Generative Category-Level Shape and Pose Estimation with Semantic Primitives

Abstract:

Empowering autonomous agents with 3D understanding for daily objects is a grand challenge in robotics applications. When exploring in an unknown environment, existing methods for object pose estimation are still not satisfactory due to the diversity of object shapes. In this paper, we propose a novel framework for category-level object shape and pose estimation from a single RGB-D image. To handle the intra-category variation, we introduce a semantic primitive representation that encodes diverse shapes into a unified latent space, which is the key to establish reliable correspondences between observed point clouds and estimated shapes. Then, by using a SIM(3)-invariant shape descriptor, we gracefully decouple the shape and pose of an object, thus supporting latent shape optimization of target objects in arbitrary poses. Extensive experiments show that the proposed method achieves SOTA pose estimation performance and better generalization in the real-world dataset.

Keywords: Category-level Pose Estimation, Shape Estimation

1 Introduction

Estimating the shape and pose for daily objects is a fundamental function which has various applications, including 3D scene understanding, robot manipulation and autonomous warehousing [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Early works for this task are focused on *instance-level* pose estimation [7, 8, 9, 10, 11], which aligns the observed object with the given CAD model. However, such a setting is limited in real-world scenarios since it is hard to obtain the exact model of a casual object in advance. To generalize to these unseen but semantically familiar objects, *category-level* pose estimation is raising more and more research attention [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17] since it could potentially handle various instances of the same category in real scenes.

Existing works on category-level pose estimation usually try to predict pixel-wise normalized coordinates for instances within one class [12] or adopt a canonical prior model with shape deformations to estimate object poses [14, 15]. Although great advances have been made, these one-pass prediction methods are still faced with difficulties when large shape differences exist within the same category. To handle the variety of intra-class objects, some works [18, 16] leverage neural implicit representation [19] to fit the shape of the target object by iteratively optimizing the pose and shape in a latent space, and achieve better performance. However, in such methods, the pose and shape estimation are coupled together and rely on each other to get reliable results. Thus, their performance is unsatisfactory in real scene (see Sec. 4.2). So we can see that the huge intra-class shape differences and coupled estimation of shapes and poses are two main challenges for the existing category-level pose estimation methods.

To tackle these challenges, we propose to estimate the object poses and shapes with *semantic primitives* from a generative perspective. The insight behind is that the objects of a category are often

Figure 1: (a) An example of different instances in the same categories, we highlight the same semantic parts (*e.g.*, lens of cameras) between different instances. (b) The detailed shapes (top row) are decomposed into semantic primitives (bottom row). Different colors on primitives indicate different semantic labels, which are consistent among different shapes. (c) An overview of the generative model consisting of two auto-decoders (*i.e.*, g_f and g_c) sharing the same latent space. g_f captures fine details, and g_c represents the shapes by simple and semantically consistent shape primitives.

composed of components with the same semantics although their shapes are various, *e.g.*, a cup is usually composed of a semicircular handle and a cylindrical body (see Fig. 1(a)). This property inspired us to handle huge differences of intra-class shapes by fusing this category-level semantic information. Specifically, we propose to encode object shapes into semantic primitives, which construct a unified semantic representation among objects in the same category (see Fig. 1(b)). Following Hao et al. [20], we map diverse shapes and the corresponding semantic primitives into the same shared latent space of a generative model. In this way, we can obtain the correspondence between the observed point cloud and the latent space by dividing the observed point cloud into several semantic primitives with a part segmentation network.

In order to disentangle the shape and pose estimation, we further extract a novel SIM(3)-invariant descriptor from the geometric distribution of the point cloud's semantic primitives. By leveraging such SIM(3)-invariant descriptors, we can perform latent optimization of object shapes in arbitrary poses and obtain the resulting shape consistent with the observation. After obtaining the estimated shape, we use a correspondence-based algorithm to recover the size and pose by aligning semantic primitives of the target object with that of the estimated shape.

