7, 19, 40]. Thanks to their microseconds-level sensitivity (~ $10\mu s$ temporal resolution), event cameras have been used in a standalone manner to directly reconstruct high frame-rate images/videos [6, 11, 56], or in an event-and-frame hybrid manner to boost the frame rate or eliminate image motion blur [25, 42, 55].

As a hot research direction, the event-guided image deblurring task aims to restore clear images from the corresponding longexposure images suffering from motion blur. Based on the correlation between the count of events and the change in light intensity, EDI [25] bridges the correlation between the blurry image and clear image by a double integral process of events, and the reverse process enables eliminating image motion blur with the guidance of events. Learning-based methods [3, 21, 37, 39] have demonstrated the continuous enhancement of deblurring performance through iterative refinement of network models. Optical estimation has also been incorporated to improve deblurring performance [13], and some methods even enable intra-frame interpolation owing to

113

114

115

116

60



Figure 2: The illustration of our display-filter-camera system. We collect a dataset by repeatedly rotating the rotator with three primary color filters and shooting the high refresh-rate display with DAVIS346-mono.

the high temporal resolution of events. The introduction of event signals has resulted in a significant enhancement in the performance of image deblurring, due to the assistance of events in providing high-precision motion trajectory and textures/edges for reconstructing sharp and clear images [13, 39, 49, 51]. Non-linear motion blur, which was previously challenging for image-based algorithms to address, can now be effectively mitigated through highspeed sampling of events [17, 32, 35, 43, 47]. However, the existing event-guided image deblurring methods mainly use monochromatic (mono) events as the common input, which leads to color aberration and artifacts in blur-eliminated regions because of the lack of color spectrum sampling by mono events, the blurred area in the original image will appear obvious abnormal color artifacts and motion track after image deblurring [55].

148 Fortunately, it happens that event camera prototypes equipped with Bayer pattern color filter arrays (CFA) have been available, 149 i.e., DAVIS346-color [34], which triggers red, green, and blue (three-150 151 primary color components, denoted as R, G, B respectively) events 152 based on the Bayer-pattern mask. Each pixel senses changes in intensity across the different color spectrums. The existing methods 153 based on color event cameras directly use mosaic events as input to 154 155 reconstruct HFR videos or auxiliary RGB video interpolation [14] without any demosaic processing. As shown in Fig. 1 (a), compared 156 with mono events, mosaic events carry the color-variation informa-157 tion of scenes. Nevertheless, color filter arrays prevent sensors from 158 159 recording color information at full resolution and it's necessary to reconstruct three-channel full-color events. The visual comparison 160 161 in Figure 1 (b) verifies the necessity of introducing color events and reconstructing full-color events to suppress color artifacts in the 162 163 image deblurring task.

Demosaicing processing is an inevitable choice for high-quality 164 color imaging that has been demonstrated in the field of image 165 processing [24, 44, 45, 48]. However, there is no available demo-166 saicing method for mosaic events currently, convolutional image 167 168 demosaicing methods are unsuitable to directly apply to mosaic events because of the particular signal modality of asynchronously 169 triggered events. Besides, color events further increase the diffi-170 culty of event-and-image two-modality data fusion, and new data 171 172 modality brings challenges to the acquisition of training datasets 173 and the processing of real-simulated gaps.

In this paper, to break the bottleneck of mono events-based methods and deal with the challenges of mosaic events demosaicing and event-guided image deblurring, we propose a novel network, named Color4E, which carries the meaning of "color for events" or "colorful events". The network leverages a full-color event constraint module for demosaicing mosaic events and an event-frame cross-encoding module for fusing bimodal signals, a refinement module is designed to further fuse demosaiced full-color events and refine initial deblurred images. Furthermore, to avoid the real-simulated gap, we implement a display-filter-camera system (as shown in Fig. 2) that enables mosaic and full-color event data captured synchronously to collect a real-captured dataset used for network training and validation. The result comparison shows that our method outperforms state-of-the-art event-guided image deblurring methods on common datasets, and obtains a numerical gain of evaluation metrics accompanied by visual quality improvements, especially the suppression of color bleeding artifacts.

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

Overall, this paper makes the following contributions:

- We propose a united framework to demosaic Bayer-pattern filtered mosaic color events and further enhance the performance of event-guided image deblurring, which is the first learning-based method to demosaic events and deal with the color artifacts in event-guided image deblurring.
- We propose a network Color4E to fuse events and images and realize the complementary advantages between the bimodal signals, in which images guide mosaic events to restore full-resolution sampling, and color events guide blurry images to eliminate blurring and avoid color artifacts.
- We implement a display-filter-camera system that enables mosaic and full-color event recording synchronously to collect the first high-resolution color event dataset C4E suitable for network training and evaluation.

2 RELATED WORKS

Event camera systems and datasets for image enhancement. The dataset of image deblurring tasks requires containing input events, input blurry images, and ground truth no-blur images. Blurry images are simulated by averaging multiple no-blur image sequences in the majority of datasets (e.g., [13, 17, 26, 43]). To collect real-captured images and events, REBlur [37] conducts controlled indoor experiments to gather triplet data through the repetition of identical motion scenarios. DVSNOISE20 [1] proposed a noise annotation approach by deriving an event probability mask using APS frames and IMU motion data. In the benchmark event dataset compiled in [10], a display-camera system is used to transform pre-existing video datasets into event datasets. Duan et al. [6] implements a similar setup to collect a dataset with high-quality videos and real-captured multi-scale events. We implement a display-filtercamera system that enables synchronous record mosaic events, full-color events, and corresponding blurry and no-blur images.

