Benchmarking and Enhancing Large Language Models for Biological Pathway Reasoning

Abstract

Large language models (LLMs) have demonstrated remarkable performance across various domains of biology, but their ability to reason about biological pathways remains underexplored. This includes reasoning about how perturbations in biological systems lead to various downstream effects through complex intermediate processes. Such reasoning is crucial for explaining and predicting biological phenomena, as well as for formulating hypotheses and designing experiments.

In this study, we investigate whether LLMs can effectively understand and reason about biological pathways by introducing BioMaze, a comprehensive benchmark focusing on reasoning about the effects and mechanisms of natural and synthetic interventions—such as mutations, infections, or treatments—on various downstream targets under different conditions through complex intermediate pathway processes. BioMaze spans multiple biological domains and is categorized along three reasoning dimensions, capturing various aspects of pathway reasoning.

We evaluate LLMs using the BioMaze benchmark with reasoning methods like Chain-of-Thought (CoT) and pathway graph-augmented approaches. Results show that while LLMs can understand mechanisms in natural organisms, they struggle with predicting phenomena after perturbations, highlighting their limitations in reasoning about biological pathways. To address these challenges, we propose PATHSEEKER, a novel LLM agent that interactively reasons through subgraph-based navigation within pathway graph. This approach enhances LLMs' reasoning in biological pathways by leveraging pathway graph augmentation, particularly in cases involving perturbations, potentially bridging the gap between LLMs' current capabilities and the complexities of biological systems.

1 Introduction

Large Language Models (LLMs) have recently shown impressive performance in science across various domains, including mathematics (Yu et al., 2023), chemistry (Liu et al., 2023b), biology (Hayes et al., 2024; Madani et al., 2020), and materials science (Zheng et al., 2023; Park et al., 2024). In the biological domain specifically, recent studies have demonstrated the potential of LLMs in tackling challenging tasks such as protein design (Valentini et al., 2023; Hosseini et al., 2024), drug discovery (M. Bran et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2023c), clinical trial analysis (Singhal et al., 2023; Jin et al., 2023), and experiment design (AI4Science & Quantum, 2023). Although LLMs are increasingly capable of addressing more complex, real-world problems within the biological sciences, their fundamental understanding, reasoning, and metacognitive abilities (Wei et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022; Kojima et al., 2022) toward these scenarios—specifically in comprehending and reasoning through the intricate, multi-step processes involved in biological systems—have yet to be thoroughly explored.

Biological systems are composed of complex networks called pathways, which function as interconnected units involving various components, such as enzymes, substrates, and signaling molecules. These components interact in a highly coordinated manner, enabling the integration of multiple signals and precise regulation of system responses. As a result, intervention in a single component of a pathway—such as mutations, inhibitions, or pathogen infections—can influence other components within the organism via intricate, multi-step intermediate processes.

Figure 1: Illustration of BioMaze task and reasoning method with or without additional biological pathway graph data guidance. The task of BioMaze focuses on reasoning about the effects and mechanisms of natural components or synthetic interventions on various downstream targets under different conditions through complex intermediate pathway processes.

A wide range of biological phenomena can be explained and predicted by understanding and reasoning about biological pathways. In biological research, this understanding is essential for formulating hypotheses, designing experiments, and predicting and interpreting results. For example, blocking muscarinic M3 receptors in taste cells triggers a sequence of events, including reduced calcium mobilization in Type II taste cells, a weakened role of CALMH1 in ATP release, and diminished taste-evoked responses in taste sensory fibers, as shown in Figure 1. These insights can be useful for toxicity analysis, designing experiment groups with induced taste suppression, and developing treatments for decreased sense of taste.

Given the complexity of biological systems and the importance of understanding pathway interactions, the application of LLMs to analyze and predict their behavior presents both opportunities and challenges. In this paper, we first introduce BioMaze, a benchmark that serves as a crucial starting point for assessing LLMs' ability to comprehend and reason about realistic biological pathway phenomena. BioMaze compiles biological pathway phenomena from literature and generating corresponding questions and answers. These questions span multiple biological domains, focusing on predicting the effects and mechanisms of natural and synthetic interventions on various targets under different conditions through complex intermediate processes. Targets may include individual components, component interactions, their roles in biological processes, or larger-scale functions.

We conducted extensive evaluations of LLMs using the BioMaze benchmark, incorporating reasoning methods such as Chain-of-Thought (CoT) and pathway graph-augmented approaches (Li et al., 2023a; Sun et al., 2023; He et al., 2024). The results show that while LLMs demonstrate an understanding of mechanisms within natural organisms, they struggle to predict phenomena and grasp mechanisms when perturbations are introduced into the system—such as during interventions or when organisms are in altered conditions. This reveals that LLMs' causal reasoning abilities for biological pathways are limited. To address these challenges, we then propose a novel approach, PATHSEEKER, an LLM agent that interactively reasons through subgraph-based navigation while exploring the pathway graph. This method enhances LLMs' performance in complex biological reasoning tasks by effectively leveraging pathway graph information as blueprints in reasoning, especially in the case of interventions. In summary, our contributions are as follows:

- We introduce BioMaze, a comprehensive benchmark designed to assess LLMs' ability to understand and reason about biological pathways. BioMaze focuses on evaluating the models' capacity to predict the effects and elucidate the mechanisms of both natural and synthetic interventions—such as mutations and infections—on various downstream targets under diverse conditions through complex intermediate pathway processes. The benchmark spans multiple biological domains and is structured along three dimensions: interventions, conditions, and target types.

- We conduct extensive evaluations of LLMs using BioMaze, incorporating advanced reasoning methods such as CoT and pathway graph-augmented approaches. Our results reveal that while LLMs demonstrate proficiency in understanding mechanisms within natural organisms, they encounter significant challenges when predicting phenomena and comprehending mechanisms in perturbed systems. These findings highlight critical limitations in LLMs' reasoning capabilities within the domain of biological pathways.

- We propose PATHSEEKER, a novel LLM agent approach that employs interactive, subgraph-based exploration to navigate pathway databases during reasoning. This method enhances LLMs' reasoning in biological pathways by leveraging pathway graphs as structured blueprints, especially for the case with interventions, potentially bridging the gap between LLMs' current capabilities and the complexities of biological systems.

2 RELATED WORK

Biological Scientific Question Answering Previous studies have explored the potential of language models in the biological scientific domain (Lu et al., 2022; Vilares & Gómez-Rodríguez, 2019; Jin et al., 2021; Pal et al., 2022). MEDHOP (Welbl et al., 2018) and PubMedQA (Jin et al., 2019) investigated biological scientific QA in the form of reading comprehension. BioASQ-QA (Krithara et al., 2023) proposed a realistic question-answering benchmark for the information needs of biomedical experts. A few studies have examined language models' ability to understand biological pathways. Chatpathway (Li et al., 2023b), Park et al. (2023), and Azam et al. (2024) specifically investigated language models' capacity for completing biological pathways. Different from previous tasks, this work introduces a novel task for practical biological pathway phenomenon reasoning, addressing the reasoning capacity of LLMs. See Appendix A.12 for a more detailed comparison.

Graph-augmented Language Model Several studies have explored augmenting LLMs with graph data. In particular, some works enhance LLMs by encoding graph data as text (Ye et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2024; Fatemi et al., 2023), or tuning LLMs specifically for graph-based tasks (Liu et al., 2023a; Tang et al., 2024; He et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2023; He & Hooi, 2024). By augmenting LLMs with graph data, they have been applied to knowledge-based QA (Sun et al., 2023; He et al., 2024; Li et al., 2023a; Jin et al., 2024; Cheng et al., 2024), and to graph-oriented tasks like graph property prediction (Wang et al., 2024; He et al., 2023). A few other studies leverage graph structures during LLM reasoning to tackle complex tasks (Jiang et al., 2023; Besta et al., 2024). Most large graph databases rely on retrieval mechanisms (He et al., 2024; Li et al., 2023a) to access data, while some studies use LLMs as interactive agents for database navigation (Sun et al., 2023; Jin et al., 2024). In this work, we present a more efficient agent-based approach using subgraph navigation combined with reasoning for improved pathway database exploration.

3 BENCHMARK: BIOMAZE

3.1 DATASET CREATION

To gather realistic biological pathway phenomena, particularly those involving interventions, as illustrated in Figure 1, the data for BioMaze is sourced from over 6,000 biological pathway research papers. These studies involve carefully designed intervention experiments supported by pathway mechanisms to observe how biological systems respond. We extract detailed experimental observations and their contexts directly from the abstracts. Importantly, our focus is on the specific experimental phenomena observed and reported, rather than the final conclusions drawn by the researchers. This is essential for our goal of predicting detailed events in the benchmark. The dataset creation involves prompting the large language model, and in this study, we choose LLaMA3.1-405B (Dubey et al., 2024) and GPT-4 as the models for data creation.

After extracting the phenomena, we convert each one into either a True/False or open-ended question, depending on its content. Each question is paired with corresponding labeled answers. We then apply multiple data filters and validation steps to ensure the correctness, quality, and relevance to biological pathways. The correctness of each question is validated by checking whether LLMs can answer it accurately using the original paper content, allowing us to exclude question-label pairs with errors. Question quality is ensured through several filters, removing questions that are poorly defined, unpredictable (e.g., asking for specific measurement values), query more than one fact, are trivial with answers revealed in the question's context, or are unrelated to biological pathways. After all the filters, BioMaze contains 1.3k high-quality questions for biological pathways reasoning.

The questions of BioMaze cover a wide range of biological domains, including metabolism, genetic information processing, environmental information processing, cellular processes, organismal systems, and human diseases. The biological domain distribution is illustrated in Figure 2 (left).

Table 1: Task example for each category.

Dimension	Category	Example (abbreviated)
Inquiry Type	Normal	What is the effect of AMPK activation on SIRT1 activity in mouse skeletal muscle?
	Perturbed	What is the effect of GogB-deficient Salmonella on NFkappaB activation and proinflammatory responses in infected mice?
Extra Condition	Natural	How does apelin affect TNFalpha inhibition on brown adipogenesis?
	Intervened	What is the role of BID in BAX activation in AIF-mediated necroptosis after MNNG treatment?
Investigation Target	Single	What happens to AQP2 upon ADH stimulation?
	Interaction	How does the influenza protein NS1 affect the activation of RIG-I by viral ssRNA?
	Function	What is the effect of losing 11beta-HSD2 from the fetus and fetally derived tissues on cerebellum development?

