Density estimation on low-dimensional manifolds: an inflation-deflation approach

Abstract

Normalizing Flows (NFs) are universal density estimators based on Neuronal Networks. However, this universality is limited: the density's support needs to be diffeomorphic to a Euclidean space. In this paper, we propose a novel method to overcome this limitation without sacrificing the universality. The proposed method inflates the data manifold by adding noise in the normal space, trains a NF on this inflated manifold and, finally, deflates the learned density. Our main result provides sufficient conditions on the manifold and the specific choice of noise under which the corresponding estimator is exact. Crucially, our method scales to high dimensions because it is based on one NF and does not require to compute its inverse. We also show that, if the embedding dimension is much larger than the manifold dimension, noise in the normal space can be well approximated by some Gaussian noise. This allows using our method for approximating arbitrary densities on non-flat manifolds provided that the manifold dimension is known.

1 Introduction

Many modern problems involving high dimensional data are formulated probabilistically. Key concepts, such as Bayesian Classification, Denoising or Anomaly Detection, make use of the data generating density $p^*(x)$. Therefore, a main research area and of crucial importance is learning this data generating density $p^*(x)$ from samples.

For the case where the corresponding random variable $X \in \mathbb{R}^D$ takes values on a manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^D$, a Normalizing Flow (NF) can be used to learn $p^*(x)$ exactly (Huang et al., 2018). Recently, a few attempts have been made to overcome this topological constraint. However, to do so, all of these methods either need to know the manifold beforehand (Gemici et al. (2016), Rezende et al. (2020)) or they sacrifice the exactness of the estimate (Cornish et al. (2019), Dupont et al. (2019)).

Our goal in this paper is to overcome both the aforementioned limitations of using NFs for density estimation on Riemannian manifolds. Given data points from a $d-$dimensional Riemannian manifold embedded in $\mathbb{R}^D$, $d < D$, we first inflate the manifold by adding a specific noise in the normal space direction of the manifold, then train an NF on this inflated manifold, and, finally, deflate the trained density by exploiting the choice of noise and the geometry of the manifold. See Figure 1 for a schematic overview of these points.

Our main theorem states sufficient conditions on the manifold and the type of noise we use for the inflation step such that the deflation becomes exact. To guarantee the exactness, we do need to know the manifold as in e.g. Rezende et al. (2020) because we need to be able to sample in the manifolds normal space. However, as we will show, for the special case where $D \gg d$, the usual Gaussian noise is an excellent approximation for a noise in the normal space component. This allows using our method for approximating arbitrary densities on Riemannian manifolds provided that the manifold dimension is known. Crucially, our method is based on a single NF without the necessity to invert it and therefore scales to high dimensions.

Notations: We denote the determinant of the Gram matrix of f as $g^f(x) := |\det (J_f(x)^T J_f(x))|$ where $J_f(x)$ is the Jacobian of f. We denote the Lebesque measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as λ_n. Random variables will be denoted with a capital letter, say X, and its corresponding state space with the calligraphical

Figure 1: Schematic overview of our method. 1. A density $p^*(x)$ with support on a d-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{X}$ (top left) is inflated by adding noise σ^2 in the normal space (top right). 2. We have an NF $F_\theta^{-1}(x)$ learn this inflated density $q(\tilde{x})$ using a well-known reference measure $p_\mathcal{U}(u)$. 3. We deflate the learned density to obtain an estimate $\hat{p}(x)$ for $p^*(x)$. 4. Our main result provides sufficient condition for the manifold $\mathcal{X}$ and the choice of noise such that $\hat{p}(x) = p^*(x)$.

version, i.e. $\mathcal{X}$. Small letters correspond to vectors with dimensionality given by context. The letters d, D, n, and N are always natural numbers.

2 BACKGROUND AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

An NF transforms a known auxiliary random variable by using bijective mappings parametrized by Neuronal Networks such that the given data points are samples from this transformed random variable, see Papamakarios et al. (2019). Formally, an NF is a diffeomorphism $F_\theta : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{X}$ and induces a density on $\mathcal{X}$ through $\hat{p}_\theta(x) = (g^F(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} p_\mathcal{U}(u)$ where $p_\mathcal{U}(u)$ is known and $u = F_\theta^{-1}(x)$. The parameters θ are updated such that the KL-divergence between $p^*(x)$ and $p_\theta(x)$,

$$D_{KL}(p^*(x)||p_\theta(x)) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p^*(x)}[\log p_\theta(x)] + const. \tag{1}$$

is minimized. If F_θ is expressive enough, it was proven that in the limit of infinitely many samples, updating θ to minimize this objective function converges to a θ^* such that it holds $\mathbb{P}_X$-almost surely $p^*(x) = p_{\theta^*}(x)$, see (Huang et al., 2018).

