Sampling and Frame Expansions for UWB Signals

***Abstract*—This article outlines sampling and frame expansions designed specifically for ultra-wideband (UWB) signals. We present two different types of expansions: ON windows with modified Gegenbauers and generalized frame expansions. They represent a contrast in computability and flexibility versus the rigid structure and precision in the sampling expansion. Both approaches rely on the multiplication of some super-windowing functions and given orthonormal sequences. We generalize this approach to the redundant case, investigating windowed families of frame sequences.**

I. Introduction

Ultra-wideband (UWB) signal processing is a technology with many features that promise potential advances in wireless communications, networking, radar, imaging, and positioning systems. A UWB communication system is a large bandwidth system based on the transmission of very short pulses with relatively low energy. UWB technology has many potential advantages, such as high data rate, low probability of interception and detection, system simplicity, low cost, reduced average power consumption, and immunity to interference.

This article outlines a UWB sampling and frame expansions. The two different types of expansions are a contrast in computability and flexibility (in the frame expansions) versus the rigid structure and precision in the sampling expansion (which are given by expansion in a particular ON basis system). The paper is structured as follows. We first develop the sampling expansions, and then develop similar expansions in the frame setting.

The sampling expansions first window the signal in the analog domain, and use the Malvar-Coifman-Meyer basis folding system to construct the system with ON basis elements tailored to the signal (following the seminal work of Malvar [11] and Coifman and Meyer [5]). We refer to this as the *Projection Method*. The windows decompose the signal into a basis via a continuous-time inner product operation, computing the basis coefficients in parallel, preserving orthogonality of any orthonormal system between adjacent blocks. Moreover, the windows have variable partitioning length, roll-off and smoothness, and are designed to preserve orthogonality of any orthonormal system between adjacent blocks. We use these to create a basis system, computing the basis coefficients in parallel. We use a modified Gegenbauer system designed specifically for UWB signals. This system minimizes the Gibbs phenomenon, giving the point values of a piecewise smooth signal with essentially the same accuracy as a smooth approximation, making it the ideal system to use for basis folding as applied to these signals. We then extend this folded basis system by developing frame systems for UWB signals. We establish the frame operators and frame bounds to give a frame extension of the folded basis system. The frame extension allows for greater flexibility and computability in implementation of the UWB systems.

Mathematical definitions and computations for the paper follow those given in Benedetto [1].

II. ON Window Systems

We consider a system of 'super windows', separating a function into parts $f_k = \mathbb{W}_k \cdot f$, keeping some orthogonality relation:

Definition 1 (ON Window System): An **ON Window System** is a set of functions $\{\mathbb{W}_k(t)\}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(i.) && \operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{W}_k(t)) \subseteq [kT - r, (k+1)T + r]\,,\\
&(ii.) && \mathbb{W}_k(t) \equiv 1 \text{ for } t \in [kT + r, (k+1)T - r]\,,\\
&(iii.) && \mathbb{W}_k \text{ is symmetric about its midpoint}\,,\\
&(iv.) && \sum [\mathbb{W}_k(t)]^2 \equiv 1\,,\\
&(v.) && \{\widehat{\mathbb{W}_k{}^{\circ}}[n]\} \in l^1\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Conditions $(i.)$ and $(ii.)$ are partition properties, in that they give an exact snapshot of the input function f on $[kT+r, (k+1)T-r]$ with smooth roll-off at the edges. Conditions $(iii.)$ and $(iv.)$ are needed to preserve orthogonality between adjacent blocks. Condition $(v.)$ for the periodization $\bullet^{\circ}$ is needed for the computation of Fourier coefficients, and expresses a certain smoothness of the window. Indeed, let $I = T+2r$ and let $\mathbb{PW}_\Omega$ denote the Paley-Wiener space for bandlimit Ω. Let $f \in \mathbb{PW}_\Omega$ and let $\{\mathbb{W}_k(t)\}$ be an ON window system with generating window $\mathbb{W}_I$. Then

$$\frac{1}{I}\int_{-T/2-r}^{T/2-r} [f \cdot \mathbb{W}_I]^{\circ}(t) \exp(-2\pi i n t/[I])\, dt = \widehat{f} * \widehat{\mathbb{W}_I}[n]\,. \tag{2}$$

