QUANTIZED DISENTANGLED REPRESENTATIONS FOR OBJECT-CENTRIC VISUAL TASKS

ABSTRACT

Recently, the pre-quantization of image features into discrete latent variables has helped to achieve remarkable results in image modeling. In this paper, we propose a method to learn discrete latent variables applied to object-centric tasks. In our approach, each object is assigned a slot which is represented as a vector generated by sampling from non-overlapping sets of low-dimensional discrete variables. We empirically demonstrate that embeddings from the learned discrete latent spaces have the disentanglement property. The model is trained with a set prediction and object discovery as downstream tasks. It achieves the state-of-the-art results on the CLEVR dataset among a class of object-centric methods for set prediction task. We also demonstrate manipulation of individual objects in a scene with controllable image generation in the object discovery setting.

1 INTRODUCTION

The known problem of existing neural networks is that they cannot generalize at the human level Lake et al. (2016); Greff et al. (2020). It is assumed that the reason for this is the inability of current neural networks to dynamically and flexibly bind information distributed throughout it. This is called the *binding problem*. This problem affects the ability of neural networks 1) to construct meaningful representations of entities from unstructured sensory inputs; 2) to maintain obtained separation of information at a representation level; 3) to reuse these representations of entities for new inferences and predictions. One way to solve this problem is to constrain the neural network for learning disentangled object-centric representations of a scene Burgess et al. (2019); Greff et al. (2019); Yang et al. (2020b).

The disentangled object-centric representation may potentially improve generalization and explainability in many machine learning domains such as structured scene representation and scene generation (El-Nouby et al. (2019); Matsumori et al. (2021); Kulkarni et al. (2019)), reinforcement learning (Keramati et al. (2018); Watters et al. (2019a); Kulkarni et al. (2019); Berner et al. (2019); Sun et al. (2019)), planning (Migimatsu & Bohg (2020)), reasoning (Yang et al. (2020a)), and object-centric visual tasks (Groth et al. (2018); Yi et al. (2020); Singh et al. (2021)). However, recent research has focused either on object-centric or disentangled representation and has not paid enough attention to combining them. There are just several works that consider both objectives Burgess et al. (2019); Greff et al. (2019); Li et al. (2020); Yang et al. (2020b).

We propose a method that produces the disentangled representation of objects by quantization of the corresponding slot representation. We call it Vector Quantized Slot Attention (VQ-SA). VQ-SA obtains object slots in an unsupervised manner (Locatello et al. (2020)) and then perform quantization. The slot quantization involves two steps. At the first step, we initialize several discrete latent spaces each corresponding to the one of potential generative factors in the data. At the second step, we initialize each latent space with separate embeddings for potential values of a corresponding generative factor. This two-step quantization allows the model to assign a particular generative factor value to a particular latent embedding.

The proposed object-centric disentangled representation improves the results of the conventional model from Locatello et al. (2020) on object-centric visual tasks such as set prediction compared to light-weighted specialized models (Locatello et al. (2020); Zhang et al. (2019)). We demonstrate it through extensive experiments on the CLEVR dataset (Johnson et al. (2017)).

To measure degree of disentanglement the commonly used disentanglement metrics are BetaVAE score Higgins et al. (2017a), MIG Chen et al. (2018), DCI disentanglement Eastwood & Williams (2018a), SAP score Kumar et al. (2018a), and FactorVAE score Kim & Mnih (2018). These metrics are based on the assumption that disentanglement is achieved on a vector coordinate level, i.e. each coordinate corresponds to the generative factor. In our approach, generative factors are expressed by vectors, and separate coordinates are not interpretable. Thus, metrics listed above are not suitable and the problem of quantitative evaluation of disentanglement in the case of vector representation of generative factors remains an open question for future studies. Nevertheless, we propose DQCF-micro and DQCF-macro methods that qualitatively evaluate the disentanglement in the object discovery task. Original Slot Attention based model achieves remarkable results in the object discovery task, but our model allows not only to separate distributed features into object representations but also to separate distributed features of the objects themselves into representations of their properties.

We first give an overview of the proposed model VQ-SA (Section 2.1). Then, we provide a detailed explanation of the slot quantization approach (Section 2.3) we use to represent objects from an image. We conduct experiments on the CLEVR dataset (Johnson et al. (2017)) for the set prediction task (Section 3.1) and show that our model achieves the state-of-the-art results in some settings and performs comparably well in others. We also conduct experiments for the object discovery task (Section 3.2) and show quality results for the CLEVR dataset (Johnson et al. (2017)). We conduct ablation studies (Section 5) and provide results of modified versions of the proposed model to confirm our design choices. The learned discrete latent spaces possess the disentangled property. We qualitatively demonstrate this (Section 4) by analyzing set prediction results. Finally, we position our work relative to other approaches (Section 6) and discuss the obtained results, advantages, and limitations of our work (Section 7).

Our main contributions are follows:

- We propose a discrete representation (quantization) of object-centric embeddings (Section 2.3) that maps them to several latent spaces.
- The quantization produces disentangled representation (Section 4) there the disentanglement achieved on the level of latent embeddings rather than embedding coordinates.
- Learned discrete representations allow us to manipulate individual objects in a scene and generate scenes with objects with given attributes by manipulation in the latent space (Section 3.2).
- The proposed model VQ-SA achieves state-of-the-art results on the set prediction task on the CLEVR dataset (Section 3.1) among a class of object-centric methods.
- We propose DQCF-micro and DQCF-macro methods that qualitatively evaluate the disentanglement of the learned discrete variables, when they are represented by vectors rather by vector coordinates.