In summary, our contributions are as follows: **1)** We propose a novel category-level pose estimation method, which uses semantic primitives to model diverse shapes and bridges the observed point clouds with implicitly generated shapes. **2)** We propose an online shape estimation method, which enables object shape optimization in arbitrary poses with a novel SIM(3)-invariant descriptor. **3)** Even when training on the synthetic dataset only, our method shows good generalization and achieves state-of-the-art category-level pose estimation performance on the real dataset.

2   Related Works

Instance-Level Object Pose Estimation. Instance-level pose estimation assumes the CAD model of test objects is known in the training phase, and previous methods are mainly divided into three categories. The first category of methods [21, 8, 7, 22] directly regress the 6D object pose from RGB or RGB-D images. PoseCNN [7] extends 2D detection architecture to regress 6D object pose from RGB images, and DenseFusion [8] combines color and depth information from the RGB-D input and makes the regressed pose more accurate. The second are correspondence-based methods [9, 10, 11, 23]. They predict the correspondences between 2D images and 3D models, and then recover the pose by Perspective-n-Point algorithm [24]. For example, PVNet [9] predicts the 3D keypoints on the RGB image by voting scheme. CDPN [11] predicts the dense correspondences between image pixels and 3D object surface. The third category are rendering-based methods [25, 26], which recover the pose by minimizing the re-projection error between the posed 3D model and 2D image through a differentiable render. Compared with these instance-level methods, our category-level method does not need the known CAD model as prior.

Figure 2: Overview of the proposed method. The input of our method is the point cloud observed from a single-view depth image. (a) Our method first extracts semantic primitives $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ from object point cloud P_0 by a part segmentation network ϕ. (b) We calculate a SIM(3)-invariant shape descriptor $f(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ from $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and optimize a shape embedding $\hat{z}$ in the latent space by the difference between $f(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ and $f(g_c(z))$, where g_c and g_f are the coarse and fine branches of the generative model g, detailed in Sec. 3.1. (c) The similarity transformation $\{\tilde{s}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{t}}\}$ is recovered through the semantic correspondences between $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and optimized shape $g_c(\hat{z})$. (d) Further, we can simply apply the transformation $\{\tilde{s}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{t}}\}$ on the fine geometry generated through $g_f(\hat{z})$, and reconstruct the object-level scene as a by-product besides our main results, which will be detailed in the supplementary material.

Category-Level Object Pose Estimation. Category-level methods [12, 27, 13] aim at estimating the pose for the arbitrary shape of the same category. To overcome the intra-category variations, NOCS [12] introduces normalized object canonical space, which establishes a unified coordinate space among instances of the same category. CASS [27] learns a variational auto-encoder to recover object shape and predicts the pose in an end-to-end neural network. These methods directly regress the pose or coordinates, and thus struggle to form an intra-category representation. Other methods utilize a prior model of each category for the category-level representation. For example, SPD [14] and SGPA [15] predict deformation on canonical prior models and recover the 6D pose through correspondence between the deformed model and the observed point cloud. However, when facing a significant difference between the prior model and the target object, the deformation prediction tend to fail. DualPose [28] takes the consistency between an implicit pose decoder and an explicit one into account and refines the predicted pose during testing. Besides, FS-Net [13] proposes a novel data augmentation mechanism to overcome intra-category variations. SAR-Net [17] recovers the shape by modeling symmetric correspondence of objects, which makes pose and size prediction easier. To utilize the diverse and continuous shapes in generative models, iCaps[16] and ELLIPSDF[18] introduce the generative models [19, 20] to their shape and pose estimation. Shapes and poses are jointly optimized in these works. Unlike these previous works, we establish a semantically consistent representation and decouple the shape and pose estimation, which makes the optimization more robust.

3 Method

Problem Formulation. Given observed point cloud $P_0 = \{\boldsymbol{p}_i | i = 1, ..., N_0\}$ of an object with known category, our goal is to estimate the complete shape, scale and 6D pose for this object. The recovered shape is represented by a shape embedding $\hat{z}$ in a latent space. The estimated scale and 6D pose are represented as a similarity transformation $\{s, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{t}\} \in$ SIM(3), where scale $s \in \mathbb{R}$, 3D rotation $\boldsymbol{R} \in$ SO(3) and 3D translation $\boldsymbol{t} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. SIM(3) and SO(3) indicate the Lie group of 3D similarity transformations and 3D rotation respectively.