Figure 2: Dataset biological domain and reasoning type distribution. Left: BioMaze covers six main domains: metabolism, genetic information processing, environmental information processing, cellular processes, organismal systems, and human diseases. Right: BioMaze is categorized along three dimensions of reasoning types: inquiry type, extra condition, and investigation target.

3.2 REASONING TYPE CATEGORIES

The questions in BioMaze are categorized across three dimensions: inquiry type, extra condition, and investigation target, leading to varying types and difficulties of reasoning, as shown in Table 1. More full question cases are in Appendix A.1. The distribution of the three dimensions' questions is shown in Figure 2 (right). We introduce each category of the dimensions below:

Dimension 1: Inquiry Type

Category 1: Normal Source This category involves predicting the effects of natural components in their normal state within a biological pathway. Tasks here focus on understanding the fundamental mechanisms of pathways in biological systems. The goal is to evaluate how well LLMs can comprehend and explain typical biological pathway functions.

Category 2: Perturbed Source This category deals with predicting the effects of external interventions or treatments, such as mutations, infections, or experimentally introduced elements, on downstream targets within pathways. Tasks emphasize reasoning about how these interventions alter pathway functions. This mirrors real-world biological research, where the focus is often on understanding how such interventions influence biological systems and their downstream targets.

Dimension 2: Extra Condition

Category 1: Natural Condition In this category, the task predicts the impact of the inquiry source under an organism's natural conditions, meaning no additional treatments are applied to the biological system beyond the inquiry source. For example, in Table 1, the natural condition question asks about the mechanism through which apelin affects TNF-alpha inhibition in brown adipogenesis, with no extra interventions present in the pathway.

Category 2: Intervened Condition This category assesses the inquiry source's impact when combined with other factors like mutations, infections, or interventions, focusing on how these conditions alter the pathway. For example, the question in Table 1 examines BID's role after MNNG treatment, where the pathway differs from its natural state. Enhancing performance here is crucial for modeling complex biological scenarios, such as predicting treatment outcomes and drug interactions, as it shows how multiple factors interact within a system.

Dimension 3: Investigation Target

Category 1: Single Component as Target This category focuses on investigating the effect of the source on a specific component within the pathway, such as its expression, activation, or inhibition.

Category 2: Components Interaction as Target This category examines the effect of the source on interactions between components within the pathway. It may involve understanding how downstream components interact with each other or their roles in regulating pathway processes. For example, the question of this category in Table 1 queries influenza protein NS1's effect on the downstream process that viral ssRNA activates RIG-I.

Category 3: Function as Target This category evaluates the effect of the source on broader biological functions or macro-level phenomena within the organism. It addresses more comprehensive system behaviors, helping to link pathway-level changes with organism-wide outcomes, which are crucial for scenarios like understanding health and disease processes.

In summary, the inquiry type and extra condition dimensions indicate whether interventions are applied to the biological system. Cases with interventions, compared to natural conditions, better test LLMs' causal reasoning in biological pathways. The investigation target dimension distinguishes different phenomena within the system, providing a more thorough evaluation of LLMs' ability to understand and predict pathway behavior.

3.3 PATHWAY GRAPH AUGMENTATION

Text-only reasoning methods like CoT generate reasoning steps directly from LLMs based on the given question. However, due to the inherent graph-data nature of biological pathways, LLMs must not only have a comprehensive implicit map of these pathways but also be able to use it effectively to plan reasoning steps and conduct complex reasoning. This can present challenges for LLMs when reasoning about biological systems.

In this work, we explore the following question: *Do large language models require pathway graph data augmentation to reason effectively about biological systems?* Providing LLMs with access to explicit biological pathway graphs could intuitively serve as a structural blueprint, enhancing their reasoning abilities from both a knowledge and reasoning perspective. We formalize this problem as:

$$a = G(\mathcal{E}, o), \tag{1}$$

where G represents the language model, $\mathcal{E}$ denotes the task instruction (including the question), o refers to the observation from the augment pathway graph database, and a signifies the model output which could be the answer as well as the reasoning process.

Pathway Graph Database To augment LLMs with reasoning in biological pathways, we created a pathway graph database based on KEGG (Kanehisa & Goto, 2000), a collection of pathway maps on metabolism and various cellular and organismal functions widely-used resource among biologists. We compiled all available pathway networks and maps from KEGG and integrated them into a comprehensive pathway graph database. The statistics for the pathways are in Appendix A.4. Each entry in the dataset is provided with a detailed description and function corpus. The graph is structured in triples as [Head IDs, Tail IDs, (Relation Type, Biological Process IDs)].

Pathway Graph Database API When the language model accesses the pathway database, it may need to retrieve relevant triples from the pathway graph using APIs like Search_Node, Search_Edge, and Search_Triple (Sun et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023a). Our pathway database supports these core retrieval APIs based on detailed descriptions and functional corpora. These APIs are essential for enabling various graph-augmented reasoning methods in LLMs.

Since the connectivity of the pathway graph is crucial for enhancing reasoning in biological systems, we also developed the retrieval API designed to find the optimal connected subgraph $S^* = \text{Search\_Subgraph}(query, N)$, where S^* is the retrieved subgraph, $query$ represents the query content, such as keywords, and N is the target size. The goal is to match a given target size as closely as possible while maximizing the matching score. This is formulated as a optimization problem:

$$S^* = \text{Search\_Subgraph}(query, N) = \underset{S \subseteq P, S \text{ is connected}, |S|=N}{\text{argmax}} \sum_{i \in V_S \cup E_S} \text{score}(i, query) \tag{2}$$

Here, the overall pathway network is denoted by P, with V_S and E_S representing the node and edge sets of subgraph S. The details of the implementation are described in Appendix A.5.

Graph-to-Text Encoder For retrieved results which is a list of triples (e.g., the output of Search_Triple or Search_Subgraph), the result S is encoded into text o using the following process:

$$o = \text{TripleToText}(\text{DFSOrder}(S)) \tag{3}$$

Figure 3: PATHSEEKER allows interactive browsing of the pathway graph database by navigating through subgraphs. At each step, PATHSEEKER can perform either a global subgraph search or a local search around a previously explored pathway step. This functionality enables PATHSEEKER to fully leverage the augmented pathway graph database during biological pathway reasoning.

Function DFSOrder arranges the triples in depth-first search (DFS) order. Unlike other methods, such as relevance scoring, DFS order more closely aligns with the reasoning process through the subgraph, reflecting the natural progression of biological functions. The ordered subgraph $\hat{S}$ is converted into text format for the LLM by encoding each triple as a string in the following format: Head | Tail | Relation and Biological Process.

4 METHOD: PATHWAY REASONING AGENT PATHSEEKER

As we evaluated several graph-augmented reasoning methods, we found that current graph-augmentation methods' performance is limited by their ineffective utilization of the pathway graph database for reasoning. In this work, we propose a general solution for biological pathway reasoning called PATHSEEKER. This solution takes the form of a reasoning agent that can interactively perceive and navigate pathways using a web-like engine, along with flexible reasoning in each step.

Subgraph Navigation-based Graph Browsing Inspired by how humans browse web networks, PATHSEEKER allows the language agent to flexibly explore a vast graph database by observing subgraphs at each step, as shown in Figure 3. At step t, the language agent G takes an action step a_t based on problem $\mathcal{E}$ (problem instructions) and previous observation-action trajectory $h_t = [o_1, a_1, \ldots, o_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, o_t]$,

$$a_t = G(\mathcal{E}, h_t) \qquad (4)$$

In addition to the global subgraph retriever Search_Subgraph, PATHSEEKER has access to an additional neighbor subgraph retriever, Neighbor_Subgraph($line\_id, query, N$), which retrieves an optimal connected subgraph of target size from the multi-hop neighbors of a previously observed pathway step $line\_id$.

$$\text{Neighbor\_Subgraph}(line\_id, query, N) = \underset{S \subseteq P_{id}, S \text{ is connected}, |S|=N}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i \in V_S \cup E_S} \text{score}(i, query) \qquad (5)$$

Here, P_{id} represents the multi-hop neighbors of the triple with $line\_id$. This allows PATHSEEKER to navigate the pathway graph database by either performing a global search or by exploring the multi-hop neighbors of an observed subgraph at each step. See Appendix for case A.6.

Graph Encoding In step t, the action taken by LLM agent get subgraph S_t from environment, and the subgraph is encoded into text observation o_t as following:

$$\hat{S}_t = \text{DFSOrder}(\text{RemoveSeen}(S_t, [S_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}]))$$
$$o_t = \text{TripleToOrderedText}(\hat{S}_t, \text{TotalNum}([S_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}])) \qquad (6)$$

Function RemoveSeen eliminates triples from the t-th turn's subgraph that have been observed in previous turns, ensuring that each triple appears in the LLM's observations only once when first retrieved. This approach enhances content length efficiency and encourages the LLM to understand the whole navigation history rather than focusing solely on the most recent turn.

The function TripleToOrderedText convert ordered subgraph $\hat{S}_t$ into text in the following format: Line ID) Head | Tail | Relation and Biological Process. These global line IDs indicate the order of each triple across all turns, providing a unique reference for the LLM agent during local searches or reasoning. For the t-th turn's subgraph S_t, the ID starts at the total number of unique triples seen in previous history, given by $\mathrm{TotalNum}([S_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}])$.

Final Reasoning As graph data browsing finishes, the final reasoning is conducted based on all the navigation history:

$$a_r = G(\mathcal{E}_r, [o_1, \ldots, o_T])$$

Graph Navigation Capacity The combination of global and local subgraph retrieval APIs empowers LLM agents to explore the entire network flexibly and efficiently. It allows the LLM to guide its exploration by adjusting both keywords and the root of the local subgraph, depending on the intermediate reasoning, offering stronger expressiveness than navigation methods like BFS, DFS, and various retrieval methods.

5 EXPERIMENT

5.1 BASELINE AND METRIC

We evaluate the reasoning performance of LLMs on BioMaze in both the unaugmented step-by-step reasoning and the pathway graph-augmented methods. We adopt reasoning method without graph augmentation Chain-of-Thought (CoT) (Wei et al., 2022; Kojima et al., 2022), and methods with pathway graph augmentation: Chain-of-Knowledge (CoK) (Li et al., 2023a), Think-of-Graph (ToG) (Sun et al., 2023), and G-Retriever (He et al., 2024). Details of baselines are in Appendix A.7.

For True/False tasks, we use class-averaged accuracy to reduce the effect of class imbalance in sample numbers. For open-ended tasks, the LLM is used to evaluate the accuracy of generated answers by comparing them to the ground truth and determining whether they are correct or incorrect. In this study, we use the LLaMa 3.1-405B model as the evaluator, with five in-context examples. The performance of the evaluator is further analyzed in Appendix A.8.

