Learning Representation in Colour Conversion

Abstract

Colours can be represented in an infinite set of spaces highlighting distinct features. Here, we studied the structure of colour representation in variational autoencoders (VAEs) and investigated whether a specific organisation of colours boosts efficient coding. To this end, we propose a novel unsupervised task: colour space conversion (*ColourConvNets*). We trained several instances of VAEs whose input and output are in different colour spaces, e.g. from RGB to CIE L*a*b* (in total five colour spaces were examined). This allowed us to systematically study the influence of input-output colour spaces on the learnt representation. We thoroughly analysed the finite embedding space of Vector Quantised VAEs with three different methods (single feature, hue shift and linear transformation). The interpretations reached with these techniques are in agreement suggesting that (i) luminance and chromatic information are encoded in separate embedding vectors, and (ii) the structure of network's embedding space is determined by the output colour space. Our evaluations demonstrate that ColourConvNets with decorrelated output colour spaces produce higher quality images, also evident in pixel-wise low-level metrics such as colour difference (ΔE), peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) and structural similarity index measure (SSIM). We further assessed the ColourConvNets' capacity to reconstruct the global content in two downstream tasks: image classification (ImageNet) and scene segmentation networks (COCO). Our results show 5-10% performance boost for decorrelating ColourConvNets with respect to the baseline network (whose input and output are RGB).

1 Introduction

Colour is an inseparable component of our conscious visual perception and its objective utility spans over a large set of tasks such as object recognition and scene segmentation (Chirimuuta et al., 2015; Gegenfurtner & Rieger, 2000; Wichmann et al., 2002). Consequently, colour is an ubiquitous feature in many applications: transfer (Reinhard et al., 2001), colour constancy (Chakrabarti, 2015), style transfer (Luan et al., 2017), computer graphics (Bratkova et al., 2009), image denoising (Dabov et al., 2007), quality assessment (Preiss et al., 2014), to name a few. Progress in these lines requires a better understanding of colour representation and its neural encoding in deep networks.

We propose a novel unsupervised task—colour conversion—to explicitly examine the colour representation learnt by deep networks (referred to as *ColourConvNets*). Essentially, the output y for input image x is generated on the fly by a transformation $y = T(x)$, where T maps input to output colour space. This task allows a systematic analysis of networks' feature representation across colour spaces. For instance, the structure of internal representation provides insights on how this transformation is performed within a neural network. The quality of output images demonstrates whether the representation of input-output colour spaces impacts networks' encoding power.

The aim of this work is more towards a generic colour representation instead of its contribution to a specific visual task. To this end, autoencoders are a suitable tool since their objective is to learn an efficient representation for a set of data in an unsupervised manner (Kramer, 1991). Our primary focus is on Vector Quantised Variational Autoencoder (VQ-VAE) (van den Oord et al., 2017) due to the discrete nature of its latent space that facilitates the analysis and interpretability of the learnt features. We thoroughly studied five commonly used colour spaces by training ColourConvNets for all combinations of input-output spaces.

First, we show that ColourConvNets with a decorrelated output colour space (e.g. CIE L*a*b) convey information more efficiently in their compressing bottleneck. This is evident qualitatively (Figure A.1) and quantitatively (evaluated with three low-level and two high-level metrics). Next, we present the interpretation of ColourConvNets' latent space by means of three methods reaching a consensus interpretation: (i) the colour representation in the VQ-VAEs' latent space is determined by the output colour space, suggesting the transformation T occurs at the encoder, (ii) each embedding vector in VQ-VAEs encode a specific part of the colour space, e.g. the luminance or chromatic information, which can be modelled by a parsimonious linear transformation.

| Original | rgb2rgb | rgb2dkl | rgb2lab |

Figure 1: Qualitative comparison of three ColourConvNets (VQ-VAE of **K=8** and **D=128**).

1.1 RELATED WORK

Colour spaces have been investigated in a few empirical studies of deep neural networks (DNNs). Information fusion over several colour spaces improved retinal medical imaging (Fu et al., 2019). A similar strategy enhanced the robustness of face (Li et al., 2014; Larbi et al., 2018) and traffic light recognition (Cireşan et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2018). This was also effective in predicting eye fixation (Shen et al., 2015). Opponent colour spaces have been explored for applications such as style transfer (Luan et al., 2017; Gatys et al., 2017) and picture colourisation (Cheng et al., 2015; Larsson et al., 2016). Most of these works are within the domain of supervised learning. The most similar approach to our proposed ColourConvNets is image colourisation as a pretext task for unsupervised visual feature learning (Larsson et al., 2017).

