

IDEA: Enhancing the Rule Learning Ability of Large Language Model Agent through Induction, Deduction, and Abduction

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

While large language models (LLMs) have been thoroughly evaluated for deductive and inductive reasoning, their proficiency in holistic rule learning in interactive environments remains less explored. We introduce RULEARN, a novel benchmark to assess the rule-learning abilities of LLM agents in interactive settings. In RULEARN, agents strategically interact with simulated environments to gather observations, discern patterns, and solve complex problems. To enhance the rule-learning capabilities for LLM agents, we propose IDEA, a novel reasoning framework that integrates the process of **I**nduction, **D**eduction, and **A**bduction. The IDEA agent generates initial hypotheses from limited observations through abduction, devises plans to validate these hypotheses or leverages them to solve problems via deduction, and refines previous hypotheses through induction, dynamically establishing and applying rules that mimic human rule-learning behaviors. Our evaluation of the IDEA framework, which involves five representative LLMs, demonstrates significant improvements over the baseline. Furthermore, our study with human participants reveals notable discrepancies in rule-learning behaviors between humans and LLMs. We believe our benchmark will serve as a valuable and challenging resource, and IDEA will provide crucial insights for the development of LLM agents capable of human-like rule learning in real-world scenarios. We will release our code and data upon acceptance of the paper.

1 Introduction

One major pillar of human intelligence is the ability to discern rules and apply them. We identify patterns, formulate hypotheses, and refine them by interacting with the environment. This exploratory process traditionally involves three stages: abduction, deduction, and induction. According to Charles Peirce’s definition (Frankfurt, 1958; Peirce,




Figure 1: The reasoning cycle of rule learning encompasses abduction, deduction, and induction.

1974), the rule-learning loop typically begins with an explanatory hypothesis that arises from **abduction**. This is followed by iterative experiments guided by the hypothesis, known as **deduction**, which leads to the modification and refinement of the hypothesis through **induction** (As shown in Figure 1). This establishes the foundation of how humans learn the rules of the world and apply them to effect change. In the real world, such a process involves iteratively refining hypotheses through interaction and evidence gathering, driven by a dynamic interplay between reasoning stages and the environment. However, recent studies often isolate abduction, induction, and deduction in non-interactive settings, missing this interdependent nature (Bowen et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2023; Saparov et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024).

To address this gap, we introduce RULEARN, a new benchmark to evaluate the rule-learning abilities of LLM agents in interactive environments. RULEARN consists of 300 high-quality puzzles with hidden rules set in a text-based environment, where agents begin exploration without prior knowledge of these rules. Successfully solving the puzzles requires the agent to strategically select actions, efficiently gather pattern-revealing observations, and accurately reason from them to infer the hidden rules. RULEARN presents substantial challenges, as agents must rely entirely on observations generated by their chosen actions to discern rules. If these observations fail to reveal a




Figure 2: A simplified puzzle in the RULEARN benchmark and the IDEA agent’s workflow (in real puzzles, agents have fewer initial observations and more complex rules). The agent generates an initial hypothesis through abduction, develops an exploration plan via deduction, and refines its hypothesis using induction. For example, the IDEA agent first hypothesizes that the password is the number of the blue paintings, tests this by entering code 003, and adjusts its strategy based on the feedback.

clear pattern, the agent is likely to fail.

We design three types of environments within RULEARN to evaluate the rule-learning ability in different scenarios: (1) **The Function Operator**: Determining the coefficients of mathematical functions defined by hidden expressions. Agents can assign various values to the input variables and observe the outputs, using this information to hypothesize the function’s form. The challenge lies in efficiently selecting input values that reveal the underlying structure and accurately computing the coefficients based on limited observations. (2) **The Escape Room**: Deciphering the passcode to exit an escape room. A hidden rule determines how the objects in the room infer the passcode digits. Agents interact with these objects to gather clues and input passcodes into the door. Based on feedback, agents formulate hypotheses and infer the relationship between the objects and the passcode. (3) **The Reactor**: Synthesizing target strings using a reactor with a hidden string-combining rule. Agents need to experiment with different inputs and analyze outputs to deduce the reactor’s transformation rule and achieve the desired outcome.

To tackle the challenge in RULEARN, we introduce IDEA, a novel reasoning framework that integrates the process of **Induction**, **Deduction**, and **Abduction**. The IDEA agent employs these reasoning processes iteratively to explore the environments, learn rules, and achieve goals. In the **abduction** phase, the IDEA agent generates an initial hypothesis from limited observations. During

the **deduction** phase, the IDEA agent creates and executes plans to attempt objectives or test its hypothesis. In the **induction** phase, the IDEA agent refines its hypothesis based on new observations, enhancing their accuracy and robustness. This iterative cycle enables the LLM agent to continually improve the learned rules through environmental feedback. An overview of how the IDEA agent solves puzzles in RULEARN is shown in Figure 2.

We evaluate IDEA on five popular LLMs—GPT-3.5-Turbo, GPT-4o, Gemma-7B, Llama3-8B, and Llama3-70B—observing roughly a 10% improvement in success rates compared to the baseline. Without hypothesis guidance, the baseline agent tends to choose direct, uninformed actions that fail to uncover the hidden rules. In contrast, the IDEA agent reduces repeated actions by 30.2%, obtains more diverse observations, and better understands the underlying rules. To further investigate their rule-learning capabilities, we compare LLM performance with that of 50 human participants. Although IDEA narrows the gap, LLMs still face challenges: (1) inefficient exploration in unfamiliar environments, resulting in insufficient evidence to reveal rules; (2) difficulty in deducing valid plans to verify current hypotheses and guide future exploration; and (3) reluctance to correct initial hypotheses when confronted with contradictory observations. These findings provide important insights into improving LLM agents to achieve more human-like rule learning in complex, real-world scenarios.

2 Related Works

Agents powered by large language models (LLMs) with memory, tool use, planning, and reasoning have shown notable progress in understanding complex tasks (Wang et al., 2024b; Chen et al., 2023; Zhou et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2024a; Andreas, 2022; Park et al., 2023; Zhong et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024b; Nakano et al., 2022; Lu et al., 2023; Shi et al., 2023a; Schick et al., 2023; Yuan et al., 2023; Shen et al., 2023; Yao et al., 2023a; Besta et al., 2024). Recent work examines different reasoning processes (abduction, deduction, induction) in LLMs (Bowen et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2023; Saparov et al., 2024; Cheng et al., 2024; Yang et al., 2024), but typically in isolation. As a result, their comprehensive rule-learning abilities in interactive settings remain underexplored.

Current reasoning tasks are hindered by inadequate benchmarks, primarily relying on QA datasets like Hotpot-QA (Yang et al., 2018) and Trivia-QA (Joshi et al., 2017) that lack the requirement for active information gathering, and coarse-grained interactive environments such as TextWorld (Côté et al., 2019) and AlfWorld (Shridhar et al., 2021) that limit agents to high-level actions (e.g., *go to*, *open*), impeding complex, experiment-driven rule discovery. This approach contrasts with real-world rule learning, which necessitates active evidence gathering, experimentation, and iterative refinement. Exacerbating this issue, many existing studies employ static, non-interactive scenarios where LLMs receive all information upfront (Yang et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2024; Shi et al., 2023b; Liu et al., 2024), failing to mirror the dynamic nature of real-world learning processes. Furthermore, while recent efforts (Xu et al., 2024; Montes et al., 2022) attempt to integrate interactivity, they still treat information gathering, rule generation, and application as distinct phases, thereby undermining the development of agents capable of seamless integration of these elements in real-world settings.

This gap arises due to the absence of an interactive, text-based environment that supports fine-grained actions for rule learning. To address this, RULEARN enables actions where agents can input arbitrary strings, and our system parses these inputs, providing tailored feedback on a character-by-character basis. This capability allows LLM agents to conduct fine-grained experiments and closely mirror real-world rule learning. In turn,

IDEA equips agents to effectively manage the interdependent processes of information gathering, hypothesis generation, and validation in a unified manner, offering a more comprehensive framework that mimics human rule-learning behaviors.

3 The RULEARN Benchmark

We develop three puzzle sets—**Function Operator**, **Escape Room**, and **Reactor**—each consisting of 100 unique puzzles of varying complexity, all manually created by our coauthors, who are computer science graduate students. Unlike existing fine-grained interactive environments, which are predominantly found in the robotics domain and offer significantly fewer tasks (Jain et al., 2020; Nasiriany et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024a), RULEARN is the first text-based environment providing such fine-grained interactions specifically for language agents.

The Function Operator. This puzzle type simulates scenarios where systemic theories or established knowledge (in this case, mathematics) is applicable. The agent interacts with a set of univariate multi-term equations involving integer parameters from $[0,9]$ and elementary functions of the variable x , selected from $f(x) \in \{x^0, x^1, x^2, \sin(x), \frac{1}{x}, |x|, -x\}$. The agent is provided with the number of functions, the presence of specific parameters in each function (the exact numerical values of these parameters are unknown and represented by letters), and the types of elementary functions involved in the current puzzle. The goal of the agent is to deduce the values of these parameters. For example, in one puzzle, the ground truth is $F_1(x) = a \sin(x) + b \times \frac{1}{x}$, $F_2(x) = ax^2$ where $a = 3$ and $b = 2$. The agent knows the following information: There are three elementary functions in this puzzle $\{\sin(x), \frac{1}{x}, x^2\}$, there are two functions $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$, $F_1(x)$ has 2 terms and parameters a, b in it, and $F_2(x)$ has 1 term and one parameter a . To solve the puzzle, the agent must interact with the environment through a defined action space: selecting a function and assigning values to x , then observing the resultant output. For example, assigning values 1 and 2 to F_2 reveals a quadratic increase in output, indicating the presence of x^2 in F_2 . Similarly, assigning a value of 1 to F_1 results in a floating-point output, rather than an integer, suggesting the inclusion of trigonometric components, confirming that $\sin(x)$ is a component of F_1 . The difficulty of each puzzle is controlled by variations in the number of

Table 1: The reacting rules in the Reactor Puzzle. All letters are functionally equivalent and exhibit no special behaviors. Identical symbols represent the same letter, while different symbols denote different letters. Each puzzle operates under one specific rule. The Middle Insertion rule inserts the shorter string into the longer string; if the length of the longer string is odd, the shorter string is inserted just to the right of the center. If both strings are of equal length, the second string is inserted into the middle of the first string. The Prefix Replacement rule retains the prefix of the longer string and concatenates it with the shorter string, dropping the tail of the longer string results in two output strings. There are two special cases where the strings are simply concatenated in order.

Rule Description	Example Reaction 1	Example Reaction 2	Special Case 1	Special Case 2
Simple Concatenation	$AB + C = ABC$	$AB + CDE = ABCDE$	—	—
Reverse Concatenation	$AB + C = CAB$	$AB + CDE = CDEAB$	—	—
Middle Insertion	$AB + C = ACB$	$AB + CDE = CDABE$	$A + B = AB$	—
Prefix Replacement	$AB + C = AC + B$	$AB + CDE = CAB + DE$	$AB + CD = ABCD$	$AA + A = AAA$

functions, unknown parameters, and elementary functions in use. We manually enumerated 100 combinations of functions, incorporating different numbers of terms and types of elementary functions to ensure a diverse range of puzzle complexity (see detailed distribution in Table 4 in Appendix A.2).

The Escape Room. This environment simulates scenarios where no established knowledge is applicable. We create a fictitious setting: an agent is placed in an art gallery escape room and must decipher a 3-digit password to unlock a code-secured door. Each digit of the password represents the count of paintings of a specific **type**—watercolor, oil, or acrylic—that share a given **color**. The agent receives brief descriptions of paintings, such as “*This is an acrylic painting of a green jungle*”, indicating their type and color. Initially, the agent only knows the password is a 3-digit number and is given a hint about which color to focus on. After proposing a hypothesis and entering a password guess, the door provides feedback on which digits are correct, allowing the agent to refine its hypothesis. To prevent a brute-force approach, the specific color associated with the password changes after every three failed attempts. Each puzzle varies in the number of paintings, and while paintings in the same room are visible, those in other rooms remain hidden until the agent moves to access them. The difficulty of this puzzle type is controlled by the different number of paintings, whether agent need to as detailed in Table 5 in the Appendix A.2.

The Reactor. This environment type also simulates scenarios where no established knowledge is applicable. However, unlike in the Escape Room where agents only input passwords to test hypotheses, in the Reactor, agents are challenged to design more fine-grained experiments to uncover hidden rules. Specifically, the agent’s task is to synthesize target strings using a reactor governed by a hidden string-combining rule. These strings are represented by sequences of alphabetic letters, such as A,

B, AABB, and CAB. The reactor permits the agent to input two strings, initiating a reaction that produces a new string for use in subsequent experiments. The agent’s objective is to decipher the specific rules that govern string synthesis by methodically testing different string combinations, with the ultimate goal of synthesizing the target string using the discovered rules. We have designed four types of rules, detailed in Table 1. The difficulty of this puzzle type is controlled by the specific rules used, the length of the target string to be synthesized and the number of unique letters contained in the target string, as detailed in Table 6 in Appendix A.2.

The detailed statistics for each puzzle type and example puzzles are provided in Appendix A.2 and A.7, respectively. Note that the RULEARN benchmark is designed to simulate real-world interactions and is adaptable to complex text environments with diverse rules. To achieve this, we do not restrict the representation of rules to a specific formal language, as doing so would limit realism and complexity. Moreover, predefining the rule format would provide agents with prior knowledge, contradicting real-world conditions. Therefore, we enable the LLM agents to use natural language to describe rules in RULEARN, promoting generalizability and future extensions of the benchmark.

4 The IDEA Agent

We introduce IDEA, a novel reasoning framework that integrates the process of **I**nduction, **D**Eduction, and **A**bduction to learn rules in interactive environments. The IDEA agent consists of the following components: Goal(G), Action Space(A), Memory(M), Hypothesis(H), and Plan(P), which are elaborated in Table 2.

Upon beginning to explore a puzzle, we initialize the agent memory with an initial observation of the environment. The agent’s goal is initialized with the objective of the puzzle, e.g., *synthesize a tar-*

Algorithm 1 IDEA Agent Rule-learning Loop

```

1: procedure RULELEARNINGLOOP
2:   Initialize Goal(G), Action Space(A)
3:   Memory(M)  $\leftarrow$  Initial observations
4:   #step  $\leftarrow$  0
5:   Hypothesis(H)  $\leftarrow$  Abduct(G, A, M)
6:   Plan(P)  $\leftarrow$  Deduct(H, G, M, A)
7:   M.add("New hypothesis and plan", H, P)
8:   while G not achieved and #step  $\leq$  max_step do
9:     a  $\leftarrow$  select_action(G, H, P, M, A)
10:    if a is interactive action then
11:      result  $\leftarrow$  execute_action(a, G, H, P, M)
12:      M.add(result)
13:      #step  $\leftarrow$  #step + 1
14:    else if a is inductive action then
15:      H  $\leftarrow$  Induct(a, G, M, H, P)
16:      P  $\leftarrow$  Deduct(H, G, M, A)
17:      M.add("Refined hypothesis and plan", H, P)
18:    end if
19:  end while
20: end procedure

```

The IDEA Agent Component	Definition
Goal(G)	Goal of the agent in the current puzzle.
Action Space(A)	Set of actions the agent can take, including abductive action, deductive action, inductive action, as well as the set of interactive actions defined by the puzzle.
Memory(M)	Set of natural language strings to record all interaction results till the current step.
Plan(P)	Generated plans to guide future actions.

Table 2: Components of the IDEA agent.

get string for a Reactor puzzle. The agent’s action space is initialized as the set of interactive actions defined by the puzzle, such as *choosing two strings and running the Reactor*, as well as establish the initial hypothesis (abductive action), devises a plan to validate or leverage hypothesis (deductive action), and refining the current hypothesis (inductive action).

The IDEA agent begins with an abductive action to generate an initial hypothesis, followed by a deduction step to create a new plan. Based on this plan, the agent interacts with the environment. Upon receiving feedback from the environment as a new observation, the agent may take an inductive action to refine the hypothesis or perform another interaction with the environment. Deductive action is invoked to adjust the plan every time the hypothesis changes. This reasoning loop continues until the puzzle is solved or a maximum number of steps is reached. After each step, the results are appended to the agent’s memory, including interaction outcomes and any modifications to the hypothesis or plan. We provide a simplified algorithm demonstrating how the IDEA agent operates in Algorithm 1. Specifically, at each step, we prompt the LLM to reflect on the information recorded in the IDEA agent’s components to make decisions

and take actions. We employ the chain-of-thought (CoT) reasoning (Wei et al., 2022) for all prompts. Detailed prompts for each type of action are available in Appendix A.5.

More detailed implementation of the agent can be found in Appendix A.3.1. Similar to real-life scenarios, when agents solve tasks in RULEARN puzzles, they do not know the outcomes in advance. Consequently, it is challenging to decide when to refine or change their hypothesis and plans, as well as what interactive actions to take to gather pattern-revealing observations. A detailed example of the IDEA agent solving the Reactor Puzzle is provided in Figure 5 in Appendix.

5 Experiment Results

5.1 Experiment Settings

To evaluate the effectiveness of IDEA, we respectively initialize it with three popular open-source LLMs, including Gemma-7B (Team et al., 2024), Llama3-8B, and Llama3-70B (Dubey et al., 2024), and two closed-source LLMs, GPT-3.5-Turbo (Dubey et al., 2024) and GPT-4o¹. We compare IDEA against the following two variants:

- **ReAct Agent (Baseline):** We choose ReAct (Yao et al., 2023b) as our baseline. The ReAct agent does not incorporate the full reasoning loop of abduction, deduction, and induction, nor does it generate explicit hypotheses or plans. Instead, at each step, it reasons over its current memories and the goal and selects an interactive action accordingly.
- **Oracle-rule Agent:** Even if the agent could successfully learn the correct rule, applying the learned rule to solve the puzzle is non-trivial. The Oracle-rule agent serves as a control group to establish the Oracle performance with the ground-truth rule provided. Specifically: 1) For the Function Operator puzzles, agents are given the exact forms of the functions. Their task is to derive the values of the coefficients. 2) For the Escape Room puzzles, agents are provided with how the password is constructed from the objects. Their task is to derive the password using the provided rule. 3) For the Reactor puzzles, the reaction rule is given to the agents in natural language accompanied by examples. The agents only need to synthesize the target strings.