Overview. As illustrated in Fig 2, our method takes the RGB-D image as input. A Mask-RCNN[29] network is used to obtain the mask and category of each object instance. In following stages, our method only process the observed instance point cloud back-projected from the masked depth image. To overcome the huge intra-category variations, we propose a unified intra-category representation

of semantic primitives (Sec 3.1). Then, we process the the observed point cloud with a part segmentation network to extract semantic primitives which establish a connection between the observed object and the latent space (Sec 3.2). To estimate the shape of the target with its pose unknown, we design a SIM(3)-invariant descriptor to optimize the generative shape in the latent space (Sec 3.3). Finally, we estimate the 6D pose and scale of the object through the semantic correspondence between the observed point cloud and our recovered generative shape (Sec 3.4).

3.1 Semantic Primitive Representation

The huge intra-category differences make it challenging to represent objects with a standard model. To overcome this problem, we propose a semantic primitive representation. The insight behind the proposed semantic primitive representation is that although different instances in the same category have various shapes, they tend to have similar semantic parts, *e.g.*, each camera instance has a lens and each laptop has four corners (see Fig 1(a)). In semantic primitive representation, each instance is decomposed into several parts, and each part always corresponds to the same semantic part in different instances (see Fig 1(b)). To generate this representation, we learn a generative model g following the network structure in [20] and map instances of the same category into a latent space.

Specifically, Fig 1(c) gives an overview of the generative model. The generative model g expresses shapes at two granular levels, one capturing detail shapes and the other representing an abstracted shape using semantically consistent shape primitives. We note the fine-scale model as g_f and the coarse-scale one as g_c. g_f and g_c are two auto-decoders sharing the same latent code z. $g_f(z, \boldsymbol{x}) = SDF(\boldsymbol{x})$, where $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a 3D position in canonical space and SDF denotes the signed distance function. $g_c(z) = \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i | i = 1, ..., N_c\}$, where N_c is the number of primitives and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i$ is the parameters of a primitive. We use sphere primitive here and $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\boldsymbol{c}, r)$, where $\boldsymbol{c}$ is the 3D center of a sphere and r is the radius. Please refer to [20] and our supplementary material for more details.

3.2 Extract Semantic Primitives from Point Cloud

We use a part segmentation network to obtain the semantic primitives of the observation point cloud in two stages. First, we predict a semantic label l_i for each point $\boldsymbol{p}_i$ in the observed point cloud P_0, and then a centralization operation is performed on the points to extract the center of each primitive.

Semantic Label Prediction. For each point $\boldsymbol{p}_i \in P_0$, its semantic label l_i is defined in terms of closest primitive center $\boldsymbol{c}_j$:

$$l_i = \operatorname*{argmin}_{j=1...N_c} ||\boldsymbol{p}_i - \boldsymbol{c}_j||. \tag{1}$$

We treat point label prediction as a classification problem and follow the part segmentation version of 3D-GCN [30] to perform point-wise classification. Standard cross entropy is used as the loss function. In addition, because of imperfect segmentation masks produced by Mask R-CNN, the point cloud back-projected from the masked depth image may contain extra background points. For these outlier points, we add another "dust" label in the point-wise classification to filter out them.

Symmetric Loss. Many common objects in robot manipulation have symmetrical structures, and most objects (*e.g., bottles*) are symmetrical about an axis. The unique pre-labeled ground truth cause ambiguity when the object rotates around the axis. In order to eliminate the influence of symmetric structure on our classification task, we introduce the symmetric loss as the same as [12]. For each symmetric object in the dataset, we define a symmetric axis and make the loss identical when the object rotates around the axis. Specifically, we generate a separate ground truth for each possible angle of rotational symmetry. Given the rotation angle θ, the rotated semantic label $l_{\theta,i}$ is defined as:

$$l_{\theta,i} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{j=1...N_c} ||\boldsymbol{p}_i - \boldsymbol{c}_{\theta,j}||, \tag{2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{c}_{\theta,j}$ is the position of $\boldsymbol{c}_j$ after θ degrees of rotation about the the axis. Then we define the symmetric loss L_{sym} as:

$$L_{sym} = \min_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\tilde{l}_i, l_{\theta,i}), \tag{3}$$