More generally, let $X \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ be generated by an unobserved random variable $Z \in \mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with density $\pi(z)$, that is $X = f(Z)$ for some function $f : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ where typically $d < D$. In Gemici et al. (2016), f is an embedding[1], and it was shown that one can calculate probabilities such as $\mathbb{P}_X(A)$ for measurable $A \subset \mathcal{X}$ using a density $p^*(x)$ with respect to the volume form dV_f induced by f, that is

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A) = \int_{f^{-1}(A)} \pi(z)dz = \int_A p^*(x)dV_f(x) \tag{2}$$

with $p^*(x) = \pi(z)g^f(z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $dV_f(x) = \sqrt{g^f(z)}dz$ where $z = f^{-1}(x)$. Hence, given an explicit mapping f and samples from $p^*(x)$, we can learn the unknown density $\pi(z)$ using a usual NF in $\mathbb{R}^d$. However, in general, the generating function f is either unknown or not a diffeomorphism creating numerical instabilities for training inputs close to the singularity points.

In Brehmer & Cranmer (2020), f and the unknown density π are learned simultaneously. The main idea is to define f as a level set of a usual flow in $\mathbb{R}^D$ and train it together with the flow in $\mathbb{R}^d$ used to learn $\pi(z)$. To evaluate the density, one needs to invert f and thus this approach may be very slow for high-dimensional data. Besides, to guarantee that f really learns the manifold they proposed several ad hoc training strategies. We tie in with the idea to use an NF for learning $p^*(x)$ with unknown f and study the following problem.

---

[1]Thus, a regular continuously differentiable mapping (called immersion) which is, restricted to its image, a homeomorphism.

Problem 1 *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a $d-$dimensional manifold embedded in $\mathbb{R}^D$. Let $X = f(Z)$ be a random variable generated by an embedding $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^D$ and a random variable $Z \sim \pi(z)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Given N samples from $p^*(x)$ as described above, find an estimator $\hat{p}$ of p^* such that in the limit of infinitely many samples we have that $\hat{p}(x) = p^*(x)$, $\mathbb{P}_X - $ almost surely.*

3 METHODS

To solve Problem 1, we want to exploit the universality of NFs. We want to inflate $\mathcal{X}$ such that the inflated manifold $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ becomes diffeomorphic to a set $\mathcal{U}$ on which a simple density exists. Doing so, allows us to learn the inflated density $p(\tilde{x})$ exactly using a single NF, see Section 2. Then, given such an estimator for the modified density, we approximate $p^*(x)$ and give sufficient conditions when this approximation is exact.

3.1 THE INFLATION STEP

Denote the tangent space in x as T_x and the normal space as N_x. By definition, N_x is the orthogonal complement of T_x. Therefore, given a sample x of X, if we add some noise $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^D$ to it, $x = \tilde{x} + \varepsilon$, we can decompose this noise into its tangent and normal component, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}} + \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}$. Let us consider noise in the normal space only, i.e. $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}} = 0$. We call this "normal noise" in the following. The resulting new random variable $\tilde{X} = X + \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}$ has the following density

$$q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x}|x)d\mathbb{P}_X(x) \tag{3}$$

where $q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x}|x)$ has mean x and support on N_x. This is well defined because given a basis $n^{(1)}, \ldots, n^{(D-d)}$ of the normal space N_x, we can write x and $\tilde{x}$ uniquely in terms of $(D - d)-$coordinates[2]. Denote by $N_{q_{\mathrm{n}}(\cdot|x)}$ the domain of $q_{\mathrm{n}}(\cdot|x)$. The random variable $\tilde{X}$ is now defined on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X} \times \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} N_{q_{\mathrm{n}}(\cdot|x)}$. We want $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ to be diffeomorphic to a set $\mathcal{U}$ on which a known density can be defined.

Example 1 *(a) Let $\mathcal{X} = S^1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid ||x|| = 1\}$ be the unit circle. For each $x \in S^1$ there exist an $z \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that $x = e_r(x) = (\cos(z), \sin(z))^T$. Now, to sample a vector in N_x, which is spanned by $e_r(x)$, we need to sample a scalar value α. If we set $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}} \sim Uniform[-1, 1]$, then*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = S^1 \times \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{x + \alpha e_r(x) | \alpha \in [-1, 1)\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid ||x||_2 < 2\} \tag{4}$$

which is the open disk with radius 2. The open disk is diffeomorphic to $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. Thus, $q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x})$ can be learned by a single NF F^{-1} and $p_{\mathcal{U}}(u) = Uniform((0, 1) \times (0, 1))$ as reference.

(b) As in (a), we consider the unit circle. Now we set $q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x}|x')$ to be a χ^2- distribution with support $[-1, \infty)$ and scale 3. Then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = S^1 \times \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{x + \alpha e_r(x) | \alpha \in [-1, \infty)\} = \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{5}$$

Thus, $q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x})$ can be learned by a single NF F^{-1} and $p_{\mathcal{U}}(u) = \mathcal{N}(u; 0, I_D)$ as reference.

Both cases can be analogously extended to higher dimensions.