¹<https://openai.com/index/gpt-4o-system-card/>

Each variant is evaluated across all three puzzle types. We set the temperature for LLMs to 0, based on observations that models like GPT-4o perform better at lower temperatures. The prompts used for the agents are detailed in Appendix A.5. Additionally, since the success rate does not improve after 15 interactive steps for LLMs, we capped the maximum interaction step count at 15. An agent is considered to have failed a puzzle if it does not solve it within these 15 steps. Details on the computational budget are available in Appendix A.4.

5.2 Human participants

To compare human and LLM performance in abduction, deduction, and induction reasoning, we recruited 50 participants and assigned each three randomly selected puzzles (10 from each reasoning type). Each puzzle was attempted by five different participants, with no prior exposure to the rules. Participants followed the same reasoning procedure outlined in IDEA, which mirrors their natural problem-solving methods and does not bias their responses. They documented their reasoning processes, enhancing transparency and facilitating clearer comparisons with LLMs. Further details on IRB approval and participant recruitment are in §9. Attempts failing to solve a puzzle within 15 steps were marked as unsuccessful, ensuring fair comparisons. A sample user interface is shown in Figure 18 in Appendix A.6.

5.3 Main Results

We calculated average puzzle solving success rate across different variants. The detailed results are displayed in Table 3.

For the Oracle-rule agent, in the Escape Room puzzles, agents achieve up to an 89% success rate by simply following the provided rule. However, in other puzzles, merely knowing the rule is not sufficient for success; applying the rule to solve the puzzle is more challenging. The Baseline agent is not provided with the underlying rules and solely relies on historical observations to make interactive actions. Across models, the success rates drop by about half compared to the Oracle-rule agent. This significant decrease highlights the challenge of rule learning and indicates that current LLM agents struggle to learn rules in unfamiliar environments without explicit guidance.

IDEA significantly boosts success rates. Our proposed IDEA framework leads to approximate 10% increases in success rates for Llama3-70B, GPT-




Figure 3: Comparison of the cumulative number of puzzles solved at each interaction step. The IDEA agent significantly increases the solving speed compared to the Baseline agent.

3.5-Turbo, and GPT-4o compared to the Baseline agent. This improvement demonstrates that incorporating a reasoning loop of abduction, deduction, and induction substantially enhances the LLM rule-learning performance in unfamiliar environments. IDEA enables the LLMs to generate hypotheses, plan actions, and refine their understanding based on new observations, which is crucial for rule learning. However, smaller models like Llama3-8B and Gemma-7B do not perform better when applying IDEA. This is likely due to the increased complexity and context management demands introduced by the IDEA framework, which pose challenges for small models with limited capacity.

LLM agents still fall far behind humans. In the Escape Room puzzle, where the primary challenge is to discover the rule, the Oracle-rule agents excel because once the rule is identified, applying the rule is simple. However, in other types of puzzles, human participants significantly outperform all LLM agents, including the Oracle-rule agents, even without knowing the rules beforehand.

5.4 Analysis

IDEA boosts puzzle-solving speed. Figure 3 illustrates the cumulative number of puzzles solved at each interaction step for the Baseline agent, the IDEA agent, and human participants. The slopes of the lines represent the rate at which puzzles are solved per step. Compared to the Baseline agent, the IDEA agent exhibits a steeper slope, indicating that the integration of abductive, deductive, and inductive reasoning enhances the agent’s efficiency in exploring the environment and learning the underlying rules, especially during the early stages.

When focusing on human participants, we observe that they solve fewer puzzles in the initial steps. However, as interactions continue, the number of puzzles solved by humans increases rapidly, eventually surpassing that of all LLM agents. In

Table 3: Puzzle Success Rate. The success rates for each setting. Across all LLMs, IDEA achieves consistently significant improvements, except for Gemma-7B in the Reactor puzzles. We use boldface to highlight performance comparisons between the Baseline and IDEA agents with GPT-4o.

Setup	LLMs	All Types (%)	Function Operator (%)	Escape Room (%)	Reactor (%)
Oracle-rule Agent	Gemma-7B	1.67	0.0	5.0	0.0
	Llama3-8B	5.67	1.0	14.0	2.0
	Llama3-70B	32.67	33.0	48.0	17.0
	GPT-3.5-Turbo	6.33	7.0	11.0	1.0
	GPT-4o	66.0	77.0	91.0	30.0
ReAct Agent (Baseline)	Gemma-7B	0.33	0.0	0.0	1.0
	Llama3-8B	1.67	0.0	5.0	0.0
	Llama3-70B	19.67	33.0	17.0	9.0
	GPT-3.5-Turbo	5.33	13.0	3.0	0.0
	GPT-4o	43.33	62.0	45.0	23.0
IDEA Agent (Ours)	Gemma-7B	0.33	0.0	1.0	0.0
	Llama3-8B	4.33	7.0	5.0	1.0
	Llama3-70B	29.0	41.0	35.0	11.0
	GPT-3.5-Turbo	7.33	18.0	3.0	1.0
	GPT-4o	50.33	73.0	51.0	27.0
	Human	63.33	66.0	56.0	68.0

contrast, LLM agents solved 88.76% of the puzzles within the first 10 steps. Beyond this point, additional interactions contribute less to their success rate. This pattern suggests that humans have a superior ability to learn continuously from interactive environments, effectively improving their performance over time. If we did not limit the puzzles to 15 steps, we anticipate that the success rate of human participants would be even higher.

IDEA reduces repetitive actions. LLM agents frequently repeat previous actions instead of exploring new ones. This behavior is highly inefficient in our controlled puzzle environments, where each interaction yields deterministic results, and repeating the same action generally does not provide new information. We calculate the average number of repeated actions performed while solving each puzzle, with detailed statistics in Table 7 in Appendix A.2. We observe that most LLMs commonly repeat actions in the Baseline agent. The IDEA agent effectively reduces this tendency by explicitly generating plans during the deduction phase. By outlining a clear plan, the IDEA agent can better assess whether the current observations are sufficient or if further specific evidence is needed to reveal the underlying rule. For example, in the Escape Room puzzle, the IDEA agent avoids unnecessary attempts at entering passwords when the evidence gathered is sufficient to determine the correct code (see Figure 11 in Appendix A.5).

IDEA relies on the reasoning ability of underlying LLMs. The effectiveness of IDEA depends on the underlying LLMs’ ability to reason from hypotheses and observations. Particularly, if an agent generates a false hypothesis and fails to properly refine it, being guided by this incorrect hypothesis

can lead the agent to perform even worse than the baseline. During our experiments, we observed that current LLMs tend to hallucinate, especially in the Escape Room puzzles and more severely in the Reactor puzzles. This results in smaller performance improvements compared to those seen with the Function Operator puzzles. This is likely because such fictitious scenarios are not extensively represented in LLM training data. Moreover, LLMs struggle to recognize letter-level patterns, and their reasoning capabilities still require significant enhancement. Examples of hallucination can be seen in Appendix A.6.1).

6 Human evaluation

We employ three computer science graduate students (our co-authors) to evaluate all the hypotheses and plans generated by both the IDEA agent and human participants during the abduction, deduction, and induction stages within a randomly selected 50% subsample of the puzzles.

Abduction stage. In this stage, agents formulate an initial hypothesis based on initial observations. Since some puzzles are simple, agents can potentially guess the ground truth rule at this stage. Figure 4(a) shows that LLMs such as GPT-4o have approximately a 30% success rate in correctly identifying the rule during abduction. Notably, humans exhibit lower success rate in this stage. We believe this is because humans often lack the patience to thoroughly review all textual information initially and may overlook certain details. In contrast, LLMs meticulously process every word of the prompt and leverage their comprehensive pre-training data to generate plausible hypotheses. Additionally, humans tend not to formalize hypotheses when operating under uncertainty.




Figure 4: Human Evaluation Results. Bars represent measured values per model and puzzle type; the absence of a bar indicates zero or unavailable data. Plot (a): Abduction Correct Rate—the frequency of correctly guessing the rule during abduction. Plot (b): Effective Deduction Rate—the rate at which deduction plans effectively validate hypotheses or solve puzzles. Plot (c): Effective Induction Rate—the proportion of inductions where the refined hypothesis improved over the previous one. Plot (d): Average Actions per Effective Induction—the average number of interactive actions needed for an effective induction.

Deduction stage. After establishing an initial hypothesis—or each time the agent refines a hypothesis—the agent derives a plan to either validate this hypothesis or use it to attempt the puzzle. As shown in Figure 4(b), humans generally outperform LLMs in creating high-quality plans.

Induction stage. Figure 4(c) illustrates the proportion of inductions where the refined hypothesis improved over the previous one, which we define as the effective induction rate. The induction stage is crucial in rule-learning since humans typically develop high-quality hypotheses through induction after experiments. However, LLM agents perform poorly at this stage. Humans significantly outperform LLMs, with 40% of their refined hypotheses being better than the previous ones. Surprisingly, GPT-4o performs worse than Llama3-70B and GPT-3.5-Turbo. We found that GPT-4o tends to hallucinate, especially in Escape Room puzzles, which negatively impacts its overall effective induction rate. In contrast, Llama3-70B almost never engages in induction within Reactor puzzles, as it fails to recognize when its hypothesized rules contradict its observations (see Appendix A.6.1).

Average interactions needed for effective induction. Figure 4(d) shows that humans require fewer interactions—approximately four on average—to effectively refine their hypotheses, compared to LLMs. While LLMs can process initial information thoroughly and generate plausible hypotheses,

they face challenges in refining these hypotheses based on new observations during interaction with the environment (see Figure 7 in Appendix A.1). This limitation suggests that LLMs may struggle to learn from new observations and incorporate feedback to continuously improve their hypotheses and problem-solving strategies. This gap may become more pronounced when agents are faced with larger action spaces and more complex rules.

7 Conclusion

In this work, we introduce RULEARN, a benchmark to evaluate LLM agents’ rule-learning abilities in interactive environments. We propose IDEA, an agent framework that mimics human reasoning through abduction, deduction, and induction. Comprehensive experiments involving five prominent LLMs and human participants reveal that while IDEA significantly improves the rule learning ability of LLM agents, there is still a large gap between LLM and humans particularly in refining hypotheses and adapting strategies. Despite these advancements from the IDEA framework, LLMs still face challenges in generating valid hypotheses and avoiding repetitive actions in complex scenarios. Our findings underscore the need for further development of LLMs that can emulate human cognitive processes more effectively in explorations of novel environments. RULEARN provides a foundational resource for future research aimed at closing these gaps.

8 Limitations

While solving puzzles, the IDEA agent needs to manage long contexts. As exploration progresses and the agent encounters more observations, it must simultaneously process all observations. This requirement can limit its effectiveness in scenarios that involve lengthy contexts and complex rules, where extensive experimentation is needed to uncover these rules. By prioritizing and focusing on more critical observations, we can enhance the IDEA agent’s performance in managing long-context scenarios and in tackling challenging puzzles that require multiple steps to gather sufficient evidence.

9 Ethics Statement

Our work aims to benefit the broader research community by introducing RULEARN, a benchmark for evaluating the rule-learning abilities of LLM agents and proposing the IDEA agent framework. All data in RULEARN contains no personal or sensitive information, ensuring respect for privacy and ethical standards. This project is approved by our Institutional Review Board (IRB). Human participants are recruited through emails from our university’s computer science and engineering department. All participants were adults over 18 years old and provided informed consent. The data collected from these participants were de-identified and consented for release for research purposes. Participants were compensated \$15 each for one hour of their time. We ensured that all content presented during evaluations was free from offensive or inappropriate material. For human evaluations of all the hypotheses and plans generated by LLM agents and human participants, three computer science graduate students (our co-authors) conducted the evaluation. We are committed to the ethical use of our benchmark and agent framework, and upon acceptance of this paper, we will release our code and data to encourage open collaboration and advancement in the field.

10 Acknowledgements

During the final preparation of this manuscript, we utilized the GPT-4 language model provided by OpenAI to assist in identifying and correcting typographical and grammatical errors. The use of this tool was restricted solely to the polishing stage and did not influence the study’s conceptual framework, research methodology, data analysis, or con-

clusions. All substantive content and intellectual contributions remain those of the authors, and the AI assistance served only to ensure clarity and precision in the final written presentation.

References

- Jacob Andreas. 2022. [Language models as agent models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2212.01681.
- Maciej Besta, Nils Blach, Ales Kubicek, Robert Gerstenberger, Michal Podstawski, Lukas Gianinazzi, Joanna Gajda, Tomasz Lehmann, Hubert Niewiadomski, Piotr Nyczyk, and Torsten Hoeffer. 2024. [Graph of thoughts: Solving elaborate problems with large language models](#). *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 38(16):17682–17690.
- Chen Bowen, Rune Sætre, and Yusuke Miyao. 2024. A comprehensive evaluation of inductive reasoning capabilities and problem solving in large language models. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EACL 2024*, pages 323–339.
- Howard Chen, Ramakanth Pasunuru, Jason Weston, and Asli Celikyilmaz. 2023. [Walking down the memory maze: Beyond context limit through interactive reading](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2310.05029.
- Kewei Cheng, Jingfeng Yang, Haoming Jiang, Zhengyang Wang, Binxuan Huang, Ruirui Li, Shiyang Li, Zheng Li, Yifan Gao, Xian Li, Bing Yin, and Yizhou Sun. 2024. [Inductive or deductive? rethinking the fundamental reasoning abilities of llms](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2408.00114.
- Marc-Alexandre Côté, Ákos Kádár, Xingdi Yuan, Ben Kybartas, Tavian Barnes, Emery Fine, James Moore, Ruo Yu Tao, Matthew Hausknecht, Layla El Asri, Mahmoud Adada, Wendy Tay, and Adam Trischler. 2019. [Textworld: A learning environment for text-based games](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:1806.11532.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, Anirudh Goyal, Anthony Hartshorn, Aobo Yang, Archi Mitra, Archie Sravankumar, Artem Korenev, Arthur Hinsvark, Arun Rao, Aston Zhang, Aurelien Rodriguez, Austen Gregerson, Ava Spataru, Baptiste Roziere, Bethany Biron, Binh Tang, Bobbie Chern, Charlotte Caucheteux, Chaya Nayak, Chloe Bi, Chris Marra, Chris McConnell, Christian Keller, Christophe Touret, Chunyang Wu, Corinne Wong, Cristian Canton Ferrer, Cyrus Nikolaidis, Damien Al-lonsius, Daniel Song, Danielle Pintz, Danny Livshits, David Esiobu, Dhruv Choudhary, Dhruv Mahajan, Diego Garcia-Olano, Diego Perino, Dieuwke Hupkes, Egor Lakomkin, Ehab AlBadawy, Elina Lobanova, Emily Dinan, Eric Michael Smith, Filip Radenovic, Frank Zhang, Gabriel Synnaeve, Gabrielle Lee, Georgia Lewis Anderson, Graeme Nail, Gregoire Mialon, Guan Pang, Guillem Cucurell, Hailey Nguyen,