Initial works on colour representation in DNNs showed some units are hue-sensitive (Engilberge et al., 2017). Another set of works reported that biologically-inspired networks learn to decorrelate their input images (Rafegas & Vanrell, 2018; Harris et al., 2019). This is a reminiscence of horizontal and ganglion cells that decorrelate retinal signal into colour-opponency before transmitting it to the visual cortex (Schiller & Malpeli, 1977; Derrington et al., 1984; Gegenfurtner & Kiper, 2003). This transformation has been argued to boost the system efficiency in information coding (Buchsbaum & Allan, 1983; Ruderman et al., 1998; Lee et al., 2001). Nevertheless, the conversion of RGB images into other colour spaces yield to no performance improvement in ImageNet (Mishkin et al., 2017). Representation of colours in deep networks at intermediate and higher layers is rather understudied. In this article, we specifically focus on the intermediate representation that emerges at the latent space of autoencoders.

2 COLOUR CONVERSION AUTOENCODERS

In order to explicitly study the intermediate colour representation in deep networks, we propose a novel unsupervised task of colour conversion: the network's output colour space is independent of its input (see Figure 2). A colour space is an arbitrary definition of colours' organisation in the space (Koenderink & van Doorn, 2003). Thus, the choice of transformation matrix T in ColourConvNets is perfectly flexible to model any desired space,

$$\mathcal{C}_{in} \xrightarrow{\;T\;} \mathcal{C}_{out}, \tag{1}$$

Figure 2: Left: an exemplary conversion from RGB to CIE L*a*b*. Each image is shown in its 3D space along with different colour planes. The figures on each plane denotes the correlation between its two constituent axes. Right: the schematic view of VQ-VAE ColourConvNets.

where $\mathcal{C}_{in}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{out}$ are the input and output colour spaces. This simple extension offers many other opportunities as well, for instance, as an add-on optimisation capsule to any computer vision application (Mosleh et al., 2020), or as a proxy task for visual understanding (Larsson et al., 2017).

2.1 NETWORKS

We studied a particular class of VAEs—Vector Quantised Variational Autoencoder (VQ-VAE) (van den Oord et al., 2017)—due to the discrete nature of its latent embedding space that facilitates the analysis and interpretability of the learnt features, which distinguishes it from others (Kingma & Welling, 2013). VQ-VAE consists of three main blocks: 1) an encoder that processes the input data x to $z_e(x)$; 2) a latent embedding space $\{e\} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times D}$, with K vectors of dimensionality D, that maps $z_e(x)$ onto $z_q(x)$ by estimating the nearest vector e_i to $z_e(x)$; 3) a decoder that reconstructs the final output x' with a distribution $p(x|z_q(x))$ over the input data (see the right panel in Figure 2). The loss function is defined as follows,

$$L = \log p(x|z_q(x)) + \|sg[z_e(x)] - e\|_2^2 + \beta\|z_e(x) - sg[e]\|_2^2, \tag{2}$$

where sg denotes the stop gradient computation that is defined as the identity during the forward-propagation, and with zero partial derivatives during the back-propagation to refrain its update. The first term in Eq. 2 corresponds to the reconstruction loss incorporating both encoder and decoder; the second term updates the embedding vectors; and the third term harmonies the encoder and embedding vectors. The parameter $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is set to 0.5 in all our experiments.

2.2 COLOUR SPACES

We explored five colour spaces: RGB, LMS, CIE L*a*b*, DKL and HSV. The standard space in electronic imaging is RGB that represents colours by three additive primaries in a cubic shape. The LMS colour space corresponds to the response of human cones (long-, middle-, and short-wavelengths) (Gegenfurtner & Sharpe, 1999). The CIE L*a*b* colour space (luminance, red-green and yellow-blue axes) is designed to be perceptually uniform (CIE, 1978). The DKL colour space (Derrington-Krauskopf-Lennie) models the opponent responses of rhesus monkeys in the early visual system (Derrington et al., 1984). The HSV colour space (hue, saturation, value) is a cylindrical representation of RGB cube designed by computer graphics. The intra-axes correlation for RGB and LMS is very high, hence referred to as *correlated* colour spaces. On the contrary, intra-axes correlations for CIE L*a*b* and DKL are very low, hence referred to as *decorrelated* colour spaces.[1]

The input-output to our networks can be in any combination of these colour spaces. Effectively, our VQ-VAE models, in addition to learning efficient representation, must learn the transformation function from their input to output colour space. It is worth considering that the original images in explored datasets are in the RGB format. Therefore, one might expect a slight positive bias towards this colour space given its gamut defines the limits of other colour spaces.

