3D-Scene-GAN: Three-dimensional Scene Reconstruction with Generative Adversarial Networks

Chong Yu
Software and Services Group
Intel
Shanghai, 200241, China
chong.yu@intel.com

Young Wang
Software and Services Group
Intel
Shanghai, 200241, China
young.wang@intel.com

ABSTRACT

Three-dimensional (3D) Reconstruction is a vital and challenging research topic in advanced computer graphics and computer vision due to the intrinsic complexity and computation cost. Existing methods often produce holes, distortions and obscure parts in the reconstructed 3D models which are not adequate for real usage. The focus of this paper is to achieve high quality 3D reconstruction performance of complicated scene by adopting Generative Adversarial Network (GAN). We propose a novel workflow, namely 3D-Scene-GAN, which can iteratively improve any raw 3D reconstructed models consisting of meshes and textures. 3D-Scene-GAN is a weakly semi-supervised model. It only takes real-time 2D observation images as the supervision, and doesn't rely on prior knowledge of shape models or any referenced observations. Finally, through the qualitative and quantitative experiments, 3D-Scene-GAN shows compelling advantages over the state-of-the-art methods: balanced rank estimation (BRE) scores are improved by 30%-100% on ICL-NUIM dataset, and 36%-190% on SUN3D dataset. And the mean distance error (MDR) also outperforms other state-of-the-art methods on benchmarks.

1 INTRODUCTION

Three-dimensional (3D) Reconstruction is the killer technique in applications like surveying and mapping (Siebert & Teizer, 2014), medical imaging (Marro et al., 2016), 3D printing (Murphy & Atala, 2014), virtual reality (Sra et al., 2016), robotics (Guizilini & Ramos, 2016), etc. Due to the intrinsic complexity and computational cost, 3D reconstruction also arouses great interests of researchers in computer graphics and computer vision areas.

In this paper, we propose a 3D scene reconstruction workflow named 3D-Scene-GAN that combines latest GAN principle as well as advantages in traditional 3D reconstruction methods like SFM and MVS. By the fine-tuning adversarial training process of 3D scene generative model and discriminative model, the proposed workflow can iteratively improve the reconstruction quality. The main contribution of our work can be summarized as following items.

- 3D-Scene-GAN is a weakly semi-supervised model. It only takes real-time 2D observation images as the supervision, and has no reliance of shape priors, CAD model libraries or any referenced observations.
- Unlike many state-of-the-art methods can only generate voxelized objects or some simple isolated objects such as chair, car, plane, etc., 3D-Scene-GAN can be applied to generate very complicated 3D reconstructed scene, and still obtains decent result.
- 3D-Scene-GAN is compatible to any existing 3D reconstruction methods that represent reconstructed results with mesh and texture. This workflow can be applied to further improve mesh structure and texture quality of existing 3D objects reconstructed by other methods.

The implementation website of our project is available at https://github.com/dxxz/ 3D-Scene-GAN.

Figure 1: Illustration for 3D-Scene-GAN's principle. The Palace of Westminster is the target scene for 3D reconstruction. (a) real 3D scene. (b) reconstructed 3D scene. (c,e,g,i) are observed 2D images from real scene. (d,f,h,j) are observed 2D images from reconstructed scene. Each 2D scene image pair ((c)-(d); (e)-(f); (g)-(h); (i)-(j)) is observed with same position and viewpoint.

2   PRINCIPLE OF 3D-SCENE-GAN

Imagine a person wants to discriminate the real scene and artificially reconstructed scene. So firstly, he observes in real 3D scene, then in reconstructed 3D scene. If this person observes the reconstructed 3D scene at exactly the same positions and viewpoints as in the real 3D scene, and all the observed 2D scene image pairs in reconstructed and real 3D scene are exactly the same. Then he can hardly differentiate reconstructed 3D scene from real 3D scene. Figure 1 illustrates this concept.