Event-based image deblurring methods. Thanks to the highspeed characteristic of event cameras, it has recently been used to improve the performance of image deblurring tasks in an image and events fusion manner. Pan *et al.* [25] establish a correlation $2024-04-13\ 10:19$. Page 2 of 1–10.

117

118

119

120

121

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

between the blurry image and clear image through a double in-233 tegral process of events, and the reverse process facilitates the 234 235 elimination of image motion blur with the guidance of events. To impose external priors on the learning of deblurring mapping, Lin 236 et al. [21] propose an end-to-end trainable neural network that 237 uses events to estimate the residuals for the sharp frame restora-238 tion. eSL-Net [43, 51] proposes an event-enhanced sparse learning 239 network to solve problems of noise, motion blur, and low resolu-240 241 tion in a unified framework. RED-Net [47] estimates optical flows 242 from events to enable self-supervision on the deblurring network with blurry consistency and photometric consistency. NEST [41] 243 presents a network that satisfies physical constraints and encodes 244 comprehensive motion and temporal information sufficient for im-245 age deblurring. EFNet [38] designs a fusion module that applies 246 cross-modal channel-wise attention to fuse event features with 247 248 image features and proposes a symmetric cumulative event voxel representation for deblurring. Furthermore, REFID [39] introduces 249 a bi-directional recurrent architecture into the network to solve the 250 image deblurring. However, the above methods use mono events 251 as input and lead to color aberration in blur-eliminated regions. 252

Image demosaicing. Color imaging in digital cameras is primarily achieved through embedding color filters. To reduce costs and manufacturing complexity, sensors are covered with color filter arrays, where each pixel only senses one spectrum of red, green, or blue in a periodic arrangement. However, this approach results in each color channel being unable to sample at full resolution. To address this problem, image demosaicing algorithms attempt to interpolate the low-sampled color channels to reconstruct RGB channels at full resolution. Traditional image demosaicing algorithms mainly adapt simple image interpolation algorithms such as the nearest neighbor, bilinear, bicubic interpolation, etc. Kiku et al. [16] introduce residual interpolation, and Ye et al. [50] further propose an iterative residual method to make the three channels mutually constrain and guide each other to achieve higher-quality reconstruction. Deep learning-based methods achieve accurate and robust image demosaicing by learning sampling mapping from external data priors. Gharbi et al. [8] propose a demosaicing network based on CNN network, which jointly achieves image denoising and demosaicing. In recent years, with the development of deep learning models, researchers further enhanced the prior constraints and improved the robustness of image demosaicing [36, 46, 53]. However, there is no available demosaicing method for events, convolutional image demosaicing methods are unsuitable to directly apply to mosaic events because of the particular signal modality.

3 METHODS

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

In this section, we briefly review the color event sensing and image degradation preliminaries in Sec. 3.1, bridge the relationship between color events and their blurry image in Sec. 3.2, introduce the real-captured dataset C4E in Sec. 3.3, provide Color4E network in Sec. 3.4, and implementation details in Sec. 3.5.

3.1 Preliminaries

Let's consider a 3D latent space-time volume ($\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$) that records the irradiance and chromaticity of scene, we want to capture in the time range [0, *T*], and formulate corresponding blurry images and 2024-04-13 10:19. Page 3 of 1–10. color events through latent clear images $\mathbf{I}_t (t \in [0, T])$. The corresponding blurry image $\mathbf{B} = \int_0^T \mathbf{I}_t dt$ averages all latent images over the exposure time [0, T]. For images, we ignore the demosaicing process and default to using full-color RGB images in this paper.

On the event side, there exist three types of events, *i.e.*, mono events, mosaic events, and full-color events. Mono events triggered at time *t* only depend on the variation of irradiance:

$$p_k^{\text{Mo}} = \Gamma\left\{\log(\frac{\mathbf{I}_t(x_k, y_k) + b}{\mathbf{I}_{t-1}(x_k, y_k) + b}), \epsilon\right\},\tag{1}$$

where $\Gamma\{\theta, \epsilon\}$ is an event-triggering function, ϵ is the contrast threshold, b is an infinitesimal positive number to prevent log(0) and events are triggered when $|\theta| \ge \epsilon$. Polarity $p_k^{Mo} \in \{1, -1\}$ indicates the direction (increase or decrease) of intensity change. The event stream output at this space-time volume can be described as a set $\mathbf{E}^{Mo} = \{e_k^{Mo}\}_{k=1}^N$, where N denotes the number of events, and each mono event can be expressed as $e_k^{Mo} = (x_k, y_k, t, p_k^{Mo})$. Full-color events are triggered after \mathbf{I}_t of Eq. (1) are filtered

Full-color events are triggered after I_t of Eq. (1) are filtered by three primary color filters as $C_{\{\Omega=R,G,B\}}(I_t)$, and full-color events can be denoted as $E^{Fc} = \{\{e_k^R\}_{k=1}^{N_R}, \{e_k^G\}_{k=1}^{N_G}, \{e_k^B\}_{k=1}^{N_B}\}$, where $C_{\{\Omega=R,G,B\}}$ denotes the color filter process. Mosaic events can be extracted from full-color events E^{Fc} and formulated by:

$$\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{Bp}} = \sum_{\Omega = \{R, G, B\}} \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{Fc}}), \tag{2}$$

where \mathcal{M}_{θ} means the Bayer pattern mask.

