pGS-CAM: Interpretable LiDAR Point Cloud Semantic Segmentation via Gradient Based Localization

Abstract

To extract the local information required for effective semantic segmentation of point clouds, a number of deep learning architectures typically make use of sophisticated feature extractors. Unfortunately, there hasn't been a lot of discussion on how to interpret their forecasts, which is essential if deployed in real-world settings. To that end, we propose pGS-CAM (point cloud Grad-Seg-CAM), a quick and effective gradient-based method for class activation mapping in point cloud semantic segmentation architectures. To gain insight into what each intermediate layer of the architecture does, our technique provides a heatmap for the corresponding layer. Our methodology doesn't require retraining for heatmap generation. We use the popular semantic segmentation architecture (RandLA-Net) and a commonly used MLS dataset (SemanticKITTI) for our experimentation.

1 Introduction

Various applications, including autonomous vehicles, require perception and interpretation of the surroundings captured using Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR)-based sensors. These sensors generate outcomes in the form of point clouds. A lot of research has been done on developing effective semantic segmentation architectures for point clouds Xie et al. (2020); Bello et al. (2020). However, a crucial component of these models, i.e., interpretability, still remains unexplored. An intricate understanding of these models if they are deployed in real-world systems is required for better development. One way of achieving this is by visually indicating the regions of point clouds that are influencing the learning of a neural network model and its decisions by creating *heatmaps* for each activation layer of the network. This further aids in network design and reflects the changes caused by the addition of each layer.

Recently, some attempts have been made to evaluate the point-wise importance of instance classification and object detection tasks. Zheng et al. (2019) conducted point dropping by shifting points towards the point cloud's centroid to assess each point's importance in the classification result. They performed this study on several state-of-the-art classification algorithms. (Dworak & Baranowski, 2022) attempted to adapt Grad-CAM Selvaraju et al. (2017) for object detection on point clouds by multiplying the bird's-eye view projection with an up-sampled CAM to produce high-resolution heatmaps. However, to the best of our knowledge, no work has been done to produce visual explanations for point cloud semantic segmentation architectures, making this our first attempt at doing so. We utilised RandLA-Net Hu et al. (2020), a popular and efficient encoder-decoder based architecture for semantic segmentation of large-scale point clouds, and the very popular and widely used SemanticKITTI Behley et al. (2019) dataset for experimentation. The output of each encoder and decoder is an activation layer that is used to produce heatmaps by per-point coloration. SemanticKITTI consists of point cloud scans with 19 different semantic classes, making it an excellent benchmarking dataset for objects of multiple sizes and point density. We believe our method to be robust enough and applicable for any segmentation architecture and dataset.

2 Methodology & Experimentation

Consider a point cloud containing N number of points with the point space consisting of x-y-z coordinates and feature space consisting of attributes like Intensity, RGB values, etc., denoted as

$\mathcal{P} \in R^{N \times 3}$ and $\mathcal{F} \in R^{N \times d}$, respectively, where d is the dimension of input feature space. Output of segmentation network are the logits for each point i, denoted by l_i. For any intermediate activation layer $A \in R^{M \times k}$ (M downsampled points with k feature dimension), gradient of logit l_i for class c w.r.t A_k is given by:-

$$\frac{\partial l_i^c}{\partial A^k} \tag{1}$$

Eq. 1 is a measure of influence of the logit l_i on the k_{th} feature vector of A. Overall influence for N points can be obtained by summation of each gradient output:-

$$\frac{\partial l_1^c}{\partial A^k} + \frac{\partial l_2^c}{\partial A^k} + ... + \frac{\partial l_N^c}{\partial A^k} = \frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{N} l_i^c}{\partial A^k} \tag{2}$$

Above influence measure can be enhanced by performing pointwise addition with higher derivatives of Eq. 2. This leads to better localization and smoother heatmaps at last decoder layer (see Table 1). Overall gradient influence G^{k1} (using I+II+III order derivatives) aggregated over all M points in the activation layer A_k is given by:-

$$G^k = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[\frac{\partial^3 \sum_{i=1}^{N} l_i^c}{\partial (A_j^k)^3} + \frac{\partial^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} l_i^c}{\partial (A_j^k)^2} + \frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{N} l_i^c}{\partial A_j^k} \right] \tag{3}$$

Final heatmap (H) is obtained by matrix multiplication of G^k with activation layer A^k followed by ReLU operation (to highlight positive contributions only) and Min-Max normalization:

$$H = MinMax(ReLU(\sum_k G^k A^k)) \tag{4}$$

H acts as a scalar field with dimension R^N, which can be used for per point coloration. Figure 1 shows an example of heatmaps obtained for each activation layer of the RandLA-Net architecture.

Figure 1: pGS-CAM heatmaps for the *car* class of SemanticKITTI. Heatmap at initial activation layer A1 exhibits edge-like structures with localization starting at A5. Heatmaps at A2-A4 highlights points (road, terrain) that might strongly impact prediction for *car* class. Heatmap at last activation layer A8 closely resembles the per point predictions for *car* class.

3 DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

The proposed method produced promising outcomes, and a detailed analysis of pGS-CAM will be done in the future. Further investigation with metrics will be attempted for other popular semantic segmentation architectures to test the robustness of our method. This work has been implemented on MLS data; we also intend to test the method on airborne laser scanning (ALS) datasets to establish the proposed methodology as a benchmark.

---

[1]Higher order derivatives > 3 brought minimal changes hence we excluded them in the formulation.