Figure 3: The proposed SIM(3)-invariant descriptor. The angle θ_1 and θ_2, which we called atomic descriptors are invariant with different object poses. And our shape descriptor concatenated by multiple atomic descriptors is also invariant.

where L is the standard cross entropy, and we set $\Theta = \{0°\}$ for non-symmetric objects and $\Theta = \{i \cdot 60° | i = 0, ..., 5\}$ for symmetric objects in our experiments.

Primitive centralization. After predicting the semantic label of each 3D point, we count the semantic labels $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \{l_i | i = 1, ..., \tilde{N}_c\}$ which appear in the partially observed point cloud. Then, we calculate the primitive centers $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \{c_l | l = l_1, ..., l_{\tilde{N}_c}\}$ from the labeled points by averaging the points with the same label. Specifically,

$$c_l = \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} p_i \mathbb{I}(\tilde{l}_i = l), \tag{4}$$

where N_l is the total number of points with predicted label l, $\mathbb{I}$ is an indication function indicating whether the predicted label $\tilde{l}_i$ equals l.

3.3 Shape Optimization with SIM(3)-Invariant Descriptor

We decouple the shape estimation from the pose estimation to perform shape estimation for the observed object when the pose is unknown. In order to achieve this pose-invariant shape optimization, we propose a novel SIM(3)-invariant descriptor extracted from semantic primitive representation.

SIM(3)-invariant Descriptor. Given a set of 3D points $\mathcal{C} = \{c_i | i = 1, ..., N\}$ and a SIM(3)-transformation $\{s, R, t\}$, a SIM(3)-invariant descriptor f_0 can be expressed as:

$$f_0(\mathcal{C}) = f_0(sR\mathcal{C} + t). \tag{5}$$

To make our descriptor SIM(3)-invariant, first, we obtain a translation-invariant descriptor by calculating the vectors v composed of every two points:

$$v_{ij} = (c_i + t) - (c_j + t) = c_i - c_j. \tag{6}$$

After that, we normalize the vector v to eliminate the scale factor s:

$$\overline{v}_{ij} = \frac{sv_{ij}}{||sv_{ij}||} = \frac{v_{ij}}{||v_{ij}||}, \tag{7}$$

where $||\cdot||$ denotes the L2 norm of a vector. Finally, we eliminate the effect of rotation transformation by calculating the dot product between two vectors (see Fig. 3):

$$\theta(c_i, c_j, c_k, c_o) = R\overline{v}_{ij} \cdot R\overline{v}_{ko} = \overline{v}_{ij}^T R^T R \overline{v}_{ko} = \overline{v}_{ij}^T \overline{v}_{ko}, \tag{8}$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the dot product of two vectors.

With the atomic SIM(3)-invariant descriptor θ, we design a function f to form SIM(3)-invariant shape descriptor for our estimated primitives $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with semantic labels $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$:

$$f(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = \bigoplus_{i,j,k,o \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}} \theta(c_i, c_j, c_k, c_o), \tag{9}$$

where $\oplus$ denotes the concatenate operation which concatenates multiple scalars into a vector in order.

Online Shape Optimization. Given the shape embedding $\hat{z}$ in the latent space and estimated primitives $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with semantic labels $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, we can measure the shape difference between the generative shape $g_c(\hat{z})$ and P_0 through the SIM(3)-invariant descriptor. We note this difference as $\tilde{e}$:

$$\tilde{e} = ||f(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}) - f(\hat{\mathcal{C}}(g_c(\hat{z})), \tilde{\mathcal{L}})||, \tag{10}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(g_c(\hat{z})) = \{\hat{c}_i | i = 1, ..., N_c\}$ denotes the primitive centers of $g_c(\hat{z})$. Obviously, f is a differentiable function and g_c is a differentiable neural network. Thus, we can optimize the shape embedding $\hat{z}$ via gradient descent in an online iterative way.

