Efficient Anatomy Segmentation in Laparoscopic Surgery using Multi-Teacher Knowledge Distillation

Lennart Maack [1]   LENNART.MAACK@TUHH.DE

Finn Behrendt [1]   FINN.BEHRENDT@TUHH.DE

Debayan Bhattacharya [1]   DEBAYAN.BHATTACHARYA@TUHH.DE

Sarah Latus [1]   S.LATUS@TUHH.DE

Alexander Schlaefer [1]   SCHLAEFER@TUHH.DE

[1] *Institute of Medical Technology and Intelligent Systems, Hamburg University of Technology, Hamburg, Germany*

Editors: Accepted for publication at MIDL 2024

Abstract

Automatic segmentation of anatomical structures in laparoscopic images or videos is an important prerequisite for visual assistance tools which are designed to increase efficiency and safety during an intervention. In order to be used in a realistic clinical scenario, both high accuracy and real-time capability are required. Current deep learning networks for anatomy segmentation show high accuracy, but are not suitable for real-time clinical application due to their large size. As smaller, real-time capable deep learning networks show lower segmentation performance, we propose a multi-teacher knowledge distillation approach applicable to partially labeled datasets. We leverage the knowledge of multiple anatomy-specific, high-accuracy teacher networks to improve the segmentation performance of a single and efficient student network capable of segmenting multiple anatomies simultaneously. To do so, we minimize the Kullback-Leibler divergence between the normalized anatomy-specific teacher logits and the respective normalized logits of the student. We conduct experiments on the Dresden Surgical Anatomy Dataset, which provides multiple subsets of binary segmented anatomical structures. Results show that our approach can increase the overall Dice score for different real-time capable network architectures for anatomy segmentation.

Keywords: Anatomy Segmentation, Real-Time, Surgical Computer Vision, Knowledge Distillation

1. Introduction

Postoperative complications remain a major problem for both the healthcare system and the individual patient and are associated with higher healthcare costs and poorer outcomes (Dencker et al., 2021). An important factor in decreasing postoperative complications is the reduction of technical errors, which are defined as adverse events directly related to manual errors of the surgeon (Fecso et al., 2017; Suliburk et al., 2019).

The increasing adoption of minimally invasive procedures, which rely on the visualization by endoscopic cameras, leads to an increasing amount of available surgical video data. This enables the data-driven analysis of surgical video data using computer vision techniques, such as deep learning, to visually assist surgeons (Mascagni et al., 2022). An essential prerequisite for computer vision-based surgical assistance applications is the accurate and real-time

perception of the intraoperative environment, e.g. by segmenting the surgical instruments and anatomical structures. To evaluate the accuracy of computer vision-based methods for anatomy segmentation, various data sets with pixel-wise annotations of anatomical structures were introduced (Bamba et al., 2021; Madani et al., 2020; Allan et al., 2020). As these data sets have little diversity due to their size or are based solely on simpler porcine tissue data, the applicability of deep learning models trained with such data sets in a real clinical setting is limited. Therefore, Carstens et al. (Carstens et al., 2023) published the largest public data set of laparoscopic images to date, namely the Dresden Surgical Anatomy Dataset (DSAD). It is divided into partially annotated sub-datasets, containing overall 13, 195 laparoscopic images with pixel-wise annotations of eleven anatomical structures. As only binary annotations for one anatomy are provided in a sub-dataset, although several other anatomical structures without annotation are visible, complete information about the background remains unknown. To tackle the problem of training networks with partially labeled datasets, the usage of annotation adaptive loss functions has been proposed (Vu et al., 2021; Ulrich et al., 2023). In their recent work, Kolbinger et al. (Kolbinger et al., 2023) trained a combined network with a shared encoder and multiple decoders for each of the sub-datasets in the DSAD. In addition, they made use of mutual-exclusivity by incorporating the information of a positive annotation of one class as a negative annotation for all other classes. Despite achieving segmentation accuracy comparable to human experts, there are two limitations. First, the binary segmentation accuracy of the combined network is inferior compared to anatomy-specific single-encoder, single-decoder networks (Kolbinger et al., 2023). Second, due to the large size of the encoder and decoder networks, achieving a sufficiently high frame rate for delay-free segmentation of anatomical structures on dedicated hardware in the operating room can not be guaranteed. Methods for real-time capable segmentation in surgical videos include the development of lightweight convolution-based architectures for faster segmentation of surgical instruments in laparoscopy (Tomasini et al., 2022; Pakhomov and Navab, 2020; Jha et al., 2021). While networks with a low amount of parameters can provide good segmentation performance for simpler tasks such as surgical instrument segmentation, they are ineffective at learning complex features required for accurate segmentation of anatomies in surgical videos. Knowledge Distillation has drawn attention to overcome the dilemma of decreasing performance when using smaller models capable of faster inference speed. Xie et al. (Xie et al., 2018) transfer the zero- and first-order knowledge from a strong teacher network to guide the fast student network. Qin et al. (Qin et al., 2021) improve the segmentation performance on public CT datasets by proposing a novel module that encodes regional knowledge for a student network. To overcome the dependency of student networks on a single teacher network, Amirkhani et al. (Amirkhani et al., 2021) include multiple teacher networks trained with the same input but different style transfers and data augmentations.