720	Hannah Korevaar, Hu Xu, Hugo Touvron, Iliyan	Brian Gamido, Britt Montalvo, Carl Parker, Carly	784
721	Zarov, Imanol Arrieta Ibarra, Isabel Kloumann, Ishan	Burton, Catalina Mejia, Changhan Wang, Changkyu	785
722	Misra, Ivan Evtimov, Jade Copet, Jaewon Lee, Jan	Kim, Chao Zhou, Chester Hu, Ching-Hsiang Chu,	786
723	Geffert, Jana Vranes, Jason Park, Jay Mahadeokar,	Chris Cai, Chris Tindal, Christoph Feichtenhofer, Da-	787
724	Jeet Shah, Jelmer van der Linde, Jennifer Billock,	mon Civin, Dana Beaty, Daniel Kreymmer, Daniel Li,	788
725	Jenny Hong, Jenya Lee, Jeremy Fu, Jianfeng Chi,	Danny Wyatt, David Adkins, David Xu, Davide Tes-	789
726	Jianyu Huang, Jiawen Liu, Jie Wang, Jiecao Yu,	tuggine, Delia David, Devi Parikh, Diana Liskovich,	790
727	Joanna Bitton, Joe Spisak, Jongsoo Park, Joseph	Didem Foss, Dingkan Wang, Duc Le, Dustin Hol-	791
728	Rocca, Joshua Johnstun, Joshua Saxe, Junteng Jia,	land, Edward Dowling, Eissa Jamil, Elaine Mont-	792
729	Kalyan Vasuden Alwala, Kartikeya Upasani, Kate	gomery, Eleonora Presani, Emily Hahn, Emily Wood,	793
730	Plawiak, Ke Li, Kenneth Heafield, Kevin Stone,	Erik Brinkman, Esteban Arcaute, Evan Dunbar, Evan	794
731	Khalid El-Arini, Krithika Iyer, Kshitiz Malik, Kuen-	Smothers, Fei Sun, Felix Kreuk, Feng Tian, Firat	795
732	ley Chiu, Kunal Bhalla, Lauren Rantala-Yearly, Lau-	Ozgenel, Francesco Caggioni, Francisco Guzmán,	796
733	rens van der Maaten, Lawrence Chen, Liang Tan, Liz	Frank Kanayet, Frank Seide, Gabriela Medina Flo-	797
734	Jenkins, Louis Martin, Lovish Madaan, Lubo Malo,	rez, Gabriella Schwarz, Gada Badeer, Georgia Swee,	798
735	Lukas Blecher, Lukas Landzaat, Luke de Oliveira,	Gil Halpern, Govind Thattai, Grant Herman, Grigory	799
736	Madeline Muzzi, Mahesh Pasupuleti, Mannat Singh,	Sizov, Guangyi, Zhang, Guna Lakshminarayanan,	800
737	Manohar Paluri, Marcin Kardas, Mathew Oldham,	Hamid Shojanazeri, Han Zou, Hannah Wang, Han-	801
738	Mathieu Rita, Maya Pavlova, Melanie Kambadur,	wen Zha, Haroun Habeeb, Harrison Rudolph, He-	802
739	Mike Lewis, Min Si, Mitesh Kumar Singh, Mona	len Suk, Henry Aspegren, Hunter Goldman, Ibrahim	803
740	Hassan, Naman Goyal, Narjes Torabi, Nikolay Bash-	Damlaj, Igor Molybog, Igor Tufanov, Irina-Elena	804
741	lykov, Nikolay Bogoychev, Niladri Chatterji, Olivier	Veliche, Itai Gat, Jake Weissman, James Geboski,	805
742	Duchenne, Onur Çelebi, Patrick Alrassy, Pengchuan	James Kohli, Japhet Asher, Jean-Baptiste Gaya,	806
743	Zhang, Pengwei Li, Petar Vasic, Peter Weng, Pra-	Jeff Marcus, Jeff Tang, Jennifer Chan, Jenny Zhen,	807
744	jjwal Bhargava, Pratik Dubal, Praveen Krishnan,	Jeremy Reizenstein, Jeremy Teboul, Jessica Zhong,	808
745	Punit Singh Koura, Puxin Xu, Qing He, Qingxiao	Jian Jin, Jingyi Yang, Joe Cummings, Jon Carvill,	809
746	Dong, Ragavan Srinivasan, Raj Ganapathy, Ramon	Jon Shepard, Jonathan McPhie, Jonathan Torres,	810
747	Calderer, Ricardo Silveira Cabral, Robert Stojnic,	Josh Ginsburg, Junjie Wang, Kai Wu, Kam Hou	811
748	Roberta Raileanu, Rohit Girdhar, Rohit Patel, Ro-	U, Karan Saxena, Karthik Prasad, Kartikay Khan-	812
749	main Sauvestre, Ronnie Polidoro, Roshan Sumbaly,	delwal, Katayoun Zand, Kathy Matosich, Kaushik	813
750	Ross Taylor, Ruan Silva, Rui Hou, Rui Wang, Saghar	Veeraraghavan, Kelly Michelena, Keqian Li, Kun	814
751	Hosseini, Sahana Chennabasappa, Sanjay Singh,	Huang, Kunal Chawla, Kushal Lakhotia, Kyle Huang,	815
752	Sean Bell, Seohyun Sonia Kim, Sergey Edunov,	Lailin Chen, Lakshya Garg, Lavender A, Leandro	816
753	Shaoliang Nie, Sharan Narang, Sharath Rapparthi,	Silva, Lee Bell, Lei Zhang, Liangpeng Guo, Licheng	817
754	Sheng Shen, Shengye Wan, Shruti Bhosale, Shun	Yu, Liron Moshkovich, Luca Wehrstedt, Madian	818
755	Zhang, Simon Vandenhende, Soumya Batra, Spencer	Khabsa, Manav Avalani, Manish Bhatt, Maria Tsim-	819
756	Whitman, Sten Sootla, Stephane Collot, Suchin Gu-	poukelli, Martynas Mankus, Matan Hasson, Matthew	820
757	urangan, Sydney Borodinsky, Tamar Herman, Tara	Lennie, Matthias Reso, Maxim Groshev, Maxim	821
758	Fowler, Tarek Sheasha, Thomas Georgiou, Thomas	Naumov, Maya Lathi, Meghan Keneally, Michael L.	822
759	Scialom, Tobias Speckbacher, Todor Mihaylov, Tong	Seltzer, Michal Valko, Michelle Restrepo, Mihir	823
760	Xiao, Ujjwal Karn, Vedanuj Goswami, Vibhor	Patel, Mik Vyatskov, Mikayel Samvelyan, Mike	824
761	Gupta, Vignesh Ramanathan, Viktor Kerkez, Vincent	Clark, Mike Macey, Mike Wang, Miquel Jubert Her-	825
762	Gonguet, Virginie Do, Vish Vogeti, Vladan Petro-	moso, Mo Metanat, Mohammad Rastegari, Mun-	826
763	vic, Weiwei Chu, Wenhan Xiong, Wenxin Fu, Whit-	ish Bansal, Nandhini Santhanam, Natascha Parks,	827
764	ney Meers, Xavier Martinet, Xiaodong Wang, Xiao-	Natasha White, Navyata Bawa, Nayan Singhal, Nick	828
765	qing Ellen Tan, Xinfeng Xie, Xuchao Jia, Xuwei	Egebo, Nicolas Usunier, Nikolay Pavlovich Laptev,	829
766	Wang, Yaelle Goldschlag, Yashesh Gaur, Yasmine	Ning Dong, Ning Zhang, Norman Cheng, Oleg	830
767	Babaei, Yi Wen, Yiwen Song, Yuchen Zhang, Yue	Chernoguz, Olivia Hart, Omkar Salpekar, Ozlem	831
768	Li, Yuning Mao, Zacharie Delpierre Coudert, Zheng	Kalinli, Parkin Kent, Parth Parekh, Paul Saab, Pa-	832
769	Yan, Zhengxing Chen, Zoe Papakipos, Aaditya Singh,	van Balaji, Pedro Rittner, Philip Bontrager, Pierre	833
770	Aaron Grattafiori, Abha Jain, Adam Kelsey, Adam	Roux, Piotr Dollar, Polina Zvyagina, Prashant Ratan-	834
771	Shajnfeld, Adithya Gangidi, Adolfo Victoria, Ahuva	chandani, Pritish Yuvraj, Qian Liang, Rachad Alao,	835
772	Goldstand, Ajay Menon, Ajay Sharma, Alex Boesen-	Rachel Rodriguez, Rafi Ayub, Raghotham Murthy,	836
773	berg, Alex Vaughan, Alexei Baevski, Allie Feinstein,	Raghu Nayani, Rahul Mitra, Raymond Li, Rebekkah	837
774	Amanda Kallet, Amit Sangani, Anam Yunus, An-	Hogan, Robin Battey, Rocky Wang, Rohan Mah-	838
775	drei Lupu, Andres Alvarado, Andrew Caples, An-	eswari, Russ Howes, Ruty Rinott, Sai Jayesh Bondu,	839
776	drew Gu, Andrew Ho, Andrew Poulton, Andrew	Samyak Datta, Sara Chugh, Sara Hunt, Sargun	840
777	Ryan, Ankit Ramchandani, Annie Franco, Aparajita	Dhillon, Sasha Sidorov, Satadru Pan, Saurabh Verma,	841
778	Saraf, Arkabandhu Chowdhury, Ashley Gabriel,	Seiji Yamamoto, Sharadh Ramaswamy, Shaun Lind-	842
779	Ashwin Bharambe, Assaf Eisenman, Azadeh Yaz-	say, Shaun Lindsay, Sheng Feng, Shenghao Lin,	843
780	dan, Beau James, Ben Maurer, Benjamin Leonhardi,	Shengxin Cindy Zha, Shiva Shankar, Shuqiang	844
781	Bernie Huang, Beth Loyd, Beto De Paola, Bhargavi	Zhang, Shuqiang Zhang, Sinong Wang, Sneha Agar-	845
782	Paranjape, Bing Liu, Bo Wu, Boyu Ni, Braden Han-	wal, Soji Sajuyigbe, Soumith Chintala, Stephanie	846
783	cock, Bram Wasti, Brandon Spence, Brani Stojkovic,	Max, Stephen Chen, Steve Kehoe, Steve Satterfield,	847

848	Sudarshan Govindaprasad, Sumit Gupta, Sungmin	Bernstein. 2023. Generative agents: Interac-	905
849	Cho, Sunny Virk, Suraj Subramanian, Sy Choudhury,	tive simulacra of human behavior . <i>Preprint</i> ,	906
850	Sydney Goldman, Tal Remez, Tamar Glaser, Tamara	arXiv:2304.03442.	907
851	Best, Thilo Kohler, Thomas Robinson, Tianhe Li,		
852	Tianjun Zhang, Tim Matthews, Timothy Chou, Tzook	Charles Sanders Peirce. 1974. <i>Collected papers of</i>	908
853	Shaked, Varun Vontimitta, Victoria Ajayi, Victoria	<i>charles sanders peirce</i> , volume 5. Harvard Univer-	909
854	Montanez, Vijai Mohan, Vinay Satish Kumar, Vishal	sity Press.	910
855	Mangla, Vitor Albiero, Vlad Ionescu, Vlad Poenaru,		
856	Vlad Tiberiu Mihailescu, Vladimir Ivanov, Wei Li,	Abulhair Saparov, Richard Yuanzhe Pang, Vishakh Pad-	911
857	Wenchen Wang, Wenwen Jiang, Wes Bouaziz, Will	makumar, Nitish Joshi, Mehran Kazemi, Najoung	912
858	Constable, Xiaocheng Tang, Xiaofang Wang, Xiao-	Kim, and He He. 2024. Testing the general deductive	913
859	jian Wu, Xiaolan Wang, Xide Xia, Xilun Wu, Xinbo	reasoning capacity of large language models using	914
860	Gao, Yanjun Chen, Ye Hu, Ye Jia, Ye Qi, Yenda Li,	ood examples. <i>Advances in Neural Information Pro-</i>	915
861	Yilin Zhang, Ying Zhang, Yossi Adi, Youngjin Nam,	<i>cessing Systems</i> , 36.	916
862	Yu, Wang, Yuchen Hao, Yundi Qian, Yuzi He, Zach		
863	Rait, Zachary DeVito, Zef Rosnbrick, Zhaoduo Wen,	Timo Schick, Jane Dwivedi-Yu, Roberto Dessi, Roberta	917
864	Zhenyu Yang, and Zhiwei Zhao. 2024. The llama 3	Raileanu, Maria Lomeli, Luke Zettlemoyer, Nicola	918
865	herd of models . <i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2407.21783.	Cancedda, and Thomas Scialom. 2023. Toolformer:	919
		Language models can teach themselves to use tools .	920
866	Harry G. Frankfurt. 1958. Peirce’s notion of abduction .	<i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2302.04761.	921
867	<i>The Journal of Philosophy</i> , 55(14):593–597.		
868	Unnat Jain, Luca Weihs, Eric Kolve, Ali Farhadi, Svet-	Yongliang Shen, Kaitao Song, Xu Tan, Dongsheng Li,	922
869	lana Lazebnik, Aniruddha Kembhavi, and Alexan-	Weiming Lu, and Yueting Zhuang. 2023. Hugging-	923
870	der Schwing. 2020. A cordial sync: Going beyond	gpt: Solving ai tasks with chatgpt and its friends in	924
871	marginal policies for multi-agent embodied tasks .	hugging face . <i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2303.17580.	925
872	<i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2007.04979.		
873	Mandar Joshi, Eunsol Choi, Daniel S. Weld, and Luke	Weijia Shi, Sewon Min, Michihiro Yasunaga, Min-	926
874	Zettlemoyer. 2017. Triviaqa: A large scale distantly	joon Seo, Rich James, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettle-	927
875	supervised challenge dataset for reading comprehen-	moyer, and Wen tau Yih. 2023a. Replug: Retrieval-	928
876	sion . <i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:1705.03551.	augmented black-box language models . <i>Preprint</i> ,	929
		arXiv:2301.12652.	930
877	Emmy Liu, Graham Neubig, and Jacob Andreas. 2024.	Xiaoming Shi, Siqiao Xue, Kangrui Wang, Fan Zhou,	931
878	An incomplete loop: Deductive, inductive, and ab-	James Y. Zhang, Jun Zhou, Chenhao Tan, and	932
879	ductive learning in large language models. <i>arXiv</i>	Hongyuan Mei. 2023b. Language models can im-	933
880	<i>preprint arXiv:2404.03028</i> .	prove event prediction by few-shot abductive reason-	934
		ing . <i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2305.16646.	935
881	Pan Lu, Baolin Peng, Hao Cheng, Michel Galley, Kai-	Mohit Shridhar, Xingdi Yuan, Marc-Alexandre Côté,	936
882	Wei Chang, Ying Nian Wu, Song-Chun Zhu, and	Yonatan Bisk, Adam Trischler, and Matthew	937
883	Jianfeng Gao. 2023. Chameleon: Plug-and-play	Hausknecht. 2021. Alfworld: Aligning text and	938
884	compositional reasoning with large language models .	embodied environments for interactive learning .	939
885	<i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2304.09842.	<i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2010.03768.	940
886	Nieves Montes, Nardine Osman, and Carles Sierra.	Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Jean-	941
887	2022. Combining Theory of Mind and Abduction	Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan	942
888	for Cooperation Under Imperfect Information , page	Schalkwyk, Andrew M. Dai, Anja Hauth, Katie	943
889	294–311. Springer International Publishing.	Millican, David Silver, Melvin Johnson, Ioannis	944
890	Reiichiro Nakano, Jacob Hilton, Suchir Balaji, Jeff Wu,	Antonoglou, Julian Schrittwieser, Amelia Glaese,	945
891	Long Ouyang, Christina Kim, Christopher Hesse,	Jilin Chen, Emily Pitler, Timothy Lillicrap, Ange-	946
892	Shantanu Jain, Vineet Kosaraju, William Saunders,	liki Lazaridou, Orhan Firat, James Molloy, Michael	947
893	Xu Jiang, Karl Cobbe, Tyna Eloundou, Gretchen	Isard, Paul R. Barham, Tom Hennigan, Benjamin	948
894	Krueger, Kevin Button, Matthew Knight, Benjamin	Lee, Fabio Viola, Malcolm Reynolds, Yuanzhong	949
895	Chess, and John Schulman. 2022. Webgpt: Browser-	Xu, Ryan Doherty, Eli Collins, Clemens Meyer, Eliza	950
896	assisted question-answering with human feedback .	Rutherford, Erica Moreira, Kareem Ayoub, Megha	951
897	<i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2112.09332.	Goel, Jack Krawczyk, Cosmo Du, Ed Chi, Heng-	952
		Tze Cheng, Eric Ni, Purvi Shah, Patrick Kane, Betty	953
898	Soroush Nasiriany, Abhiram Maddukuri, Lance Zhang,	Chan, Manaal Faruqui, Aliaksei Severyn, Hanzhao	954
899	Adeet Parikh, Aaron Lo, Abhishek Joshi, Ajay Man-	Lin, YaGuang Li, Yong Cheng, Abe Ittycheriah,	955
900	dlekar, and Yuke Zhu. 2024. Robocasa: Large-scale	Mahdis Mahdieh, Mia Chen, Pei Sun, Dustin Tran,	956
901	simulation of everyday tasks for generalist robots .	Sumit Bagri, Balaji Lakshminarayanan, Jeremiah	957
902	<i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2406.02523.	Liu, Andras Orban, Fabian Gura, Hao Zhou, Xiny-	958
		ing Song, Aurelien Boffy, Harish Ganapathy, Steven	959
903	Joon Sung Park, Joseph C. O’Brien, Carrie J. Cai,	Zheng, HyunJeong Choe, Ágoston Weisz, Tao Zhu,	960
904	Meredith Ringel Morris, Percy Liang, and Michael S.	Yifeng Lu, Siddharth Gopal, Jarrod Kahn, Maciej	961