2 METHOD

2.1 OVERVIEW

To obtain valuable object representations, we should first discover objects in the image and then transform their representations into desired ones. We discover objects in an unsupervised manner with the use of a slot attention mechanism (Locatello et al. (2020)). The idea of slot representation is to map an input (image) to a set of latent variables (slots) instead of a single latent vector (Kingma & Welling (2014); Rezende et al. (2014)) such that each slot will describe a part of an input (Locatello et al. (2020); Engelcke et al. (2020; 2021)). We assign each object to a slot of a dimension d_s. Further, we transform each slot to a desired latent representation. We draw inspiration from the discrete latent representation proposed in van den Oord et al. (2017) and apply its modification to each slot. We use multiple latent spaces with small embedding dimension d_l ($d_l < d_s$) and the small number of embeddings in each latent space instead of using a single discrete latent space to map slots. The small dimension of vectors in the latent spaces enables us to construct the resultant slot representation by concatenation. That could be seen as constructing a new vector of factors from given ones.

The main assumption behind this design choice is that each object is generated by a fixed number of generative factors. Thus, it is possible to represent each object (slot) as a combination of embeddings

corresponding to values of generative factors. As most of the generative factors have a discrete nature, the proper choice of the generative distribution would be the categorical one. Particularly, we choose the number of categorical distributions equal to that of generative factors with the number of possible categories equal to that of values of a corresponding generative factor. The overall architecture of the proposed model VQ-SA is depicted in Fig. 1.

Figure 1: Overall architecture of the proposed VQ-SA mode. Top branch corresponds to the set prediction task and bottom branch corresponds to the object discovery task. We assign objects to slots in an unsupervised manner. To process categorical generative factors (CatGF), i.e., shape, color, size, material, we perform quantization by multiple discrete latent spaces with a Gumbel-Softmax trick. For continuous generative factors (ConGF), i.e., x, y, z coordinates, we estimate their values (ConGF Estimate). In the case of the object discovery task, we treat all generative factors as categorical. For continuous factors, this corresponds to splitting it into bins. For the set prediction task, we process categorical and continuous generative factor separately. Then we concatenate both representations and predict object properties.

The VQ-SA pipeline for set prediction task consists of three stages. At the first preprocessing step (Fig. 1a), the image I is flattened by Encoder, combined with positional embeddings, and objects are assigned to slots by an iterative slot attention mechanism. At the second stage (Fig. 1b), we perform separate processing of categorical generative factors (CatGF) and continuous generative factors (ConGF). We use slot quantization by multiple discrete latent spaces to represent categorical generative factors and estimate values of continuous generative factors with ConGF Estimate module. At the final, third stage, (Fig. 1c) we combine both types of generative factors and predict object properties. Then, we match the predicted objects with the ground truth using the Hungarian algorithm (Kuhn (1955)).

For the object discovery task, the pipeline differs at the second and the third stages. We process continuous generative factors similar to generative factors (Fig. 1b) and use the same decoding strategy as in the original Slot Attention Locatello et al. (2020) (Fig. 1e).

2.2 SLOT REPRESENTATION

We follow the procedure proposed in Locatello et al. (2020) to represent an image I as a set of slots S_i, where the slots are vectors of dimension d_s. Each slot S_i is initialized randomly $S_i = \mu + \sigma \cdot N(0, 1)$ and is used to assign an object or a background from an image in an iterative procedure. The main feature of a slot attention mechanism is that slots are competing with each other for assigning an object, i.e., attention coefficients are normalized over the slots. Following Locatello et al. (2020), we use dot-product attention (Luong et al. (2015)) as an attention mechanism for slots and aggregate the resultant slot representation by Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) (Cho et al. (2014)) (Fig. 1).

2.3 SLOT QUANTIZATION

After assigning the objects to the slots, each slot S' is represented in discrete latent spaces L_i; the number of latent spaces is $K = 4$. Each latent space L_i is initialized with numbers of embeddings n_{L_i} equal to the number of categories of a corresponding generative factor. The dimension of embeddings is set to d_l and is lower than the slot dimension d_s ($d_l < d_s$). Thus, the first step is a linear projection of a slot to a lower dimension d_l: $S'_{[d_l \times 1]} = M_{[d_l \times d_s]} \times S_{[d_s \times 1]}$. Then, we construct a new representation of a slot S' in each discrete latent space L_i by the Gumbel-Softmax trick (Jang et al. (2016); Maddison et al. (2016)). First, we calculate the similarity between the slot S' and each embedding e_j^i in latent space L_i to get posterior distributions $q(e_j^i|S')$ by normalization with a Softmax function:

$$sim^i = (S')^T \times L_i = (S')^T \times [e_1^i, e_2^i, \ldots, e_n^i], \ q(e_j^i|S') = \frac{\exp(sim_j^i)}{\sum_j \exp(sim_j^i)}.$$

To obtain values $\hat{e}^i$ from posterior distributions, we use Gumbel-Softmax trick to get the vector y as the continuous approximation of the one-hot-encoded representation of the discrete variable e^i. We use constant temperature parameter $t = 2$.

$$y_j^i = \frac{\exp(\frac{(g_j + \log(q(e_j^i|S')))}{t})}{\sum_j \exp(\frac{(g_j + \log(q(e_j^i|S')))}{t})},$$

where g denotes random samples from a Gumbel distribution.

