On- and Offline Multi-agent Reinforcement Learning for Disease Mitigation using Human Mobility Data

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic generates new real-world data-driven problems such as
predicting case surges, managing resource depletion, or modeling geo-spatial infec-
tion spreading. Though reinforcement learning (RL) has been previously proposed
to optimize regional lock-downs, the availability of mobility tracking data with
offline RL allows us to push decision making from the top-down perspective (i.e.,
driven by governments) to the bottom up perspective (i.e., driven by individuals).
Rather than predicting the outcome of the outbreak, we utilize offline RL as a tool,
along with epidemic modeling, to empower collaborative decision-making at the
individual level. In our investigations, we ask whether we can train the population
of a city to become more resilient against infectious diseases? To investigate, we
deploy a 'city' of 10,000 agents loaded with real visits at Points of Interest (POIs)
(e.g., restaurants, gyms, parks) throughout a target metropolitan area during the
COVID-19 pandemic (July 2020). Using a standard disease compartmental model,
we find that the city of trained agents can reduce disease transmissions by 60%.
This opens a new direction in using offline RL as a springboard to further the
research at the intersection of artificial intelligence and disease mitigation.

1 Introduction

Though large populations, bustling commerce, and inter-regional travel mark the success of a modern society, these conditions offer a favorable environment for infectious disease spreading [1]. Considering the vulnerabilities of big cities to disease outbreaks, we ask whether we can train a population of agents to optimize their visits at POIs, (e.g., restaurants, gyms, parks, etc.) to collaboratively mitigate the disease spread.

With access to Foursquare location tracking data that logs real visits and dwell times, we load a large population of RL agents with decisions made by real people during the COVID-19 pandemic. Because we do not have access to the health status of the anonymous individuals within the Foursquare dataset, we fill in the gap by simulating a viral outbreak. Thus, we propose using a hybrid *offline* multi-agent RL and an *online* epidemic simulation model to optimize human mobility under a viral attack.

We organize the paper as follows: Section 2 discusses previous work, Section 3 outlines the approach, Section 4 shows preliminary results, and Section 5 concludes with a discussion.

2 Previous Work

Early in the COVID-19 pandemic, hospitals deployed a cohort model that sectioned off health care providers and patients to reduce population mixing [2]. Schools then followed suit by organizing student-teacher cohorts to reduce disease spreading [3]. If one cohort experiences an outbreak, the others can continue functioning without going into a full lockdown. In this paper, we propose pushing this cohort paradigm to highly dynamic systems (e.g., population in a city) by training RL agents to self-organize into mobility cohorts where we can incentivize 'Infectious' people to frequent different locations from the 'Susceptible' people at any given moment.

At a large scale, in response to COVID-19, governments enacted regional shut downs and travel bans that aimed to reduce population mixing. Though successful in reducing cases, the cost of maintaining long term lock-downs led to pandemic fatigue [4]. As a means to manage economic and social costs, Kompella et. al. introduce RL to optimize disease mitigation mandates at the government level [5]. In their work, the agent (i.e., government) decides to manage a city while under a disease attack.

Though helpful in advising decision making at the macro-scale, we are rather interested in informing *distributed decisions* at the micro-scale (i.e., individual level). We envision an anti-fragile society whose individuals can continue their daily lives while collaboratively avoiding infection hot-spots. By self-organizing into mobility cohorts, people can section off avenues for disease spread, thereby mitigating a disease without the need for a complete shutdown. To this end, we investigate the feasibility of using on- and offline multi-agent RL to mitigate a highly infectious disease.

3 Approach

We combine offline multi-agent RL with online epidemic simulation to train a population of agents to reduce the disease spread. We utilize the Foursquare dataset which consists of visits from over 36,000 individuals to various POIs throughout a metropolitan area during the 2020 pandemic [6].

3.1 Epidemic Model

We apply the SEIR model [7] to individuals where an agent moves from the initial *Susceptible* state to being *Exposed* state when coming into contact with *Infectious* individuals. We then transition a *Susceptible* person to incubating when they visit a POI where the *Infectious* population density exceeds their respective immunity δ threshold (equation 1). After an agent is incubating, they transition to being *Infectious* after the incubation period (5 days), and to *Recovered* state after the illness period (7 days) has concluded.

$$\frac{\#infections_{POI}}{total\ people} \geq \delta \tag{1}$$

 3.2   RL Problem Definition

61   We define the RL problem as follows. The 'environment' consists of the POIs within a city. Each
62   agent is loaded with destination queues pulled from the Foursquare visits dataset. At each time step
63   (e.g., hour), the agents can choose from three actions, namely *'go to location'*, *'stay at home'*, or
64   *'choose a safer location'*. We define the reward functions for each health status as a composition
65   of sub-reward functions: $R_{exposure}$ (equation 2), $R_{fatigue}$ (equation 3), and R_{global} (equation
66   4). The $R_{exposure} \in [0, 1]$ is meant to incentivize agents to reduce exposure to infections with
67   respect to their own immunity threshold $\delta \in [0, 1]$. For example, a *Susceptible* agent with a higher
68   immunity δ receives less of a penalty for frequenting POIs with more $\#infections_{POI}$ (number of
69   infections at a POI) than an agent with a lower immunity threshold. The $R_{fatigue} \in [0, 1]$ is meant
70   to incentivize agents to socially cooperate by changing their intended behavior with respect to their
71   own fatigue parameter $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. For example, an agent with a high pandemic fatigue α will be
72   penalized for choosing to deviate from their intended visits by 'staying at home' or 'going to safer
73   location' more times than the threshold α allows. To keep track of the number of deviations, at each
74   timestep, T, we calculate the cumulative $\#deviations$ and $\#actions$ from the beginning of the
75   episode t. The $R_{global} \in [0, 1]$ is meant to motivate agents to socially cooperate if the population's
76   $global\ infections_t$ are high at each time step t, even if they are not personally coming into contact
77   with infectious agents.