962	Kula, Jeff Pitman, Rushin Shah, Emanuel Taropa,	halli, James Qin, Zeynep Cankara, Abhanshu Sharma,	1026
963	Majd Al Merey, Martin Baeuml, Zhifeng Chen, Lau-	Nick Fernando, Will Hawkins, Behnam Neyshabur,	1027
964	rent El Shafey, Yujing Zhang, Olcan Sercinoglu,	Solomon Kim, Adrian Hutter, Priyanka Agrawal,	1028
965	George Tucker, Enrique Piqueras, Maxim Krikun,	Alex Castro-Ros, George van den Driessche, Tao	1029
966	Iain Barr, Nikolay Savinov, Ivo Danihelka, Becca	Wang, Fan Yang, Shuo yiin Chang, Paul Komarek,	1030
967	Roelofs, Anaïs White, Anders Andreassen, Tamara	Ross McIlroy, Mario Lučić, Guodong Zhang, Wael	1031
968	von Glehn, Lakshman Yagati, Mehran Kazemi, Lu-	Farhan, Michael Sharman, Paul Natsev, Paul Michel,	1032
969	cas Gonzalez, Misha Khalman, Jakub Sygnowski,	Yamini Bansal, Siyuan Qiao, Kris Cao, Siamak Shak-	1033
970	Alexandre Frechette, Charlotte Smith, Laura Culp,	eri, Christina Butterfield, Justin Chung, Paul Kishan	1034
971	Lev Proleev, Yi Luan, Xi Chen, James Lottes, Nathan	Rubenstein, Shivani Agrawal, Arthur Mensch, Kedar	1035
972	Schucher, Federico Lebron, Alban Rustemi, Na-	Soparkar, Karel Lenc, Timothy Chung, Aedan Pope,	1036
973	talie Clay, Phil Crone, Tomas Kocisky, Jeffrey Zhao,	Loren Maggiore, Jackie Kay, Priya Jhakra, Shibo	1037
974	Bartek Perz, Dian Yu, Heidi Howard, Adam Blo-	Wang, Joshua Maynez, Mary Phuong, Taylor Tobin,	1038
975	niarz, Jack W. Rae, Han Lu, Laurent Sifre, Mar-	Andrea Tacchetti, Maja Trebacz, Kevin Robinson,	1039
976	cello Maggioni, Fred Alcober, Dan Garrette, Megan	Yash Katariya, Sebastian Riedel, Paige Bailey, Kefan	1040
977	Barnes, Shantanu Thakoor, Jacob Austin, Gabriel	Xiao, Nimesh Ghelani, Lora Aroyo, Ambrose Slone,	1041
978	Barth-Maron, William Wong, Rishabh Joshi, Rahma	Neil Houlsby, Xuehan Xiong, Zhen Yang, Elena Gri-	1042
979	Chaabouni, Deeni Fatiha, Arun Ahuja, Gaurav Singh	bovskaia, Jonas Adler, Mateo Wirth, Lisa Lee, Music	1043
980	Tomar, Evan Senter, Martin Chadwick, Ilya Kor-	Li, Thais Kagohara, Jay Pavagadhi, Sophie Bridgers,	1044
981	nakov, Nithya Attaluri, Iñaki Iturrate, Ruibo Liu,	Anna Bortsova, Sanjay Ghemawat, Zafarali Ahmed,	1045
982	Yunxuan Li, Sarah Cogan, Jeremy Chen, Chao Jia,	Tianqi Liu, Richard Powell, Vijay Bolina, Mariko	1046
983	Chenjie Gu, Qiao Zhang, Jordan Grimstad, Ale Jakse	Iinuma, Polina Zablotskaia, James Besley, Da-Woon	1047
984	Hartman, Xavier Garcia, Thanumalayan Sankara-	Chung, Timothy Dozat, Ramona Comanescu, Xi-	1048
985	narayana Pillai, Jacob Devlin, Michael Laskin, Diego	ance Si, Jeremy Greer, Guolong Su, Martin Polacek,	1049
986	de Las Casas, Dasha Valter, Connie Tao, Lorenzo	Raphaël Lopez Kaufman, Simon Tokumine, Hexiang	1050
987	Blanco, Adrià Puigdomènech Badia, David Reitter,	Hu, Elena Buchatskaya, Yingjie Miao, Mohamed	1051
988	Mianna Chen, Jenny Brennan, Clara Rivera, Sergey	Elhawaty, Aditya Siddhant, Nenad Tomasev, Jin-	1052
989	Brin, Shariq Iqbal, Gabriela Surita, Jane Labanowski,	wei Xing, Christina Greer, Helen Miller, Shereen	1053
990	Abhi Rao, Stephanie Winkler, Emilio Parisotto, Yim-	Ashraf, Aurko Roy, Zizhao Zhang, Ada Ma, Ange-	1054
991	ing Gu, Kate Olszewska, Ravi Addanki, Antoine	los Filos, Milos Besta, Rory Blevins, Ted Klimenko,	1055
992	Miech, Annie Louis, Denis Teplyashin, Geoff Brown,	Chih-Kuan Yeh, Soravit Changpinyo, Jiaqi Mu, Os-	1056
993	Elliot Catt, Jan Balaguer, Jackie Xiang, Pidong Wang,	car Chang, Mantas Pajarskas, Carrie Muir, Vered	1057
994	Zoe Ashwood, Anton Briukhov, Albert Webson, San-	Cohen, Charline Le Lan, Krishna Haridasan, Amit	1058
995	jay Ganapathy, Smit Sanghavi, Ajay Kannan, Ming-	Marathe, Steven Hansen, Sholto Douglas, Rajku-	1059
996	Wei Chang, Axel Stjerngren, Josip Djolonga, Yut-	mar Samuel, Mingqiu Wang, Sophia Austin, Chang	1060
997	ing Sun, Ankur Bapna, Matthew Aitchison, Pedram	Lan, Jiepu Jiang, Justin Chiu, Jaime Alonso Lorenzo,	1061
998	Pejman, Henryk Michalewski, Tianhe Yu, Cindy	Lars Lowe Sjösund, Sébastien Cevey, Zach Gle-	1062
999	Wang, Juliette Love, Junwhan Ahn, Dawn Bloxwich,	icher, Thi Avrahami, Anudhyan Boral, Hansa Sрни-	1063
1000	Kehang Han, Peter Humphreys, Thibault Sellam,	vasan, Vittorio Selo, Rhys May, Konstantinos Aiso-	1064
1001	James Bradbury, Varun Godbole, Sina Samangoeei,	pos, Léonard Hussenot, Livio Baldini Soares, Kate	1065
1002	Bogdan Damoc, Alex Kaskasoli, Sébastien M. R.	Baumli, Michael B. Chang, Adrià Recasens, Ben	1066
1003	Arnold, Vijay Vasudevan, Shubham Agrawal, Jason	Caine, Alexander Pritzel, Filip Pavetic, Fabio Pardo,	1067
1004	Riesa, Dmitry Lepikhin, Richard Tanburn, Srivat-	Anita Gergely, Justin Frye, Vinay Ramasesh, Dan	1068
1005	san Srinivasan, Hyeontaek Lim, Sarah Hodgkinson,	Horgan, Kartikeya Badola, Nora Kassner, Subhra-	1069
1006	Pranav Shyam, Johan Ferret, Steven Hand, Ankush	jit Roy, Ethan Dyer, Víctor Campos Campos, Alex	1070
1007	Garg, Tom Le Paine, Jian Li, Yujia Li, Minh Gi-	Tomala, Yunhao Tang, Dalia El Badawy, Elspeth	1071
1008	ang, Alexander Neitz, Zaheer Abbas, Sarah York,	White, Basil Mustafa, Oran Lang, Abhishek Jin-	1072
1009	Machel Reid, Elizabeth Cole, Aakanksha Chowdh-	dal, Sharad Vikram, Zhitao Gong, Sergi Caelles,	1073
1010	ery, Dipanjan Das, Dominika Rogozińska, Vitaliy	Ross Hemsley, Gregory Thornton, Fangxiaoyu Feng,	1074
1011	Nikolaev, Pablo Sprechmann, Zachary Nado, Lukas	Wojciech Stokowiec, Ce Zheng, Phoebe Thacker,	1075
1012	Zilka, Flavien Prost, Luheng He, Marianne Mon-	Çağlar Ünlü, Zhishuai Zhang, Mohammad Saleh,	1076
1013	teiro, Gaurav Mishra, Chris Welty, Josh Newlan,	James Svensson, Max Bileschi, Piyush Patil, Ankesh	1077
1014	Dawei Jia, Miltiadis Allamanis, Clara Huiyi Hu,	Anand, Roman Ring, Katerina Tsihlias, Arpi Vez-	1078
1015	Raoul de Liedekerke, Justin Gilmer, Carl Saurouf,	Marco Selvi, Toby Shevlane, Mikel Rodriguez, Tom	1079
1016	Shruti Rijhwani, Shaobo Hou, Disha Shrivastava,	Kwiatkowski, Samira Daruki, Keran Rong, Allan	1080
1017	Anirudh Baddepudi, Alex Goldin, Adnan Ozturel,	Dafoe, Nicholas FitzGerald, Keren Gu-Lemberg,	1081
1018	Albin Cassirer, Yunhan Xu, Daniel Sohn, Deven-	Mina Khan, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Marie Pellat,	1082
1019	dra Sachan, Reinald Kim Amplayo, Craig Swan-	Vladimir Feinberg, James Cobon-Kerr, Tara Sainath,	1083
1020	son, Dessie Petrova, Shashi Narayan, Arthur Guez,	Maribeth Rauh, Sayed Hadi Hashemi, Richard Ives,	1084
1021	Siddhartha Brahma, Jessica Landon, Miteyan Pa-	Yana Hasson, Eric Noland, Yuan Cao, Nathan Byrd,	1085
1022	tel, Ruizhe Zhao, Kevin Vilella, Luyu Wang, Wen-	Le Hou, Qingze Wang, Thibault Sottiaux, Michela	1086
1023	hao Jia, Matthew Rahtz, Mai Giménez, Legg Yeung,	Paganini, Jean-Baptiste Lespiau, Alexandre Mou-	1087
1024	James Keeling, Petko Georgiev, Diana Mincu, Boxi	farek, Samer Hassan, Kaushik Shivakumar, Joost van	1088
1025	Wu, Salem Haykal, Rachel Saputro, Kiran Vodra-	Amersfoort, Amol Mandhane, Pratik Joshi, Anirudh	1089

1090	Goyal, Matthew Tung, Andrew Brock, Hannah Sheahan, Vedant Misra, Cheng Li, Nemanja Rakićević, Mostafa Dehghani, Fangyu Liu, Sid Mittal, Junhyuk Oh, Seb Noury, Eren Sezener, Fantine Huot, Matthew Lamm, Nicola De Cao, Charlie Chen, Sidharth Mudgal, Romina Stella, Kevin Brooks, Gautam Vasudevan, Chenxi Liu, Mainak Chain, Nivedita Melinkeri, Aaron Cohen, Venus Wang, Kristie Seymore, Sergey Zubkov, Rahul Goel, Summer Yue, Sai Krishnakumaran, Brian Albert, Nate Hurley, Motoki Sano, Anhad Mohananey, Jonah Joughin, Egor Filonov, Tomasz Kepa, Yomna Eldawy, Jiawern Lim, Rahul Rishi, Shirin Badiezadegan, Taylor Bos, Jerry Chang, Sanil Jain, Sri Gayatri Sundara Padmanabhan, Subha Puttagunta, Kalpesh Krishna, Leslie Baker, Norbert Kalb, Vamsi Bedapudi, Adam Kurzrok, Shuntong Lei, Anthony Yu, Oren Litvin, Xiang Zhou, Zhichun Wu, Sam Sobell, Andrea Siciliano, Alan Papir, Robby Neale, Jonas Bragagnolo, Tej Toor, Tina Chen, Valentin Anklin, Feiran Wang, Richie Feng, Milad Gholami, Kevin Ling, Lijuan Liu, Jules Walter, Hamid Moghaddam, Arun Kishore, Jakub Adamek, Tyler Mercado, Jonathan Mallinson, Siddhinita Wandekar, Stephen Cagle, Eran Ofek, Guillermo Garrido, Clemens Lombriser, Maksim Mukha, Botu Sun, Hafeezul Rahman Mohammad, Josip Matak, Yadi Qian, Vikas Peswani, Pawel Janus, Quan Yuan, Leif Schelin, Oana David, Ankur Garg, Yifan He, Oleksii Duzhyi, Anton Älgmyr, Timothée Lottaz, Qi Li, Vikas Yadav, Luyao Xu, Alex Chinien, Rakesh Shivanna, Aleksandr Chuklin, Josie Li, Carrie Spadine, Travis Wolfe, Kareem Mohamed, Subhabrata Das, Zihang Dai, Kyle He, Daniel von Dincklage, Shyam Upadhyay, Akanksha Maurya, Luyan Chi, Sebastian Krause, Khalid Salama, Pam G Rabinovitch, Pavan Kumar Reddy M, Aarush Selvan, Mikhail Dektiarev, Golnaz Ghiasi, Erdem Guven, Himanshu Gupta, Boyi Liu, Deepak Sharma, Idan Heimlich Shtacher, Shachi Paul, Oscar Akerlund, François-Xavier Aubet, Terry Huang, Chen Zhu, Eric Zhu, Elico Teixeira, Matthew Fritze, Francesco Bertolini, Liana-Eleonora Marinescu, Martin Bülle, Dominik Paulus, Khyatti Gupta, Tejasi Latkar, Max Chang, Jason Sanders, Roopa Wilson, Xuwei Wu, Yi-Xuan Tan, Lam Nguyen Thiet, Tulsee Doshi, Sid Lall, Swaroop Mishra, Wanming Chen, Thang Luong, Seth Benjamin, Jasmine Lee, Ewa Andrejczuk, Dominik Rabiej, Vipul Ranjan, Krzysztof Styrz, Pengcheng Yin, Jon Simon, Malcolm Rose Harriott, Mudit Bansal, Alexei Robsky, Geoff Bacon, David Greene, Daniil Mirylenka, Chen Zhou, Obaid Sarvana, Abhimanyu Goyal, Samuel Andermatt, Patrick Siegler, Ben Horn, Assaf Israel, Francesco Pongetti, Chih-Wei "Louis" Chen, Marco Selvatici, Pedro Silva, Kathie Wang, Jackson Tolins, Kelvin Guu, Roey Yogeve, Xiaochen Cai, Alessandro Agostini, Maulik Shah, Hung Nguyen, Noah Ó Donnaille, Sébastien Pereira, Linda Friso, Adam Stambler, Adam Kurzrok, Chenkai Kuang, Yan Romanikhin, Mark Geller, ZJ Yan, Kane Jang, Cheng-Chun Lee, Wojciech Fica, Eric Malmi, Qijun Tan, Dan Banica, Daniel Balle, Ryan Pham, Yanping Huang, Diana Avram, Hongzhi Shi, Jasjot Singh, Chris Hidey, Niharika Ahuja, Pranab Saxena, Dan Dooley, Srividya Pranavi Potharaju, Eileen O'Neill, Anand Gokulchandran, Ryan Foley, Kai Zhao, Mike Dusenberry, Yuan Liu, Pulkrit Mehta, Ragha Kotikalapudi, Chalence Safranek-Shrader, Andrew Goodman, Joshua Kessinger, Eran Globen, Praateek Kolhar, Chris Gorgolewski, Ali Ibrahim, Yang Song, Ali Eichenbaum, Thomas Brovelli, Sahitya Potluri, Preethi Lahoti, Cip Baetu, Ali Ghorbani, Charles Chen, Andy Crawford, Shalini Pal, Mukund Sridhar, Petru Gurita, Asier Mujika, Igor Petrovski, Pierre-Louis Cedoz, Chenmei Li, Shiyuan Chen, Niccolò Dal Santo, Siddharth Goyal, Jitesh Punjabi, Karthik Kappaganthu, Chester Kwak, Pallavi LV, Sarmishta Velury, Himadri Choudhury, Jamie Hall, Premal Shah, Ricardo Figueira, Matt Thomas, Minjie Lu, Ting Zhou, Chintu Kumar, Thomas Jurdi, Sharat Chikkerur, Yenai Ma, Adams Yu, Soo Kwak, Victor Åhdel, Sujeewan Rajayogam, Travis Choma, Fei Liu, Aditya Barua, Colin Ji, Ji Ho Park, Vincent Hellendoorn, Alex Bailey, Taylan Bilal, Huanjie Zhou, Mehrdad Khatir, Charles Sutton, Wojciech Rządowski, Fiona Macintosh, Konstantin Shagin, Paul Medina, Chen Liang, Jinjing Zhou, Pararth Shah, Yingying Bi, Attila Dankovics, Shipra Banga, Sabine Lehmann, Marissa Bredezen, Zifan Lin, John Eric Hoffmann, Jonathan Lai, Raynald Chung, Kai Yang, Nihal Balani, Arthur Brażinskas, Andrei Sozanschi, Matthew Hayes, Héctor Fernández Alcalde, Peter Makarov, Will Chen, Antonio Stella, Liselotte Snijders, Michael Mandl, Ante Kärman, Paweł Nowak, Xinyi Wu, Alex Dyck, Krishnan Vaidyanathan, Raghavender R, Jessica Mallet, Mitch Rudominer, Eric Johnston, Sushil Mittal, Akhil Udathu, Janara Christensen, Vishal Verma, Zach Irving, Andreas Santucci, Gamaleldin Elsayed, Elnaz Davoodi, Marin Georgiev, Ian Tenney, Nan Hua, Geoffrey Cideron, Edouard Leurent, Mahmoud Alnahlawi, Ionut Georgescu, Nan Wei, Ivy Zheng, Dylan Scandinaro, Heinrich Jiang, Jasper Snoek, Mukund Sundararajan, Xuezhi Wang, Zack Ontiveros, Itay Karo, Jeremy Cole, Vinu Rajashekhar, Lara Tumeh, Eyal Ben-David, Rishub Jain, Jonathan Uesato, Romina Datta, Oskar Bunyan, Shimu Wu, John Zhang, Piotr Stanczyk, Ye Zhang, David Steiner, Subhajit Naskar, Michael Azzam, Matthew Johnson, Adam Paszke, Chung-Cheng Chiu, Jaume Sanchez Elias, Afroz Mohiuddin, Faizan Muhammad, Jin Miao, Andrew Lee, Nino Vieillard, Jane Park, Jiageng Zhang, Jeff Stanway, Drew Garmon, Abhijit Karmarkar, Zhe Dong, Jong Lee, Aviral Kumar, Luowei Zhou, Jonathan Evens, William Isaac, Geoffrey Irving, Edward Loper, Michael Fink, Isha Arkatkar, Nanxin Chen, Izhak Shafran, Ivan Petrychenko, Zhe Chen, Johnson Jia, Anselm Levskaia, Zhenkai Zhu, Peter Grabowski, Yu Mao, Alberto Magni, Kaisheng Yao, Javier Snaider, Norman Casagrande, Evan Palmer, Paul Suganthan, Alfonso Castaño, Irene Giannoumis, Wooyeol Kim, Mikolaj Rybiński, Ashwin Sreevatsa, Jennifer Prendki, David Soergel, Adrian Goedeckemeyer, Willi Gierke, Mohsen Jafari, Meenu Gaba, Jeremy Wiesner, Diana Gage Wright, Yawen Wei, Harsha Vashisht, Yana Kulizhskaya, Jay Hoover, Maigo Le, Lu Li, Chimezie Iwuanyanwu,	1153 1154 1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 1190 1191 1192 1193 1194 1195 1196 1197 1198 1199 1200 1201 1202 1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216
------	--	--

