HyperTime: Implicit Neural Representations for Time Series

Abstract

Implicit neural representations (INRs) have recently emerged as a powerful tool that provides an accurate and resolution-independent encoding of data. Their robustness as general approximators has been shown in a wide variety of data sources, with applications on image, sound, and 3D scene representation. However, little attention has been given to leveraging these architectures for the representation and analysis of time series data. In this paper, we analyze the representation of time series using INRs, comparing different activation functions in terms of reconstruction accuracy and training convergence speed. Secondly, we propose a hypernetwork architecture that leverages INRs to learn a compressed latent representation of an entire time series dataset. We introduce an FFT-based loss to guide training so that all frequencies are preserved in the time series. We show that this network can be used to encode time series as INRs, and their embeddings can be interpolated to generate new time series from existing ones. We evaluate our generative method by using it for data augmentation, and show that it is competitive against current state-of-the-art approaches for augmentation of time series.

1 Introduction

Modeling time series data has been a key topic of research for many years, constituting a crucial component of applications in a wide variety of areas such as climate modeling, medicine, biology, retail and finance [21]. Traditional methods for time series modeling have relied on parametric models informed by expert knowledge. However, the development of modern machine learning methods has provided purely data-driven techniques to learn temporal relationships. In particular, neural network-based methods have gained popularity in recent times, with applications on a wide range of tasks, such as time series classification [17], clustering [25, 2], segmentation [29, 43], anomaly detection [11, 40, 16], upsampling [28, 7], imputation [23, 24, 8], forecasting [21, 37] and synthesis [1, 41, 20]. In particular, the generation of time series data for augmentation has remained as an open problem, and is currently gaining interest due to the large number of potential applications such as in medical and financial datasets, where data cannot be shared, either for privacy reasons or for proprietary restrictions [19, 20, 4, 12].

In recent years, implicit neural representations (INRs) have gained popularity as an accurate and flexible method to parameterize signals, such as from image, video, audio and 3D scene data [32, 27]. Conventional methods for data encoding often rely on discrete representations, such as data grids, which are limited by their spatial resolution and present inherent discretization artifacts. In contrast, implicit neural representations encode data in terms of continuous functional relationships between signals, and thus are uncoupled to spatial resolution. In practical terms, INRs provide a new data representation framework that is resolution-independent, with many potential applications on time series data, where irregularly sampled and missing data are common occurrences [14]. However,

there are currently no works exploring the suitability of INRs on time series representation and analysis.

In this work, we propose an implicit neural representation for univariate and multivariate time series data. We compare the performance of different activation functions in terms of reconstruction accuracy and training convergence. Finally, we combine these representations with a hypernetwork architecture, in order to learn a prior over the space of time series. The training of our hypernetwork takes into account the accurate reconstruction of both the time series signals and their respective power spectra. This motivates us to propose a Fourier-based loss that proves to be crucial in guiding the learning process. The advantage of employing such a Fourier-based loss is that it allows our hypernetwork to preserve all frequencies in the time series representation. In Section 4.2, we leverage the latent embeddings learned by the hypernetwork for the synthesis of new time series by interpolation, and show that our method performs competitively against recent state-of-the-art methods for time series augmentation.

2   Related Work

Implicit Neural Representations   Implicit Neural Representations (INRs) provide a continuous representation of multidimensional data, by encoding a functional relationship between input co-ordinates and signal values, avoiding possible discretization artifacts. They have recently gained popularity in visual computing [26, 27] due to the key development of positional encodings [36] and periodic activations (SIREN [32]), which have proven to be critical for the learning of high-frequency details. Whilst INRs have been shown to produce accurate reconstructions in a wide variety of data sources, such as video, images and audio [32, 10, 30], few works have leveraged them for time series representation [18, 39], and none have focused on generation.

Hypernetworks   Hypernetworks are neural network architectures that are trained to predict the parameters of secondary networks, referred to as Hyponetworks [15, 31]. In the last few years, some works have leveraged different hypernetwork architectures for the prediction of INR weights, in order to learn priors over image data [34] and 3D scene data [22, 33, 35]. [32] leverage a set encoder and a hypernetwork decoder to learn a prior over SIRENs encoding image data, and apply it for image in-painting. Our HyperTime architecture detailed in Section 3 uses a similar encoder-decoder structure, however we apply these architectures for time series generation via interpolation of learned embeddings.

