TOWARDS MIXED INITIATIVE GENERATION OF MULTI-CHANNEL SEQUENTIAL STRUCTURE

Anna Huang[1], **Sherol Chen**[1], **Mark J. Nelson**[2], **Natasha Jaques**[3], **Douglas Eck**[1]
[1]Google Brain, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA
[2]The MetaMakers Institute, Falmouth University, Cornwall, UK
[3]Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

annahuang@google.com, sherol@google.com,
mjn@anadrome.org, jaquesn@mit.edu, deck@google.com

ABSTRACT

We argue for the benefit of designing deep generative models through a *mixed-initiative, co-creative* combination of deep learning algorithms and human specifications, focusing on multi-channel music composition. Sequence models have shown convincing results in domains such as auto-completion, speech to text, and translation; however, longer-term structure remains a major challenge. Given lengthy inputs and outputs, deep generative systems still lack reliable representations of beginnings, middles, and ends, which are standard aspects of creating content in domains such as music composition. This paper aims to contribute a framework for mixed-initiative generation approaches that let humans both supply and control some of these aspects in a deep generative model for music, Counterpoint by Convolutional Neural Network (CoCoNet) (Huang et al., 2017).

1 INTRODUCTION

As generative machine learning models improve in their ability to imitate and transfer rich creative artifacts and styles, they become increasingly useful in creative pursuits. However, we often do not want the machine to drive the entire generative process. Humans in the loop can allow generation to capture subjective and context-dependent preferences, and in complex tasks can simplify the modeling problem. In addition, humans working with ML systems often *want* to meaningfully shape the result.

We focus on musical composition, which provides not only formally identified structures, but also a language that is easily represented both visually and numerically. It has a sequential structure, but in polyphonic music it is a multi-channel sequential structure with coordinated and synchronized timelines. We believe that insights from deep generative music models can furthermore carry over to other domains that have multi-channel sequential content, such as screen plays and video games, so believe that it is a suitable path for studying how this type of content can be effectively and constructively generated in a mixed-initiative manner.

2 MIXED-INITIATIVE DEEP GENERATIVE MODELS

We propose that a framework of *mixed-initiative co-creativity* (Horvitz, 1999; Liapis et al., 2016; Deterding et al., 2017) clarifies the design space for deep-learning generative systems interacting with humans, where each drives aspects of the creative process. *Mixed initiative* means designing interfaces where a human and an AI system can each "take the initiative" in making decisions. *Co-creative* means building generative systems where creative outputs are driven by meaningful creative input from both generative techniques and humans.

The large literature on mixed-initiative and co-creative systems can help us understand design trade-offs and possibilities when building generative ML systems that create together with humans. To choose just a few examples of useful concepts to borrow: human/machine co-creation can have



Figure 1: Spectrum of human and computer initiatives (Deterding et al., 2017)

disparate goals, ranging from a creative coach to a colleague, with UX and system-design implications (Lubart, 2005); the loaded term *autonomous* can be broken down into more precise meanings (Bradshaw et al., 2003); co-creation can be structured temporally (alternating) or by competency (task-divided) (Kantosalo & Toivonen, 2016); and interactive ML techniques such as imitation learning can help scale control of co-creative systems (Jacob & Magerko, 2015).

Here we focus on two aspects of this design space specifically for generating multi-channel musical structure. One is a simple axis of initiative, from mainly human to mainly computer initiative, illustrated in Figure 1. The other is a qualitative look at how the user makes decisions.

Some decisions are surface-level changes, such as modifying a few notes in a musical score or a small patch of pixels on an image, usually through direct manipulation. Others are high-level changes, such as changing the mode of a phrase from major to minor. High-level changes usually imply many surface-level changes, so require a way to map between the desired high-level change and the required low-level changes.