3.2 THE DEFLATION STEP

Our main idea is to find conditions such that equation (3) becomes

$$q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x}|x)d\mathbb{P}_X(x) = q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x}|x)p^*(x) \tag{6}$$

for almost surely all $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and for an almost surely unique $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Because then, given an exact estimator of $q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x})$, say $\hat{q}_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x})$, we have for $\tilde{x} = x$ that $p^*(x) = \hat{q}_{\mathrm{n}}(x)/q_{\mathrm{n}}(x|x)$.

---

[2]Therefore, by writing $q_{\mathrm{n}}(\tilde{x}|x')$ we mean $q_{\mathrm{n}}(\mu|\lambda)$ where $x' = \sum_{i=1}^{D-d} \lambda_i n^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{D-d} \mu_i n^{(i)}$.

For equation (6) to be true, we need to guarantee that almost every $\tilde{x}$ corresponds to only one $x \in \mathcal{X}$. This is certainly the case whenever all the normal spaces have no intersections at all (think of a simple line in $\mathbb{R}^2$). We can relax this assumption by allowing null-set intersections. Moreover, only those subsets of the normal spaces are of interest which are generated by the specific choice of noise $q_n(\tilde{x}|x)$. Thus, only the support of $q_n(\tilde{x}|x)$, $N_{q_n(\cdot|x)}$, matters. The key concept for our main result is expressed in the following definition:

Definition 1 *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a $d-$dimensional manifold and N_x the normal space in $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $q_n(\cdot|x)$ be a density defined on N_x and denote by $N_{q_n(\cdot|x)}$ the domain of $q_n(\cdot|x)$. Denote the collection of all such densities as $Q := \{q_n(\cdot|x)\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$. We say $\mathcal{X}$ is $Q-$**normally separated** if for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ holds that $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}[\tilde{x} \in N_x|\mathbb{P}_X[\mathcal{A}(\tilde{x})] > 0] = 0$, where $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{x}) = \{x' \in \mathcal{X}|\tilde{x} \in N_{q_n(\cdot|x')}\}$.*

In words, every $\tilde{x} \in N_x$ is $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}$-almost surely determined by x.

To familiarize with this concept, consider

Example 2 *Revisit the circle example in 1. There, we chose $q_n(\cdot|x)$ to be the uniform distribution on the half-open interval $[-1, 1)$. The point $(0,0)^T$ is contained in $N_{q_n(\cdot|x)}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and thus $N_{q_n(\cdot|x')} \cap N_{q_n(\cdot|x)} = \{(0,0)^T\}$ for all $x \neq x'$. Hence, for any given $\tilde{x} \in N_x$, we have that*
$$\mathcal{A}(\tilde{x}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{X} & \text{if } \tilde{x} = (0,0)^T, \\ \emptyset & \text{else,} \end{cases} \text{ and therefore } \mathbb{P}_X[\mathcal{A}(\tilde{x})] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tilde{x} = (0,0)^T, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$
Hence $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}\left[\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}|\mathbb{P}_X[\mathcal{A}(\tilde{x})] > 0\right] = \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}\left[\tilde{x} = (0,0)^T\right] = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ since $q_n(\cdot|x)$ is the density of $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}$ with respect to λ_1. What follows is that $\mathcal{X}$ is $Q-$normally seperated.

Theorem 1 *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a $d-$dimensional manifold. For each $x \in \mathcal{X}$, let $q_n(\cdot|x)$ denote a distribution on the normal space of x. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be $Q-$normally separated where $Q := \{q_n(\cdot|x)\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$. Assume that we can learn the density $q_n(\tilde{x})$ as defined in equation (3), by using a single NF F^{-1}, thus $q_n(\tilde{x}) = (g^F(F^{-1}(\tilde{x})))^{-\frac{1}{2}}p_{\mathcal{U}}(F^{-1}(\tilde{x}))$ for some known density $p_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then, for $\mathbb{P}_X-$almost all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ holds that*
$$p^*(x) = \frac{q_n(x)}{q_n(x|x)}. \tag{7}$$

The proof can be found in Appendix A.1.

3.3 GAUSSIAN NOISE AS NORMAL NOISE AND THE CHOICE OF σ^2

Our proposed method depends on three critical points. First, we need to be able to sample in the normal space of $\mathcal{X}$. Second, we need to determine the magnitude and type of noise. Third, we need to make sure that the condition of Theorem 1 is fulfilled. We address (partially) those three points.

1. For the special case where $D \gg d$, we show that a full Gaussian noise is an excellent approximation for a Gaussian noise restricted to the normal space. Consider $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_n$, $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_D)$. Then, the expected relative squared error when approximating normal noise with full Gaussian noise is $\mathbb{V}[|\varepsilon - \varepsilon_n|] = \mathbb{V}[|\varepsilon_t|] = d\sigma^2$. The expected absolute squared error is therefore
$$\mathbb{V}\left[\frac{|\varepsilon - \varepsilon_n|}{\varepsilon_n}\right] = \mathbb{V}\left[\frac{|\varepsilon_t|}{\varepsilon_n}\right] = d\sigma^2 \mathbb{V}\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\right] = \frac{d}{D-d-2} \tag{8}$$
because ε_t and ε_n are independent and $\frac{D-d}{\varepsilon_n}$ follows a scaled inverse χ^2-distribution with $D - d$ degrees of freedom a scale parameter $1/\sigma^2$. Thus, if $D \gg d$, Gaussian noise is an excellent approximation for a Gaussian in the normal space. We denote the inflated density with Gaussian noise by $q_{\sigma^2}(\tilde{x})$ in the following.