The resultant representation of slot S' in the discrete latent space L_i is a weighted sum of all embeddings $e_j^i \in L_i$ from L_i with weights $y^i = [y_1^i, y_2^i, \ldots, y_n^i]$:

$$\hat{e}^i = (y^i)^T \times L_i = [y_1^i, y_2^i, \ldots, y_n^i]^T \times [e_1^i, e_2^i, \ldots, e_n^i]$$

Then, every representation of S' from all discrete latent spaces are concatenated: $S^D = [\hat{e}^i, \ldots, \hat{e}^K]$. S^D further is used as a quantized representation of the slot S'. Concatenation could be seen as a construction of a new vector representation (S^D) from separate generative factors $\hat{e}^i, \ldots, \hat{e}^K$.

2.4 COORDINATES REPRESENTATION

We use discrete latent spaces to represent generative factors with categorical nature. However, such encoding of continuous generative factors, e.g., coordinates, may lead to significant errors due to quantization. Also, there is no unique way to represent a continuous value by a discrete segment, as the length of a segment could be viewed as a hyperparameter.

In the set prediction task, we explicitly split generative factors into categorical (shape, color, size, material) and continuous (x, y, z coordinates). We use slot quantization (Section 2.3) to represent categorical generative factors and obtain a quantized slot representation S^D. We use MPL with two layers to predict object coordinates.

In the object discovery task, we also process coordinates separately but in the manner similar to categorical generative factors (Section 2.3). The only difference is that we use a single latent space rather than multiple latent spaces.

2.5 ENCOURAGING DISENTANGLEMENT

In order to aid disentanglement between discrete latent spaces, we add the following well-known term to the loss function:

$$-\sum_i \text{KL}(q(L_i|S')\|p(L_i))$$

$p(L_i)$ is a true prior uniform categorical distribution over discrete latent space L_i, $q(L_i|S')$ — a posterior categorical distribution over a discrete latent space predicted by a neural network. Using this loss term, we force posterior distributions over each latent space $q(L_i|S)$ to be independent and closer to prior distributions, which results in better disentanglement between spaces.

3 EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we demonstrate the two advantages of using the additional vector quantization module. First, it improves performance on the set prediction task without drastically changing the overall model architecture and transforming it into a highly specialized set prediction model (Section 3.1). Second, unsupervised training for the object discovery task makes it possible to learn representations that could be used to edit individual objects in the image in an interpretable manner (Section 3.2).

For all tasks, we first trained the original Slot Attention (Locatello et al. (2020)) for about 600K iterations and used the learned encoder and slot attention weights to initialize the corresponding modules of the VQ-SA model.

3.1 SET PREDICTION

In the set prediction task, the model receives an image as an input and predicts target features as the unordered set of object vectors. The vectors of predicted and target features are matched using the Hungarian algorithm (Kuhn (1955)). One of the difficult aspects of this task is the invariance of sets to permutations. This specific property is explicitly modeled in the architecture using the Slot Attention module (Locatello et al. (2020)), which turns a distributed representation of a whole scene into a set of object representations. The goal of our method is to model the distribution of object features. The number of vector spaces we use is equal to the implied number of generative factors. From each latent space, we sample one vector and concatenate them into the final object representation. As shown in Table 1, compared to the original Slot Attention based model, our approach allows the model to make more accurate predictions. It is also worth noting that the proposed model significantly improves the results for small thresholds, more than twice for the 0.25 threshold and more than two and a half times for the 0.125 threshold. Compared to a highly specialized model for the set prediction task, iDSPN (Zhang et al. (2022)), our proposed model VQ-SA demonstrates comparable results for the thresholds that are greater than one and moderate results for smaller thresholds. The reason is that the architecture of our model was not specially tuned to the set prediction task and could be used for other tasks, e.g., object discovery. Another key difference is that the learned discrete representations are disentangled (Section 4) and could be used to generate images with individual objects edited in an interpretable manner (Section 3.2).

Table 1: Performance on the CLEVR object property set prediction task. For DSPN (Zhang et al. (2019)), Slot MLP and Slot Attention we use results from Locatello et al. (2020) (mean ± std for five seeds). The results of iDSPN are reported following Zhang et al. (2022). For the proposed model, mean and std are calculated for four seeds.

Model	AP_∞ (%)	AP_1 (%)	$AP_{0.5}$ (%)	$AP_{0.25}$ (%)	$AP_{0.125}$ (%)
Slot MLP	19.8 ± 1.6	1.4 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
DSPN T=30	85.2 ± 4.8	81.1 ± 5.2	47.4 ± 17.6	10.8 ± 9.0	0.6 ± 0.7
DSPN T=10	72.8 ± 2.3	59.2 ± 2.8	39.0 ± 4.4	12.4 ± 2.5	1.3 ± 0.4
Slot Attention	94.3 ± 1.1	86.7 ± 1.4	56.0 ± 3.6	10.8 ± 1.7	0.9 ± 0.2
VQ-SA (ours)	$\mathbf{96.1 \pm 0.4}$	$\mathbf{91.2 \pm 0.5}$	$\mathbf{71.8 \pm 2.3}$	$\mathbf{22.2 \pm 2.1}$	$\mathbf{2.4 \pm 0.2}$
iDSPN	98.8 ± 0.5	98.5 ± 0.6	98.2 ± 0.6	95.8 ± 0.7	76.9 ± 2.5

Setup To make correct comparisons with the Slot Attention model, we use the same hyperparameters during training: we use a batch size of 512, three iterations of Slot Attention, and 150,000 training iterations. The model is trained with the Adam (Kingma & Ba (2015)) optimizer with a learning rate of 0.0004. We also use learning rate warmup with an exponential decay schedule after

that. The number of slots is equal to 10, as we use the CLEVR (Johnson et al. (2017)) dataset, except the images with more than 10 objects.