5.2 MAIN RESULT

Table 2: Performance on BioMaze True/False tasks. For each method, the lowest result within each dimension is underlined.

	w.t. Pathway Graph	Inquiry Type		Extra Condition		Investigation Target		
		Normal	Perturbed	Natural	Intervened	Single	Interaction	Function
GPT-3.5								
Viliana (0 Shot)		76.80	_67.42_	74.30	_66.23_	_68.90_	78.97	71.44
Viliana (2 Shot)	✗	72.09	_70.22_	71.28	_70.28_	70.48	81.24	_67.15_
CoT (0 Shot)		78.02	_65.45_	75.08	_64.35_	_68.45_	69.75	75.23
CoT (2 Shot)		77.03	_67.13_	73.65	68.92	_68.92_	79.26	71.85
ToG		74.57	_69.66_	74.17	_68.04_	_70.03_	73.67	73.80
CoK	✓	77.47	_68.54_	73.09	72.95	_67.92_	80.56	73.86
G-Retriever		76.26	_70.20_	75.72	_70.81_	_73.04_	76.21	73.59
PATHSEEKER		78.85	_74.44_	77.63	_74.36_	78.01	81.66	_73.78_
LLaMA3 8B								
Viliana (0 Shot)		80.49	_67.70_	76.78	_67.17_	75.27	73.88	_73.27_
Viliana (2 Shot)	✗	80.19	_72.75_	78.42	_70.69_	79.72	83.18	_70.85_
CoT (0 Shot)		75.07	_67.13_	72.04	_68.66_	73.15	80.15	_66.33_
CoT (2 Shot)		81.77	_71.63_	79.04	_70.67_	79.73	84.35	_71.52_
ToG		79.37	_69.31_	76.96	_67.60_	76.57	83.17	_69.16_
CoK	✓	80.20	_67.70_	75.87	_69.00_	77.27	81.11	_68.93_
G-Retriever		80.59	_72.29_	81.17	_70.06_	80.97	82.29	_73.53_
PATHSEEKER		83.08	_75.84_	82.14	_72.27_	81.07	86.62	_75.01_

Table 3: Performance on BioMaze open-ended tasks. For each method, the lowest result within each dimension is underlined.

	w.t. Pathway Graph	Inquiry Type		Extra Condition		Investigation Target		
		Normal	Perturbed	Natural	Intervened	Single	Interaction	Function
GPT-3.5								
CoT (0 Shot)	✗	76.60	67.67	72.93	68.28	73.20	64.86	71.50
CoT (2 Shot)		82.67	73.66	79.66	72.69	83.28	63.51	75.73
ToG		74.77	65.27	70.81	66.08	72.13	62.16	68.60
CoK	✓	82.98	73.43	80.41	70.93	82.30	67.57	75.73
G-Retriever		84.38	72.84	80.78	74.37	82.55	70.40	76.92
PATHSEEKER		87.84	77.91	83.65	78.85	85.29	77.03	80.74
LLaMA3 8B								
CoT (0 Shot)	✗	82.37	69.53	77.63	69.16	76.14	62.16	76.78
CoT (2 Shot)		80.55	67.91	75.94	67.40	77.78	55.41	73.35
ToG		84.80	73.49	80.64	73.13	82.68	74.32	75.73
CoK	✓	80.55	70.70	77.82	68.28	78.43	64.86	74.14
G-Retriever		82.62	72.10	77.21	75.92	80.71	72.53	75.65
PATHSEEKER		84.50	76.51	80.64	78.41	83.01	78.38	77.84

We evaluate PATHSEEKER and baseline methods on BioMaze, reporting results in Tables 2 and 3. Our comparison focuses on various task dimensions, including signal source, the presence of additional conditions, and target, as previously introduced. The following conclusion could be drawn from the results:

LLMs can perform biological system reasoning tasks. Despite the extreme difficulty of the tasks in BioMaze, LLMs still achieved strong results, especially in normal inquiry and natural condition cases. The overall performance suggests that LLMs are capable of reasoning in many biological research scenarios, effectively explaining and predicting phenomena within biological systems.

Question with perturbation query in BioMaze presents significant reasoning challenges for LLMs. Specifically, LLMs struggle more with perturbed inquiry type settings than with normal inquiry types in both True/False and open-ended formats. This suggests that reasoning about biological pathways becomes more complex in intervention scenarios, where the events are less likely to align with common biological knowledge and require deductive reasoning to predict pathway behavior. In contrast, questions set in normal scenarios are more likely to be answerable using established biological knowledge about how typical pathways work.

Questions with intervened conditions present greater reasoning challenges. Similar to the inquiry type, interventions in the extra condition also create difficulties for LLMs in reasoning. These interventions, such as disruptions caused by external factors like mutations, infections, or experimental setups, complicate the biological system's mechanism. As a result, reasoning in these scenarios relies less on established knowledge of natural biological systems and more on deductive reasoning to navigate the altered conditions.

Reasoning target brings diverse challenges for reasoning. The Investigation target presents varied difficulties, resulting in inconsistent performance across different backbone models and reasoning methods. Interestingly, the performance of True/False questions varies between GPT-3.5 and LLaMA3, likely due to differences in the knowledge underlying the two models. Additionally, open-ended questions about interactions are the most challenging, which differs from the True/False format. This may be due to the nature of open-ended questions, which have a broader possible answer space for questions about interaction.

Pathway augmentation can enhance reasoning in biological systems, especially for intervention cases. As shown in Tables 2 and 3, reasoning methods with pathway augmentation, especially PATHSEEKER, outperform non-augmented approaches. PATHSEEKER consistently exceeds CoT across all question types and categories, regardless of the backbone model, highlighting the value of integrating biological pathways to enhance reasoning in biological systems. Additionally, PATHSEEKER outperforms other graph augmentation methods, demonstrating the effectiveness of its subgraph-based navigation approach. Notably, it reduces the performance gap between natural and intervened/perturbed groups, helping bridge the gap in causal reasoning for biological pathways.

5.3 ANALYSIS

5.3.1 TASK ANALYSIS

Reasoning Difficulty with Steps While LLMs excel at complex reasoning by breaking down questions into basic steps for detailed deductive reasoning, it's widely recognized that the complexity of reasoning is closely tied to the foundational steps needed for a task. To explore the relationship between task complexity and reasoning steps in BioMaze, we analyzed the reasoning steps for each instance by prompting the LLaMA3.1-405B to explain their reasoning process based on the correct answer and pathway information.

Figure 4 shows the performance of Chain-of-Thought (CoT) reasoning. As the number of reasoning steps increases, CoT performance steadily declines, indicating that reasoning difficulty rises with a greater number of pathway steps. This finding supports our hypothesis that the challenges in biological pathway reasoning are largely due to the complexity of the pathways involved.

Notably, PATHSEEKER's performance remains more consistent across different reasoning step counts. This suggests that augmenting LLMs with biological pathway information can mitigate the challenges of pathway reasoning, particularly when dealing with intricate intermediate processes.

Figure 4: Performance versus reasoning steps. LLMs face increasing difficulty in reasoning about biological systems as task complexity rises and requires more reasoning steps. In contrast, pathway augmentation significantly mitigates the drop of performance for tasks that involve more steps.

Reasons for Failure Statistics To illustrate the reasons why LLMs fail in biological pathway reasoning, we analyze failed cases across various reasoning methods, including CoT and PATHSEEKER. The failure reasons are classified into the following categories: (1) **Unresolved Conclusion** For cases where the model fails to provide a definitive answer, indicating uncertainty or belief that the answer is unknown. (2) **Incomplete Answer** When the response lacks essential details, such as missing the requested effects or other key elements. (3) **Omission in Reasoning** For errors where critical pathway steps in the question's biological process are left out, causing the final answer to be incorrect. (4) **Faulty in Reasoning** When the reasoning path is correct, but there are significant errors in deducing the events within that pathway. We manually classify 100 random samples from these error cases to approximate the overall error cases, with a professional biology Ph.D. student.

The results are shown in Figure 5. The results in Figure 5 show that in both True/False and open-ended tasks, the main error in CoT reasoning is faulty reasoning, where LLMs correctly identify the biological pathway but misinterpret the events within it. Another key error is omission, where critical steps or branches of the pathway are overlooked. This highlights the challenges LLMs face in reasoning about biological pathways, due to both knowledge gaps and difficulties in deductive reasoning. PATHSEEKER significantly reduces faulty reasoning by providing pathway graphs, enabling more accurate reasoning about biological events. However, omissions remain a predominant issue, often due to limitations in the pathway database and oversights during browsing. Additionally, with the availability of pathways, LLMs are less likely to fail in providing definitive answers, becoming more confident in drawing conclusions.

Performance with Biological Domain Figure 6 presents a comparison of the performance of various reasoning methods across different biological domains in BioMaze. The results demonstrate that the difficulty of each domain varies depending on the reasoning method used. Overall, PATH-SEEKER, when augmented with pathway information, consistently outperforms direct reasoning across nearly all biological domains. The results of more backbones are in Appendix A.10.

Figure 5: Error analysis for CoT reasoning and reasoning with pathway augmentation (our method PATHSEEKER). The primary cause of errors in (CoT) reasoning for biological systems is due to both faulty reasoning and omissions in reasoning. When pathway augmentation is applied, omissions in reasoning become the predominant issue, but the rate of faulty reasoning is significantly reduced, thereby improving the overall reasoning accuracy of LLMs in biological systems.

Figure 6: GPT-3.5's performance across different biological domains in BioMaze.

5.4 METHOD ANALYSIS AND ABLATION STUDY

API Usage and Step Distribution We analyze PATHSEEKER's agent behavior by reviewing task steps and navigation API usage frequency. Tables 4 and 5 show that over half of the tasks are completed in six steps or fewer, while some take over ten steps which is possible due to lacking relevant pathway data. On average, the agent performs 1.5 global searches per task, suggesting multiple searches are often needed, and local navigation occurs more than three times per task, highlighting thorough subgraph exploration.

Table 4: Agent steps distribution (%) of PATH-SEEKER during task completion.

Table 5: Average API usage times of PATH-SEEKER during task completion.