In this work, we propose a multi-teacher knowledge distillation (MT-KD) approach that leverages the knowledge of multiple anatomy-specific, high-accuracy teacher networks to tackle the problem of training a single network with partially labeled datasets. Specifically, we use MT-KD to improve the segmentation performance of a real-time capable student network with a small number of parameters. In a first step, multiple teacher networks are trained to obtain high anatomy-specific accuracy. In a second step, the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence is minimized between the normalized output logits of the individ-

ual anatomy-specific teacher models and the normalized output logits of the corresponding anatomy-specific decoder of the student model. This way, the teacher networks guide the student to pay more attention to the most salient regions in order to accurately segment the anatomies. By improving the segmentation accuracy of small student networks, capable of segmenting multiple anatomies simultaneously, we aim to increase the applicability of computer vision methods in realistic surgical scenarios. The comprehensive evaluation of our MT-KD approach shows increased segmentation performance across various network architectures with a small number of parameters, as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Performance of different segmentation networks presented as the mean Dice score over all eleven anatomies in the DSAD. The red stars indicate the performance of the network trained with Multi-Teacher Knowledge Distillation (MT-KD). The red arrows indicate the respective improvement. ★ refers to models using a common encoder and eleven anatomy-specific decoders. ■ indicates segmentation models that are trained on each anatomy separately.

2. Method

Our multi-teacher knowledge distillation approach with its two stages is schematically illustrated in Figure 2 and explained in more detail in the following.

Stage 1:
During the first stage, $D = \{A_{i=1}, A_{i=2}, .., A_{i=N}\}$ corresponds to the overall data set, where A_i denotes the anatomy-specific data set of the ith anatomy of N anatomies. In the following, only one of the anatomy-specific data sets is considered.
Let A be denoted as $A = \{(x_j, y_j)\}_{j=1}^{M}$, where $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times H \times W}$ and $y_j \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times H \times W}$ correspond to the input image and binary segmentation mask, respectively. M denotes the overall number of images in A. Further, a teacher segmentation model T, consisting of one encoder F^{enc} and one decoder F^{dec}, is trained using the standard pixel-wise binary cross entropy loss function formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{CE} = -\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{H \times W} [y_{j,k} \log(T(x_{j,k})) + (1 - y_{j,k}) \log(1 - T(x_{j,k}))] \tag{1}$$