2. The inflation must not garble the manifold too much. For instance, adding Gaussian noise with magnitude $\sigma \geq r$ to S^1 will blur the circle. Since the curvature of the circle is $1/r$, intuitively, we want σ to scale with the second derivative of the generating function f. Additionally, we do not want to lose the information of $p^*(x)$ by inflating the manifold. If the generating distribution $\pi(z)$ makes a sharp transition at z_0, $\pi(z_0 - \Delta z_o) \ll \pi(z_0 + \Delta z_o)$ for $|\Delta z_o| \ll 1$, adding to much noise in $x_0 = f(z_0)$ will smooth out that transition. Hence, we want σ to iversly scale with $\pi''(z)$. We

formalize these intuitions in Proposition 1 and prove them in Appendix A.2. In accordance with Theorem 1, we say $p_\sigma(\tilde{x})$ approximates well $p^*(x)$ if $\lim_{\sigma^2} p_\sigma(x)/q_\mathrm{n}(x|x) = p^*(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ where $q_\mathrm{n}(x|x)$ is the normalization constant of a $d-$dimensional Gaussian distribution.

Proposition 1 *Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^D$ be generated by $Z \sim \pi(z)$ through an embedding $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^D$, i.e. $f(Z) = X$. Let $\pi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^D)$. For $q_{\sigma^2}(\tilde{x})$ to approximate well $p^*(x)$, in the sense that $\lim_{\sigma^2 \to 0} q_{\sigma^2}(x)/q_\mathrm{n}(x|x) = p^*(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$, a necessary condition is that:*

$$\left| \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi(z_0)} ||\pi''(z_0) \odot (J_F^T J_F)^{-1}||_+ \right| \ll 1 \tag{9}$$

where $||A||_+ = \sum_{i,j=1}^d A_{ij}$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\odot$ denotes the elementwise product, and $(\pi''(z_0))_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \pi(z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}|_{z=z_0}$ is the Hessian of the prior evaluated at $z_0 = f^{-1}(x)$.

Intuitively, a second necessary condition is that the noise magnitude should be much smaller than the radius of the curvature of the manifold which directly depends on the second order derivatives of f. This can be illustrated in the following example:

Example 3 *For the circle[3] in $\mathbb{R}^2$ generated by $f(z) = (\cos(z), \sin(z))^T$ and a von Mises distribution $\pi(z) \propto \exp(\kappa \cos(z))$, we get that $\sigma^2 \ll \min\left(\left| \frac{2r^2}{\kappa(\kappa \sin^2(z) - \cos(z))} \right|, r^2 \right)$ where the first condition comes from Proposition 1 and the second one comes from the curvature argument.*

Even though this bound may not be usefull as such in practise when f and π are unknown, it can still be used if f and π are estimated locally with nearest neighbor statistics.

From a numerical perspective, inflating a manifold using Gaussian noise circumvents degeneracy problems when training a vanilla NF for low-dimensional manifolds. In particular, the flows Jacobian determinant becomes numerically unstable, see equation (1). This determinant is essentially a volume changing factor for balls. From a sampling perspective, these volumes can be estimated with the number of samples falling into the ball divided by the total number of points. Therefore, we suggest to lower bound σ with the average nearest neighbor obtained from the training set to make sure that these volumes are not empty and thus avoid numerical instabilities.

3. Although the assumption of $Q-$normal separability seems strong, intuitively, if the curvature of the manifold is not too high and if the manifold is not too entangled, $Q-$normal separability is satisfied for a sufficiently small magnitude of noise. Also in the manifold learning literature the entangling must not be too strong. Informally, the so-called reach number provides a necessary condition such that a manifold is learnable through samples, see Chapter 2.2 in Berenfeld & Hoffmann (2019). A potential future avenue is to σ^2 and this reach number.

4 RESULTS

We have four goals in this section: First, we numerically confirm the scaling factor in equation (7). Second, we verify that Gaussian noise can be used to approximate a Gaussian noise restricted to the normal space. Third, we numerically test our bound for σ^2 derived in Section 3.3. Finally, we show that we can learn complicated distributions on S^2 without using explicit charts. For training details, we refer to Appendix B.1 and B.2, respectively.