We generate our systems by translations and dilations of a given window $\mathbb{W}_I$, where $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{W}_I) = [-\frac{T}{2} - r, \frac{T}{2} + r]$. Our general window function $\mathbb{W}_I$ is m-times differentiable, has $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{W}_I) = [-\frac{T}{2} - r, \frac{T}{2} + r]$, and has values

$$\mathbb{W}_I = \begin{cases} 0 & |t| \geq T/2 + r\,,\\ 1 & |t| \leq T/2 - r\,,\\ \rho(\pm t) & T/2 - r < |t| < T/2 + r\,. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

We solve for $\rho(t)$ by solving the Hermite interpolation problem

$$\begin{cases} (a.) & \rho(T/2 - r) = 1\,,\\ (b.) & \rho^{(n)}(T/2 - r) = 0\,,\ n = 1, 2, \ldots, m\,,\\ (c.) & \rho^{(n)}(T/2 + r) = 0\,,\ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m\,. \end{cases}$$

with the conditions that $\rho \in C^m$ and

$$[\rho(t)]^2 + [\rho(-t)]^2 = 1 \text{ for } t \in [\pm(\tfrac{T}{2} - r), \pm(\tfrac{T}{2} + r)]\,. \tag{4}$$

The C^m solution for ρ is given by a theorem of Schoenberg (see [14], pp. 7-8). The spline $S(t)$ for the Hermite problem with endpoints -1 and 1 such that $S(1) = 1$, $S^{(n)}(1) = 0$,

$n = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, and $S^{(n)}(-1) = 0$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, is given by the integral of the function $M(t) = (-1)^m \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\Psi(t-t_j)}{\phi'(t_j)}$, where Ψ is the $m+1$ convolution of characteristic functions, the knot points are $t_j = -\cos(\frac{\pi j}{m})$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, and $\phi(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{k}(t - t_j)$. Given these knots, we have to choose α to fit the knot points. If m is even, the midpoint occurs at the $m/2$ knot point. If m is odd, the midpoint occurs at the midpoint between the $m/2$ and $(m+1)/2$ knot points. Let $\xi = l(t) = \frac{r}{2}(t-1)$, and let $\alpha(\xi) = S \circ l(\pm\xi)$, $|\xi| \leq r$. Let $A = \int_{-r}^{r} \alpha(\zeta)\, d\zeta$. Now, normalize α by letting $\beta(\xi) = \frac{\pi}{2A}\alpha(\xi)$, and let $\Theta(\tau) = \int_{-r}^{\tau} \beta(\xi)\, d\xi$, $|\tau| \leq r$. Define $\rho_{\text{up}}(\tau) = \sin(\Theta(\tau))$, $\rho_{\text{down}}(\tau) = \cos(\Theta(\tau))$. We define our C^m window $\mathbb{W}_I(t) = \mathbb{ON}_{C^m}(t)$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} 0 & |t| \geq T/2 + r\,, \\ 1 & |t| \leq T/2 - r\,, \\ \rho_{\text{up}}(t + (T/2 + r) & -T/2 - r < t < -T/2 + r\,, \\ \rho_{\text{down}}(t - (T/2 - r))) & T/2 - r < t < T/2 + r\,. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

We translate the window as needed. The resultant windowing system has variable partitioning length, variable roll-off, and variable smoothness. With each degree of smoothness, we get an additional degree of decay in frequency.

We designed the ON windows $\{\mathbb{W}_k(t)\}$ so that they preserve orthogonality of basis elements of overlapping blocks, using the techniques of Malvar, Meyer, and Coiffman. Because of the partition properties of these systems, we need only check the orthogonality of adjacent overlapping blocks. One can show the following:

Theorem 1: Let φ_j be an orthononormal (ON) basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\{\Psi_{k,j}\} = \{\mathbb{W}_k \widetilde{\varphi_j}\}$ is also an ON basis.