Stage 2:
In this stage, the same data set D is utilized as in stage 1. This time, a student segmentation model S that consists of one encoder F^{enc} and N anatomy-specific decoders $\{F_1^{dec}, F_2^{dec}, .., F_N^{dec}\}$ is optimized using two different objective functions.
For the first objective function, we follow the description from Kolbinger et al. (Kolbinger et al., 2023). There, the pixel-wise binary cross entropy loss according to Equation 1 is calculated separately using the output probabilities of each of the N anatomy-specific decoders. In detail, the loss is calculated for each pixel, only if the annotated anatomy i in the input image corresponds to the respective anatomy-specific decoder F_i^{dec} of S. For all other decoders $F_{\neq i}^{dec}$, only the pixels in x_j that belong to the anatomy i are considered for the loss calculation as the false positive class, as shown in Figure 2. The remaining pixels are not considered for the loss calculation, as only binary segmentation masks are used in DSAD and several anatomies can appear per image and it cannot be ruled out that all other pixels do not contain the anatomy i.
The second objective function utilizes the anatomy-specific knowledge of the various teacher models with frozen parameters from stage 1. Similar as in work by Shu et al. (Shu et al., 2021), where the normalized activations of corresponding channels between the teacher and student network are aligned using the KL divergence, we utilize the normalized output logits of each of the anatomy-specific teacher models and minimize the discrepancy to the normalized output logits of the corresponding anatomy-specific decoder of the student model. As the logits of a well-trained, anatomy-specific teacher model generally show salient anatomical regions, the student model, capable of segmenting multiple anatomies simultaneously, can be guided. This results in overall higher segmentation performance of the student. Let $z_{i,C}^{T}$ and $z_{i,C}^{S}$ be the output logits of the anatomy-specific teacher model T_i and the student decoder F_i^{dec} of anatomy i, with C being either the anatomy or false positive/background class. First, the output logits $z_{i,C}^{T}$ and $z_{i,C}^{S}$ are divided by a temperature value $\mathcal{T}$ and then normalized using the softmax function $\sigma(z) = \frac{e^z}{\sum(e^z)}$. The temperature value $\mathcal{T}$ is used

to control the softness of the probability distribution. Second, to evaluate the discrepancy between the two probability distributions $p_{i,C}^T = \sigma(\frac{z_{i,C}^T}{\mathcal{T}})$ and $p_{i,C}^S = \sigma(\frac{z_{i,C}^S}{\mathcal{T}})$, we utilize the KL divergence (Kullback and Leibler, 1951).

The second objective function during stage 2 can therefore be denoted as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{KL_i}(p_{i,C}^S, p_{i,C}^T) = p_{i,C}^T \cdot \log(\frac{p_{i,C}^T}{p_{i,C}^S}) \tag{2}$$

With λ as a weighting parameter, the overall objective function of stage 2 can be formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{L}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{L}_{CE_i} \cdot \lambda \mathcal{L}_{KL_i} \tag{3}$$

Figure 2: Schematic of the proposed MT-KD approach. In stage 1, multiple teacher networks are trained to obtain high anatomy-specific accuracy. In stage 2, the normalized output logits of the individual anatomy-specific teacher models are used to guide the training of the student models using the KL-divergence.

3. Experimental Setup

3.1. Data

To evaluate our MT-KD approach, we use the DSAD that consists of $13,195$ high-quality laparoscopic images with pixel-wise annotations of eleven intra-abdominal anatomical structures, i.e., abdominal wall, colon, inferior mesenteric artery, intestinal veins, liver, pancreas,

small intestine, spleen, stomach, ureter and vesicular glands (Carstens et al., 2023). We follow the training, validation and test splits as well as the pre-processing steps and augmentations as in the original work from (Kolbinger et al., 2023).

3.2. Implementation details

For all experiments with the proposed approach, we utilize the Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2014), using a learning rate of 5e-4 during stage 1 and a learning rate of 1e-3 for stage 2. Additionally, an exponential learning rate scheduler is used. We train our models for 100 epochs and 60 epochs in stage 1 and 2 and end up with a final learning rate of 1.5e-6 and 2.5e-5, respectively. During the teacher-student knowledge distillation, we follow the implementation details from (Shu et al., 2021) and use the temperature value $\mathcal{T} = 4.0$ for the calculation of the KL divergence and the weighting parameter $\lambda = 3$. During all training experiments, a batch size of 8 and an input image size of 640×512 is utilized. The utilized convolutional encoder architectures are pretrained on either the COCO or Cityscapes data set (Lin et al., 2014; Cordts et al., 2016). For the transformer-based segmentation networks, i.e., SegFormer, we use pretrained weights from the Cityscape data set. For the evaluation on the test data set, the model that obtained the best results in terms of the Dice score on the validation set is used. We implement all models in Pytorch [1].