4.1 VON MISES ON A CIRCLE

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a circle with radius 3 and let $\pi(z) \propto \exp(8 \cos(z))$ be a $1D$ von Mises distribution. Given $z \sim \pi(z)$, we generate a point in $\mathcal{X}$ according to the mapping $f(z) = 3(\cos(z), \sin(z))$. We want to learn the induced density $p^*(x)$. Note that $3p^*(x) = \pi(z)$ since $1/3$ is the volume form induced by f. To benchmark our performance, we use the idea in Gemici et al. (2016) to first embed the circle into $\mathbb{R}$, using e.g. f^{-1}, learn the density there with an NF, and transform this learned density back to S^1. Note that f is not injective and to illustrate the benefit of our method we choose the singularity point to be $(1, 0)^T$ such that we need to numerically stabilize this method for points close to $(1, 0)^T$

---

[3]Technically, the circle does not fulfill the conditions of Proposition 1 since the domain of f is not $\mathbb{R}$.

by moving such points slightly away from $(1,0)^T$, see Brehmer & Cranmer (2020). There, this method is named Flow on manifolds (FOM) and we stick to this notation in the following.

1. The Inflation step: We inflate $\mathcal{X}$ using 3 types of noise: Gaussian in the normal space (NG), Gaussian in the full ambient space (FG), and χ_2-noise as described in examples 1(b). Technically, NG violates the $Q-$normal separability assumption. However, if σ^2 is small and the scale parameter for the von Mises distribution is large enough, this is practically fulfilled.

2. Learning $q_\mathrm{n}(\tilde{x})$: For the NF $F^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$, we use a Block Neural Autoregressive Flow (BNAF) (De Cao et al., 2019). We use the same NF architecture and training procedures across all models.

3. Deflation: Given an estimator for $q_\mathrm{n}(\tilde{x})$, we use equation (7) to calculate $p^*(x)$. For FG and NG, we have that $q_\mathrm{n}(x|x) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}$ and for the normal χ^2-noise is $q_\mathrm{n}(x|x) = \sqrt{3}e^{-3/2}/(\sqrt{8}\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}))$.

In Figure 2, we show the results for $\sigma^2 = 0.01$ and $\sigma^2 = 1$. In the respective plot, the first row shows training samples from the inflated distributions $q_{\sigma^2}(\tilde{x})$ (left) and $q_\mathrm{n}(\tilde{x})$ (middle), respectively. We color code a sample $\tilde{x} = x + \varepsilon$ according to $p^*(x)$ to illustrate the impact of noise on the inflated density. Note that the FOM model (top right) does not need any inflation and therefore is trained on samples from $p^*(x)$ only. In the respective plot, the second row shows the learned density for the different models and compares it to the ground truth von Mises distribution $\pi(z)$ depicted in black. As we can see, for $\sigma^2 = 0.01$ all models perform very well, although the FOM model slightly fails to capture $p(z)$ for z close to 0 which corresponds to the chosen singularity point. For $\sigma^2 = 1$, we see a significant drop in the performance of the Gaussian model. This is not surprising since for large σ^2 equation (6) is violated. Although the manifold is significantly disturbed, the normal noise model still learns the density almost perfectly[4], so does the normal χ^2-noise model, as predicted by Theorem 1.

To measure the dependence of our method on the magnitude of noise, we iterate this experiment for various values of σ^2 and estimate the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistics. The KS statistic is defined as $KS = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}} |F(x) - G(x)|$, where F and G are the cumulative distribution functions associated to the probability densities $p(x)$ and $q(x)$, respectively. By definition, $KS \in [0, 1]$ and $KS = 0$ if and only if $p(x) = q(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathcal{M}$. However, equation (6) is only valid if the conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. There is no reason why using equation (7) for the full Gaussian noise would lead to a density on the manifold $\mathcal{X}$. The KS statistics is ill-posed in this case. Nevertheless, we are interested in measuring the sensitivity to the noise, and thus consider the KS statistics as a relative performance measure.

In Figure 3, we display the KS values depending on different levels of noise, for the NG (blue) and FG (orange) noise compared with the ground truth von Mises distribution. Also, we embed the circle into higher dimensions $D = 5, 10, 15, 20$ and repeat this experiment. The result for $D = 2$ and $D = 20$ are shown in the first row (left and right). For $D = 2$, we add the FOM model (which is independent of σ^2) horizontally. Besides, we depict the lower and upper bound for σ^2 from Chapter 3.3 with dashed vertical lines. In the lower-left image, we show the optimal KS values obtained for both models depending on D. The lower-right image shows the corresponding σ^2 for those optimal KS. In bright, the optimal average σ^2 is shown whereas the dark regions are the minimum respectively maximum values for σ^2 such that we outperformed the FOM benchmark. We note that for both cases, the averaged optimal σ^2 is within the predicted bounds for σ^2.

Interesting, the onset for the increase in the KS value for the NS-noise is roughly 3 which is the radius of the circle. For increasing σ^2, $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ resembles more and more a double cone which is not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$ and thus the NF used to train the inflated distribution may not be able to capture the density close to the circle's center correclty.

4.2 Mixtures on S^2

We finish the section by showing that we can learn a complicated distribution, a mixture of 2-dimensional von Mises distributions, on a sphere with radius 1 without using any knowledge about the manifold except for its intrinsic dimension. For certain magnitudes of σ^2, we outperform the FOM benchmark as we can see in the direct comparison of the learned densities (top right).