3.2 Connect degraded images and color events

For blurry images, Pan *et al.* [25] have developed an event-based double integral model to establish the relationship on luminance field between blurry image and mono events, formulated as:

$$\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{Mo}} \approx \frac{\mathbf{I}_{t_0}}{T} \int_{t_0 - \frac{T}{2}}^{t_0 + \frac{T}{2}} \exp\left(\epsilon \int_{t_0}^{s} e^{\mathrm{Mo}}(t) \mathrm{d}t\right) \mathrm{d}s.$$
(3)

We simplify Eq. (3) with a blurring function \mathcal{B} and rewrite it as $\mathbf{B}^{Mo} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{I}_{t_0}, \mathbf{E}^{Mo})$. Obviously, full-color blurry image $\mathbf{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{I}_{t_0}, \mathbf{E}^{Fc})$, and the aim of our deblurring task is to learn the inverse mapping \mathcal{B}^{-1} and \mathcal{M}^{-1} to reconstruct a clear and sharp image $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{t_0}$ from the blurry image and mosaic events, *i.e.*,

$$\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{t_0} = \mathcal{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{B}, \hat{\mathbf{E}}^{\mathrm{Fc}}) = \mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\theta}^{-1}(\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{Bp}})\right),$$
(4)

where the \mathcal{M}^{-1} is the demosaicing process of mosaic events and the \mathcal{B}^{-1} is the deblurring process of the blurry image with the guidance of demosaiced full-color events $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{Fc}$.

3.3 Dataset from display-filter-camera system

To train the Color4E network, the data requires the quadruplet: blurry image, mosaic events, no-blur image ground truth, and fullcolor event ground truth. Most existing datasets generate event data through simulators [39, 41]. Note that the gap between realcaptured and simulated events (real-simulated gap) cannot be ignored, which has been verified by NeuroZoom [5, 6]. It also reveals that real-data driven is an available approach to study event signal degradation and avoid the real-simulated gap. Therefore, we develop a display-filter-camera system to collect real-world color event data and synchronously collect quadruple data.

MM'24, 28 October - 1 November, 2024, Melbourne, Australia



Figure 3: Network architecture of Color4E. It consists of three modules: event demosaicing module, image deblurring module, and refinement module. The network inputs a blurry image and the corresponding mosaic color events triggered in the period of exposure time, and outputs demosaiced full-color events as well as the deblurred clear image.

As Fig. 2 shows, the display-filter-camera system consists of a high refresh-rate display, a rotator equipped with RGB color filters, and a mono event camera. The high refresh-rate display is used to repeatedly play back high frame-rate videos to simulate and reproduce real-world scenes, the rotator is placed in front of the camera lens for convenient switching of different color filters, and the mono event camera shoots the scenes that are repeatedly played on the display and color information filtered by RGB filters, thus forming full-color event data.

We choose the high frame-rate (240FPS) video clips released from NeuroZoom [5] as source videos, use a display with the refresh rate of 360Hz to play the source videos, and choose the Proph-esee Gen 4.1 camera [28] (1280×720) to capture filtered events. Each video clip is repeated 4 times, corresponding to the capture of R/G/B/mono events. By rotating the rotator, the event camera senses intensity changes of different color channels, and the unfiltered scenes are also recorded to compare the performance difference between mono events and color events. An F/1.4 16mm lens is mounted on the cameras. The camera is placed at a distance of ~180cm away from the display to avoid lens distortion. The influ-ence from other light sources is minimized during recording. We employ checkerboard and collimation tools to align the display plane and camera plane, and use time markers to achieve temporal synchronization of the video clips and captured events. All data undergo precision inspection to ensure pixel-level spatial calibra-tion precision and sub-microsecond temporal alignment precision. With this setup, we obtain 67 quadruplet data with a total time length of 20 minutes, where blurry images are degraded from high frame-rate images by the processing of averaging adjacent frames. Mosaic events are downsampled with Bayer patterns from full-color events. We refer to this newly captured dataset as "C4E" for brevity, which also signifies that the dataset includes four channels of color events: R/G/B/mono events.

3.4 Color4E Framework

The Color4E network consists of three modules to synchronously accomplish the color event demosaicing task and color event-guided image deblurring task, which contains the event demosaicing module, image deblurring module, and refinement module. As shown in Fig. 3, the Color4E network inputs the blurry image B and the corresponding mosaic events E^{Bp} triggered in the period of exposure time, and outputs demosaiced full-color events \hat{E}^{Fc} as well as the deblurred clear image $\hat{\mathbf{I}}$.

Event demosaicing module (EDM). This module aims to learn the demosaicing mapping of the input mosaic events E^{Bp} to threechannel full-color events ÊFc. To facilitate the extraction of event signal features at different time periods by the network and to match the dual integral model proposed by EDI [25], we preprocess the input mosaic events using symmetric cumulative event representation [38]. Each event tensor undergoes pixel unshuffling initially, transforming the original monoplane mosaic event data into four color channels, i.e., R/G/G/B channels. Subsequently, the event tensor is spatially upsampled by an interpolation process to match the full resolution of the input images. The preprocessed color event tensors are then fed into a backbone network built upon the U-Net structure [33]. As indicated in Eq. (3), the double integration of events is approximately equivalent to the blurred image, which also applies to color channels. Therefore, we utilize the input blurry image encompassing 3-channel color information, as external guidance to facilitate the event demosaicing process. The blurry image B is fed into an image feature encoder, and each feature layer connects with the corresponding layer of the event encoder by a cross-attention block. In addition, a supervised attention module (SAM) [52] is plugged after the decoder to enable progressive learning. We use full-color events to constrain the demosaic mapping learning with MSE (Mean Squared Error) Loss.