4. Results

To evaluate the proposed approach in this work, the mean Dice score among all eleven anatomies in the DSAD is used. Furthermore, the number of parameters of each segmentation model as well as the inference time in form of time for computing the segmentation mask of one input image of size 1280×720 (High Definition) is determined.

In order to assess the improvements of our the approach, we evaluate previous deep learning models applied to the DSAD regarding segmentation accuracy and inference time, as well as another state-of-the-art network for efficient surgical segmentation, as shown in Table 1. Both anatomy-specific models with one encoder and one decoder (■), as well as models with one common encoder and multiple anatomy-specific decoders (★) are evaluated. From the results in Table 1, we observe that segmentation models trained on each anatomy separately outperform networks with the same architecture but using a common encoder and eleven anatomy-specific decoders in terms of Dice score. However, the number of parameters increases significantly when using multiple anatomy-specific models which leads to low inference speed. Furthermore, the results show superior performance in terms of Dice score when DeepLabv3 is used with EfficientNet as an encoder compared to ResNet encoders. Small network architectures such as MiniNetv2 enable real-time capabilities, but show significantly lower segmentation performance. The transformer-based architectures SegFormer achieves higher segmentation accuracy in comparison to convolutional-based DeepLabv3 networks. Our proposed MT-KD approach increases the segmentation performance of both convolutional-based segmentation networks and transformer-based segmen-

---

1. Code available at:
   https://github.com/lennart-maack/Efficient-Anatomy-Segmentation-w-Multi-Teacher-KD

Table 1: Segmentation and inference speed results. ■ indicates segmentation models that are trained on each anatomy separately, consisting of one encoder and one decoder. ★ indicates models using a common encoder and eleven anatomy-specific decoders. Dice score (%) is calculated as the mean over all eleven anatomies. Time corresponds to the inference time in ms for one image (1280×720) on a NVIDIA RTX3090. SegFormer-B3 ■ is used as a teacher network for our Multi-Teacher Knowledge Distillation (MT-KD) approach.

Architecture	Encoder	Params(M)	Dice ($\uparrow$)	Time ($\downarrow$)	FPS ($\uparrow$)
DeepLabv3 ■	ResNet18	174.8	53.8	160	6
(Chen et al., 2017)	ResNet50	435.9	65.3	367	3
	EfficientNetb0	80.3	62.8	262	4
	EfficientNetb3	159.1	66.3	479	2
SegFormer ■	SegFormerB0	40.8	64.0	180	6
(Xie et al., 2021)	SegFormerB3	519.5	**69.7**	548	2
MiniNetv2 ■	MiniNetv2	5.5	43.2	35	28
(Tomasini et al., 2022)					
	ResNet18	63.1	58.7	42	23
DeepLabv3 ★	ResNet50	200.0	59.8	112	9
(Chen et al., 2017)	EfficientNetb0	40.0	60.3	45	22
	EfficientNetb3	52.2	64.2	67	15
SegFormer ★	SegFormerB0	7.7	60.5	39	25
(Xie et al., 2021)	SegFormerB3	78.7	66.9	153	6
MiniNetv2 ★	MiniNetv2	1.1	36.1	**26**	**38**
(Tomasini et al., 2022)					
DeepLabv3 ★	EfficientNetb0	40.0	64.5	45	22
(with our MT-KD approach)	ResNet18	63.1	64.4	42	23
SegFormer ★	SegFormerB0	7.7	64.9	39	25
(with our MT-KD approach)					
MiniNetv2 ★	MiniNetv2	1.1	60.5	**26**	**38**
(with our MT-KD approach)					

tation networks. The most significant increase due to our MT-KD approach can be shown for small models, i.e. MiniNetv2. In this case, the Dice score increases from 36.1% to 60.5%. Qualitative results in Figure 3 show more accurate segmentation of anatomies for MiniNetv2 when trained with our MT-KD. Especially for smaller details, the segmentation accuracy can be increased by using large and accurate teacher models. A uniform increase in segmentation performance can be observed across all eleven anatomies. The specific segmentation results in terms of Dice score for individual anatomies can be found in the Appendix.