---

[1]We computed these correlations r in all images of ImageNet dataset (hundred-random pixels per image). RGB: $r^{RG} = 0.90$, $r^{RB} = 0.77$, $r^{GB} = 0.89$; LMS: $r^{LM} = 1.00$, $r^{LS} = 0.93$, $r^{MS} = 0.93$; L*a*b*: $r^{L*a*} = -0.14$, $r^{L*b*} = 0.13$, $r^{a*b*} = -0.34$; DKL: $r^{DK} = 0.01$, $r^{DL} = 0.14$, $r^{KL} = 0.61$.

3 EXPERIMENTS

We trained several instances of VQ-VAEs with distinct sizes of embedding space $\{e\} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times D}$. The training procedure was identical for all networks: trained with Adam optimiser (Kingma & Ba, 2014) ($lr = 2 \times 10^{-4}$) for 90 epochs. To isolate the influence of random variables, all networks were initialised with the same set of weights. We used ImageNet dataset (Deng et al., 2009) for training. This is a visual database of object recognition in real-world images, divided into one thousand categories. The training set contains 1.3 million images. At every epoch, we exposed the network to 100K images of size 224×224 of three colour channels.

To increase the generalisation power of our findings, we evaluated all networks on the validation-set of three benchmark datasets: ImageNet (50K images), COCO (5K images), and CelebA (~20K images). COCO is a large-scale object detection and segmentation dataset (Lin et al., 2014). CelebA contains facial attributes of celebrities (Liu et al., 2015). We relied on two classes of evaluation[2]: low-level (Theis et al., 2015), capturing the local statistics of an image; high-level (Borji, 2019), assessing the global content of an image.

Low-level evaluation – We computed three commonly used metrics to measure the pixel-wise performance of networks: (i) the colour difference CIE ΔE-2000 (Sharma et al., 2005), (ii) peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR), and (iii) structural similarity index measure (SSIM) (Wang et al., 2004).

High-level evaluation – Pixel-wise measures are unable to capture the global content of an image and whether semantic information remains perceptually intact. To account for this limitation, we performed a procedure similar to the standard Inception Score (Salimans et al., 2016; Borji, 2019) by feeding the reconstructed images to two pretrained networks (without fine-tuning) that perform the task of object classification, ResNet50 (He et al., 2016), and scene segmentation, Feature Pyramid Network—FPN (Kirillov et al., 2019). ResNet50 and FPN expect RGB inputs, thus non-RGB reconstructions were converted to RGB. The evaluation for ResNet50 is the classification accuracy on ImageNet dataset. The evaluation for FPN is the intersection over union (IoU) on COCO dataset.

3.1 EMBEDDING SIZE

We first evaluated the influence of embedding size for four regimes of ColourConvNets whose input colour space is the original RGB images. The low-level evaluation for ImageNet is reported in Figure 3 and COCO Figure B.1. Across three metrics, the poor performance of *rgb2hsv* pops up at low-dimensionality of the embedding vector ($D = 8$). This might be due to the circular nature of hue. For the smallest and the largest embedding space, we observe no significant differences between the four networks. However, for embedding spaces of 8×8 and 8×128 an advantage appears for networks whose outputs are opponent colour spaces (DKL and CIE L*a*b).

Figure 3: Low-level evaluation for embedding spaces of different size (ImageNet validation-set).

The corresponding high-level evaluation is reported in Figure 4. The overall trend is much alike for both tasks. The lowest performance occurs for *rgb2hsv* across all embedding spaces. Colour-ConvNets with a decorrelated output colour space systematically perform better than *rgb2rgb*, with an exception for the largest embedding space (128×128) where all networks perform equally (despite the substantial compression, 70% top-1 accuracy on ImageNet and 60% IoU on COCO). The comparison of low- and high-level evaluation for the smallest embedding space (4×128) (Figure 4 versus Figures 3 and B.1) demonstrates the importance of high-level evaluation. Although no difference emerges for the low-level measure, the classification and segmentation metrics are substantially influenced by the quality of the reconstructed images in those four VQ-VAEs.

---

[2]For reproduction, the source code and all experimental data are available in the supplementary materials.

Figure 4: High-level visual task evaluation. Left: ResNet50's classification accuracy on reconstructed images of ImageNet. Right: FPNS's segmentation IoU on reconstructed images of COCO.

3.2 PAIRWISE COMPARISON

For the two embedding spaces with the largest differences (8×8 and 8×128) we conducted an exhaustive pairwise comparison across two regimes of colour spaces: correlated (RGB and LMS) versus decorrelated (DKL and CIE L*a*b). HSV is excluded in these analysis due to the aforementioned reason. Figure 5 presents the low-level evaluation results for ImageNet (COCO Figure B.2 and CelebA Figure B.3). There is a clear tendency of better performance for ColourConvNets with a decorrelated output colour space across all measures and datasets. Overall, the *rgb2lab* reconstructs the highest quality images. In comparison to the baseline (i.e. *rgb2rgb*) both *rgb2lab* and *rgb2dkl* obtain substantially lower colour differences, and higher PSNRs and SSIMs.