MM'24, 28 October - 1 November, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

Ev	ent demosaicing example	e #1	Event demosaicing example #2				
Mosaic events	Ours demosaic events	Ground truth	Mosaic events	Ours demosaic events	Ground truth		
interational and a straight of the	alalaisi ilalala						
ALL REAL		DEIDERE		1 1 4 4			
est north an		Cart arc. all of the check					
izaldzaj kraj kraj kaj 👘 🖓 kraj kraj kraj kraj kraj kraj kraj kraj	alaiaisi iisiala				CHEN THERE AND PROVIDENCES OF AN		
		MANCERS		1 1 1	1 1 1 5		
Part of the second s			Contraction of the second				
					nn alle a sun a		
MILLING STREET		MARY MILLING CONTRACTOR OF		111	111		
and the second se					2 martine		

Figure 4: Color event demosaicing results on our collected C4E dataset. We compare the qualitative performance of mosaic events and demosaiced events with ground truth full-color events for RGB channels. RGB dots represent positive events and gray dots represent negative events Please zoom in for more details.

Image deblurring module (IDM). This module aims to learn the deblurring mapping of the input blurry image B to deblurred clear image, the output is an intermediate deblurring result. This module shares the network structure with the Event demosaicing module and mosaic events are also fed into the encoder to guide the image deblurring process. The input mosaic events are treated as single-channel signals following the process of E2VID, and do not undergo pixel unshuffling because the events in this module are used to provide clear edge guidance for image deblurring. We use no-blur images as the ground truth to constrain this module and the output intermediate deblurring images are fed into the refinement module with demosaiced events simultaneously.

Refinement module (RFM). This module inherits the structural design of the previous two modules. The difference is that in order to strike a balance between performance and computational complexity, it has fewer layers for feature extraction compared to the preceding modules. Additionally, to better leverage the feature information at various hierarchical levels during the refinement process, pixel attention [9, 54] operations are introduced in this module. To enhance the quality of the intermediate deblurring results, we further fuse the demosaiced full-color events output from the event demosaicing module to refine the deblurring outcomes. These demosaiced full-color events serve as guidance to compensate for the initial lack of three-channel color event signals in the former image deblurring module, thereby further enhancing the color restoration quality for the image deblurring task and avoiding artifacts such as color bleeding.

3.5 Details

During the inference process, as mentioned above, the three modules of the Color4E network work synchronously. Similarly, in the training phase, we maintain this operational scheme and apply different supervision signals to the intermediate outputs of each 2024-04-13 10:19. Page 5 of 1-10.

module. Specifically, for the event demosaicing module, we supervise its output \hat{E}^{Fc} using the MSE Loss:

$$L_1 = L_{\rm mse},\tag{5}$$

for the image deblurring module, we employ Charbonnier Loss [18] to supervise the content restoration of the image, while also using Laplacian Loss [2] to constrain the texture restoration:

$$L_2 = \lambda_{21} L_{\rm c} + \lambda_{22} L_{\rm lap},\tag{6}$$

and for the final refinement module, besides utilizing the Charbonnier Loss [18], we apply the Perceptual Loss [15] to further constrain the output, aiming to achieve a more natural visual quality in the final output image.

$$L_3 = \lambda_{31} L_{\rm c} + \lambda_{32} L_{\rm perc}.\tag{7}$$

We define the loss between the ground truth and the predicted result as a hybrid of the three loss functions above:

L

$$= L_1 + L_2 + L_3. \tag{8}$$

During the training process, we dynamically adjust the values of each hyperparameter λ_{ii} to ensure that the magnitudes of each loss term L_i always maintain the same numerical magnitude as L_1 . By doing so, we can adaptively balance the contributions of different loss terms to the overall optimization objective L. This guarantees that the gradients corresponding to each loss term remain within a reasonable range and proportion.

Our network is implemented in PyTorch [27] and trained with an NVIDIA RTX 4090. We employ the AdamW optimizer [22] to minimize the loss, starting with an initial learning rate of 2×10^{-4} . Additionally, we utilize a cosine learning rate decay strategy, setting the minimum learning rate to 1×10^{-6} . The network undergoes training for 100 epochs using our C4E dataset or 400 epochs using the GoPro dataset [23]. Across both datasets, we maintain consistent data augmentation, applying 256×256 random crops to both images and their corresponding events.

MM'24, 28 October - 1 November, 2024, Melbourne, Australia



Figure 5: Comparison of event-based color image reconstruction on our C4E dataset. The benchmark event-to-image method is E2VID [31]. There are four different strategies to reconstruct full-resolution color images. Case #1: Mosaic events \rightarrow E2VID \rightarrow bilinear interpolation. Case #2: Mosaic events \rightarrow E2VID \rightarrow chroma subsampling. Case #3: Full-color events \rightarrow E2VID. Ours: Mosaic events \rightarrow Color4E \rightarrow E2VID.

 Table 1: The quantitative results of color image reconstruction on the GoPro dataset and our collected C4E dataset.

	Go	oPro data	set	Our dataset			
Methods	PSNR ↑	SSIM ↑	LPIPS↓	PSNR ↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	
Case #1	14.82	0.6520	0.4136	14.36	0.6103	0.4647	
Case #2	15.50	0.6744	0.3427	14.22	0.6357	0.3293	
Case #3	14.97	0.6747	0.3284	14.56	0.6917	0.2680	
Ours	15.64	0.7012	0.2447	14.72	0.6990	0.2934	

4 EXPERIMENT

In this section, we introduce the training and evaluation dataset in Sec. 4.1, color event demosaicing result in Sec. 4.2, color image reconstruction performance comparison in Sec. 4.3, qualitatively and quantitatively comparison with state-of-the-art event-guided image deblurring methods on public GoPro dataset and our collected dataset in Sec. 4.4, and our real-captured data in Sec. 4.5. Ablation studies are conducted in Sec. 4.6.

4.1 Training and evaluation dataset

C4E Dataset. The C4E dataset we collected encompasses various scene types (indoor, outdoor) and a range of motion blur severity (severe, moderate), covering 67 scenes, 8728 sets of blurred-sharp image pairs, along with corresponding mono, mosaic, and full-color events. We partition the dataset into training and evaluation sets, ensuring consistent proportions of scene types and blur severity across both sets. Ultimately, we have designated 56 scenes, totaling 7372 images for the training set, and 11 scenes with 1356 images for the evaluation dataset.