Agent Steps	1-4	4-6	6-8	8-10	$\geq$10
True/False	14.35	42.26	20.97	9.68	12.74
Open-Ended	19.50	41.77	15.94	8.43	14.36

	Global	Local
True/False	1.51	3.40
Open-Ended	1.62	3.26

Ablation Study To assess the effectiveness of PATHSEEKER's components, we conduct ablation studies, with results for LLaMA3-8B shown in Table 6. The most impactful component is Final-Reaser; without it, the agent's answers suffer due to the long task history, disrupting reasoning and responses. The local search API is also critical, enabling efficient graph navigation. Lastly, the graph encoding method boosts performance, emphasizing the importance of encoding graph data for sequential language models.

Table 6: Ablation Study of PATHSEEKER.

	PATHSEEKER	w.o. RemoveSeen	w.o. DFSOrder	w.o. Local search	w.o. FinalReasoner
True/False	79.24	76.57	77.4	77.29	75.33
Open-Ended	79.97	77.52	77.02	76.27	71.86

6 CONCLUSION

In this study, we introduce BioMaze, a benchmark designed to evaluate LLMs' ability to understand and reason about biological pathways by predicting the effects of natural and synthetic interventions, like mutations and infections, on downstream targets. Extensive evaluations using BioMaze, incorporating advanced methods like CoT and pathway graph-augmented approaches, show that LLMs struggle with understanding pathway mechanisms with intervention. We also propose PATH-SEEKER, a novel LLM agent that uses interactive subgraph exploration to enhance reasoning in biological pathways by leveraging pathway graphs as structured blueprints.

REFERENCES

Microsoft Research AI4Science and Microsoft Azure Quantum. The impact of large language models on scientific discovery: a preliminary study using gpt-4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.07361*, 2023.

Muhammad Azam, Yibo Chen, Micheal Olaolu Arowolo, Haowang Liu, Mihail Popescu, and Dong Xu. A comprehensive evaluation of large language models in mining gene relations and pathway knowledge. *Quantitative Biology*, 2024.

Maciej Besta, Nils Blach, Ales Kubicek, Robert Gerstenberger, Michal Podstawski, Lukas Gian-inazzi, Joanna Gajda, Tomasz Lehmann, Hubert Niewiadomski, Piotr Nyczyk, et al. Graph of thoughts: Solving elaborate problems with large language models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pp. 17682–17690, 2024.

Sitao Cheng, Ziyuan Zhuang, Yong Xu, Fangkai Yang, Chaoyun Zhang, Xiaoting Qin, Xiang Huang, Ling Chen, Qingwei Lin, Dongmei Zhang, et al. Call me when necessary: Llms can efficiently and faithfully reason over structured environments. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08593*, 2024.

Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783*, 2024.

Bahare Fatemi, Jonathan Halcrow, and Bryan Perozzi. Talk like a graph: Encoding graphs for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.04560*, 2023.

Tomas Hayes, Roshan Rao, Halil Akin, Nicholas J Sofroniew, Deniz Oktay, Zeming Lin, Robert Verkuil, Vincent Q Tran, Jonathan Deaton, Marius Wiggert, et al. Simulating 500 million years of evolution with a language model. *bioRxiv*, pp. 2024–07, 2024.

Xiaoxin He, Xavier Bresson, Thomas Laurent, Adam Perold, Yann LeCun, and Bryan Hooi. Harnessing explanations: Llm-to-lm interpreter for enhanced text-attributed graph representation learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.19523*, 2023.

Xiaoxin He, Yijun Tian, Yifei Sun, Nitesh V Chawla, Thomas Laurent, Yann LeCun, Xavier Bresson, and Bryan Hooi. G-retriever: Retrieval-augmented generation for textual graph understanding and question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07630*, 2024.

Yufei He and Bryan Hooi. Unigraph: Learning a cross-domain graph foundation model from natural language. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13630*, 2024.

Chinmay Hegde, Piotr Indyk, and Ludwig Schmidt. A nearly-linear time framework for graph-structured sparsity. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 928–937. PMLR, 2015.

Ramtin Hosseini, Siyang Zhang, and Pengtao Xie. Text2protein: A generative model for designated protein design on given description. 2024.

Jinhao Jiang, Kun Zhou, Zican Dong, Keming Ye, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Structgpt: A general framework for large language model to reason over structured data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.09645*, 2023.

Bowen Jin, Chulin Xie, Jiawei Zhang, Kashob Kumar Roy, Yu Zhang, Suhang Wang, Yu Meng, and Jiawei Han. Graph chain-of-thought: Augmenting large language models by reasoning on graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.07103*, 2024.

Di Jin, Eileen Pan, Nassim Oufattole, Wei-Hung Weng, Hanyi Fang, and Peter Szolovits. What disease does this patient have? a large-scale open domain question answering dataset from medical exams. *Applied Sciences*, 11(14):6421, 2021.

Qiao Jin, Bhuwan Dhingra, Zhengping Liu, William W Cohen, and Xinghua Lu. Pubmedqa: A dataset for biomedical research question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.06146*, 2019.

Qiao Jin, Zifeng Wang, Charalampos S Floudas, Fangyuan Chen, Changlin Gong, Dara Bracken-Clarke, Elisabetta Xue, Yifan Yang, Jimeng Sun, and Zhiyong Lu. Matching patients to clinical trials with large language models. *ArXiv*, 2023.

Minoru Kanehisa and Susumu Goto. Kegg: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic acids research*, 28(1):27–30, 2000.

Takeshi Kojima, Shixiang Shane Gu, Machel Reid, Yutaka Matsuo, and Yusuke Iwasawa. Large language models are zero-shot reasoners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:22199–22213, 2022.

Anastasia Krithara, Anastasios Nentidis, Konstantinos Bougiatiotis, and Georgios Paliouras. Bioasq-qa: A manually curated corpus for biomedical question answering. *Scientific Data*, 10 (1):170, 2023.

Xingxuan Li, Ruochen Zhao, Yew Ken Chia, Bosheng Ding, Shafiq Joty, Soujanya Poria, and Lidong Bing. Chain-of-knowledge: Grounding large language models via dynamic knowledge adapting over heterogeneous sources. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13269*, 2023a.

Yanjing Li, Hannan Xu, Haiteng Zhao, Hongyu Guo, and Shengchao Liu. Chatpathway: Conversational large language models for biology pathway detection. In *NeurIPS 2023 AI for Science Workshop*, 2023b.

Hao Liu, Jiarui Feng, Lecheng Kong, Ningyue Liang, Dacheng Tao, Yixin Chen, and Muhan Zhang. One for all: Towards training one graph model for all classification tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00149*, 2023a.

Shengchao Liu, Weili Nie, Chengpeng Wang, Jiarui Lu, Zhuoran Qiao, Ling Liu, Jian Tang, Chaowei Xiao, and Animashree Anandkumar. Multi-modal molecule structure–text model for text-based retrieval and editing. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5(12):1447–1457, 2023b.

Shengchao Liu, Jiongxiao Wang, Yijin Yang, Chengpeng Wang, Ling Liu, Hongyu Guo, and Chaowei Xiao. Chatgpt-powered conversational drug editing using retrieval and domain feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18090*, 2023c.

Pan Lu, Swaroop Mishra, Tanglin Xia, Liang Qiu, Kai-Wei Chang, Song-Chun Zhu, Oyvind Tafjord, Peter Clark, and Ashwin Kalyan. Learn to explain: Multimodal reasoning via thought chains for science question answering. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:2507–2521, 2022.

Andres M. Bran, Sam Cox, Oliver Schilter, Carlo Baldassari, Andrew D White, and Philippe Schwaller. Augmenting large language models with chemistry tools. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, pp. 1–11, 2024.

Ali Madani, Bryan McCann, Nikhil Naik, Nitish Shirish Keskar, Namrata Anand, Raphael R Eguchi, Po-Ssu Huang, and Richard Socher. Progen: Language modeling for protein generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.03497*, 2020.

Ankit Pal, Logesh Kumar Umapathi, and Malaikannan Sankarasubbu. Medmcqa: A large-scale multi-subject multi-choice dataset for medical domain question answering. In *Conference on health, inference, and learning*, pp. 248–260. PMLR, 2022.

Gilchan Park, Byung-Jun Yoon, Xihaier Luo, Vanessa López-Marrero, Patrick Johnstone, Shinjae Yoo, and Francis J Alexander. Comparative performance evaluation of large language models for extracting molecular interactions and pathway knowledge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.08813*, 2023.

Junkil Park, Youhan Lee, and Jihan Kim. Multi-modal conditioning for metal-organic frameworks generation using 3d modeling techniques. 2024.

Noah Shinn, Federico Cassano, Ashwin Gopinath, Karthik R Narasimhan, and Shunyu Yao. Reflexion: Language agents with verbal reinforcement learning. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023.

Karan Singhal, Shekoofeh Azizi, Tao Tu, S Sara Mahdavi, Jason Wei, Hyung Won Chung, Nathan Scales, Ajay Tanwani, Heather Cole-Lewis, Stephen Pfohl, et al. Large language models encode clinical knowledge. *Nature*, 620(7972):172–180, 2023.

Jiashuo Sun, Chengjin Xu, Lumingyuan Tang, Saizhuo Wang, Chen Lin, Yeyun Gong, Lionel Ni, Heung-Yeung Shum, and Jian Guo. Think-on-graph: Deep and responsible reasoning of large language model on knowledge graph. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.

Jiabin Tang, Yuhao Yang, Wei Wei, Lei Shi, Lixin Su, Suqi Cheng, Dawei Yin, and Chao Huang. Graphgpt: Graph instruction tuning for large language models. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pp. 491–500, 2024.

Giorgio Valentini, Dario Malchiodi, Jessica Gliozzo, Marco Mesiti, Mauricio Soto-Gomez, Alberto Cabri, Justin Reese, Elena Casiraghi, and Peter N Robinson. The promises of large language models for protein design and modeling. *Frontiers in Bioinformatics*, 3:1304099, 2023.

David Vilares and Carlos Gómez-Rodríguez. Head-qa: A healthcare dataset for complex reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.04701*, 2019.

Heng Wang, Shangbin Feng, Tianxing He, Zhaoxuan Tan, Xiaochuang Han, and Yulia Tsvetkov. Can language models solve graph problems in natural language? *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.

Xuezhi Wang, Jason Wei, Dale Schuurmans, Quoc V Le, Ed H Chi, Sharan Narang, Aakanksha Chowdhery, and Denny Zhou. Self-consistency improves chain of thought reasoning in language models. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.

Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:24824–24837, 2022.

Johannes Welbl, Pontus Stenetorp, and Sebastian Riedel. Constructing datasets for multi-hop reading comprehension across documents. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 6:287–302, 2018.

Shunyu Yao, Jeffrey Zhao, Dian Yu, Nan Du, Izhak Shafran, Karthik Narasimhan, and Yuan Cao. React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models, 2023.