Time Series Generation   Synthesis of time series data using deep generative models has been previously studied in the literature. Examples include the TimeGAN architecture [42], as well as QuantGAN [38]. More recently, [13] proposed TimeVAE as a variational autoencoder alternative to GAN-based time series generation. [1] introduced Fourier Flows, a normalizing flow model for time series data that leverages the frequency domain representation, which is currently considered together with TimeGAN as state-of-the-art for time series generation. In the last few years, multiple methods have used INRs for data generation, with applications on image synthesis [9, 34], super-resolution [10] and panorama synthesis [3]. However, there are currently no applications of INRs on the generation of time series data.

3   Formulation

In this Section we describe the network architectures that we use to encode time series data (Subsection 3.1), and the hypernetwork architecture (HyperTime) leveraged for prior learning and new data generation (Subsection 3.2).

3.1   Time Series Representation

In Figure 1 we present a diagram of the INR used for univariate time series. The network is composed of fully-connected layers of dimensions $1 \times 60 \times 60 \times 60 \times 1$, with sine activations (SIREN [32]):

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}_i) = \sin(\omega_0 \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{b}_i) \tag{1}$$

where ϕ_i corresponds to the ith layer of the network. A general factor ω_0 multiplying the network weights determines the order of magnitude of the frequencies that will be used to encode the signal.

Input and output of the INR are uni-dimensional, and correspond to the time coordinate t and the time series evaluation $f(t)$. Training of the network is done in a supervised manner, with MSE loss. After training, the network encodes a continuous representation of the functional relationship $f(t)$ for a single time series.

The architecture from Figure 1 can be modified to encode multivariate time series, by simply increasing the number of neurons of the output layer to match the number of channels of the signal. Due to weight-sharing, this adds a potential for data compression of the time series.

Figure 1: Diagram of the implicit neural representation (INR) for univariate time series. Neurons with a black border use sine activations.

3.2 Time Series Generation with HyperTime

In Figure 2 we display a diagram of the HyperTime architecture, which allows us to leverage INRs to learn priors over the space of time series. The Set Encoder (green network), composed of SIREN layers [32] with dimensions $2 \times 128 \times 128 \times 40$, takes as input a pair of values, corresponding to the time-coordinate t and the time series signal $f(t)$. Each pair of input values is thus encoded into a full 40-values embedding and fed to the HyperNet decoder (blue network), composed of fully-connected layers with ReLU activations (MLP), with dimensions $40 \times 128 \times 7500$. The output of the HyperNet is a one-dimensional 7500-values embedding that contains the network weights of an INR which encodes the time series data from the input. The INR architecture used within HyperTime is the same described in the previous section, and illustrated in Figure 1. Following previous works [31], in order to avoid ambiguities we refer to these predicted INRs as HypoNets.

Figure 2: Diagram of HyperTime architecture. Each pair of time-coordinate t and time series $f(t)$ is encoded by the Set Encoder. The HyperNet decoder learns to predict HypoNet weights from the embeddings. During training, the output of the HyperNet is used to build a HypoNet and evaluate it on in the input time-coordinates. The loss is computed as a difference between $f(t)$ and the output of the HypoNet $\hat{f}(t)$.

During the training of HyperTime, we use the weights predicted by the HyperNet decoder to instantiate a HypoNet and evaluate it on the input time-coordinate t, to produce the predicted time series value $\hat{f}(t)$. The entire chain of operations is implemented within the same differentiable pipeline, and hence the training loss can be computed as the difference between the ground truth time series signal $f(t)$ and the value predicted by the HypoNet $\hat{f}(t)$. After the training of HyperTime, the Set Encoder is able to generate latent embeddings Z for entire time series. In Section 4.2, we show that these embeddings can be interpolated to synthesize new time series signals from known ones, which can be leveraged for data augmentation (see additional material for a pseudo-code of the procedure).