GoPro Dataset [23]. We have also utilized the GoPro dataset [23] for training and evaluating our model, which is a widely-used public dataset in the task of image deblurring. This dataset consists of 3214 blurred images with the size of 1280 × 720 that are divided into 2103 training images and 1111 test images. We use the DVS-Voltmeter

[20] simulator to generate R/G/B/mono event data form the GoPro dataset. Specifically, we first use RIFE [12], a frame interpolation model to increase the frame rate of the image sequence provided by the GoPro dataset by 16×, and then input the temporal-upsampled image sequence into the event simulator to collect the simulated event. The generation of mono events is achieved by converting color images to grayscale, and the generation of full-color events is achieved by separately extracting and processing each color channel from the color image. Mosaic events are extracted from full-color events, where pixels are extracted from each color channel according to the Bayer pattern CFA.

4.2 Event demosaicing results

The event demosaicing module outputs full-color events enabling the intensity change of each color channel to be sensed on a fullresolution size. Figure 4 quantitatively compares the effect of our method on the event demosaics of each color channel. The comparative results reveal that the edges and textures of the event frames have been distinctly restored (*e.g.*, the windows of buildings), indicating the effectiveness of our method in reconstructing the event signals originally triggered by edges and textures but downsampled by the Bayer patterns.

4.3 Color image reconstruction results

We further verify the performance of the color event demosaicing module through the event-based color image reconstruction task. E2VID [31] is chosen as the benchmark method to reconstruct color images with different input events.

There are four different strategies to reconstruct full-resolution color images directly from mosaic events. (1) Case #1: Mosaic events \rightarrow E2VID \rightarrow bilinear interpolation. We first reconstruct the different color channels from the mosaic events independently at the quarter resolution, concatenate the R/G/B channels together, and upsample the result to the full resolution with bilinear interpolation [34]; (2) Case #2: Mosaic events \rightarrow E2VID \rightarrow chroma subsampling. We also utilize a method proposed in E2VID [31] for reconstructing 2024-04-13 10:19. Page 6 of 1–10.

Color4E: Event Demosaicing for Full-color Event Guided Image Deblurring

MM'24, 28 October - 1 November, 2024, Melbourne, Australia



Figure 6: Image deblurring results on GoPro dataset.



Figure 7: Image deblurring results on our C4E dataset.

Table 2: The event-guided image deblurring quantitative results on the GoPro dataset and our collected C4E dataset. The blue item represents the mosaic color event input, while the white item represents the monochromatic event input.

	GoPro dataset			Our dataset			
Methods	PSNR ↑	SSIM ↑	LPIPS↓	PSNR ↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	
EDI [25] (mono)	29.28	0.8538	0.1896	29.50	0.8705	0.1085	
EDI [25] (Mosaic)	29.19	0.8483	0.1988	29.01	0.8638	0.1230	
eSL-Net [43] (mono)	30.28	0.9086	0.1323	31.33	0.9184	0.0833	
eSL-Net [43] (Mosaic)	30.56	0.9143	0.1260	30.68	0.9170	0.0813	
Red-Net [47] (mono)	33.05	0.9456	0.0946	34.68	0.9592	0.0268	
Red-Net [47] (Mosaic)	33.21	0.9480	0.0914	34.67	0.9697	0.0275	
NEST [41] (mono)	30.47	0.9015	0.0935	32.97	0.9336	0.0279	
NEST [41] (Mosaic)	31.19	0.9112	0.0648	32.96	0.9360	0.0289	
EF-Net [38] (mono)	35.03	0.9545	0.0711	35.19	0.9592	0.0292	
EF-Net [38] (Mosaic)	35.47	0.9580	0.0670	35.14	0.9602	0.0289	
REFID [39] (mono)	34.71	0.9539	0.0766	35.49	0.9622	0.0256	
REFID [39] (Mosaic)	35.01	0.9571	0.0741	35.36	0.9631	0.0275	
Ours (Mosaic)	35.90	0.9615	0.0406	35.78	0.9649	0.0166	

mosaic events, which relies on chroma subsampling [30]. In this approach, mosaic events are initially reconstructed into a threechannel color image using the bilinear procedure. Subsequently, this low-quality color image is merged with a full-resolution grayscale 2024-04-13 10:19. Page 7 of 1–10. image obtained by applying the E2VID [31] network to all events while disregarding the Bayer pattern CFA. The color image is then converted into the HSL colorspace, with the luminance channel replaced by the full-resolution grayscale reconstruction, resulting in the color reconstruction output; (3) Case #3: Full-color events \rightarrow E2VID. We utilize the full-color event data, which serves as supervision during the training of the demosaicing model, to be reconstructed using E2VID [31] for reference purposes, evaluating the effectiveness of the demosaicing process. Since these events are full-resolution size, we directly apply E2VID to individually reconstruct each channel; (4) Ours: Mosaic events \rightarrow Color4E \rightarrow E2VID. The output demosaiced events of our event demosaicing module are fed into the E2VID [31] model to obtain RGB three-channel color reconstruction results.

Figure 5 and Table 1 record the qualitative and quantitative results respectively. The comparison results show that Color4E can effectively reconstruct the texture and edge details after demosaicing the color events, and the global color tone is closer to the ground truth, such as the buildings and cyclists. Interestingly, our results obtain better visual effects and numerical metrics than the images reconstructed from full-color events (*i.e.*, Case #3), because the event demosaicing module uses color blurry images as guidance and color clear images as constraints, which suppress the effect of event noise and make the color tone better match real images.