Ruosong Ye, Caiqi Zhang, Runhui Wang, Shuyuan Xu, Yongfeng Zhang, et al. Natural language is all a graph needs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.07134*, 4(5):7, 2023.

Longhui Yu, Weisen Jiang, Han Shi, Jincheng Yu, Zhengying Liu, Yu Zhang, James T Kwok, Zhenguo Li, Adrian Weller, and Weiyang Liu. Metamath: Bootstrap your own mathematical questions for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.12284*, 2023.

Haiteng Zhao, Shengchao Liu, Ma Chang, Hannan Xu, Jie Fu, Zhihong Deng, Lingpeng Kong, and Qi Liu. Gimlet: A unified graph-text model for instruction-based molecule zero-shot learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:5850–5887, 2023.

Haiteng Zhao, Chang Ma, Guoyin Wang, Jing Su, Lingpeng Kong, Jingjing Xu, Zhi-Hong Deng, and Hongxia Yang. Empowering large language model agents through action learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.15809*, 2024.

Zhiling Zheng, Ali H Alawadhi, Saumil Chheda, S Ephraim Neumann, Nakul Rampal, Shengchao Liu, Ha L Nguyen, Yen-hsu Lin, Zichao Rong, J Ilja Siepmann, et al. Shaping the water-harvesting behavior of metal–organic frameworks aided by fine-tuned gpt models. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 145(51):28284–28295, 2023.

A APPENDIX

A.1 DATASET CASE ILLUSTRATION

Dimension 1: Inquiry Type

Category 1: Normal Source

"Question": "AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a metabolic fuel gauge that senses changes in the intracellular AMP/ATP ratio. Recent evidence suggests that AMPK plays a role in the therapeutic benefits of metformin, thiazolidinediones, and exercise in the management of type 2 diabetes and associated metabolic disorders. AMPK controls the expression of genes involved in energy metabolism in mouse skeletal muscle by working together with another metabolic sensor, the NAD+-dependent type III deacetylase SIRT1. Does AMPK enhance SIRT1 activity by decreasing cellular NAD+ levels?",

"Answer": "No"

"Question": "Adrenergic receptor signaling in adipocytes controls the hydrolysis of triglycerides and is involved in brown adipocyte thermogenesis and energy consumption. Do beta-adrenergic receptors activate a network of signaling pathways that include cAMP-dependent protein kinase and members of the mitogen-activated protein kinase family?",

"Answer": "Yes"

"Question": "Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC) is a human pathogen that colonizes the gut mucosa and causes diarrheal diseases. EPEC uses a type III secretion system (T3SS) to deliver effectors into host cells, which repress innate immune responses and infiltration of immune cells. One of these effectors is NleF. What is the effect of NleF on caspase-4 activity in intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) infected with EPEC?",

"Answer": "NleF inhibits the proteolytic activity of caspase-4 in IECs infected with EPEC."

"Question": "Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of tubular epithelial cells is a key event in renal interstitial fibrosis and the progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Apelin is a regulatory peptide involved in the regulation of normal renal hemodynamics and tubular functions. To examine the inhibitory effects of apelin on transforming growth factor-beta1 (TGF-beta1)-induced EMT in HK-2 cells, cells were co-treated with apelin and TGF-beta1. What is the effect of apelin on TGF-beta1-mediated upregulation of alpha-smooth muscle actin (alpha-SMA) and downregulation of E-cadherin in HK-2 cells?",

"Answer": "Apelin inhibits TGF-beta1-mediated upregulation of alpha-smooth muscle actin (alpha-SMA) and downregulation of E-cadherin in HK-2 cells."

Category 2: Perturbed Source

"Question": "Dectin-1 is a fungal pattern recognition receptor that triggers cytokine production by facilitating interaction with TLR2 or by directly activating spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk). To investigate the role of Dectin-1 in the innate response to mycobacteria, an in vitro system was used to measure IL-12p40 production in splenic dendritic cells (SpDC) exposed to live Mycobacterium tuberculosis bacilli. Does pharmacologic inhibition of Syk reduce the IL-12p40 response induced by M. tuberculosis?",

"Answer": "Yes"

"Question": "Deficiency of GDP-Man:Man1GlcNAc2-PP-dolichol mannosyltransferase (hALG2) causes a new type of congenital disorder of glycosylation (CDG) called CDG-Ii. A patient with CDG-Ii showed symptoms such as mental retardation, seizures, coloboma of the iris, hypomyelination, hepatomegaly, and coagulation abnormalities. Skin fibroblasts from the patient exhibited an accumulation of Man1GlcNAc2-PP-dolichol and Man2GlcNAc2-PP-dolichol. The patient's fibroblast extracts were incubated with Man1GlcNAc2-PP-dolichol and GDP-mannose, and it was found that the mannosyltransferase activity elongating Man1GlcNAc2-PP-dolichol was severely reduced. The yeast ALG2 sequence was used to identify the human ortholog, and genetic analysis revealed that the patient had a single nucleotide deletion and a single nucleotide substitution in the human ALG2 gene. Was the expression of mutant hALG2 cDNA able to restore the mannosyltransferase

activity and the biosynthesis of dolichol-linked oligosaccharides in both patient fibroblasts and yeast cells?",

"Answer": "No"

"Question": "Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) play a significant role in diabetic complications by activating various signaling pathways. One of the key pathways involved is the transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta signaling pathway, which regulates Smad proteins. To understand the role of Smad signaling in diabetic complications, researchers have investigated the effect of AGEs on Smad activation and collagen synthesis. What is the effect of overexpressing Smad7 on AGE-induced Smad activation and collagen synthesis?",

"Answer": "Overexpression of Smad7 prevents AGE-induced Smad activation and collagen synthesis."

"Question": "Aggregation of amyloid-beta (Abeta) and Tau protein are hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease (AD). According to the Abeta-cascade hypothesis, Abeta is considered toxic for neurons and Tau is a downstream target of Abeta. In differentiated primary hippocampal neurons, the effect of exposure to Abeta oligomers on the phosphorylation of Tau in dendritic regions was investigated. What is the effect of exposure to Abeta oligomers on the phosphorylation of Tau in dendritic regions?",

"Answer": "Exposure to Abeta oligomers leads to elevated phosphorylation of Tau at certain sites diagnostic of AD-Tau in dendritic regions."

Dimension 2: Extra Condition

Category 1: Natural Condition

"Question": "Aldosterone increases renal Na+ reabsorption and K+ secretion within 30 minutes through gene transcription. One of the gene products that is rapidly up-regulated is the a subunit of ENaC, which is a structural element of the transport machinery. The expression and function of ENaC and Na,K-ATPase on the cell surface are tightly controlled by a complex regulatory network. Does aldosterone acutely regulate the expression of elements in this regulatory network that control the cell-surface localization and function of ENaC and Na,K-ATPase?",

"Answer": "Yes"

"Question": "Alveolar macrophages (AM) play a central role in initiating and resolving lung inflammation, but the integration of these opposing functions is not well understood. Cholesterol 25-hydroxylase (CH25H) is highly expressed in AMs and is responsible for the production of 25-hydroxycholesterol (25HC), which activates the anti-inflammatory nuclear receptor liver X receptor (LXR). It is hypothesized that CH25H may regulate inflammatory homeostasis in the lung. Is CH25H required for LXR-dependent promotion of AM lipid overload?",

"Answer": "No"

"Question": "Chondroitin sulfate synthase-3 (CSS3), also known as chondroitin synthase-2 (ChSy-2), is an enzyme involved in the biosynthesis of chondroitin sulfate (CS), a type of glycosaminoglycan. To investigate the role of CSS3 in CS production, researchers overexpressed CSS3 in HeLa cells and measured the resulting CS levels. Does overexpressing CSS3 increase the amount of CS in HeLa cells?",

"Answer": "Overexpressing CSS3 increases the amount of CS in HeLa cells."

"Question": "Chronic activation of Wnt/beta-catenin signaling is found in various human malignancies, including melanoma, colorectal, and hepatocellular carcinomas. The HCMV-encoded chemokine receptor US28 has been shown to promote intestinal neoplasia in transgenic mice, which is associated with increased nuclear accumulation of beta-catenin. What is the effect of HCMV infection on beta-catenin stabilization and signaling in cells?",

"Answer": "HCMV infection significantly increases beta-catenin stabilization and signaling in cells, which is mediated to a large extent by expression of US28."

Category 2: Intervened Condition

"Question": "The Bordetella adenylate cyclase toxin-hemolysin (CyaA) has multiple activities, including permeabilizing membranes, delivering an adenylate cyclase (AC) enzyme, and increasing cytosolic calcium concentration ([Ca2+]i) in target cells. In CD11b+ J774A.1 monocytes, does the CyaA-AC-toxoid, which is unable to generate cAMP, promote a faster, transient elevation of [Ca2+]i compared to intact CyaA?",

"Answer": "Yes"

"Question": "Dos/Gab family scaffolding adapters, including Gab1 and Gab2, are known to bind signal relay molecules and play a role in signal transduction. While mice lacking Gab1 die during embryogenesis, Gab2-/- mice are viable and generally healthy. However, the response of Gab2-/- mast cells to stimulation of the high affinity immunoglobulin-epsilon (IgE) receptor Fc(epsilon)RI is defective. Are the responses of mast cells in Gab2-/- mice enhanced when stimulated by the high affinity immunoglobulin-epsilon (IgE) receptor Fc(epsilon)RI?",

"Answer": "No"

"Question": "Stromal cell-derived factor 1 alpha (SDF-1alpha) is a chemotactic factor for T lymphocytes and binds to the G-protein-coupled receptor CXCR4. LIM kinase 1 (LIMK1) phosphorylates cofilin, an actin-depolymerizing and -severing protein, and regulates actin reorganization. What is the role of LIMK1 in the chemotaxis of T lymphocytes induced by SDF-1alpha?",

"Answer": "LIMK1 phosphorylates cofilin and regulates actin reorganization, playing a critical role in SDF-1alpha-induced chemotaxis of T lymphocytes."

"Question": "The CXC chemokine stromal cell-derived factor-1alpha (SDF-1) binds to CXCR4, a seven-transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor that plays a critical role in many physiological processes, including cell migration and cell fate decisions. CXCR4 is also implicated in various pathological conditions, such as metastatic spread and human immunodeficiency virus infection. In the context of SDF-1-induced cell migration in CXCR4-expressing cells, what is the role of Galpha(13) in the activation of Rho by CXCR4?",

"Answer": "Galpha(13) mediates the activation of Rho by CXCR4."