Many methods of been proposed to map from high-level to surface-level changes in generative music systems. An ML system's existing parameters can be directly exposed as knobs (Morris et al., 2008); latent spaces can be used as palettes for exploration through interpolation **?**; a specification language can allow for configurable constraints on latent spaces (Engel et al., 2017); in a reinforcement learning setting musical constraints can be used to formulate rewards fed into a generative system Jaques et al. (2017); or musical examples can be used as templates where high-level structure is extracted and used as optimization objectives for guiding the synthesis of a new piece (Lattner et al., 2016).[1]

Three primary factors for the system designer are: (1) which controls or parameters the user will specify to guide the generation process, (2) how these controls impact the generator's processes, and (3) the amount of overall control this gives the user over the produced content.

3 COCONET: PARTIAL SCORE COMPLETION

Machine learning models of music typically break up the task of composition into a chronological process, composing a piece of music in a single pass from beginning to end. On the contrary, human composers write music in a nonlinear fashion, scribbling motifs here and there, often revisiting choices previously made. This motivates the need to design models that are more flexible.

COCONET is a deep convolutional neural network trained to reconstruct partial scores (Huang et al., 2017), analogous to orderless NADE (Uria et al., 2014; 2016) . Musical scores are represented as multi-channel 2D binary matrices, encoding instruments, discretized time and pitch. Once trained, the model provides direct access to all conditionals of the form $p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \mathbf{x}_C)$ where $\mathbf{x}_C$ is a fragment of a musical score $\mathbf{x}$ and $i \notin C$ is in its complement.



Figure 2: Showing the change propagation across channels from surface to deep.

Given a partial score from the user, COCONET can use these conditions to fill in the gaps. In practice, we use blocked Gibbs sampling which repeats the in-filling process to approximate the benefits of rewriting. Since COCONET supports general partial score completion, musicians can use it to accomplish a wide range of musical tasks, such as unconditioned generation, harmonization, transition, rewriting an existing piece by by removing and regenerating voice by voice.

[1]The last method is parallel to earlier work in visual style transfer where examples are given for content and style (Gatys et al., 2016; Dumoulin et al., 2017).

3.1 MIXED-INITIATIVE CHANGE PROPAGATION

As opposed to a two stage process where the human first composes some parts of the score, and the model completes the rest, the human and the model can be in a tight-loop during the creative process. We give an illustrative example on harmonization. The musician composed a melody that outlines the beginning, middle and end of a piece. Here we use the melody from "Ode to joy" as an example so that it is recognizable. For example the melody indicates closure by a downward contour at the end. The musician asks "what if" I moved the last two notes up, would that break the closure? Even though the user only changed the musical surface of the melody, it can have implications on the harmony. Seeing the new melody, COCONET regenerates the other channels where not only the surface notes change but also the deeper harmonic structure. In this case, the key is changed but COCONET was able to preserve the closure and the wrong notes actually sounds right. Figure 3 shows the pianorolls of the original and modified melody and coconet's harmonizations. Figure 2 shows a schematic of the impact of user's changes to the musical surface. [2].

4 CONCLUSION

Deep generative systems' potential can be maximized if humans are integrated into the generative loop—at least when they want to be. This will allow humans to create in the new ways that are enabled by contemporary machine learning. In addition, humans do have many advantages in artistic domains, such as more direct access to their own subjective preferences and context, whose modeling can be minimized or bypassed if the user can directly control them.



Figure 3: COCONET helps the user imagine how a piece would sound if she changed the last two notes of the melody, bracketed in blue. Left: the original melody on top, and COCONET's harmonization on the bottom. Right: the user's modified melody, and COCONET's new harmonization.

We proposed *mixed-initiative co-creativity* as a framework for thinking about the design options and tradeoffs when building generative ML systems that create together with humans. By adapting these existing HCI and AI concepts to the new possibilities of deep generative systems, we can more purposefully understand what kind of human/machine interaction we are looking for, and design purposely for it. We specifically focus here on multi-channel sequential music generation in the deep generative system COCONET, which we believe is a domain well suited to investigating such mixed-initiative generative systems.