Figure 4: Qualitative comparisons between our method and SGPA [15] on REAL275 dataset [12]. The red arrows and dotted box point out the inaccurate result of SGPA compared with our method.

3.4 6D Pose and Size Estimation

With the primitive centers $\hat{\mathcal{C}}(g_c(\hat{z}))$ of the optimized shape and estimated primitive centers $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ from the observed point cloud, we use Umeyama algorithm [31] to compute the SIM(3) transformation by minimizing following error function:

$$\tilde{s}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{t}} = \underset{s,\boldsymbol{R},\boldsymbol{t}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{\tilde{N}_c} \sum_{l \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}} ||\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_l - s\boldsymbol{R}(\hat{\boldsymbol{c}}_l + \boldsymbol{t})||^2. \tag{11}$$

3.5 Implementation Details

The object point cloud is back-projected from the depth image with the Mask-RCNN segmentation results provided by [14]. We sample 1024 points from the object point cloud as our input. The network architecture and training protocol of our generative model g keep the same as [20]. We train a single generative model for each category with the CAD models provided by ShapeNetCore [32]. Our part segmentation network architecture follows the part segmentation version of [30], and we set 256 primitives in our main experiments. The segmentation network is trained on CAMERA dataset (about 600K instances) with a batch size of 32 on a single NVIDIA Tesla V100 graphics card. Moreover, we set the initial learning rate as 0.0005 and multiply it by a factor 0.2 every 10 epochs. We use the ground truth pose provided by [33] and apply the pose on generated primitives to annotate the ground truth of part segmentation.

4 Experiments

4.1 Datasets and Evaluation Metrics

We evaluate our method on NOCS [12] dataset which consists of six objects categories including *bottle, bowl, camera, can, laptop* and *mug*. The NOCS dataset contains a virtual dataset called CAMERA25 and a real-world dataset called REAL275. The CAMERA25 dataset contains 300K synthetic images and there are 25K images for evaluation among them. The REAL275 contains 4.3K real-scene images for training and 2.75K real-scene images for evaluation.

Two aspects of metrics are adopted for evaluation: 3D IoU and pose error. 3D IoU measures the overlap of two posed 3D bounding boxes, and we compute the average precision at threshold values of 50% and 75%. The pose error measures the rotation and translation errors between the predicted pose and ground truth pose, and we compute the average precision at threshold values $(5°, 2cm)$, $(5°, 5cm)$, $(10°, 2cm)$ and $(10°, 5cm)$.

4.2 Comparison with State-of-the-Art Methods

We compared our proposed method with several SOTA methods [12, 14, 15, 17, 16]. NOCS [12] directly regress pixel-level canonical coordinate. SPD [14] and SGPA [15] are the methods which recover canonical shape by deforming a prior shape. iCaps [16] and our methods utilize a generative model to refine and recover the canonical model respectively. Table 1 shows the quantitative results. Overall, our method needs the minimum parameters learned for the shape and pose estimation task

Table 1: Comparison of our method with other five SOTA methods [12, 14, 15, 17, 16] on REAL275 and CAMERA25. 'S' is synthetic data and 'R' is real data.

Dataset	Methods	Training Data	Canonical Model	IoU50	IoU75	$5°2cm$	$5°5cm$	$10°2cm$	$10°5cm$	Learnable Parameters(M)
REAL275 (real)	NOCS [12]	RGB(S+R)	Regress	78.0	30.1	7.2	10.0	13.8	25.2	-
	SPD [14]	RGBD(S+R)	Deform	77.3	53.2	19.3	21.4	43.2	54.1	18.3
	SGPA [15]	RGBD(S+R)	Deform	**80.1**	61.9	35.9	39.6	61.3	70.7	23.3
	SAR-Net [17]	D(S)	Deform	79.3	62.4	31.6	42.3	50.3	68.3	6.3
	iCaps [16]	D(S)	Generate	-	-	-	22.3	-	-	80.9
	Ours	D(S)	Generate	77.9	**64.4**	**43.2**	**50.5**	**63.1**	**75.8**	**2.3**
CAMERA25 (synthetic)	NOCS [12]	RGB(S+R)	Regress	83.9	69.5	32.3	40.9	48.2	64.6	-
	SGPA [15]	RGBD(S+R)	Deform	**93.2**	**88.1**	**70.7**	**74.5**	**82.7**	**88.4**	23.3
	SAR-Net [17]	D(S)	Deform	86.8	79.0	66.7	70.9	75.3	80.3	6.3
	Ours	D(S)	Generate	91.7	85.7	68.6	74.0	79.0	86.0	**2.3**

Table 2: Results of our method with different numbers of semantic primitives on REAL275 and CAMERA25.