Loss The training of HyperTime is done by optimizing the following loss, which contains an MSE reconstruction term $\mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}}$ and two regularization terms $\mathcal{L}_{\text{weights}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{latent}}$, for the network weights and the latent embeddings respectively:

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|f(t_i) - \hat{f}(t_i)\right\|^2}_{\mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}}} + \lambda_1 \underbrace{\frac{1}{W}\sum_{j=1}^{W} w_j^2}_{\mathcal{L}_{\text{weights}}} + \lambda_2 \underbrace{\frac{1}{Z}\sum_{k=1}^{Z} z_k^2}_{\mathcal{L}_{\text{latent}}} + \lambda_3 \mathcal{L}_{\text{FFT}} \tag{2}$$

In addition, we introduce a Fourier-based loss $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FFT}}$ that focuses on the accurate reconstruction of the power spectrum of the ground truth signal (see Supplement for more details):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FFT}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \text{FFT}[f(t)]_i - \text{FFT}[\hat{f}(t)]_i \right\|. \tag{3}$$

In Section 4.2, we show that $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FFT}}$ is crucial for the accurate reconstruction of the time series signals.

4 Experiments

4.1 Reconstruction

Table 1: Comparison using MSE of implicit networks using different activation functions on different univariate and multivariate time series from the UCR dataset.

	Sine	ReLU	Tanh	Sigmoid
Univariate				
Crop	**5.1e-06**	5.4e-03	2.8e-02	5.1e-01
NonInvasiveFetalECGThorax1	**2.3e-05**	2.8e-02	5.7e-02	8.1e-02
PhalangesOutlinesCorrect	**7.5e-06**	1.9e-02	1.4e-01	3.3e-01
FordA	**9.2e-06**	1.4e-01	1.5e-01	1.5e-01
Multivariate				
Cricket	**1.6e-04**	4.2e-03	5.1e-03	1.6e-02
DuckDuckGeese	**9.1e-05**	8.0e-04	8.7e-04	9.1e-04
MotorImagery	**1.7e-03**	1.1e-02	1.1e-02	1.8e-02
PhonemeSpectra	**1.1e-06**	6.0e-03	1.6e-02	1.8e-02

We start by showing that encoding time series using SIRENS leads to a better reconstruction error than using implicit networks with other activations. We use univariate and multivariate time series datasets from the UCR archive [5].[1] We selected datasets with different characteristics, either short length time series or long, or in the case of the multivariate datasets, with many features (in some cases, more features than time series length). We sample 300 time series (or the maximum number available) from each dataset, train a single SIREN for each time series and calculate the reconstruction error. For comparison we train implicit networks using ReLU, Tanh and Sigmoid activations. As a sample case, we show in Figure 3 the losses and we observe that sine activations converge much faster, and to lower error values, than other activation functions. A summary of results can be found in Table 1, where we observe that the MSE error is at least an order of magnitude lower for sine activations, with respect to other activation layers.

Figure 3: Comparison of MSE loss for implicit networks using different activation functions.

4.2 Time Series Generation

To evaluate the utility of learning a prior over the space of implicit functions, we use the set encoder network and the hypernetwork to generate new time series. We do so by projecting time series into the latent vector of the HyperTime network and interpolating the latent vector. This is similar to training an autoencoder and interpolating the latent space, but the output of the decoder of HyperTime are the weights of the SIREN networks.

We follow the experimental set up proposed in [1] for the evaluation, were the performance of the synthetic data is evaluated using a predictive score (MAE) that corresponds to the prediction accuracy of an off-the-shelf neural network trained on the synthetic data and tested on the real data.

---

[1]The datasets can be downloaded from the project's website: `www.timeseriesclassification.com` [6]

Additionally, to measure the quality of the synthetic data, we use the precision and recall averaged over all time steps, which are then combined into a single F-score. We use the same datasets as before, and we add two datasets that were used in Fourier Flows [1] and TimeGAN [42], Google stocks data and UCI Energy data. We compare our HyperTime model with generating data using PCA, with Fourier Flows and TimeGAN, two state-of-the-art methods for time series generation. Table 2 shows the performance scores for all models and datasets. Additionally, we visualize the generated samples using t-SNE plots 4 where we can see that the generated data from HyperTime exhibits the same patterns as the original data. In the case of Fourier Flows, in the UCR datasets we see that NonInv and Phalanges do not show a good agreement.

Table 2: Performance scores for data generated with Hyper-Time and for all baselines.