$$R_{exposure} = \frac{1}{(1 + \#infections_{POI}) \times (1 - \delta)} \tag{2}$$

$$R_{fatigue} = (1 - \alpha) - \frac{\#deviations_{t:T}}{\#actions_{t:T}} \tag{3}$$

$$R_{global} = \frac{1}{global\ infections_t} \tag{4}$$

78   The rewards for each health status are defined in Table 1. We incentivize *Susceptible* agents to take
79   into account their risk of exposure at a POI with respect to their own willingness to change behavior
80   for the social good. When *Infectious*, they no longer worry about being exposed, but instead, they
81   keep track of the number of people they directly infect (*#infectees*) to weigh against their respective
82   pandemic fatigue α. The *Incubating* and *Recovered* reward functions are similar, as these agents do
83   not worry about being exposed.

84

Health Status	Reward
Susceptible	$R_{exposure} \times R_{fatigue} + R_{global}$
Incubating	$R_{fatigue} + R_{global}$
Infectious	$R_{fatigue} - \#infectees + R_{global}$
Recovered	$R_{fatigue} + R_{global}$

85   Table 1. Reward Functions for each Health Status

86   We deploy the REINFORCE algorithm [8] on each agent and implement a policy approximation
87   with a two layer sequential neural network. In each episode run, we seed a *Susceptible* population
88   with 10% *Infectious* agents to begin the viral outbreak simulation. We terminate the episode when
89   the virus has no one left to infect. Though our implementation is online in the sense that agents can
90   infect each other during various episodes, they are incapable of visiting locations outside of their
91   destination queue (hence the offline RL aspect of our approach).

92   # 4   Preliminary Results

93   As a proof of concept, we evaluate our approach by simulating an infection spreading throughout a
94   naive vs. trained population of 10,000 agents. In Figure 1a, the simulated disease cumulatively infects
95   97% of the total population within the first 50 timesteps. However, when the agents are incentivized

Figure 1: (a) Comparison of mitigation strategies (b) Average reward variation during training. (c) Average action taken at the end of training. (d) Percentage of *Recovered* agents at the end of a simulation run while varying the skew of heterogenous pandemic fatigue α and immunity δ.

to self-organize into mobility cohorts, they reduce overall infections by 60%. The rewards averaged across the entire population of agents is shown in Figure 1b. We vary the population's immunity δ and pandemic fatigue α to see how they affect the average agent's action (Figure 1c). We notice that the *Susceptible* population opts to 'go to less risky location' or 'stay at home' the most compared to the other health subgroups (Figure 1c). In contrast, the incubating population, on average, decides to 'go to next location' 95% of the time which makes sense considering that they cannot infect, nor be infected by others. When the agents become *Infectious*, their collective behavior is most dependent on the population's immunity, as well as their respective pandemic fatigue (Figure 1c). Then, when *Recovered*, they opt to adhere to their own desired destinations less than expected which we believe is because the off-policy algorithm does not have enough timesteps to tweak the policy after acquiring the penalties from being infectious. Furthermore, we notice that, as expected, the population trained with high compliance (low pandemic fatigue δ) reduces the most infections in comparison to heterogeneous and low compliance (Figure 1d). These initial results are the first step in exploring the feasibility of recommendation systems with the goal to mitigate spreading.

5 Discussion

Given the the urgency to find non-pharmaceutical interventions, offline multi-agent RL can serve as a safe testbed to experiment with mitigation strategies while benefiting from extensive mobility datasets. We believe this work addresses a largescale RL problem that can benefit both the offline RL and Multi-agent RL research communities when fully realized. Our work is limited by the fact that we lack ground truth health labels at the individual-level, therefore we have to rely on disease spreading simulations. Furthermore, our problem brings to the forefront the challenge of using off-policy learning on agents whose reward functions change throughout an episode; we leave this for future work.

References

[1] Lai SK, Huang JY. Why large cities are more vulnerable to the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Urban Management. 2022 Mar;11(1):1–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jum.2022.02.001. Epub 2022 Feb 18. PMCID: PMC8853754.

[2] Kluger DM, Aizenbud Y, Jaffe A, Parisi F, Aizenbud L, Minsky-Fenick E, Kluger JM, Farhadian S, Kluger HM, & Kluger Y. (2020) Impact of healthcare worker shift scheduling on workforce preservation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*.

[3] Kaiser S.V. , Watson A., Dogan B., Karmur A., Warren K., Wang P., Sosa M.C, Olarte A., Dorsey S., Su M., Brown L., Sachdev D., & Bardach N.S.021 Preventing COVID-19 Transmission in Education Settings. *Pediatrics*.

[4] Franzen A., & Wöhner F. (2021) Fatigue during the COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence of social distancing adherence from a panel study of young adults in Switzerland. *PLOS ONE*.

[5] Kompella, V., Capobianco, R., Jong, S., Browne, J., Fox, S., Meyers, L., Wurman, P. and Stone, P., 2020. Reinforcement learning for optimization of COVID-19 mitigation policies. *AAAI Fall Symposium on AI for Social Good*.

[6] Foursquare Data: https://foursquare.com/products/visits/

[7] He S., Peng Y., & Sun K., 2020. SEIR modeling of the COVID-19 and its dynamics. *Nonlinear Dynamics*.

[8] Williams R., 1992. Simple statistical gradient-following algorithms for connectionist reinforcement learning. *Machine Learning*.