Given characteristics of the class of input signals, the choice of basis functions used can be tailored to optimal representation of the signal or a desired characteristic in the signal. A direct consequence is:

Theorem 2: Let $\{\mathbb{W}_k(t)\}$ be ON windows, and let $\{\Psi_{k,n}\} = \{\mathbb{W}_k \widetilde{\varphi_n}\}$ be an ON basis that preserves orthogonality between adjacent windows. Let $f \in \mathbb{PW}_\Omega$ and $N = N(T, \Omega)$ be such that $\langle f, \Psi_{k,n}\rangle = 0$ for all $n > N$ and all k. Then, $f(t) \approx f_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$, where

$$f_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Bigg[\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \langle f, \Psi_{k,n}\rangle \Psi_{k,n}(t) \Bigg]. \tag{6}$$

The analysis of the error generated by the method involves looking at the decay rates of the Fourier coefficients. We designed the ON windows so that the windows have decay $\mathcal{O}(1/(\omega)^{m+2})$ in frequency. This makes the error on each block summable.

We assume $\mathbb{W}_k$ is C^m. Therefore, $\widehat{\mathbb{W}_k}(\omega) = \mathcal{O}(1/(\omega)^{m+2})$. We will analyze the error $\mathcal{E}_{k_{\mathcal{P}}}$ on a given block. Let $M = \|(f \cdot \mathbb{W}_k)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{k_{\mathcal{P}}}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sup \Bigg| (f(t) \cdot \mathbb{W}_k) - \Bigg[\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \langle f, \Psi_{n,k}\rangle \Psi_{n,k}(t) \Bigg] \mathbb{W}_k(t) \Bigg| \\ &= \sup \Bigg[\sum_{|n|>N} \langle f, \Psi_{n,k}\rangle \Psi_{n,k}(t) \Bigg] \mathbb{W}_k(t) \leq \sum_{|n|>N} \frac{M}{n^{m+2}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

III. Modified Gegenbauer Systems

The Gegenbauer polynomials are the symmetric specialization of the Jacobi polynomials ([12, Chapter 18]). They are used in a UWB communication system to construct pulses with narrow widths. The Gegenbauer waveform is used to modulate data, and has demonstrated superior performance to classic waveforms, e.g., Gaussian waveforms and the Hermite systems. We develop a modified Gegenbauer system, and use it to construct a windowed basis system for UWB signals with exponentially small error on each block.

We define an ON basis for $L^2[-\frac{T}{2}, \frac{T}{2}]$ using modified Gegenbauer functions, constructed from Gegenbauer polynomials. The Gegenbauer polynomials are modified so that they zero-out at the endpoints and normalized to create an ON system. This then allows UWB signals to be expanded in the folding method using the modified Gegenbauer system.

The Gegenbauer polynomials $C_n^\nu : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ are orthogonal over $(-1, 1)$ with orthogonality relation given by [12, Table 18.3.1]

$$\int_{-1}^{1} C_n^\nu(x) C_m^\nu(x) w(x;\nu) dx = h_n^\nu \delta_{n,m}, \tag{7}$$

for $\nu \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$, where $w(x;\nu) := (1 - x^2)^{\nu - 1/2}$, $h_n^\nu := \frac{2^{1-2\nu}\pi\Gamma(2\nu+n)}{(\nu+n)\Gamma^2(\nu)n!}$. They have a Rodrigues-type formula [12, Table 18.5.1]

$$C_n^\nu(x) := \frac{(-1)^n (2\nu)_n}{2^n (\nu + \frac{1}{2})_n n!} \frac{1}{w(x;\nu)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} w(x; \nu + n).$$

Consider the modified Gegenbauer function $\mathcal{C}_n^\nu : [-\frac{T}{2}, \frac{T}{2}] \times (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_n^\nu(t;T) := \sqrt{\frac{2\, w\left(\frac{2t}{T};\nu\right)}{T h_n^\nu}}\, C_n^\nu\left(\frac{2t}{T}\right). \tag{8}$$

It is easy to see from (7) that these functions form an ON basis for $L^2[-\frac{T}{2}, \frac{T}{2}]$ with $\nu \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$, namely

$$\int_{-T/2}^{T/2} \mathcal{C}_n^\nu(t;T) \mathcal{C}_m^\nu(t;T) dt = \delta_{m,n}.$$