Number of Primitives	REAL275						CAMERA25					
	IoU50	IoU75	$5°2cm$	$5°5cm$	$10°2cm$	$10°5cm$	IoU50	IoU75	$5°2cm$	$5°5cm$	$10°2cm$	$10°5cm$
64	**80.2**	60.8	35.1	45.1	56.2	74.4	**92.0**	84.0	62.8	70.7	74.5	85.0
128	78.7	64.2	38.9	47.7	59.7	74.4	91.5	84.7	67.5	73.1	77.7	85.2
256	77.9	**64.4**	**43.2**	**50.5**	**63.1**	**75.8**	91.9	**85.9**	**68.9**	**74.2**	**79.1**	**86.0**
512	76.1	62.5	41.4	49.0	59.9	73.7	91.5	85.4	67.5	72.7	78.4	85.5

and shows the results of the smallest domain gap between the synthetic dataset and the real-world dataset. In detail, our method outperforms other existing methods on all metrics but IoU50 on the real-world REAL275 dataset. Fig. 4 shows qualitative comparisons with SGPA [15]. On the synthetic CAMERA25 dataset, our method shows improvement on all metrics compared with other methods, but a relative small gap compared with SGPA [15], with the fact that we have significantly outperformed SGPA [15] on the real-scene dataset. By the analyzing the results of ours and [15] on the synthetic dataset, we find this small gap is mainly caused by some partial observations with ambiguous ground truth, please check our supplementary material for detail. Compared to the other generative method iCaps [16], our method shows far better results, indicating the advantage of our decoupled shape and pose estimation. It should be noted here that our trained generation model consists of about 4.3M parameters for each category. The models are only pre-trained on ShapeNet-Core [32] and need no more fine-tuning for the specific shape and pose estimation task. Thus, we compare the *learnable parameters* with other methods. Specifically, our method needs only additionally train a part segmentation network (2.3M) for all categories on the synthetic training data provided by CAMERA25 [12], which leads to our better generalization in real scenes.

4.3 Ablation Study

Number of Semantic Primitives. We conduct an ablation study on the number of semantic primitives set as 64, 128, 256, and 512 respectively. As shown in Table 2, the experiments show that the accuracy of pose estimation is improved with the increase of the number of primitives. This is because more primitives can represent more complex geometry. The results show a decline when the number is set to 512, because 512 primitives are relatively redundant for our 1024 input points and cause difficulty for the classification task (Sec. 3.2). Based on these observations, we use 256 primitives as the default setting in other experiments.

Shape Optimization for Pose Estimation. We have mentioned that a well-recovered shape can benefit pose estimation when comparing our method with another generative method [16]. To verify the effectiveness of our shape optimization algorithm for category-level pose estimation, we use three types of recovered shape to estimate the object pose: (1) Mean, we directly recover the mean shape from the latent space; (2) Random, we use a random shape embedding to recover the shape; (3) Optimized, we use our optimized shape embedding to recover the shape. Table 3 shows detailed

Table 3: Pose estimation results with recovered shape by mean, random and optimized shape embedding on REAL275 and CAMERA25.

Shape Embedding	REAL275						CAMERA25					
	IoU50	IoU75	$5°2cm$	$5°5cm$	$10°2cm$	$10°5cm$	IoU50	IoU75	$5°2cm$	$5°5cm$	$10°2cm$	$10°5cm$
Mean	77.7	54.5	31.7	36.0	51.4	61.0	90.7	78.1	35.6	42.5	66.0	78.7
Random	76.8	51.6	28.7	34.4	47.6	60.3	90.0	72.7	32.6	40.7	59.4	74.2
Optimized	**77.9**	**64.4**	**43.2**	**50.5**	**63.1**	**75.8**	**91.7**	**85.7**	**68.6**	**74.0**	**79.0**	**86.0**

Real Scene Target Objects Iterative Optimization

Figure 5: Visualization of the shape optimization process.