3.2 OBJECT DISCOVERY

In the object discovery task, the model receives a raw image, separates distributed scene features into individual object features, and uses them to reconstruct objects, combining them into the original scene image. We use the Slot Attention module, which transforms input convolutional features into a set of vectors, and a convolutional decoder (Watters et al. (2019b)), which decodes each slot independently into the 4-channel image, where the first three channels are RGB color channels and the fourth channel is an unnormalized mask. To merge the reconstructed objects into the scene, the masks are normalized across all slots using Softmax and used as mixture weights. The original Slot Attention-based model achieves remarkable results in the object discovery task, while our extension allows the model not only to separate distributed features into object representations but also to separate distributed features of the objects themselves into representations of their properties.

Fig. 2 demonstrates the ability to manipulate individual objects in a scene with our model: each column corresponds to a change in one attribute (except the fourth column). Each next image in the column is obtained by changing only one discrete component of the object representation to the discrete latent variable from the same space.

Setup We use the same training setup as the one in the original Slot Attention-based model: the main term in loss is the mean squared image reconstruction error, the batch size is equal to 64, and the optimizer and learning rate setup are the same as in Section 3.1. In this case, we utilize the CLEVR (Johnson et al. (2017)) dataset without images with more than six objects, so the number of slots is equal to 10. We also use an additional loss term, which aids disentenglement. It is described in Section 2.5.

4 DISENTANGLED REPRESENTATIONS

To qualitatively evaluate the disentanglement of the trained discrete variables, we propose methods DQCF-micro and DQCF-macro (DQCF stays for Disentanglement Quality of Categorical generative Factors) that work when the value of generative factor is represented by the vector rather than a particular position in a vector. DQCF-micro evaluates disentanglement with respect to all other vectors from all discrete spaces, while DQCF-macro evaluates it on the level of discrete spaces. For DQCF-micro, we calculate the following statistic over the validation data: the sampling set of latent vectors for every set of objects

Figure 2: Learned discrete representations in VQ-SA enable the manipulation of individual objects in the scene. Each column (except the last) corresponds to a particular attribute that we manipulate. In the last column, examples with manipulation of two properties are shown.

is calculated as $p(prop_k = value_m | e_j^i)$. The example of this value for the first latent space L_1 is presented in Fig. 3. A strong closeness of the distribution of values of one property (e.g., values "small" and "large") to a uniform distribution means that this vector is not specific to objects with certain values of that property and does not contain information that is unique to that property.

Therefore, for DQCF-macro, we further calculate the standard deviation over all values of corresponding properties and get the mean value over all vectors from the same space. The obtained values are presented in Fig. 4. Intuitively, these values show how much the change in the object properties affects the change in the distribution over a particular embeddings space. High values indicate that latent variables from this space contain information specific to this property, while low values indicate the opposite. It can be seen that the information specific to the property "color" is contained in the vectors of both the third and fourth spaces, i.e. they are entangled, while information about other properties is distributed over vectors of single spaces.

Figure 3: Results of DQCF-micro. Example of $p(prop_k = value_m | e_j^i)$ for embeddings from the first space. The probability is calculated as the frequency of objects with $value_m$ of property $prop_k$ for which the vector e_j^i was sampled.

To deal with this entanglement, we use an additional KL-term in loss during training, described in Section 2.5. The difference in resulting disentanglement between models with and without this additional constraint is shown in 5.

There are three images: the original and two with a modified cylinder. Both modifications are obtained by changing one latent variable responsible for the size of the object, but in the case of the model trained without an additional KL-term, this led to a change in color, while in the other case, only the size changed.

Figure 4: Results of DQCF-macro. Relation between variations in object properties and changes in the probability distribution over sets of latent variables.

5 ABLATION STUDIES

As ablation studies, we provide additional qualitative results on how constraints and extensions affect model performance in the supervised set prediction task. As one of the regularization terms, we use the same orthogonality loss as in Shin et al. (2021):

Figure 5: Example of the increasing object size with two models: one trained without using a KL-term and the other—using it.

$$L_{orth} = \frac{1}{N^2} ||l_2(e)^T l_2(e) - I||_F^2,$$

where e is a matrix constructed from particular space embeddings $[e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n]$, $l_2(e)$ denotes an L_2-normalization along first dimension, and N denotes the number of embeddings in this space. Using this constraint neither improves nor degrades performance in this task with our setup. We also investigated how increasing the number of embeddings in each space affects the results. We did not get a noticeable change in the metrics by increasing the number of vectors in each space to eight (32 in total) and 16 (64 in total). Another modification was to use only a single common space that also does not affect the performance. The results for different settings are shown in Table 2.