Note that we exclude the parameters $\nu \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ in order to keep the endpoints $\pm\frac{T}{2}$ in the domain of integration. By using $w(x;\nu)$ and h_n^ν one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_n^\nu(t;T) = &\frac{2^{2\nu-1/2}\Gamma(\nu)}{T^\nu} \sqrt{\frac{(n+\nu)n!}{\pi\Gamma(2\nu+n)}} \\ &\times \left(\left(\tfrac{T}{2}\right)^2 - t^2 \right)^{\nu/2 - 1/4} C_n^\nu\left(\frac{2t}{T}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The modified Gegenbauer system zeros out at the endpoints, which allows us to use it to create the windowed ON basis $\{\Psi_{k,n}\} = \{\mathbb{W}_k \mathcal{C}_n^\nu(t;T)^\curlywedge\}$, where we window with $\mathbb{ON}_{C^m}$, and define the *folded basis elements* $\mathcal{C}_n^\nu(t;T)^\curlywedge$ by

$$\begin{array}{rl} 0 & |t| \geq T/2 + r \\ \mathcal{C}_n^\nu(t;T) & |t| \leq T/2 \\ -\mathcal{C}_n^\nu(-T-t;T) & -T/2 - r < t < -T/2 \\ \mathcal{C}_n^\nu(T-t;T) & T/2 < t < T/2 + r\,. \end{array} \tag{10}$$

We use two theorems of Gottlieb and Shu [7] to give an outline of an analytic argument showing they minimize the Gibbs phenomenon. Given an integrable function f defined on $[-\frac{T}{2}, \frac{T}{2}]$, we can compute its modified Gegenbauer coefficients $\widehat{f^\nu}(l)$ by

$$\widehat{f^\nu}(l) = \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} f(t)\mathcal{C}_l^\nu(t;T)dt\,. \tag{11}$$

This then gives the Gegenbauer expansion for the first $j+1$ terms as $f_j^\nu(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{j} \widehat{f^\nu}(l)\mathcal{C}_l^\nu(t;T)$. It is important to note that in order to get exponential decay in our error computation at the end of this section (15), ν must grow linearly with N. We assume $\nu = \alpha N$, for $\alpha > 0$. Now, let f be our original signal, f^m be the expansion of f into m-th degree modified Gegenbauer functions, and f_N^m be the expansion of f into m-th degree modified Gegenbauer functions truncated at N. We want to estimate $\|(f - f_N^m)\|$. By the triangle inequality, $\|(f - f_N^m)\| \leq \|(f - f^m)\| + \|(f^m - f_N^m)\|$. Assume $m = \beta N$, for $\beta > 0$. Let $TE(\nu, \alpha, \beta, N)$ be the truncation error in $\|(f^m - f_N^m)\|$ (Theorem 4.1, page 659 from [7]). One has $TE(\nu, \alpha, \beta, N) \leq C(q_T)^N$, where $q_T = \frac{(\beta+2\alpha)^{(\beta+2\alpha)}}{(2\alpha)^\alpha \beta^\beta}$. Note, q_T is the key to exponential decay in the truncation estimate. We have that if $\alpha = \beta < 2/27$, $q_T < 1$. (In fact, if $\alpha = \beta$, the function $g(x) = [(3x)^{(3x)}]/[2^x \cdot (x)^{(2x)}]$ is minimized at $x = 2/(27e)$ with value $e^{-2/(27e)}$.)

Next, we estimate the regularization error $\|(f - f^m)\|$. Let

$$RE(\nu, m) = \sup_{-\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{T}{2}} \left| f(t) - \sum_{l=0}^{m} \widehat{f^\nu}(l)\mathcal{C}_l^\nu(t;T) \right|. \tag{12}$$

We modify Theorem 4.3, p. 662 from [7]. Here, we have to be careful, because the estimate in [7] involves $\frac{1}{C_l^\nu(1)}$ (Equation (4.21), p. 661). The modified Gegenbauer functions zero out at the endpoints. Therefore, we had to recompute the estimates. Adjusting the arguments in Gottlieb and Shu for the modified Gegenbauer functions, we arrive at $RE(\nu, m) \leq$

$$\max_{-\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{T}{2}} |f(t)| \left[1 + \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\sqrt{T}\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \sqrt{\frac{(l+\nu)\Gamma(l+2\nu)}{\Gamma(l-1)}} \right].$$