1217	Lu Liu, Kevin Ramirez, Andrey Khorlin, Albert Cui, Tian LIN, Marcus Wu, Ricardo Aguilar, Keith Pallo, Abhishek Chakladar, Ginger Perng, Elena Allica Abellan, Mingyang Zhang, Ishita Dasgupta, Nate Kushman, Ivo Penchev, Alena Repina, Xihui Wu, Tom van der Weide, Priya Ponnappalli, Caroline Kaplan, Jiri Simsa, Shuangfeng Li, Olivier Dousse, Fan Yang, Jeff Piper, Nathan Ie, Rama Pasumarthi, Nathan Lintz, Anitha Vijayakumar, Daniel Andor, Pedro Valenzuela, Minnie Lui, Cosmin Padurararu, Daiyi Peng, Katherine Lee, Shuyuan Zhang, Somer Greene, Duc Dung Nguyen, Paula Kurylowicz, Cassidy Hardin, Lucas Dixon, Lili Janzer, Kiam Choo, Ziqiang Feng, Biao Zhang, Achintya Singhal, Dayou Du, Dan McKinnon, Natasha Antropova, Tolga Bolukbasi, Orgad Keller, David Reid, Daniel Finchelstein, Maria Abi Raad, Remi Crocker, Peter Hawkins, Robert Dadashi, Colin Gaffney, Ken Franko, Anna Bulanova, Rémi Leblond, Shirley Chung, Harry Askham, Luis C. Cobo, Kelvin Xu, Felix Fischer, Jun Xu, Christina Sorokin, Chris Alberti, Chu-Cheng Lin, Colin Evans, Alek Dimitriev, Hannah Forbes, Dylan Banarse, Zora Tung, Mark Omernick, Colton Bishop, Rachel Sterneck, Rohan Jain, Jiawei Xia, Ehsan Amid, Francesco Piccinno, Xingyu Wang, Praseem Banzal, Daniel J. Mankowitz, Alex Polozov, Victoria Krakovna, Sasha Brown, MohammadHossein Bateni, Dennis Duan, Vlad Firoiu, Meghana Thotakuri, Tom Natan, Matthieu Geist, Ser tan Girgin, Hui Li, Jiayu Ye, Ofir Roval, Reiko Tojo, Michael Kwong, James Lee-Thorp, Christopher Yew, Danila Sinopalnikov, Sabela Ramos, John Mellor, Abhishek Sharma, Kathy Wu, David Miller, Nicolas Sonnerat, Denis Vnukov, Rory Greig, Jennifer Beattie, Emily Caveness, Libin Bai, Julian Eisenschlos, Alex Korchemniy, Tomy Tsai, Mimi Jasarevic, Weize Kong, Phuong Dao, Zeyu Zheng, Frederick Liu, Fan Yang, Rui Zhu, Tian Huey Teh, Jason Sanmiya, Evgeny Gladchenko, Nejc Trdin, Daniel Toyama, Evan Rosen, Sasan Tavakkol, Linting Xue, Chen Elkind, Oliver Woodman, John Carpenter, George Papamakarios, Rupert Kemp, Sushant Kafle, Tanya Grunina, Rishika Sinha, Alice Talbert, Diane Wu, Denese Owusu-Afriyie, Cosmo Du, Chloe Thornton, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Pradyumna Narayana, Jing Li, Saaber Fatehi, John Wieting, Omar Ajmeri, Benigno Uria, Yeongil Ko, Laura Knight, Amélie Héliou, Ning Niu, Shane Gu, Chenxi Pang, Yeqing Li, Nir Levine, Ariel Stolovich, Rebecca Santamaria-Fernandez, Sonam Goenka, Wenny Yustalim, Robin Strudel, Ali Elqursh, Charlie Deck, Hyo Lee, Zonglin Li, Kyle Levin, Raphael Hoffmann, Dan Holtmann-Rice, Olivier Bachem, Sho Arora, Christy Koh, Soheil Hassas Yeganeh, Siim Pöder, Mukarram Tariq, Yanhua Sun, Lucian Ionita, Mojtaba Seyedhosseini, Pouya Tafti, Zhiyu Liu, Anmol Gulati, Jasmine Liu, Xinyu Ye, Bart Chrzasczcz, Lily Wang, Nikhil Sethi, Tianrun Li, Ben Brown, Shreya Singh, Wei Fan, Aaron Parisi, Joe Stanton, Vinod Koverkathu, Christopher A. Choquette-Choo, Yunjie Li, TJ Lu, Abe Ittycheriah, Prakash Shroff, Mani Varadarajan, Sanaz Bahargam, Rob Willoughby, David Gaddy, Guillaume Desjardins, Marco Cornero, Brona Robenek, Bhavishya Mit-	
	tal, Ben Albrecht, Ashish Shenoy, Fedor Moiseev, Henrik Jacobsson, Alireza Ghaffarkhah, Morgane Rivièrè, Alanna Walton, Clément Crepy, Alicia Parrish, Zongwei Zhou, Clement Farabet, Carey Radebaugh, Praveen Srinivasan, Claudia van der Salm, Andreas Fildjeland, Salvatore Scellato, Eri Latorre-Chimoto, Hanna Klimczak-Plucińska, David Bridson, Dario de Cesare, Tom Hudson, Piermaria Mendolichio, Lexi Walker, Alex Morris, Matthew Mauger, Alexey Guseynov, Alison Reid, Seth Odoom, Lucia Loher, Victor Cotruta, Madhavi Yenugula, Dominik Grewe, Anastasia Petrushkina, Tom Duerig, Antonio Sanchez, Steve Yadlowsky, Amy Shen, Amir Globerson, Lynette Webb, Sahil Dua, Dong Li, Surya Bhupatiraju, Dan Hurt, Haroon Qureshi, Ananth Agarwal, Tomer Shani, Matan Eyal, Anuj Khare, Shreyas Rammohan Belle, Lei Wang, Chetan Tekur, Mihir Sanjay Kale, Jinliang Wei, Ruoxin Sang, Brennan Saeta, Tyler Liechty, Yi Sun, Yao Zhao, Stephan Lee, Pandu Nayak, Doug Fritz, Manish Reddy Vuyyuru, John Aslanides, Nidhi Vyas, Martin Wicke, Xiao Ma, Evgenii Eltyshv, Nina Martin, Hardie Cate, James Manyika, Keyvan Amiri, Yelin Kim, Xi Xiong, Kai Kang, Florian Luisier, Nilesch Tripuraneni, David Madras, Mandy Guo, Austin Waters, Oliver Wang, Joshua Ainslie, Jason Baldridge, Han Zhang, Garima Pruthi, Jakob Bauer, Feng Yang, Riham Mansour, Jason Gelman, Yang Xu, George Polovets, Ji Liu, Honglong Cai, Warren Chen, XiangHai Sheng, Emily Xue, Sherjil Ozair, Christof Angermueller, Xiaowei Li, Anoop Sinha, Weiren Wang, Julia Wiesinger, Emmanouil Koukoumidis, Yuan Tian, Anand Iyer, Madhu Gurumurthy, Mark Goldenson, Parashar Shah, MK Blake, Hongkun Yu, Anthony Urbanowicz, Jennimaria Palomaki, Chrisantha Fernando, Ken Durden, Harsh Mehta, Nikola Momchev, Elahe Rahimtoroghi, Maria Georgaki, Amit Raul, Sebastian Ruder, Morgan Redshaw, Jinhyuk Lee, Denny Zhou, Komal Jalan, Dinghua Li, Blake Hechtman, Parker Schuh, Milad Nasr, Kieran Milan, Vladimir Mikulik, Juliana Franco, Tim Green, Nam Nguyen, Joe Kelley, Aroma Mahendru, Andrea Hu, Joshua Howland, Ben Vargas, Jeffrey Hui, Kshittij Bansal, Vikram Rao, Rakesh Ghiya, Emma Wang, Ke Ye, Jean Michel Sarr, Melanie Moranski Preston, Madeleine Elish, Steve Li, Aakash Kaku, Jigar Gupta, Ice Pasupat, Da-Cheng Juan, Milan Someswar, Tejvi M., Xinyun Chen, Aida Amini, Alex Fabrikant, Eric Chu, Xuanyi Dong, Amruta Muthal, Senaka Buthpitiya, Sarthak Jauhari, Nan Hua, Urvashi Khandelwal, Ayal Hitron, Jie Ren, Larissa Rinaldi, Shahar Drath, Avigail Dabush, Nan-Jiang Jiang, Harshal Godhia, Uli Sachs, Anthony Chen, Yicheng Fan, Hagai Taitelbaum, Hila Noga, Zhuyun Dai, James Wang, Chen Liang, Jenny Hamer, Chun-Sung Ferng, Chenel Elkind, Aviel Atias, Paulina Lee, Vít Listík, Mathias Carlen, Jan van de Kerkhof, Marcin Pikus, Krunoslav Zaher, Paul Müller, Sasha Zykova, Richard Stefanec, Vitaly Gatsko, Christoph Hirschschall, Ashwin Sethi, Xingyu Federico Xu, Chetan Ahuja, Beth Tsai, Anca Stefanoiu, Bo Feng, Keshav Dhandhanania, Manish Katyal, Akshay Gupta, Atharva Parulekar, Divya Pitta, Jing Zhao, Vivaan Bhatia, Yashodha Bhavnani, Omar Alhadlaq, Xiaolin	1281 1282 1283 1284 1285 1286 1287 1288 1289 1290 1291 1292 1293 1294 1295 1296 1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307 1308 1309 1310 1311 1312 1313 1314 1315 1316 1317 1318 1319 1320 1321 1322 1323 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328 1329 1330 1331 1332 1333 1334 1335 1336 1337 1338 1339 1340 1341 1342 1343 1344

1345	Li, Peter Danenberg, Dennis Tu, Alex Pine, Vera	Shunyu Yao, Dian Yu, Jeffrey Zhao, Izhak Shafran,	1401
1346	Filippova, Abhipso Ghosh, Ben Limonchik, Bhar-	Thomas L. Griffiths, Yuan Cao, and Karthik	1402
1347	gava Urala, Chaitanya Krishna Lanka, Derik Clive,	Narasimhan. 2023a. Tree of thoughts: Deliber-	1403
1348	Yi Sun, Edward Li, Hao Wu, Kevin Hongtongsak,	ate problem solving with large language models.	1404
1349	Ianna Li, Kalind Thakkar, Kuanysh Omarov, Kushal	<i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2305.10601.	1405
1350	Majmundar, Michael Alverson, Michael Kucharski,		
1351	Mohak Patel, Mudit Jain, Maksim Zabelin, Paolo	Shunyu Yao, Jeffrey Zhao, Dian Yu, Nan Du, Izhak	1406
1352	Pelagatti, Rohan Kohli, Saurabh Kumar, Joseph Kim,	Shafran, Karthik Narasimhan, and Yuan Cao. 2023b.	1407
1353	Swetha Sankar, Vineet Shah, Lakshmi Ramachan-	React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language	1408
1354	druni, Xiangkai Zeng, Ben Bariach, Laura Wei-	models. <i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2210.03629.	1409
1355	dinger, Tu Vu, Alek Andreev, Antoine He, Kevin		
1356	Hui, Sheleem Kashem, Amar Subramanya, Sissie	Siyu Yuan, Jiangjie Chen, Ziquan Fu, Xuyang Ge, So-	1410
1357	Hsiao, Demis Hassabis, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Adam	ham Shah, Charles Robert Jankowski, Yanghua Xiao,	1411
1358	Sadovsky, Quoc Le, Trevor Strohman, Yonghui Wu,	and Deqing Yang. 2023. Distilling script knowledge	1412
1359	Slav Petrov, Jeffrey Dean, and Oriol Vinyals. 2024.	from large language models for constrained language	1413
1360	Gemini: A family of highly capable multimodal mod-	planning. <i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2305.05252.	1414
1361	els. <i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2312.11805.		
1362	Bing Wang, Xinnian Liang, Jian Yang, Hui Huang,	Hongxin Zhang, Weihua Du, Jiaming Shan, Qinzhong	1415
1363	Shuangzhi Wu, Peihao Wu, Lu Lu, Zejun Ma, and	Zhou, Yilun Du, Joshua B. Tenenbaum, Tianmin Shu,	1416
1364	Zhoujun Li. 2024a. Enhancing large language model	and Chuang Gan. 2024a. Building cooperative em-	1417
1365	with self-controlled memory framework. <i>Preprint</i> ,	bodied agents modularly with large language models.	1418
1366	arXiv:2304.13343.	<i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2307.02485.	1419
1367	Lei Wang, Chen Ma, Xueyang Feng, Zeyu Zhang, Hao	Zeyu Zhang, Xiaohe Bo, Chen Ma, Rui Li, Xu Chen,	1420
1368	Yang, Jingsen Zhang, Zhiyuan Chen, Jiakai Tang,	Quanyu Dai, Jieming Zhu, Zhenhua Dong, and Ji-	1421
1369	Xu Chen, Yankai Lin, Wayne Xin Zhao, Zhewei Wei,	Rong Wen. 2024b. A survey on the memory mecha-	1422
1370	and Jirong Wen. 2024b. A survey on large language	nism of large language model based agents. <i>Preprint</i> ,	1423
1371	model based autonomous agents. <i>Frontiers of Com-</i>	arXiv:2404.13501.	1424
1372	puter Science , 18(6).		
1373	Ruocheng Wang, Eric Zelikman, Gabriel Poesia, Yewen	Wanjun Zhong, Lianhong Guo, Qiqi Gao, He Ye, and	1425
1374	Pu, Nick Haber, and Noah D Goodman. 2023. Hy-	Yanlin Wang. 2023. Memorybank: Enhancing large	1426
1375	pothesis search: Inductive reasoning with language	language models with long-term memory. <i>Preprint</i> ,	1427
1376	models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.05660</i> .	arXiv:2305.10250.	1428
1377	Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten	Wangchunshu Zhou, Yuchen Eleanor Jiang, Peng Cui,	1429
1378	Bosma, brian ichter, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le,	Tiannan Wang, Zhenxin Xiao, Yifan Hou, Ryan	1430
1379	and Denny Zhou. 2022. Chain-of-thought prompt-	Cotterell, and Mrinmaya Sachan. 2023. Recurrent-	1431
1380	ing elicits reasoning in large language models. In	gpt: Interactive generation of (arbitrarily) long text.	1432
1381	<i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> ,	<i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2305.13304.	1433
1382	volume 35, pages 24824–24837. Curran Associates,		
1383	Inc.	Zhaocheng Zhu, Yuan Xue, Xinyun Chen, Denny Zhou,	1434
1384	Manjie Xu, Guangyuan Jiang, Wei Liang, Chi Zhang,	Jian Tang, Dale Schuurmans, and Hanjun Dai. 2024.	1435
1385	and Yixin Zhu. 2024. Active reasoning in an open-	Large language models can learn rules. <i>Preprint</i> ,	1436
1386	world environment. <i>Advances in Neural Information</i>	arXiv:2310.07064.	1437
1387	<i>Processing Systems</i> , 36.		
1388	Zeyuan Yang, Peng Li, and Yang Liu. 2023. Fail-		
1389	ures pave the way: Enhancing large language mod-		
1390	els through tuning-free rule accumulation. <i>Preprint</i> ,		
1391	arXiv:2310.15746.		
1392	Zhilin Yang, Peng Qi, Saizheng Zhang, Yoshua Ben-		
1393	gio, William W. Cohen, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and		
1394	Christopher D. Manning. 2018. Hotpotqa: A dataset		
1395	for diverse, explainable multi-hop question answer-		
1396	ing. <i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:1809.09600.		
1397	Zonglin Yang, Li Dong, Xinya Du, Hao Cheng, Erik		
1398	Cambria, Xiaodong Liu, Jianfeng Gao, and Furu		
1399	Wei. 2024. Language models as inductive reason-		
1400	ers. <i>Preprint</i> , arXiv:2212.10923.		

A Appendix

A.1 Figures




Figure 5: An example of the IDEA agent solving a Reactor puzzle. At each step, the agent must choose whether to interact with the environment or adjust its hypothesis and plan based on current observations. If observed facts contradict the existing hypothesis, the agent is expected to refine its hypothesis. The refined hypothesis and plan will then guide subsequent exploration.




Figure 6: The scaled performance radar plot shows varying performances across different puzzle types. GPT-4o leads, followed by Llama 70B, GPT-3.5, Llama 8B, and Gemma 7B.




Figure 7: Although agents continuously refine their hypotheses toward the ground truth rule, identifying the exact rule remains a challenging task. According to our evaluation, humans have a 43.3% success rate in finding the ground truth rule, with 13% of these discoveries occurring during the abduction stage and 30% during the induction stage. In contrast, LLM agents exhibit a different pattern. They successfully identify the ground truth rule in approximately 30% of puzzles, with nearly all of these discoveries occurring during the abduction stage and only 5% achieved through interaction and induction. This highlights a significant limitation of current LLM agents, as they lack the ability to learn effectively from interactions. Consequently, the rule-learning patterns of LLM agents differ markedly from those of humans.

Table 4: Function operator puzzle distribution

No. of Functions	No. of Paramters	No. Terms	No. of Elementary Functions	No. of puzzles
1	1	1	1	25
2	2	2	2	25
2	2	3	2	25
3	3	5	4	15
3	4	6	5	10

Table 5: Distribution of puzzles in the Escape Room scenario. For each number of paintings from 3 to 13, there are two visibility conditions: one where all paintings are initially visible, and one where the agent must take actions to reveal all paintings. Under each condition, there are five unique puzzles, resulting in a total of 10 puzzles per number of paintings.

No. of Paintings	Visibility Condition	No. of Puzzles
3	All visible at start	5
3	Requires actions to reveal	5
4	All visible at start	5
4	Requires actions to reveal	5
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
13	All visible at start	5
13	Requires actions to reveal	5

Table 6: Distribution of Reactor puzzles across the four rule categories. Each category contains 25 puzzles, drawn from the same set of 25 distinct letter strings, which vary in length from 3 to 6 characters. Each puzzle requires the agent to synthesize a target string according to the specified rule.

Reactor Rule	No. Initial Letters	No. of Puzzles
Simple Concatenation	2, 3, 4, 5, 6	6, 8, 7, 2, 2
Reverse Concatenation	2, 3, 4, 5, 6	6, 8, 7, 2, 2
Middle Insertion	2, 3, 4, 5, 6	6, 8, 7, 2, 2
Prefix Replacement	2, 3, 4, 5, 6	6, 8, 7, 2, 2

A.3 IDEA agent detail

1441

A.3.1 Environment Entities

1442

- **Agent**: Represents the entity focused on rule-learning and problem-solving, comprising the following components:

1443

1444

- * **Goal** (G): The objective of the agent, articulated in natural language.

1445

- * **Buffer Memories** ($\tilde{M} := \{\tilde{m}_1, \tilde{m}_2, \dots, \tilde{m}_n\}$): This temporary storage holds all newly generated information during the agent’s exploration, including actions taken, outcomes of each action, and observations. This is where the most recent activities are initially recorded.