Figure 6: Quantitative results of our shape optimization on synthetic data (left) and REAL275 test dataset (right) when the shape descriptor is concatenated by different numbers of atomic descriptors.

pose estimation results. Our shape estimation method boosts the accuracy of our pose estimation significantly on all metrics. Fig. 5 visualizes the iterative shape optimization process.

Number of Atomic Descriptor. As illustrated in Sec. 3, our designed novel SIM(3)-invariant shape descriptor is concatenated by multiple atomic angle descriptors. We analyze the influence of the number of atomic descriptors on the shape optimization results through experiments both on synthetic data and REAL275 test dataset. We randomly choose $\{2^4, 2^8, 2^{12}, 2^{16}, 2^{20}\}$ atomic descriptors to form the shape descriptor, and use the chamfer distance to evaluate the quality of optimized shapes. As shown in Fig. 6, the optimized shape error decreases as the number of atomic descriptors increase, and RANSAC can further reduce this error.

5 Conclusion and Limitations

We propose a novel category-level shape and pose estimation framework by utilizing the semantic primitive representation. Leveraging a novel SIM(3)-invariant descriptor, we decouple shape and pose estimation and optimize the implicitly generated shape in an online iterative way. Experiments results demonstrate the advantages of our method on the real-scene data compared with other SOTA methods.

Limitations. As other methods [14, 15, 17], our method also relies on the pre-preocessed masks of object instances by Mask R-CNN. Besides, our method does not consider the occlusion and collision between objects, and may cause ambiguity from single-view observation. Feasible future work could fuse color information into our input, or expand the proposed method to multi-view observation to address these problems.

References

[1] T. Wang, Z. Xinge, J. Pang, and D. Lin. Probabilistic and geometric depth: Detecting objects in perspective. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 1475–1485. PMLR, 2022.

[2] W. Yuan, C. Paxton, K. Desingh, and D. Fox. Sornet: Spatial object-centric representations for sequential manipulation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 148–157. PMLR, 2022.

[3] T. Chen, J. Xu, and P. Agrawal. A system for general in-hand object re-orientation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 297–307. PMLR, 2022.

[4] S. Cheng, K. Mo, and L. Shao. Learning to regrasp by learning to place. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.08817*, 2021.

[5] T. Chen, J. Xu, and P. Agrawal. A system for general in-hand object re-orientation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 297–307. PMLR, 2022.

[6] E. Sucar, K. Wada, and A. Davison. Nodeslam: Neural object descriptors for multi-view shape reconstruction. In *2020 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*, pages 949–958. IEEE, 2020.

[7] Y. Xiang, T. Schmidt, V. Narayanan, and D. Fox. Posecnn: A convolutional neural network for 6d object pose estimation in cluttered scenes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.00199*, 2017.

[8] C. Wang, D. Xu, Y. Zhu, R. Martín-Martín, C. Lu, L. Fei-Fei, and S. Savarese. Densefusion: 6d object pose estimation by iterative dense fusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3343–3352, 2019.

[9] S. Peng, Y. Liu, Q. Huang, X. Zhou, and H. Bao. Pvnet: Pixel-wise voting network for 6dof pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4561–4570, 2019.

[10] Y. He, W. Sun, H. Huang, J. Liu, H. Fan, and J. Sun. Pvn3d: A deep point-wise 3d keypoints voting network for 6dof pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 11632–11641, 2020.

[11] Z. Li, G. Wang, and X. Ji. Cdpn: Coordinates-based disentangled pose network for real-time rgb-based 6-dof object pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7678–7687, 2019.

[12] H. Wang, S. Sridhar, J. Huang, J. Valentin, S. Song, and L. J. Guibas. Normalized object coordinate space for category-level 6d object pose and size estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2642–2651, 2019.