6 RELATED WORK

Disentanglement   There is no conventional definition of a disentangled representation. The intuitive idea behind the term "disentanglement" is the one about representations that capture and separate generative factors in the data (Bengio et al. (2013); Higgins et al. (2018)). Generative factors of the dataset are a set of independent factors that can describe any sample from the dataset. Metrics of disentanglement such as Beta-VAE (Higgins et al. (2017b)), FactorVAE (Kim & Mnih (2018)),

Table 2: Performance comparison of the Slot Attention model (Locatello et al. (2020)), our proposed VQ-SA model, and its modifications with additional constraints and extensions. The number in parentheses, e.g., VQ-SA(32), indicates the total amount of embeddings we used in discrete latent spaces, i.e., eight embeddings in each for four latent spaces. "Cspace" means that we used only a single latent space with a given number of embeddings. Ablation studies' results are calculated for one seed.

Model	AP_∞ (%)	AP_1 (%)	$AP_{0.5}$ (%)	$AP_{0.25}$ (%)	$AP_{0.125}$ (%)
Slot Attention	94.3 ± 1.1	86.7 ± 1.4	56.0 ± 3.6	10.8 ± 1.7	0.9 ± 0.2
VQ-SA (ours)	$\mathbf{96.1 \pm 0.4}$	$\mathbf{91.2 \pm 0.5}$	$\mathbf{71.8 \pm 2.3}$	$\mathbf{22.2 \pm 2.1}$	$\mathbf{2.4 \pm 0.2}$
VQ-SA with L_{otrh}	96.0	91.4	72.1	22.2	2.3
VQ-SA(32)	96.0	90.9	73.2	23.0	2.4
VQ-SA(32, cspace)	96.2	92.1	76.4	25.6	2.8
VQ-SA(64)	96.4	91.7	75.2	24.1	2.5
VQ-SA(64, cspace)	96.3	91.4	75.1	24.0	2.6

DCI (Eastwood & Williams (2018b)), and SAP (Kumar et al. (2018b)) were designed to reflect the definition of disentanglement representations from Bengio et al. (2013).

Most of the recent approaches to obtaining disentangled representations are based on the Variational Autoencoder framework, which consists of an encoder, that maps the data samples into their latent representations, and a decoder that maps given representations into source data space. VAE tends to match the distribution of the latent representation of the input data and the standard Gaussian distribution. Thus, each representation is generated from a continuous distribution and may not reflect the discrete nature of some generative factors. Beta-VAE (Higgins et al. (2017b); Burgess et al. (2018)) and Factor-VAE (Kim & Mnih (2018)) as modifications of original VAE use additional constraints during training to enforce better disentanglement. As an architecturally different approach, InfoGAN (Chen et al. (2016)) maximizes mutual information between a subset of latent variables and the generated samples to aid disentanglement.

Vector quantized representations   Using discrete latent representation can be seen as a way to gain some advantages in modeling discrete generative factors of data. Assuming that the dimensionality of the discrete factors is known, it is possible to enhance disentanglement by inducing semantic factor biases from known factors (Locatello et al. (2019)). Vector Quantized Variational Autoencoder (VQ-VAE) (van den Oord et al. (2017)) is an approach to learn discrete latent variables by splitting encoded inputs into fixed-size embeddings and assigning them to the nearest vectors from a learnable codebook. The prior distribution of discrete representations is modeled by a separate autoregressive model. VQ-GAN (Esser et al. (2020)) takes the idea of VQ-VAE and extends it through the use of transformers, discriminator and perceptual loss, achieving remarkable image generation results. One of the crucial challenges of Vector Quantized models is achieving high codebook usage, since typically, there is a noticable part of vectors that are rarely used. Yu et al. (2021) claims that mapping all latent variables on a sphere by normalization and using lower-dimensional lookup space can significantly improve the codebook usage with training stability and reconstruction quality. Shin et al. (2021) investigates how forcing latent variables to be orthogonal brings translation equivariance in the quantized space with increased performance in image-to-text and text-to-image generation tasks. In contrast to the improvements described in Yu et al. (2021), this technique reduces the number of latent vectors used.

Set prediction   Neural networks for sets are applied in various fields: object detection (Carion et al. (2020)), point cloud generation (Achlioptas et al. (2017)), molecule generation (Simonovsky & Komodakis (2018)), and speaker diarization (Fujita et al. (2019)). Although the set structure is natural for use in many data, traditional deep learning models are not inherently suitable for representing sets. There are some approaches that are built to reflect the unordered nature of sets: the Deep Set Prediction Network (DSPN) (Zhang et al. (2019)) reflects permutation symmetry by running an inner gradient descent loop that changes a set to encode more similarly to the input; iDSPN Zhang et al. (2022) is an improved version of DSPN with approximate implicit differentiation that provides better optimizations with faster convergence and state-of-the-art performance on the CLEVR dataset. Slot

Attention (Locatello et al. (2020)) and TSPN (Kosiorek et al. (2020)) use set-equivariant self-attention layers to represent the structure of sets.