	Crop	NonInv	Phalanges	Energy	Stock
PCA					
MAE	0.050	0.019	0.050	**0.007**	0.110
F1 Score	**0.999**	**0.999**	**0.999**	0.998	**0.999**
HyperTime (Ours)					
MAE	**0.040**	**0.005**	**0.026**	0.058	0.013
F1 Score	**0.999**	0.996	0.998	**0.999**	0.995
TimeGAN					
MAE	0.048	0.028	0.108	0.056	0.173
F1 Score	0.831	0.914	0.960	0.479	0.938
Fourier Flows					
MAE	**0.040**	0.018	0.056	0.029	**0.008**
F1 Score	0.991	0.990	0.992	0.945	0.992

The synthesis of time series via principal component analysis is performed in a similar fashion as our HyperTime generation pipeline. We apply PCA to generate a decomposition of time series into a basis of 40 principal components. The coefficients of these components constitute a latent representation for each time series of the dataset, and we can interpolate between embeddings of known time series to synthesize new ones. The main limitation of this procedure, besides its linearity, is that it can only be applied to datasets of equally sampled time series.

Finally, we analyze the importance of the Fourier-based loss $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FFT}}$ from equation 3 on the training of HyperTime. In Figure 5-left we display t-SNE visualizations of time series synthesized by HyperTime with and without the use of the FFT loss during training, for two datasets (NonInv and FordA). In both cases, the addition of the $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FFT}}$ loss results in an improved matching between ground truth and generated data. However, in the case of FordA, the addition of this loss becomes crucial to guide the learning process. This is also reflected in the numerical evaluations from Table 3, which shows steep improvements in performance for the FordA dataset.

Table 3: Performance scores for data generated with HyperTime, with and without the Fourier-based loss $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FFT}}$, for two datasets (NonInv, FordA).

	NonInv	FordA
HyperTime + FFT loss		
MAE	**0.0053**	**0.0076**
F1 Score	**0.9962**	**0.9987**
HyperTime (no FFT)		
MAE	0.0058	0.1647
F1 Score	0.9960	0.0167

A likely explanation for the difficulty of the network to learn meaningful patterns from the data of this dataset is provided by the right plot in Figure 5. Here we show the standard deviation of the power spectrum for both datasets, as a function of the frequency. The difference in the distributions indicates that FordA is composed of spectra that present larger variability, while NonInv's spectra are considerably more clustered. Further research on the characteristics of the datasets that benefit the more from the $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FFT}}$ loss should be further investigated, especially focusing on non-stationary time series.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we explored the use of implicit neural representations for the encoding and analysis of both univariate and multivariate time series data, and showed that periodic activation layers outperform traditional activations in terms of reconstruction accuracy and training speed. We presented HyperTime, a hypernetwork architecture to generate synthetic data which enforces not only learning an accurate reconstruction over the learned space of time series, but also preserving the shapes of the power distributions.

Figure 4: t-SNE visualization on univariate datasets (in rows: Stocks, Energy, Crop, NonInv and Phalanges), using different time series generation methods (in columns: HyperTime, PCA, Fourier Flows and TimeGAN). Blue corresponds to original data and orange to synthetic data.

Figure 5: *Left:* t-SNE visualization of ground truth and generated data on two univariate datasets (NonInv and FordA), using HyperNet with and without the Fourier-based loss $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FFT}}$ (Eq. 3). *Right:* Standard deviation of the power spectra for the time series of the same two datasets. FordA shows a considerably larger number of variations in the distributions of the power spectra, which explains the difficulty of HyperTime to learn patterns from the data.

References

[1] Ahmed Alaa, Alex James Chan, and Mihaela van der Schaar. Generative time-series modeling with fourier flows. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.

[2] Ali Alqahtani, Mohammed Ali, Xianghua Xie, and Mark W. Jones. Deep time-series clustering: A review. *Electronics*, 10(23), 2021.

[3] Ivan Anokhin, Kirill V. Demochkin, Taras Khakhulin, Gleb Sterkin, Victor S. Lempitsky, and Denis Korzhenkov. Image generators with conditionally-independent pixel synthesis. *2021 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 14273–14282, 2021.

[4] Samuel A. Assefa, Danial Dervovic, Mahmoud Mahfouz, Robert E. Tillman, Prashant Reddy, and Manuela Veloso. Generating synthetic data in finance: Opportunities, challenges and pitfalls. In *Proceedings of the First ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, ICAIF '20, New York, NY, USA, 2020. Association for Computing Machinery.

[5] A. Bagnall, J. Lines, A. Bostrom, J. Large, and E. Keogh. The great time series classification bake off: a review and experimental evaluation of recent algorithmic advances. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 31:606–660, 2017.