Dimension 3: Investigation Target

Category 1: Single Component as Target

"Question": "Advanced glycation end product (AGE) activation of the signal-transducing receptor for AGE (RAGE) has been linked to a proinflammatory phenotypic change within cells. To investigate the intracellular signaling pathways involved, human serum albumin modified with N(epsilon)-(carboxymethyl)lysine (CML), a major AGE adduct, was used to induce nuclear factor (NF)-kappaB-driven reporter gene expression in human monocytic THP-1 cells. Will human serum albumin modified with N(epsilon)-(carboxymethyl)lysine (CML) inhibit nuclear factor (NF)-kappaB-driven reporter gene expression in human monocytic THP-1 cells?",

"Answer": "No"

"Question": "Although the molecular mechanisms of hepatitis C virus (HCV) pathogenesis are not fully understood, the NS5A nonstructural protein of HCV has been found to interact with the growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (Grb2) adaptor protein. To investigate the effects of NS5A on cellular signaling pathways, HeLa cells were stably expressing NS5A and were tested for their response to exogenous epidermal growth factor. Will HeLa cells stably expressing NS5A be refractory to ERK1/2 phosphorylation induced by exogenous epidermal growth factor?",

"Answer": "Yes"

"Question": "Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC) and other related pathogens can trigger an early apoptotic response in host cells through the secretion of various effectors, including those from the type III secretion system. However, EPEC-infected cells do not typically progress to late apoptotic stages. What is the effect of NleH effectors, which are homologs of the Shigella effector kinase OspG, on caspase-3 activation during EPEC infection?",

"Answer": "NleH effectors inhibit caspase-3 activation during EPEC infection."

"Question": "Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of tubular epithelial cells is a key event in renal interstitial fibrosis and the progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Apelin is a regulatory peptide involved in the regulation of normal renal hemodynamics and tubular functions. To examine the inhibitory effects of apelin on transforming growth factor-beta1 (TGF-beta1)-induced EMT in HK-2 cells, cells were co-treated with apelin and TGF-beta1. What is the effect of apelin on TGF-beta1-mediated upregulation of alpha-smooth muscle actin (alpha-SMA) and downregulation of E-cadherin in HK-2 cells?",

"Answer": "Apelin inhibits TGF-beta1-mediated upregulation of alpha-smooth muscle actin (alpha-SMA) and downregulation of E-cadherin in HK-2 cells."

Category 2: Components Interaction as Target

"Question": "Nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat-containing proteins, or NOD-like receptors (NLRs), are intracellular innate immune sensors that can regulate several signaling pathways, including MyD88- and TRIF-dependent pathways. NLRP12 is a member of the NLR family that can assemble into multimeric protein complexes known as inflammasomes. It has been shown to play a role in forming an inflammasome in response to specific infections and can also function as a regulator of inflammatory signaling. During infection with Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, does NLRP12 act as a negative regulator of the NFkappaB and MAPK signaling pathways?",

"Answer": "Yes"

"Question": "Pathogenic bacteria of the genus Yersinia employ a type III secretion system to inject bacterial effector proteins directly into the host cytosol. One of these effectors, the Yersinia serine/threonine protein kinase YpkA, is an essential virulence determinant involved in host actin cytoskeletal rearrangements and in inhibition of phagocytosis. YpkA has been found to inhibit multiple Galphaq signaling pathways. The kinase activity of YpkA is required for Galphaq inhibition, and YpkA phosphorylates Ser47, a key residue in the GTPase fold of Galphaq. This phosphorylation impairs guanine nucleotide binding by Galphaq. Will Y. pseudotuberculosis expressing wild-type YpkA enhance Galphaq-mediated signaling pathways?",

"Answer": "No"

"Question": "Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) has an immune evasion gene, K5, which reduces cell surface expression of the NKG2D ligands MHC class I-related chain A (MICA), MICB, and the newly defined ligand for NKp80, activation-induced C-type lectin (AICL). What is the effect of K5-mediated ubiquitylation on MICA and NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity?",

"Answer": "K5-mediated ubiquitylation signals internalization but not degradation of MICA and causes a potent reduction in NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity."

"Question": "Measles virus infection is characterized by virus-induced immune suppression that creates susceptibility to opportunistic infections, and it has been found that measles virus can inhibit cytokine responses by direct interference with host STAT protein-dependent signaling systems. The measles V protein plays a role in this interference, but how does the measles V protein specifically affect STAT protein-dependent signaling systems?",

"Answer": "The measles V protein interferes with STAT protein-dependent signaling systems by causing a defect in IFN-induced STAT nuclear accumulation."

Category 3: Function as Target

"Question": "The dysfunction of mitochondria has long been recognized as a key component in the progression of Parkinson's disease (PD). Can dysfunctional mitochondria lead to dysregulation of calcium homeostasis and raised mean intracellular calcium concentration in dopaminergic neurons?",

"Answer": "Yes"

"Question": "The gut epithelium self-renews every several days, providing an important innate defense system that limits bacterial colonization. However, Shigella efficiently colonizes the intestinal epithelium. The Shigella effector IpaB, when delivered into epithelial cells, causes cell-cycle arrest by targeting Mad2L2, an anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC) inhibitor. In synchronized HeLa cells infected with Shigella, Cyclin B1, Cdc20, and Plk1 fail to accumulate, resulting in cell-

cycle arrest at the G2/M phase in an IpaB/Mad2L2-dependent manner. Is the cell-cycle arrest caused by Shigella infection in HeLa cells independent of IpaB and Mad2L2?",

"Answer": "No"

"Question": "Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC) is a diarrheagenic pathogen that employs a type III secretion system (T3SS) to translocate 50 effector proteins, which allow bacterial colonization and subversion of immune responses and disease progression. One of these effector proteins is EspW, which is found in various EHEC strains. What is the effect of deleting espW on cell morphology during EHEC infection?",

"Answer": "Infection of Swiss cells with an EHEC espW deletion mutant induces a cell shrinkage phenotype."

"Question": "Enteropathogenic and enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EPEC and EHEC) are food-borne pathogens that cause severe diarrhoeal disease in humans. Citrobacter rodentium is a related mouse pathogen that serves as a small animal model for EPEC and EHEC infections. These pathogens translocate bacterial virulence proteins directly into host cells via a type III secretion system (T3SS). Non-LEE-encoded effector A (NleA) is a T3SS effector that is common to EPEC, EHEC, and C. rodentium and is required for bacterial virulence. NleA localizes to the host cell secretory pathway and inhibits vesicle trafficking by interacting with the Sec24 subunit of mammalian coatamer protein II complex (COPII). What is the effect of an NleA protein variant with greatly diminished interaction with all Sec24 paralogues on virulence in the mouse infection model?",

"Answer": "An NleA protein variant with greatly diminished interaction with all Sec24 paralogues does not confer virulence in the mouse infection model."

A.2 DATA CREATION AND FILTER PIPELINE

Figure 7: Dataset BioMaze creation pipeline.

The overall dataset creation pipeline is shown in Figure 7. We imply a series of data verifying and filtering to ensure the data quality. The well-define filter removes questions that are poorly defined, unpredictable (e.g., asking for specific measurement values), or require more than one prediction, and the nontrivial filter removes the data that answers revealed in the question's context.

A.3 QUESTION KEY WORDS DISTRIBUTION

We present the distribution of question keywords in Figure 8. While these keywords do not directly correspond to the three main categories we primarily use, they offer an additional perspective on the dataset. Below are explanations of the keywords:

General Influence Inquiry: Can x influence y or not?

Activation Inquiry: Can x activate y?

Inhibition Inquiry: Can x inhibit y?

Dependency Inquiry: Is y dependent on x?

Induction Question: Can x induce y?

Relief Inquiry: Can x relieve y?

Mechanism Question: Does x influence y via a specific mechanism?

Exclusive Mechanism Question: Is a specific mechanism the only mechanism for process z?

Significance Inquiry: Will x cause a significant/insignificant phenomenon y?

Baseline Comparison Question: Is x different from the baseline?

Experimental Observation Question: Will a specific detailed phenomenon be observed in the experiment?

Physiological Observation Question: Will a specific phenomenon be observed in the body?

Figure 8: Dataset key words distribution.

A.4 PATHWAY GRAPH DATABASE STATISTICS

Table 7: Data statistic of our pathway network database.

Entries	Edges	Involved biological process
8939	15131	2265

A.5 IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBGRAPH RETRIEVER

We define the subgraph retriever as follows:

$$\text{Search\_Subgraph}(query, N) = \underset{S \subseteq P, S \text{ is connected}, |S|=N}{\text{argmax}} \sum_{i \in V_S \cup E_S} \text{score}(i, query) \tag{7}$$

which is hard to solve directly for huge graph databse. Inspire by He et al. (2024) that convert optimal subgraph problem into a Prize-Collecting Steiner Tree (PCST) problem, we solve the problem as a bi-level optimization as follows:

$$S(C_e) = \underset{S \subseteq P, S \text{ is connected}}{\mathrm{argmax}} \sum_{i \in V_S \cup E_S} \text{score}(i, query) - |E_S| \times C_e$$

$$C_e^* = \underset{C_e}{\mathrm{argmin}} ||S(C_e)| - N| \tag{8}$$

Then

$$\text{Search\_Subgraph}(query, N) = S(C_e^*)$$

The inner optimization problem, a Prize-Collecting Steiner Tree (PCST) problem, seeks to identify a connected subgraph that maximizes the total score of its nodes and edges while minimizing the edge costs, as utilized in (He et al., 2024). The outer optimization problem minimizes the difference between the optimal subgraph size and the target size. In practice, we apply a fast-solving method for the inner problem proposed by (Hegde et al., 2015) and employ a binary root search algorithm for the outer problem.

A.6   PATHSEEKER CASE

Please explore pathways to find relevant information regarding the following question: Worldwide, one of the most prevalent forms of chronic disease is alcoholic fatty liver, which may progress to more severe forms of liver injury. Chronic ethanol exposure is thought to cause enhanced hepatic lipogenesis and impaired fatty acid oxidation. Adiponectin is an adipose-derived hormone that has been associated with the development of alcoholic liver steatosis in rodent models. Does adiponectin have a protective role against alcoholic liver steatosis?