Object discovery   The discovery of objects in a scene in an unsupervised manner is a crucial aspect of representation learning and a desirable part of a binding problem solution. Works as MONET (Burgess et al. (2019)), IODINE (Greff et al. (2019)), and GENESIS (Engelcke et al. (2020)) are built upon the Variational Autoencoder (VAE) framework (Kingma & Welling (2014); Rezende et al. (2014)). MONET Burgess et al. (2019)) uses the attention network that generates masks and conditions VAE on these masks. IODINE (Greff et al. (2019)) models an image as a spatial Gaussian mixture model to jointly infer the object representation and segmentation. Compared to MONET Burgess et al. (2019)) and IODINE (Greff et al. (2019)), GENESIS (Engelcke et al. (2020)) explicitly models dependencies between scene components that allow the sampling of novel scenes. MONET Burgess et al. (2019)), IODINE (Greff et al. (2019)), and GENESIS (Engelcke et al. (2020)) use multiple steps to encode and decode an image, while Slot Attention (Locatello et al. (2020)) (and its sequential extension for video Kipf et al. (2021)) uses one step but performs an iterative procedure inside this step. The useful property of Slot Attention (Locatello et al. (2020)) is that it produces the set of output vectors (slots) with permutation symmetry. Slots group input information and could be used in unsupervised tasks (object discovery) and supervised tasks (set prediction). GENESIS-v2 (Engelcke et al. (2021)), a development of the GENESIS model (Engelcke et al. (2020)), uses attention masks similarly to Locatello et al. (2020). Another approach utilizes Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) (Goodfellow et al. (2014)) by structuring the generative process (van Steenkiste et al. (2018)). That enables learning about individual objects without supervision. Our work is closely related to Slot Attention, but we move further and improve slot representation by quantization.

7   CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we propose the VQ-SA model that utilizes the idea of slot object representation and models non-overlapping sets of low-dimensional discrete variables, sampling one vector from each to obtain the latent representation of the object. Such representation allows one not only to separate scene distributed features into object representations but also to separate distributed features of the objects themselves into representations of their properties. Our model achieves state-of-the-art results among the class of object-centric methods on a set prediction task. We also demonstrate that through manipulation with learned discrete representations, we can generate scenes with objects with the given property. To qualitatively evaluate the disentanglement in the object discovery task we propose DQCF-micro and DQCF-macro methods.

The important feature of our model is that we use the number of latent discrete spaces equal to the number of generative factors in the data. Thus, our model cannot be applied out of the box to data with a different number of generative factors. As with most object-oriented models, we show results on relatively simple data. Modifying our model for more complex scenes both from real life and from simulators, e.g., AI2Thor (Kolve et al. (2017)), is an interesting and challenging task and will be considered in future works.

REFERENCES

Panos Achlioptas, Olga Diamanti, Ioannis Mitliagkas, and Leonidas J. Guibas. Representation learning and adversarial generation of 3d point clouds. *ArXiv*, abs/1707.02392, 2017.

Yoshua Bengio, Aaron C. Courville, and Pascal Vincent. Representation learning: A review and new perspectives. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 35:1798–1828, 2013.

Christopher Berner, Greg Brockman, Brooke Chan, Vicki Cheung, Przemyslaw Debiak, Christy Dennison, David Farhi, Quirin Fischer, Shariq Hashme, Christopher Hesse, Rafal Józefowicz, Scott Gray, Catherine Olsson, Jakub W. Pachocki, Michael Petrov, Henrique Pondé de Oliveira Pinto, Jonathan Raiman, Tim Salimans, Jeremy Schlatter, Jonas Schneider, Szymon Sidor, Ilya Sutskever, Jie Tang, Filip Wolski, and Susan Zhang. Dota 2 with large scale deep reinforcement learning. *ArXiv*, abs/1912.06680, 2019.

Christopher P. Burgess, Irina Higgins, Arka Pal, Loïc Matthey, Nicholas Watters, Guillaume Desjardins, and Alexander Lerchner. Understanding disentangling in beta-vae. *arXiv: Machine Learning*, 2018.

Christopher P. Burgess, Loïc Matthey, Nicholas Watters, Rishabh Kabra, Irina Higgins, Matthew M. Botvinick, and Alexander Lerchner. Monet: Unsupervised scene decomposition and representation. *ArXiv*, abs/1901.11390, 2019.

Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and Sergey Zagoruyko. End-to-end object detection with transformers. *ArXiv*, abs/2005.12872, 2020.

Ricky T. Q. Chen, Xuechen Li, Roger B Grosse, and David K Duvenaud. Isolating sources of disentanglement in variational autoencoders. In S. Bengio, H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, K. Grauman, N. Cesa-Bianchi, and R. Garnett (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 31. Curran Associates, Inc., 2018. URL `https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2018/file/1ee3dfcd8a0645a25a35977997223d22-Paper.pdf`.

Xi Chen, Yan Duan, Rein Houthooft, John Schulman, Ilya Sutskever, and Pieter Abbeel. Infogan: Interpretable representation learning by information maximizing generative adversarial nets. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS'16, pp. 2180–2188, Red Hook, NY, USA, 2016. Curran Associates Inc. ISBN 9781510838819.

Kyunghyun Cho, Bart van Merriënboer, Caglar Gulcehre, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Fethi Bougares, Holger Schwenk, and Yoshua Bengio. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder–decoder for statistical machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pp. 1724–1734, Doha, Qatar, October 2014. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.3115/v1/D14-1179. URL `https://aclanthology.org/D14-1179`.

Cian Eastwood and Christopher K. I. Williams. A framework for the quantitative evaluation of disentangled representations. In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2018a. URL `https://openreview.net/forum?id=By-7dz-AZ`.