[6] Anthony Bagnall, Jason Lines, William Vickers, and Eamonn Keogh. The uea & ucr time series classification repository. `www.timeseriesclassification.com`. Accessed: 2022-05-10.

[7] Dimitrios Bellos, Mark Basham, Tony P. Pridmore, and Andrew P. French. A convolutional neural network for fast upsampling of undersampled tomograms in x-ray ct time-series using a representative highly sampled tomogram. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*, 26:839 – 853, 2019.

[8] Wei Cao, Dong Wang, Jian Li, Hao Zhou, Lei Li, and Yitan Li. Brits: Bidirectional recurrent imputation for time series. In S. Bengio, H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, K. Grauman, N. Cesa-Bianchi, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 31. Curran Associates, Inc., 2018.

[9] Eric Chan, Marco Monteiro, Petr Kellnhofer, Jiajun Wu, and Gordon Wetzstein. pi-gan: Periodic implicit generative adversarial networks for 3d-aware image synthesis. *2021 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 5795–5805, 2021.

[10] Yinbo Chen, Sifei Liu, and Xiaolong Wang. Learning continuous image representation with local implicit image function. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8628–8638, 2021.

[11] Kukjin Choi, Jihun Yi, Changhwa Park, and Sungroh Yoon. Deep learning for anomaly detection in time-series data: Review, analysis, and guidelines. *IEEE Access*, 9:120043–120065, 2021.

[12] Andrea Coletta, Matteo Prata, Michele Conti, Emanuele Mercanti, Novella Bartolini, Aymeric Moulin, Svitlana Vyetrenko, and Tucker Balch. Towards realistic market simulations: A generative adversarial networks approach. In *Proceedings of the Second ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*, ICAIF '21, New York, NY, USA, 2021. Association for Computing Machinery.

[13] Abhyuday Desai, Cynthia Freeman, Zuhui Wang, and Ian Beaver. Timevae: A variational auto-encoder for multivariate time series generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.08095*, 2021.

[14] Chenguang Fang and Chen Wang. Time series data imputation: A survey on deep learning approaches. *ArXiv*, abs/2011.11347, 2020.

[15] David Ha, Andrew M. Dai, and Quoc V. Le. Hypernetworks. In *5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2017.

[16] Kyle Hundman, Valentino Constantinou, Christopher Laporte, Ian Colwell, and Tom Soderstrom. Detecting spacecraft anomalies using lstms and nonparametric dynamic thresholding. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery &; Data Mining*, KDD '18, page 387–395, New York, NY, USA, 2018. Association for Computing Machinery.

[17] Hassan Ismail Fawaz, Benjamin Lucas, Germain Forestier, Charlotte Pelletier, Daniel F. Schmidt, Jonathan Weber, Geoffrey I. Webb, Lhassane Idoumghar, Pierre-Alain Muller, and François Petitjean. Inceptiontime: Finding alexnet for time series classification. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 2020.

[18] Kyeong-Joong Jeong and Yong-Min Shin. Time-series anomaly detection with implicit neural representation. *CoRR*, abs/2201.11950, 2022.

[19] James Jordon, Daniel Jarrett, Evgeny Saveliev, Jinsung Yoon, Paul Elbers, Patrick Thoral, Ari Ercole, Cheng Zhang, Danielle Belgrave, and Mihaela van der Schaar. Hide-and-seek privacy challenge: Synthetic data generation vs. patient re-identification. In Hugo Jair Escalante and Katja Hofmann, editors, *Proceedings of the NeurIPS 2020 Competition and Demonstration Track*, volume 133 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 206–215. PMLR, 06–12 Dec 2021.

[20] James Jordon, Jinsung Yoon, and Mihaela van der Schaar. Pate-gan: Generating synthetic data with differential privacy guarantees. In *ICLR*, 2019.

[21] Bryan Lim and Stefan Zohren. Time-series forecasting with deep learning: a survey. *Phylosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 2021.

[22] Gidi Littwin and Lior Wolf. Deep meta functionals for shape representation. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 1824–1833, 10 2019.

[23] Yan Liu. Recurrent neural networks for multivariate time series with missing values. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1):6085, 2018.