Action: Thought: To find information regarding the role of adiponectin in protecting against alcoholic liver steatosis, I can use the search_biopathway_subgraph_global tool to explore relevant biological pathways. I should convert all the question content into keywords to enhance retrieval quality. Action:
    search_biopathway_subgraph_global(['Adiponectin,
    adipose-derived hormone, associated with the development of
    alcoholic liver steatosis in rodent models, protective role
    against alcoholic liver steatosis, chronic ethanol exposure,
    enhanced hepatic lipogenesis, impaired fatty acid oxidation,
    liver injury'])
    End Action

State: 0) C00469: Ethanol; Ethyl alcohol; Methylcarbinol |
406999: microRNA 217 MIR217 MIRN217 mir-217 | PCrel indirect
effect activation | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
1) 406999: microRNA 217 MIR217 MIRN217 mir-217 | 23411: sirtuin 1
SIRT1 SIR2 SIR2L1 SIR2alpha | PPrel inhibition indirect effect |
hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
2) 406999: microRNA 217 MIR217 MIRN217 mir-217 | 29896 6434:
TRA2A AWMS1 HSU53209 | PPrel inhibition indirect effect |
hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
3) 29896 6434: TRA2A AWMS1 HSU53209 | 23175 64900 9663: LPIN1
PAP1 | PPrel activation | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
4) 23175 64900 9663: LPIN1 PAP1 | 6720: sterol regulatory element
binding transcription factor 1 SREBF1 HMD IFAP2 SREBP1 bHLHd1 |
PPrel inhibition | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease

```
5) 6720: sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1
SREBF1 HMD IFAP2 SREBP1 bHLHd1 | 6319 79966: SCD FADS5 MSTP008
SCD1 SCDOS hSCD1 | GErel expression | hsa04152: AMPK signaling
pathway hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
6) 6720: sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1
SREBF1 HMD IFAP2 SREBP1 bHLHd1 | 23175 64900 9663: LPIN1 PAP1 |
GErel expression | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
7) C00469: Ethanol; Ethyl alcohol; Methylcarbinol | 51094 79602:
ADIPOR1 ACDCR1 CGI-45 CGI45 PAQR1 TESBP1A | PCrel inhibition
indirect effect | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
8) C00469: Ethanol; Ethyl alcohol; Methylcarbinol | 51422 53632
5562 5563 5564 5565 5571: PRKAG2 AAKG AAKG2 CMH6 H91620p WPWS |
PCrel inhibition indirect effect | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver
disease
9) C00469: Ethanol; Ethyl alcohol; Methylcarbinol | 50507: NADPH
oxidase 4 NOX4 KOX KOX-1 RENOX | PCrel activation | hsa04936:
Alcoholic liver disease
10) 50507: NADPH oxidase 4 NOX4 KOX KOX-1 RENOX | C22381:
Reactive oxygen species; ROS | PCrel activation | hsa04936:
Alcoholic liver disease
11) C22381: Reactive oxygen species; ROS | 51422 53632 5562 5563
5564 5565 5571: PRKAG2 AAKG AAKG2 CMH6 H91620p WPWS | PCrel
inhibition indirect effect | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
12) C22381: Reactive oxygen species; ROS | 10000 207 208: AKT3
MPPH MPPH2 PKB-GAMMA PKBG PRKBG RAC-PK-gamma RAC-gamma STK-2 |
PCrel inhibition | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
13) 10000 207 208: AKT3 MPPH MPPH2 PKB-GAMMA PKBG PRKBG
RAC-PK-gamma RAC-gamma STK-2 | 1499: catenin beta 1 CTNNB1 CTNNB
EVR7 MRD19 NEDSDV armadillo | PPrel activation phosphorylation |
hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
14) C22381: Reactive oxygen species; ROS | 5606 5608: MAP2K3
MAPKK3 MEK3 MKK3 PRKMK3 SAPKK-2 SAPKK2 | PCrel activation |
hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
15) C00469: Ethanol; Ethyl alcohol; Methylcarbinol | 712 713 714:
C1QA C1QD1 | PCrel activation | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
16) C00469: Ethanol; Ethyl alcohol; Methylcarbinol | 3605:
interleukin 17A IL17A CTLA-8 CTLA8 IL-17 IL-17A IL17 ILA17 |
PCrel indirect effect activation | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver
disease
17) C00084: Acetaldehyde; Ethanal | 51422 53632 5562 5563 5564
5565 5571: PRKAG2 AAKG AAKG2 CMH6 H91620p WPWS | PCrel inhibition
indirect effect | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
18) 9370: adiponectin C1Q and collagen domain containing ADIPOQ
ACDC ACRP30 ADIPQTL1 ADPN APM-1 APM1 GBP28 | 51094 79602: ADIPOR1
ACDCR1 CGI-45 CGI45 PAQR1 TESBP1A | PPrel activate activation |
hsa04152: AMPK signaling pathway hsa04211: Longevity regulating
pathway hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease hsa04920: Adipocytokine
signaling pathway N01788: ADIPOQ-ADIPOR signaling pathway
19) 7132: TNF receptor superfamily member 1A TNFRSF1A CD120a FPF
TBP1 TNF-R TNF-R-I TNF-R55 TNFAR TNFR1 TNFR55 TNFR60 p55 p55-R
p60 | 6720: sterol regulatory element binding transcription
factor 1 SREBF1 HMD IFAP2 SREBP1 bHLHd1 | PPrel indirect effect
activation | hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease

Action: Thought: I have gathered a large number of pathways
related to the question. To better understand the role of
adiponectin in protecting against alcoholic liver steatosis, I
will check the neighboring pathways of Pathway 18, which is
directly related to adiponectin. I will use the function
```

```
search_biopathway_triple_N_hop_subgraph with history_line_id set
to 18. The keywords are the same as previously used.
    Action:
    search_biopathway_triple_N_hop_subgraph(18, ['Adiponectin,
    adipose-derived hormone, associated with the development of
    alcoholic liver steatosis in rodent models, protective role
    against alcoholic liver steatosis, chronic ethanol exposure,
    enhanced hepatic lipogenesis, impaired fatty acid oxidation,
    liver injury'])
    End Action

State: 20) C00219: Arachidonate; Arachidonic acid;
(5Z8Z11Z14Z)-Icosatetraenoic acid; cis-581114-Eicosatetraenoic
acid; 5Z8Z11Z14Z-Eicosatetraenoic acid; | 2180 2181 2182 23205
23305 51703: ACSL1 ACS1 FACL1 FACL2 LACS LACS1 LACS2 | pathway
bind to | hsa04216: Ferroptosis N01590: Arachidonate/Adrenic acid
metabolism
21) 2180 2181 2182 23205 23305 51703 81616: ACSL1 ACS1 FACL1
FACL2 LACS LACS1 LACS2 | C00162: Fatty acid | PCrel  | hsa04920:
Adipocytokine signaling pathway
22) 1376: carnitine palmitoyltransferase 2 CPT2 CPT1 CPTASE IIAE4
| 51 8310: ACOX1 ACOX AOX MITCH PALMCOX SCOX | ECrel compound |
hsa00071: Fatty acid degradation
23) 1376: carnitine palmitoyltransferase 2 CPT2 CPT1 CPTASE IIAE4
| 126129 1374 1375: CPT1C CATL1 CPT1-B CPT1P CPTI-B CPTIC SPG73 |
ECrel compound | hsa00071: Fatty acid degradation
24) 126129 1374 1375: CPT1C CATL1 CPT1-B CPT1P CPTI-B CPTIC SPG73
| 2180 2181 2182 23205 23305 51703 81616: ACSL1 ACS1 FACL1 FACL2
LACS LACS1 LACS2 | ECrel compound | hsa00071: Fatty acid
degradation hsa04920: Adipocytokine signaling pathway
25) 4217: mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 5 MAP3K5
ASK1 MAPKKK5 MEKK5 | 5609 6416: MAP2K7 JNKK2 MAPKK7 MEK MEK_7
MKK7 PRKMK7 SAPKK-4 SAPKK4 | PPrel activate activation
phosphorylation | hsa05418: Fluid shear stress and
atherosclerosis hsa04668: TNF signaling pathway hsa04936:
Alcoholic liver disease hsa05208: Chemical carcinogenesis -
reactive oxygen species N01407: Metals to JNK signaling pathway
26) 5609 6416: MAP2K7 JNKK2 MAPKK7 MEK MEK_7 MKK7 PRKMK7 SAPKK-4
SAPKK4 | 5599 5601 5602: MAPK8 JNK JNK-46 JNK1 JNK1A2 JNK21B1/2
PRKM8 | PPrel activate activation phosphorylation | hsa05418:
Fluid shear stress and atherosclerosis hsa05135: Yersinia
infection hsa05417: Lipid and atherosclerosis hsa05167: Kaposi
sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection hsa04620: Toll-like
receptor signaling pathway hsa04668: TNF signaling pathway
27) 5599 5601 5602: MAPK8 JNK JNK-46 JNK1 JNK1A2 JNK21B1/2 PRKM8
SAPK1 SAPK1c | 3667 8471 8660: IRS1 HIRS-1 | PPrel inhibition
phosphorylation | hsa04910: Insulin signaling pathway hsa05010:
Alzheimer disease hsa04930: Type II diabetes mellitus hsa04920:
Adipocytokine signaling pathway
28) 5599 5601 5602: MAPK8 JNK JNK-46 JNK1 JNK1A2 JNK21B1/2 PRKM8
SAPK1 SAPK1c | C00162: Fatty acid | PCrel  | hsa04930: Type II
diabetes mellitus
29) 4790 5970: NFKB1 CVID12 EBP-1 KBF1 NF-kB NF-kB1 NF-kappa-B1
NF-kappaB NF-kappabeta NFKB-p105 | 2919 2920 2921: CXCL1 FSP GRO1
GROa MGSA MGSA-a NAP-3 SCYB1 | PPrel activation expression |
hsa04621: NOD-like receptor signaling pathway hsa05167: Kaposi
sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection hsa05417: Lipid and
atherosclerosis hsa05120: Epithelial cell signaling in
Helicobacter pylori infection hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
```