Cian Eastwood and Christopher K. I. Williams. A framework for the quantitative evaluation of disentangled representations. In *ICLR*, 2018b.

Alaaeldin El-Nouby, Shikhar Sharma, Hannes Schulz, Devon Hjelm, Layla El Asri, Samira Ebrahimi Kahou, Yoshua Bengio, and Graham W.Taylor. Tell, draw, and repeat: Generating and modifying images based on continual linguistic instruction. *2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pp. 10303–10311, 2019.

Martin Engelcke, Adam R. Kosiorek, Oiwi Parker Jones, and Ingmar Posner. Genesis: Generative scene inference and sampling with object-centric latent representations. *ArXiv*, abs/1907.13052, 2020.

Martin Engelcke, Oiwi Parker Jones, and Ingmar Posner. Genesis-v2: Inferring unordered object representations without iterative refinement. In *NeurIPS*, 2021.

Patrick Esser, Robin Rombach, and Björn Ommer. Taming transformers for high-resolution image synthesis, 2020.

Yusuke Fujita, Naoyuki Kanda, Shota Horiguchi, Kenji Nagamatsu, and Shinji Watanabe. End-to-end neural speaker diarization with permutation-free objectives. In *INTERSPEECH*, 2019.

Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. In Z. Ghahramani, M. Welling, C. Cortes, N. Lawrence, and K.Q. Weinberger (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 27. Curran Associates, Inc., 2014. URL `https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2014/file/5ca3e9b122f61f8f06494c97b1afccf3-Paper.pdf`.

Klaus Greff, Raphael Lopez Kaufman, Rishabh Kabra, Nicholas Watters, Christopher P. Burgess, Daniel Zoran, Loïc Matthey, Matthew M. Botvinick, and Alexander Lerchner. Multi-object representation learning with iterative variational inference. In *ICML*, 2019.

Klaus Greff, Sjoerd van Steenkiste, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. On the binding problem in artificial neural networks. *ArXiv*, abs/2012.05208, 2020.

Oliver Groth, Fabian B. Fuchs, Ingmar Posner, and Andrea Vedaldi. Shapestacks: Learning vision-based physical intuition for generalised object stacking. *ArXiv*, abs/1804.08018, 2018.

Irina Higgins, Loic Matthey, Arka Pal, Christopher Burgess, Xavier Glorot, Matthew Botvinick, Shakir Mohamed, and Alexander Lerchner. beta-VAE: Learning basic visual concepts with a constrained variational framework. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2017a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=Sy2fzU9gl.

Irina Higgins, Loïc Matthey, Arka Pal, Christopher P. Burgess, Xavier Glorot, Matthew M. Botvinick, Shakir Mohamed, and Alexander Lerchner. beta-vae: Learning basic visual concepts with a constrained variational framework. In *ICLR*, 2017b.

Irina Higgins, David Amos, David Pfau, Sébastien Racanière, Loïc Matthey, Danilo Jimenez Rezende, and Alexander Lerchner. Towards a definition of disentangled representations. *ArXiv*, abs/1812.02230, 2018.

Eric Jang, Shixiang Gu, and Ben Poole. Categorical reparameterization with gumbel-softmax, 2016. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.01144.

Justin Johnson, Bharath Hariharan, Laurens van der Maaten, Li Fei-Fei, C Lawrence Zitnick, and Ross Girshick. Clevr: A diagnostic dataset for compositional language and elementary visual reasoning. In *CVPR*, 2017.

Ramtin Keramati, Jay Whang, Patrick Cho, and Emma Brunskill. Fast exploration with simplified models and approximately optimistic planning in model based reinforcement learning. *arXiv: Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.

Hyunjik Kim and Andriy Mnih. Disentangling by factorising. In Jennifer Dy and Andreas Krause (eds.), *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 80 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 2649–2658. PMLR, 10–15 Jul 2018. URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v80/kim18b.html.

Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In Yoshua Bengio and Yann LeCun (eds.), *3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings*, 2015. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6980.

Diederik P. Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *CoRR*, abs/1312.6114, 2014.

Thomas Kipf, Gamaleldin F. Elsayed, Aravindh Mahendran, Austin Stone, Sara Sabour, Georg Heigold, Rico Jonschkowski, Alexey Dosovitskiy, and Klaus Greff. Conditional object-centric learning from video. *ArXiv*, abs/2111.12594, 2021.

Eric Kolve, Roozbeh Mottaghi, Winson Han, Eli VanderBilt, Luca Weihs, Alvaro Herrasti, Daniel Gordon, Yuke Zhu, Abhinav Gupta, and Ali Farhadi. AI2-THOR: An Interactive 3D Environment for Visual AI. *arXiv*, 2017.

Adam R. Kosiorek, Hyunjik Kim, and Danilo Jimenez Rezende. Conditional set generation with transformers. *ArXiv*, abs/2006.16841, 2020.

H. W. Kuhn. The hungarian method for the assignment problem. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 2(1-2):83–97, 1955. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/nav.3800020109. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/nav.3800020109.

Tejas D. Kulkarni, Ankush Gupta, Catalin Ionescu, Sebastian Borgeaud, Malcolm Reynolds, Andrew Zisserman, and Volodymyr Mnih. Unsupervised learning of object keypoints for perception and control. *ArXiv*, abs/1906.11883, 2019.

Abhishek Kumar, Prasanna Sattigeri, and Avinash Balakrishnan. Variational inference of disentangled latent concepts from unlabeled observations. In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2018a. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=H1kG7GZAW.