We also used mono events to retrain the existing methods and record their performance in Table 2 to objectively compare the effects of mono events and mosaic events on image deblurring methods. The results show that the results of mosaic events are generally higher than mono events, which indicates that mosaic events bring effective color information for image deblurring. The results of EDI [25] do not conform to the above rules, because mosaic events that are not denoising optimized may introduce artifacts into the results.

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

4.5 Image deblurring results on real data

To further verify the performance of our method in real-world scenarios, we use DAVIS346-color [40], currently the only event camera that can capture mosaic color events filtered by Bayer patterns, to collect a series of challenging scenarios to evaluate image deblurring methods. The DAVIS346-color [40] synchronously outputs color images with a resolution of 346×260 and mosaic color

Table 3: Ablation study on different module combinations.

REFID

Ours

	Go	Pro data	aset	0	Our dataset				
Input events	s EDM	RFM	Event GT	PSNR ↑	SSIM ↑	LPIPS↓	PSNR ↑	SSIM ↑	LPIPS↓
mono	×	×	×	34.81	0.9572	0.0322	35.01	0.9566	0.0715
mono	×	~	×	34.98	0.9577	0.0203	35.04	0.9553	0.0498
mosaic	×	×	×	34.58	0.9560	0.0360	35.52	0.9595	0.0663
mosaic	×		×	34.75	0.9571	0.0212	35.34	0.9571	0.0473
mosaic			×	35.37	0.9624	0.0187	35.72	0.9607	0.0426
mosaic	\checkmark	1	~	35.78	0.9649	0.0166	35.90	0.9615	0.0406

events triggered during the exposure period. We input these blurry images and mosaic event counterparts into the above event-based image deblurring methods and the result examples are shown in Fig. 8. The Color4E clearly restores the edges and details of the windows in the first example and accurately corrects the edges and colors of the traffic signs in the second example.

4.6 Ablation studies

Image deblurring resul

EF-Net

NEST

Red-Net

We evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed event demosaicing module and refinement module, as well as the mosaic color event input and full-color event constraint. The comparison results summarized in Table 3 verify the necessity of each proposed module.

CONCLUSION 5

We propose a novel network called Color4E in this paper for color event-guided image deblurring. This network leverages a full-color event constraint module for demosaicing color events and an eventframe cross-encoding module for fusing bimodal signals, a refinement module is designed to further refine initial deblurred images. To avoid the real-simulated gap, we implement a display-filtercamera system to collect a real-captured dataset C4E used for network training and validation. The results on the public dataset and our dataset show that Color4E enables high-quality image deblurring compared to state-of-the-art methods. In future work, we will further explore the application of event demosaicing in other event-guided image enhancement tasks, as well as the performance enhancement of color event-guided video deblurring.

Color4E: Event Demosaicing for Full-color Event Guided Image Deblurring

MM'24, 28 October - 1 November, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