---

[4]Note that our method still depends on how good a NF can learn the inflated distribution.

Figure 2: Learned densities for $\sigma^2 = 0.01$ (above) and $\sigma^2 = 1$ (below), respectively. **First row:** Samples used for training the respective model: FG (left), NG (middle), FOM/ χ^2 (right). The black line depicts the manifold $\mathcal{X}$ (a circle with radius 3) and the color codes the value of $q(\tilde{x})$. **Second row:** Colored line: Learned density $\hat{p}(x)$ according to equation (7) multiplied by 3. Blackline: ground truth von Mises distribution.

5 DISCUSSION

To overcome the limitations of NFs to learn a density $p^*(x)$ defined on a low-dimensional manifold, we proposed to embed the manifold into the ambient space such that it becomes diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^D$, learn this inflated density using an NF, and, finally, deflate the inflated density according to Theorem 1. There, we have provided sufficient conditions on the choice of inflation such that we can deduce $p^*(x)$ exactly. Our method depends on some critical points which we addressed in Section

Figure 3: KS values for the NG- (**blue**) and FG-noise method (**orange**) depending on $\sigma^2 \in [10^{-9}, 10]$ and the embedding dimension $D = 5, 10, 15, 20$ in log-scale. For $D = 2$ (lop left), we plot horizontally the KS value obtained by training with FOM. For $D = 2$ and $D = 20$ (top right), the two vertical lines represent the lower and upper bound for σ^2 calculated according to Chapter 3.3 with 10K samples. Bottom left: Optimal KS values depending on D. Bottom right: Optimal averaged σ^2 in bright with the maximum and minimum σ^2 (dark) such that FOM benchmark is outperformed. The dashed horizontal lines are again the theoretical bounds. We used 10 seeds for the error bars.

Figure 4: **Left:** Target density. **Upper right:** Learned densities using FOM and our method with Gaussian noise and $\sigma^2 = 0.01$. **Lower right:** KS vs. σ^2 plot of the Gaussian noise model compared to the FOM with the theoretical bounds from Chapter 3.3 for σ^2 depicted in vertical dashed lines (with 10K samples used to approximate these bounds). We used 3 seeds for the error bars.

3.3. Crucially, we need to sample in the manifold's normal space. Although we have shown that the normal space noise can be approximated by Gaussian noise, in future work, we want to use an iterative scheme to sample from the normal space directly.

REFERENCES

Clément Berenfeld and Marc Hoffmann. Density estimation on an unknown submanifold. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.08477*, 2019.

Johann Brehmer and Kyle Cranmer. Flows for simultaneous manifold learning and density estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.13913*, 2020.

Rob Cornish, Anthony L. Caterini, George Deligiannidis, and Arnaud Doucet. Relaxing bijectivity constraints with continuously indexed normalising flows, 2019.

Nicola De Cao, Ivan Titov, and Wilker Aziz. Block neural autoregressive flow. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.04676*, 2019.

Emilien Dupont, Arnaud Doucet, and Yee Whye Teh. Augmented neural odes. In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32*, pp. 3140–3150. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019. URL http://papers.nips.cc/paper/8577-augmented-neural-odes.pdf.

Mevlana C. Gemici, Danilo Rezende, and Shakir Mohamed. Normalizing flows on riemannian manifolds, 2016.

Chin-Wei Huang, David Krueger, Alexandre Lacoste, and Aaron Courville. Neural autoregressive flows. volume 80 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 2078–2087, Stockholmsmässan, Stockholm Sweden, 10–15 Jul 2018. PMLR. URL http://proceedings.mlr.press/v80/huang18d.html.

Emile Mathieu and Maximilian Nickel. Riemannian continuous normalizing flows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.10605*, 2020.

George Papamakarios, Eric Nalisnick, Danilo Jimenez Rezende, Shakir Mohamed, and Balaji Lakshminarayanan. Normalizing flows for probabilistic modeling and inference, 2019.

Danilo Jimenez Rezende, George Papamakarios, Sébastien Racanière, Michael S. Albergo, Gurtej Kanwar, Phiala E. Shanahan, and Kyle Cranmer. Normalizing flows on tori and spheres, 2020.

A APPENDIX

A.1 PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $x \sim p^*(x)$ and consider $\tilde{x} \sim q_n(\tilde{x}|x)$, i.e. $\tilde{x} \in N_{q_n(\cdot|x)}$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is $Q-$normally seperated, it holds $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}$-almost surely for all $\tilde{x} \in N_{q_n(\cdot|x)}$ that $\mathbb{P}_X[\mathcal{A}(\tilde{x})] = 0$ where

$$\mathcal{A}(\tilde{x}) = \{x' \in \mathcal{X}|\tilde{x} \in N_{q_n(\cdot|x')}\}, \tag{10}$$

see Definition 1. Thus, $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}$-almost surely all $\tilde{x} \in N_x$ are generated by x. Therefore, we can extend the domain of $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}$ to include all $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ (not only those in N_x) by making use of the indicator function χ:

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}[\tilde{X} \in (\tilde{x}, \tilde{x} + d\tilde{x})] = \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}[\tilde{X} \in (\tilde{x}, \tilde{x} + d\tilde{x})]\chi\{\tilde{x} \in N_x\} \tag{11}$$

where $\chi\{\tilde{x} \in N_x\} = \begin{cases} 1 & ,\tilde{x} \in N_x, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}$ indicates the change of domain for $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}$.