1446

1447

1448

- * **Memories** ($M := \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$): This permanent memory stores all significant observations and facts from the beginning of the task. When the agent forms new assumptions and plans, the contents of the Buffer Memories are evaluated; non-essential details like are discarded, while important facts and observations are transferred to the permanent Memories. This architecture ensures that each time the agent revises its hypotheses, it can clearly distinguish which observations occurred under the new assumptions and plan.

1449

1450

1451

1452

1453

1454

Table 7: Average Number of Repeated Actions Per Puzzle: Repeating actions is a common pattern among LLM agents during rule-learning tasks. Even sophisticated models like GPT-4o often exhibit reduced action duplication when exploring environments using the IDEA agent. The implementation of this agent has been shown to mitigate this tendency across all models. However, Gemma-7B frequently generates nonsensical actions that are not recognized as duplicates. Consequently, a duplication rate of 0.02 does not necessarily indicate that Gemma-7B effectively avoids repeating historical actions.

Setup	Model	All Puzzles	Function Operator	Escape Room	Reactor Puzzles
Deduction Only	Gemma-7B	6.54	4.05	8.74	6.83
	Llama-8B	4.91	3.39	2.85	8.49
	Llama-70B	3.39	2.47	1.44	6.25
	GPT-3.5-Turbo	8.06	7.52	6.27	10.38
	GPT-4o	2.51	2.01	0.65	4.86
Baseline	Gemma-7B	7.39	6.12	8.05	8.01
	Llama-8B	6.26	6.41	3.24	9.13
	Llama-70B	3.36	1.25	1.59	7.23
	GPT-3.5-Turbo	6.87	6.85	4.09	9.66
	GPT-4o	2.68	1.86	0.19	5.99
IDEA	Gemma-7B	5.0	3.65	7.1	4.26
	Llama-8B	3.77	3.92	2.73	4.65
	Llama-70B	1.73	0.43	0.72	4.05
	GPT-3.5-Turbo	5.67	4.69	3.55	8.76
	GPT-4o	2.37	1.32	1.25	4.53
Human		0.76	0.46	1.6	0.22

* **Hypotheses (H)**: The current hypotheses formulated by the agent to explain all the observations, are expressed in natural language.

* **Plan (P)**: The current strategy devised by the agent to either test the correctness of the existing hypotheses or to leverage these hypotheses to achieve the goal, also represented in natural language.

* **Action Space (A)**: A set of potential actions available to the agent, determined by its current hypotheses and plan. The Action Space is dynamic and can change in response to interactions with the environment. For example, after investigating a fridge, the agent gains the additional option to open the fridge and inspect its contents.

• **Objects (O)**: Represents all interactive entities within the environment that provide the agent with a means to interact with the world. A single object in this set is denoted as **O**.

* **Description (D_O)**: A concise description of the object, detailing its nature and potential uses, presented in natural language.

* **Predefined interactive actions (O_A := { $\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_n$ })**: A set of actions that are predefined for each object. Each action is described in natural language, explaining its purpose. Additionally, each action is associated with a coded function that processes the agent’s inputs and produces an effect, potentially altering the environment based on these inputs.

A.3.2 Interactive Functions

• **Perceptual Action** := $\hat{a}(\mathbf{O})$: An action automatically added to the agent’s action space for all objects within the same scope. Upon perceiving an object, the agent gains the ability to interact more detailedly with it, adding its interactive actions to the **S**.

• **Interactive Action** := $\tilde{a}(\mathbf{D}_O, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{I}, \tilde{\mathbf{M}}, \mathbf{M})$: A predefined action that triggers a pre-coded effect based on the agent’s input **I**. For example, in using a reactor, the agent decides the materials and their order of addition, and the reactor processes these inputs based on pre-coded rules to synthesize new materials.

• **Abductive Action** := $\bar{a}(\mathbf{G}, \tilde{\mathbf{M}})$: An action based on initial observations, allowing the agent to formulate the first hypotheses and generate a new plan.

• **Inductive Action** := $\dot{a}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}, \tilde{\mathbf{M}}, \mathbf{M})$: An action based on the current observations, goals, prior hypotheses, and previous plans, allowing the agent to refine hypotheses and generate new plans.

- **Deductive Action** $\mathrel{:=} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbb{M}, \tilde{\mathbb{M}})$: An action based on the current memories, hypothesis, and action space that generates a plan to either validate the current hypothesis or leverage it to solve problems. 1484
1485
1486
- **Action select** $\mathrel{:=} F_a(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}, \tilde{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{a}$: A function where the agent selects an action from the action space, considering all gathered information. 1487
1488

With the definitions and entities described above, we can formalize our interactive, rule-learning process. The sequence begins with the agent selecting an action from the available action space. The agent then decides on an input based on the selected action. Once the action is executed, the environment responds by providing feedback to the agent. The outcome of this action results in changes to $\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{S}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}$ and \mathbb{O} , making the environment dynamic as the exploration process progresses. These changes reflect the agent's interactions and adaptations to the evolving conditions within the environment. 1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494

A.3.3 Pseudocode of interactive rule learning procedure 1495

Algorithm 2 Agent rule-learning procedure

```

1: procedure RULELEARNINGLOOP
2:   Initialize  $\mathbb{O}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{G}$ 
3:    $\tilde{\mathbb{M}} \leftarrow$  Initial Memories
4:    $\mathbb{M} \leftarrow []$ 
5:    $\mathbf{H} \leftarrow \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{G}, \tilde{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbf{A})$ 
6:    $\mathbf{P} \leftarrow \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbb{M}, \tilde{\mathbb{M}})$ 
7:    $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}.add("You\ established\ a\ new\ \mathbf{H}\ and\ \mathbf{P}.")$ 
8:    $\#step \leftarrow 0$  ▷ Initialize step counter
9:   while  $\mathbf{G}$  not achieved and  $\#step \leq \max\_step$  do
10:     $\mathbf{a} \leftarrow F_a(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}, \tilde{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbf{A})$  ▷ Select an action from the action space
11:    if  $\mathbf{a}$  is a perceptual action then
12:       $action\_result \leftarrow execute\_perceptual\_action(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{O})$ 
13:       $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow update\_action\_space(action\_result)$ 
14:       $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}.add(action\_result)$  ▷ Record result to buffer memory
15:    else if  $\mathbf{a}$  is an interactive action then
16:       $\mathbf{I} \leftarrow decide\_input(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{D}_o, \mathbf{G}, \tilde{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P})$  ▷ Agent decide Input for this action
17:       $action\_result \leftarrow execute\_interactive\_action(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{I})$ 
18:       $\mathbb{O} \leftarrow update\_states(action\_result)$  ▷ update state of interactive objects
19:       $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow update\_action\_space(action\_result)$  ▷ Action may change action space
20:       $\#step = \#step + 1$  ▷ Only interactive action increment step count
21:       $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}.add(action\_result)$  ▷ Record result to buffer memory
22:    else if  $\mathbf{a}$  is an inductive action then
23:       $\mathbf{H} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}, \tilde{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{M})$ 
24:       $\mathbf{P} \leftarrow \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{A}, \tilde{\mathbb{M}}, \mathbb{M})$ 
25:       $\mathbb{M}.filter\_add(\tilde{\mathbb{M}})$  ▷ Drop non-observational log and add the rest to  $\mathbb{M}$ 
26:       $\tilde{\mathbb{M}} \leftarrow []$  ▷ Empty buffer memory
27:       $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}.add("You\ established\ a\ new\ \mathbf{H}\ and\ \mathbf{P}.")$ 
28:    end if
29:  end while
30: end procedure

```

1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503

1504
1505

A.4 Computational Budget

For each setting (the Oracle agent, the Baseline, and the IDEA agent), we ran 300 different puzzles, with costs totaling \$188.10 for GPT-4o and \$37.62 for GPT-3.5. For open-source models, we utilized 8 RTX-A6000 GPUs. Llama3-70B required 5 days to complete its tasks, while Llama3-8b and Gemma-7b each took 2.5 days. We recruited 50 students as human participants, each working for one hour and receiving \$15 as compensation. In total, we spent over \$1,000 and utilized 80 GPU days for open-source models. The experimental cost is notably higher compared to traditional QA datasets due to each puzzle requiring over 20 steps and the rapid accumulation of context during solving.

A.5 Prompt example

A.5.1 Function Operator Puzzles

<p>Action Select Prompt: You are Kevin. You need to assign values to the functions displayed on the <Computer>, determine the values of 'a' and 'b'. Then, input these values into the <Code secured door> in alphabetical order to open it. You can test your assumption by entering values into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, these values will change. Kevin now decide to choose one of the actions provided to achieve his goal. Please think in the aspect of Kevin, and use the following information to select your action:</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: History observations.....</p> <p>After previous exploration, you have the following assumption and plan: Assumption and plan.....</p> <p>Following is the 5 most recent things that Kevin've done under your current assumption: Most recent explorations guided by latest assumption and plan.....</p> <p>What is the most suitable next action for Kevin based on above given information? Below are the available actions:</p> <p>1th action: Input code to the Code secured door and try opening it 2th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #1 and see the output. Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a'] 3th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #2 and see the output. Function #2 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['b'] 4th action: Modify previous assumption and make a new plan: (Take this action when your current observations contradict your previous assumptions or your current plan is fulfilled.)</p> <p>Above 4 provided actions are not yet performed by Kevin don't assume its outcome, please following the steps to generate your final answer. You MUST select one of the provided actions. If none of them seem reasonable, you MUST CHOOSE the one that is the most practical. **Step1:** Review all the provided actions. Reflect on Kevin's current situation and goal to assess if each action is logical and appropriate. **Step2:** Choose the most logical action. Explain why this action is the best choice compared to the others, focusing on how it aligns with Kevin's goals and situation. **Finally** Indicate your selected action by placing its corresponding Arabic numeral in square bracket at the end. For example, if the third action is chosen, write [3]. Please do not use square bracket anywhere else other than final answer.</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>	<p>Abduction Prompt You are Kevin. You need to assign values to the functions displayed on the <Computer>, determine the values of 'a' and 'b'. Then, input these values into the <Code secured door> in alphabetical order to open it. You can test your assumption by entering values into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, these values will change. Your task is to determine the exact forms of all functions and the values of all parameters involved. First, focus on your observations to identify how many terms are in each function, the parameters within each, and any possible sub-functions involved in this puzzle. Then, hypothesize the actual forms of each function, including the values of constants and coefficients. Next, describe your plan for further verification, what value would you want to assign to which function, or do you want to input the password to the <Code secured door> to test your current result. Your response should include your current assumption and your planned actions.</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: History observations.....</p> <p>After previous exploration, you have the following assumption and plan: Assumption and plan.....</p> <p>Following is the 5 most recent things that Kevin've done under your current assumption: Most recent explorations guided by latest assumption and plan.....</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>
---	---

Figure 8: Prompt of Function Operator Puzzles, Action select and Deduction.

<p>Select Input Prompt</p> <p>GOAL: You are Kevin. You need to assign values to the functions displayed on the <Computer>, determine the values of 'a' and 'b'. Then, input these values into the <Code secured door> in alphabetical order to open it. You can test your hypothesis by entering values into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, these values will change.</p> <p>Kevin's current action is: Assign a value to the variable of Function #2 and see the output. Function #2 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['b']. And you have the following information to decide what is the value you want to assign to the variable in Function #2:</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: You assign the value 1 to x of the function #1, and then the function outputs 6. (Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a'].)</p> <p>After previous exploration, you have the following hypothesis and plan: Hypothesis and plan.....</p> <p>Following is the x most recent things that Kevin have done under your current hypothesis: Most recent explorations guided by latest hypothesis and plan.....</p> <p>Please follow the following steps to generate your final answer. **Step 1:** Reflect on your recent experience and consider which value for the variable 'x' would be most helpful in decoding the parameters. State your reason of choosing this value. **Step 2:** Please enter the value you wish to assign to 'x', enclosed in square brackets, you can input 'pi', '0.5*pi', 'any_integer*pi', 'any_decimal*pi', any decimal, and any integer. For example, if you want to assign the value 12 to 'x', you should type ['12']. If you want to assign the value 0.5pi to 'x', you should type ['0.5*pi']. Please do not use fraction and "/" mark, please use decimal multiplication instead.</p> <p>**Generated_answer**: "Step 1": "Assign x=1 to Function #2 to isolate 'b' since the output equals b/x, making the output equal to 'b'. This helps us find the exact value of 'b', simplifying our calculations for Function #1.", "Step 2": "['1']"</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>	<p>Induction & Deduction Prompt</p> <p>GOAL: Same as left...</p> <p>Your task is to validate and modify your previous hypothesis, detailed here: Previous Hypothesis and plan.....</p> <p>Determine if your current hypothesis is still valid. If it is, describe the next steps you plan to take towards your goal. If it is not, revise your hypothesis to accurately reflect all observations, both recent and prior. Finally, provide a plan for your next steps. Your response should include both your current hypothesis and your planned actions.</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: You assign the value 1 to x of the function #1, and then the function outputs 6. (Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a'].)</p> <p>Action Space.....</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>
---	--

Figure 9: Prompt of Function Operator Puzzles, Interactive input and Induction.




Figure 10: An example of Function Operator Puzzles is provided where actions marked in green are interactive actions, while the rest are perceptual actions through which the agent reads and perceives necessary environmental information.

<p>Agents tend to repeat previous actions when exploration</p> <p>You are Kevin, you need to assign values to the functions displayed on the <Computer>, determine the value of 'a' and input it into the <Code secured door> to open it. You can test your assumption by entering values into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, these values will change.</p> <p>Kevin now decide to choose one of the actions provided to achieve his goal. Please think in the aspect of Kevin, and use the following information to select your action:</p> <p>Following is the 8 most recent things that Kevin've done:</p> <p>You entered Puzzle room. Currently there are following items in this room: <Computer>, <Code secured door></p> <p>Kevin checked <Code secured door>, and found the result: This <Code secured door> is the only exit from this room. To unlock it and leave, you must enter the correct code. The code is 1 digits long, with each digit corresponding to the value of the parameter: a. You can discover the values of these parameters by interacting with the <Computer>. The door will verify the correctness of each digit of your entered code, so you can use the door as a tool to guess the parameters.</p> <p>However, if you fail 4 times, the parameter values will change.</p> <p>Kevin checked <Computer>, and found the result: This <Computer> consists of one univariate functions, with one variable, x. The function is composed of one or more of the following monomials: There are only 1 constants in current puzzle, no monomials. All functions share a set of constant parameters, which are integers range from 1 to 9 (inclusive) and are listed here: [a]. Each parameter is unique and can appear multiple times in different function either a coefficient or an additive constant(eg, Function #x could have term b*x, and Function #y could have a constant term b*x + b, and Function #z could have a term b*sin(x)). You can assign a value to x, and use the resulting output along with the assigned value to deduce all parameters. And the following are the information of all the functions you need to solve: Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): [a].</p> <p>You assign the value 1 to x of the function #1, and then the function outputs 6. (Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): [a].)</p> <p>You assign the value 1 to x of the function #1, and then the function outputs 6. (Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): [a].)</p> <p>You assign the value 1 to x of the function #1, and then the function outputs 6. (Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): [a].)</p> <p>You assign the value 2 to x of the function #1, and then the function outputs 6. (Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): [a].)</p> <p>You assign the value 0 to x of the function #1, and then the function outputs 6. (Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): [a].)</p> <p>What is the most suitable next action for Kevin based on above given information? Below are the available actions:</p> <p>1th action: Input code to the Code secured door and try opening it</p> <p>2th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #1 and see the output. Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): [a].</p> <p>**Generated_answer**:</p> <p>After reviewing the actions, I choose the 2th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #1 and see the output. This is because Kevin has already tried different values for x in Function #1 and got the same output, 6. But Kevin still does not know the value of 'a'. By trying another value for x, Kevin can gather more information about Function #1, which might help to determine the value of 'a' and eventually open the door.</p> <p>[2]</p>	<p>Reduced Action Repetition with IDEA Implementation</p> <p>You are Kevin, you need to assign values to the functions displayed on the <Computer>, determine the value of 'a' and input it into the <Code secured door> to open it. You can test your assumption by entering values into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, these values will change.</p> <p>Kevin now decide to choose one of the actions provided to achieve his goal. Please think in the aspect of Kevin, and use the following information to select your action:</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously:</p> <p>..... Same as the left</p> <p>Following is the 2 most recent things that Kevin've done under your current assumption:</p> <p>You just updated your plan and your assumption following is your new assumption and plan: It appears that function #1 is a simple constant function with 'a' as the only parameter. I assume $f(x) = a$ for any x. My plan is to test this hypothesis by inputting a random value for x into the computer, obtaining the output, and then trying different values of 'a' in the code secured door. If my assumption is correct, one of the values should unlock the door. I'll start by inputting $x = 1$ into the computer and obtaining the output. Then, I'll try 'a' = 1, 2, ..., 9 in the code secured door to verify my hypothesis.</p> <p>You assign the value 1 to x of the function #1, and then the function outputs 6. (Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): [a].)</p> <p>What is the most suitable next action for Kevin based on above given information? Below are the available actions:</p> <p>1th action: Input code to the Code secured door and try opening it</p> <p>2th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #1 and see the output. Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): [a].</p> <p>3th action: Modify previous assumption and make a new plan: (Take this action when your current observations contradict your previous assumptions or your current plan is fulfilled.)</p> <p>**Generated_answer**:</p> <p>Kevin has already assumed function #1 is a simple constant function with 'a' as the only parameter and output is also available. The most necessary thing now is to test that assumption.</p> <p>Now, it's clear that $f(x) = a$, and output is 6 for $x=1$. Therefore, we can conclude that 'a' is 6.</p> <p>[1]</p>
---	---

Figure 11: In the provided example, despite extensive exploration, the agent continues to assign multiple values to the function. In contrast, the IDEA agent hypothesizes that the function is simple, possessing only a single constant parameter. Consequently, this agent efficiently solves the puzzle by assigning just one value to the function.