To combine the purpose of 3D scene reconstruction and Generative adversarial network (GAN) model, we propose the novel workflow, namely 3D-Scene-GAN. It consists of 3D scene generative model and 3D scene discriminative model. Here, we can imagine the discriminative model as the observer aforementioned. The purpose of generative model is to reconstruct new 3D scene which is aligned with real 3D scene, and attempts to confuse the discriminative model. While the purpose of discriminative model is to classify reconstructed and real 3D scene. When 3D-Scene-GAN model achieves Nash Equilibrium, generative model can reconstruct 3D scene which exactly aligns with the character and distribution of real 3D scene. And at the same time, discriminative model returns the classification probability 0.5 for each observation pair of reconstructed and real 3D scene. This is also aligned with the evaluation criterion of 3D reconstructed scene. In conclusion, solving the 3D reconstruction problem is equal to making 3D-Scene-GAN model well-trained and converged.

3   3D-SCENE-GAN FRAMEWORK AND NETWORK STRUCTURE

The overall framework of 3D-Scene-GAN is shown in Figure 2. As 3D-Scene-GAN needs to get observed 2D scene images in reconstructed 3D scene, we import the reconstructed 3D model into Blender and OpenDR (Loper & Black, 2014). In Blender, a virtual camera is setup with same optical parameters as real camera, to collect 2D images along real camera trajectory. OpenDR is a differentiable renderer for mapping 3D models to 2D images, as well as back-propagating gradients of 2D images to 3D models. Differentiable renderer is necessary, because GAN structure needs to be fully differentiable to pass the discriminators gradients to update the generator.

For 3D-Scene-GAN, discriminative model needs good classification capability to handle the complex 2D scene images which is the projection of 3D space. So we apply the 101-layer ResNet (He et al., 2016) as discriminative network. Because generative network needs to reconstruct the 3D model, so we change all convolutional layers to volumetric fully convolutional layers. Typical ResNet applies batch normalization to achieve stable training performance. However, batch normalization makes the discriminative model to map from a batch of inputs to a batch of outputs. 3D-Scene-GAN wants to keep the mapping relation from single input to single output. We replace batch normalization by layer normalization for generative and discriminative networks to avoid the correlations introduced between input samples. We also replace ReLU with parametric ReLU to improve the training performance. Moreover, to improve convergence, we use Adam solver instead of SGD solver. In practice, Adam solver can work with a higher learning rate when training 3D-Scene-GAN. The detailed network structures are shown in Figure 3.

Figure 2: Framework and workflow chart of 3D-Scene-GAN.

Figure 3: Network structure of 3D-Scene-GAN (above: generative, below: discriminative).

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

With the initial rough 3D reconstructed scene model, we initialize parameters in loss functions, and start the iterative fine-tuning training process of 3D-Scene-GAN. The learning rate of generative and discriminative networks is 0.063. Qualitative reconstructed results are shown in Figure 4.

As many state-of-the-art works based on shape prior knowledge are target for single object reconstruction, and cannot handle the complicated scene reconstruction. Moreover, their results are mainly represented in voxelized form. So for fair comparison, we just take the state-of-the-art works based on SFM-MVS (structure from motion and multi-view stereo) and RGB-D camera which have similar scene reconstruction capability and result representation form into comparative experiments. For SFM-MVS based methods, we take Visual-SFM (Wu et al., 2011) and SUN3D-SFM (Xiao et al., 2013). For RGB-D camera based methods, we choose Kintinuous (Whelan et al., 2013), DVO-SLAM (Kerl et al., 2013), RRoIS (Choi et al., 2015) and ElasticFusion (Whelan et al., 2016) for comparation. Two metrics are used to measure reconstruction accuracy. One metric is the mean distance error (MDR) from each point on the ground-truth surfaces to the nearest point in reconstructed models. We use a pairwise comparative indicator, namely balanced rank estimation (BRE) (Wauthier et al., 2013) as another metric to compute numerical scores for all pairs of reconstructed scene models versus ground truth. The value of BRE indicator is in the range of -1 to 1. If the value of BRE is closer to 1, it means there is less relative difference between reconstructed scene and real 3D scene. The quantitative comparative results are shown in Table 1.

Figure 4: Reconstructed results of 3D-Scene-GAN. The reconstructed scene is an assembly hall in Intel site. Size of the hall is 23 meters in length, 11 m in width and 5 m in height.