Using Stirling's approximation formula [12, p. 141], and bounding $\sup_{-\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{T}{2}} |f(t)|$ by M, we get that

$$RE(\nu, m) \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \left[\frac{2^{1-\nu}}{(\nu - \frac{1}{2})^\nu} \right] \left[\frac{(m+2\nu)^{\frac{(m+2\nu)}{2}}}{m^{\frac{m}{2}}} \right]. \tag{13}$$

We can now follow the proof of Theorem 4.3, pp. 662-663 from [7]. If $\nu = \gamma m$, there exists $q_R < 1$ such that the error in this estimate satisfies, for $0 < r < 1$,

$$RE(\nu, m) \leq Cm(q_R)^m,\ q_R = \frac{(1+2\gamma)^{\frac{(1+2\gamma)}{2}}}{\gamma^{2\gamma}} r\,. \tag{14}$$

We close by computing $\mathcal{E}_{k_\mathcal{P}}$ in terms of the modified Gegenbauer system. The minimization of the Gibbs phenomenon, giving the point values of a piecewise smooth signal with essentially the same accuracy as a smooth approximation, makes this system the ideal system to use for basis folding as applied to UWB systems. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smoothness parameter, and assume $\mathbb{W}_k$ is C^σ, and so $\widehat{\mathbb{W}_k}(\omega) = \mathcal{O}(1/(\omega)^{\sigma+2})$. Now approximate the signal f with the windowed ON basis $\{\Psi_{k,n}\} = \{\mathbb{W}_k \mathcal{C}_n^\nu(t;T)^\curlywedge\}$, where we window with $\mathbb{ON}_{C^\sigma}$. Let $q = \max\{q_T, q_R\}$. Note, $q < 1$. Then, the error $\mathcal{E}_{k_\mathcal{P}}$ on a given block is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \left| (f(t) \cdot \mathbb{W}_k) - \left[\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \langle f, \Psi_{n,k} \rangle \Psi_{n,k}(t) \right] \mathbb{W}_k(t) \right| \quad (15) \\ \leq\ & \sup \left[\sum_{|n|>N} \left| \langle f, \Psi_{n,k} \rangle \Psi_{n,k}(t) \right| \right] \mathbb{W}_k(t) \leq \sum_{|n|>N} \frac{e^{\log(q)N}}{n^{\sigma+2}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q < 1$, $e^{\log(q)N}$ decays exponentially as N increases.

IV. Frame Expansions

The theory of frames [4] gives us a more computable and flexible mathematical structure in which to expand UWB signals. Recall that a sequence of elements $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k \in K}$ in a Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ is a *frame* in there exist constants A and B such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{k \in K} |\langle f, \psi_k \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2\,.$$

A and B are called the *upper and lower frame bounds*, respectively. A frame is called *tight* if $A = B$, and *Parsveal* if $A = B = 1$. A frame is called *exact* if it ceases to be a frame when any of its elements are removed. Thus, an ON basis $\mathcal{E}$ for $\mathbb{H}$ is an exact normalized tight frame for $\mathbb{H}$.

By $C_\Psi : \mathbb{H} \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ we denote the *analysis operator* defined by $(C_\Psi f)_k = \langle f, \psi_k \rangle$. The adjoint of C_Ψ is the *synthesis operator* $D_\Psi(c_k) = \sum_n c_k \psi_k$. The *frame operator* $S_\Psi = D_\Psi C_\Psi$ can be written as $S_\Psi f = \sum_k \langle f, \psi_k \rangle \psi_k$. It is positive and invertible. Frame allow redundant representations, but always allow reconstruction. By using the *canonical dual frame* $(\tilde{\psi}_n)$, i.e., $\tilde{\psi}_n = S_\Psi^{-1}\psi_n$ for all n, we get a reconstruction formula:

$$f = \sum_n \langle f, \psi_n \rangle \tilde{\psi}_n = \sum_n \left\langle f, \tilde{\psi}_n \right\rangle \psi_n \text{for all } f \in \mathbb{H}.$$

A sequence $\{e_n\}$ is called a *Riesz basis* for $\mathbb{H}$ if it is complete and there exist $0 < A \leq B$ such that for every finite scalar sequence $\{c_n\}$

$$A \sum |c_n|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e_n \right\|^2 \leq B \sum |c_n|^2\,.$$

The constants A and B are called *Riesz bounds*. Riesz basis are exact frame, where the unique biorthogonal sequence is the canonical dual.