30) 4790 5970: NFKB1 CVID12 EBP-1 KBF1 NF-kB NF-kB1 NF-kappa-B1
NF-kappaB NF-kappabeta NFKB-p105 NFKB-p50 | 4792: NFKB inhibitor
alpha NFKBIA EDAID2 IKBA MAD-3 NFKBI | PPrel missing interaction
dissociation | hsa05215: Prostate cancer hsa05167: Kaposi
sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection hsa05161: Hepatitis B
hsa05220: Chronic myeloid leukemia hsa05160: Hepatitis C
hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease hsa04657: IL-17 signaling
pathway
31) 4790 5970: NFKB1 CVID12 EBP-1 KBF1 NF-kB NF-kB1 NF-kappa-B1
NF-kappaB NF-kappabeta NFKB-p105 NFKB-p50 NFkappaB | 9021:
suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 SOCS3 ATOD4 CIS3 Cish3 SOCS-3
SSI-3 SSI3 | GErel expression | hsa04920: Adipocytokine signaling
pathway
32) 9021: suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 SOCS3 ATOD4 CIS3
Cish3 SOCS-3 SSI-3 SSI3 | 3667 8471 8660: IRS1 HIRS-1 | PPrel
inhibition | hsa04920: Adipocytokine signaling pathway
33) 51094 79602: ADIPOR1 ACDCR1 CGI-45 CGI45 PAQR1 TESBP1A |
C00076: Calcium cation; Ca2+; Calcium(2+); Calcium ion | PCrel
indirect effect activation | hsa04152: AMPK signaling pathway
hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease
34) C00076: Calcium cation; Ca2+; Calcium(2+); Calcium ion |
10645: calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinase kinase 2
CAMKK2 CAMKK CAMKKB | PCrel activation | hsa04152: AMPK signaling
pathway hsa04211: Longevity regulating pathway hsa04936:
Alcoholic liver disease hsa04920: Adipocytokine signaling pathway
35) 10645: calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinase kinase 2
CAMKK2 CAMKK CAMKKB | 51422 53632 5562 5563 5564 5565 5571:
PRKAG2 AAKG AAKG2 CMH6 H91620p WPWS | PPrel activation
phosphorylation | hsa04152: AMPK signaling pathway hsa04921:
Oxytocin signaling pathway hsa04211: Longevity regulating pathway
hsa04936: Alcoholic liver disease hsa04920: Adipocytokine
signaling pathway
36) 51094 79602: ADIPOR1 ACDCR1 CGI-45 CGI45 PAQR1 TESBP1A |
5465: peroxisome proliferator activated receptor alpha PPARA
NR1C1 PPAR PPAR-alpha PPARalpha hPPAR | PPrel indirect effect
activation | hsa04920: Adipocytokine signaling pathway
37) 5465: peroxisome proliferator activated receptor alpha PPARA
NR1C1 PPAR PPAR-alpha PPARalpha hPPAR | 126129 1374 1375: CPT1C
CATL1 CPT1-B CPT1P CPTI-B CPTIC SPG73 | GErel expression |
hsa04920: Adipocytokine signaling pathway
38) 5465: peroxisome proliferator activated receptor alpha PPARA
NR1C1 PPAR PPAR-alpha PPARalpha hPPAR | C00638: Long-chain fatty
acid; Higher fatty acid | PCrel | hsa04920: Adipocytokine
signaling pathway

Action: Thought: I have gathered a large number of pathways
related to the question. To better understand the role of
adiponectin in protecting against alcoholic liver steatosis, I
will check the neighboring pathways of Pathway 18, which is
directly related to adiponectin. I will use the function
search_biopathway_triple_N_hop_subgraph with history_line_id set
to 18. The keywords are the same as previously used.
    Action:
    search_biopathway_triple_N_hop_subgraph(18, ['Adiponectin,
    adipose-derived hormone, associated with the development of
    alcoholic liver steatosis in rodent models, protective role
    against alcoholic liver steatosis, chronic ethanol exposure,
    enhanced hepatic lipogenesis, impaired fatty acid oxidation,
    liver injury'])

Figure 9: Uncertainty measured by entropy.

```
    End Action

State: No new pathways were found besides those previously seen.

Action: Thought: Since no new pathways were found, I will finish
the exploration.
Answer:
Finished.

State: You finished the task.
```

A.7 BASELINE DETAILS

Chain-of-Thought (CoT) (Wei et al., 2022; Kojima et al., 2022) prompting encourages language models to generate step-by-step reasoning to solve tasks. We adopt CoT as the unaugmented standard method for LLM reasoning.

Chain-of-Knowledge (CoK) (Li et al., 2023a) enhances chain-of-thought reasoning through knowledge verification, enabling language models to handle knowledge-intensive tasks. CoK first generates reasoning using chain-of-thought processes, then employs knowledge triples to verify the accuracy of the reasoning. While the reasoning of CoK is primarily driven by the language model, graph-based information is used for fact verification.

Think-of-Graph (ToG) (Sun et al., 2023) is an interactive reasoning method designed to actively navigate knowledge graphs for question solving. It primarily uses large language models to prune knowledge graph edges, thereby enabling efficient knowledge acquisition from complex graphs. The reasoning process in ToG is guided by graph navigation.

G-Retriever (He et al., 2024) is a graph retriever-augmented generation method that retrieves relevant subgraphs from a database and generates answers based on the retrieved subgraphs. While the original model in their work uses a graph encoder to encode graph data as a separate modality, in this work, we directly implement the graph-to-text encoder for improved versatility and better comparability with other methods.

A.8 UNCERTAINTY MEASURE

Uncertainty We investigate whether graph augmentation can reduce the uncertainty in model responses. We measure this uncertainty in the discriminant task by calculating five times the entropy of the final results, as shown in Figure 9. Notably, graph augmentation reduces prediction uncertainty for LLaMA3-8B but not for GPT-3.5. This discrepancy may arise because GPT-3.5 tends to have fewer hallucinations, whereas LLaMA3-8B may exhibit overconfidence in some generations where it is uncertain.

Figure 10: The radar chart of both GPT-3.5 and LLaMA3 8B performance on BioMaze's different biological domain.

A.9 EVALUATION OF THE EVALUATOR QUALITY

As the generation task involves LLMs as evaluators, we assess the quality of the evaluation method by comparing the result with the human manual annotation score. The accuracy of LLaMA3.1 405B with human annotation is 96%, while the inconsistency lines in the case that the answer is close to the ground truth but the expression is general and missing details.

A.10 PERFORMANCE ON BIOLOGICAL DOMAINS

Figure 10 illustrates both GPT-3.5 and LLaMA3 8B performance on BioMaze's different biological domains.

A.11 INTRODUCTION OF PATHWAY

Understanding biological systems is inherently complex due to the numerous interacting molecules, processes, and environmental factors involved. These systems operate with intricate interactions that result in non-linear, multi-layered, and dynamic behaviors. To address this complexity, biological researchers use pathway graphs as structured blueprints to simplify these systems into organized structures that consist of basic interactions. The linear reactions, cyclical relationships, or the local network of pathways offer snapshots of how a system behaves under specific conditions and enable researchers to predict how changes in one molecule or interaction can affect the entire system. Pathway graphs also provide a structured, static representation of dynamic processes, helping researchers understand the sequence of events even as the system changes over time.

A.12 DETAILED RELATED WORK

Biological Scientific Question Answering Previous studies have explored the potential of language models in the biological scientific domain. MEDHOP (Welbl et al., 2018) and PubMedQA (Jin et al., 2019) investigated biological scientific question answering in the form of reading comprehension. BioASQ-QA (Krithara et al., 2023) proposed a realistic question-answering benchmark for the actual information needs of biomedical experts. Beyond textual QA, several works have also studied multimodal scientific ability (Lu et al., 2022). Additionally, other studies have explored biomedical domain tasks (Vilares & Gómez-Rodríguez, 2019; Jin et al., 2021; Pal et al., 2022). Most existing tasks in the biological sciences concentrate on knowledge probing, assessing how well models understand biological information. In contrast, our work, BioMaze, is the first to focus on models' reasoning abilities within the biological scientific domain, specifically targeting phenomena observed in experiments about biological pathways.

A few studies have examined language models' ability to understand biological pathways. Chatpathway (Li et al., 2023b) and Azam et al. (2024) specifically investigated language models' capacity for completing biological pathways. However, these studies mainly focus on probing biological pathway knowledge, i.e., determining whether language models possess the relevant pathway information. In contrast, this work introduces a novel task that employs pathway models for practical biological pathway phenomenon reasoning, bridging the gap between pathway network knowledge and its implications. We compare this work with previous biological datasets in Table 8.

Table 8: Comparison of previous biological scientific question answering tasks and BioMaze.

	Domain	Question Form	Task Types
MEDHOP Welbl et al. (2018)	Scientific	Choice	Multi-hop reading comprehension
PubMedQA Jin et al. (2019)	Scientific	True/False	Reading comprehension
HEAD-QA Vilares & Gómez-Rodríguez (2019)	Healthcare	Choice	Knowledge probing and reasoning
MedQA Jin et al. (2021)	Medical	Choice	Reading comprehension
MedMCQA Pal et al. (2022)	Medical	Choice	Knowledge probing and reasoning
BioASQ-QA Krithara et al. (2023)	Scientific	True/False and Open-Ended	Knowledege probing
ChatPathway Li et al. (2023b)	Pathway and biochemical	Open-Ended	Knowledge probing
Azam et al. (2024)	Pathway and gene	Choice	Knowledge probing
BioMaze (Ours)	Pathway for the scientific	True/False and Open-Ended	Reasoning in biological pathway

Graph-augmented Language Model Several studies have explored augmenting large language models (LLMs) with graph data. In particular, some works enhance LLMs by encoding graph data as text (Ye et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2024; Fatemi et al., 2023), or tuning LLMs specifically for graph-based tasks (Liu et al., 2023a; Tang et al., 2024; He et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2023; He & Hooi, 2024). By augmenting LLMs with graph data, they have been applied to knowledge-based QA (Sun et al., 2023; He et al., 2024; Li et al., 2023a; Jin et al., 2024; Cheng et al., 2024), and to graph-oriented tasks like graph property prediction (Wang et al., 2024; He et al., 2023). A few other studies leverage graph structures during LLM reasoning to tackle complex tasks (Jiang et al., 2023; Besta et al., 2024).

Unlike tasks in previous works, this study addresses whether reasoning in biological systems can be enhanced by pathway graphs, which act as a *structured blueprint* for reasoning about the system's states. It is not sufficient to simply identify the correct paths in the pathway graph to find the answer. Instead, it is necessary to perform deductive reasoning about the events that occur when the system is intervened upon under specific conditions and to predict the resulting states and mechanisms of the intervened system.

For large graph databases, most works enable LLMs to access graph data through retrieval mechanisms (He et al., 2024; Li et al., 2023a), while a few studies have explored using LLMs as interactive agents (Yao et al., 2023; Shinn et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2024) to navigate and explore vast graph databases (Sun et al., 2023; Jin et al., 2024). In this work, we introduce an agent-based interactive graph exploration approach using subgraph navigation-based browsing, which is more efficient and offers enhanced navigation capabilities for pathway database.