Figure 5: Low-level pairwise comparison of two groups of input-output colour spaces (ImageNet validation-set). Figures are averaged over two embedding spaces 8×8 and 8×128.

The high-level evaluation results are reported in Figure 6. In agreement to previous findings, *rgb2lab* performs best across both datasets and embedding spaces. Overall, ColourConvNets with a decorrelated output space show a clear advantage: *rgb2lab* and *rgb2dkl* obtain 5-7% higher accuracy and IoU with respect to the baseline *rgb2rgb*.

Figure 6: High-level pairwise comparison of correlated (RGB and LMS) versus decorrelated (DKL and CIE L*a*b) input-output colour spaces of VQ-VAEs.

Performance Advantage – understanding why decorrelating ColourConvNets appear to be more efficient is of great interest (Lillicrap & Kording, 2019). We hypothesised that an efficient system distributes its representation across all resources instead of heavily relying on a few components (Laughlin, 1981). To measure this, the histogram of embedding vectors across all images of ImageNet (50K) and COCO (5K) were computed. A zero standard deviation in frequency of selected vectors means embedding vectors are equally used by the network. Figure 7 reports the error rate as a function of this measure. A significant correlation emerges in both datasets, suggesting a more uniform contribution of embedding vectors enhances visual encoding in VQ-VAEs. This matches the neural model of histogram equalisation (Pratt, 2007; Bertalmío, 2014) and is consistent with the efficient coding theory for the biological visual system (Barlow, 1961; Zhaoping, 2006).

Figure 7: Error rate as a function of the difference in frequency of selected vectors in the embedding space. A value of zero in the x-axis indicates all embedding vectors are equally used by the model. Higher values of x indicate that the model relies heavily on certain vectors.

4 INTERPRETING THE EMBEDDING SPACE

Comprehension of the features learnt by a DNN remains a great challenge to the entire community (Lillicrap & Kording, 2019). Generative models and in particular variational autoencoders are no exceptions. Many works have focused on the visual exploration of DNN's latent spaces. Interpolation or arithmetic operations on learnt latent features can reveal some meaningful and interpretable structure of these spaces (Radford et al., 2015; Bojanowski et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2018). In practice, however, these approaches require explicit human supervision, a cumbersome task due to the often large dimensionality of the latent space. Here, we borrowed the "lesion" technique, commonly practised in the neuroscience community (Vaidya et al., 2019), and applied it to the embedding space by silencing one vector at a time (i.e. setting its weights to zero). This procedure is referred to as "ablation" in the learning community and it has been useful in dissecting classification DNNs (Sandler et al., 2018) and GANs (Bau et al., 2020). To measure the consequences of vectors' lesion, we analysed the ColourConvNets' embedding space with three distinct methods: (i) single features, (ii) linear transformation and (iii) hue-shift.

4.1 SINGLE FEATURES

To visualise the encoded representation by each embedding vector, we sampled from the embedding space an example where all pixels are of the same vector index. Figure 8 shows the reconstructed images for all network combinations with embedding space $\{e\} \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 128}$ (Figure C.1 for $\{e\} \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 8}$). The input colour space is the same in each row, and the output space is the same in each column. An interesting column-wise feature appears. Networks with an identical output colour space share a similar set of hues arranged in a different order. The order within the embedding space of VQ-VAEs is arbitrary and changing it does not impact the network's output. This is an interesting phenomenon suggesting: (i) the colour representation in network's embedding space is an attribute of its output colour space, and (ii) the colour transformation T is performed by encoder before reaching the embedding space. This is an exciting line of investigation for feature studies to systematically explore whether the concept of unique hues and colour categories (Witzel & Gegenfurtner, 2018; Siuda-Krzywicka et al., 2019) emerge in machine colour representation.

Figure 8: The reconstruction output by selecting a single vector of the entire embedding space. All models are VQ-VAE of **K=8** and **D=128**.

4.2 LINEAR TRANSFORMATION

Three exemplary reconstructions by *rgb2dkl* network are illustrated in Figure 9 (for other Colour-ConvNets refer to Sec. C.2). Panel **A** corresponds to the full embedding space and **B–D** show examples of reconstructions with distinct vector lesions causing clear visible effects. In **B**, only the lightness of bright pixels is reduced (attend to pixels outside the window and around light bulbs). In **C & D**, lesioning e_0 and e_2, turns reddish and blueish pixels into achromatic. This is in agreement to colour of *rgb2dkl* e_0 and e_2 in Figure 8.