A. Frame Theory of Super Windows

Here, in this section, we will show versions of Theorem 1 for redundant systems. We will thereby generalize similar approaches for the constant-Q transform in [8].

We call the set of functions *admissible* if there exists numbers m, M such that

$$m \leq \sum_k [\mathbb{W}_k(t)]^2 \leq M. \tag{16}$$

We call it *uniform* if $m = M$. Let us put $S^{(k)} = \overline{\operatorname{supp} \mathbb{W}_k}$.

Lemma 1: Let $\Phi^{(k)} = \left(\phi_i^{(k)}\right)_{i \in I_k}$ be a frame for $L^2\left(S^{(k)}\right)$ with frame bounds $A^{(k)}$ and $B^{(k)}$, such that there are common bounds A, B. Let W_k be a family of admissible windows. Then the set

$$\Psi = \left(\psi_i^{(k)}\right)_{k \in K, i \in I_k} := \left(\mathbb{W}_k \cdot \phi_i^{(k)}\right)_{k \in K, i \in I_k}$$

is a frame for the whole space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with frame bounds $m \cdot A$ and $M \cdot B$.

Proof: We have that

$$\sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i \in I_k} \left|\left\langle f, \psi_i^{(k)} \right\rangle\right|^2 = \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i \in I_k} \left|\left\langle \mathbb{W}_k f, \phi_i^{(k)} \right\rangle\right|^2 \tag{17}$$

$$= \sum_{k \in K} B^{(k)} \|\mathbb{W}_k f\|^2 \leq B \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in K} |\mathbb{W}_k(t)|^2 |f(t)|^2 \, dt \tag{18}$$

$$\leq B \cdot M \cdot \|f\|^2. \tag{19}$$

An analogue proof works for the lower bound. □

Note that this is very similar to the splitting done for fusion frames [3], but the spaces $\mathfrak{W}^k = \left\{\mathbb{W}_k \cdot f \,\middle|\, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\right\}$ are, in general, not closed subspaces. In particular, this is the case in our chosen setting, where the roll-off should be smooth.

The reason why we could call $\mathbb{W}_k$ *super windows* is that the local frames $\Phi^{(k)}$ could already involve windowing functions, like for (non-stationary) Gabor transforms [6]. See [13] how a similar approach can be used for wavelets.

An easy consequence is

Corollary 1: Let $\Phi^{(k)}$ be tight frames, i.e. $A = B$, and $\mathbb{W}_k$ be uniform. The system Ψ is a tight frame.

It is easy to show that the analysis operator is given by

$$C_\Psi f = \left(\left\langle f, \psi_i^{(k)} \right\rangle\right)_{k \in K, i \in I_k} = \left(C_{\Psi^{(k)}}\left(\mathbb{W}_k f\right)\right)_{k \in K}.$$

Its adjoint the synthesis oeprator is

$$D_\Psi (c_{k,i})_{k \in K, i \in I_k} = \sum_{k \in K, i \in I_k} c_{k,i} \psi_i^{(k)} = \sum_k \mathbb{W}_k \cdot \left(D_{\Psi^{(k)}} c_{k,\bullet}\right).$$

The frame operator is then

$$S_\Psi = \sum_k \mathbb{W}_k \cdot S_{\Psi^{(k)}} \mathbb{W}_k.$$

As a consequence we can give a reconstruction formula:

Proposition 1: Let Ψ be as Lemma 1.

Then choose an admissible family of windows $\mathbb{V}_k$ with

$$\sum_k \mathbb{V}_k W_k \equiv 1.$$

Then the system $\Theta := \left(\mathbb{V}_k \cdot \tilde{\phi}_i^{(k)}\right)_{k \in K, i \in I_k}$ is a dual system of Ψ. Here, $\tilde{\phi}_i^{(k)}$ is any dual frame of $\Phi^{(k)}$. In particular, one has the reconstruction

$$f = \sum_k \sum_{i \in I_k} c_{k,i} \tilde{\theta}_i^{(k)},$$

where the coefficents are $c_{k,i} = \left\langle f, \psi_i^{(k)} \right\rangle$.