Abhishek Kumar, Prasanna Sattigeri, and Avinash Balakrishnan. Variational inference of disentangled latent concepts from unlabeled observations. *ArXiv*, abs/1711.00848, 2018b.

Brenden M. Lake, Tomer David Ullman, Joshua B. Tenenbaum, and Samuel J. Gershman. Building machines that learn and think like people. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 40, 2016.

Nanbo Li, Cian Eastwood, and Robert Fisher. Learning object-centric representations of multi-object scenes from multiple views. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:5656–5666, 2020.

Francesco Locatello, Stefan Bauer, Mario Lucic, Sylvain Gelly, Bernhard Schölkopf, and Olivier Bachem. Challenging common assumptions in the unsupervised learning of disentangled representations. *ArXiv*, abs/1811.12359, 2019.

Francesco Locatello, Dirk Weissenborn, Thomas Unterthiner, Aravindh Mahendran, Georg Heigold, Jakob Uszkoreit, Alexey Dosovitskiy, and Thomas Kipf. Object-centric learning with slot attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.15055*, 2020.

Thang Luong, Hieu Pham, and Christopher D. Manning. Effective approaches to attention-based neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pp. 1412–1421, Lisbon, Portugal, September 2015. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/D15-1166. URL https://aclanthology.org/D15-1166.

Chris J. Maddison, Andriy Mnih, and Yee Whye Teh. The concrete distribution: A continuous relaxation of discrete random variables. *CoRR*, abs/1611.00712, 2016. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1611.00712.

Shoya Matsumori, Kosuke Shingyouchi, Yukikoko Abe, Yosuke Fukuchi, Komei Sugiura, and Michita Imai. Unified questioner transformer for descriptive question generation in goal-oriented visual dialogue. *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pp. 1878–1887, 2021.

Toki Migimatsu and Jeannette Bohg. Object-centric task and motion planning in dynamic environments. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 5:844–851, 2020.

Danilo Jimenez Rezende, Shakir Mohamed, and Daan Wierstra. Stochastic back-propagation and variational inference in deep latent gaussian models. *ArXiv*, abs/1401.4082, 2014.

Won Young Shin, Gyubok Lee, Jiyoung Lee, Joonseok Lee, and E. Choi. Translation-equivariant image quantizer for bi-directional image-text generation. *ArXiv*, abs/2112.00384, 2021.

Martin Simonovsky and Nikos Komodakis. Graphvae: Towards generation of small graphs using variational autoencoders. In *ICANN*, 2018.

Gautam Singh, Fei Deng, and Sungjin Ahn. Illiterate dall-e learns to compose. *ArXiv*, abs/2110.11405, 2021.

Chen Sun, Per Karlsson, Jiajun Wu, Joshua B. Tenenbaum, and Kevin P. Murphy. Stochastic prediction of multi-agent interactions from partial observations. *ArXiv*, abs/1902.09641, 2019.

Aaron van den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. Neural discrete representation learning. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS'17, pp. 6309–6318, Red Hook, NY, USA, 2017. Curran Associates Inc. ISBN 9781510860964.

Sjoerd van Steenkiste, Karol Kurach, and Sylvain Gelly. A case for object compositionality in deep generative models of images. *ArXiv*, abs/1810.10340, 2018.

Nicholas Watters, Loïc Matthey, Matko Bosnjak, Christopher P. Burgess, and Alexander Lerchner. Cobra: Data-efficient model-based rl through unsupervised object discovery and curiosity-driven exploration. *ArXiv*, abs/1905.09275, 2019a.

Nicholas Watters, Loïc Matthey, Christopher P. Burgess, and Alexander Lerchner. Spatial broadcast decoder: A simple architecture for learning disentangled representations in vaes. *ArXiv*, abs/1901.07017, 2019b.

Jianwei Yang, Jiayuan Mao, Jiajun Wu, Devi Parikh, David Cox, Joshua B. Tenenbaum, and Chuang Gan. Object-centric diagnosis of visual reasoning. *ArXiv*, abs/2012.11587, 2020a.

Yanchao Yang, Yutong Chen, and Stefano Soatto. Learning to manipulate individual objects in an image. *2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 6557–6566, 2020b.

Kexin Yi, Chuang Gan, Yunzhu Li, Pushmeet Kohli, Jiajun Wu, Antonio Torralba, and Joshua B. Tenenbaum. Clevrer: Collision events for video representation and reasoning. *ArXiv*, abs/1910.01442, 2020.

Jiahui Yu, Xin Li, Jing Yu Koh, Han Zhang, Ruoming Pang, James Qin, Alexander Ku, Yuanzhong Xu, Jason Baldridge, and Yonghui Wu. Vector-quantized image modeling with improved vqgan. *ArXiv*, abs/2110.04627, 2021.

Yan Zhang, Jonathon Hare, and Adam Prugel-Bennett. Deep set prediction networks. In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 32. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019. URL `https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2019/file/6e79ed05baec2754e25b4eac73a332d2-Paper.pdf`.

Yan Zhang, David W Zhang, Simon Lacoste-Julien, Gertjan J. Burghouts, and Cees G. M. Snoek. Multiset-equivariant set prediction with approximate implicit differentiation. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022. URL `https://openreview.net/forum?id=5K7RRqZEjoS`.