URM STATEMENT

The authors acknowledge that at least one key author of this work meets the URM criteria of ICLR 2023 Tiny Papers Track.

REFERENCES

Jens Behley, Martin Garbade, Andres Milioto, Jan Quenzel, Sven Behnke, Cyrill Stachniss, and Jurgen Gall. Semantickitti: A dataset for semantic scene understanding of lidar sequences. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pp. 9297–9307, 2019.

Saifullahi Aminu Bello, Shangshu Yu, Cheng Wang, Jibril Muhmmad Adam, and Jonathan Li. Review: Deep learning on 3d point clouds. *Remote Sensing*, 12(11), 2020. ISSN 2072-4292. doi: 10.3390/rs12111729. URL `https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/12/11/1729`.

Daniel Dworak and Jerzy Baranowski. Adaptation of grad-cam method to neural network architecture for lidar pointcloud object detection. *Energies*, 15(13):4681, 2022.

Qingyong Hu, Bo Yang, Linhai Xie, Stefano Rosa, Yulan Guo, Zhihua Wang, Niki Trigoni, and Andrew Markham. Randla-net: Efficient semantic segmentation of large-scale point clouds. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 11108–11117, 2020.

Ramprasaath R Selvaraju, Michael Cogswell, Abhishek Das, Ramakrishna Vedantam, Devi Parikh, and Dhruv Batra. Grad-cam: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based localization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pp. 618–626, 2017.

Yuxing Xie, Jiaojiao Tian, and Xiao Xiang Zhu. Linking points with labels in 3d: A review of point cloud semantic segmentation. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine*, 8(4):38–59, 2020. doi: 10.1109/MGRS.2019.2937630.

Tianhang Zheng, Changyou Chen, Junsong Yuan, Bo Li, and Kui Ren. Pointcloud saliency maps. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 1598–1606, 2019.

A APPENDIX

A.1 TESTING THE LOCALIZATION OF pGS-CAM HEATMAPS

Usually, at the final decoder layer, heatmap values get highly localised to closely resemble per-point predictions of the target class. We utilise this information to get a glimpse of pGS-CAM's faithfulness by computing a localization metric for all classes of the dataset. One such metric is IoU (Intersection over Union)/Jaccard Index commonly used in the point cloud segmentation tasks. For a class c corresponding to an object, IoU is calculated as:-

$$IoU = \frac{TP_c}{TP_c + FP_c + FNc} \tag{5}$$

We compute IoU score between heatmap obtained at final decoder layer and per point predictions for class c. We do so by constructing a binary mask for heatmaps by only considering heatmap values greater than certain threshold. A higher IoU score suggests that the model uses points corresponding to intended class c for its final predictions while lesser score suggest the involvement of points corresponding to other classes. We compare the IoU score with slightly different formulations of our G^k gradient influence 3 by varying number of higher order derivatives included in the summation operation. Table 1 demonstrate IoU scores for 9 major classes of SemanticKITTI computed by taking mean IoU of all point clouds scans from validation set (8th sequence of dataset). We consider 3 modes of G^k with I order, I+II order, I+II+III order derivatives included. Higher localization is observed for I+II+III mode when compared with I+II/I modes, however the impact mitigates as we keep adding higher order derivatives in chain. Note that localization value doesn't indicate effectiveness of the method. It's just that in case of low localization segmentation network use other (correlated) class points for its final prediction. However, a higher localization can be indicative of method's faithfulness in most situations.

Table 1: Localization comparison using major SemanticKITTI classes for different G_k modes. Higher IoU represents better localization.

G^k modes	Car	Truck	Person	Road	Sidewalk	Building	Vegetation	Trunk	Terrain
I order	51.4	10.2	20.4	78.4	36.1	50.4	58.8	35.6	56.6
I+II order	61.6	12.6	28.9	85.2	39.8	54.8	60.3	47.8	58.8
I+II+III order	**66.4**	**13.9**	**34.4**	**87.9**	**41.5**	**57.5**	**64.3**	**50.6**	**65.1**

A.2   PGS-CAM INDICATES THE PREDICTION COMPLEXITY FOR DIFFERENT CLASSES

Usually, the classes having higher localization values ex- car, road, building, vegetation, terrain etc., are relatively easier to predict while classes like truck, person, sidewalk etc., are harder and prone to errors. Table 1 can thus provide insight for classes where the segmentation network struggles the most . Classes with higher IoU have higher point density per scan and relatively simpler geometries making them easier for the network to learn.

A.3   PGS-CAM OFFERS INSIGHTS INTO NETWORK MISCLASSIFICATIONS

Figure 2 showcase an example of misclassification where segmentation network assigns *person* semantic label to points surrounding the actual *person* object. This can be seen as drawback of feature extraction. However, pGS-CAM heatmap (obtained at last decoder layer) indicates that network actually assigns higher score to *person* class points than the neighboring points (red values for *person* object). Network was able to identify the *person* object better than the nearby dissimilar points. pGS-CAM heatmaps can thus provide insights to debug networks in case of misclassifications.

Figure 2: pGS-CAM heatmap for SemanticKITTI *person* class (enclosed within red dotted circle). Heatmap highlights points corresponding to *person* class more than surrounding points.

A.4   IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

RandLA-Net architecture was trained for 60 epochs on SemanticKITTI dataset. All point cloud scans showcased are part of the validation set. All the derivatives used in the formulation are computed via PyTorch's hooks implementation. The code will be uploaded on Github in the future.