Figure 3: Qualitative segmentation results for different anatomies.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

Current deep learning networks for anatomy segmentation suffer from two problems. Either they show good segmentation performance, similar to human experts, but are too large for real-time applications or they are efficient enough for real-time applications but do not show sufficient performance for high-accuracy segmentation. In this work, we propose a multi-teacher knowledge distillation (MT-KD) approach that leverages the knowledge of multiple anatomy-specific, high-accuracy teacher networks to tackle the problem of training a single and efficient network with partially labeled datasets. By minimizing the discrepancy between the normalized logits of anatomy-specific, high-accuracy teacher networks and a single and efficient student network, the segmentation accuracy of various small, real-time capable network architectures is improved while retaining high inference speed.

Our results demonstrate highest segmentation performance with 66.3% and 69.7% Dice score for anatomy-specific, high capacity teacher networks such as DeepLabv3/Eff.Netb3 ■ and SegFormerB3 ■. We assume higher capacity to learn complex, anatomy-specific features. The results further demonstrate that anatomy-specific, low capacity networks such as MiniNetv3 ■ only achieve an overall Dice Score of 43.2%, failing to learn valuable features in the data. For application in realistic surgical scenarios, anatomy-specific models need to be operated sequentially in order to segment several anatomies. A combined architecture with one common encoder and anatomy-specifc decoders enables simultaneous anatomy segmentation. Although the segmentation performance of the combined architecture decreases only by 2.1% and 2.8%, for DeepLabv3/EfficientNetb3 ★ and SegFormerB3 ★, respectively, models with large encoder and decoder architectures still do not achieve a sufficiently high frame rate (6 FPS and 15 FPS). With our approach, the segmentation

performance of models with smaller and thus faster architectures increases by up to 24.4%. Especially, the accurate segmentation of smaller and more complex anatomies can be improved for smaller segmentation networks when guided by accurate teacher models with our MT-KD approach. Overall, the segmentation accuracy of high-capacity, anatomy-specific networks remains at least 1.8% higher, however, almost two orders of magnitude fewer parameters are required. We evaluated our approach on the recently published DSAD. In order to evaluate whether the generalization ability of smaller student models changes similarly to that of larger teacher models when applied to other laparoscopic data sets, it is of interest to perform further comprehensive studies on different laparoscopic data sets.

References

Max Allan, Satoshi Kondo, Sebastian Bodenstedt, Stefan Leger, Rahim Kadkhodamohammadi, Imanol Luengo, Felix Fuentes, Evangello Flouty, Ahmed Mohammed, Marius Pedersen, Avinash Kori, Varghese Alex, Ganapathy Krishnamurthi, David Rauber, Robert Mendel, Christoph Palm, Sophia Bano, Guinther Saibro, Chi-Sheng Shih, Hsun-An Chiang, Juntang Zhuang, Junlin Yang, Vladimir Iglovikov, Anton Dobrenkii, Madhu Reddiboina, Anubhav Reddy, Xingtong Liu, Cong Gao, Mathias Unberath, Myeonghyeon Kim, Chanho Kim, Chaewon Kim, Hyejin Kim, Gyeongmin Lee, Ihsan Ullah, Miguel Luna, Sang Hyun Park, Mahdi Azizian, Danail Stoyanov, Lena Maier-Hein, and Stefanie Speidel. 2018 robotic scene segmentation challenge, 2020. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.11190.

Abdollah Amirkhani, Amir Khosravian, Masoud Masih-Tehrani, and Hossein Kashiani. Robust semantic segmentation with multi-teacher knowledge distillation. *IEEE Access*, 9:119049–119066, 2021.

Yoshiko Bamba, Shimpei Ogawa, Michio Itabashi, Hironari Shindo, Shingo Kameoka, Takahiro Okamoto, and Masakazu Yamamoto. Object and anatomical feature recognition in surgical video images based on a convolutional neural network. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, 16(11):2045–2054, June 2021. ISSN 1861-6429. doi: 10.1007/s11548-021-02434-w. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11548-021-02434-w.