We hypothesised that the changes induced by a lesion could be approximated by a linear transformation mapping the pixel distribution of the full reconstruction onto the lesion image. To compute these transformations, we used a multi-linear regression finding the best linear fit for the 1% of most affected pixels. The resulting 3×3 matrix is a linear transformation in CIE L*a*b colour space. We have illustrated the result of applying these linear transformations on the right side of Figure 9. Panel **E** corresponds to the full RGB cube (essentially the CIE L*a*b* planes limited by RGB gamut). In **F–H** the very same points are plotted transformed by the model of lesioned vector.

Overall, lesions are closely approximated by a linear transformation: on average accounting for 97% of the total variance in the lesion effect (the lowest bound was 86%). This visualisation offers an intuitive interpretation of the learnt representation within the embedding space. In the images of the second row (panel **B**), contrast in bright pixels is reduced and colour is little modified. We can observe this in its corresponding CIE L*a*b* planes (e.g. attend the a*b* plane in **F** where the overall chromaticity structure is retained). In **C**, red pixels turn grey also evident in its corresponding CIE L*a*b* planes (panel **G**) where red coordinates are collapsed.

Diagonalisation of transformation matrices simplifies their interpretations. The relative norms of the eigenvalues are indicative of the transformation geometrical properties. For instance, the presence of at least one eigenvalue equal to zero specifies the extreme case of a singular matrix, corresponding to a linear transformation projecting a three-dimensional space onto lower dimensions. We quantified this by defining a singularity index (Philipona & O'regan, 2006). Consider a transformation matrix T approximating the lesion effect on the image colour distribution. Let λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 be the three eigenvalues of T, such that $\|\lambda_1\| > \|\lambda_2\| > \|\lambda_3\|$. The singularity index is defined as: $SI = 1 - \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1}$. The singularity index captures the essence of these transformations. On the one hand, the low value of SI in **F** suggests the global shape of colour space is retained while its volume is reduced. On the other hand, high values of SI in panels **G** and **H** indicate the near collapse of a dimension.

4.3 HUE SHIFT

We further quantified the impact of vector lesion by computing the difference in CIE L*a*b* between the full reconstructed image and lesioned one. The average difference over all pixels for *rgb2dkl* is illustrated in Figure 10 (refer to Sec. C.3 for other ColourConvNets). The results of hue shift analysis restate the interpretation of learnt representation. For instance, the direction of shift

Figure 9: Visualisation of the lesion effect for the *rgb2dkl* $\{e\} \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 128}$. Left, reconstructed images by **A:** the full model; **B–D:** the lesion embedding space. Right, scatter plots of pixels in CIE L*a*b* coordinates of **E:** the entire RGB cube; **F–H**: after applying the linear model to the RGB cube.

in e_0 is limited to the first quadrant of the chromaticity plane (red pixels). The e_1 vector largely encodes the low-luminance information (the negative direction in the L* axis). The e_2 vector predominantly influences the blue pixels (the negative direction in the b* axis). Similar colours emerge for *rgb2dkl* e_0, e_1 and e_2 in Figure 8.

Figure 10: Average hue shifts for *rgb2dkl* $\{e\} \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 128}$ in CIE L*a*b* coordinates. Black- and red-bars indicate significant impact on the luminance- or chromatic-channels respectively.

5 CONCLUSION

We introduced a simple unsupervised task—*colour conversion*—to investigate colour representation in deep networks. We studied its function within the framework of autoencoders and specifically VQ-VAEs. The comparison of several ColourConvNets exhibits advantage for a decorrelated output colour space. This is evident qualitatively and measured quantitatively with five metrics. We discussed this benefit within the framework of efficient coding and histogram equalisation.

By means of three methods, we explored the learnt representation in the embedding space of Colour-ConvNets. Our analysis suggests: (i) the colour transformation is performed at the encoding stage prior to reaching the embedding space, (ii) many of the constituent vectors manifest a clear effect along one colour direction. We showed the vectors' influence could be modelled by a parsimonious linear model, a potentially powerful tool to facilitate the interpretability of latent spaces in general.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Use unnumbered third level headings for the acknowledgments. All acknowledgments, including those to funding agencies, go at the end of the paper.

REFERENCES

Horace B Barlow. Possible principles underlying the transformation of sensory messages. In *Sensory communication*, pp. 217–234, Cambridge, UK, 1961. MIT Press.

David Bau, Jun-Yan Zhu, Hendrik Strobelt, Agata Lapedriza, Bolei Zhou, and Antonio Torralba. Understanding the role of individual units in a deep neural network. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2020. ISSN 0027-8424. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1907375117. URL `https://www.pnas.org/content/early/2020/08/31/1907375117`.

Marcelo Bertalmío. From image processing to computational neuroscience: a neural model based on histogram equalization. *Frontiers in computational neuroscience*, 8:71, 2014.