929 **REFERENCES**

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

- R Baldwin, Mohammed Almatrafi, Vijayan Asari, and Keigo Hirakawa. 2020. Event Probability Mask (EPM) and Event Denoising Convolutional Neural Network (EDnCNN) for Neuromorphic Cameras. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [2] Piotr Bojanowski, Armand Joulin, David Lopez-Paz, and Arthur Szlam. 2018. Optimizing the Latent Space of Generative Networks. In Proc. of International Conference on Machine Learning.
- [3] Haoyu Chen, Minggui Teng, Boxin Shi, Yizhou Wang, and Tiejun Huang. 2022. A Residual Learning Approach to Deblur and Generate High Frame Rate Video With an Event Camera. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* (2022), 1–14.
- [4] Shoushun Chen and Menghan Guo. 2019. Live demonstration: CeleX-V: a 1M pixel multi-mode event-based sensor. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops.
- [5] Peiqi Duan, Yi Ma, Xinyu Zhou, Xinyu Shi, Zihao W. Wang, Tiejun Huang, and Boxin Shi. 2023. NeuroZoom: Denoising and Super Resolving Neuromorphic Events and Spikes. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 45, 12 (2023), 15219–15232.
- [6] Peiqi Duan, Zihao Wang, Xinyu Zhou, Yi Ma, and Boxin Shi. 2021. EventZoom: Learning to Denoise and Super Resolve Neuromorphic Events. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [7] Guillermo Gallego, Tobi Delbruck, Garrick Orchard, Chiara Bartolozzi, Brian Taba, Andrea Censi, Stefan Leutenegger, Andrew Davison, Joerg Conradt, Kostas Daniilidis, et al. 2020. Event-based vision: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (2020).
- [8] Michaël Gharbi, Gaurav Chaurasia, Sylvain Paris, and Frédo Durand. 2016. Deep joint demosaicking and denoising. ACM Transactions on Graphics 35, 6 (2016).
- [9] Jie Hu, Li Shen, and Gang Sun. 2018. Squeeze-and-excitation networks. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [10] Yuhuang Hu, Hongjie Liu, Michael Pfeiffer, and Tobi Delbruck. 2016. DVS benchmark datasets for object tracking, action recognition, and object recognition. Frontiers in Neuroscience 10 (2016), 405.
- [11] Xueyan Huang, Yueyi Zhang, and Zhiwei Xiong. 2021. High-speed structured light based 3D scanning using an event camera. Optics Express 29 (2021).
- [12] Zhewei Huang, Tianyuan Zhang, Wen Heng, Boxin Shi, and Shuchang Zhou. 2022. Real-time intermediate flow estimation for video frame interpolation. In Proc. of European Conference on Computer Vision.
- [13] Zhe Jiang, Yu Zhang, Dongqing Zou, Jimmy Ren, Jiancheng Lv, and Yebin Liu. 2020. Learning Event-Based Motion Deblurring. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [14] Yongcheng Jing, Yiding Yang, Xinchao Wang, Mingli Song, and Dacheng Tao. 2021. Turning Frequency to Resolution: Video Super-Resolution via Event Cameras. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [15] Justin Johnson, Alexandre Alahi, and Li Fei-Fei. 2016. Perceptual losses for real-time style transfer and super-resolution. In Proc. of European Conference on Computer Vision.
- [16] Daisuke Kiku, Yusuke Monno, Masayuki Tanaka, and Masatoshi Okutomi. 2013. Residual interpolation for color image demosaicking. In Proc. of International Conference on Image Processing.
- [17] Taewoo Kim, Jungmin Lee, Lin Wang, and Kuk-Jin Yoon. 2022. Event-guided Deblurring of Unknown Exposure Time Videos. In Proc. of European Conference on Computer Vision.
- [18] Wei-Sheng Lai, Jia-Bin Huang, Narendra Ahuja, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. 2019. Fast and Accurate Image Super-Resolution with Deep Laplacian Pyramid Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 41, 11 (2019), 2599–2613.
- [19] Patrick Lichtsteiner, Christoph Posch, and Tobi Delbruck. 2008. A 128×128 120 dB 15 μs Latency Asynchronous Temporal Contrast Vision Sensor. *IEEE Journal* of Solid-state Circuits 43, 2 (2008), 566–576.
- [20] Songnan Lin, Ye Ma, Zhenhua Guo, and Bihan Wen. 2022. DVS-Voltmeter: Stochastic Process-Based Event Simulator for Dynamic Vision Sensors. In Proc. of European Conference on Computer Vision.
- [21] Songnan Lin, Jiawei Zhang, Jinshan Pan, Zhe Jiang, Dongqing Zou, Yongtian Wang, Jing Chen, and Jimmy Ren. 2020. Learning Event-Driven Video Deblurring and Interpolation. In Proc. of European Conference on Computer Vision.
- [22] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. 2019. Decoupled Weight Decay Regularization. In Proc. of International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [23] Seungjun Nah, Tae Hyun Kim, and Kyoung Mu Lee. 2017. Deep Multi-Scale Convolutional Neural Network for Dynamic Scene Deblurring. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [24] Zhangkai Ni, Kai-Kuang Ma, Huanqiang Zeng, and Baojiang Zhong. 2020. Color Image Demosaicing Using Progressive Collaborative Representation. *IEEE Trans*actions on Image Processing 29 (2020), 4952–4964.
- [25] Liyuan Pan, Cedric Scheerlinck, Xin Yu, Richard Hartley, Miaomiao Liu, and Yuchao Dai. 2019. Bringing a Blurry Frame Alive at High Frame-Rate With an Event Camera. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- 2024-04-13 10:19. Page 9 of 1-10.