Matthias Carstens, Franziska M. Rinner, Sebastian Bodenstedt, Alexander C. Jenke, Jürgen Weitz, Marius Distler, Stefanie Speidel, and Fiona R. Kolbinger. The dresden surgical anatomy dataset for abdominal organ segmentation in surgical data science. *Scientific Data*, 10(1), January 2023. ISSN 2052-4463. doi: 10.1038/s41597-022-01719-2. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01719-2.

Liang-Chieh Chen, George Papandreou, Florian Schroff, and Hartwig Adam. Rethinking atrous convolution for semantic image segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.05587*, 2017.

Marius Cordts, Mohamed Omran, Sebastian Ramos, Timo Rehfeld, Markus Enzweiler, Rodrigo Benenson, Uwe Franke, Stefan Roth, and Bernt Schiele. The cityscapes dataset for

semantic urban scene understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3213–3223, 2016.

Emilie Even Dencker, Alexander Bonde, Anders Troelsen, Kartik Mangudi Varadarajan, and Martin Sillesen. Postoperative complications: an observational study of trends in the united states from 2012 to 2018. *BMC Surgery*, 21(1), November 2021. ISSN 1471-2482. doi: 10.1186/s12893-021-01392-z. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12893-021-01392-z.

Andras B. Fecso, Peter Szasz, Georgi Kerezov, and Teodor P. Grantcharov. The effect of technical performance on patient outcomes in surgery: A systematic review. *Annals of Surgery*, 265(3):492–501, March 2017. ISSN 0003-4932. doi: 10.1097/sla.0000000000001959. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000001959.

Debesh Jha, Nikhil Kumar Tomar, Sharib Ali, Michael A Riegler, Håvard D Johansen, Dag Johansen, Thomas de Lange, and Pål Halvorsen. Nanonet: Real-time polyp segmentation in video capsule endoscopy and colonoscopy. In *2021 IEEE 34th International Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems (CBMS)*, pages 37–43. IEEE, 2021.

Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.

Fiona R Kolbinger, Franziska M Rinner, Alexander C Jenke, Matthias Carstens, Stefanie Krell, Stefan Leger, Marius Distler, Jürgen Weitz, Stefanie Speidel, and Sebastian Bodenstedt. Anatomy segmentation in laparoscopic surgery: comparison of machine learning and human expertise–an experimental study. *International Journal of Surgery*, 109(10): 2962–2974, 2023.

Solomon Kullback and Richard A Leibler. On information and sufficiency. *The annals of mathematical statistics*, 22(1):79–86, 1951.

Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*, pages 740–755. Springer, 2014.

Amin Madani, Babak Namazi, Maria S. Altieri, Daniel A. Hashimoto, Angela Maria Rivera, Philip H. Pucher, Allison Navarrete-Welton, Ganesh Sankaranarayanan, L. Michael Brunt, Allan Okrainec, and Adnan Alseidi. Artificial intelligence for intraoperative guidance: Using semantic segmentation to identify surgical anatomy during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Annals of Surgery*, 276(2):363–369, November 2020. ISSN 0003-4932. doi: 10.1097/sla.0000000000004594. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000004594.

Pietro Mascagni, Deepak Alapatt, Luca Sestini, Maria S. Altieri, Amin Madani, Yusuke Watanabe, Adnan Alseidi, Jay A. Redan, Sergio Alfieri, Guido Costamagna, Ivo Boškoski, Nicolas Padoy, and Daniel A. Hashimoto. Computer vision in surgery: from potential to clinical value. *npj Digital Medicine*, 5(1), October 2022. ISSN 2398-6352. doi: 10.1038/s41746-022-00707-5. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41746-022-00707-5.

Daniil Pakhomov and Nassir Navab. Searching for efficient architecture for instrument segmentation in robotic surgery, 2020. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.04449.