It follows that that $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}$ has now a density with respect to λ_D which may be written as

$$\hat{q}(\tilde{x}|x) = q(\tilde{x}|x')\delta(x - x'). \tag{12}$$

Thus, for $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}$-almost all $\tilde{x}$, we have that

$$q_n(\tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} q_n(\tilde{x}|x')d\mathbb{P}_X(x') = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \hat{q}_n(\tilde{x}|x')d\mathbb{P}_X(x') = \int_{\mathcal{X}} q_n(\tilde{x}|x')\delta(x' - x)d\mathbb{P}_X(x')$$
$$= q_n(\tilde{x}|x)p^*(x). \tag{13}$$

Then, evaluated at $\tilde{x} = x$, we have that

$$q_{\mathrm{n}}(x) = q_{\mathrm{n}}(x|x)p^*(x). \tag{14}$$

Solving for $p^*(x)$ yields the desired results. What remains to show is that equation (14) is true for $\mathbb{P}_X$-almost all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. This, again, follows from the Q−normally separability:

$$\mathbb{P}_X\left[x \in \mathcal{X}|q_{\mathrm{n}}(x) \neq q_{\mathrm{n}}(x|x)p^*(x)\right] = 1 - \mathbb{P}_X\left[x \in \mathcal{X}|q_{\mathrm{n}}(x) = q_{\mathrm{n}}(x|x)p^*(x)\right] \tag{15}$$

$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}_X\left[x \in \mathcal{X}|\mathbb{P}_X[\mathcal{A}(\tilde{x})] = 0 \text{ for } \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{X}|X=x}\text{-almost all } \tilde{x} \in N_x\right]$$

$$= 0. \tag{16}$$

A.2 PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

The generating function f is an embedding for $\mathcal{X}$ and $X = f(Z)$ has the density $p^*(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We may extend the domain of $p^*(x)$ to include all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$ using the Dirac-delta function as follows

$$p^*(x) = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \delta(x - f(z))\pi(z)dz \tag{17}$$

After inflating X, we have that

$$p_\Sigma(\tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{N}(\tilde{x}; f(z), \Sigma)\pi(z)dz \tag{18}$$

with covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$. Assume $\tilde{x} = x$ for some $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We Taylor expand $f(x)$ around $z_0 = f^{-1}(x)$ up to first order,

$$f(z) \approx f(z_0) + J_F(z_0)^T(z - z_0), \tag{19}$$

and $\pi(z)$ up to second order,

$$\pi(z) \approx \pi(z_0) + \pi(z_0)'(z - z_0) + \frac{1}{2}(z - z_0)^T\pi''(z_0)(z - z_0). \tag{20}$$

where $\pi(z_0)'$ denotes the gradient and $\pi''(z_0)$ the Hessian of π evaluated at z_0, thus $\pi(z_0)' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\pi''(z_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. Then, we can approximate $p_\Sigma(x)$ as follows:

$$p_\Sigma(x) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D \det(\Sigma)}} \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(z - z_0)^T J_F^T \Sigma^{-1} J_F(z - z_0)) \cdot$$

$$\cdot (\pi(z_0) + \pi(z_0)'^T(z - z_0) + \frac{1}{2}(z - z_0)^T\pi''(z_0)(z - z_0))dz. \tag{21}$$

Now define $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} = J_F^T\Sigma^{-1}J_F$. Then,

$$p_\Sigma(x) \approx \frac{\sqrt{\det(\hat{\Sigma})}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{D-d} \det(\Sigma)}} \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d \det(\hat{\Sigma})}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(z - z_0)^T\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(z - z_0)) \cdot$$

$$\cdot (\pi(z_0) + \pi(z_0)'^T(z - z_0) + \frac{1}{2}(z - z_0)^T\pi''(z_0)(z - z_0))dz. \tag{22}$$

Thus, we can exploit this Gaussian in $\mathcal{Z}$-space and get

$$p_\Sigma(x) \approx \frac{\sqrt{\det(\hat{\Sigma})}}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}}(\pi(z_0) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[(z - z_0)^T\pi''(z_0)(z - z_0)\right])$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\det(\hat{\Sigma})}}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}}(\pi(z_0) + \frac{1}{2}||\pi''(z_0) \odot \hat{\Sigma}||_+), \tag{23}$$

where $\odot$ stands for the elementwise multiplication and $||A||_+ = \sum_{i,j=1}^d A_{ij}$ for a $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ matrix A.