Proof: By Lemma 1 the system Θ is a frame. We just have to proof the reconstruction formula:

$$\sum_k \sum_{i \in I_k} \left\langle f, \psi_i^{(k)} \right\rangle \tilde{\theta}_i^{(k)} = \sum_k \sum_{i \in I_k} \left\langle \mathbb{W}_k f, \phi_i^{(k)} \right\rangle \mathbb{V}_k \tilde{\phi}_i^{(k)} \tag{20}$$

$$= \sum_k \mathbb{V}_k \mathbb{W}_k f = f. \tag{21}$$

□

The only thing left to show for Theorem 1 is that the super windows preserve (bi-)orthogonality. This is a non-trivial task – except for the trivial case that the support of super windows do not overlap. It necessitates a careful construction, as done in Section II and III.

B. General Hilbert Spaces

Let us now generalize that approach to other Hilbert spaces $\mathbb{H}$. There is certainly always an isomorphism between $\mathbb{H}$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Taking the assumptions from Section IV-A, and assume that there are embeddings, i.e. $\mathfrak{T}_i : L^2\left(S^{(k)}\right) \to \mathbb{H}$ being an injective operator with closed range with pseudo inverse ${\mathfrak{T}_i}^\dagger$.

Considering the sequence $\Xi = \left(\xi_i^{(k)}\right)_{i \in I_k}$ defined by $\xi_i^{(k)} := \left(\mathfrak{T}_i^\dagger\right)^* \mathbb{W}_i \mathfrak{T}_i^* \xi_i^{(k)}$, one can apply Lemma 1 and the other results in an analogue manner.

V. Outlook

In the upcoming journal paper we will prove Theorem 1 and generalizations thereof. We will construct several combinations of windows and building blocks to achieve orthjogonality. We will also go beyond the orthogonality constraints. We will work on a much more general approach of how to build such pairs. We will do the same for Riesz bases and a fitting definition of windows functions.

Acknowledgements

First discussions on this topic started some years ago, and the work was severely limited by the pandemic. The authors want to thank all of the doctors, nurses, and researchers that saved lives from Covid.

REFERENCES

[1] J. J. Benedetto, *Harmonic Analysis and Applications*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1997).

[2] L. Borup and M. Neilsen, "Frame Decomposition of Decomposition Spaces" *Jour. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **13** (1), 39–70 (2007).

[3] P. G. Casazza, G. Kutyniok, and S. Li, Fusion frames and distributed processing Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., **25**, 114–132 (2008).

[4] O. Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhäuser (2016).

[5] R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, "Remarques sur l'analyse de Fourier a fenetre," *CR Acad. Sci. Paris* **312**, 259–261 (1991).

[6] H. G. Feichtinger, T. Strohmer *Gabor Analysis and Algorithms - Theory and Applications* Birkhäuser Boston (1998).

[7] D. Gottlieb and C-W. Shu, "On the Gibbs phenomenon and its resolution," *SIAM Rev.* **39** (4), 644–668 (1997).

[8] N. Holighaus, M. Dörfler, G. Velasco, and T. Grill, "A framework for invertible, real-time constant-Q transforms" *IEEE Trans. Audio Speech Lang. Process.*, **21**, 775–785 (2013).

[9] B. Jawerth and W. Sweldens, "Biorthogonal smooth local trigonometric bases," *Jour. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **2** (2), 109–133, (1995).

[10] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and R. P. Soni, *Formulas and theorems for the special functions of mathematical physics (Third enlarged edition)*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 52, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York (1966).

[11] H. S. Malvar, "Biorthogonal and nonuniform lapped transforms for transform coding with reduced blocking and ringing artifacts," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, **46** (4) 1043-1053 (1998).

[12] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

[13] Z. Průša. P.L. Søndergaard and P. Rajmic, Discrete Wavelet Transforms in the Large Time-Frequency Analysis Toolbox for Matlab/GNU Octave *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, **42**, 32:1-32:23 (2016).

[14] I. J. Schoenberg, *Cardinal Spline Interpolation* (CBMS–NSF Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 12), SIAM, Philadelphia, PA (1973).