Dian Qin, Jia-Jun Bu, Zhe Liu, Xin Shen, Sheng Zhou, Jing-Jun Gu, Zhi-Hua Wang, Lei Wu, and Hui-Fen Dai. Efficient medical image segmentation based on knowledge distillation. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 40(12):3820–3831, 2021.

Changyong Shu, Yifan Liu, Jianfei Gao, Zheng Yan, and Chunhua Shen. Channel-wise knowledge distillation for dense prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 5311–5320, 2021.

James W. Suliburk, Quentin M. Buck, Chris J. Pirko, Nader N. Massarweh, Neal R. Barshes, Hardeep Singh, and Todd K. Rosengart. Analysis of human performance deficiencies associated with surgical adverse events. *JAMA Network Open*, 2(7):e198067, July 2019. ISSN 2574-3805. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.8067. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.8067.

Clara Tomasini, Luis Riazuelo, AC Murillo, and Iñigo Alonso. Efficient tool segmentation for endoscopic videos in the wild. Technical report, 2022.

Constantin Ulrich, Fabian Isensee, Tassilo Wald, Maximilian Zenk, Michael Baumgartner, and Klaus H Maier-Hein. Multitalent: A multi-dataset approach to medical image segmentation. In *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pages 648–658. Springer, 2023.

Minh H Vu, Gabriella Norman, Tufve Nyholm, and Tommy Löfstedt. A data-adaptive loss function for incomplete data and incremental learning in semantic image segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 41(6):1320–1330, 2021.

Enze Xie, Wenhai Wang, Zhiding Yu, Anima Anandkumar, Jose M Alvarez, and Ping Luo. Segformer: Simple and efficient design for semantic segmentation with transformers. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:12077–12090, 2021.

Jiafeng Xie, Bing Shuai, Jianfang Hu, Jingyang Lin, and Weishi Zheng. Improving fast segmentation with teacher-student learning. In *British Machine Vision Conference*, 2018. URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:52287083.

Appendix A. Anatomy specific results

Architecture	Encoder	Abdominal wall	Colon	Inferior mesenteric artery	Intestinal veins	Liver	Pancreas	Small intestine	Spleen	Stomach	Ureter	Vesicular glands
DeepLabv3 ■	ResNet18	83	69	44	39	65	28	79	56	59	33	37
(Chen et al., 2017)	ResNet50	90	79	54	54	80	37	87	79	71	47	40
	Eff.Netb0	88	77	51	49	71	42	86	74	66	40	47
	Eff.Netb3	90	79	54	56	76	43	88	78	66	48	52
SegFormer ■	SegFormerB0	89	76	51	51	78	45	85	73	64	44	48
(Xie et al., 2021)	SegFormerB3	91	79	58	58	83	46	89	81	75	52	55
MiniNetv2 ■	MiniNetv2	80	55	34	24	61	25	70	38	44	20	25
(Tomasini et al., 2022)												
	ResNet18	83	70	44	47	76	37	77	73	61	32	46
DeepLabv3 ★	ResNet50	83	72	49	47	68	35	79	73	63	35	47
(Chen et al., 2017)	Eff.Netb0	83	72	48	47	71	37	80	75	64	39	48
	Eff.Netb3	84	75	53	57	72	43	81	76	70	47	51
SegFormer ★	SegFormerB0	83	73	42	53	75	42	79	69	62	42	46
(Xie et al., 2021)	SegFormerB3	89	77	55	53	78	45	84	81	71	49	54
MiniNetv2 ★	MiniNetv2	61	46	18	36	52	29	51	36	34	13	21
(Tomasini et al., 2022)												
DeepLabv3 ★	Eff.Netb0	86	74	55	54	69	41	84	81	68	48	49
(w/ our KD-approach)	ResNet18	87	76	55	54	77	37	81	78	70	43	51
SegFormer ★	SegFormerB0	84	75	51	53	76	45	81	81	74	45	49
(w/ our KD-approach)												
MiniNetv2 ★	MiniNetv2	83	74	46	49	72	40	80	74	64	41	42
(w/ our KD-approach)												

Table 2: Segmentation results for all individual anatomies in terms of Dice score (%).