<p>Action Select Prompt: In this puzzle, set in an art gallery, Kevin must uncover the password for the <Code Secured Door> by discovering the relationships between the password and the paintings. And finally input the password into the <Code Secured door>. Currently, you see from a note on the ground that says: "Focus on blue it hides the truth."You can test your assumption by entering the password into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, the password and hint will change. Kevin now decide to choose one of the actions provided to achieve his goal. Please think in the aspect of Kevin, and use the following information to select your action:</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: History observations.....</p> <p>After previous exploration, you have the following assumption and plan: Assumption and plan.....</p> <p>Following is the 5 most recent things that Kevin've done under your current assumption: Most recent explorations guided by latest assumption and plan.....</p> <p>What is the most suitable next action for Kevin based on above given information? Below are the available actions:</p> <p>1th action: Input code to the Code Secured Door and try opening it 2th action: Try opening the Code Secured Door with brute force 3th action: Modify previous assumption and make a new plan: (Take this action when your current observations contradict your previous assumptions or your current plan is fulfilled.)</p> <p>Above 3 provided actions are not yet performed by Kevin don't assume its outcome, please following the steps to generate your final answer. You MUST select one of the provided actions. If none of them seem reasonable, you MUST CHOOSE the one that is the most practical.</p> <p>**Step1:** Review all the provided actions. Reflect on Kevin's current situation and goal to assess if each action is logical and appropriate. **Step2:** Choose the most logical action. Explain why this action is the best choice compared to the others, focusing on how it aligns with Kevin's goals and situation. **Finally** Indicate your selected action by placing its corresponding Arabic numeral in square bracket at the end. For example, if the third action is chosen, write [3]. Please do not use square bracket anywhere else other than final answer.</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>	<p>Abduction Prompt In this puzzle, set in an art gallery, Kevin must uncover the password for the <Code Secured Door> by discovering the relationships between the password and the paintings. And finally input the password into the <Code Secured door>. Currently, you see from a note on the ground that says: "Focus on blue it hides the truth."You can test your assumption by entering the password into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, the password and hint will change. Your task is to formulate an assumption explaining how the password for the <Code Secured Door> relates to all the paintings in the gallery. Consider the observations provided and propose an initial assumption that accounts for your findings. Ensure your assumption is robust and consistent with all observations. Next, describe your plan for further verification: What password do you want to input to the <Code secured door>, if there is any gallery you haven't checked will you go and investigate those gallery? Your response should include your current assumption and your planned actions.</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: History observations.....</p> <p>After previous exploration, you have the following assumption and plan: Assumption and plan.....</p> <p>Following is the 5 most recent things that Kevin've done under your current assumption: Most recent explorations guided by latest assumption and plan.....</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>
--	--

Figure 12: Prompt of Escape Room puzzles, Action select and Abduction.

<p>Select Input Prompt GOAL:In this puzzle, set in an art gallery, Kevin must uncover the password for the <Code Secured Door> by discovering the relationships between the password and the paintings. And finally input the password into the <Code Secured door>. You can test your hypothesis by entering the password into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, the password and hint will change. Kevin's current action is: Input code to the Code Secured Door and try opening it And you have the following information to decide what is the correct password:</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: Kevin tried the following action: Input code to the Code Secured Door and try opening it, Kevin's decision is 421, but the password was incorrect.Kevin's first digit 4 is incorrect. Kevin's second digit 2 is correct. Kevin's third digit 1 is incorrect.</p> <p>After previous exploration, you have the following hypothesis and plan: Hypothesis and plan.....</p> <p>Following is the x most recent things that Kevin have done under your current hypothesis: Most recent explorations guided by latest hypothesis and plan.....</p> <p>Please follow the following steps to generate your final answer. **Step1** reflect the recent experience, what do you think is the password to <Code Secured Door> is? Please only use information provided to do inference and give your reason. **Final Step** Please generate your final answer in a pair of square brackets. eg, if you think the final password is '999' you should output ['999'], if you think the output is '090' please output ['090'].</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>	<p>Induction & Deduction Prompt GOAL: Same as left... Your task is to validate and modify your previous hypothesis, detailed here: Previous Hypothesis and plan.....</p> <p>Determine if your current hypothesis is still valid. If it is, describe the next steps you plan to take towards your goal. If it is not, revise your hypothesis to accurately reflect all observations, both recent and prior. Finally, provide a plan for your next steps. Your response should include both your current hypothesis and your planned actions.</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: Kevin tried the following action: Input code to the Code Secured Door and try opening it, Kevin's decision is 421, but the password was incorrect.Kevin's first digit 4 is incorrect. Kevin's second digit 2 is correct. Kevin's third digit 1 is incorrect.</p> <p>Action Space.....</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>
--	---

Figure 13: Prompt of Escape Room puzzles, Interactive Input and Induction.




Figure 14: An example of Escape Room is provided where actions marked in **green** are interactive actions. The remaining actions, which are perceptual, allow the agent to read and gather necessary environmental information. In the given example, although the agent successfully guesses the correct rule behind the observations, it fails to adhere to its plan and assumptions. When inputting the password, the attempts do not align with the planned strategy, and it also makes repeated attempts (repeated 101 times) that yield no useful results.

<p>Action Select Prompt: You are Kevin. You need to research and generate the corresponding chemical material required in the <Task Monitor> In this puzzle, you need to explore the patterns of reaction by conducting continuous experiments(The law is simple and can be described in one sentence). Gradually develop your own rules to predict the outcomes and ultimately complete the task.You know from an incomplete list of reaction equations that: $XY+Z = ZXY$. Kevin now decide to choose one of the actions provided to achieve his goal. Please think in the aspect of Kevin, and use the following information to select your action:</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: History observations.....</p> <p>After previous exploration, you have the following assumption and plan: Assumption and plan.....</p> <p>Following is the 5 most recent things that Kevin've done under your current assumption: Most recent explorations guided by latest assumption and plan.....</p> <p>You currently have the following items in your storage: <A>, , <C>(All synthesized material)</p> <p>What is the most suitable next action for Kevin based on above given information? Below are the available actions:</p> <p>1th action: Choose material you want to synthesize, and turn on the Reactor. 2th action: Modify previous assumption and make a new plan: (Take this action when your current observations contradict your previous assumptions or your current plan is fulfilled.)</p> <p>Above 2 provided actions are not yet performed by Kevin don't assume its outcome, please following the steps to generate your final answer. You MUST select one of the provided actions. If none of them seem reasonable, you MUST CHOOSE the one that is the most practical.</p> <p>**Step1:** Review all the provided actions. Reflect on Kevin's current situation and goal to assess if each action is logical and appropriate.</p> <p>**Step2:** Choose the most logical action. Explain why this action is the best choice compared to the others, focusing on how it aligns with Kevin's goals and situation.</p> <p>**Finally** Indicate your selected action by placing its corresponding Arabic numeral in square bracket at the end. For example, if the third action is chosen, write [3]. Please do not use square bracket anywhere else other than final answer.</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>	<p>Abduction Prompt You are Kevin. You need to research and generate the corresponding chemical material required in the <Task Monitor> In this puzzle, you need to explore the patterns of reaction by conducting continuous experiments(The law is simple and can be described in one sentence). Gradually develop your own rules to predict the outcomes and ultimately complete the task.You know from an incomplete list of reaction equations that: $XY+Z = ZXY$. Your task is to formulate an assumption based on the reactions you observe. Please use the given observations to propose an initial rule that explains all reactions observed. Ensure your assumption is robust and consistent with these reactions. Next, describe your plan for further verification: which two materials from the following list will you use to test your assumption? Available materials: You currently have the following items in your storage: <A>, , <C>. Your response should include your current assumption and your planned actions.</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: History observations.....</p> <p>After previous exploration, you have the following assumption and plan: Assumption and plan.....</p> <p>Following is the 5 most recent things that Kevin've done under your current assumption: Most recent explorations guided by latest assumption and plan.....</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>
---	--

Figure 15: Prompt of Reactor Puzzles, Action select and Abduction

<p>Select Input Prompt GOAL: You are Kevin. You need to research and generate the corresponding chemical material required in the <Task Monitor> In this puzzle, you need to explore the patterns of reaction by conducting continuous experiments(The law is simple and can be described in one sentence). Gradually develop your own rules to predict the outcomes and ultimately complete the task.You know from an incomplete list of reaction equations that: $XY+Z = ZXY$. Kevin's current action is: Choose material you want to synthesize, and turn on the Reactor. And you have the following information to decide what material you put into the reactor:</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: By turning on the reactor B and C turned into CB after the reaction. And you put the products into your storage for later use.</p> <p>After previous exploration, you have the following hypothesis and plan: Hypothesis and plan.....</p> <p>Following is the x most recent things that Kevin have done under your current hypothesis: Most recent explorations guided by latest hypothesis and plan.....</p> <p>Please follow the steps below to decide which materials you should put into the reactor.</p> <p>**Step 1:**Given all the material in the storage you can use and synthetics you require to create:You currently have the following items in your storage: Decide which (one or two) material you want to put into the reactor this time you can select any material from your storage, you need to clear specify the reaction you excepted and state the formula.</p> <p>**Step 2:** Please copy the name of the selected material and paste the name into a pair of parentheses, and separate two different material with comma. The name should be exactly as provided, enclosed in parentheses, for example, if you want to put a unit of X and a unit of Y into the reactor and make an reaction, please answer (X, Y), if you want to see what comes out the reactor with material <XY> and <Z> you should answer(XY, Z). You can only choose the material that listed in your storage. Please do not forget the parentheses!</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>	<p>Induction & Deduction Prompt GOAL: Same as left... Your task is to validate and modify your previous hypothesis, detailed here: Previous Hypothesis and plan.....</p> <p>Determine if your current hypothesis is still valid. If it is, describe the next steps you plan to take towards your goal. If it is not, revise your hypothesis to accurately reflect all observations, both recent and prior. Finally, provide a plan for your next steps. Your response should include both your current hypothesis and your planned actions.</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: By turning on the reactor B and C turned into CB after the reaction. And you put the products into your storage for later use.</p> <p>Agent generated answer:</p>
--	---

Figure 16: Prompt of Reactor puzzles, Interactive input and Induction.




Figure 17: An example of Reactor Puzzles is provided where actions marked in green are interactive actions. The remaining actions are perceptual, allowing the agent to read and gather necessary environmental information. In the given example, the agent eventually realizes the flaws in its initial hypothesis and generates a correct one. However, the agent reaches the step limit before it can implement the solution, failing.

```

*****
Dear {Nick_Name}: Thank you for participating in this research project. In this research project, you are going to solve 3 puzzles. For each puzzle, you will need to select an action at each step and, based on the feedback from that action, guess the puzzle's mechanism before ultimately solving it. If your observations do not match your assumptions about the puzzle's mechanism, or if you have a new modified assumption, you will need to write a short description of your current assumption and your next plan: whether to conduct more experiments to verify your assumption or to use it to solve the puzzle. Please treat these puzzles as games, taking notes and writing down your thoughts as necessary. There is no need to force yourself to solve them. Just enjoy discovering the mechanisms of these puzzles, as this process is what we aim to evaluate. Essentially, you do not need to worry about the step count; it simply indicates when the puzzle will end, so just let it go.

Your storage information will show in green color.(Only the reactor puzzle will need storage information.)
Your most recent action will show in red.
Your previous information will show in blue color(Every time you make a new assumption your most recent actions will move to previous actions)
Your plan and assumption will show in magenta
The question you need to answer will show in cyan

*****
*****Current puzzle progress: 0/15*****
*****
You are {Nick_Name}, you need to assign values to the functions displayed on the <Computer>, determine the value of 'a' and input it into the <Code secured door> to open it. You can test your assumption by entering values into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, these values will change. To begin with your task is to determine the exact forms of all functions and the values of all parameters involved. First, focus on your observations to identify how many terms are in each function, the parameters within each, and any possible sub-functions involved in this puzzle. Then, hypothesize the actual forms of each function, including the values of constants and coefficients. Next, describe your plan for further verification, what value would you want to assign to which function, or do you want to input the password to the <Code secured door> to test your current result? Your response should include your current hypothesis and your planned actions. You can choose from the following actions:
1th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #1 and see the output. Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a'].
2th action: Input code to the Code secured door and try opening it

Here are 3 most recent activities that you have done (listed in chronological order from oldest to most recent):
You entered Puzzle room. Currently there are following items in this room: <Computer>,<Code secured door>
{Nick_Name} checked <Computer>, and found the result: This <Computer> consists of one univariate function, with one variable, x. The function is composed of one or more of the following monomials: Only "x^2" (square of x) appears in these functions.. All functions share a set of constant parameters, which are integers ranging from 1 to 9 (inclusive) and are listed here: {a}. Each parameter is unique and can appear multiple times in different functions either a coefficient or an additive constant(eg, Function #x could have term b*x, and Function #y could have a constant term b*x + b, and Function #z could have a term bsin(x)). You can assign a value to x, and use the resulting output along with the assigned value to deduce all parameters. And the following are the information of all the functions you need to solve:
Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a'].

{Nick_Name} checked <Code secured door>, and found the result: This <Code secured door> is the only exit from this room. To unlock it and leave, you must enter the correct code. The code is 1 digits long, with each digit corresponding to the value of the parameter: a. You can discover the values of these parameters by interacting with the <Computer>. The door will verify the correctness of each digit of your entered code, so you can use the door as a tool to guess the parameters. However, if you fail 4 times, the parameter values will change.

Please finish your answer within 512 words make it as concise as possible.
Human participants type information here with keyboard...

```

Figure 18: An example of what human participants see during the experiment. Human participants view the same prompt as the LLM agents, except for additional overview information clarifying their responsibilities in the project. The name "{Nick_name}" is a pseudonym used to differentiate participants; we require participants to use only fictitious nicknames rather than their real names to ensure that the data collected is de-identified.

A.6.1 Hallucination examples

<p>Agent's new observation contradict to current hypothesis</p> <p>You are Kevin. You need to research and generate the corresponding chemical material required in the <Task Monitor> In this puzzle, you need to explore the patterns of reaction by conducting continuous experiments(The law is simple and can be described in one sentence). Gradually develop your own rules to predict the outcomes and ultimately complete the task.You know from an incomplete list of reaction equations that: $XY+Z = ZXY$.</p> <p>Kevin now decide to choose one of the actions provided to achieve his goal. Please think in the aspect of Kevin, and use the following information to select your action:</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: You entered Chemical laboratory. Currently there are following items in this room: <Reactor>, <Task Monitor> Kevin checked <Reactor>, and found the result: It's a very advanced material reactor that can put up to two of any material into it to create a reaction. The reactor provided unlimited one-letter raw material and other materials you've already synthesized. Kevin checked <Task Monitor>, and found the result: It's a quest display that shows you the current quest you need to complete, which currently reads, "Please synthesise a unit of <CADEA> materials and put it into the slot under the monitor." After previous exploration, you have the following hypothesis and plan: "hypothesis": "When combining two materials, the second material is added at the beginning of the first material's sequence.", "plan": "To verify this hypothesis, I will put material <A> first and then material <C> into the reactor.", please act based on your hypothesis and plan.</p> <p>Following is the 1 most recent things that Kevin have done under your current hypothesis: By turning on the reactor A and C turned into CA after the reaction. And you put the products into your storage for later use. You currently have the following items in your storage: <A>, , <C>, <D>, <E>, <CA></p> <p>What is the most suitable next action for Kevin based on above given information? Below are the available actions: 1th action: Choose material you want to synthesize, and turn on the Reactor. 2th action: Modify previous hypothesis and make a new plan: (Take this action when your current observations contradict your previous hypothesis or your current plan is fulfilled.) Above 2 provided actions are not yet performed by Kevin don't assume its outcome, please following the steps to generate your final answer. You MUST select one of the provided actions. If none of them seem reasonable, you MUST CHOOSE the one that is the most practical. **Step1** Review all the provided actions. Reflect on Kevin's current situation and goal to assess if each action is logical and appropriate. **Step2** Choose the most logical action. Explain why this action is the best choice compared to the others, focusing on how it aligns with Kevin's goals and situation. **Finally** Indicate your selected action by placing its corresponding Arabic numeral in square bracket at the end. For example, if the third action is chosen, write [3]. Please do not use square bracket anywhere else other than final answer.</p>	<p>Agent fail to identify the contradiction</p> <p>**Generated_answer**</p> <p>"Step1": "Reviewing the two provided actions, the first action involves synthesizing a new material with the Reactor, while the second action involves modifying the hypothesis or plan. Kevin's observation so far aligns with his hypothesis.", "Step2": "Given that the hypothesis has been verified (CA from A and C), Kevin should continue with the synthesis to achieve the goal of CADEA. Utilizing available materials and continuing with described pattern CA lead to CA -> CAA and onwards towards CADEA.", "Selected_Action": "[1]"</p> <p>The agent has already verified its hypothesis by synthesizing CA from A + C; however, in the next step, the agent tends to synthesize CAA, which is not part of the final target product. Despite knowing the rule, the agent fails to leverage this rule effectively towards achieving the final goal.</p>
--	---

Figure 19: Example of GPT-4o agent failing to leverage rule towards the result.