[24] Yonghong Luo, Xiangrui Cai, Ying ZHANG, Jun Xu, and Yuan xiaojie. Multivariate time series imputation with generative adversarial networks. In S. Bengio, H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, K. Grauman, N. Cesa-Bianchi, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 31. Curran Associates, Inc., 2018.

[25] Qianli Ma, Jiawei Zheng, Sen Li, and Gary W Cottrell. Learning representations for time series clustering. In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 32. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019.

[26] Lars Mescheder, Michael Oechsle, Michael Niemeyer, Sebastian Nowozin, and Andreas Geiger. Occupancy networks: Learning 3d reconstruction in function space. In *Proceedings IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2019.

[27] Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P. Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T. Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and Ren Ng. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. In *ECCV*, 2020.

[28] Cheolhwan Oh, Seungmin Han, and Jongpil Jeong. Time-series data augmentation based on interpolation. *Procedia Computer Science*, 175:64–71, 2020. The 17th International Conference on Mobile Systems and Pervasive Computing (MobiSPC),The 15th International Conference on Future Networks and Communications (FNC),The 10th International Conference on Sustainable Energy Information Technology.

[29] Mathias Perslev, Michael Jensen, Sune Darkner, Poul Jø rgen Jennum, and Christian Igel. U-time: A fully convolutional network for time series segmentation applied to sleep staging. In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 32. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019.

[30] Tamar Rott Shaham, Michael Gharbi, Richard Zhang, Eli Shechtman, and Tomer Michaeli. Spatially-adaptive pixelwise networks for fast image translation. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2021.

[31] Vincent Sitzmann, Eric R. Chan, Richard Tucker, Noah Snavely, and Gordon Wetzstein. Metasdf: Meta-learning signed distance functions. In *Proc. NeurIPS*, 2020.

[32] Vincent Sitzmann, Julien N.P. Martel, Alexander W. Bergman, David B. Lindell, and Gordon Wetzstein. Implicit neural representations with periodic activation functions. In *Proc. NeurIPS*, 2020.

[33] Vincent Sitzmann, Michael Zollhöfer, and Gordon Wetzstein. Scene representation networks: Continuous 3d-structure-aware neural scene representations. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2019.

[34] Ivan Skorokhodov, Savva Ignatyev, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Adversarial generation of continuous images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 10753–10764, June 2021.

[35] Alejandro Sztrajman, Gilles Rainer, Tobias Ritschel, and Tim Weyrich. Neural brdf representation and importance sampling. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 40(6):332–346, 2021.

[36] Matthew Tancik, Pratul P. Srinivasan, Ben Mildenhall, Sara Fridovich-Keil, Nithin Raghavan, Utkarsh Singhal, Ravi Ramamoorthi, Jonathan T. Barron, and Ren Ng. Fourier features let networks learn high frequency functions in low dimensional domains. *NeurIPS*, 2020.

[37] José F. Torres, Dalil Hadjout, Abderrazak Sebaa, Francisco Martínez-Álvarez, and Alicia Troncoso Lora. Deep learning for time series forecasting: A survey. *Big data*, 2021.

[38] Magnus Wiese, Robert Knobloch, Ralf Korn, and Peter Kretschmer. Quant gans: deep generation of financial time series. *Quantitative Finance*, page 1–22, Apr 2020.

[39] Gerald Woo, Chenghao Liu, Doyen Sahoo, Akshat Kumar, and Steven C. H. Hoi. Deeptime: Deep time-index meta-learning for non-stationary time-series forecasting. 2022.

[40] Haowen Xu, Wenxiao Chen, Nengwen Zhao, Zeyan Li, Jiahao Bu, Zhihan Li, Ying Liu, Youjian Zhao, Dan Pei, Yang Feng, Jie Chen, Zhaogang Wang, and Honglin Qiao. Unsupervised anomaly detection via variational auto-encoder for seasonal kpis in web applications. In *Proceedings of the 2018 World Wide Web Conference*, WWW '18, page 187–196, 2018.

[41] Jinsung Yoon, Daniel Jarrett, and Mihaela van der Schaar. Time-series generative adversarial networks. In *NeurIPS*, 2019.

[42] Jinsung Yoon, Daniel Jarrett, and Mihaela van der Schaar. Time-series generative adversarial networks. In *NeurIPS*, 2019.

[43] Li Zeng, Baifan Zhou, Mohammad Al-Rifai, and Evgeny Kharlamov. Segtime: Precise time series segmentation without sliding window, 2022.