[13] W. Chen, X. Jia, H. J. Chang, J. Duan, L. Shen, and A. Leonardis. Fs-net: Fast shape-based network for category-level 6d object pose estimation with decoupled rotation mechanism. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1581–1590, 2021.

[14] M. Tian, M. H. Ang, and G. H. Lee. Shape prior deformation for categorical 6d object pose and size estimation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 530–546. Springer, 2020.

[15] K. Chen and Q. Dou. Sgpa: Structure-guided prior adaptation for category-level 6d object pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2773–2782, 2021.

[16] X. Deng, J. Geng, T. Bretl, Y. Xiang, and D. Fox. icaps: Iterative category-level object pose and shape estimation. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2022.

[17] H. Lin, Z. Liu, C. Cheang, Y. Fu, G. Guo, and X. Xue. Sar-net: Shape alignment and recovery network for category-level 6d object pose and size estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6707–6717, 2022.

[18] M. Shan, Q. Feng, Y.-Y. Jau, and N. Atanasov. Ellipsdf: Joint object pose and shape optimization with a bi-level ellipsoid and signed distance function description. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 5946–5955, 2021.

[19] J. J. Park, P. Florence, J. Straub, R. Newcombe, and S. Lovegrove. Deepsdf: Learning continuous signed distance functions for shape representation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 165–174, 2019.

[20] Z. Hao, H. Averbuch-Elor, N. Snavely, and S. Belongie. Dualsdf: Semantic shape manipulation using a two-level representation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7631–7641, 2020.

[21] M. Schwarz, H. Schulz, and S. Behnke. Rgb-d object recognition and pose estimation based on pre-trained convolutional neural network features. In *2015 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, pages 1329–1335. IEEE, 2015.

[22] W. Kehl, F. Manhardt, F. Tombari, S. Ilic, and N. Navab. Ssd-6d: Making rgb-based 3d detection and 6d pose estimation great again. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 1521–1529, 2017.

[23] M. Oberweger, M. Rad, and V. Lepetit. Making deep heatmaps robust to partial occlusions for 3d object pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 119–134, 2018.

[24] V. Lepetit, F. Moreno-Noguer, and P. Fua. Epnp: An accurate o (n) solution to the pnp problem. *International journal of computer vision*, 81(2):155–166, 2009.

[25] K. Park, A. Mousavian, Y. Xiang, and D. Fox. Latentfusion: End-to-end differentiable reconstruction and rendering for unseen object pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10710–10719, 2020.

[26] L. Yen-Chen, P. Florence, J. T. Barron, A. Rodriguez, P. Isola, and T.-Y. Lin. inerf: Inverting neural radiance fields for pose estimation. In *2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 1323–1330. IEEE, 2021.

[27] D. Chen, J. Li, Z. Wang, and K. Xu. Learning canonical shape space for category-level 6d object pose and size estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 11973–11982, 2020.

[28] J. Lin, Z. Wei, Z. Li, S. Xu, K. Jia, and Y. Li. Dualposenet: Category-level 6d object pose and size estimation using dual pose network with refined learning of pose consistency. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3560–3569, 2021.

[29] K. He, G. Gkioxari, P. Dollár, and R. Girshick. Mask r-cnn. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2961–2969, 2017.

[30] Z.-H. Lin, S.-Y. Huang, and Y.-C. F. Wang. Convolution in the cloud: Learning deformable kernels in 3d graph convolution networks for point cloud analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1800–1809, 2020.

[31] S. Umeyama. Least-squares estimation of transformation parameters between two point patterns. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence*, 13(04):376–380, 1991.

[32] A. X. Chang, T. Funkhouser, L. Guibas, P. Hanrahan, Q. Huang, Z. Li, S. Savarese, M. Savva, S. Song, H. Su, et al. Shapenet: An information-rich 3d model repository. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.03012*, 2015.

[33] C. Wang, R. Martín-Martín, D. Xu, J. Lv, C. Lu, L. Fei-Fei, S. Savarese, and Y. Zhu. 6-pack: Category-level 6d pose tracker with anchor-based keypoints. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 10059–10066. IEEE, 2020.