In future work we would like to extend this approach to complex sequential domains other than music, such as stories. For example, RoleModel is a constraint-satisfaction based story generator that, like COCONET, takes specifications from the story author and infers from an model how to enhance and complete the composition (Chen et al., 2010). This is not a machine-learning approach, instead breaking stories down into grammars and rulesets that the author can take the initiative to recombine as desired, while also giving the computer initiative in completing outcomes. In expanding mixed-initiative deep generative models beyond music, we hope to borrow from these practices to create similar modes of engagement between human and computer.

REFERENCES

Jeffrey M. Bradshaw, Paul J. Feltovich, Hyuckchul Jung, Shriniwas Kulkarni, William Taysom, and Andrzej Uszok. Dimensions of adjustable autonomy and mixed-initiative interaction. In

[2]Samples of COCONET's harmonization of the original "Ode to joy" melody at after the modification of the last melody notes can be heard at `https://coconets.github.io/`

Modes of Human Initiative	Music Constraints	Story Constraints
1. Tabula Rasa (Blank Slate)	No specifications	No Specifications
2. Constrained Generation	Number of measures	Number of characters
3. Specified Content Elaboration	Fully composed melody	Fully outlined timesteps

Table 1: Modes of Human Initiative

International Workshop on Computational Autonomy, pp. 17–39, 2003.

Sherol Chen, Adam M. Smith, Arnav Jhala, Noah Wardrip-Fruin, and Michael Mateas. RoleModel: towards a formal model of dramatic roles for story generation. In *Proceedings of the Intelligent Narrative Technologies III Workshop*, 2010.

Sebastian Deterding, Jonathan Hook, Rebecca Fiebrink, Marco Gillies, Jeremy Gow, Memo Akten, Gillian Smith, Antonios Liapis, and Kate Compton. Mixed-initiative creative interfaces. In *CHI 2017 Extended Abstracts*, pp. 628–635, 2017.

Vincent Dumoulin, Johnathon Shlens, and Manjunath Kudlur. A learned representation for artistic style. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2017.

Jesse Engel, Matthew Hoffman, and Adam Roberts. Latent constraints: Learning to generate conditionally from unconditional generative models. *CoRR*, abs/1711.05772, 2017. URL `http://arxiv.org/abs/1711.05772`.

Leon A. Gatys, Alexander S. Ecker, and Matthias Bethge. Image style transfer using convolutional neural networks. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 2414–2423, 2016.

Eric Horvitz. Principles of mixed-initiative user interfaces. In *SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 159–166, 1999.

Cheng-Zhi Anna Huang, Tim Cooijmans, Adam Roberts, Aaron Courville, and Doug Eck. Counterpoint by convolution. In *International Conference on Music Information Retrieval*, 2017.

Mikhail Jacob and Brian Magerko. Interaction-based authoring for scalable co-creative agents. In *International Conference on Computational Creativity*, pp. 236–243, 2015.

Natasha Jaques, Shixiang Gu, Richard E Turner, and Douglas Eck. Tuning recurrent neural networks with reinforcement learning. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2017.

Anna Kantosalo and Hannu Toivonen. Modes for creative human-computer collaboration: Alternating and task-divided co-creativity. In *International Conference on Computational Creativity*, pp. 77–84, 2016.

Stefan Lattner, Maarten Grachten, and Gerhard Widmer. Imposing higher-level structure in polyphonic music generation using convolutional restricted boltzmann machines and constraints. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.04742*, 2016.

Antonios Liapis, Georgios N. Yannakakis, Constantine Alexopoulos, and Phil Lopes. Can computers foster human users' creativity? Theory and praxis of mixed-initiative co-creativity. *Digital Culture & Education*, 8(2):136–153, 2016.

Todd Lubart. How can computers be partners in the creative process. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 63(4-5):365–369, 2005.

Dan Morris, Ian Simon, and Sumit Basu. Exposing parameters of a trained dynamic model for interactive music creation. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 784–791, 2008.

Benigno Uria, Iain Murray, and Hugo Larochelle. A deep and tractable density estimator. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 467–475, 2014.

Benigno Uria, Marc-Alexandre Côté, Karol Gregor, Iain Murray, and Hugo Larochelle. Neural autoregressive distribution estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.02226*, 2016.