- [26] Liyuan Pan, Cedric Scheerlinck, Xin Yu, Richard Hartley, Miaomiao Liu, and Yuchao Dai. 2019. Bringing a Blurry Frame Alive at High Frame-Rate With an Event Camera. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [27] Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Soumith Chintala, Gregory Chanan, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Zeming Lin, Alban Desmaison, Luca Antiga, and Adam Lerer. 2017. Automatic differentiation in pytorch. (2017).
- [28] Etienne Perot, Pierre de Tournemire, Davide Nitti, Jonathan Masci, and Amos Sironi. 2020. Learning to detect objects with a 1 megapixel event camera. Proc. of Neural Information Processing Systems (2020).
- [29] Christoph Posch, Daniel Matolin, and Rainer Wohlgenannt. 2010. A QVGA 143 dB dynamic range frame-free PWM image sensor with lossless pixel-level video compression and time-domain CDS. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 46, 1 (2010), 259–275.
- [30] Charles Poynton. 2002. Chroma subsampling notation. Retrieved June 19 (2002), 2004.
- [31] Henri Rebecq, René Ranftl, Vladlen Koltun, and Davide Scaramuzza. 2019. High speed and high dynamic range video with an event camera. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (2019).
- [32] Jaesung Rim, Haeyun Lee, Jucheol Won, and Sunghyun Cho. 2020. Real-World Blur Dataset for Learning and Benchmarking Deblurring Algorithms. In Proc. of European Conference on Computer Vision.
- [33] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. 2015. U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation. In Proc. of Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention.
- [34] Cedric Scheerlinck, Henri Rebecq, Timo Stoffregen, Nick Barnes, Robert Mahony, and Davide Scaramuzza. 2019. CED: Color event camera dataset. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops.
- [35] Wei Shang, Dongwei Ren, Dongqing Zou, Jimmy S. Ren, Ping Luo, and Wangmeng Zuo. 2021. Bringing Events Into Video Deblurring With Non-Consecutively Blurry Frames. In Proc. of International Conference on Computer Vision.
- [36] SMA Sharif, Rizwan Ali Naqvi, and Mithun Biswas. 2021. Beyond joint demosaicking and denoising: An image processing pipeline for a pixel-bin image sensor. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [37] Lei Sun, Christos Sakaridis, Jingyun Liang, Qi Jiang, Kailun Yang, Peng Sun, Yaozu Ye, Kaiwei Wang, and Luc Van Gool. 2021. Event-Based Fusion for Motion Deblurring with Cross-modal Attention. In Proc. of European Conference on Computer Vision.
- [38] Lei Sun, Christos Sakaridis, Jingyun Liang, Qi Jiang, Kailun Yang, Peng Sun, Yaozu Ye, Kaiwei Wang, and Luc Van Gool. 2022. Event-Based Fusion for Motion Deblurring with Cross-modal Attention. In Proc. of European Conference on Computer Vision.
- [39] Lei Sun, Christos Sakaridis, Jingyun Liang, Peng Sun, Jiezhang Cao, Kai Zhang, Qi Jiang, Kaiwei Wang, and Luc Van Gool. 2023. Event-Based Frame Interpolation with Ad-hoc Deblurring. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [40] Gemma Taverni, Diederik Paul Moeys, Chenghan Li, Celso Cavaco, Vasyl Motsnyi, David San Segundo Bello, and Tobi Delbruck. 2018. Front and back illuminated dynamic and active pixel vision sensors comparison. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits* and Systems II: Express Briefs 65, 5 (2018), 677–681.
- [41] Minggui Teng, Chu Zhou, Hanyue Lou, and Boxin Shi. 2022. NEST: Neural event stack for event-based image enhancement. In Proc. of European Conference on Computer Vision.
- [42] Stepan Tulyakov, Daniel Gehrig, Stamatios Georgoulis, Julius Erbach, Mathias Gehrig, Yuanyou Li, and Davide Scaramuzza. 2021. Time Lens: Event-Based Video Frame Interpolation. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [43] Bishan Wang, Jingwei He, Lei Yu, Gui-Song Xia, and Wen Yang. 2020. Event Enhanced High-Quality Image Recovery. In Proc. of European Conference on Computer Vision.
- [44] Sijia Wen, Yinqiang Zheng, and Feng Lu. 2021. A Sparse Representation Based Joint Demosaicing Method for Single-Chip Polarized Color Sensor. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 30 (2021), 4171–4182.
- [45] Jiqing Wu, Radu Timofte, and Luc Van Gool. 2016. Demosaicing Based on Directional Difference Regression and Efficient Regression Priors. *IEEE Transactions* on Image Processing 25, 8 (2016), 3862–3874.
- [46] Wenzhu Xing and Karen Egiazarian. 2021. End-to-End Learning for Joint Image Demosaicing, Denoising and Super-Resolution. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [47] Fang Xu, Lei Yu, Bishan Wang, Wen Yang, Gui-Song Xia, Xu Jia, Zhendong Qiao, and Jianzhuang Liu. 2021. Motion Deblurring With Real Events. In Proc. of International Conference on Computer Vision.
- [48] Yilun Xu, Ziyang Liu, Xingming Wu, Weihai Chen, Changyun Wen, and Zhengguo Li. 2022. Deep Joint Demosaicing and High Dynamic Range Imaging Within a Single Shot. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* 32, 7 (2022), 4255–4270.
- [49] Wen Yang, Jinjian Wu, Leida Li, Weisheng Dong, and Guangming Shi. 2023. Event-based Motion Deblurring with Modality-Aware Decomposition and Recomposition. In *Proc. of ACM International Conference on Multimedia*.
- [50] Wei Ye and Kai-Kuang Ma. 2015. Color Image Demosaicing Using Iterative Residual Interpolation. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 24, 12 (2015),
- 1040 1041 1042 1043

1044

nosaickmsor. In ng Sun, for Morence on ng Sun, for Morence on i Zhang, polation ition. Motsnyi, minated *Circuits* al event rence on Mathias t-Based gnition. . Event rence on n Based *Transac*n Direcsactions 987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

MM'24, 28 October - 1 November, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

1045	5-13	5879-5891.	[54]	Hengyuan Zhao, Xiangtao Kong, Jingwen He, Yu Qiao, and Chao Dong. 2020. Effi-	1103
1046	[51]	Lei Yu, Bishan Wang, Xiang Zhang, Haijian Zhang, Wen Yang, Jianzhuang Liu, and Gui-Song Xia. 2023. Learning to Super-Resolve Blurry Images With Events		cient image super-resolution using pixel attention. In Proc. of European Conference	1104
1047		IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (2023), 1–17.	[55]	Xinyu Zhou, Peiqi Duan, Yi Ma, and Boxin Shi. 2022. EvUnroll: Neuromorphic	110
1048	[52]	Syed Waqas Zamir, Aditya Arora, Salman Khan, Munawar Hayat, Fahad Shahbaz		events based rolling shutter image correction. In Proc. of Computer Vision and	110
1049		Khan, Ming-Hsuan Yang, and Ling Shao. 2021. Multi-Stage Progressive Image Restoration. In Proc. of Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition	[56]	Pattern Recognition. Alex Zihao Zhu Liangzhe Yuan Kenneth Chaney and Kostas Daniilidis 2018	110
1050	[53]	Tao Zhang, Ying Fu, and Cheng Li. 2022. Deep Spatial Adaptive Network for	[30]	EV-FlowNet: Self-supervised optical flow estimation for event-based cameras. In	110
1051		Real Image Demosaicing. In Proc. of AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.		Proc. of Robotics: Science and Systems.	110
1052					1110
1053					111
1054					111
1055					1113
1056					1114
1057					111
1058					111
1050				CN .	111
1059					111
1060					1110
1001					111
1062					1120
1063					112
1064					112
1065					1123
1066					1124
1067				$(1)^{\prime} \cdot (0)^{\prime}$	112
1068				V XV	112
1069					112
1070		4			112
1071				$\cdot \mathbf{N}$	1129
1072					113
1073					113
1074					113
1075			A.		113
1076					1134
1077					113
1078					1130
1079					113
1080					113
1081					113
1082					114
1083					114
1084					114
1085					114
1005					114.
1000					1144
1000					114:
1088					1140
1089					114
1090					114
1091					1149
1092					1150
1093					115
1094					115
1095					1153
1096					115
1097					115
1098					115
1099					115
1100					115
1101					1159
1102				2024-04-13 10:19. Page 10 of 1-10.	116
				6	