Table 1: MDR and BRE for evaluation multiple algorithms on ICL-NUIM and SUN3D datasets

Dataset		ICL-NUIMD				SUN3D		
Algorithms	Metrics	Living Room 1	Living Room 4	Office Room 3	Office Room 4	Dorm MIT-2	Lab MIT	Studyroom MIT
Visual -SFM	MDR	0.18	0.16	0.27	0.14	0.14	0.22	0.09
	BRE	-0.13	-0.19	-0.32	-0.26	-0.4	-0.1	0.26
SUN3D -SFM	MDR	0.09	0.08	0.13	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.07
	BRE	0.02	-0.06	-0.16	-0.12	-0.23	0.03	0.35
Kintinuous	MDR	0.22	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.11
	BRE	-0.53	-0.72	-0.87	-0.76	-0.2	-0.57	-0.47
DVO -SLAM	MDR	0.21	0.08	0.11	0.1	0.07	0.11	0.1
	BRE	-0.9	-0.79	-0.59	-0.48	-0.26	-0.57	-0.52
RRoIS	MDR	0.04	**0.07**	**0.04**	0.05	**0.06**	0.06	**0.07**
	BRE	0.47	0.55	0.6	0.23	0.1	0.22	0.5
Elastic Fusion	MDR	0.21	0.15	0.19	0.13	0.11	0.17	0.11
	BRE	-0.33	-0.54	-0.63	-0.57	0.01	-0.34	-0.28
3D-Scene -GAN	MDR	**0.03**	0.08	0.05	**0.04**	0.08	**0.05**	0.09
	BRE	**0.62**	**0.71**	**0.82**	**0.46**	**0.29**	**0.41**	**0.68**

According to the MDE and BRE indicators obtained on the ICL-NUIM and SUN3D datasets, 3D-Scene-GAN outperforms all other state-of-the-art 3D reconstruction methods based on SFM-MVS and RGB-D camera. The closest competitor is RRoIS. 3D-Scene-GAN has almost same MDE with RRoIS. However, 3D-Scene-GAN can still achieve the relative BRE score improvement over RRoIS as 30%-100% on ICL-NUIM, and 36%-190% on SUN3D dataset.

REFERENCES

Sungjoon Choi, Qian-Yi Zhou, and Vladlen Koltun. Robust reconstruction of indoor scenes. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2015 IEEE Conference on*, pp. 5556–5565. IEEE, 2015.

Vitor Guizilini and Fabio Ramos. Large-scale 3d scene reconstruction with hilbert maps. In *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2016 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*, pp. 3247–3254. IEEE, 2016.

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 770–778, 2016.

Christian Kerl, Jurgen Sturm, and Daniel Cremers. Dense visual slam for rgb-d cameras. In *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2013 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*, pp. 2100–2106. IEEE, 2013.

Matthew M Loper and Michael J Black. Opendr: An approximate differentiable renderer. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 154–169. Springer, 2014.

Alessandro Marro, Taha Bandukwala, and Walter Mak. Three-dimensional printing and medical imaging: a review of the methods and applications. *Current problems in diagnostic radiology*, 45 (1):2–9, 2016.

Sean V Murphy and Anthony Atala. 3d bioprinting of tissues and organs. *Nature biotechnology*, 32 (8):773, 2014.

Sebastian Siebert and Jochen Teizer. Mobile 3d mapping for surveying earthwork projects using an unmanned aerial vehicle (uav) system. *Automation in Construction*, 41:1–14, 2014.

Misha Sra, Sergio Garrido-Jurado, Chris Schmandt, and Pattie Maes. Procedurally generated virtual reality from 3d reconstructed physical space. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM Conference on Virtual Reality Software and Technology*, pp. 191–200. ACM, 2016.

Fabian Wauthier, Michael Jordan, and Nebojsa Jojic. Efficient ranking from pairwise comparisons. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 109–117, 2013.

Thomas Whelan, Hordur Johannsson, Michael Kaess, John J Leonard, and John McDonald. Robust real-time visual odometry for dense rgb-d mapping. In *Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2013 IEEE International Conference on*, pp. 5724–5731. IEEE, 2013.

Thomas Whelan, Renato F Salas-Moreno, Ben Glocker, Andrew J Davison, and Stefan Leutenegger. Elasticfusion: Real-time dense slam and light source estimation. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 35(14):1697–1716, 2016.

Changchang Wu et al. Visualsfm: A visual structure from motion system. 2011.

Jianxiong Xiao, Andrew Owens, and Antonio Torralba. Sun3d: A database of big spaces reconstructed using sfm and object labels. In *Computer Vision (ICCV), 2013 IEEE International Conference on*, pp. 1625–1632. IEEE, 2013.