Piotr Bojanowski, Armand Joulin, David Lopez-Paz, and Arthur Szlam. Optimizing the latent space of generative networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.05776*, 2017.

Ali Borji. Pros and cons of gan evaluation measures. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 179:41–65, 2019.

M. Bratkova, S. Boulos, and P. Shirley. orgb: A practical opponent color space for computer graphics. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 29(1):42–55, 2009.

Gershon Buchsbaum and Gottschalk Allan. Trichromacy, opponent colours coding and optimum colour information transmission in the retina. *Proceedings of the Royal society of London. Series B. Biological sciences*, 220(1218):89–113, 1983.

Ayan Chakrabarti. Color constancy by learning to predict chromaticity from luminance. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pp. 163–171, 2015.

Zezhou Cheng, Qingxiong Yang, and Bin Sheng. Deep colorization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 415–423, 2015.

M Chirimuuta et al. The uses of colour vision: Ornamental, practical, and theoretical. *Minds and Machines*, 25(2):213–229, 2015.

CIE. Recommendations on uniform color spaces, color-difference equations, psychometric color terms. Paris:CIE, 1978.

Dan Cireşan, Ueli Meier, Jonathan Masci, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Multi-column deep neural network for traffic sign classification. *Neural networks*, 32:333–338, 2012.

Kostadin Dabov, Alessandro Foi, Vladimir Katkovnik, and Karen Egiazarian. Image denoising by sparse 3-d transform-domain collaborative filtering. *IEEE transactions on image processing : a publication of the IEEE Signal Processing Society*, 16:2080–95, 09 2007.

Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 248–255. Ieee, 2009.

Andrew M Derrington, John Krauskopf, and Peter Lennie. Chromatic mechanisms in lateral geniculate nucleus of macaque. *The Journal of physiology*, 357(1):241–265, 1984.

Martin Engilberge, Edo Collins, and Sabine Süsstrunk. Color representation in deep neural networks. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, pp. 2786–2790. IEEE, 2017.

Huazhu Fu, Boyang Wang, Jianbing Shen, Shanshan Cui, Yanwu Xu, Jiang Liu, and Ling Shao. Evaluation of retinal image quality assessment networks in different color-spaces. In *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pp. 48–56. Springer, 2019.

Leon A Gatys, Alexander S Ecker, Matthias Bethge, Aaron Hertzmann, and Eli Shechtman. Controlling perceptual factors in neural style transfer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 3985–3993, 2017.

Karl Gegenfurtner and Daniel C Kiper. Color vision. *Annual review of neuroscience*, 26:181–206, 2003.

Karl R Gegenfurtner and Jochem Rieger. Sensory and cognitive contributions of color to the recognition of natural scenes. *Current Biology*, 10(13):805–808, 2000.

Karl R Gegenfurtner and Lindsay A Sharpe. *Color vision*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999.

Ethan Harris, Daniela Mihai, and Jonathon Hare. Spatial and colour opponency in anatomically constrained deep networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.11086*, 2019.

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of CVPR*, pp. 770–778, 2016.

Hyun-Koo Kim, Ju H Park, and Ho-Youl Jung. An efficient color space for deep-learning based traffic light recognition. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, 2018, 2018.

Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.

Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013.

Alexander Kirillov, Kaiming He, Ross Girshick, Carsten Rother, and Piotr Dollár. Panoptic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 9404–9413, 2019.

JJ Koenderink and Andrea J van Doorn. *Perspectives on colour space*. Oxford University, 2003.

Mark A Kramer. Nonlinear principal component analysis using autoassociative neural networks. *AIChE journal*, 37(2):233–243, 1991.

Kaouthar Larbi, Wael Ouarda, Hassen Drira, Boulbaba Ben Amor, and Chokri Ben Amar. Deepcolorfasd: Face anti spoofing solution using a multi channeled color spaces cnn. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC)*, pp. 4011–4016. IEEE, 2018.

Gustav Larsson, Michael Maire, and Gregory Shakhnarovich. Learning representations for automatic colorization. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 577–593. Springer, 2016.

Gustav Larsson, Michael Maire, and Gregory Shakhnarovich. Colorization as a proxy task for visual understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 6874–6883, 2017.

Simon Laughlin. A simple coding procedure enhances a neuron's information capacity. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung c*, 36(9-10):910–912, 1981.

Te-Won Lee, Thomas Wachtler, and Terrence J Sejnowski. Color opponency constitutes a sparse representation for the chromatic structure of natural scenes. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pp. 866–872, 2001.

Wei Li, Rui Zhao, Tong Xiao, and Xiaogang Wang. Deepreid: Deep filter pairing neural network for person re-identification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 152–159, 2014.

Timothy P Lillicrap and Konrad P Kording. What does it mean to understand a neural network? *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.06374*, 2019.

Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *European conference on computer vision*, pp. 740–755. Springer, 2014.

Ziwei Liu, Ping Luo, Xiaogang Wang, and Xiaoou Tang. Deep learning face attributes in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pp. 3730–3738, 2015.

Fujun Luan, Sylvain Paris, Eli Shechtman, and Kavita Bala. Deep photo style transfer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 4990–4998, 2017.

Dmytro Mishkin, Nikolay Sergievskiy, and Jiri Matas. Systematic evaluation of convolution neural network advances on the imagenet. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 161:11–19, 2017.

Ali Mosleh, Avinash Sharma, Emmanuel Onzon, Fahim Mannan, Nicolas Robidoux, and Felix Heide. Hardware-in-the-loop end-to-end optimization of camera image processing pipelines. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 7529–7538, 2020.

David L Philipona and J Kevin O'regan. Color naming, unique hues, and hue cancellation predicted from singularities in reflection properties. *Visual neuroscience*, 23(3-4):331–339, 2006.

W Pratt. Digital image processing wiley-interscience, 2007.

J. Preiss, F. Fernandes, and P. Urban. Color-image quality assessment: From prediction to optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 23(3):1366–1378, 2014.

Alec Radford, Luke Metz, and Soumith Chintala. Unsupervised representation learning with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06434*, 2015.

Ivet Rafegas and Maria Vanrell. Color encoding in biologically-inspired convolutional neural networks. *Vision research*, 151:7–17, 2018.

E. Reinhard, M. Adhikhmin, B. Gooch, and P. Shirley. Color transfer between images. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 21(5):34–41, 2001.

Daniel L Ruderman, Cronin W Thomas, and Chiao Chuan-Chin. Statistics of cone responses to natural images: implications for visual coding. *JOSA A*, 15(8):2036–2045, 1998.

Tim Salimans, Ian Goodfellow, Wojciech Zaremba, Vicki Cheung, Alec Radford, and Xi Chen. Improved techniques for training gans. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 2234–2242, 2016.

Mark Sandler, Andrew Howard, Menglong Zhu, Andrey Zhmoginov, and Liang-Chieh Chen. Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 4510–4520, 2018.

Peter H Schiller and Joseph G Malpeli. Properties and tectal projections of monkey retinal ganglion cells. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 40(2):428–445, 1977.

Gaurav Sharma, Wencheng Wu, and Edul N Dalal. The ciede2000 color-difference formula: Implementation notes, supplementary test data, and mathematical observations. *Color Research & Application*, 30(1):21–30, 2005.

Chengyao Shen, Xun Huang, and Qi Zhao. Predicting eye fixations on webpage with an ensemble of early features and high-level representations from deep network. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 17(11):2084–2093, 2015.

Katarzyna Siuda-Krzywicka, Marianna Boros, Paolo Bartolomeo, and Christoph Witzel. The biological bases of colour categorisation: From goldfish to the human brain. *Cortex*, 2019.

Lucas Theis, Aäron van den Oord, and Matthias Bethge. A note on the evaluation of generative models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.01844*, 2015.

Avinash R Vaidya, Maia S Pujara, Michael Petrides, Elisabeth A Murray, and Lesley K Fellows. Lesion studies in contemporary neuroscience. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 2019.

Aaron van den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pp. 6306–6315, 2017.

Zhou Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli. Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 13(4):600–612, 2004.

Felix A Wichmann, Lindsay A Sharpe, and Karl R Gegenfurtner. The contributions of color to recognition memory for natural scenes. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 28(3):509, 2002.

Christoph Witzel and Karl R Gegenfurtner. Color perception: Objects, constancy, and categories. *Annual Review of Vision Science*, 2018.

Li Zhaoping. Theoretical understanding of the early visual processes by data compression and data selection. *Network: computation in neural systems*, 17(4):301–334, 2006.

A   QUALITATIVE COMPARISON

Along with the quantitative evaluations reported in the manuscript, the benefits of utilising a decorrelated colour space for the network's output can be appreciated qualitatively (see Figure A.1). These are representative samples from the COCO dataset Lin et al. (2014). The Jupyter-Notebook scripts in the supplementary materials provide more examples[3]. Overall, the *rgb2dkl* and *rgb2lab* VQ-VAEs generate more coherent images. For instance, in the first row of Figure A.1, the *rgb2rgb* output contains a large amount of artefacts on walls and ceilings. In contrast, the output of *rgb2dkl* and *rgb2lab* are sharper.

Figure A.1: Qualitative comparison of three VQ-VAEs of **K=8** and **D=128**.

---

[3]The weights of all trained networks and image outputs of lesion study exceed the 100MB upload limit, but they are publicly available for interested readers under this link `https://www.dropbox.com/sh/e1l3p3uot94q0fy/AADg0rmxyiC3UNifTtqIpg2Pa?dl=0`.