<p>Agent's new observation contradict to current hypothesis</p> <p>You are Kevin. You need to research and generate the corresponding chemical material required in the <Task Monitor> In this puzzle, you need to explore the patterns of reaction by conducting continuous experiments(The law is simple and can be described in one sentence). Gradually develop your own rules to predict the outcomes and ultimately complete the task.You know from an incomplete list of reaction equations that: $XY+Z = XZY$.</p> <p>Your task is to validate and modify your previous hypothesis, detailed here: "current_hypothesis": "The reaction pattern follows the format $AB+C = ACB$, where the first reactant's elements are rearranged with the second reactant at the end.", "plan_for_verification": "To verify the rule, I will test the reaction between materials A and C to check if the outcome aligns with the expected pattern of ACB.", using your new observations. Review your most recent observation: Following is the 6 most recent things that Kevin have done Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: You entered Chemical laboratory. Currently there are following items in this room: <Reactor>,<Task Monitor> Kevin checked <Task Monitor>, and found the result: It's a quest display that shows you the current quest you need to complete, which currently reads, "Please synthesise a unit of <ACB> materials and put it into the slot under the monitor." Kevin checked <Reactor>, and found the result: It's a very advanced material reactor that can put up to two of any material into it to create a reaction. The reactor provided unlimited one-letter raw material and other materials you've already synthesized. By turning on the reactor A and C turned into AC after the reaction. And you put the products into your storage for later use. By turning on the reactor AC and B turned into ABC after the reaction. And you put the products into your storage for later use. By turning on the reactor AC and B turned into ABC after the reaction. And you put the products into your storage for later use. By turning on the reactor AC and B turned into ABC after the reaction. And you put the products into your storage for later use. By turning on the reactor ABC and A turned into ABAC after the reaction. And you put the products into your storage for later use.</p> <p>Determine if your current hypothesis is still valid. If it is, describe the next steps you plan to take towards your goal. If it is not, revise your hypothesis to accurately reflect all observations, both recent and prior. Finally, provide a plan for your next steps. Your response should include both your current hypothesis and your planned actions.</p>	<p>Agent fail to identify the contradiction</p> <p>**Generated_answer**:</p> <p>"current_hypothesis": "The reaction pattern allows combining the elements of the first reactant with the second reactant directly without rearrangement.", "plan_for_verification": "Test the reaction by combining B and XY to check if it results in BXY.</p> <p>Agent already inferred from the initial observations that $AB+C = ACB$ and listed that in the hypothesis. However, it failed to leverage the hypothesis effectively, and the refined hypothesis was nonsensical given the observations, leading the hypothesis further away from the truth.</p>
--	--

Figure 20: Example of hallucination by GPT-4o when refining previous hypothesis.

<p>Agent's new observation contradict to current hypothesis</p> <p>You are Kevin. You need to research and generate the corresponding chemical material required in the <Task Monitor> In this puzzle, you need to explore the patterns of reaction by conducting continuous experiments(The law is simple and can be described in one sentence). Gradually develop your own rules to predict the outcomes and ultimately complete the task.You know from an incomplete list of reaction equations that: $XY+Z=ZXY$.</p> <p>Kevin now decide to choose one of the actions provided to achieve his goal. Please think in the aspect of Kevin, and use the following information to select your action:</p> <p>Following is the actions that Kevin did previously: You entered Chemical laboratory. Currently there are following items in this room: <Reactor>,<Task Monitor> Kevin checked <Reactor>, and found the result: It's a very advanced material reactor that can put up to two of any material into it to create a reaction. The reactor provided unlimited one-letter raw material and other materials you've already synthesized. Kevin checked <Task Monitor>, and found the result: It's a quest display that shows you the current quest you need to complete, which currently reads, "Please synthesise a unit of <CCADD> materials and put it into the slot under the monitor."</p> <p>After previous exploration, you have the following hypothesis and plan: Initial Hypothesis: Materials combine in a consistent, alphabetical order, resulting in the longest possible string of unique letters. To test this hypothesis, I will combine <A> and to see if AB is produced, and then combine <C> and <D> to see if CD is produced. If my hypothesis holds, these reactions will occur, and I can further refine my rule., please act based on your hypothesis and plan.</p> <p>Following is the 1 most recent things that Kevin have done under your current hypothesis: By turning on the reactor A and B turned into BA after the reaction. And you put the products into your storage for later use.</p> <p>What is the most suitable next action for Kevin based on above given information? Below are the available actions: 1th action: Choose material you want to synthesize, and turn on the Reactor. 2th action: Modify previous hypothesis and make a new plan: (Take this action when your current observations contradict your previous hypothesis or your current plan is fulfilled.)</p> <p>Above 2 provided actions are not yet performed by Kevin don't assume its outcome, please following the steps to generate your final answer. You MUST select one of the provided actions. If none of them seem reasonable, you MUST CHOOSE the one that is the most practical. **Step1:** Review all the provided actions. Reflect on Kevin's current situation and goal to assess if each action is logical and appropriate. **Step2:** Choose the most logical action. Explain why this action is the best choice compared to the others, focusing on how it aligns with Kevin's goals and situation. **Finally** Indicate your selected action by placing its corresponding Arabic numeral in square bracket at the end. For example, if the third action is chosen, write [3]. Please do not use square bracket anywhere else other than final answer.</p>	<p>Agent fail to identify the contradiction</p> <p>**Generated_answer**:</p> <p>Upon reviewing the provided actions, I find that action 1 is the most logical choice. Since Kevin's goal is to synthesize CCADD and he has already tested his initial hypothesis by combining A and B, the next step would be to continue testing his hypothesis by combining C and D. This aligns with his plan to refine his rule and ultimately achieve his goal. Kevin can now proceed to combine C and D in the reactor. [1]</p> <p>The agent hypothesized that the materials combine in a consistent, alphabetical order; however, its most recent experiment shows the result $A+B=BA$, which contradicts this hypothesis. Noticing the contradiction, the agent should choose the second action to refine its hypothesis rather than continue to synthesize the materials under the incorrect hypothesis.</p>
---	--

Figure 21: Example of Llama-3 70B agent failing to detect a contradiction in experimental results.

<p>Context and prompt for agent inputting password in Room Escape puzzle</p> <p>Based on the following recent experience of Kevin:</p> <p>In this puzzle, set in an art gallery, Kevin must uncover the password for the <Code Secured Door> by discovering the relationships between the password and the paintings. And finally input the password into the <Code Secured door>.</p> <p>Currently, you see from a note on the ground that says: "Focus on blue it hides the truth."You can test your assumption by entering the password into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, the password and hint will change.</p> <p>Kevin's current action is: Input code to the Code Secured Door and try opening it And you have the following information to decide what is the correct password:</p> <p>Following is the 13 most recent things that Kevin've done: You entered Corridor. Currently there are following items in this room: <Code Secured Door>,<Flower Oil Paint>,<Lemon Grove Oil Paint>,<Coastal Serenity Oil Paint>,<Sapphire Night Oil Paint>,<Jungle Mist Acrylic Paint>,<Winter Chill Acrylic Paint>,<Arctic Silence Watercolor Paint> Kevin checked <Code Secured Door>, and found the result: This coded door is the only exit from this room, and you can only leave this room by entering the correct code. The password consists of three digits. Kevin checked <Coastal Serenity Oil Paint>, and found the result: It's an oil painting of a blue coast. Kevin checked <Arctic Silence Watercolor Paint>, and found the result: It's a watercolor painting of blue arctic. Kevin checked <Lemon Grove Oil Paint>, and found the result: It's an oil painting of a yellow lemon grove. Kevin checked <Flower Oil Paint>, and found the result: It's an oil painting of a yellow flower. Kevin checked <Sapphire Night Oil Paint>, and found the result: It's an oil painting of a blue night sky. Kevin checked <Jungle Mist Acrylic Paint>, and found the result: It's an acrylic painting of green jungle. Kevin checked <Winter Chill Acrylic Paint>, and found the result: It's an acrylic painting of blue winter scene. Kevin tried the following action: Input code to the Code Secured Door and try opening it, Kevin's decision is 123, but the password was incorrect.Kevin's first digit 1 is incorrect. Kevin's second digit 2 is incorrect. Kevin's third digit 3 is incorrect. You can still try 2 times before the password change! Kevin tried the following action: Input code to the Code Secured Door and try opening it, Kevin's decision is 258, but the password was incorrect.Kevin's first digit 2 is correct. Kevin's second digit 5 is incorrect. Kevin's third digit 8 is incorrect. You can still try 1 times before the password change!</p> <p>Please follow the following steps to generate your final answer. **Step1** reflect the recent experience, what do you think is the password to <Code Secured Door> is? Please only use information provided to do inference and give your reason. **Final Step** Please generate your final answer in a pair of square brackets. eg, if you think the final pass word is '123' you should output [123], if you think the output is '324' please output [324].</p>	<p>Agent's answer</p> <p>Based on the recent experience, I think the password to <Code Secured Door> is 289. My reason is that the hint "Focus on blue it hides the truth" suggests that the correct password is related to the blue-colored paintings. The correct first digit 2 is likely from the blue <Coastal Serenity Oil Paint> or <Winter Chill Acrylic Paint>. The correct second digit 8 is likely from the blue <Sapphire Night Oil Paint>. The correct third digit 9 is likely from the blue <Arctic Silence Watercolor Paint>.</p> <p>[289]</p>
--	---

Figure 22: Example of hallucination by Llama-3 70B when generating a password.

A.7 Puzzle Examples

Function Operator Puzzle No. 2 (3 functions, 2 unknown parameters, 2 elementary functions)

Goal: You are Kevin. You need to assign values to the functions displayed on the <Computer>, determine the values of 'a' and 'b'. Then, input these values into the <Code secured door> in alphabetical order to open it. You can test your hypothesis by entering values into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, these values will change.

Initial Memories:

1, Kevin checked <Code secured door>, and found the result: This <Code secured door> is the only exit from this room. To unlock it and leave, you must enter the correct code. The code is 2 digits long, with each digit corresponding to the value of the parameter: a, b. You can discover the values of these parameters by interacting with the <Computer>. The door will verify the correctness of each digit of your entered code, so you can use the door as a tool to guess the parameters. However, if you fail 4 times, the parameter values will change.

2, Kevin checked <Computer>, and found the result: This <Computer> consists of 2 different univariate functions, each with one variable, x. Each function is composed of one or more of the following monomials: "x^2" (square of x) and 'sin(x)'. All functions share a set of constant parameters, which are integers range from 1 to 9 (inclusive) and are listed here: {a, b}. Each parameter is unique and can appear multiple times in different function either a coefficient or an additive constant(eg, Function #x could have term b*x, and Function #y could have a constant term b*x + b, and Function #z could have a term b*sin(x)). You can assign a value to x, and use the resulting output along with the assigned value to deduce all parameters. And the following are the information of all the functions you need to solve:

Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a'].

Function #2 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['b'].

Provided Interactive actions:

1th action: Input code to the Code secured door and try opening it

2th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #1 and see the output. Function #1 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a'].

3th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #2 and see the output. Function #2 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['b'].

Figure 23: Function operator puzzle No. 2.

Function Operator Puzzle No. 17 (3 functions, 3 unknown parameters, 4 elementary functions)

Goal: You are Kevin. You need to assign values to the functions displayed on the <Computer>, determine the values of 'a', 'b', 'c'. Then, input these values into the <Code secured door> in alphabetical order to open it. You can test your hypothesis by entering values into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, these values will change.

Initial Memories:

1, Kevin checked <Code secured door>, and found the result: This <Code secured door> is the only exit from this room. To unlock it and leave, you must enter the correct code. The code is 3 digits long, with each digit corresponding to the value of the parameter: a, b, c. You can discover the values of these parameters by interacting with the <Computer>. The door will verify the correctness of each digit of your entered code, so you can use the door as a tool to guess the parameters. However, if you fail 4 times, the parameter values will change.

2, Kevin checked <Computer>, and found the result: This <Computer> consists of 3 different univariate functions, each with one variable, x. Each function is composed of one or more of the following monomials: '|x|' (absolute value of x), 'x', 'x^2' (square of x) and 'sin(x)'. All functions share a set of constant parameters, which are integers range from 1 to 9 (inclusive) and are listed here: {a, b, c}. Each parameter is unique and can appear multiple times in different function either a coefficient or an additive constant(eg, Function #x could have term b*x, and Function #y could have a constant term b*x + b, and Function #z could have a term b*sin(x)). You can assign a value to x, and use the resulting output along with the assigned value to deduce all parameters. And the following are the information of all the functions you need to solve:

Function #1 have 2 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a', 'b'].

Function #2 have 2 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a', 'c'].

Function #3 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['c'].

Provided Interactive actions:

1th action: Input code to the Code secured door and try opening it

2th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #2 and see the output. Function #2 have 2 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a', 'c'].

3th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #1 and see the output. Function #1 have 2 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['a', 'b'].

4th action: Assign a value to the variable of Function #3 and see the output. Function #3 have 1 terms and the following parameters(Could be constant or coefficients): ['c'].

Figure 24: Function operator puzzle No. 17.

Escape Room Puzzle No. 3 (6 Paintings, All Paintings visible)

Goal: In this puzzle, set in an art gallery, Kevin must uncover the password for the <Code Secured Door> by discovering the relationships between the password and the paintings. And finally input the password into the <Code Secured door>. You can test your hypothesis by entering the password into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, the password and hint will change.

Initial Memories:

- 1, You entered Corridor. Currently there are following items in this room: <Code Secured Door>,<Emerald Tide Oil Paint>,<Sapphire Night Oil Paint>,<Bluebell Woods Acrylic Paint>,<Morning Glory Acrylic Paint>,<Arctic Silence Watercolor Paint>,<River Reflections Watercolor Paint>
- 2, Kevin checked <Code Secured Door>, and found the result: This coded door is the only exit from this room, and you can only leave this room by entering the correct code. The password consists of three digits.
- 3, Kevin checked <Bluebell Woods Acrylic Paint>, and found the result: It's an acrylic painting of blue woods.
- 4, Kevin checked <Arctic Silence Watercolor Paint>, and found the result: It's an watercolor painting of blue arctic.
- 5, Kevin checked <River Reflections Watercolor Paint>, and found the result: It's an watercolor painting of blue river.
- 6, Kevin checked <Morning Glory Acrylic Paint>, and found the result: It's an acrylic painting of yellow morning.
- 7, Kevin checked <Sapphire Night Oil Paint>, and found the result: It's an oil painting of a blue night sky.
- 8, Kevin checked <Emerald Tide Oil Paint>, and found the result: It's an oil painting of a green tide.
- 9, Currently, you see from a note on the ground that says: "Focus on blue it hides the truth."

Provided Interactive actions:

1th action: Input code to the Code Secured Door and try opening it

2th action: Try opening the Code Secured Door with brute force

Figure 25: Escape room puzzle No. 3

Escape Room Puzzle No. 13 (6 Paintings, Need to actively explore the gallery to reveal all paintings)

Goal: In this puzzle, set in an art gallery, Kevin must uncover the password for the <Code Secured Door> by discovering the relationships between the password and the paintings. And finally input the password into the <Code Secured door>. You can test your hypothesis by entering the password into the door. However, be aware that if you exceed the attempt limit, the password and hint will change.

Initial Memories:

- 1, You entered Oil Painting Gallery. Currently there are following items in this room: <Emerald Tide Oil Paint>,<Sapphire Night Oil Paint>,<Code Secured Door>,<Watercolour Gallery Entrance>,<Acrylic Painting Gallery Entrance>
- 2, Kevin checked <Code Secured Door>, and found the result: This coded door is the only exit from this room, and you can only leave this room by entering the correct code. The password consists of three digits.
- 3, Kevin checked <Watercolour Gallery Entrance>, and found the result: It's an automatic door with a poster next to it that says "Watercolour Gallery".
- 4, Kevin checked <Acrylic Painting Gallery Entrance>, and found the result: It's an automatic door with a poster next to it that says "Acrylic Painting Gallery."
- 5, Kevin checked <Sapphire Night Oil Paint>, and found the result: It's an oil painting of a blue night sky.
- 6, Kevin checked <Emerald Tide Oil Paint>, and found the result: It's an oil painting of a green tide.
- 7, Currently, you see from a note on the ground that says: "Focus on blue it hides the truth."

Provided Interactive actions:

1th action: Input code to the Code Secured Door and try opening it

2th action: Try opening the Code Secured Door with brute force

3th action: Pass through the Watercolour Gallery Entrance and reaches the Watercolour Gallery.

4th action: Pass through the Acrylic Painting Gallery Entrance and reaches the Acrylic Painting Gallery.

Figure 26: Escape room puzzle No. 13

<p>Reactor Puzzle No. 8 (Reverse concatenation rule, Target material: "CADEA")</p> <p>Goal: You are Kevin. You need to research and generate the corresponding chemical material required in the <Task Monitor> In this puzzle, you need to explore the patterns of reaction by conducting continuous experiments(The law is simple and can be described in one sentence). Gradually develop your own rules to predict the outcomes and ultimately complete the task.</p> <p>Initial Memories:</p> <p>1, Kevin checked <Reactor>, and found the result: It's a very advanced material reactor that can put up to two of any material into it to create a reaction. The reactor provided unlimited one-letter raw material and other materials you've already synthesized.</p> <p>2, Kevin checked <Task Monitor>, and found the result: It's a quest display that shows you the current quest you need to complete, which currently reads, "Please synthesise a unit of <CADEA> materials and put it into the slot under the monitor."</p> <p>3, You currently have the following items in your storage: <A>, , <C>, <D>, <E>.</p> <p>4, You know from an incomplete list of reaction equations that: $XY+Z = ZXY$.</p> <p>Provided Interactive actions:</p> <p>1th action: Choose material you want to synthesize, and turn on the Reactor.</p>
<p>Reactor Puzzle No. 14 (Middle insertion rule, Target material: "ABCDEF")</p> <p>Goal: You are Kevin. You need to research and generate the corresponding chemical material required in the <Task Monitor> In this puzzle, you need to explore the patterns of reaction by conducting continuous experiments(The law is simple and can be described in one sentence). Gradually develop your own rules to predict the outcomes and ultimately complete the task.</p> <p>Initial Memories:</p> <p>1, Kevin checked <Reactor>, and found the result: It's a very advanced material reactor that can put up to two of any material into it to create a reaction. The reactor provided unlimited one-letter raw material and other materials you've already synthesized.</p> <p>2, Kevin checked <Task Monitor>, and found the result: It's a quest display that shows you the current quest you need to complete, which currently reads, "Please synthesise a unit of <ABCDEF> materials and put it into the slot under the monitor."</p> <p>3, You currently have the following items in your storage: <A>, , <C>, <D>, <E>, <F></p> <p>4, You know from an incomplete list of reaction equations that: $XY+Z = XZY$.</p> <p>Provided Interactive actions:</p> <p>1th action: Choose material you want to synthesize, and turn on the Reactor.</p>

Figure 27: Reactor puzzle No. 8 and No. 14