For the special case where $\Sigma = \sigma^2 I_D$, we can simplify this expression by exploiting that

$$\frac{\sqrt{\det(\hat{\Sigma})}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{D-d}\det(\Sigma)}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D-d}{2}}}\frac{\sigma^{-D}}{\sigma^{-d}\sqrt{g^F}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{D-d}{2}}\sqrt{g^F}}. \tag{24}$$

Thus, in total, we get for this special choice of Σ

$$p_\sigma(x) \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{D-d}{2}}\sqrt{g^F}}(\pi(z_0) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}||\pi''(z_0) \odot (J_F^T J_F)^{-1}||_+)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{D-d}{2}}\sqrt{g^F}}\pi(z_0)(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi(z_0)}||\pi''(z_0) \odot (J_F^T J_F)^{-1}||_+) \tag{25}$$

We assume now

$$\left|\frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi(z_0)}||\pi''(z_0) \odot (J_F^T J_F)^{-1}||_+\right| \ll 1. \tag{26}$$

Note that $1/(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{D-d}{2}}$ from equation (25) is exactly the normalization constant obtained when inflating the manifold with Gaussian noise in the normal space, $q_n(x|x) = 1/(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{D-d}{2}}$. What follows is that $\lim_{\sigma^2 \to 0} p_\sigma(x)/q_n(x|x) = p^*(x)$ as we wanted to show.

Remark 1 *Note that*

$$p_\sigma(x)/q(x|x) = \int_\mathcal{X}\frac{\mathcal{N}(x; x', \sigma^2 I_D)}{q(x|x)}p^*(x')dV_f(x'), \tag{27}$$

see equation (2). Thus, if $\sigma^2 \to 0$ and our assumption holds, we have from equation (25) that

$$\lim_{\sigma^2 \to 0}\frac{\mathcal{N}(x; x', \sigma^2 I_D)}{q(x|x)}p^*(x')dV_f(x') = (g^G)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\pi(z_0) = p^*(x). \tag{28}$$

Equation (28) allows us to interpret $\frac{\mathcal{N}(x; x', \sigma^2 I_D)}{q(x|x)}$ as Dirac-delta function for the measure dV_f. We denote this Dirac function as $\delta_f(x)$. For the right hand side of that equation, we can also write

$$p^*(x) = (g^G(z_0))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\pi(z_0) = \int_\mathcal{Z}\delta(z - z_0)(g^G(z))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\pi(z)dz \tag{29}$$

Therefore, we can transfer the Dirac for dV_f to a Dirac for dz as follows:

$$\delta_f(x)dV_f(x) = \delta(z_0)(g^f(z_0))^{-\frac{1}{2}}dz. \tag{30}$$

B EXPERIMENTS

For the Normalizing Flow $T^{-1}(x)$ we use for all the scenarios a BNAF (Block Neural Autoregressive Flow). The number of hidden dimensions was adapted to the dimensionality of the data and the difficulty of the target density. These details are reported in the corresponding tabular. For the optimization scheme, we used Adam optimizer with an initial learning rate 0.1, a learning rate decay of 0.5 after 2000 optimization steps without improvement (learning rate patience). The batch size was set to 200. No hyperparameter fine-tuning was done. The total number of iterations (one iteration corresponds to updating the parameters using one batch sample) used is also reported in the tabular.

B.1 TECHNICAL DETAILS FOR 2D VON MISES

For the FOM and χ^2-noise models, we use the same architecture as for the $D = 2$ case.

Data dimension	hidden layers	hidden dimension	total parameters	iterations
2	3	100	31,204	70000
5	3	250	192,010	70000
10	3	500	764,000	70000
15	3	750	1,716,030	100000
20	3	1000	3,048,040	100000

Table 1: BNAF details for a 2-dimensional von Mises experiment.

B.2 MIXTURE OF VON MISE DISTRIBUTIONS ON S^2

The target distribution is a mixture of four von Mises distributions, $p_1^*(\phi_1, \theta_1), p_2^*(\phi_2, \theta_2), p_3^*(\phi_3, \theta_3)$ and $p_4^*(\phi_4, \theta_4)$. Each of those distributions has the same product form

$$p_i^*(\phi_i, \theta_i) = \frac{\exp(\kappa \cos(\theta_i - \mu_i))}{2\pi I_0(\kappa)} \cdot \frac{\exp(\kappa \cos(2(\phi_i - m_i)))}{\pi I_0(\kappa)}. \tag{31}$$

We set $\kappa = 6$. However, they differ in their mean values μ_i and m_i, see Table **??**. We used 3 different seeds in total to obtain the confidence intervals.

i	μ_i	m_i
1	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	$\frac{\pi}{4}$
2	$\frac{4\pi}{3}$	$\frac{3\pi}{4}$
3	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	$\frac{3\pi}{4}$
4	$\frac{\pi}{4}$	$\frac{4\pi}{3}$

Table 2: Mean values for the mixture of von Mises distributions.

Data dimension	hidden layers	hidden dimension	total parameters	iterations
3	3	600	31204	50000

Table 3: BNAF details for a mixture of von Mises experiment.

We use the same architecture for the FOM model.