B   LOW-LEVEL EVALUATION

Figure B.1: Low-level evaluation for embedding spaces of different size (COCO validation-set).

Figure B.2: Low-level pairwise comparison of two groups of input-output colour spaces (COCO validation-set). Figures are averaged over two embedding spaces 8×8 and 8×128.

Figure B.3: Low-level pairwise comparison of two groups of input-output colour spaces (CelebA validation-set). Figures are averaged over two embedding spaces 8×8 and 8×128.

C   INTERPRETING THE EMBEDDING SPACE

C.1   SINGLE FEATURES

The hues obtained from single vectors of VQ-VAE with **K=8** and **D=8** is reported in Figure C.1. The effect observed for larger ColourConvNets (i.e. networks with an identical output colour space sharing a similar set of hues arranged in a different order) is less evident here. This might be due to the dimensionality of the embedding space. This regime consists of vectors of 8 elements, whereas in the previous regime (Figure 8) the dimensionality of vectors is 128.

C.2   LINEAR MODELLING OF VECTOR LESIONS

In order to understand the features learnt by the colour conversion networks, we exercised the "lesion" technique. It consists of silencing the embedding space's vectors one at a time. We explored

Figure C.1: The reconstruction output by selecting a single vector of the entire embedding space. All models are VQ-VAE of **K=8** and **D=8**.

whether a vector lesion can be modelled by a simple linear transformation. We estimated the transformation matrix that maps the pixel distribution of the full reconstruction onto the lesion image (refer to Section 4 in the manuscript). To our surprise, this simple parsimonious modelling can capture a large portion of the vector's encoding. We present qualitative results for VQ-VAEs with $K = 8$ and $D = 128$ in the colour conversion networks *rgb2dkl* (Figure C.2), *rgb2lab* (Figure C.3) and *rgb2rgb* (Figure C.4).

The top row of Figure C.2 illustrated three examples from the COCO dataset reconstructed with the full model of *rgb2dkl*. The following rows depict the reconstruction output of lesion technique exercised on each embedding vector e_i (the "Lesion output" column), alongside with the linear modelling estimation (the "Linear model" column) obtained by applying the linear transformation to the full reconstructed image. On the bottom right corner, we have reported the fitness of the mode, correlation in the CIE L*a*b* colour coordinates (r) between the colour pixels of the lesion output and the linear model. The overall fitness is very high for such a simple model. Even in cases with lower correlations, we can observe that the model captures well the characteristics of the lesion output. For instance, the indoor scene for e_0 obtains $r = 0.81$, however, it can be appreciated that the linear model accounts well for the disappearance of red pixels in the lesion output. This is also evident in the kite picture of e_2 where blue pixels have vanished or the bench picture of e_5 with green pixels.

Naturally, there are limits to this linear modelling. For instance, the excess of chromaticity (pink and blue colours) in the indoor scene of e_6 is not fully captured by its linear model. The most extreme can be observed in the kite picture of e_3 for the *rgb2lab* model (Figure C.3) where the non-linear nature of lesion output is not accounted for in the linear model. Nevertheless, these parsimonious transformations reveal great details about the information encoded by each vector deserving more thorough investigation in future studies.

In Figure C.5 we have illustrated the impact of each linear transformation applied to the entire RGB cube. This gives an intuitive idea of what each vector performs in a simple glance. Absence of some vectors results in the collapse of a chromatic direction. Others shear, shrink or expand the colour space.

C.3 HUE SHIFT

We quantified the chromatic shifts (in CIE L*a*b*) between the reconstructed image of full embedding space and lesion one. The differences computed for all pixels over a hundred random images from the COCO dataset are illustrated in Figure C.6.

Figure C.2: The linear modelling of vector lesion for *rgb2dkl* VQ-VAE of **K=8** and **D=128**. The denoted r on the bottom right corner of an image is the measure of transformations' fitness.

Figure C.3: The linear modelling of vector lesion for *rgb2lab* VQ-VAE of **K=8** and **D=128**. The denoted r on the bottom right corner of an image is the measure of transformations' fitness.

Figure C.4: The linear modelling of vector lesion for *rgb2rgb* VQ-VAE of **K=8** and **D=128**. The denoted r on the bottom right corner of an image is the measure of transformations' fitness.

Figure C.5: The impact of vector lesion on RGB cube plotted in CIE L*a*b* coordinate,

Figure C.6: Average hue shifts in CIE L*a*b* colour space for each vector lesion. Numbers in the x-axis denote the indices of the embedding vectors. All networks are of $\{e\} \in \mathbb{R}^{8 \times 128}$. Black- and red-bars indicate significant impact on the luminance- or chromatic-channels respectively.